

PANJAB UNIVERSITY, CHANDIGARH

Minutes of the meeting of the SYNDICATE held on Saturday, 24th March 2012 at 4.00 p.m., in the Syndicate Room, Panjab University, Chandigarh.

PRESENT

1. Professor R.C. Sobti ... (in the Chair)
Vice-Chancellor
2. Shri Ashok Goyal
3. Dr. Dinesh Talwar
4. Dr. Gurdip Kumar Sharma
5. Shri Gopal Krishan Chatrath
6. Shri Jarnail Singh
7. Dr. Janmit Singh
8. Dr. Mukesh Arora
9. Professor M. Shakeel Khan
10. Professor Naval Kishore
11. Professor Pam Rajput
12. Dr. P.S. Gill
13. Dr. R.S. Jhanji
14. Dr. Tejinder Kaur Dhaliwal
15. Professor A.K. Bhandari ... (Secretary)
Registrar

Mrs. Junesh Kumari Kackria, Dr. Kailash Nath Kaul, Shri Jaswinder Singh Brar, D.P.I. (Colleges), Punjab and Shri Ajoy Sharma, Director, Higher Education, U.T., Chandigarh, could not attend the meeting.

Condolence Resolution

The Vice-Chancellor said, "I am pained to inform about the sad demise of Smt. Kailash Wati ji respected mother of Professor Dinesh K. Gupta, University Business School. She had made rich contributions for the growth of values in the society and the fragrance of her affection, values and moral courage will be a constant inspiration to the society at large.

The Syndicate expressed its sorrow and grief over the passing away of Smt. Kailash Wati ji and observed two minutes silence, all standing, to pay homage to the departed soul.

RESOLVED: That a copy of the above Resolution be sent to the members of the bereaved family.

Vice-Chancellor's Statement

1. The Vice-Chancellor said, –
 - "(1) Heartiest congratulations to Shri Parkash Singh Badal, member of the Senate and his team on their emphatic victory in the just concluded elections and for being the Chief Minister of Punjab for the record 5th term.
 - (2) Professor Jai Narain Sharma, Department of Gandhian Studies, has been awarded the prestigious "Acharya Mahaprajna Sahitya Award 2010" by Jain Vishwa Bharti.
 - (3) As per the report "Analysis of India's Science & Technology Research Capabilities and International

Collaborative Strength, particularly in context of Indo-German Collaboration, 2004-09” commissioned by the DFG, the most active Indian Institution was the TIFR, Mumbai with 447 joint publications followed by Panjab University with 416 publications.

- (4) MHRD has released Rs.150 lacs towards its 75% share of first instalment under Technical Education Quality Improvement Project started in the University Institute of Engineering & Technology under MoU.
- (5) The High Energy Physics Group of Physics Department (comprising 400 people) has been awarded the DST project entitled “Collaboration by Indian Physicists on Neutrino Projects at Fermilab, USA” and the total sanctioned grant is of Rs.2.33 crores.
- (6) Shri Pran Kishore Deb, Senior Research Scholar, pursuing his Ph.D. at University Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences has bagged the Young Scientist Award.
- (7) Dr. Vijay Rattan, University School of Open Learning, has been invited to attend the UN World G-192 Summit.
- (8) Professor Pam Rajput has been nominated on a High Powered Committee by the Union Ministry of Women and Child Welfare, recommended to be constituted by a Committee of Governors constituted by the President of India, to draft Crucial National Policy on the Status of Women in India. This Committee has been incepted after a gap of 41 years.
- (9) Professor Pam Rajput had been invited on the panel by the White House Advisor, First Secretary on Gender Issues and Global Ambassador on Gender Issues, which is an international achievement.

Shri Ashok Goyal stated that he was happy that the University had started placing before the Syndicate the Action Taken Report. Though the Action Taken Report on the decisions of the Syndicate meeting dated 31.01.2012 had been placed before the Syndicate, he was sorry to point out that the Action Taken Report on the decisions of the Syndicate dated 29.02.2012 had not been placed before the Syndicate.”

Dr. Mukesh Arora said that he had read in the newspapers that Professor R.C. Sobti had been awarded with a Life Time Award by the PCMA. He suggested that he should be felicitated by the Syndicate.

RESOLVED: That –

- (1) felicitations of the Syndicate be conveyed to –
 - (i) S. Parkash Singh Badal, Member of the Senate and his team on their emphatic victory in the just concluded elections and for being the Chief

- Minister of Punjab for the record 5th term;
- (ii) Professor R.C. Sobti, Vice-Chancellor, for having been awarded Life Time Award by the Punjab Commerce Management Association(PCMA).
- (iii) Professor Jai Narain Sharma, Department of Gandhian Studies, on his having been awarded the prestigious “Acharya Mahaprajna Sahitya Award 2010” by Jain Vishwa Bharti;
- (iv) Shri Pran Kishore Deb, Senior Research Scholar, pursuing his Ph.D. at University Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, on his bagging the Young Scientist Award;
- (v) Dr. Vijay Rattan, University School of Open Learning, on his having been invited to attend the UN World G-192 Summit;
- (vi) Professor Pam Rajput on her having been –
- (a) nominated by the Union Ministry of Women and Child Welfare to draft Crucial National Policy on the Status of Women in India; and
- (b) invited on the panel by the White House Advisor, First Secretary on Gender Issues and Global Ambassador on Gender Issues.
- (2) Appreciated the achievement of the Panjab University contained in Vice-Chancellor’s statement at Sr. No. (3).
- (3) The information contained in the Vice-Chancellor’s statement at Sr. Nos. (4) and (5), be noted.
- (4) the Action Taken Report on the decisions of the Syndicate dated 31.01.2012 as per **Appendix-A1**, be noted.

After the decisions on the Vice-Chancellor’s statement were taken, the members started general discussions.

Principal Janmit Singh said that since the annual examinations are going to start shortly, the pending cases of creation of examination centres, including the one at Guru Nanak College, Ferozepur, should be cleared at the earliest.

The Vice-Chancellor said that he had cleared all the files and none was pending with him.

Dr. Dinesh Talwar stated that since the Committee was asked to visit the College for seeing the arrangements made by it at a short notice and submit its report within a couple of days as the examinations were going to be started. There were three members on the Committee. But two of the members could not visit the College, may be due to short notice. Since the duty was entrusted to him by the Vice-Chancellor and the Syndicate, he visited the College and submitted the report to the Registrar, but the same had not been placed before the Syndicate as yet.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that though the examinations were to start from 20th March 2012 and it was an issue of re-starting of examination centre, on 24th March they still did not know about the report. What would be the fate of the students who were to appear at that examination centre?

The Vice-Chancellor said that he had not yet received the file containing the report of the Committee.

Principal Tejinder Kaur said that the report regarding starting of examination centre at Talwandi Bhai had also been submitted, action on the same should be expedited.

Shri Gopal Krishan Chatrath said that the process for filling up the posts of Clerks should not be stopped and the result of the written test conducted by the University should be declared without any further delay.

The Vice-Chancellor said that it has been alleged that in case the result of the test conducted for the posts of Clerks was declared, the Senators would influence the selections. Moreover, the PUTA had demanded that no selection should be made by the outgoing Vice-Chancellor and also because the process of Senate election has started and the members who are seeking election would influence selection process. He added that selection was a selection whether it was of teachers or of non-teaching employees and he would hate to be blamed as he has no interest.

Principal R.S. Jhanji said that the Syndicate had already given its authorization that the Vice-Chancellor should continue with the making of appointments/promotions. Hence, the same should be continued without any ifs and buts.

Shri Gopal Krishan Chatrath said that where there was no teacher/s to teach the students, the post/s should be filled in. The recommendations of the Selection Committees, which were not placed before the Syndicate saying that the Court had stayed the same, should be placed before the Syndicate as the Court had stayed appointment in the 3-Year Law only and not in the 5-Year Law. He added that a Committee should be constituted to assess the need for

filling up the posts and the posts should be filled up on its recommendation.

The Vice-Chancellor informed that the Dean of University Instructions as Chairman of the Committee, constituted to examine the process of need-based filling of posts, had written that it is a question of propriety.

Shri Gopal Krishan Chatrath suggested that there should be Committee comprising Dean of the Faculty concerned, Chairpersons and two-three senior Professors to examine the determination of need-based appointments. He added that there are six posts of Assistant Professors in Law at P.U. Regional Centre, Muktsar. Though the posts have been advertised twice, interview has not been conducted.

Principal R.S. Jhanji said that the posts should be filled up in the best interest of the institution.

The Vice-Chancellor reiterated that he is not interested in making any appointment. Let the Syndicate make a Committee to recommend need-based appointments. He further said that the case of Dental Institute has come in the newspapers. Though screening has been done four times, it could not be decided as to who was eligible for the post of Director-Principal. Thereafter, the qualifications were changed by the Dental Council of India and the post was advertised again. He further said that to look into the seniority issue of two of the Professors, a Committee under the chairmanship of Professor R.P. Bambah had been constituted. He had already sanctioned Rs.3.5 crores to meet the immediate needs of the Institute to satisfy the DCI.

Dr. P.S. Gill said that the Director, Public Relations should issue rejoinders condemning the wrong news published in the newspaper.

The Vice-Chancellor said that though the University was sending rejoinders, the newspapers publish only those things which they deem fit.

Dr. Dinesh Talwar said that the statement given by one of the members of the DCI Committee to the newspapers should be strongly condemned.

Shri Jarnail Singh said that the Vice-Chancellor being the academic head of the University should constitute a Committee to assess the need-based appointments.

The Vice-Chancellor said that he had already constituted a Committee comprising Dean of University Instructions (Chairman), Deans, Faculty of Arts and Science, Dr. Karamjit Singh, Professor M. Shakeel Khan, President (PUTA), President (PUSA). But as indicated earlier the Dean of University Instructions is not interested in holding the meeting.

Shri Gopal Krishan Chatrath said that are they all Deans of the Faculties? How could they assess the needs of a Department?

Shri Ashok Goyal suggested that at least all the Deans of the Faculties should be associated with the Committee to be constituted for assessment of need-based appointments.

