

PANJAB UNIVERSITY, CHANDIGARH

Minutes of the meeting of the SYNDICATE held on Saturday, 27th July 2013 at 10.30 a.m., in the Syndicate Room, Panjab University, Chandigarh.

PRESENT

1. Professor A.K. Grover Vice-Chancellor ... (in the Chair)
2. Shri Ashok Goyal
3. Dr. Dinesh Talwar
4. Shri Harpreet Singh Dua
5. Dr. I.S. Sandhu
6. Dr. Jagwant Singh
7. Professor Keshav Malhotra
8. Dr. Nandita Singh
9. Dr. R.P.S. Josh
10. Principal R.S. Jhanji
11. Shri Satish Kumar
12. Dr. Tarlok Bandhu
13. Smt. Gurpreet Kaur Sapra
Director Higher Education
U.T. Chandigarh
14. Shri Tarsem Dhariwal
Director Higher Education, Punjab
15. Professor A.K. Bhandari Registrar ... (Secretary)

Dr. Dalbir Singh Dhillon, Professor Naval Kishore, Shri Satya Pal Jain and Professor Shelley Walia, could not attend the meeting.

Vice-Chancellor's Statement

1. The Vice-Chancellor said,

"1. The Vice-President's Secretariat, New Delhi, has informed that Hon'ble Dr. M. Hamid Ansari, the Vice-President of India and Chancellor of Panjab University, Chandigarh, had earlier consented to visit Panjab University on October 24, 2013, to inaugurate the International Seminar arranged as part of ongoing year long celebrations of the 150th Birth Anniversary of Professor Ruchi Ram Sahni.

Now, the Director, Vice-President's Secretariat, New Delhi, vide his letter of July 18, 2013, has informed that due to unforeseen circumstances, Hon'ble Chancellor is unable to attend the function on October 24 and advised to go ahead with the function as scheduled. The Hon'ble Vice-President conveys his best wishes for the success of the function.

We had also been informed that the Hon'ble Chancellor has, in principle, agreed to come to the University Convocation in February/ March 2014.

2. I feel immense pleasure in informing the Hon'ble Members of the Syndicate that –
- (i) Hon'ble Shri Kapil Sibal, Union Minister of Communications & IT and Law & Justice, has given his acceptance for release of Commemorative Postage Stamp on Professor Ruchi Ram Sahni on Thursday, October 24, 2013.
 - (ii) Shri Ashok Thakur, Secretary (Higher Education), MHRD, Department of Higher Education, Government of India, New Delhi, who is also an alumnus of this University, has very kindly consented to deliver the A.C. Joshi Memorial Lecture on August 16, 2013 at Panjab University. The time and title of the lecture will be communicated later on.
 - (iii) 79th Annual Meeting of the Indian Academy of Sciences (IASc.), Bangalore, will be hosted by the Panjab University, Chandigarh, from November 8-10, 2013. This Annual Meeting of Indian Academy of Sciences (IASc.) would form a part of the commemorations to honour Professor Ruchi Ram Sahni, one of the Founder Fellows of IASc. in 1934, and remember the contributions of all the distinguished Scientists from Punjab. This year's meeting also commemorates 125th birth anniversary of the founder of Indian Academy of Sciences (IASc.), the Nobel Laureate, Professor C.V. Raman (b. November 7, 1888).
 - (iv) During a meeting of the PURSE Coordinators, convened by the Secretary, DST, on July 4, 2013, Panjab University has been assured of PURSE grant of Rs.34.8 crores during the Phase-II. The duration of support in PURSE Phase-II shall be 4 years, however, the capital expenditure under this grant will be required to be made within first 3 years of project implementation. All the 14 Universities selected to receive the PURSE grant, are required to submit their detailed plans of action by September 30, 2013. The first instalment of Rs.10 crores shall be released in the financial year commencing from April 1, 2014.
 - (v) Ms. Sheetu Wadhwa, ICMR Doctoral Research Fellow at the University Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, has been awarded with 'Budding Nanomedicine Scientist Award' for her work on Development of Safe, Effective and Stable Delivery System for Retinoids using Biocompatible Nano-systems" by the Select Biosciences India on Nanomedicine in New Delhi.
 - (vi) Dr. Nishima, Assistant Professor (DST INSPIRE faculty) at Centre for Nanoscience and Nanotechnology, has been selected for the Professor UC Pant Memorial Award, 2012 by the Indian Chemical Society. She will deliver the Endowment Lecture at the 50th Annual Convention of Chemists to be held at Panjab University in the month of December 2013.

- (vii) Mr. Sarwar Beg, a UGC Research Fellow at the University Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, has been awarded with the 'Budding ADMET Scientist Award' for his research work on "Pharmacokinetic Evaluation and IVIVC Establishment on Optimized Mucoadhesive system of Rivastigmine" at ADME & Toxicology-2013-an International conference held at Delhi. ADME-Absorption, Distribution, Metabolism, Excretion (ADMET) – is a branch of medicine which deals with bio-distribution of a drug in human beings or animals and its possible toxic effects. Rivastigmine is a drug recommended for Alzheimer's disease.
- (viii) Ms. Chitleen K. Sethi, an alumnus of this University and former student of the Department of History has won the prestigious Ramnath Goenka Award for Investigative Journalism. The Award was presented to her at New Delhi by the Chief Justice of India.
- (ix) Shri Naresh Gaur, Fellow, Panjab University has been appointed a member of Board of Directors of State Bank of Patiala."

RESOLVED: That –

- (1) Felicitations of the Syndicate be conveyed to –
- (i) Ms. Sheetu Wadhwa, ICMR Doctoral Research Fellow at the University Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, on her having been awarded with 'Budding Nanomedicine Scientist Award' for her work on "Development of Safe, Effective and Stable Delivery System for Retinoids using Biocompatible Nano-systems" by the Select Biosciences India on Nanomedicine in New Delhi.
 - (ii) Dr. Nishima, Assistant Professor (DST INSPIRE faculty) at Centre for Nanoscience and Nanotechnology, on her selection for Professor UC Pant Memorial Award, 2012 by the Indian Chemical Society.
 - (iii) Mr. Sarwar Beg, a UGC Research Fellow at the University Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, on his having been awarded with the 'Budding ADMET Scientist Award' for his research work on "Pharmacokinetic Evaluation and IVIVC Establishment on Optimized Mucoadhesive system of Rivastigmine" at ADME & Toxicology-2013-an International conference held at Delhi.
 - (iv) Ms. Chitleen K. Sethi, an alumnus of this University and former student of the Department of History, on winning

prestigious Ramnath Goenka Award for Investigative Journalism.

- (v) Shri Naresh Gaur, Fellow, Panjab University, on his appointment as a member of Board of Directors of State Bank of Patiala.
- (2) the information contained in Vice-Chancellor's Statement at Sr. Nos. (1), (2- (i)), to (2-(iii)), be noted;
- (3) the information contained in Vice-Chancellor's Statement at Sr. No. (2-(iv)), be noted and approved.

Promotion from Associate Professor Stage-4 to Professor Stage-5, under the Career Advancement Scheme, in the Department of Mathematics

2(i). Considered minutes dated 04.06.2013 (**Appendix-I**) of the Selection Committee for promotion from Associate Professor (Stage-4) to Professor (Stage-5), under the Career Advancement Scheme, in the Department of Mathematics.

Dr. Dinesh Talwar suggested that the persons being promoted, under the U.G.C. Career Advancement Scheme, should be promoted from their date of eligibility.

Professor Keshav Malhotra suggested that, in future, the date of eligibility/promotion should be mentioned in agenda item so that the members could know about the actual date of promotion of the teachers.

RESOLVED: That Dr. Vanita Verma be promoted from Associate Professor (Stage-4) to Professor (Stage-5) in the Department of Mathematics, Panjab University, Chandigarh, under the U.G.C. Career Advancement Scheme (subject to fulfilment of U.G.C. conditions) with effect from **18.02.2012 (i.e. one day after completion of API Score, i.e., 17.02.2012)**, in the pay-scale of Rs.37400-67000 + AGP Rs.10000/- at a starting pay to be fixed under the rules of Panjab University. The post would be personal to the incumbent and he/she would perform the duties as assigned to her.

NOTE: The complete bio-data of the candidate would form a part of the proceedings.

RESOLVED FURTHER: That, in future, the date of eligibility/promotion, be mentioned in agenda item, so that the members could know from which date the person is being promoted.

Promotion from Associate Professor Stage-4 to Professor Stage-5, under the Career Advancement Scheme, in the Department of Mathematics

2(ii). Considered minutes dated 04.06.2013 (**Appendix-II**) of the Selection Committee for promotion from Associate Professor (Stage-4) to Professor (Stage-5), under the Career Advancement Scheme, in the Department of Mathematics.

RESOLVED: That Dr. Gurmeet Kaur be promoted from Associate Professor (Stage-4) to Professor (Stage-5) in the Department of Mathematics, Panjab University, Chandigarh, under the U.G.C. Career Advancement Scheme (subject to fulfilment of U.G.C.

conditions) with effect from **31.03.2013**, in the pay-scale of Rs.37400-67000 + AGP Rs.10000/- at a starting pay to be fixed under the rules of Panjab University. The post would be personal to the incumbent and she would perform the duties as assigned to her.

NOTE: The complete bio-data of the candidate would form a part of the proceedings.

Promotion from Assistant Professor Stage-3 to Associate Professor Stage-4, under the Career Advancement Scheme, in the Department of Mathematics

2(iii). Considered minutes dated 04.06.2013 (**Appendix-III**) of the Selection Committee for promotion from Assistant Professor (Stage-3) to Associate Professor (Stage-4), under the Career Advancement Scheme, in the Department of Mathematics.

RESOLVED: That Dr. Dinesh Kumar Khurana be promoted from Assistant Professor (Stage-3) to Associate Professor (Stage-4) in the Department of Mathematics, Panjab University, Chandigarh, under the U.G.C. Career Advancement Scheme (subject to fulfilment of U.G.C. conditions) with effect from **23.03.2012**, in the pay-scale of Rs.37400-67000 + AGP Rs.9000/- at a starting pay to be fixed under the rules of Panjab University. The post would be personal to the incumbent and he would perform the duties as assigned to him.

NOTE: The complete bio-data of the candidate would form a part of the proceedings.

Promotion from Associate Professor Stage-4 to Professor Stage-5, under the Career Advancement Scheme, in the Department of Geology

2(iv). Considered minutes dated 05.06.2013 (**Appendix-IV**) of the Selection Committee for promotion from Associate Professor (Stage-4) to Professor (Stage-5), under the Career Advancement Scheme, in the Department of Geology.

RESOLVED: That Dr. Naveen Chaudhri be promoted from Associate Professor (Stage-4) to Professor (Stage-5) in the Department of Geology, Panjab University, Chandigarh, under the U.G.C. Career Advancement Scheme (subject to fulfilment of U.G.C. conditions) with effect from **01.01.2009**, in the pay-scale of Rs.37400-67000 + AGP Rs.10000/- at a starting pay to be fixed under the rules of Panjab University. The post would be personal to the incumbent and he would perform the duties as assigned to him.

NOTE: The complete bio-data of the candidate would form a part of the proceedings.

Promotion from Associate Professor Stage-4 to Professor Stage-5, under the Career Advancement Scheme, in the Department of Microbiology

2(v). Considered minutes dated 05.06.2013 (**Appendix-V**) of the Selection Committee for promotion from Associate Professor (Stage-4) to Professor (Stage-5), under the Career Advancement Scheme, in the Department of Microbiology.

RESOLVED: That Dr. Geeta Shukla be promoted from Associate Professor (Stage-4) to Professor (Stage-5) in the Department of Microbiology, Panjab University, Chandigarh, under the U.G.C. Career Advancement Scheme (subject to fulfilment of U.G.C. conditions) with effect from **29.09.2012**, in the pay-scale of Rs.37400-67000 + AGP Rs.10000/- at a starting pay to be fixed under the rules of Panjab University. The post would be personal to the incumbent and she would perform the duties as assigned to her.

NOTE: The complete bio-data of the candidate would form a part of the proceedings.

Promotion from Associate Professor Stage-4 to Professor Stage-5, under the Career Advancement Scheme, in the Department of Physics

2(vi). Considered minutes dated 05.06.2013 (**Appendix-VI**) of the Selection Committee for promotion from Associate Professor (Stage-4) to Professor (Stage-5), under the Career Advancement Scheme, in the Department of Physics.

RESOLVED: That Dr. Choragudi Nagaraja Kumar be promoted from Associate Professor (Stage-4) to Professor (Stage-5) in the Department of Physics, Panjab University, Chandigarh, under the U.G.C. Career Advancement Scheme (subject to fulfilment of U.G.C. conditions) with effect from **31.10.2011**, in the pay-scale of Rs.37400-67000 + AGP Rs.10000/- at a starting pay to be fixed under the rules of Panjab University. The post would be personal to the incumbent and he would perform the duties as assigned to him.

NOTE: The complete bio-data of the candidate would form a part of the proceedings.

Promotion from Assistant Professor Stage-1 to Assistant Professor Stage-2, under the Career Advancement Scheme, in the Department of Physics

2(vii). Considered minutes dated 05.06.2013 (**Appendix-VII**) of the Screening-cum-Evaluation Committee for promotion from Assistant Professor (Stage-1) to Assistant Professor (Stage-2), under the Career Advancement Scheme, in the Department of Physics.

