

PANJAB UNIVERSITY, CHANDIGARH

Minutes of the EMERGENT meeting of the SYNDICATE held on Thursday, 10th March 2011 at 5.00 p.m., in the Syndicate Room, Panjab University, Chandigarh.

PRESENT

1. Professor R.C. Sobti ... (in the Chair)
Vice-Chancellor
2. Shri Avtar Singh Bedi
3. Shri Dayal Partap Singh Randhawa
4. Dr. Dharinder Kumar Tayal
5. Shri Gopal Krishan Chatrath
6. Dr. Gurmeet Singh
7. Principal (Dr.) Hardiljit Singh Gosal
8. Dr. Ishwar Dayal Gaur
9. Ms. Jasvir Kaur Chahal
10. Professor Naval Kishore
11. Dr. Rabinder Nath Sharma
12. Dr. Surjit Singh Randhawa
13. Professor A.K. Bhandari ... (Secretary)
Registrar

Shri Harmohinder Singh Lucky, Shri Madan Lal Aeri, Dr. Raj Bahadur, Dr. R.P.S. Josh, Mrs. Jasmit Kaur, D.P.I. (Colleges), Punjab and Shri Ajoy Sharma, Director, Higher Education, U.T., Chandigarh, could not attend the meeting.

Issue regarding re-employment of teachers whose Writ Petition has been dismissed by Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court

1. Considered re-employment of teachers who had filed Writ Petition in the Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court for enhancement in the age of superannuation whose case has been dismissed on 04.03.2011 and have applied for re-employment.

NOTE: The Syndicate meeting dated 25.11.2010 (Para 19) had resolved that all the teachers who are allowed to continue under the orders of the Court beyond the age of superannuation, i.e., 60 years, be allowed to retain the University residential accommodation, if already allotted, till the final outcome of the case in the Court. However, it be made clear to all the teachers concerned that they would deem to have retired at the age of 60 years in case the verdict of the Court is against them and they would be charged the rent of the accommodation so retained by them in terms of the existing regulations/rules of the University.

The Vice-Chancellor stated that teachers, whose Writ Petition for enhancement in the age of superannuation has been dismissed by the Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court on 04.03.2011 and have now applied for re-employment, would be granted re-employment.

RESOLVED: That the Vice-Chancellor's proposal as above be accepted and the decision of the Syndicate decision dated 25.11.2010 (Para 19) be implemented in toto.

Issue regarding transfer of Dr. Manoj Kumar, Department of Evening Studies to University Business School

2. Considered if Dr. Manoj Kumar Sharma, Department of Evening Studies be transferred back to University Business School as he has been exonerated by the Senate meeting dated 10.10.2010 (Para XV).

NOTE: The Syndicate meeting dated 25.10.2010 (Para 53) enclosed **(Appendix-I)**.

RESOLVED: That Dr. Manoj Kumar Sharma, Department of Evening Studies, be transferred back to University Business School as he has been exonerated by the Senate meeting dated 10.10.2010 (Para XV).

Re-advertisement of posts of Registrar, Controller of Examinations, Dean, College Development Council and Associate Dean, College Development Council

3. Considered the process of filling up of the posts of Registrar, Controller of Examination, Dean College Development Council and Associate Dean College Development Council, advertised vide Advertisement No. 16/2010. Information contained in the office note **(Appendix-II)** was also taken into consideration.

The Vice-Chancellor stated that the University had advertised the posts of Registrar, Controller of Examinations, Dean College Development Council and Associate Dean College Development Council and this time he was sure of making selections. The Screening Committee found only 4 applicants eligible out of 22 applicants for the post of Registrar. Thereafter, he received certain complaints, which were referred to the Committee. The Committee reiterated its earlier decision. Then again certain complaints were received. He constituted a Committee comprising Dean, Faculty of Science, Dean, Faculty of Arts, Shri Prabhjit Singh and others, which recommended that even one candidate out of 4 candidates found eligible by the earlier Committee is ineligible due to the reasons on the basis of which some other candidates (9-10 candidates) were declared ineligible. Ultimately, he constituted another Committee, under the Chairmanship of the Dean of University Instruction, including members from both the earlier Committees, which was of the view that asking the candidates to supply additional information with regard to their qualifications and experience after the last date of receipt of applications, was legally unsound and procedurally flawed. He requested the members to look into the case.

RESOLVED: That the above-said posts be re-advertised, but the entire process of selection be completed at the earliest.

Re-advertisement of the post of Director Public Relations

4. Considered the minutes of the Selection Committee dated 10.3.2011 for appointment of Director Public Relations, Panjab University, Chandigarh.

The members were of the view that in case suitable persons are not available for selection, efforts should be made to get suitable person on deputation.

The Vice-Chancellor said that the post of Director Public Relations should be allowed to be re-advertised. However, he would also try his best to get a suitable person on deputation, if possible.

RESOLVED: That the post of Director Public Relations be re-advertised and the Vice-Chancellor should also try to get a suitable person on deputation.

At this stage, the Vice-Chancellor said that the University had sent invitations to the members of the Syndicate and Senate for attending Dr. V.N. Tewari Memorial Oration to be delivered by Her Excellency, Dr. Pratibha Devi Singh Patil, President of India, would be visiting our University on March 17, 2011. He requested the members to send their confirmation so that the exact list of participants could be conveyed to the Security Agencies.

A.K. Bhandari
Registrar

Confirmed

R.C. Sobti
VICE-CHANCELLOR