Shri Gopal Krishan Chatrath stated that there is only one regular teacher in the Department of Law, P.U. Regional Centre, Muktsar. Though he was pressing for making more appointments, nothing had been done so far. He further stated that the Court had stayed appointment in 3-Year Law only and not in the 5-Year Law. The Selection Committee had recommended appointment of two candidates in 3-Year Law and unfortunately one happened to be a Scheduled Tribe and their appointment had been challenged. As far as appointment in 5-Year Law was concerned, when there was no dispute why the matter was sent to the Legal Retainer for opinion. He further stated that Shri Ashok Goyal would agree with him that according to Article 342, the President of India in consultation with the State consulting the Governor could specify the Tribe and that should be treated specified for the purpose of Constitution as Scheduled Tribe. According to Article 342(ii), only Parliament could decide it and except Parliament nobody could include or exclude any name in the list. He had reported that the jurisdiction of Panjab University is Chandigarh and some Districts of Punjab and no Scheduled Tribes is there in these areas.

The Vice-Chancellor said that the University had got Rs.150 crore grant and of this a sum of Rs.10.5 crore for Scheduled Tribes. Hence, the jurisdiction of the Panjab University is whole of the India so far as Scheduled Tribes were concerned.

Shri Gopal Krishan Chatrath said that Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court had ruled that there is no Scheduled Tribe in the Union Territory of Chandigarh and the State of Punjab.

The Vice-Chancellor said that so far as appointments of Scheduled Tribes in the Department of Laws and University Institute of Legal Studies were concerned, the matter was sub-judice and they would follow the Court's orders. **He, however, said that the legal opinion from Shri Anupam Gupta would be obtained by the Registrar within a couple of days.**

Principal Gurdip Sharma stated that there were contradictions in the API proforma which had been put on the University Website. 60 marks allocated for first Author and 40 marks allocated to the rest of the Authors was not proper. Moreover, the cap of maximum 50 marks for writing of books was also not proper. The above-said allocation of marks suited the faculty members of the University the most. It would be very difficult for the outsiders, i.e., persons belonging to Colleges to enter into the University service. According to him, it was an academic fraud with the Research Scholars and students. No representation was given to the College Lecturers on the Committee constituted to prepare the API proforma. Further, no such proforma was available in any of the University of the country. He, therefore, pleaded that the API proforma should be reviewed.

The Vice-Chancellor said that that was why he was of the view that the API proforma of the UGC should be adopted in toto, but his suggestion was not heeded to. Moreover, the API proforma evolved by the University was not for the post of Assistant Professor, but for the posts of Associate Professor and Professor. He further said that since the posts had been advertised and corrigendum had also been given

according to this API proforma, the posts should be allowed to be filled in on the basis of this proforma. However, for future, the API proforma could be reviewed.

Principal Tejinder Kaur said that cap was absolutely necessary as all the marks could not be allocated for a particular category.

Shri Gopal Krishan Chatrath suggested that if any research scholar/student was at loss, he/she should be given some relaxation.

To this, the Vice-Chancellor said that the API proforma score was just to determine the eligibility of the candidates.

Shri Gopal Krishan Chatrath suggested that a Committee comprising Dean of the Faculty concerned, Chairperson and two senior Professors of the Department and a nominee of the Vice-Chancellor, should screen the applications of the candidates.

To this, the Vice-Chancellor said that he had made a similar proposal, but the same was not agreed upon in the Syndicate meeting on the plea that the Dean of University Instructions must chair the Screening Committee.

Shri Ashok Goyal observed that if they again give a corrigendum, it would be thought that the API proforma had been changed keeping in view a particular candidate. He, however, suggested that the Screening Committee should be given some guidelines for determining the eligibility of the candidates.

Shri Gopal Krishan Chatrath said that if someone claimed to be the first author, the fact could be verified by the Screening Committee.

Dr. P.S. Gill said that if they framed certain guidelines for the Screening Committee, the same may not be made available to the candidates and the general public.

Dr. Dinesh Talwar said that how could they make guidelines for the Screening Committee in contradiction with the guidelines which had been advertised.

Shri Jarnail Singh said that the first author was important rest just assisted him.

To this, Dr. P.S. Gill said that most of the times the names are mentioned alphabetically.

Professor Pam Rajput emphasized that the API proforma of the UGC should be followed in toto and should not be diluted. She said that basics had to be there. When they came to attend Seminars/Conference, it had been observed that the quality of papers presented was not of high standard.

After some further discussion, it was –

RESOLVED: That Shri Gopal Krishan Chatrath (Chairman), Professor Pam Rajput, Principal Gurdip Kumar Sharma and Professor B.S. Ghuman may have a look into the issue and take steps, if any, for future that may not cause any embarrassment to the University.

Referring to Action Taken Report, Shri Ashok Goyal enquired what was the propriety of the decisions taken by the Syndicate and Senate if they are not to be implemented by the officers of the University in letter and spirit and strictly in terms of the decision. He stated that he was sorry to point out that in the last meeting of the Syndicate, it was unanimously decided not to grant permission to the Central Bureau of Investigation (CBI) to prosecute Professor R.K. Wanchoo. Drawing parallel, he said that when the Senate decided to grant sanction for prosecution of Shri S.K. Padam, the letter to that effect was issued by the University immediately. In fact, the Senate on 29th March 2011 decided to constitute a Committee to look into the case of Shri S.K. Padam. The Committee met on 4th April and within less than a week permission for prosecution of Shri Padam was granted to the CBI. Thereafter, the CBI came with a draft order for grant of sanction for his prosecution. The Committee met 3-4 times and expressed its inability to recommend sanction on the said proforma. Ultimately, the matter was again placed before the Senate in December 2011 and the Senate decided that whatever permission had been sent earlier, the same should be reiterated as they did not want to add anything. The CBI had desired that the draft order should be signed either by the Registrar or the Vice-Chancellor or the Syndicate or the Senate. However, after the meeting of the Senate, the draft order was signed by the Registrar. But when the Syndicate decided not to grant sanction for prosecution of Professor R.K. Wanchoo, the letter was not issued even for many days. Why it had taken so much time? However, the CBI said that the case was being closed, the same day the University sent a fax that sanction for prosecution of Professor R.K. Wanchoo was not being granted.

Continuing, Shri Ashok Goyal stated that in the same very Senate on the consistent demand of the teachers, teaching community as a whole, all the members of the Syndicate and Senate, with the constant efforts of the Vice-Chancellor and his endeavour to see that the University is declared a Central University or at least Centrally Funded University so that all the benefits which are extended to the Central University employees are extended to the Panjab University employees also, the Senate had recommended amendment of Regulations on the basis of recommendation of the Syndicate special meeting. The proposed amendments in Regulations were sent to the Government of India for approval, which is still awaited. Further, contrary to the decision of the Senate, i.e. against the decision of the competent authority (Governing Body of the University), the University has filed an application in the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India for vacation of stay, which had been granted to one of the teachers of this University not to retire him on attaining the age of 60 years. He wanted to know if the decision of the Syndicate and Senate are not to be followed by the Officers of this University, do the members of the Syndicate and Senate sit like silent spectators or the Officer, whosoever had done this, is to be taken to task. This was the only case wherein the Hon'ble Supreme Court had granted stay and the same was the only ray of hope for declaration the Panjab University as a Central University or Centrally Funded University. He did not know why the application for vacation of stay had been filed after the decision of the Senate and against the interests of the teachers. Had it been filed before the decision of the December 2011 Senate, the same would have been understandable. The ground for vacation of stay cited by the University was that there is shortage of accommodation at the University Campus and if the stay is allowed to continue, the persons on the waiting list would be denied of their right

to get residential accommodation at the Campus. In this very University, the stay granted by the Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court from retirement on attaining the age of 60 years continued for more than eight years and the persons served up to 62 years and also retained University accommodation, but the University had never filed any application for vacation of stay. He should be told as to what were the circumstances and what changes took place under which such an application for vacation of stay was filed in the Supreme Court of India. He should be told if any decision contrary to the decision of the competent body had been taken under which the application for vacation of stay had been filed. If not, he proposed that the application in question should be immediately withdrawn.

Professor M. Shakeel Khan seconded the proposal made by Shri Ashok Goyal for withdrawing the application filed in the Supreme Court for vacation of stay.

Continuing, Shri Ashok Goyal stated that earlier too, certain decisions of the Syndicate and Senate were not implemented by the University Officers. Who, on whose behalf and whose advice the application for vacation of stay had been filed in the Supreme Court of India.

Shri Gopal Krishan Chatrath said that it should not be made part of the proceedings, but it be examined being a serious matter.

Shri Ashok Goyal stated that to avoid sending a message that the University authorities are violating the decisions of the Syndicate and Senate, the application for vacation of stay should be withdrawn immediately. He further said that in one of the statements, wherein a decision was taken by the Syndicate, but the Officers of the University had given a statement that the decision of the Syndicate could not be implemented because it is against the Regulations. Instead of bringing it to the Syndicate for review, he preferred not to implement it.

The Vice-Chancellor said that much insubordination was prevailing in the University.

Shri Ashok Goyal suggested that as far as two cases of insubordination were concerned, a Committee should be constituted to look into them.

Principal Tejinder Kaur said that there might be some force behind all this.

Shri Gopal Krishan Chatrath said that though it might not be insubordination, under what circumstances it had been done needed to be looked into.

RESOLVED UNANIMOUSLY: That application filed in the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India for vacation of stay granted to one of the faculty members not to retire him on attaining the age of 60 years, be withdrawn immediately.

Issue regarding promotion of Dr. Sudha Banth, Reader (Retd.), Department of Psychology

2. Considered minutes dated 14.2.2012 (**Appendix-I**) of the Committee constituted by the Vice-Chancellor to examine the case of Dr. Sudha Banth, Reader (Retd.), Department of Psychology, regarding her date of promotion as Reader under CAS.

RESOLVED: That Dr. Sudha Banth, Reader (Retd.), Department of Psychology, be given promotion as Reader w.e.f. 01.08.2005, i.e. the date she applied claiming eligibility for promotion instead of 17.01.2007. However, the matter will be finally decided by the Senate.

Recommendations of Leave Cases Committee dated 01.03.2012

3. Considered minutes dated 1.3.2012 (**Appendix-II**) of the Committee constituted by the Vice-Chancellor in terms of the Syndicate decision dated 16.5.1981 (Para 18) to look into the leave cases of teaching staff.

RESOLVED: That the recommendations of the Committee dated 01.03.2012, as per **Appendix-II**, be approved.