RESOLVED: That Dr. Samarjit Sihotra be promoted from Assistant Professor (Stage-1) to Assistant Professor (Stage-2) in the Department of Physics, Panjab University, Chandigarh, under the U.G.C. Career Advancement Scheme (subject to fulfilment of U.G.C. conditions) with effect from **27.04.2011 (i.e. the date one day after his completion of Refresher Course, i.e. 26.04.2011)**, in the pay-scale of Rs.15600-39100 + AGP Rs.8000/- at a starting pay to be fixed under the rules of Panjab University. The post would be personal to the incumbent and he would perform the duties as assigned to him.

NOTE: The complete bio-data of the candidate would form a part of the proceedings.

Promotion from Assistant Professor Stage-2 to Assistant Professor Stage-3, under the Career Advancement Scheme, at University Institute of Engineering & Technology

2(viii). Considered minutes dated 05.06.2013 (**Appendix-VIII**) of the Screening-cum-Evaluation Committee for promotion from Assistant Professor (Stage-2) to Assistant Professor (Stage-3), under the Career Advancement Scheme, at University Institute of Engineering & Technology.

RESOLVED: That Dr. Monika Randhawa be promoted from Assistant Professor (Stage-2) to Assistant Professor (Stage-3) at University Institute of Engineering & Technology, Panjab University, Chandigarh, under the U.G.C. Career Advancement Scheme (subject to fulfilment of U.G.C. conditions) with effect from **21.12.2011 (i.e. one day after completion of Refresher Course, i.e. 20.12.2011)**, in the pay-scale of Rs.15600-39100 + AGP Rs.8000/- at a starting pay to be fixed under the rules of Panjab University. The post would be personal to the incumbent and she would perform the duties as assigned to her.

NOTE: The complete bio-data of the candidate would form a part of the proceedings.

Promotion from Associate Professor Stage-4 to Professor Stage-5, under the Career Advancement Scheme, at University Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences

2(ix). Considered minutes dated 16.07.2013 (**Appendix-IX**) of the Selection Committee for promotion from Associate Professor (Stage-4) to Professor (Stage-5), under the Career Advancement Scheme, at University Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences.

RESOLVED: That Dr. Maninder Karan be promoted from Associate Professor (Stage-4) to Professor (Stage-5) at University Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Panjab University, Chandigarh, under the U.G.C. Career Advancement Scheme (subject to fulfilment of U.G.C. conditions) with effect from **01.06.2012**, in the pay-scale of Rs.37400-67000 + AGP Rs.10000/- at a starting pay to be fixed under the rules of Panjab University. The post would be personal to the incumbent and she would perform the duties as assigned to her.

NOTE: The complete bio-data of the candidate would form a part of the proceedings.

Promotion from Deputy Librarian Stage-4 to Librarian Stage-5, under the Career Advancement Scheme, at A.C. Joshi Library

2(x). Considered minutes dated 16.07.2013 (**Appendix-X**) of the Selection Committee for promotion from Deputy Librarian (Stage-4) to Librarian (Stage-5), under the Career Advancement Scheme, at A.C. Joshi Library.

RESOLVED: That Dr. Neelam Sharma, Deputy Librarian (Stage-4), be designated as Deputy Librarian (Stage-5) at A.C. Joshi Library, Panjab University, Chandigarh, under the U.G.C. Career Advancement Scheme (subject to fulfilment of U.G.C. conditions) with effect from **30.09.2012 (i.e. the date on which she completed the API Score)**, in the pay-scale of Rs.37400-67000 + AGP Rs.10000/- at a starting pay to be fixed under the rules of Panjab University. The post would be personal to the incumbent and she would perform the duties as assigned to her.

NOTE: The complete bio-data of the candidate would form a part of the proceedings.

Promotion from Assistant Professor Stage-2 to Assistant Professor Stage-3, under the Career Advancement Scheme, in the Department of Library & Information Science

2(xi). Considered minutes dated 16.07.2013 (**Appendix-XI**) of the Screening-cum-Evaluation Committee for promotion from Assistant Professor (Stage-2) to Assistant Professor (Stage-3), under the Career Advancement Scheme, in the Department of Library & Information Science.

RESOLVED: That Dr. Rupak Chakravarty be promoted from Assistant Professor (Stage-2) to Assistant Professor (Stage-3) in the Department of Library & Information Science, Panjab University, Chandigarh, under the U.G.C. Career Advancement Scheme (subject to fulfilment of U.G.C. conditions) with effect from **28.06.2012**, in the pay-scale of Rs.15600-39100 + AGP Rs.8000/- at a starting pay to be fixed under the rules of Panjab University. The post would be personal to the incumbent and he would perform the duties as assigned to him.

NOTE: The complete bio-data of the candidate would form a part of the proceedings.

Promotion from Associate Professor Stage-4 to Professor Stage-5, under the Career Advancement Scheme, in the Department-cum-Centre for Women Studies & Development

2(xii). Considered minutes dated 16.07.2013 (**Appendix-XII**) of the Selection Committee for promotion from Associate Professor (Stage-4) to Professor (Stage-5), under the Career Advancement Scheme, in the Department-cum-Centre for Women Studies & Development.

RESOLVED: That Dr. Manvinder Kaur be promoted from Associate Professor (Stage-4) to Professor (Stage-5) in the Department-cum-Centre for Women Studies & Development, Panjab University, Chandigarh, under the U.G.C. Career Advancement Scheme (subject to fulfilment of U.G.C. conditions) with effect from **01.07.2011**, in the pay-scale of Rs.37400-67000 + AGP Rs.10000/- at a starting pay to be fixed under the rules of Panjab University. The post would be personal to the incumbent and she would perform the duties as assigned to her.

NOTE: The complete bio-data of the candidate would form a part of the proceedings.

Promotion from Assistant Professor Stage-1 to Assistant Professor Stage-2, under the Career Advancement Scheme, in the Department-cum-Centre for Women Studies & Development

2(xiii). Considered minutes dated 16.07.2013 (**Appendix-XIII**) of the Screening-cum-Evaluation Committee for promotion from Assistant Professor (Stage-1) to Assistant Professor (Stage-2), under the Career Advancement Scheme, in the Department-cum-Centre for Women Studies & Development.

RESOLVED: That Dr. Ameer Sultana be promoted from Assistant Professor (Stage-1) to Assistant Professor (Stage-2) in the Department-cum-Centre for Women Studies & Development, Panjab University, Chandigarh, under the U.G.C. Career Advancement Scheme (subject to fulfilment of U.G.C. conditions) with effect from **22.09.2011 (i.e. one day after completion of Refresher Course)**, in the pay-scale of Rs.15600-39100 + AGP Rs.7000/- at a starting pay to be fixed under the rules of Panjab University. The post would be personal to the incumbent and she would perform the duties as assigned to her.

NOTE: The complete bio-data of the candidate would form a part of the proceedings.

Promotion from Associate Professor Stage-4 to Professor Stage-5, under the Career Advancement Scheme, in the Department of Philosophy

2(xiv). Considered minutes dated 17.07.2013 (**Appendix-XIV**) of the Selection Committee for promotion from Associate Professor (Stage-4) to Professor (Stage-5), under the Career Advancement Scheme, in the Department of Philosophy.

RESOLVED: That Dr. H.P. Sah be promoted from Associate Professor (Stage-4) to Professor (Stage-5) in the Department of Philosophy, Panjab University, Chandigarh, under the U.G.C. Career Advancement Scheme (subject to fulfilment of U.G.C. conditions) with effect from **31.05.2009 (i.e. the date of last publication)**, in the pay-scale of Rs.37400-67000 + AGP Rs.10000/- at a starting pay to be fixed under the rules of Panjab University. The post would be personal to the incumbent and he would perform the duties as assigned to him.

NOTE: The complete bio-data of the candidates would form a part of the proceedings.

Promotion from Assistant Professor Stage-1 to Assistant Professor Stage-2, under the Career Advancement Scheme, at University Institute of Engineering & Technology

2(xv). Considered minutes dated 17.07.2013 (**Appendix-XV**) of the Selection Committee for promotion from Assistant Professor (Stage-1) to Assistant Professor (Stage-2), under the Career Advancement Scheme, at University Institute of Engineering & Technology.

RESOLVED: That the following persons be promoted from Assistant Professor in Mechanical Engineering (Stage-1) to Assistant Professor in Mechanical Engineering (Stage-2) at University Institute of Engineering & Technology, Panjab University, Chandigarh, under the U.G.C. Career Advancement Scheme (subject to fulfilment of U.G.C. conditions) with effect from the date mentioned against each, in the pay-scale of Rs.15600-39100 + AGP Rs.7000/- at a starting pay to be fixed under the rules of Panjab University; the post would be personal to the incumbents and they would perform the duties as assigned to them:

1. Shri Rajesh Kumar : 26.09.2012
2. Shri Surjeet Singh : 20.10.2011.

NOTE: The complete bio-data of the candidates would form a part of the proceedings.

Promotion from Assistant Professor Stage-1 to Assistant Professor Stage-2, under the Career Advancement Scheme, at University Institute of Engineering & Technology

2(xvi). Considered minutes dated 17.07.2013 (**Appendix-XVI**) of the Selection Committee for promotion from Assistant Professor (Stage-1) to Assistant Professor (Stage-2), under the Career Advancement Scheme, at University Institute of Engineering & Technology.

RESOLVED: That Shri Deepak Kumar be promoted from Assistant Professor in Electrical Engineering (Stage-1) to Assistant Professor Electrical Engineering (Stage-2) at University Institute of Engineering & Technology, Panjab University, Chandigarh, under the U.G.C. Career Advancement Scheme (subject to fulfilment of U.G.C. conditions) with effect from **31.01.2012 (i.e. the date after fulfilment of API Score)**, in the pay-scale of Rs.15600-39100 + AGP Rs.7000/- at a starting pay to be fixed under the rules of Panjab University. The post would be personal to the incumbent and he would perform the duties as assigned to him.

NOTE: The complete bio-data of the candidate would form a part of the proceedings.

Promotion from Assistant Professor Stage-1 to Assistant Professor Stage-2, under the Career Advancement Scheme, at Swami Sarvanand Giri Panjab University Regional Centre, Bajwara, Hoshiarpur

2(xvii). Considered minutes dated 17.07.2013 (**Appendix-XVII**) of the Selection Committee for promotion from Assistant Professor (Stage-1) to Assistant Professor (Stage-2), under the Career Advancement Scheme, at Swami Sarvanand Giri Panjab University Regional Centre, Bajwara, Hoshiarpur.

RESOLVED: That Shri Neeraj Sharma be promoted from Assistant Professor in Electronics & Communication Engineering (Stage-1) to Assistant Professor Electronics & Communication Engineering (Stage-2) at Swami Sarvanand Giri Panjab University Regional Centre, Bajwara, Hoshiarpur, under the U.G.C. Career Advancement Scheme (subject to fulfilment of U.G.C. conditions) with effect from **25.12.2010 (i.e. one day after the Orientation Course)**, in the pay-scale of Rs.15600-39100 + AGP Rs.7000/- at a starting pay to be fixed under the rules of Panjab University. The post would be

personal to the incumbent and he would perform the duties as assigned to him.

NOTE: The complete bio-data of the candidate would form a part of the proceedings.

Promotion from Assistant Professor Stage-1 to Assistant Professor Stage-2, under the Career Advancement Scheme, at Swami Sarvanand Giri Panjab University Regional Centre, Bajwara, Hoshiarpur

2(xviii). Considered minutes dated 17.07.2013 (**Appendix-XVIII**) of the Selection Committee for promotion from Assistant Professor (Stage-1) to Assistant Professor (Stage-2), under the Career Advancement Scheme, at Swami Sarvanand Giri Panjab University Regional Centre, Bajwara, Hoshiarpur.

RESOLVED: That Ms. Meenu be promoted from Assistant Professor in Computer Science & Engineering (Stage-1) to Assistant Professor in Computer Science & Engineering (Stage-2) at Swami Sarvanand Giri Panjab University Regional Centre, Bajwara, Hoshiarpur, under the U.G.C. Career Advancement Scheme (subject to fulfilment of U.G.C. conditions) with effect from **08.08.2011 (i.e. the date after fulfilment of A.P.I. Score)**, in the pay-scale of Rs.15600-39100 + AGP Rs.7000/- at a starting pay to be fixed under the rules of Panjab University. The post would be personal to the incumbent and she would perform the duties as assigned to her.

NOTE: The complete bio-data of the candidate would form a part of the proceedings.

RESOLVED FURTHER: That letter of promotion to the persons promoted under Items 2(i) to 2(xviii), be issued in anticipation of approval of the Senate.

Appointment of Assistant Professors in the Department of Public Administration

3 Considered –

(i). minutes dated 19.12.2012 of the Selection Committee for appointment of Assistant Professor in the Department of Public Administration, Panjab University, Chandigarh; and

(ii) minutes dated 20.12.2012 of the Selection Committee for appointment of Assistant Professors (General-1 and SC-1) in the Department of Public Administration, Panjab University, Chandigarh.

Giving the background of the case, the Vice-Chancellor stated that a communication had been received from the U.G.C., arising out of which, in one of the meetings of the Syndicate it was decided that they would not carry any more recruitments. While accepting the verdict of the Syndicate, he had forgotten about these pending selections, interviews for which, had already been scheduled just a couple of days ahead. Hence, he went ahead and carried out the interviews. When the matter came up for consideration in the Syndicate, some members of the Syndicate expressed their resentment that argued that the Vice-Chancellor should not have carried out the interviews. The Vice-Chancellor responded that due to an inadvertent slip on his part for not having recalled that few interviews had been scheduled, those who were selected following a valid procedure should not be deprived of getting the positions, particularly when there is a

shortage of faculty in the University. However, this plea did not find favour with majority of the members present and the item had to be withdrawn, without further consideration of any merit in the procedure/process followed for selection.

Continuing, the Vice-Chancellor stated that during the meeting of the Senate in January 2013, it was decided that all appointments placed before the Senate needed to be looked into by a Committee. Thereafter, a Committee under the Chairmanship of Shri Satya Pal Jain was constituted for the purpose. All the appointments were placed before the Committee. The Committee cleared majority of the cases, while some are still pending due to court cases. Now, a few of those persons, who had appeared in the interviews for the posts in Public Administration, had approached him along with many others with the request that their cases should be decided upon. He felt it proper to place the above items before the Syndicate for consideration once again. The Syndicate may consider this item or the Syndics could form a small Committee to look into the matter in the light of overview of other cases done by Satya Pal Jain Committee.

On a point of information, Dr. Dinesh Talwar enquired why these items have been placed before the Syndicate for consideration. Moreover, these were never referred to Satya Pal Jain Committee.

The Vice-Chancellor said that he had just articulated under what circumstances he had carried out this process. The Selections had been made by objective criteria, which was not different from others.

Shri Ashok Goyal stated that this item had nothing to do with Satya Pal Jain Committee. If they recall, these selections were not in that process. In fact, earlier, the proceedings of the Selection Committees were not sent to the members of the Syndicate as these were given to them in the meeting itself. Ultimately, the issue was discussed in the meeting of the Syndicate and it was observed that since some serious discrepancies were being overlooked, the minutes of the Selection Committees be supplied to the members as and when they are finalized, i.e., along with main agenda, supplementary agenda and the rest on the table. He was happy that the University immediately followed the above-said decision of the Syndicate. These cases (of Public Administration appointments) were not equated with the cases referred to Satya Pal Jain Committee. Moreover, the Satya Pal Jain Committee had also exceeded its authority by recommending ineligible candidates on the basis of the plea that the viva of the candidates had taken place before the last date of application, whereas it is a universal system that only the notification of the result is considered as the basis of qualifying the examination. He, therefore, pleaded that the consideration of the item should be postponed. However, he would clarify as to what is the difference between the withdrawn and deferred item at a right time.

Dr. Dinesh Talwar said that they had needed to seek clarification from the U.G.C. about the criteria which was to be adopted. Therefore, these items should not be equated with the items of appointment referred to Satya Pal Jain Committee.

Shri Ashok Goyal stated that when an item pertaining to approval of template for making appointment in the University and its affiliated Colleges had come to the Syndicate, it was decided that they

would write to the U.G.C. seeking some clarification/s and until a clarification is received from the U.G.C., they would not further go ahead with the selections/appointments. He enquired whether any clarification has been received from the U.G.C. regarding changes in the API *pro forma*? They were already late for advertising the posts. Till now they are on a strong footing and should not do such type of things in a hurry, which might create a situation in which they were earlier. The process of selection could only be started after the issuance of new Notification by the U.G.C.; otherwise, they would again be facing technical difficulties.

The Vice-Chancellor said that the U.G.C. officials had assured him recently that the Notification on revised API norms would be issued and gazette within a week, but the same has not been issued so far.

Dr. Jagwant Singh stated that the Syndicate in its meeting dated 29th June 2013 had approved the template for appointments of Assistant Professors in the University and its affiliated Colleges beyond what they had decided earlier. He did not know what changes had been made in the newly approved template. However, when they were looking into the template under the CAS, they were sure that it would create problems. For example, the sample of experience certificate is completely unprecedented. Wherever the teaching workload is less than the required, the Head of the Department/Institution/College would not give the said experience certificate. In 1984, the criteria was that wherever the workload was more than half, the teachers were treated full-time and wherever it was less than half/equal to half, they were treated part-time. However, in newly template, they had completely departed from the template given by the U.G.C. under Appendix-2-C, which might lead to litigation. He, therefore, pleaded that they need to look into the whole issue.

The Vice-Chancellor said that he would sit with Dr. Jagwant Singh and sort out the issue.

RESOLVED: That the above item be kept pending.

Recommendations of the Committee dated 23.04.2013 regarding promotion of certain teachers

4. Considered minutes dated 23.04.2013 (**Appendix-XIX**) of the Committee constituted by the Vice-Chancellor, to consider letter No. F.9-7/2010 (PS) Misc. dated 11.9.2012 (**Appendix-XIX**) received from Shri B.K. Singh, Deputy Secretary UGC, New Delhi with regard to clarification on certain teachers promoted under UGC Career Advancement Scheme and the committee has further recommended the promotion of the following faculty members as per UGC Regulations 2000:

| Sr. No. | Name of teacher/ Department | Promotion as Professor/Reader/ Placement in Senior Scale under CAS | Date of Promotion |
|---------|--|--|-------------------|
| 1. | Dr. Geeta Khanna Joshi Lecturer Department of Laws | as Reader | 23.4.2009 |
| 2. | Dr. Latika Sharma Lecturer Department of Education | as Reader | 20.6.2009 |

| | | | |
|----|--|------------------------------|------------|
| 3. | Dr. Ranjan Kumar Lecturer Department of Physics | as Reader | 11.05.2009 |
| 4. | Dr. Ashish Virk Lecturer in Laws P.U.R.C. Ludhiana | Placement in Senior Scale | 01.07.2009 |
| 5. | Dr.(Mrs.) Supreet Kaur Lecturer in Education University School of Open Learning | Placement in Senior Scale | 07.09.2009 |
| 6. | Dr. Ram Mehar Lecturer in Education University School of Open Learning | Placement in Senior Scale | 14.10.2009 |
| 7. | Dr. Rajinder Singh Lecturer S.S.G.P.U.R.C., Hoshiarpur | Placement in Senior Scale | 03.09.2009 |

NOTE: The Senate at its meeting held on 31.3.2012 (Para XII) had resolved that letter of promotion to the above faculty members, promoted under Career Advancement Scheme as per UGC Regulations, 2000, be issued after verification of the point raised by the members.

Initiating discussion, Dr. Jagwant Singh stated that promotions of some of these faculty members are prior to the issuance of the revised pay-scales Notification. There are some issues which needed to be addressed. This is still to be decided whether the U.G.C. Regulation *per se* are to be applicable before adoption by the State concerned or after adoption by the State and the provision regarding enhancement of age of retirement of teachers to 65 years is after adoption by the State. This issue had not been decided by the Supreme Court. One of the things which emerged from there needed to be read with some other judgement of the Supreme Court. However, one point is clear that in certain things the State Government has to take decision and incidentally they had adopted the 2nd September 2009 Notification of the Punjab Government. They had neither implemented the U.G.C. pay-scales on the basis of 31st December 2008 Notification of Government of India nor on the basis of U.G.C. Regulations; rather they had adopted the Punjab Government letter dated 2nd September 2009. Incidentally, the same is the case with the U.T. Administration when they adopted the Punjab Government Notification relating to pay-scales. There is a very important paragraph notified by the Punjab Government, which they needed to understand. In the letter written by the Punjab Government, it is categorically stated that MHRD and U.G.C. would decide the issues and these regulations be put in operation only and only when the Punjab Government adopted them. Till then, whatever the appraisal system is in existence will continue and the same thing is said by the U.G.C. Hence, somewhere they are missing that important notification of Punjab Government. The teachers especially of Government Colleges and Aided Colleges of Punjab and Chandigarh are at a loss. In that context, he requested both the Directors, Higher Education, Punjab and Chandigarh, to look into their own notification's paragraph 10, which says that it could not be put into operation as Punjab Government said so and the Chandigarh

Administration has to follow Punjab. Meaning thereby, firstly each and everything is to be adopted by the Punjab Government and the point is to what extent the Punjab Government had adopted the new appraisal system and from what date. As far as the date is concerned, the Punjab Government has very categorically said that till date the old regulations will apply. The Chandigarh Administration after looking into all the papers said that they would apply this new appraisal system from 2010. Whether the Gazette Notification adopted by the U.T. Administration from the Punjab Government says that firstly the Punjab Government would adopt and then the same would be adopted by the U.T. Administration. As is being observed, there is some mismatch between the U.T. Administration and the Punjab Government. In Punjab Government, they had cleared the cases in 2010, 2011 and 2012 under the old regulations. The U.T. Administration had said that they would issue the letters from 2010. It also gives a view that the State Government had to amend their regulations and till these amendments are carried out, old regulations would continue. The U.G.C. pay-scales were given to the teachers only after the Punjab Government adopted the same. As a result, there is some sort of discomfort amongst the teachers. He requested the Vice-Chancellor and the Directors, Higher Education, Punjab and U.T. Chandigarh, to look into the matter.

Dr. R.P.S. Josh endorsed the viewpoints expressed by Dr. Jagwant Singh.

The Vice-Chancellor said that *prima facie* it is not related to the item. It is an arising out matter, which they need to follow up with both the Directors, Higher Education, Punjab and U.T. Chandigarh.

Smt. Gurpreet Kaur Sapra said that the point made by Dr. Jagwant Singh is well taken. Actually, there was some resentment indirectly conveyed to her that the U.T. Administration *per se* could not adopt the 2010 Regulations once these are not adopted by the Punjab Government. For this, she had already sought an appointment with the Principal Secretary, Higher Education, Punjab, and she was hopeful that they would be meeting shortly and would be able to resolve the issue.

RESOLVED: That the promotion of the following faculty members, be made as mentioned against each, as per UGC Regulations 2000:

| Sr. No. | Name of teacher/ Department | Promotion as Professor/Reader/ Placement in Senior Scale under CAS | Date of Promotion |
|----------------|--|---|--------------------------|
| 1. | Dr. Geeta Khanna Joshi Lecturer Department of Laws | as Reader | 23.4.2009 |
| 2. | Dr. Latika Sharma Lecturer Department of Education | as Reader | 20.6.2009 |
| 3. | Dr. Ranjan Kumar Lecturer Department of Physics | as Reader | 11.05.2009 |
| 4. | Dr. Ashish Virk Lecturer in Laws P.U.R.C. Ludhiana | Placement in Senior Scale | 01.07.2009 |

| | | | |
|----|--|------------------------------|------------|
| 5. | Dr.(Mrs.) Supreet Kaur Lecturer in Education University School of Open Learning | Placement in Senior Scale | 07.09.2009 |
| 6. | Dr. Ram Mehar Lecturer in Education University School of Open Learning | Placement in Senior Scale | 14.10.2009 |
| 7. | Dr. Rajinder Singh Lecturer S.S.G.P.U.R.C., Hoshiarpur | Placement in Senior Scale | 03.09.2009 |

NOTE: The Senate at its meeting held on 31.3.2012 (Para XII) had resolved that letter of promotion to the above faculty members, promoted under Career Advancement Scheme as per UGC Regulations, 2000, be issued after verification of the point raised by the members.

**Rectification in Syndicate 5.
decision dated 15.12.2012
(Para 34)**

Item 5 on the agenda was read out, viz. –

5. To rectify the Syndicate decision dated 15.12.2012 (Para 34), in order to change the date of confirmation of the following teachers as mentioned against their names:

| Sr. No. | Name of the faculty members | Designation | Deptt./ Centre | Date of Birth | Date of joining | Existing date of confirmation as approved by the Syndicate /Senate | Proposed date of Confirmation |
|---------|-----------------------------|---------------------|---|---------------|-----------------|--|-------------------------------|
| 1. | Dr. Veena Puri | Assistant Professor | Centre for System Biology & Bio-informatics | 08.02.1968 | 27.10.2011 | 7.10.2012 | 27.10.2012 |
| 2. | Dr. Tammanna R. Sahrawat | -do- | -do- | 09.05.1979 | 24.10.2011 | 24.10.2012 | 28.10.2012 |
| 3. | Ms. Geetanjali Bhagat | -do- | English & Cultural Studies | 14.02.1979 | 27.10.2011 (AN) | 28.10.2012 | 29.10.2012 |

NOTE: An office note enclosed (**Appendix-XX**)

After some discussion, it was –

RESOLVED: That, in order to change the date of confirmation of the following teachers as mentioned against their names, the Syndicate decision dated 15.12.2012 (Para 34), be rectified, as under:

| Sr. No. | Name of the faculty members | Designation | Deptt./ Centre | Date of Birth | Date of joining | Existing date of confirmation as approved by the Syndicate/ Senate | Proposed date of Confirmation |
|---------|-----------------------------|---------------------|--|---------------|-----------------|--|-------------------------------|
| 1. | Dr. Veena Puri | Assistant Professor | Centre for System Biology & Bioinformatics | 08.02.1968 | 27.10.2011 | 7.10.2012 | 23.10.2012 |
| 2. | Dr. Tammanna R. Sahrawat | -do- | -do- | 09.05.1979 | 24.10.2011 | 24.10.2012 | 24.10.2012 |
| 3. | Ms. Geetanjali Bhagat | -do- | English & Cultural Studies | 14.02.1979 | 27.10.2011 (AN) | 28.10.2012 | 27.10.2012 |

Confirmation of Dr. Rakesh Kumar, Physiotherapist, Directorate of Sports 6. Considered the recommendation of the University Director of Physical Education, Directorate of Sports, Panjab University, Chandigarh, that Dr. Rakesh Kumar, Physiotherapist, be confirmed in his post w.e.f. the date as mentioned against his name, as per Regulation (viii) page 128, P.U. Calendar, Volume III, 2009.

| Name | Designation | Date of joining | Proposed date of confirmation |
|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|
| Dr. Rakesh Kumar | Physiotherapist | 4.4.2012 (F.N.) | 4.4.2013 |

NOTE: Rule (viii) page 128, P.U. Calendar, Volume III, 2009 reads as under:

“The member of the University staff will be confirmed from the date of successful completion of probationary period without prejudice to the inter-seniority recommended by a Selection Committee and approved by the competent authority.”