Deferred Item

4. Considered the following recommendations of the Committee dated 24.2.2012 constituted by the Vice-Chancellor to look into the demand of the PUTA regarding recording of the proceedings of the Selection Committees:

1. All efforts necessary to ensure transparency and make the system credible be made.
2. Videographing of the proceedings of the meetings of the Selection Committees be only seen as a tool for ensuring transparency. Since the videographing of the proceedings of the meetings of the Selection Committees needed further deliberations, this be considered along with other alternatives.
3. If at all, the University decided to start videographing the proceedings of the meetings of the Selection Committees, the record be kept either by the Dean of University Instruction or the Registrar for at least 3 years.
4. In order to ensure transparency, only those subject experts be appointed whose names existed in the panel suggested by the concerned Department.

The Vice-Chancellor stated that the decision with regard to Videographing of the proceedings of the meetings of the Selection Committees, if approved, would be implemented in the University and its affiliated Colleges as well.

Dr. P.S. Gill pointed out that the Committee had not made its final recommendations saying that since the Committee was of the view that the issue of videographing of the proceedings of the meetings of the Selection Committees needed further deliberations, and this be considered along with other alternatives.

Principal Janmit Singh stated that the demand for videographing of the proceedings of the meetings of the Selection Committees should not be accepted. He added that the practice of videographing of the proceedings of the meetings of the Syndicate and Senate should also be done away with.

Principal Tejinder Kaur observed that the videographing of the proceedings of the meetings of the Selection Committees would hardly bring any transparency because the videographing could be stopped in-between at any time.

Shri Gopal Krishan Chatrath stated that a Committee should be formed to look into the pros and cons of the videographing of the proceedings of the meetings of the Selection Committees.

RESOLVED: That consideration of Item 4 on the agenda be deferred.

Confirmation of certain faculty members 5. Considered the recommendation of the Vice-Chancellor, and

RESOLVED: That the following faculty members be confirmed in their posts w.e.f. the date mentioned against each:

Sr. No.	Name of the Faculty member/Department	Designation	Date of Birth	Date of Joining	Proposed date of confirmation
1.	*Dr. Rajesh Kumar Department of Physics	Assistant Professor in Physics	25.4.1979	29.9.2010	28.9.2011
2.	*Dr. Samarjit Sihotra Department of Physics	Assistant Professor in Experimental Nuclear Physics	10.4.1980	29.9.2010	29.9.2011
3.	Ms. Bhavneet Bhatti School of Communication Studies	Assistant Professor in PG Diploma in Advertising & Public Relations	22.10.1985	4.10.2010	4.10.2011

*In order of Merit

Issue regarding re-fixation of pay of Shri Vikram Singh, Security Officer

6. Considered recommendation of the Committee dated 14.2.2012 (**Appendix-III**) constituted by the Vice-Chancellor, and

RESOLVED: That the basic pay of Shri Vikram Singh, Security Officer on 5.5.2008 be re-fixed at Rs12,160/- (i.e. Rs.11,260 + two non-compounding increments) +Grade Pay Rs.3600/- in the Pay Band of Rs.10,300-34,800/- from the date of his joining.

Issue regarding re-evaluation of certain students of B.Sc. (Radiodiagnosis) 2nd year examination

7. Considered minutes dated 23.2.2012 (**Appendix-IV**) of the Committee constituted in pursuance of the Syndicate decision dated 31.1.2012 (Para 14) under the Chairpersonship of Mrs. Jasvinder Kaur, Additional Director (Admn.) to look into the issue regarding Re-evaluation of six candidates of B.Sc. (Radiodiagnosis) 2nd year examination (September, 2011).

NOTE: The Syndicate meeting dated 31.1.2012 (Para 14) had resolved that the Government Medical College & Hospital, Chandigarh, be asked to constitute a Committee comprising of 2-3 members to look into the issue and submit a detailed report, which would be placed before the Syndicate for consideration.

The Vice-Chancellor said that re-evaluation of the answerbooks of the students of B.Sc. (Radiodiagnosis) should be allowed as a special case since there was no provision of re-evaluation in medical stream under the Regulations.

Shri Gopal Krishan Chatrath proposed that this type of students should also be brought under the rules for re-evaluation.

Dr. Dinesh Talwar stated that in the admission guidelines it had been mentioned that the candidate should have passed 10+2 examination, whereas he had obtained information under the RTI, Act, wherein it had been found that five students, who have failed in the subject of Biology, had been admitted to this course. He was of the view that re-evaluation should not be allowed as it would open a pandoras box. If all the answerbooks are opened they would be surprised to know that some of the students had passed because they had been awarded marks for six questions, whereas only five questions in all were to be attempted by the students. The external examiner was supposed to award maximum 600 marks and the two internal examiners had 200 marks, i.e. 100 marks each. In all, he appealed not to go contrary to the Regulations. However, the whole case needed to be examined, especially admission of ineligible candidates, in order to unearth the truth.

Shri Jarnail Singh said that the eligibility conditions should be changed and only candidates with Physics, Chemistry and Biology should be made eligible for admission to this course.

Dr. Dinesh Talwar said that some of the students of B.Sc. (Radiodiagnosis) are those, who are also doing service simultaneously, which showed that there was favouritism.

Dr. Mukesh Arora said that they had not received any request from the students for re-evaluation of their answerbooks and the request had only been made by the Principal.

RESOLVED: That –

- (1) re-evaluation of all the students of B.Sc. (Radiodiagnosis) 2nd year examination (September 2011) for all the subjects, be **not** allowed; and
- (2) the issue of admission of ineligible candidates and change in eligibility conditions be referred to the Dean, College Development Council.

Recommendations of the Committee dated 08.02.2012 regarding recognition of Research Centres

8. Considered the following recommendations of the Committee dated 8.2.2012 (**Appendix-V**) constituted by the Vice-Chancellor to review the proforma and lay down norms for the recognition of Research Centres:

1. That the recognition granted to a College as Research Centre for pursuing research work leading to Ph.D. degree of Panjab University, Chandigarh is for five years only and the same be reviewed after every 5 years.
2. For recognition as a Research Centre, the College must have three regular faculty members holding Ph.D. degree in the relevant discipline (one for each paper for Pre-Ph.D. course), out of which at least one should be Assistant Professor (Stage-3). The teacher for becoming a Supervisor for guiding Ph.D. student should hold the Ph.D. degree with published research work, such as books, research papers in refereed research journals at least three years preceding the appointment as supervisor/co-supervisor and evidence of having been engaged in research after Ph.D.
3. The College must have been running M.A./M.Sc. etc. course in the concerned subject for the past 5(five) year;
4. Library subscribing 5 Research Journals.
5. Infrastructure in the form of Computer Laboratory and Research Laboratory in the subject concerned.
6. The College should purchase fresh Books worth Rs.20,000/- and Books worth Rs.10,000/- every year in the subject concerned.
7. The Nodal Agency for Pre-Research Degree Committee/Research Degree Committee meetings and other follow up would be the University parent-Teaching Department. The proposed Supervisor of the candidate should be invited for Pre-Research Degree Committee/ Research Degree Committee meetings.
8. The Pre-Ph.D. Course Work Examination would be conducted by the Nodal Agency, i.e. the University parent-Teaching Department.
9. Fee for recognition of Research Centre is Rs.10,000/- per subject.

That keeping in view the above norms, the proforma for recognition of Research Centre for pursuing research work leading to Ph.D. degree of Panjab University be amended as per **Appendix-V**.

Dr. Mukesh Arora stated that according to the existing guidelines, the pre-Ph.D. Course Work and examination thereof could also be done at the Recognized Research Centre, whereas in the proposed guidelines this facility had been snatched. Only the proforma evolved for recognition of Research Centres was required to be changed a little bit, but the Committee had suggested a number of changes, which were not desired.

Shri Gopal Krishan Chatrath stated that Colleges were being denied recognition in new areas just because the University Teaching Departments wanted to retain their monopoly. What to talk of the affiliated Colleges, even the teachers, who are working in the Regional Centres of the University, are not being allowed to guide Ph.D. students. He, therefore, pleaded that the matter should be reviewed and the guidelines should be so framed that majority of the teachers become eligible to supervise the Ph.D. students so that they should think that they are just like teachers of the University Teaching Departments; otherwise, the objective of the U.G.C. that maximum research should be done, would be defeated. He further suggested that the recommendations of the earlier Committee should be compared with the recommendations of Committee under consideration and thereafter final recommendations should be made.

Principal Janmit Singh enquired as to how many students have enrolled for Ph.D. in the recognized Research Centres created in the affiliated Colleges and how many approved Ph.D. teachers were there to guide the Ph.D. students.

The Vice-Chancellor said that if Principal Janmit Singh wanted such type of data, the same should have been asked as a question well before the meeting so that the data could be collected from the relevant branches.

Dr. P.S. Gill enquired why the fee for recognition of research centre had been increased manifold.

Principal R.S. Jhanji said that though Committees had been constituted for recognition of research centre, no centre had been recognized in the absence of guidelines for the Committees.

Principal Janmit Singh stated that since the University had data about the availability of capable teachers in the old affiliated Colleges which are offering postgraduate courses, the teachers with Ph.D. in those affiliated Colleges should be authorized to guide Ph.D. students.

RESOLVED: That the item be referred back to the Committee for reconsideration in the light of the observations made by the members and the Committee be expanded by the Vice-Chancellor.

**Recommendations of the
Committee dated
29.02.2012 regarding
grant of Travel Subsidy**

9. Considered minutes dated 29.2.2012 (**Appendix-VI**) of the Committee constituted by the Vice-Chancellor for the grant of Travel Subsidy for attending International conferences outside India out of the "Un-assigned Grant" for the financial year 2011-2012.

RESOLVED: That the recommendations of the Committee dated 29.02.2012, as per **Appendix-VI**, be approved.

Promotion of Shri Shiv Kumar Verma, Assistant Librarian (Selection Grade) as Deputy Librarian at VVBIS & IS, Hoshiarpur

10. Considered if Shri Shiv Kumar Verma, Assistant Librarian (Selection Grade) at VVBIS & IS, Hoshiarpur, be designated as Deputy Librarian w.e.f. 1st January 2012 (i.e. the date on which he published the last review journal). Information contained in the office note (**Appendix-VII**) was also taken into consideration.

RESOLVED: That Shiv Kumar Verma, Assistant Librarian (Selection Grade) at VVBIS & IS, Hoshiarpur, be designated as Deputy Librarian w.e.f. 1st January 2012 (i.e. the date on which he published the last review journal).

Amendment of Regulation

11. Considered the recommendation of the Committee dated 3.1.2012 (**Appendix-VIII**) constituted by the Vice-Chancellor to amend/review Regulation 2.1 at pages 369-370 of P.U. Cal. Vol. II, 2007 (so as to give proper representation in the constitution of Research Board in Business Management & Commerce to all the Departments where the subject of Business Management & Commerce is being taught i.e. USOL, DES, UIAMS & PURC (Ludhiana).