RESOLVED: That Dr. Rakesh Kumar, Physiotherapist, Directorate of Sports, Panjab University Chandigarh, be confirmed in his post w.e.f. the date as mentioned against his name, as per Regulation (viii) page 128, P.U. Calendar, Volume III, 2009:

| Name | Designation | Date of joining | Proposed date of confirmation |
|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|
| Dr. Rakesh Kumar | Physiotherapist | 4.4.2012 (F.N.) | 4.4.2013 |

Arising out of the above, Dr. Dinesh Talwar said that there are many female employees in the University and several of them have physiotherapy related problems. Whenever he visited the Physiotherapy Centre in the Gymnasium Hall, he found majority of the ladies waiting for their turn. He, therefore, suggested that a provision should be made to appoint a woman Physiotherapist.

Professor Nandita Singh endorsed the suggestion made by Dr. Dinesh Talwar.

The Vice-Chancellor said that it is a good suggestion and the matter regarding creation of post of Physiotherapist (Woman) would be taken up at an appropriate level.

Promotion date of Dr. Z. Bakhshish Singh, School of Punjabi Studies

Considered if Dr. Bakhshish Singh, School of Punjabi Studies, P.U. be promoted from Reader to Professor w.e.f. 5.11.2002 i.e. one year after the date of rejection of his promotion on 5.11.2001 in view of the Senate decision dated 22.12.2012/20.1.2013. Information contained in the office note (**Appendix-XXI**) was also taken into consideration.

- NOTE:**
1. The Senate at its meeting held on 22.12.2012/20.1.2013 had resolved that, in future, if a person is rejected for promotion under CAS, he/she be given promotion exactly after one year from the date of his/her eligibility.
 2. The particular of Professor Bakhshish Singh is as under:

| | |
|---|-----------|
| Date of Interview in which he was rejected | 5.11.2001 |
| Date of Interview in which he was selected | 14.3.2003 |
| Date of eligibility, i.e., one year after the date of rejection | 5.11.2002 |

The Vice-Chancellor stated that irrespective of the case under consideration, there is a date of eligibility, but an interview may happen after six months, one year and so on. The delay in holding the interview might be on the part of the Administration. In a case where there is too much delay in the conduct of interview and the person concerned is not found suitable for promotion, a question arises as to what should be the next date of eligibility. Whether it should be one year after his/her original date of eligibility or the date of Syndicate decision? If the candidate appeared in the interview immediately after his/her eligibility, then his next date of eligibility should be one year

after the Syndicate decision, but in case there is too much delay in the conduct of interview, then there is a dilemma whether the next date of eligibility should be one year after the date of Syndicate decision or one year from the original eligibility date? However, at the moment the Senate decision is one year after the date of original eligibility. If they had to do it as per the Senate decision, Dr. Bakhshish Singh should be promoted from Reader to Professor from the date one year after his original eligibility when his case was rejected. So the consideration before them was just to ratify that. But he wanted to pose them a larger question that this matter requires a little bit relooking. He did not know whether they could do it in this meeting of the Syndicate or pass it to the Senate or somebody has to study it in depth and prepare a comprehensive note for consideration by the Senate. Now, they had three options: (i) they approve it as it had come; (ii) they approve it as it is and appoint a small Committee for providing guidelines, for future; and (iii) they record all the discussions and ask the office to prepare a comprehensive note and refer the matter to the Senate for appropriate decision.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that in the item under consideration, there is only a gap of three and a half months between the date of eligibility and date of interview. Item before the Syndicate is to give Dr. Bakhshish Singh promotion from Reader to Professor one year after his rejection, i.e., 05.11.2001, whereas as per the above quoted Senate decision, he should be promoted after one year from his original eligibility. Office should guide them as to what is to be done.

Principal R.S. Jhanji said that it had been mentioned in the office note that Dr. Bakhshish Singh's original eligibility was 27.07.1998, but he was rejected on 05.11.2001. If he is to be promoted one year after the rejection the date works out to be 05.11.2002. Meaning thereby, there is a gap of about three and half years and not three and half months. The delay might have been on the part of the candidate. Perhaps he might not have published requisite number of research papers, etc.

Dr. Jagwant Singh stated that, in fact, the promotions under the Career Advancement Scheme are to be made on completion of service for certain number of years, publication of certain number of research papers, attendance of Refresher and Orientation Courses, etc. On these bases, they had to consider his date of eligibility. Once one becomes eligible, the interview could be conducted later on. It could be after six months, one year and so on. If he is cleared, naturally, he would be promoted from the date of his eligibility as they were assessing him whatever he was on the date of eligibility. If somebody is rejected, his promotion should be one year after his original date of eligibility.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that as far as this case is concerned, they had no alternative but to give him promotion one year after his original date of eligibility because they had already given promotion on this basis to Dr. Sudha Banth. Therefore, Dr. Bakhshish Singh should be given promotion one year after his original date of eligibility and not one year after his rejection. As far as the issue regarding long delay in the conduct of interview and thereafter if somebody is rejected, the date of promotion in such cases needed to be discussed in a Small Committee having the representative/s of PUTA and for that they need to have some more time to gather some data, i.e., list of such other beneficiaries.

Dr. Tarlok Bandhu said that, in fact, the Senate decision is “that, in future, if a person is rejected for promotion under the Career Advancement Scheme, he/she be given promotion exactly after one year from the date of his/her eligibility.” The case of Dr. Bakhshish Singh belonged to 1998/2001, i.e., much before the above said Senate decision. According to him, in future, means after the decision of the Senate.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that Senate decided the case of Dr. Sudha Banth and, secondly to avoid any confusion, in future, no more such cases piled up, the Senate decided that, in future, if a person is rejected for promotion under the CAS, he/she be given promotion exactly after one year from the date of his/her eligibility, which automatically meant that the other cases which are similar to Dr. Sudha Banth even prior to the Senate decision should be dealt with under the same rule, i.e., Senate decision.

Professor Keshav Malhotra said that it is good that this case had come to the Syndicate. But there are several other cases where the persons had got this benefit. He pleaded that all those cases should be brought to the notice of the Syndicate. He further said that certain persons applied for promotions under the Career Advancement Scheme, but since there was delay in holding the interviews, they retired without getting promotions. He pleaded that the interviews of all such persons should be conducted and given the benefit even after their retirement.

Dr. Dinesh Talwar said that the promotion of Dr. Bakhshish Singh was rejected in 2001 and surprisingly he did not represent till 2012. Why he has represented now?

Shri Ashok Goyal said that since the Senate took the decision in December 2012 itself, Dr. Bakhshish Singh immediately represented.

Continuing, Dr. Dinesh Talwar said that they had nothing on record whether Dr. Bakhshish Singh fulfilled all the conditions for promotion under the CAS and was eligible on 27.07.1998. How could they promote him one year after his original date of eligibility?

RESOLVED: That, in view of the Senate decision dated 22.12.2012/20.1.2013, Dr. Bakhshish Singh, School of Punjabi Studies, P.U., be promoted from Reader to Professor one year after his original date of eligibility.

RESOLVED FURTHER: That, in order to give this benefit to all, in future, they had to re-look into this issue through a Small Committee having the representative/s of PUTA.

At this stage, Shri Ashok Goyal stated that he would like to bring to their notice that whether they had gone through the judgement of the Supreme Court which has been delivered recently in the case of enhancement of age of retirement, wherein specific orders have been passed by the Supreme Court in one line that those who were allowed to continue in service by the interim order of this Court or any other Court, they would be given all the service benefits for the period they have been allowed to continue. He did not know whether they had got a copy of that judgement officially or not. But when the University received a copy of the said order, before anybody

represents, the University must show magnanimity by telling that they are implementing the said orders in letter and spirit. He further said that the teachers, who had served the University or the College beyond the age of 60 years under the order of the Court, are entitled for salary, increment, etc. They are also entitled for counting of whole of the service, which they have put in beyond the age of 60 years, for the purpose of pension, privilege leave, etc. Unfortunately, nobody had gone through that judgement. Since these are the orders of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, they should not say that they would look into the issue. It is most important because there are some people who have continued to work even beyond the age of 62 years under the interim orders of the High Court. When their Writ Petition was dismissed in 2008, they filed a S.L.P. in the Supreme Court. Therefore, those persons are covered for the benefits under the orders of the Supreme Court, which were due to them for working beyond the age of 60 years.

Dr. Jagwant Singh said that since the judgement had been delivered by the Hon'ble Supreme Court, they had no alternative but to implement the same.

The Vice-Chancellor said that the matter would be examined after the receipt of the Supreme Court Judgement.

Issue regarding nomination of three examiners for evaluation of published work

8. Item 8 on the agenda was read out, viz. –

8. To nominate three examiners (2 more for waiting list) for evaluation of the published work on “Tribal Coins of Ancient India” submitted by Shri Devendra Handa, Reader (Retd.), Department of Ancient Indian History, Culture & Archaeology, for award of D.Litt. Degree from this University, under Regulation 4 at page 197 of P.U. Calendar, Volume II, 2007.

NOTE: 1. An office note enclosed **(Appendix-XXII)**.

2. Regulation 4 at page 197 of P.U. Cal. Volume II, 2007 reads as under:

“4. The work submitted shall be referred to three examiners nominated by the Syndicate on the recommendation of the Vice-Chancellor. The degree shall only be awarded if all three examiners recommend award of the degree”.

Initiating discussion, Dr. Tarlok Bandhu stated that Shri Devendra Handa submitted his published work in 2008 and the same was got evaluated from 2 examiners appointed by the Vice-Chancellor, whereas as per regulations it should have been got evaluated from three examiners. Moreover, they did not know why this case was delayed for about five years and why only two examiners were appointed when there was provision for three. The two examiners, who had evaluated his published work, have given positive reports.

The Vice-Chancellor said that he personally was convinced that such things should not be entertained.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that they, in good faith, know that whatever case/s placed before the Syndicate, is/are the recommendation of the Vice-Chancellor because that is brought to the Syndicate only after the Vice-Chancellor thinks it a fit case to be considered by the Syndicate. If they are not satisfied with it, they should not open a pandora's box.

After some further discussion, it was –

RESOLVED: That the published work on “Tribal Coins of Ancient India” submitted by Shri Devendra Handa, Reader (Retd.), Department of Ancient Indian History, Culture & Archaeology, for award of D.Litt. Degree from this University, be not processed anymore for award of D.Litt. degree of this University.

Recommendations of leave Cases Committee dated 13.06.2013

9. Considered minutes dated 13.6.2013 (**Appendix-XXIII**) of the Committee, constituted by the Vice-Chancellor, in terms of the Syndicate decision dated 16.5.1981 (Para 18) to look into the leave cases of following teaching staff:

- (i) That Dr. Santanu Basu, Assistant Professor at Dr. S.S. Bhatnagar University Institute of Chemical Engineering & Technology be granted extension in Extra Ordinary Leave without pay for another 12 months w.e.f. 15.6.2013 with lien upto 14.6.2014, under Regulation 11(G) at pages 139-140 of P.U. Cal. Vol.-I, 2007, to enable him to continue to work as Associate Professor at NIFTEM, Delhi with the clear understanding that no further EOL without pay will be granted to him as he will complete his three years of EOL on 14.6.2014.
- (ii) xxx xxx xxx
- (iii) That Dr. Gunmala Suri, Associate Professor, UBS be granted Study Leave for one year from July 2013 or from the date she is relieved from the department, under Regulation 11(I), pages 140-143 of P.U. Cal. Vol. I, 2007, to enable her to pursue a research project entitled “Study of Knowledge Management Practices in Research and Development Organization”.
- (iv) xxx xxx xxx

RESOLVED: That –

- (1) Dr. Santanu Basu, Assistant Professor at Dr. S.S. Bhatnagar University Institute of Chemical Engineering & Technology be granted extension in Extra Ordinary Leave without pay for another 12 months w.e.f. 15.6.2013 with lien up to 14.6.2014, under Regulation 11(G) at pages 139-140 of P.U. Calendar, Volume I, 2007, to enable him to continue to work as Associate Professor at NIFTEM, Delhi with the clear understanding that no further EOL without pay will be granted to

him as he will complete his three years of EOL on 14.6.2014.

- (2) Dr. Gunmala Suri, Associate Professor, UBS be granted Study Leave for one year from July 2013 or from the date she is relieved from the department, under Regulation 11(I), pages 140-143 of P.U. Calendar, Volume I, 2007, to enable her to pursue a research project entitled "Study of Knowledge Management Practices in Research and Development Organization".

Permission to Dr. R.K. Kohli to deposit Rs.47,567/- as G.P.F. contribution

10. Considered if Dr. R.K. Kohli, Professor, be allowed to deposit ₹47,567/- admissible Provident Fund contribution into his G.P.F. account No.4244 in P.U. for the period of his Extra Ordinary Leave without pay. Information contained in the office note (**Appendix-XXIV**) was also taken into consideration.

NOTE: Regulation 14.5 at Page 129 of P.U. Calendar, Volume I, 2007 reads as under:

"14.5 The Syndicate may, at their discretion, allow a permanent employee to continue to be a depositor in the Fund even during the period of his absence on leave without pay or any other programme approved by the Vice-Chancellor for this purpose, but he shall not be entitled to University contribution during this period."

After some discussion, it was –

RESOLVED: That Dr. R.K. Kohli, Professor, be allowed to deposit ₹47,567/- admissible Provident Fund contribution into his G.P.F. account No.4244 in P.U. for the period of his Extra Ordinary Leave without pay.

Adoption of letter No.F.1-6/2012 (PS) dated 7th June 2013 of the U.G.C. regarding revised guidelines for determination of admissibility of pay & allowances

11. Considered and

RESOLVED: That letter No. F.1-6/2012(PS) dated 7th June 2013 (**Appendix-XXV**) received from Under Secretary, University Grants Commission, Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi-110002, pertaining to the revised guidelines for determination of admissibility of pay & allowances for the purpose of Study Leave to the University and College teachers, be adopted.

Confirmation of certain Superintendents

12. Considered and

RESOLVED: That the following Superintendents be confirmed in their posts w.e.f. the date mentioned against each:

| Sr. No. | Name of the persons and Branch/Department | Date of Promotion | Date of Confirmation |
|---------|---|----------------------|----------------------|
| 1. | Shri Tara Chand Estt.III | 01.06.2010 | 01.10.2011 |
| 2. | Shri Darshan Singh Examinations-IV | 02.07.2010 (A.N.) | 02.10.2011 |

| | | | |
|-----|--|----------------------|------------|
| 3. | Shri Parveen Kumar Accounts Branch | 06.07.2010 | 03.10.2011 |
| 4. | Shri Bhuwan Singh Rawat C.O.E.'s Office | 08.07.2010 | 04.10.2011 |
| 5. | Shri Roop Lal Department of Sociology | 01.09.2010 | 05.10.2011 |
| 6. | Shri Kesar Singh Secrecy Branch | 01.09.2010 (A.N.) | 06.10.2011 |
| 7. | Ms. Aruna Sharma Accounts Branch | 04.08.2010 | 07.10.2011 |
| 8. | Shri Kewal Kumar C.O.E.'s Office | 04.08.2010 (A.N.) | 08.10.2011 |
| 9. | Mrs. Sukhdev Kaur Examinations-IV | 17.08.2010 | 09.10.2011 |
| 10. | Mrs. Santosh Sharma Computer Unit | 24.08.2010 | 10.10.2011 |
| 11. | Shri Ashok Kumar Sharma Youth Welfare | 11.10.2010 | 11.10.2011 |

NOTE: The Date of confirmation of these Superintendents is on the basis of availability of permanent slots.

Usage of Physiotherapy Machines installed at Gymnasium Hall and rates thereof

13. Considered the recommendations of the Committee dated 29.4.2013 (**Appendix-XXVI**), constituted by the Vice-Chancellor, to look into enhancement of rates for use of Physiotherapy Machine installed at Gymnasium Hall, and

RESOLVED: That –

- (1) use of facility of Physiotherapy Machines installed at Gymnasium Hall, which is hardly sufficient for the employees of University/affiliated Colleges, their dependent wards, students presently over-strained with the use by outsiders, be stopped to them (outsiders) to ease the rush and to avoid hardship to the University in-service/retired employees, their wards and students; and
- (2) rates for use of Physiotherapy Machine from the employees and students be charged as under:

| Sr. No. | Category | Existing Rates per Machine | Proposed rates per Machine |
|---------|--|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| (a) | In-service and retired employees of the University and affiliated Colleges, their wards and Fellow | ₹ 20/- | ₹ 30/- per sitting |
| (b) | Students of University campus and affiliated Colleges | ₹ 20/- | ₹ 25/- per sitting |

Installation of High Mast Lights/Street Lights in residential areas of Sectors 14 and 25

14. Considered the recommendations of the Committee dated 23.5.2013 (**Appendix-XXVII**), constituted by the Vice-Chancellor, and

RESOLVED: That High Mast Lights/Street Lights, be installed in residential areas of Sectors 14 and 25 of Panjab University Campus and the funds for the same be sanctioned out of the Budget Head "Electricity & Water Fund".

Final ranking list of Clerks

15. Considered if the final ranking list of newly appointed Clerks (**Appendix-XXVIII**) prepared in chronological order according to the date/month/year of the completion of 10 years of service, be approved. Information contained in the office note (**Appendix-XXVIII**) was also taken into consideration.

- NOTE:**
1. The list of Clerks prepared in chronological order according to the date/month/year of the completion of 10 years of service of 35 Clerks and after that Shri Harvinder Singh, Clerk, who changed his cadre from the post of Steno typist (Punjabi) to that of a Clerk and then 2 Clerks who have been appointed on compassionate ground.
 2. As per Rule 15.2 at page 82 of P.U. Calendar Volume-III, 2009, when any post is filled by open competition the seniority of the applicants selected at the same interview shall be in order in which they are ranked by the Selection Committee and approved by the competent authority irrespective of the dates of joining the duties provided they join within the time limit allowed by the Vice-Chancellor.

Dr. Dinesh Talwar said that the seniority of the persons, who had worked in the University for so many years on daily-wage/contract basis, should be determined according to their length of service, i.e., the person who had put in maximum number of years/days service, should be senior.

Dr. Jagwant Singh, referring to the seniority of Shri Harvinder Singh, who had been allowed to change his cadre from Steno-Typist to Clerk, on 25.03.2011, enquired whether they could put him below other persons who had been appointed as Clerks on regular basis after him. He pleaded that instead of deciding the issue in haste, they should look into the issue in detail and, if need be, a Committee should be constituted to examine the whole issue.

Professor Keshav Malhotra suggested that a policy decision should be taken to determine the seniority of persons who had served the University for many years on daily-wage/contract basis.

After some further discussion, it was –

RESOLVED: That a Committee comprising Shri Ashok Goyal, Dr. Dinesh Talwar, Dr. Jagwant Singh and President, PUSA, be constituted to examine the whole issue and make recommendations.

Sanction of Rs.42,97,800 for Re-carpeting of Parking areas at UIET

16. Considered if a sum of ₹ 42,97,800/- be sanctioned for Re-carpeting of students & staff car parking by conversing of bricks paved parking area into bituminous parking at U.I.E.T. in P.U. South Campus, Chandigarh out of the Budget Head "Development Fund Account".

NOTE: Rough cost estimate submitted by Executive Engineer for re-carpeting of students & staff car parking conversion of bricks paved parking area into bituminous parking at U.I.E.T. in P.U. South Campus, Chandigarh is enclosed **(Appendix-XXIX)**.

RESOLVED: That a sum of ₹42,97,800/- be sanctioned for Re-carpeting of students & staff car parking by conversing of bricks paved parking area into bituminous parking at U.I.E.T. in P.U. South Campus, Chandigarh out of the Budget Head "Development Fund Account".

Recommendations of the Committee dated 6.5.2013 for appointment of Internal Examiners for M.D.

17. Considered the recommendations of the Committee dated 6.5.2013 **(Appendix-XXX)**, constituted by the Faculty of Medical Sciences, to examine the PG Regulations of the Medical Council of India for appointment of Internal Examiners for MD in Government Medical College & Hospital:

1. there will be two internal examiners in every examination. Minimum one examiner out of these two will be a Professor and the other examiner will be rotated from amongst the eligible (as per MCI norms) PG teachers including the HoD. In case, for some reason, there is no Professor, the two senior-most faculty of the department eligible to be examiners will serve as internal examiners. The internal examiners shall ordinarily be rotated every year.
2. the senior internal examiner will be the main coordinator of the entire examination. However, the internal assessment should be, if any, indicated through HoD.
3. The above be implemented with effect from the academic session 2013-14.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that since the Faculty of Medical Sciences had constituted the Committee, the recommendations of the Committee should first have been placed before the Faculty of Medical Sciences and thereafter before the Syndicate for consideration. He, therefore, suggested that the item should be referred to the Faculty.

RESOLVED: That the item be referred to the Faculty of Medical Sciences for consideration in the first instance.

Reiteration of Syndicate decisions dated 27.09.2009 (Para 36(iv)) and 29.06.2010 (Para 67)

18. Considered if the following decision of the Syndicate dated 27.9.2009 (Para 36(iv)) be rectified as under:

“The Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation of the approval of Syndicate/Senate, has approved the recommendation of the Sub-Committee dated 27.8.2009 constituted by the Advisory Committee dated 20.4.2009 to look into the modalities and to frame necessary rules/ regulations for introduction of flexibility in the eligibility/admission to University School of Open Learning (USOL **from the session 2009-2010.**”

instead of

“The Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation of the approval of Syndicate/Senate, has approved the recommendation of the Sub-Committee dated 27.8.2009 constituted by the Advisory Committee dated 20.4.2009 to look into the modalities and to frame necessary rules/ regulations for introduction of flexibility in the eligibility/admission to University School of Open Learning (USOL) **for the session 2009-2010.**”

NOTE: The Syndicate at its meeting held on 29.6.2010 (Para 67) has resolved that –

1. those who were admitted in USOL under relaxed provision in 2009-2010 (already approved by the Syndicate), be awarded a degree clearly stating year of admission and year of passing if such students were not fulfilling the requisite norms as per University Calendar;
2. admissions for the session 2010-2011 for all courses in USOL be made as per Regulations/Rules applicable on prior to the session 2009-2010; and
3. a high-powered Committee be constituted to evolve and design an appropriate, workable model of Open System in Panjab University to be adhered to by USOL in future to meet the emerging and changing needs of the society.

It was clarified that at one time a decision regarding making admissions in the University School of Open Learning under the scheme of flexibility in eligibility/admission was taken by the Syndicate. Though the said decision was overturned by the Syndicate, the University School of Open Learning continued making admissions under those flexible conditions.

Dr. Tarlok Bandhu said that when the nomenclature of the Department of Correspondence Studies had been permitted to be changed to University School of Open Learning by the Syndicate and Senate, flexibility in eligibility/admission ought to be given to the

students to be admitted there. Otherwise, the open learning has no meaning.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that if the University School of Open Learning is allowed to continue to make admissions under the system of flexibility in eligibility/ admission, it would break/violate all the relevant regulations. In fact, at one point of time, the then Vice-Chancellor had announced completion of degrees by the students, who had left the course/s in-between, through the University School of Open Learning without attending any classes. When the above-said proposal of the Vice-Chancellor was implemented, several complaints were received from various quarters. By the time it was realized that it is a violation of the regulations, the session had already ended. And it was thought that before implementing the flexible system, they had to amend the regulations. Therefore, the Syndicate took a conscious decision to revert back to the old system.

RESOLVED: That the decisions of the Syndicate dated 27.9.2009 (Para 36(iv)) and 29.6.2010 (Para 67), be reiterated.

Change in nomenclature of M.E. courses

19. Considered and

RESOLVED: That –

- (1) the nomenclature of M.E. (Instrumentation and Control) be changed to **M.E. in Electrical Engineering (Instrumentation and Control)**. However, there would be no change in Eligibility Criteria; and
- (2) the nomenclature of the M.E. (Construction Technology and Management) be changed to **M.E. Civil Engineering (Construction Technology and Management)** w.e.f. the session 2013-14. (**Appendix-XXX-A**)

Naming of Conference Hall of Golden Jubilee Guest House as Golden Jubilee Pharmaceutical Conference Hall

20. Considered if –

- (i) The Conference Hall Complex of Golden Jubilee Guest House be named as “Golden Jubilee Pharmaceutical Conference Hall”. The name plate to be put both outside and inside the Hall.
- (ii) At least Four Rooms/Suites in the Golden Jubilee Guest House be earmarked for the use of U.I.P.S. and also for the Professional members of I.P.C. and to allow U.I.P.S. to construct additional suites as and when it generates funds.

NOTE: An office note and MoU signed between Indian Pharmaceutical Congress through Organizing Secretary, 46th I.P.C. and Panjab University dated 8.3.2002 enclosed (**Appendix-XXXI**).

Dr. Dinesh Talwar said that the Rooms/Suites in the Golden Jubilee Guest House should only be earmarked for U.I.P.S. and also for the Professional members of I.P.C. and should not be kept reserved for them.

The Vice-Chancellor said that for the preferential reservation of rooms/suites in the Golden Jubilee Guest House, the U.I.P.S. would have to apply adequately in advance.

Referring to recommendation (ii) that at least four Rooms/Suites in the Golden Jubilee Guest House be earmarked for the use of U.I.P.S. and also for the Professional members of I.P.C., Dr. Jagwant Singh enquired what do they meant by 'earmarked'?

The Vice-Chancellor clarified that earmarking meant that the U.I.P.S. people would be given priority/preference over others.

Shri Ashok Goyal stated that when they had already signed a Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) with the I.P.C., could they change the terms or redefine it at this stage, especially when nobody had objected at the time of execution of the MoU. In fact, the concern expressed by the members now should have been shown at the time of signing the MoU. Now what they could do is that they should tell the other party that the members of the Syndicate while discussing the issue of earmarking of rooms pointed out the effects of such a decision and the issue should be discussed again with them and they should be told that it should be taken in a healthy manner.

Continuing, Shri Ashok Goyal stated that in spite of the fact that the Syndicate had taken a conscious decision to see that the University Guest House is efficiently managed and the Vice-Chancellor had also constituted a Committee for the upkeep of the Guest House. He did not know whether somebody had brought to the notice of the Vice-Chancellor that the Committee, in fact, has not taken up the job for upkeep of the Guest House rather they had started taking up the job of assigning the duties to Class-IV employees, which had badly affected the functioning of the Guest House. He further informed that, earlier, a Committee approved by the Vice-Chancellor was tampered and a name of the person was changed by someone, but action has not been taken against the person, who had done the tampering, so far.

After some further discussion, it was –

RESOLVED: That –

- (1) the Conference Hall Complex of Golden Jubilee Guest House be named as "Golden Jubilee Pharmaceutical Conference Hall" and the name plate be put both outside and inside the Hall; and
- (2) the U.I.P.S. and Professional Members of the I.P.C. be given preference while reserving four Rooms/Suites in the Golden Jubilee Guest House, but they had to apply for the purpose well in advance, i.e., at least a fortnight before.