RESOLVED: That in order to give proper representation in the constitution of Research Board in Business Management & Commerce to all the Departments where the subject of Business Management & Commerce is being taught, i.e. USOL, DES, UIAMS & PURC (Ludhiana), Regulation 2.1 at pages 369-370 of P.U. Calendar, Volume II, 2007, be amended as under:

Existing Regulation	Proposed Regulation
(i) Dean, Faculty of Business Management and Commerce, Ex-officio	(i) Dean, Faculty of Business Management and Commerce, Ex-officio.
(ii) Chairperson, University Business School, Panjab University (hereinafter referred to as the University Business School)	(ii) Chairperson, University Business School, Panjab University (hereinafter referred to as the University Business School).
(iii) Professors in the University Business School	(iii) Professors in the University Business School, University School of Open Learning, Department of Evening Studies, University Institute of Applied Management Sciences & P.U.R.C., Ludhiana under domain of Faculty of Business Management & Commerce.
(iv) One Reader by rotation in the University Business School	(iv) One Reader/Associate Professor by rotation in the University Business School, University School of Open Learning, Department of Evening Studies, University Institute of Applied Management Sciences & Panjab University Regional Centre (Ludhiana).
	(v) Two members nominated by the Vice-

<p>(v) Two members nominated by the Vice-Chancellor The term of the office of the Board shall be two years</p>	<p>Chancellor.</p> <p>The term of the office of the Board shall be two years</p>
--	--

Inspection Report

12. Considered if provisional extension of affiliation be granted to S.D. College, Hoshiarpur, for Diploma Add-On course as per UGC Self-financing Scheme in Computer Based Accounting for the session 2011-2012.

NOTE: The Inspection Report of S.D. College, Hoshiarpur enclosed (**Appendix-IX**).

RESOLVED: That provisional extension of affiliation be granted to S.D. College, Hoshiarpur, for Diploma Add-On course in Computer Based Accounting for the session 2011-2012, as per UGC Self-financing Scheme.

Resolution proposed by Dr. Dinesh Talwar, a Fellow

13. Considered the following Resolution proposed by the Dr. Dinesh Talwar, a Fellow:

“Resolved to introduce a 5-day week in all faculties in affiliated Colleges from the session 2012-2013:”

EXPLANATION:

That students and staff of all faculties in affiliated Colleges are at a disadvantage because they find little time for themselves for self-study and self-improvement. The University and all its departments and administrative offices have a 5-day week. There is no justification that two systems are being followed under the same University guidelines. This dichotomy should be done away with.

This also must be so since the University and all its departments and administrative offices as well as the affiliated Colleges follow the same Punjab Civil Services.

The Vice-Chancellor stated that the University had received a letter from Shri Prabhjit Singh, Fellow, that the non-teaching staff of the affiliated Colleges should also be allowed five days week and his letter should be treated a Resolution and the same should be considered along with the Resolution of Dr. Dinesh Talwar.

Shri Gopal Krishan Chatrath stated that the non-teaching staff of the affiliated Colleges is non-vacational staff and are entitled to more number of holidays than the teaching staff (vacational staff).

Shri Jarnail Singh said that in the last meeting of the Senate, Dr. Dalip Kumar also raised the issue of observance of five days week in the affiliated Colleges.

Principal Janmit Singh suggested that a Committee of Senators from Principals and Lecturers constituencies should be constituted to look into the matter.

The Vice-Chancellor said that before taking any decision regarding observance of five days week in the affiliated Colleges, the consent of Government was must because they gave grant-in-aid to the Colleges.

Dr. Dinesh Talwar stated that in 2009 the U.T. Administration had issued a letter that the Colleges situated in the Union Territory of Chandigarh would follow the holidays of the U.T. Administration and the University took up this issue with the Administration. Thereafter, the said letter was withdrawn by the Administration and the Colleges continued to follow the Academic Calendar of the University. According to the U.G.C., 180 teaching days have to be observed during the session and as per the proposed Academic Calendar for the academic session 2012-2013, the University would observe 182 teaching days and the affiliated Colleges 228 teaching days. He stressed that if the University Teaching Departments are allowed to observe 5-day week, the affiliated Colleges could not be denied the same.

Continuing, Dr. Dinesh Talwar said that the Teaching Departments of the University are closed on Saturdays and Sundays, both teaching and non-teaching staff observe five days week. Similar practice should be allowed in the affiliated Colleges.

Principal Tejinder Kaur said that she was not in favour of observing five days week in the affiliated Colleges. The Colleges are already facing a lot of problems and if they allowed five days week, they would face more problems, e.g. teacher would start taking casual leave on Friday and the work of the College would suffer continuously for three days.

RESOLVED: That the above Resolution proposed by Dr. Dinesh Talwar, a Fellow, along with Explanatory Note and letter of Shri Prabhjit Singh, Fellow, be referred to a Committee to be constituted by the Vice-Chancellor for consideration in the first instance.

At this stage, Dr. Mukesh Arora stated that, earlier, there was a Rule that if the re-evaluation result of the student is declared before 31st March, he/she was allowed admission in the next class within 10 days of the declaration of the result. In 2003 on his Resolution, the said Rule was amended to the effect that if the re-evaluation result was declared before 31st March, the student concerned was allowed admission to the next higher class within 10 days of the declaration of the result. But he was sorry to point out that the said decision was not being followed by the University and the Vice-Chancellor had rejected the application of the students who had sought admission on

the basis of their re-evaluation result which was declared after 31st December. He had tried his level best to find out the said decision, but had not succeeded so far.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that the problem was that probably the amendment had not been carried out in the Calendar. Moreover, there were so many such decisions of the Syndicate, which have not been incorporated in the Calendar.

The Vice-Chancellor asked the Registrar to dig out the decision based on the Resolution of Dr. Mukesh Arora and the amendment, if any, made subsequently.

Recommendations of the Committee dated 22.02.2012 regarding grant of affiliation for Add-On courses

14. Considered the recommendation of the Committee dated 22.2.2012 (**Appendix-X**) constituted by the Syndicate dated 31.1.2012 (Para 15) to take a policy decision for grant of affiliation, etc. for Add-On courses.

RESOLVED: That following guidelines be followed for grant of affiliation, etc. for Add-On courses:

- (i) The last date for submission of applications for affiliation for Add-On course to the Panjab University should be made 21 days after the issue of sanction letter from UGC and it will be applicable w.e.f. the session 2012-2013.
- (ii) The Colleges which have already applied late for affiliation for the session 2012-2013 for Add-On course should be considered and Inspection Committee for these Colleges be finalized.
- (iii) The faculty to teach the Add-On course should be the expert internal faculty or Guest faculty (as per UGC guidelines). There is no need to appoint any regular Assistant Professor.
- (iv) The last date of submission of awards of Internal Assessment and Practical should be 31st May of every year.
- (v) There should be provision for table marking with respect to answer sheets of Add-On courses.
- (vi) The result of Add-On courses should be prepared through Computer Unit.
- (vii) C.D. of list of candidates be prepared and submitted directly to D.R. (AOC).
- (viii) Only form No. 540,541 & 542 should be sent to Add-On course instead of examination forms.
- (xi) A separate Draft of fee be sent to office for verification of fees.

Conversion of post

15. Considered the request dated 25.1.2012 (**Appendix-XI**) of the Director SSGPURC Hoshiarpur that the post of Assistant Professor from Humanities (Public Administration) be converted to that of Assistant Professor in Management. Information contained in the office note (**Appendix-XI**) was also taken into consideration.

Dr. Mukesh Arora pleaded that the salary of the Assistant Librarians working in the VVBIS & IS, Hoshiarpur and P.U. Swami Sarvanand Giri Regional Centre, Hoshiarpur, should be made equivalent.

The Vice-Chancellor stated that he was sorry to say that the day he visited VVBIS & IS, Hoshiarpur, not even a single person was there. But immediately the message was sent to all and when he returned, certain persons met him on the road.

The Vice-Chancellor said that the building of VVRI was in a very dilapidated condition and needed renovation.

Interveningly, Shri Jarnail Singh suggested that rooms of VVRI should be converted into class rooms.

The Vice-Chancellor said that he would talk to the Chairman of the D.A.V. Managing Committee as the building was owned by them.

RESOLVED: That the post of Assistant Professor from Humanities (Public Administration) be converted to that of Assistant Professor in Management.

Appointment of House Allotment Committees

16. Item 16 on the agenda was read out, viz. -

16. To appoint House Allotment Committee I and II for the term 1.4.2012 to 31.3.2014 under Rule I at page 52 of P.U. Calendar, Volume-III, 2009.

RESOLVED: That Professor Pam Rajput and Professor M. Shakeel Khan, Syndics, be appointed on the House Allotment Committee I and II for the term 01.04.2012 to 31.03.2014 and authorized the Vice-Chancellor to approve the other members appointed or to be appointed by him, on behalf of the Syndicate.

Issue regarding payment of TA/DA/Honorarium to the members of various Committees

17. Considered the payment of TA/DA/Honorarium to the members of the various committees/university teachers appointed to enquire about complaints and other college related issues out of the "Revolving Fund of the College Development Council" in order to settle the Audit objection. Information contained in the office note (**Appendix-XII**) was also taken into consideration.

NOTE: TA/DA to the members of the enquiry committees constituted to enquire/ conduct on-the-spot fact finding the queries to resolve various colleges related conflicts/disputes/complaints between teachers and college management out of the revolving fund of the Dean College Development Council. This requires the approval of the Syndicate after which necessary amendments in the existing rule would be carried out.

Dr. Dinesh Talwar pointed out that, earlier, the Syndicate had enhanced the rates of T.A. from Rs.8/- pkm to Rs.9/- pkm, but the said decision had not been implemented.

The Vice-Chancellor said that since the enhancement of rates of T.A. from Rs.8/- pkm to Rs.9/- pkm had already been approved by the Senate and the Board of Finance, the same could be implemented now. He asked the Finance Development Officer to issue the circular regarding enhancement of rates of T.A. from Rs.8/- pkm to Rs.9/- pkm.

RESOLVED: That, in order to settle the Audit objection, TA/DA/Honorarium to the members of the various committees/university teachers appointed to enquire about complaints and other colleges related issues be paid out of the "Revolving Fund of the College Development Council" and relevant rules be amended accordingly.