Recommendation of HAC-I and II (joint meeting) dated 26.03.2013

21. Considered the recommendations of the Committee dated 26.3.2013 (**Appendix-XXXII**) of Joint Meeting of House Allotment Committee I & II that the names of employees for allotment/change of residential accommodation on P.U. Campus be entered on quarterly basis i.e. 4 times in a year (in January, April, July and October) and the rest of the procedure for allotment will remain the same. Information contained in the office note (**Appendix-XXXII**) was also taken into consideration.

Professor Keshav Malhotra said that since this demand had come from the Non-teaching employees of the University, the recommendation of the Committee that the names of employees for allotment/change of residential accommodation on P.U. Campus be entered on quarterly basis i.e. 4 times in a year (in January, April, July and October) should be implemented only in their case, i.e., from categories A to D, that too, on experimental basis.

RESOLVED: That, on experimental basis, the names of employees for allotment/change of residential accommodation on P.U. Campus in the case of Non-teaching employees (A to D categories only), be entered on quarterly basis, i.e., 4 times in a year (in January, April, July and October) and the rest of the procedure for allotment would remain the same.

Recommendation of Executive Committee of Directorate of Sports dated 30.11.2012

22. Considered the following recommendation (item 11) of the Executive Committee dated 30.11.2012 (**Appendix-XXXIII**) of Directorate of Sports, and be allowed to be incorporated in the official Handbook of PUSC:

“That those players be debarred who played Inter-College Competitions and got position, selected for Inter-University coaching camps but neither they attended the coaching camps nor participated in the Inter-University Competitions for the session 2012-13, their **merit certificate** of P.U. Inter-College tournaments will not be awarded to them and points secured by them in team game (proportionally) will **be cancelled** whereas in individual game/sports, the total points secured by him/her will **be cancelled**”.

Referring to the recommendation of the Committee regarding debarring of players, who neither attended the coaching camps nor participated in the Inter-University Competitions for the session 2012-13, and cancellation of his/her merit certificate as well as total points secured by him/her, Dr. Jagwant Singh said that the Colleges would plead that they were not at fault at all. Secondly, a player selected for the Inter-University competition might get injured during the camp and could not participate in the Inter-University competition.

Dr. Dinesh Talwar said that certain seats are reserved for sportspersons and they get admissions against the reserved seats. If such sportspersons did not report for playing in the Inter-College/Inter-University Tournaments, they could take action against them.

RESOLVED: That the item be referred back to the Executive Committee of Directorate of Sports for re-consideration in the light of the observations made by the members.

At this stage, Professor Keshav Malhotra said that since Mr. Rupinder Singh Saini, Tennis Coach had levelled serious charges against the senior University functionaries (Registrar, Dean, College Development Council) and is also playing dirty politics, his entry in the campus should be banned. He was supported by Shri Harpreet Singh Dua and a couple of other members.

The Vice-Chancellor said that he was conscious of the matter and would take it up with the Director (Sports).

Recommendations of Executive Committee of Directorate of Sports dated 26.10.2012

23. Considered the following recommendations (under Item Nos., 34, 37, 38, 41, 42, 44 & 47) of the Executive Committee dated 26.10.2012 (**Appendix-XXXIV**) of Directorate of Sports, and allow their incorporation in the official Handbook of PUSC:

1. The Committee has revised the booking rates of sports infrastructure in the Panjab University as per booking rates fixed by the Chandigarh Administration for using sports facilities with 20% increase.
2. The Committee has sanctioned & approved the payment of TA/DA to the members of various committees by own car i.e. Purchase Committee, Local Purchase/Technical Committee, Write Off Committee etc. constituted by the executive Committee duly approved by the Vice-Chancellor at par as per the members of the Executive Committee of PUSC, Chandigarh from the PUSC Budget-head "TA/DA to the members of the executive Committee and the staff" from the session 2012-13.
3. The Committee has sanctioned & approved the payment @ Rs.200/- per head per day (as sitting charges) to the weighting officials invited during the following P.U. Inter-College tournaments from the session 2012-13:
 - (i) Judo (M &W)
 - (ii) Best Physique (M&W)
 - (iii) Weight Lifting (M&W)
 - (iv) Power Lifting (M&W)
 - (v) Boxing (M&W)
 - (vi) Wrestling (M&W)
4. The Committee has sanctioned & approved to spend Rs.1500/- or Rs.3000/- per day as refreshment and Lunch per day as per the requirement which is already approved in the previous Executive Committee meeting.
5. The Committee has sanctioned & approved the revision of rates of lunch and snacks to the members of Executive Committee from Rs.150/- to Rs.200/- per head.
6. The Committee has sanctioned & approved for the revision of rates of lunch and snacks during the P.U.

Annual Sports Prize Distribution Function and other Functions from the session 2012-13 @ Rs.250/- per head.

7. The Committee resolved that the winning teams of All India Inter-University of first three positions should be given lunch/dinner @ Rs.250/- instead of Rs.150/- per head.
8. The Executive Committee resolved that the protest lodged by any College team in-charge should be confirmed by the Principal of the respective College within seven days.

NOTE: As per Rule 3 of Chapter II, P.U. Calendar Volume III, page 9 of the PUSC is competent to make rules for organization of sports tournaments, frame by laws pass, annual budget on the recommendation of Executive Committee.

As per Rule 5 of Chapter II P.U. Calendar Volume III, the Executive Committee is competent to spend the funds of PUSC as per the budget approved by PUSC and sanction all expenditure exceeding Rs.25000/- which now revised to Rs.50,000/-.

Referring to recommendation 4, Dr. Jagwant Singh said that the Committee has sanctioned and approved to spend Rs.1500/- or Rs.3000/- per day for refreshment and Lunch per day. They could not understand whether it was Rs.1500/- per day or Rs.3000/- per day. Thus, this recommendation is vague.

Shri Ashok Goyal stated that since there already existed some rules, which are being followed by PUSC. Therefore, the above recommendations of the Executive Committee of Directorate of Sports related to amendments of rules/additions in those rules. Hence, the item should have come in the form of existing and proposed rules. Secondly, according to him, there is no Handbook of PUSC and if there is any, the same should be appended with the item. He further said that the sportspersons who had represented at the State in the Open Nationals are being graded below Inter-University.

RESOLVED: That the item be referred back to the Executive Committee of Directorate of Sports for making the recommendations in the form of existing and proposed rules of PUSC. Further, a copy of the Handbook of PUSC be also sent along with the recommendations.

Handbook of Hostel Rules for P.U. Regional Centre, Ludhiana

24. Considered Handbook of Hostel Rules (**Appendix-XXXV**) for the Panjab University Regional Centre, Ludhiana for the session 2013-14.

Shri Ashok Goyal pointed out that when there already existed established system and rules for Hostels meant for the Campus at Chandigarh, the same should be applied to P.U. Regional Centre,

Ludhiana. Further, the charges proposed for the Hostlers at P.U. Regional Centre, Ludhiana are Rs.15/- whereas for the Hostlers at the Campus at Chandigarh are Rs.50/-.

The Vice-Chancellor said that since it needed to be looked into by someone, they would form a Small Committee for the purpose.

RESOLVED: That a Small Committee comprising Professor Keshav Malhotra, Professor Karamjeet Singh and Dr. Jatinder Grover, be constituted to look into the proposed Handbook of Hostel Rules (**Appendix-XXXV**) for Panjab University Regional Centre, Ludhiana for the session 2013-14.

Updation/modification in Hostel Rules for Swami Sarvanand Giri Panjab University Regional Centre, Bajwara, Hoshiarpur

25. Considered minutes dated 14.5.2013 (**Appendix-XXXVI**) of the Hostel Committee P.U. Swami Sarvanand Giri Regional Centre, Una Road, Bajwara, Hoshiarpur (Punjab), regarding updation/modification of Hostel rules in the University Handbook for the session 2013-2014.

RESOLVED: That a Small Committee comprising Professor Keshav Malhotra, Professor Karamjeet Singh and Dr. Jatinder Grover, be constituted to look into updation/modification of Hostel rules in the University Handbook of P.U. Swami Sarvanand Giri Regional Centre, Una Road, Bajwara, Hoshiarpur (Punjab), for the session 2013-2014.

Withdrawn Item

26. Considered if letter No. F.12-15/2012-CCH/25910-26171 dated 13.3.2013 received from Secretary, Central Council of Homoeopathy, No.61-65, Institutional Area Opp. D Block, Janakpuri, New Delhi-110058, enclosing therewith Gazette Notification No. 67 dated 8.3.2013 regarding Central Council (Minimum Standards Requirement of Homoeopathic Colleges and attached Hospitals) Regulations, 2013, be adopted.

Shri Ashok Goyal stated that, in fact, first time such an item had come to the Syndicate. They had never adopted such regulations of any of the Regulatory Bodies, like NCTE, MCI, DCI, CCIM, etc. because they adopt only the Regulations of the U.G.C., which say that the areas which are covered by the above said Apex Bodies, will be regulated as per the regulations notified by the U.G.C. from time to time. Otherwise also, if they go by this notification it says that for opening a College, these are the minimum requirements, which the University had already approved.

RESOLVED: That the item be withdrawn.

Assignment of Fellows to the Faculties

27. Considered and

RESOLVED: That the following Fellows be assigned to the Faculties mentioned against their names, in anticipation of the approval of the Senate:

| | |
|---|---|
| Sardar Mahesh Inder Singh, MLA VPO & Tehsil Nihal Singh Wala District, Moga | 1. Arts 2. Law 3. Dairying, Animal Husbandry & Agriculture 4. Design & Fine Arts |
| Professor Madhu Raka | 1. Science |

| | |
|---|---|
| Dean University Instructions P.U., Chandigarh # 1045, Sector 15-B, Chandigarh | 2. Languages 3. Education 4. Engineering & Technology |
|---|---|

At this stage, Dr. Dinesh Talwar stated that the University conducted the OCET in which about 400 students appeared. The fee for the OCET was Rs.2300/- per candidate. In this way, the University earned an amount of approximately Rs.9.5 lacs. The most astonishing part is that the student who topped in that test has not been given admission to M.Tech. course as the students who had qualified GATE had been given preferences. If they had more number of candidates having qualified GATE than the number of seats and the admissions are to be made on the basis of GATE, which is applicable throughout India, what necessitated the University to conduct the Entrance Test for M.Tech. (OCET for M.Tech.)? However, after conducting the Entrance Test, they could not deny admission to topper/s. He, therefore, pleaded that additional seats, over and above the sanctioned seats, should be sanctioned for adjusting the candidates, who had topped in the said Entrance Test.

The Vice-Chancellor said that additional seat/s would be sanctioned for giving admission to the candidate/s, who had topped in the Entrance Test for admission to M.Tech.

Supplementing the views expressed by Dr. Dinesh Talwar, Dr. Jagwant Singh said that similar problem had arisen in B.Sc. (Biotechnology) and at that time also two additional seats were sanctioned. He suggested that, in future, if they conduct any Entrance Test, some seats should be earmarked for that.

Dr. Dinesh Talwar pointed out that despite the University having sent the revised fee structure to all the affiliated Colleges and also that the Principal of GGDS College, Sector 32, Chandigarh, was a member of the Committee, which had proposed the revised fee structure, GGDS College, Chandigarh, was charging excess fee from the students of various courses being offered by it. For example, GGDS College, Chandigarh, is taking a fee of Rs.30,000/- for M.Sc., Rs.33,000/- for B.B.A. and Rs.20,000/- for B.A. instead of Rs.15,000/-, Rs.23,000/- and Rs.12,000/- respectively. The College has admitted about 4,000 students and is earning about Rs.40 crore extra. Moreover, the College is also getting 95% grant-in-aid from the U.T., Administration. He pleaded that since the fee has been fixed by the University, it is the responsibility of the University to ensure that each and every College affiliated to it charge the fee prescribed by the University and no extra fee is charged by any of the Colleges. To ensure that the fees prescribed by the University are charged by all the affiliated Colleges, a Committee should visit the Colleges to verify the fees being charged by the Colleges. If any violation is found in certain Colleges, the Students' Returns of those Colleges should be returned to them. If they did not take any decision, the Colleges would continue to do like this.

Dr. I.S. Sandhu pointed out that, earlier, the fee for Self-Financing Courses in Commerce was Rs.22,000/-, but now the same had been reduced to Rs.15,000/-. The same needed to be looked into. Secondly, if any College is charging more fee from the students than prescribed by the University, that should be looked into.

Dr. Satish Sharma stated that there is a great resentment amongst the Principals of the Colleges and the Managements about the new fee structure sent by the University. In fact, they are very upset over this decision of the University, which might not have been taken judiciously. He pleaded that the views of the Principals should be taken into consideration and they must review the decision regarding the new fee structure. Nobody should be given permission to charge extra fees according to his/her discretion; rather, the University itself should rationalize the fee structure.

Shri Tarsem Dhariwal, Director, Higher Education (Punjab), informed that the Punjab Government had earmarked a sum of Rs.200 crore for the aided Colleges and the same would be released shortly.

Smt. Gurpreet Kaur Sapra, Director, Higher Education, U.T., Chandigarh, stated that they had received certain complaints and certain persons had also met her and told her about over-charging of fee by some of the Colleges. A Small Committee had already been constituted to check the extra fees being charged by the Colleges. She had also written a D.O. letter to Professor Naval Kishore, Dean, College Development Council, Panjab University, in this regard. Secondly, there is no mention about the amount of fee to be charged for self-financing courses. But she had not received any response as to how the fee to be charged from the students admitted in self-financing courses is to be analyzed. She was not aware of any standardized policy as to how and what amount of fee is to be charged for self-financing courses.