Recommendations of the Committee dated 29.11.2011 regarding increase in cash awards

18. Considered the recommendations of the Committee dated 29.11.2011 (**Appendix-XIII**) constituted by the Vice-Chancellor to consider the recommendations of the Executive Committee of Directorate of Sports dated 05.10.2011 (**Appendix-XIII**), and

RESOLVED: That –

1. the amount of cash prize to be given to outstanding sportspersons of Panjab University, who brought laurels to the University by their performances at the International level competitions, be enhanced, as per **Appendix-XIII**, w.e.f. the session 2011-2012.
2. cash incentives be also given to the Coaches and Managers @ Rs.10,000/-, Rs.7,000/- and Rs.5,000/-, whose teams would bring 1st, 2nd and 3rd position respectively, in the All India Inter-University Tournaments, with the following conditions:
 - (i) that the cash award be given to the Manager only if he/she is a teacher of a College affiliated to Panjab University;
 - (ii) that the sports fee should be enhanced to meet the enhanced expenditure.

Review of liabilities to be borne by the University for Constituent Colleges

19. Item 19 on the agenda was read out, viz. –

19. To review the liabilities to be borne by the University for Budgetary Provision of 9 posts of Assistant Professors if sanctioned for each Constituent College for the academic session 2012-2013.

NOTE: 1. Four constituent Colleges of the University have started from the academic session 2011-2012. The classes are to be promoted to their 2nd

year and accordingly the need to recruit more teachers to bear the additional teaching load of new classes has arisen. The Colleges have sent their requirements for teaching positions for the academic session 2012-2013. Which have been summarized (subject/Colleges wise) as per **Appendix-XIV**.

2. The total liability shall go up Rs.8,17,04,000/- against the grant-in-aid of Rs.6 crore to be provided by the Punjab Government. (This includes 9 posts of Assistant Professors to each Constituent Colleges).

On a clarification sought by Shri Jarnail Singh, the Vice-Chancellor clarified that the posts of Assistant Professors in the Constituent Colleges are permanent ones.

Continuing, the Vice-Chancellor informed that he had received an SMS from the Punjab Government that all pending bills of all the Universities of Punjab had been cleared and cheques would be delivered soon. The Government has asked the Universities to project their full requirements and the Panjab University had sent a requirement of Rs.33 crore. He added that the Punjab Government had given a sum of Rs.2.5 crore for P.U. Rural Centre, Kauni.

Principal Tejinder Kaur appreciated the Punjab Government for the money being given by them.

Shri Ashok Goyal stated that the total liability for the financial year 2012-2013 shown was Rs.13.00crore and even if the Punjab Government gave Rs.6 crore, where from the remaining deficit of about Rs.7crore would be met. He further said that they had taken the decision, in principle, that the Constituent Colleges would be run as per U.G.C. Scheme and the University would not incur any expenditure. But it should be kept in view that ultimately the Constituent Colleges would be liability of the University.

The Vice-Chancellor proposed that he should be authorized to place this item before the Senate directly and, in the meantime, he would discuss the issue with the Dean, College Development Council.

This was agreed to.

Award of degree of Doctor of Philosophy

20. Considered reports of examiners of certain candidates on the theses, including viva voce reports, for the award of degree of Doctor of Philosophy (Ph.D.).

RESOLVED: That the degree of Doctor of Philosophy be awarded to the following candidates in the Faculty and subject noted against each:

Sr. No.	Name of the candidate	Faculty/ Subject	Title of thesis
1.	Mr. Parvinder Kumar	Education/	“EFFECT OF BRUNER’S CONCEPT

Sr. No.	Name of the candidate	Faculty/ Subject	Title of thesis
	Near SaiMandir, ShardaViharAbohar. Distt. Ferozepur (Pb.) 152116	Education	ATTAINMENT MODEL ON LEARNING AND RETENTION IN PUNJABI IN RELATION TO COGNITIVE STYLES AND INTELLIGENCE”
2.	Lalita Rani H. No. 680, Sector-40/A Chandigarh- 160036	Education/ Education	EFFECT OF CONCEPT MAPPING ON SCIENCE ACHIEVEMENT AMONG IX GRADERS IN RELATION TO TEST ANXIETY AND SELF-EFFICACY
3.	Mr. Kuldeep Singh Village- Haryoli, P.O. Nagla (Jattan) Distt. Ambala- 133102	Language/ Sanskrit	ASVAGHOSAKRTA SAUNDARANANDA EKA BHASAVAIJNANIKA ADHYAYANA
4.	Ms. RituSalaria Room No. 12,W.W.H. No.-7 Panjab University Campus, Sector-14,Chandigarh	Law/ Law	CONCEPT AND SCOPE OF JUDICIAL REVIEW WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO NINTH SCHEDULE OF THE CONSTITUTION
5.	Ms. Preet Arora H.No. 405, Sector-15 Backside GurudwaraDashmesh Nagar Kharar Distt. Mohali-140301	Languages/ Hindi	MRIDULA GARG KE KATHA SAHITAYA MEIN NARI-VIMARSH
6.	Ms. Vandita Kakkar T-11/10, Sector 25 P.U. Campus Panjab University Chandigarh	Pharm. Sciences	1. BIOAVAILABILITY ENHANCEMENT OF CURCUMIN USING SOLID LIPID NANOPARTICLES 2. SCALE-UP FEASIBILITY OF SESAMOL LOADED SOLID LIPID NANOPARTICLES

Agenda Items 21 and 22 being Ratification and Information Items, these be read under Items 31 and 32.

Recommendations of the Committee dated 13.2.2012

23. Considered the following recommendations of the Committee dated 13.2.2012 (**Appendix-**) constituted by the Vice-Chancellor to examine the representation of Dr. B.B. Goyal of University Business School requesting necessary amendment in the orders of P.U. Senate dated 11.6.2009 (Para LII):

That the promotion itself being retrospective, the legal consequences of the promotion would also be retrospective. The promotion under CAS is retrospective in the University as per UGC and the punishment in the instant case cannot continue against Dr.Goyal after 31.12.2001, i.e. the date of his promotion as a Reader.

NOTE: The Senate meeting dated 11.6.2009 (Para LII) has resolved that the decision of the Senate dated 28.3.2009 (Para XXXIII) be modified to read as under:

“That though promotion orders of Dr. B.B. Goyal as Reader be not withdrawn as a measure of concession as he was promoted as Reader by the Syndicate decision dated 15.5.2004 w.e.f. 31.12.2001. He will continue to

draw salary of Rs.12840/-w.e.f. 31.12.2001, i.e. the date of his promotion. The punishment of stoppage of increments with cumulative effect will stand till the said decision of the Syndicate. However, debarring him from undertaking any remunerative work in Panjab University should stand. He will start earning his normal annual increment only after the decision of the Syndicate dated 15.5.2004 whenever it becomes due.”

Shri Gopal Krishan Chatrath said that since the facts and figures of the case had been received by the members only today, the consideration of the item should be deferred.

RESOLVED: That the consideration of Item 23 on the agenda, be deferred.

Academic Calendar for the academic session 2012-2013

24. Considered minutes dated 9.3.2012 (**Appendix-XV**) of the Committee constituted by the Vice-Chancellor to finalize the Academic Calendar to be observed by the Teaching Departments of the University and its affiliated Colleges (Arts, Science, Commerce & Education having Annual/Semester system) for the session 2012-2013.

Dr. Dinesh Talwar suggested that no practical examination should be scheduled for Sundays.

The Vice-Chancellor asked the Controller of Examinations to prepare the date sheet for practical examinations, in future, in such a manner that no practical examination should be fixed on Sundays.

RESOLVED: That the Academic Calendar to be observed by the Teaching Departments of the University and its affiliated Colleges (Arts, Science, Commerce and Education having Annual/Semester System) for the academic session 2012-2013, as per **Appendix-XV**, be approved.

Pay-slabs for House Allotment

25. Considered the recommendation of the Sub-Committee dated 11.1.2011 (**Appendix-XVI**) constituted by the Vice-Chancellor to formulate eligibility pay-slabs for House Allotment as per new pay-scale w.e.f. 1.1.2006 applicable to the employees both UGC scales as well as other than UGC scales duly approved in the joint meeting of House Allotment Committee I and II dated 13.2.2012 (under Item 1).

Shri Ashok Goyal said that whichever House Allotment Committees are constituted, to avoid overlapping, they may be asked to prepare fresh lists of persons on the basis of the slabs prepared by the Committee.

The Vice-Chancellor said that, in order to avoid overlapping, the House Allotment Committees would be requested to prepare the fresh waiting lists on the basis of the slabs prepared by the Committee.

RESOLVED: That the new pay-slabs for House Allotment as per new pay-scale w.e.f. 1.1.2006 applicable to the employees both UGC scales as well as other than UGC scales, be as under:

PAY-SLABS FOR THE ALLOTMENT OF HOUSES W.E.F 1-1-1996 TO 31-12-2005

Type of House	Pay slabs- 1996
TF/NTF	Rs.8000/-and above for University teachers (excluding Ph.D./N.P.A./Accelerated increments)
E, E-I and T-II	Rs.8000-13259 (excluding Ph.D./N.P.A./Accelerated increments)
F and T-I	Rs.13260-14939 (excluding Ph.D./N.P.A./Accelerated increments)
G	Rs.14940 and above (excluding Ph.D./N.P.A./Accelerated increments)

PROPOSED PAY-SLABS FOR THE ALLOTMENT OF HOUSES W.E.F 1-1-2006

Type of House	Pay slabs- 2006
TF/NTF	Rs.15600/-and above [excluding Ph.D. increments and NPA(Non-Practicing Allowance & Accelerated increments)]
E, E-I and T-II	Rs.15600-37399 [excluding Ph.D. increments and NPA(Non-Practicing Allowance & Accelerated increments)]
F and T-I	Rs.37400-41999 [excluding Ph.D. increments and NPA(Non-Practicing Allowance & Accelerated increments)]
G	Rs.42000 and above [excluding Ph.D. increments and NPA(Non-Practicing Allowance & Accelerated increments)]

All employees whose minimum of the pay scale is Rs.2200/-(1986 grades), Rs.8000/- (1996 grades) or Rs.15600/- (2006 grades) will not be eligible for 'D' type category of houses.

1996 from to 31.12.2005: All employees who are in the pay-scale starting a level lower than Rs.8000/- will not be eligible for T-II/E/E-I type of house till they draw the basic pay of Rs.8925/-.