At this stage, the Vice-Chancellor requested Smt. Gurpreet Kaur Sapra to brief the members about the meeting of the Secretaries, Higher Education of the States regarding Rashtriya Uchta Siksha Abhiyan (RUSA), held in Yojna Bhawan, New Delhi recently.

Smt. Gurpreet Kaur Sapra, briefing the members, stated that RUSA is a huge document. The above said meeting was held in Yojna Bhawan, New Delhi, initially before the finalization of Annual Plans of the States and Union Territories. The Planning Commission held a meeting with all the Chief Ministers and Advisors of Union Territories. Ideally, the Annual Plan of the States and Union Territories should have been finalized before January 2013, i.e., well before the end of the financial year, but this year it did not happen. Now the focus of Government of India and MHRD is shifting on quality enhancement of higher education. On that day all Principal Secretaries of the States, their Directors, Secretary, MHRD, Secretary, Planning Commission, all Advisors of Higher Education, all Senior Officers of MHRD, U.G.C. Chairman and Chairman, AICTE met on a single platform. It was a day-long meeting. Of course, there was discussion on mandatory accreditation. In Union Territories, they had already applied for the purpose. The Government Colleges had already done it. The MHRD had a strong opinion that all the private and Government aided Colleges should also get that mandatory accreditation done because RUSA is based on a comprehensive plan for the States irrespective of the fact whether it is Government College, Aided College or a private College. She added that it is a very intelligently designed and input oriented document. Under this scheme, they would be getting grants from the Central Government. The focus of RUSA is for initiating quality initiative and the funding is also linked with the quality. It also includes better quality faculty, more autonomy. As such they

need to expand the quality of higher education, more intake under postgraduate streams, enhancement of the capability of the faculty, etc. For this, they would get as much money as they wanted. Autonomy would automatically become a part of it. Institute would be the unit of funding. RUSA also focuses on narrowing of groups in quality of education and in making improvements in teaching and research in higher education. The focus is on four areas, i.e., access, equality, quality and equity. There was also a suggestion for creation of alliances, networks, research clusters, resource clusters and roadmap for next 10 years, for which they need to have a State Higher Education Council.

The Vice-Chancellor stated that he is trying to set up a meeting with the Principal Secretary, Higher Education, Punjab in which both Directors, Higher Education, Punjab, U.T. as well as Director, Higher Education, Haryana and certain Principals of the Colleges would also be invited. They should also take this occasion that they have a chance to realize their ambition of creating good research centres at least in the Colleges where Postgraduate Courses are being offered. Where a single College could not be made a Research Centre, 2-3 Colleges should come together for becoming the Research Centre. In fact, the Postgraduate Colleges in Chandigarh have an opportunity to associate themselves with the University Teaching Departments. Chandigarh is being recognized as a Centre which will emerge as a place where the Colleges would be role models for others.

Principal R.S. Jhanji stated that the Aided Colleges were facing several problems, including ban on recruitments and payment of salaries to the teachers. The Colleges are also not ready to implement the revised fee structure approved by the University at this belated stage. The admissions in the affiliated Colleges for the session 2013-14 had already been started w.e.f. 11.07.2013 and in the absence of the approved fee structure, the Colleges have no alternative but to take fees as per the previous year. Further, they received a letter from the Nodal Officer on 22.7.2013 that fees and funds should not be charged from the students belonging to SC/ST categories. If the Colleges did not charge the fee and funds from the SC/ST students, wherefrom the money would come? The Colleges could not do this as huge amount of money is involved in it. Neither the Government nor the University is making it clear as to wherefrom they would meet the deficit occurred on this account. Earlier, they used to make cases of the SC/ST students, who were admitted without charging any fee and funds and send the claims to Government of Punjab for payment and in several cases money was not received for years. Secondly, if certain SC/ST students leave the College in-between, from where the money would be sought? The position was that the College had not received grants for the last 11 months and more than 60% Colleges are unable to pay salary to the staff.

Inspections Reports

28. Considered and

RESOLVED: That –

- (i) the provisional extension of affiliation be granted to Dev Samaj College for Women, Ferozepur City, for Diploma Add-On courses in (i) Yoga & Mental Health; and (ii) Fine Arts, for

the session 2013-14, as per UGC guidelines, under UGC/Self-financing course.

NOTE: Inspection Report and office note enclosed (**Appendix-XXXVII**).

- (ii) the provisional extension of affiliation be granted to Postgraduate Government College for Girls, Sector 11, Chandigarh, for Certificate Add-On course in Mass Media & Videography, for the session 2013-14, as per UGC guidelines, under UGC/Self-financing course.

NOTE: Inspection Report and office note enclosed (**Appendix-XXXVII**).

- (iii) the provisional extension of affiliation be granted to Postgraduate Government College for Girls, Sector 11, Chandigarh, for Advance Diploma Add-On course in Web-designing & Multimedia as per UGC guidelines under UGC/Self-financing course for the session 2013-14.

NOTE: Inspection Report and office note enclosed (**Appendix-XXXVII**).

- (iv) the provisional extension of affiliation be granted to Dev Samaj College for Women, Sector 45, Chandigarh, for Diploma Add-On course in Animation & Graphics as per UGC guidelines under UGC/Self-financing course for the session 2013-14.

NOTE: Inspection Report and office note enclosed (**Appendix-XXXVII**).

- (v) the provisional extension of affiliation be granted to G.G.N. Khalsa College, Ludhiana for Diploma Add-On course in Communicative English as per UGC guidelines under UGC/Self-financing course for the session 2013-14.

NOTE: Inspection Report and office note enclosed (**Appendix-XXXVII**).

- (vi) the provisional extension of affiliation be granted to S.D.P. College for Women, Daresi Road, Ludhiana, for Advance Diploma Add-On-course in (i) Communicative English (ii) Apparel Designing/ Dress Designing as per UGC guidelines under UGC/Self-financing for the session 2013-14.

NOTE: Inspection Report and office note enclosed (**Appendix-XXXVII**).

- (vii) the provisional extension of affiliation be granted to MCM DAV College for Women, Sector 36, Chandigarh, for P.G. Diploma in Cosmetology & Beauty Care under Innovative Programme by Self-financing course for the session 2013-14.

NOTE: Inspection Report and office note enclosed (**Appendix-XXXVII**).

Recommendations of the Academic Council dated 19.06.2013

29. Considered the following recommendations of the Academic Council dated 19.6.2013:

ITEM I

That, in future, on successful completion of Disaster Management and Remote Sensing & GIS courses, the students be awarded **“Masters in Disaster Management”** and **“Masters in Remote Sensing & Geographic Information Systems”** degree instead of **“M.A./M.Sc. in Disaster Management”** and **“M.A./M.Sc. in Remote Sensing & Geographic Information Systems”**.

ITEM III

That the following addition to Regulation 7 at page 91 of the Panjab University Calendar, Volume-I, 2007, dealing with Master of Arts/Science Examination (Semester System) be made and given effect to from the session 2013-14:

| PRESENT REGULATION | PROPOSED REGULATION |
|--|---|
| <p>7. To qualify for the grant of credits for a particular course, a candidate must get at least the pass marks. If he fails in the course, he will not get credit for it. He may repeat the course as a regular student in which he has failed when it is offered next. He may also be allowed to take the examination for such a course without attending the classes.</p> <p>If at the end of the second semester the successfully completed courses remain less than 16 credits, he will not be allowed to join the third semester. At the end of the third semester he must have successfully completed 24 credits to enable him to join fourth semester.</p> | <p>7. <u>No Change</u></p> <p><u>For M.A. (History)</u></p> <p>A student shall require 24 credits to get admission to Semester III and 36 credits for admission to Semester IV.</p> |

ITEM V

That the following provision for improvement in previous performance in Honours School in Economics be added in the

Regulations for Five-Year Integrated Programme in Economics w.e.f. the session 2012-13:

“A candidate who has passed B.A. (Honours School) in Economics and or M.A. (Honours School) in Economics examination from the Panjab University under the Semester System may reappear as a private candidate in a course/courses he/she wishes to, with a view to improve his/her performance as per the current syllabi/courses being offered. For this purpose, he/she shall be given two chances, within a period of 5 years from the date of his/her passing the degree course. The candidate in the first instance shall be required to intimate all the courses in which he/she would like to improve his/her performance. He/she will then appear in the respective course/s at the main semester examination, i.e., for the course offered for First, Third and Fifth semesters of B.A. (Honours School) and First and Third semester of M.A. (Honours School) in the November/December examination and for the Second, Fourth and Sixth semesters of B.A. (Honours School) and only Second semester of M.A. (Honours School) in April/May examination. Improvement will not, however, be allowed in ‘On the Job Training’ which is offered in M.A. (Honours School) Semester IV. If he/she does not improve his/her performance in any course/s, he/she shall be eligible to do so in the following year in the semester examination concerned which would be treated as a second chance. The candidate shall be charged fee as prescribed by the Syndicate from time to time for each course, subject to the maximum admission fee prescribed for the semester concerned.”

ITEM VI

That the Rule with regard to appointment of External Examiners for B.Sc. in Hospitality & Hotel Administration and B.Sc. in Tourism Management be amended as under and given effect to from the session 2013-14:

| EXISTING RULE | PROPOSED RULE |
|--|---|
| <p>Practical Examiners (External) be allowed to be appointed from Hotel (with more than “3 Star” status in private sector and all public sector Hotels).</p> | <p>Practical Examiners (External) be allowed to be appointed from:</p> <p>(a) Hotels (with more than Three Star status in private and public sector Hotels).</p> <p style="text-align: center;">or</p> <p>(b) Officials from travel agencies/ tour operators/destination management agencies registered with/recognized by Ministry of Tourism, Government of India.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">or</p> <p>(c) Hotel Management/Tourism Management Institutes recognized by AICTE/NCHMCT/ UGC.</p> |

NOTE: It be ensured that the official from travelling agencies/tour operators/ destination management agencies registered with/recognized by the Ministry of Tourism, Government of India, not below the rank of Supervisor be appointed as Practical Examiner.

ITEM VII

That the action taken by the Vice-Chancellor in approving the following recommendations of the Faculty of Business Management & Commerce dated 24.12.2012, Para 8, be noted:

8. that the following provision for improvement in performance be incorporated in the Rules/Regulations for M.B.A. (Off-Campus) Examination and given effect to from the session 2010-11:
 1. A candidate who has qualified for the award of M.B.A. (Off-Campus) degree from Panjab University shall be allowed to re-appear as a private candidate in the paper/s in which he/she wants to improve his previous performance. For this purpose, two chances shall be given within a period of five years from the date of his/her passing the M.B.A. (Off-Campus) examination. The candidate will be charged the prescribed fee. Improvement will not, however, be allowed in assignment/dissertation/thesis and viva-voce.
 - *2. A person who is allowed to re-appear in the M.B.A. (Off-Campus) examination under this Regulation may re-appear in both Part I and Part II examination simultaneously or Part I or Part II or both the parts separately.
 3. Marks already obtained in Part I and Part II may be carried forward and combined with the other Part for purposes of improving the previous performance.
 4. A person who chooses to appear in both the parts separately, but finds that he/she has improved the previous performance even with the marks of one part, may not re-appear in the other part.
 5. The result of the candidate shall be declared only if he improves his performance provided further that such a person shall not be eligible for the award of any medal/prize for standing first in the examination.

***NOTE:** The candidate shall appear in 1st and 3rd Semester in November/ December Examinations and for

the 2nd and 4th Semester in
April/May Examinations.