After 1.1.2006: All employees who are in the pay-scale starting a level lower than Rs.15600/- will not be eligible for T-II/E/E-I type of house till they draw the basic pay of Rs.18000/- and the Sr. Assistant Professors in the Dental College who have been fixed at 18,600/- on entry level pay on or after 1.1.2006 will be eligible for 'F' type of house at 40,000/- and for 'G' type of house at Rs.45000/-.

PAY-SLABS FOR THE ALLOTMENT OF HOUSES W.E.F 1-1-1996 TO 31-12-2005

Type of House	Pay slabs- 1996
A/T-IV	2520-3119
B/T-III	3120-4399
C	4400-6199

D	6200-8924
---	-----------

**PROPOSED PAY-SLABS FOR THE ALLOTMENT OF HOUSES W.E.F
1-1-2006**

Type of House	Pay slabs- 2006
A/T-IV	4900-10299
B/T-III	5910-13499
C	13500-14999
D	15000-17999

Post 1996: All employees who are in the pay-scale starting a level lower than Rs.3120/- will not be eligible for B/T-III type, C-type and D-type of house till they draw the basic pay of Rs.4140/-, Rs.5640/- and Rs.7220/- respectively.

Post 2006: All employees who are in the pay-scale starting a level lower than Rs.5910/- will not be eligible for B/T-III type of house till they draw the basic pay of Rs.10,300/-.

Appointment of a Committee to decide objections, if any, against the decision of the Registrar

26. Item 26 on the agenda was read out, viz. –

26. To appoint a Committee comprising of 3 members of the Syndicate nominated by the Syndicate annually for the Calendar year to decide objections if any, against the decision of the Registrar regarding entry in the Register of electors for the Election of Ordinary Fellows-2012 under Regulation 7.4 given at page 63 of P.U. Calendar, Volume 1, 2007 which reads as under:

7.4: Objection, if any, against the decision of the Registrar, if received within the prescribed date, shall be decided by a Committee, comprising 3 members of the Syndicate nominated by the Syndicate annually for the Calendar year.

NOTE: An office note enclosed **(Appendix-XVII).**

Shri Gopal Krishan Chatrath proposed the names of Professor Pam Rajput, Professor M. Shakeel Khan and Principal Janmit Singh for the Committee.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that the Syndicate was required to constitute a Committee comprising of 3 members of the Syndicate annually to decide the objections, if any, received against the decision of the Registrar and not for the election year only.

RESOLVED: That a Committee comprising Professor Pam Rajput, Professor M. Shakeel Khan and Principal Janmit Singh, be constituted for the Calendar year 2012-2013 to decide objections, if any, against the decision of the Registrar regarding entry in the Register of electors for the Election of Ordinary Fellows-2012, under Regulation 7.4 given at page 63 of P.U. Calendar, Volume 1, 2007.

Recommendations of General Body of PUSC dated 1.02.2012

27. Considered the recommendation of the General Body dated 1.2.2012 **(Appendix-XVIII)** under (Item No. 3) of PUSC regarding

enhancement of Sports Development Fee from Rs.50/- to Rs.60/- to meet the budget for awarding cash award to the Coaches and Manager of the winner teams of All Inter-University Competitions.

Principal Tejinder Kaur said that Punjabi University had contributed a sum of Rs.50 lac for giving awards to the sportspersons, the Panjab University should also contribute some money towards giving cash awards to the sportspersons.

RESOLVED: That, to meet the Budget for award of cash award to the Coaches and Managers of winner teams of All Inter-University Competitions as well as enhancement in the price index for the other purchases of Directorate of Sports, the Sports Development Fee be enhanced from Rs.50/- to **Rs.60/-**.

Recommendation of the Committee dated 5.3.2012 regarding submission of thesis after a gap of 15-17 years

28. Considered minutes dated 5.3.2012 (**Appendix-XIX**) of the Committee constituted by the Vice-Chancellor in pursuance of the Syndicate discussion held in the meeting of the Syndicate dated 29.2.2012 to consider the cases for submission of the thesis by certain students after a gap of 15-17 years, in view of the frequent changes and new experiments taken place in almost every field and at the same time, the extension in the submission of the such thesis was providing as a deterrent in research in certain areas.

NOTE: The Syndicate dated 20.12.2011 had extended the date of submission of thesis from 31.12.2011 to **31.5.2012** by all those Ph.D. candidates, who could not submit their Ph.D. thesis due to one reason or the other.

Shri Ashok Goyal stated that certain students/research scholars had left the course in between and migrated to other Universities. Now, some of them wanted to rejoin the said course. He pleaded that if somebody wanted to rejoin the course, he/she should be allowed to do so from where he/she had left as was being done in the case of postgraduate courses. He was supported by Dr. Dinesh Talwar.

The Vice-Chancellor said that the proposal should be given in writing so that the same could be examined.

RESOLVED: That every student submitting his/her thesis after a considerable gap, i.e. exceeding 8 years from the date of enrolment, be asked to update the findings keeping in view the changes and new experiments taken place in the concerned subject. A certificate in this regard be obtained from the candidate and the Supervisor/s. This decision is applicable from the date the facility was given, i.e. from 2011.

Issue regarding change in assignment of Faculties to the Fellows

29. Considered if the request of some of the Fellows (**Appendix-XX**) for change of Faculty/Faculties, as per previous practice be allowed.

NOTE: 1. The legal opinion of Legal Retainer of the University; obtained on the letter dated 20.03.2012 of some of the Fellows, was enclosed (**Appendix-XX**).

2. List of Fellows who had changed their Faculties in the month of March of the election year was enclosed (**Appendix-XX**).

Shri Gopal Krishan Chatrath said that they were concerned with the letter issued by the Registrar to the Fellows requesting them to send their requests for change in the assignment of their Faculties.

Shri Jarnail Singh said that they must try to know under what circumstances the letter had been issued by the Registrar.

Principal Janmit Singh and Professor M. Shakeel Khan said that the letter should be withdrawn.

Shri Gopal Krishan Chatrath proposed that the letter issued by the University requesting the Fellows to send their requests for change in the assignment of Faculties, if they so desire, should be treated as withdrawn and the item not to be considered at all.

When the Vice-Chancellor started asking the other members, 10 out of 12 agreed to the proposal made by Shri Gopal Krishan Chatrath.

The Vice-Chancellor said that he had no objection in keeping this letter in abeyance, but the facts must be told to the members.

Shri Gopal Krishan Chatrath said that since majority of the members were in favour of the above proposal made by him, the item should be treated as closed and no more discussion should be held.

The Vice-Chancellor stated that right from 1996 onwards, the letters had been issued either by the office or on the basis of the decision of the Syndicate. In 2008 some representation regarding change in the assignment of Faculties came, the Syndicate said yes, and, in order to give opportunity to others, it was decided to give time to others to apply and a date for the purpose was fixed. Here also 2-3 members applied to the Registrar and on the basis of that and to facilitate others, Registrar issued a letter so that requests of the Fellows could be placed before the Syndicate for decision. Those who have even signed, included 2-3 members of the present Syndicate, had changed the Faculties in the month of March in the year 1996, 2004, 2006 and 2008.

Shri Gopal Krishan Chatrath said that let the past be buried. Now, the issue is whether it should be accepted or not. He proposed that the letter should be withdrawn and the action would go.

Majority of the members were in favour of the proposal made by Shri Chatrath. The following members raised their hands in support the proposal of Shri Chatrath:

1. Shri Gopal Krishan Chatrath
2. Dr. Gurdeep Kumar Sharma
3. Dr. Janmit Singh
4. Dr. Mukesh Arora
5. Dr. P.S. Gill
6. Professor M.Shakeel Khan
7. Dr. R.S. Jhanji
8. Professor Pam Rajput
9. Dr. Tejinder Kaur Dhaliwal

10. Shri Jarnail Singh

The following members raised hands against the withdrawal of letter:

1. Mr. Ashok Goyal
2. Dr. Dinesh Talwar

The motion was carried by 10 against 2 and the letter stood withdrawn.

The Vice-Chancellor said that his views are that everybody should get some chance.

Dr. P.S. Gill enquired why the applications were rejected in 2008.

Principal Janmit Singh said that once the opportunity was given to all after two years that put them off.

Shri Gopal Krishan Chatrath said that practice did not make law. The Fellows could request for change in their assignment of Faculties, but it was for the Syndicate to accept or reject their requests and we will not consider the item at all and will not allow to take it up.

Now, the 10 members of the Syndicate had rejected the requests of Fellows for change in the assignment of Faculties. Thus, the letter is withdrawn and no action is needed.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that as per the majority view, the letter is withdrawn, but he wanted to speak.

Shri Gopal Krishan Chatrath said that can any discussion be held after the item is withdrawn.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that he wanted to record his observation in the way the item is withdrawn. He stated that in March, 1996, 21 members changed their Faculties in one of the present members of the Syndicate (Professor Pam Rajput) is there. Then in 2000 the present member Shri Jarnail Singh changed his Faculties.

Shri Jarnail Singh intervened to say that at that time, he applied for change of Faculties and the Syndicate approved that. Now, the persons had applied, but the Syndicate did not approve it.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that that was the way he had asked whether the requests of the persons had been rejected or the letter is withdrawn. The members had said that the letter is withdrawn and no action is needed. Now, they are saying that it is considered and rejected.

Shri Gopal Krishan Chatrath said that the proposal is that the requests of the Fellows for change in the assignment of Faculties should be rejected.

Shri Ashok Goyal stated that, in fact the allegation was that the Vice-Chancellor in connivance with one of the groups of the members of the Senate is politicizing the issue. Whereas he had never gone to the public and the community at large.

Shri Gopal Krishan Chatrath said that the letter stands withdrawn and even if otherwise the decision is to be taken by the majority and the majority was in favour of rejection of the requests for change in the assignment of Faculties.

The Vice-Chancellor said that issue had two dimensions. Though the letter had been treated as withdrawn by the Syndicate, the Fellows who had not changed their assignment of Faculty after a period of two years, could apply now. He further said that in the year 2008 when requests from certain Fellows came for change in their assignment of Faculty, the Syndicate had decided that their requests should be kept in abeyance and gave a chance to others to apply, if they wanted.

Shri Gopal Krishan Chatrath said that they are rejecting the requests of the Fellows for change in the assignment of Faculties because of the simple reason that all the Fellows had already been given an opportunity to change their Faculties. Moreover, they had also availed of their right to vote in the Election of Syndicate for the year 2012 and Deans as well, they had no moral right to change assignment of Faculty at this stage.

In support of the proposal of Shri Chatrath for rejection of requests of the Fellows for change in the assignment of Faculties, 10 members as mentioned below raised their hands:

1. Shri Gopal Krishan Chatrath
2. Dr. Gurdeep Kumar Sharma
3. Dr. Janmit Singh
4. Dr. Mukesh Arora
5. Dr. P.S. Gill
6. Professor M.Shakeel Khan
7. Dr. R.S. Jhanji
8. Professor Pam Rajput
9. Dr. Tejinder Kaur Dhaliwal
10. Shri Jarnail Singh

The following members raised their hands against the proposal for the rejection of requests of Fellows for change of Faculties:

1. Shri Ahsok Goyal
2. Dr. Dinesh Talwar

The motion was carried by 10 against 2 and the requests for change of Faculties were rejected.

Shri Ashok Goyal stated that on 23rd March 2008, when neither the Syndicate had taken any decision nor the office had issue any letter, 5 members of the Senate had requested for change in the assignment of their Faculties. Their requests were placed before the Syndicate, when he said that no change in the assignment of Faculties should be allowed at this stage as the election for the Senate was schedule for September 2008 and no meeting of the Faculties was to be held till December, but contrary to this on the proposal of Principal Hardiljit Singh Gosal, the Syndicate decided to keep the requests of the Fellows in abeyance and gave an opportunity to other Fellows to make requests, if they wanted, up to 27th March 2008. Ultimately, they were allowed assignment in the Faculties as a one-time exception by the Syndicate, but a rider was also imposed that no

application for change in the assignment of Faculties would be allowed beyond 27th March 2008. Hence, the demand made by Principal Hardiljit Singh Gosal was accepted by the Syndicate unanimously. It had been reasoned that those five Fellows were wise enough who knew the precedent, but what was the fault of those, who did not know the practice. In the month of March of the election years, several Fellows, including Shri Rajinder Bhandari, Shri Jarnail Singh, Dr. Rabinder Nath Sharma, Shri Pawan Kumar Bansal, had been allowed change in the assignment of Faculties by the Syndicate. The rejection is nothing else, except that politics is being played, that too, under the chairman of the Vice-Chancellor. The Syndicate was being allowed to play politics, which is not good for the health of the University. In fact, the Calendar says that Fellows could request for change in the assignment of their Faculty once in four years, but not before the expiry of two years. He wondered those who had gone for rejection, had already availed of this facility! What was right from 1996 to 2008, had become illegal overnight? Majority did not mean that they had power to take illegal decisions and democracy did not mean that they could go beyond the law of the land. Tomorrow, they could say that majority of the members of the Syndicate decided to sell the buildings of the University to a Contractor, could it be done? Had the letter not been issued by the University, the others could not have been given an opportunity to request for change in the assignment of their Faculties. The letter had been issued by the University only to avoid recurrence of confusion.

Principal Janmit Singh and Dr. Jarnail Singh independently said that if any illegality had been committed earlier, that did not mean that they should continue to commit the same illegality; rather they should check the same. Dr. Shakeel Khan also gave his nod to this.

Shri Ashok Goyal stated that what he had said in 2008 was subdued by those who are rejecting the requests now. Was the letter written by the University in 2010 based on Syndicate decision, according to which 30 Fellows were allowed change in the assignment of their Faculties? In fact, the Office had facilitated the Senators to enable them to request for change in the assignment of their Faculties. As had been written in the letter of March 2012, after 22nd March 2012 nobody would be allowed change in the assignment of Faculties. In nutshell, he said that like in the past 20 years, certain Fellows wanted change in the assignment of their Faculties.

Shri Gopal Krishan Chatrath said that the majority of the members were of the view that after having availed of the chance to make change in the assignment of Faculties after a period of two years, it would be illogical and unethical to do so on the ground that he/she had not availed of the chance given after the expiry of two years.

Since majority of the members (10 out of 12 members) were in favour of the proposal made by Shri Gopal Krishan Chatrath, the same was accepted by the Syndicate.

The Vice-Chancellor said that he dissociates himself from the above decision of the Syndicate as it would cast aspersions on the Vice-Chancellors right from 1996 onward and he would not tolerate and party to such a decision.

Shri Ashok Goyal recorded his dissent with the observation that the Syndicate based on the majority is entering into an illegal act, which had never ever had been heard in the history of the University for the last 20 years from when he was a member of the Senate. The letter issued by the University had been treated as withdrawn by majority order without the consent of the Chairman of the Syndicate, i.e. the Vice-Chancellor. Hence, he strongly registered his dissent.

Dr. Dinesh Talwar also recorded his dissent with the observation as made by Shri Ashok Goyal with the addition the way the meeting of the Syndicate was being hijacked was not good.

With these remarks both Shri Ashok Goyal and Dr. Dinesh Talwar staged a walked out.

At this stage, the Vice-Chancellor stated that they had made certain promotions under the CAS, but due to confusion created by certain quarters, including PUTA that no appointment/promotion should be made by the outgoing Vice-Chancellor, he was in doldrums whether the promotions recommended by the Screening-cum-Evaluation Committees should be placed before the Syndicate or not.

The members observed that the Syndicate in its last meeting had already requested the Vice-Chancellor to continue with the process of appointments and promotions.

The Vice-Chancellor apprised the members about a promotion case in which the candidate concerned had not guided any Ph.D. candidate. PUTA representatives had also come to him and requested that the case of such a candidate should not be processed, whereas the other cases should be placed before the Syndicate. It is up to the Syndicate to decide.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that if the Selection Committee had not recommended the promotion, he would not be party to the decision which the Syndicate might take.

The Vice-Chancellor said that the case had been recommended by the Selection Committee.

Some of the members enquired whether there was a condition that if a person did not produce any Ph.D., he/she should not be promoted.

The Vice-Chancellor said that to his knowledge there was no such condition in particular about the Pharmacy discipline.

The members observed that if there was no such condition, the promotion case of the candidate should be approved and the same be followed in future till some other guidelines came from the UGC.

Promotion from Associate Professor stage-4 to Professor stage-5, under the CAS

30(i). Considered minutes of the Selection Committee dated 02.03.2012 (**Appendix-XXI**) for promotion from Associate Professor stage-4 to Professor stage-5, under the Career Advancement Scheme at University Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Panjab University, Chandigarh.

RESOLVED: That the following persons be promoted from Associate Professor stage-4 to Professor stage-5 at University Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Panjab University, Chandigarh, under the UGC Career Advancement Scheme (subject to fulfillment of UGC conditions), w.e.f. the date mentioned against each, in the pay-scale of Rs.37400-67000 + AGP Rs.10,000/- at a starting pay to be fixed under the rules of Panjab University; the posts would be personal to the incumbents and they would perform the duties as assigned to them:

- | | | | |
|----|---------------------------|---|-------------------|
| 1. | Dr. Shishu | : | 21.11.2011 |
| 2. | Dr. Anil Kumar | : | 24.11.2011 |
| 3. | Dr. Ranju Bansal | : | 27.12.2011 |
| 4. | Dr. (Mrs.) Poonam Piplani | : | 01.01.2012 |
| 5. | Dr. Anupam Sharma | : | 01.02.2010 |

NOTE: The complete bio-data of the candidates would form a part of the proceedings.

Promotion from Associate Professor stage-4 to Professor stage-5, under the CAS

30(ii). Considered minutes of the Selection Committee dated 02.03.2012 (**Appendix-XXII**) for promotion from Associate Professor stage-4 to Professor stage-5, under the Career Advancement Scheme, in the Department of Biochemistry, Panjab University, Chandigarh.

RESOLVED : Dr. Archana Bhatnagar be promoted from Associate Professor stage-4 to Professor stage-5 in the Department of Biochemistry, Panjab University, Chandigarh, under the UGC Career Advancement Scheme (subject to fulfillment of UGC conditions), w.e.f. the **03.11.2011**, in the pay-scale of Rs.37400-67000 + AGP Rs.10,000/- at a starting pay to be fixed under the rules of Panjab University. The post would be personal to the incumbent and she would perform the duties as assigned to her.

NOTE: The complete bio-data of the candidate would form a part of the proceedings.

Promotion from Associate Professor stage-4 to Professor stage-5, under the CAS

30(iii). Considered minutes of the Selection Committee dated 02.03.2012 (**Appendix-XXIII**) for promotion from Associate Professor stage-4 to Professor stage-5, under the Career Advancement Scheme, in the Department of Public Administration, Panjab University, Chandigarh.

RESOLVED: Dr. Charanjeev Singh be promoted from Associate Professor stage-4 to Professor stage-5 in the Department of Public Administration, Panjab University, Chandigarh, under the UGC Career Advancement Scheme (subject to fulfillment of UGC conditions), w.e.f. the **03.11.2011**, in the pay-scale of Rs.37400-67000 + AGP Rs.10,000/- at a starting pay to be fixed under the rules of Panjab University. The post would be personal to the incumbent and he would perform the duties as assigned to him.

NOTE: The complete bio-data of the candidate would form a part of the proceedings.

Promotion from Associate Professor stage-4 to Professor stage-5, under the CAS

30(iv). Considered minutes of the Selection Committee dated 04.03.2012 (**Appendix-XXIV**) for promotion from Associate Professor stage-4 to Professor stage-5, under the Career Advancement Scheme

at University Institute of Chemical Engineering & Technology, Panjab University, Chandigarh.

RESOLVED: Dr. Anupama Sharma Nee Kaushik be promoted from Associate Professor stage-4 to Professor stage-5 at University Institute of Chemical Engineering & Technology, Panjab University, Chandigarh, under the UGC Career Advancement Scheme (subject to fulfillment of UGC conditions), w.e.f. the **01.07.2011**, in the pay-scale of Rs.37400-67000 + AGP Rs.10,000/- at a starting pay to be fixed under the rules of Panjab University. The post would be personal to the incumbent and she would perform the duties as assigned to her.

NOTE: The complete bio-data of the candidate would form a part of the proceedings.

Agenda Items 21 and 22 being Ratification and Information Items, these be read under Items 31 and 32.

Routine and formal matters

31. The information contained in Items **R-(i) to R-(v)** on the agenda was read out and ratified, i.e. –

(i) The Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation of approval of the Syndicate, has appointed Shri Kuldip Kumar Kalia, (A.R., Retd. on 29.9.2012), w.e.f. 2.3.2012 to 31.7.2012 on contractual basis as O.S.D. in the office of the Vice-Chancellor @ half of the salary last paid (excluding HRA, CCA and other special allowances) rounded off to nearest lower 100 out of the Budget Head “General Administration-Sub Head-Hiring Services/ Outsourcing Contractual/ Casual or Seasonal Worker” under Regulation 18 at page 134 of P.U. Cal. Volume-I, 2007.

(ii) The Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation of approval of the Syndicate, has extended the contractual appointment of Shri S.N. Sharma, (Superintendent Retd.) for another 6 months in the Publication Bureau w.e.f. 18.2.2012 after giving him one day break on 17.2.2012 @ half of the salary last paid (excluding HRA, CCA and other special allowances) rounded off to nearest lower 100 out of the Budget Head “General Administration-Sub Head-Hiring Services/Outsourcing Contractual/ Casual or Seasonal Worker”, under Regulation 18 at page 134 of P.U. Cal. Vol.-I, 2007.

(iii) The Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation of approval of the Syndicate, has approved the panel of Legal Retainer/Advocates to be engaged for University Court cases for the period from 01.01.2012 to 31.12.2012.

NOTE: Panel of Legal Retainer/Advocates for the period 01.01.2012 to 31.12.2012 enclosed **(Appendix-XXV)**.

(iv) The Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation of approval of the Syndicate/Senate, has extended the contractual term of appointment of Dr. (Mrs.) MadhuTuli, Part Time Medical Specialist, BGJ Institute of Health, P.U., for a further period of six months w.e.f. 3.1.2012 to 2.7.2012 with one day break on 2.1.2012 (1.1.2012 being Sunday), on the previous terms and conditions.

- (v) The Vice-Chancellor, subject to and in anticipation of approval of the Syndicate/Senate, and grant of NOC from Punjab Government, has granted temporary extension of affiliation to National College for Girls, V.P.O. Chowarian Wali, Fazilka, District Ferozepur, in the courses/subjects mentioned below, as per Inspection Report (**Appendix-XXVI**) with the condition that the College will observe the instructions/guidelines of the Panjab University/Punjab Government and subject to the condition that the College will pay salary to the NET qualified teachers as per UGC/PU norms and Rs.25,800/- p.m. to those where UGC NET qualified teachers are not available:

Courses/Subject applied for	Session
(i) B.A.III Economics, Mathematics, History, Political Science, Sociology and Hindi (ii) B.C.A.-II (one unit) and (iii) B.A.-II(Computer Application)	2011-2012
<p>NOTE: 1. The College will appoint three regular faculty members in English, one more faculty member in Punjabi and one regular faculty member in the subject of Physical Education as recommended by the Inspection Committee in its report dated 11.5.2011 and send the authentic proof i.e. proceedings of the Selection Committee, appointment letters and joining report of five faculty members as per UGC/PU norms on regular basis during the current session i.e. 2011-12.</p> <p>2. The case for grant of temporary extension of affiliation for B.A.-III English (C & E), Punjabi (C & E) and Physical Education will be consider only if the College appoints the regular teachers in these subject as per PU/UGC norms.</p> <p>3. In future the College shall not appoint teachers on contractual basis, without getting the panel of expert approved from the University.</p> <p>4. The College is advised not to make admission in the subject of B.A.I-English (C&E), Punjabi (C&E) and Physical Education from the next academic session i.e. 2012-13, if the College does not appoint the teachers in these</p>	

subject on regular basis as per
UGC/PU norms.

Routine and formal matters

32. The following information contained in Items **I-(i)** to **I-(iv)** on the agenda was read out and noted, i.e. –

(i) Officiating Secretary, Bar Council of India, 21, Rouse Avenue Institutional Area, New Delhi-110002 vide their letter dated 14.2.2012 (**Appendix-XXVII**) have granted approval for extension of affiliation for 3-Year Law and 5-Year Law courses offered at Swami Sarvanand Giri Panjab University Regional Centre, Hoshiarpur (Department of Law), Punjab, for the academic year 2011-2012.

(ii) The Vice-Chancellor has nominated following two University Readers, i.e. one from the Science Faculty and one from the other Faculties, on the Academic Council for the term 1.2.2012 to 31.1.2014, under Regulation 1.1(m) at page 42 of P.U. Calendar, Volume I, 2007:

1. Dr.(Mrs.) Archana R. Singh
School of Communication Studies
P.U., Chandigarh
2. Dr.(Mrs.) Maninder Karan
University Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences
P.U., Chandigarh

NOTE: Regulation 1.1(m) *ibid* provides that two University Readers are to be nominated by the Syndicate on the Academic Council. These members shall hold office for two years beginning from February 1.

(iii) The Vice-Chancellor, has nominated following two University Lecturers, on the Academic Council for the term 1.2.2012 to 31.1.2014, under Regulation 1.1(m) at page 42 of P.U. Calendar, Volume I, 2007:

1. Dr. Yogesh Rawal
Department of Zoology
P.U., Chandigarh
2. Dr.(Ms.) Arti Puri
Panjab University Regional Centre
Ludhiana (Law)

NOTE: Regulation 1.1(k) *ibid* provides that two University Lecturers (one from Science Faculty and one from other faculties) shall be nominated by the Syndicate, by rotation, every alternate year, for two years, term beginning from February 1.

(iv) The Vice-Chancellor, as authorized by the Syndicate (Para 5, dated 31.10.1984), has sanctioned retirement benefits to the following University employees:

Sr. No.	Name of the Employee and post held	Date of Appointment	Date of Retirement	Benefits Sanctioned	
1.	Sh. Shingara Singh Assistant Registrar Re-evaluation	26.07.1972	31.12.2011	Gratuity and Furlough as admissible under the University Regulations with permission to do business or serve elsewhere during the period of Furlough.	
2.	Sh. PremNath Gupta Assistant Registrar R&S Branch	21.11.1972	31.12.2011		
3.	Ms. Usha Sharma Assistant Registrar Chemical Engineering & Technology	16.07.1973	31.12.2011		
4.	Sh. Inder Pal Senior Compositor P.U. Press	02.04.1976	31.03.2012		
5.	Sh. Sher Singh Semi Professional Assistant U.I.L.S	27.01.1975	31.03.2012		
6.	Sh. Bhola Ram Thakur Sr. Assistant Boys Hostel No-2	12.08.1974	31.03.2012		
7.	Smt. Sunita Rani Peon VVBIS & IS, Hoshiarpur	02.12.1992	29.02.2012		Gratuity as admissible under the University Regulations.
8.	Sh. Jai Pal Cleaner cum Chowkidar DUI's Office	20.04.1990	29.02.2012		
9.	Sh. Boota Ram Cleaner Department of Statistics	13.10.1980	31.03.2012		
10.	Sh. Ram Mehar Cleaner Boys Hostel No.4, P.U. Chandigarh	29.07.1970	29.02.2012		

NOTE: The above is being reported to the Syndicate in terms of its decision dated 16.3.1991 (Para 16)

After decisions on the agenda items were taken, the members started general discussion.

(1) Dr. P.S. Gill stated that 50% concession in tuition fee should be given to the wards of retired College teachers, who are studying in self-financing courses as was being done in the case of wards of University employees and the College teachers.

The Vice-Chancellor said that there was no such rule/regulation under which 50% concession in tuition fee of self-financing courses could be given to the wards of retired College teachers.

- (2) On a query made by Principal Tejinder Kaur, the Vice-Chancellor stated that the recommendations of the Committee regarding appointment/promotion of Dr. Daizy Zarabi and Dr. Ashwani Kumar Sharma had come. He should be authorized to process their cases and place the same before the Senate directly.

This was agreed to.

Professor Pam Rajput said that the above-said Committee had also recommended that the cases similar to Dr. Daizy Zarabi and others should also be dealt with accordingly. She suggested that since the case of Ms. Ameer Sultana was also similar, the same should be dealt with accordingly.

- (3) Dr. P.S. Gill pleaded that the approved College teachers and M.Phil. persons, who wanted to do Ph.D., should be exempted from the Entrance Test for enrolment towards Ph.D.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that as per U.G.C. Regulations 2009, none could be exempted from Entrance Test, except those who had qualified NET.

- (4) Referring to recording of minutes of the last meeting of the Syndicate, Shri Ashok Goyal said that his viewpoints had been recorded other than what he had actually.

Shri Gopal Krishan Chatrath said that the member should point out the discrepancy, if any, in the recording, in writing.

The Vice-Chancellor said that the members should send, in writing the discrepancy, if any, in the recording of the minutes so that correct version could be incorporated in the final minutes.

- (5) Shri Ashok Goyal stated that, in the previous meeting of the Syndicate, on a point raised by Shri Jarnail Singh, it was decided to relieve the two persons, who were appointed Lecturer in one of the Constituent Colleges, on 31st March 2012. He stated that if their appointment had been made by the Vice-Chancellor under Regulation 5 at page 111 of P.U. Calendar, Volume I, 2007, which empowers the Vice-chancellor to make emergent temporary appointment for a period not exceeding one year, they could not be relieved before the completion of their term of appointment. In fact, Shri Jarnail Singh had pointed out that since the posts were not widely publicized, the persons concerned should be relieved on 31st March 2012. But since the persons had appointed for one year and orders to this effect had been issued to them, they could not be relieved before the completion of their term of appointment or till the posts are filled in on regular basis.

Shri Jarnail Singh said that one of the candidates had not specifically mentioned the experience of teaching at the postgraduate level. Moreover, the certificate of passing Master's Degree was not available with the candidate.

The Vice-Chancellor said that a Committee comprising Shri Ashok Goyal, Shri Jarnail Singh, Dr. Dinesh Talwar and Dr. P.S. Gill should be constituted to examine the whole issue and make recommendations.

This was agreed to.

- (6) Dr. P.S. Gill pleaded that a special chance should be given in September 2012 to all those candidates, who had been placed under the compartment or got reappear.

The Vice-Chancellor said that he could not decide any benefit, which was to be given after his tenure.

- (7) Dr. Janmit Singh said that the Board had given special chance to the students who were placed under compartment. The students who had clear their compartments later through the special chances had been made ineligible by the University for admission to higher class in the University. Moreover, as and when the Panjab University had given such a chance to its students, the degrees awarded to the students were recognized by other Universities, including Universities of abroad. He, therefore, pleaded that the students, who had cleared their compartment late by availing special chance given by their Boards, should be allowed admission in the University.

The Vice-Chancellor said that all such students would be made eligible.

A.K. Bhandari
Registrar

Confirmed

R.C. Sobti
VICE-CHANCELLOR