ITEM XI

That Regulations 2(a) and 3 dealing with admission to P.G. Diploma in Guidance & Counselling, be amended as under and given effect to from the session 2013-14:

| PRESENT REGULATION | PROPOSED REGULATION |
|--|--|
| <p>2. The minimum qualification for admission to first semester of the course shall be –</p> <p>(a) A Bachelor’s or Postgraduate degree in any discipline of the University or a degree of any other University which has been recognized by the Syndicate as equivalent thereto with not less than 50% marks in the aggregate.</p> <p>3. Provided that in case of candidates having Bachelor’s degree of the University through Modern Indian Languages (Hindi/ Urdu/ Punjabi (Gurmukhi Script)) and/or in a Classical Languages (Sanskrit/ Persian/Arabic) or degree of any other University obtained in the same manner recognized by the Syndicate. 50% marks in the aggregate shall be calculated by taking into account full percentage of marks in all the papers in language excluding the additional optional paper, English and the elective subject taken together.</p> | <p>2. The minimum qualification for admission to first semester of the course shall be –</p> <p>(a) A Bachelor’s or Postgraduate degree in any discipline of the University or a degree of any other University which has been recognized by the Syndicate as equivalent thereto with not less than 45% marks in the aggregate.</p> <p>3. Provided that in case of candidates having Bachelor’s degree of the University through Modern Indian Languages (Hindi/ Urdu/ Punjabi (Gurmukhi Script)) and/or in a Classical Languages (Sanskrit/ Persian/Arabic) or degree of any other University obtained in the same manner recognized by the Syndicate. 45% marks in the aggregate shall be calculated by taking into account full percentage of marks in all the papers in language excluding the additional optional paper, English and the elective subject taken together.</p> |

ITEM XII

That, in view of the NCTE Notification dated 23.7.2010, Regulation 2.1 at page 295 of Panjab University Calendar, Volume-II, 2007 dealing with admission to Bachelor of Physical Education (B.P.Ed.) (Annual and Semester System), be amended, as under and given effect to from the academic session 2013-14:

| PRESENT REGULATION | PROPOSED REGULATION |
|--|--|
| <p>2.1 A person who has passed any one of the following examinations shall be eligible to join the course:</p> <p>(a) A Bachelor’s /Post-graduate degree in any discipline of the Panjab University obtaining not less than 45% marks.</p> | <p>2.1 A person who has passed any one of the following examinations shall be eligible to join the course:</p> <p>(a) Bachelor’s degree with Physical Education as an elective subject with 50% marks.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Or</p> |

| PRESENT REGULATION | PROPOSED REGULATION |
|--|---|
| <p>(b) A Bachelor's / Post-graduate degree in any discipline of another University recognized by the Syndicate as equivalent to (a) obtaining not less than 45% marks.</p> <p>Provided that a candidate admitted either under clause (a) or (b) qualifies in the Standard Efficiency Test, as defined by the Syndicate, at the time of admission to this course.</p> | <p>(b) Bachelor's degree with Physical Education as an elective subject with 45% marks and participation in National or State or Inter-University competitions in sports or games or athletics recognized by Association of Indian Universities or Indian Olympic Association.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">or</p> <p>(c) Bachelor's degree with 45% marks and having participated in National or State or Inter-University Sports or games or athletics.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">or</p> <p>(d) For deputed in-service candidates (i.e. trained physical education teachers/coaches), Graduation with 45% marks and at least three years' teaching experience.</p> <p>Provided that the reservation of seats for SC or ST or OBC and other categories shall be as in accordance with the Central Government or State Government rules. A relaxation of five per cent in marks in eligibility qualification shall be allowed to candidates belonging to those categories.</p> |

ITEM XIII

That –

- (1) the nomenclature of **Certificate Course in Physical Education (C.P.Ed.) (Two-Year) Teacher Education (Annual System)**, be changed to **Diploma in Physical Education (D.P.Ed.) (Two-Year) (Annual System)** w.e.f. the academic session 2013-14.
- (2) the Rules/Regulations for Certificate Course in Yoga and Mental Health (Add-On Course) w.e.f. the academic session 2013-14, as per (**Appendix-XXXVIII**), be approved.
- (3) the Rules/Regulations for M.Ed. Special Education – Mental Retardation (Semester System) w.e.f. the session 2013-14, as per (**Appendix-XXXVIII**), be approved.

ITEM XV

That addition to Regulation 7 at page 91 of Panjab University Calendar, Volume-II, 2007 regarding eligibility for promotion to 3rd and 4th Semesters in M.Sc. (Mathematics), be made, as under:

| EXISTING REGULATION | PROPOSED REGULATION |
|--|--|
| <p>7. To qualify for the grant of credits for a particular course, a candidate must get at least the pass marks. If he fails in the course, he will not get credit for it. He may repeat the course as a regular student in which he has failed when it is offered next. He may also be allowed to take the examination for such a course without attending the classes.</p> <p>If at the end of the second semester, the successfully completed courses remain less than 16 credits, he will not be allowed to join the third semester. At the end of the third semester, he must have successfully completed 24 credits to enable him to join fourth semester.</p> | <p>7. <u>No Change</u></p> <p>For M.Sc. (Mathematics), a person securing a minimum of 24 credits out of 40 (total number of credits in the 1st & 2nd semesters) will be eligible to get admission from 2nd to 3rd semester. However, admission from 1st to 2nd semester and 3rd to 4th semester will be automatic, without any condition.</p> |

ITEM XVI

That –

- (1) 10% weightage in total to those students who studied Mathematics or Statistics at +2 level, be given for admission to B.C.A. 1st Year class; and
- (2) 5% weightage in total to those students who studied Computer Science or Computer Applications or Information Technology or Information System at +2 level, be given.

NOTE: A candidate who secured 50% marks at +2 level and studied Mathematics or Statistics and Computer Science or Computer Applications or Information Technology or Information

System as the subjects, will have a total of 50% + 10% for Mathematics or Statistics + 5% for Computer Science or Computer Applications or Information Technology or Information System = 65% marks for the purpose of merit list.

ITEM XVII

That –

- (1) Advanced Diploma in Child Guidance and Family Counselling be kept in abeyance from the admissions of 2013.
- (2) the nomenclature of the **B.Sc. (Home Science) Interior Design Management**, be changed to **B.Sc. (Home Science) Interior Design & Resource Management**.

ITEM XVIII

That Regulation 2.1 dealing with admission to B.Sc. (Honours School) in Microbiology, be amended, as under and given effect to from the session 2013-14:

| PRESENT REGULATION | PROPOSED REGULATION |
|--|---|
| <p>2. A person who has passed one of the following examinations shall be eligible to join Bachelor of Science (Honours School) (Semester System) in Microbiology:</p> <p>“10+2 examination with at least 50% marks (45% marks in case of SC/ST) with Physics, Chemistry, Biology and English.”</p> | <p>2. A person who has passed one of the following examinations shall be eligible to join Bachelor of Science (Honours School) (Semester System) in Microbiology:</p> <p>“10+2 examination under 10+2+3 system of education conducted by a recognized Board/ University/ Council with 50% marks (45% marks in case of SC/ST/BC) with English, Physics, Chemistry, Mathematics/ Biology/ Biotechnology.”</p> |

ITEM XIX

That the OCET 2013 test for M.Sc. System Biology & Bioinformatics and M.Sc. Bioinformatics be common and the eligibility for OCET entrance test will be as under:

- (a) Eligibility for Entrance Test:

Bachelor’s degree in Science (General or Hons.) with Bioinformatics, Biotechnology, Biochemistry, Biology, Botany, Chemistry, Electronics, Genetics, Life Sciences, Mathematics, Mathematics & Computing, Microbiology, Physics, Statistics, Zoology, Agriculture, Computer Science, Engineering, Medicine, Pharmacy and Veterinary

Science with at least 50% marks (45% for candidates belonging to SC/ST category).

(b) Mode of Admission:

Entrance Test (50%) plus academic merit at graduation level.

The OCET 2013 test will be conducted for 43+2 (NRI) Seats with following distribution:

- (a) DAV College, Sector 10, Chandigarh – 15 Seats, M.Sc. Bioinformatics.
- (b) GGSDS College, Sector 32, Chandigarh – 15 Seats, M.Sc. Bioinformatics.
- (c) Centre for System Biology & Bioinformatics, P.U. Chandigarh - 13+2 (NRI) Seats, M.Sc. System Biology & Bioinformatics, Panjab University, Chandigarh.

ITEM XXI

That a provision for improvement in performance in the Regulations for Master in Public Health Course be made as per University Rules.

ITEM XXII

That choice of streams of Composite, Dietetics, Apparel and Textile Design, Human Development & Family Relation and Interior Design & Resource Management be made during B.Sc. Home Science 2nd year w.e.f the session 2013-14. The criteria for choice of streams be 50% marks obtained in 10+2 examination and 50% marks in B.Sc. Home Science 1st Year examination.

Referring to Sub-Item 29(I), Shri Ashok Goyal stated that the Geography is the only subject which falls in Faculty of Arts as well as Faculty of Science. Nowhere in the world, a subject falls in two Faculties. Therefore, it should be restricted to Faculty of Science alone. How the University was awarding M.A. and M.Sc. degrees to the students earlier for a one course? Secondly, he had been told that both Disaster Management and Remote Sensing & Geographic Information Systems courses are specialized courses. Though they are going to award them masters degree, are not allowing them to do Ph.D. in these subjects. He pleaded that the issue of allowing these students to do Ph.D. should be looked into.

The Vice-Chancellor said that the proposals made by Shri Ashok Goyal would be examined.

RESOLVED: That the above quoted recommendations of the Academic Council dated 19.06.2013, be approved.

Amendment in Rule

30. Considered if –

- (i) the following Rule 2 appearing at page 130 of Panjab University Calendar Volume III, 2009 with regard to the period of re-employment of teachers after superannuation, be amended:

| PRESENT RULE | AMENDMENT IN RULE |
|--|--|
| 2. The re-employment shall be after one day's break following the date of Superannuation. It shall be on a half-time basis for 3 years, subject to the available of funds. | 2. The re-employment shall be after one day's break following the date of Superannuation for a period of 5 years i.e. upto 65 years of age on contract basis. However, academically active report should be submitted after completion of every year of re-employment by the concerned faculty member through the HOD with an advance copy to DUI. Thus, usual one-day break will be there at the completion of every year during the period of re-employment. |

- (ii) the following Rule 1 appearing at page 130 of Panjab University Calendar Volume III, 2009 be deleted:

| PRESENT RULE | AMENDMENT IN RULE |
|---|--------------------------|
| No re-employment shall be made against an existing substantive post. All such re-employment shall be ex-cadre | Deleted |

Information contained in the office note **(Appendix-XXXIX)** was also taken into consideration.

RESOLVED: That –

- (i) the following Rule 2 appearing at page 130 of Panjab University Calendar Volume III, 2009, with regard to the period of re-employment of teachers after superannuation, be amended as under:

| PRESENT RULE | AMENDMENT IN RULE |
|--|---|
| 2. The re-employment shall be after one day's break following the date of Superannuation. It shall be on a half-time basis for 3 years, subject to the available of funds. | 2. The re-employment shall be after one day's break following the date of Superannuation for a period of 5 years i.e. up to 65 years of age on contract basis. However, academically active report should be submitted after completion of every year of re-employment by the concerned |

| | |
|--|---|
| | faculty member through the HOD with an advance copy to DUI. Thus, usual one-day break will be there at the completion of every year during the period of re-employment. |
|--|---|

(ii) the following Rule 1 appearing at page 130 of Panjab University Calendar Volume III, 2009 be deleted:

| PRESENT RULE | AMENDMENT IN RULE |
|---|--------------------------|
| No re-employment shall be made against an existing substantive post. All such re-employment shall be ex-cadre | Deleted |

Professor Keshav Malhotra expressed his thanks and gratitude to the Syndicate for allowing re-employment to the teachers for five years, i.e., up to the age of 65 years.

Arising out of the above, Professor Keshav Malhotra stated that he had read in the newspapers 2-3 days before that the Punjab Government had enhanced the age of retirement of its employees from 58 years to 60 years with effect from 1st October 2013. He, therefore, pleaded that the matter regarding enhancement in retirement age of employees of the University as well as affiliated Colleges from 60 years to 62 years should be taken up with the Punjab Government.

Dr. Jagwant Singh said that, normally, the retirement age is always increased uniformly. They had been representing to the Government again and again for raising the retirement age by two years across the board. In Punjab Government the retirement of Class IV employees was 60 years and as well as of the vocational teachers working in the Universities, affiliated Colleges and the employees of the Board. The relevant clause/s of Civil Services Rules was/were amended giving them re-employment for one year. He, therefore, pleaded that steps should be taken to enhance the retirement age of employees of the University and its affiliated Colleges by two years across the board.

The Vice-Chancellor accepted the proposal to take up the matter of enhancement of age of superannuation from 60 to 62 years for the teachers and employees of the Panjab University and its affiliated Colleges with the Government of Punjab at par with their decision to enhance the age of retirement from 58 to 60 years in the case of their own employees.

Issue regarding offering of appointment as Assistant Professor in UIPS to Mr. Suresh Thareja, who is placed on the Waiting List

31. Considered if the post of Assistant Professor in Pharmaceutical Chemistry at University Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Panjab University, Chandigarh, be offered to Mr. Suresh Thareja, who is on the Waiting List, as Dr. Raj Kumar, the selected candidate, has shown his inability to join the post on expiry of six months period of his extension.

NOTE: The Syndicate at its meeting held on 8.9.2012 & 6.10.2012 (Para 2(ix)) has approved the appointment of Dr. Raj Kumar, as Assistant Professor in Pharmaceutical Chemistry at University Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Panjab University, Chandigarh on one year's probation, in the pay-scale of ₹15600-39100+GP 6000/-.

Dr. Raj Kumar vide his e-mail of 07.03.2013 informed the D.R. Establishment that he cannot join the Panjab University due to some personal reasons which he had already stated in the last communication. However, he has stated that he shall be looking forward to serve the Panjab University in future, if a chance is given.

Shri Ashok Goyal stated that Regulation 15 at page 36 of P.U. Calendar, Volume I, 2007, says that "A Selection Committee while recommending a candidate for appointment to a post in the University, may also prepare a waiting list, in order of merit of not more than two persons, so that if the person appointed does not join, the person next on the waiting list may be offered the post. The waiting list, shall, however, be operative for a period of six months from the date of Syndicate meeting in which it is approved". Meaning thereby, the waiting list is operative for a period of six months from the date of Syndicate meeting, which in the case under consideration was 8.09.2012. Thus, the waiting list was operative up to 7.03.2013. Under the circumstances, Mr. Suresh Thareja could not be offered appointment as Assistant Professor in Pharmaceutical Chemistry at University Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Panjab University, Chandigarh.

Continuing, Shri Ashok Goyal stated that some persons deliberately seek extension in joining period beyond six months on one pretext or the other so that the person placed on the waiting list could not be offered appointment in the absence of their joining. He, therefore, suggested that extension in joining period should be given by the Vice-Chancellor selectively and, that too, not more than 3 or 4 months so that if the selected person did not join within the extension period, the appointment could be offered to the person placed on the waiting list.

RESOLVED: That the post of Assistant Professor in Pharmaceutical Chemistry at University Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Panjab University, Chandigarh, be **not** offered to Mr. Suresh Thareja, who is on the Waiting List.

RESOLVED FURTHER: That extension in joining period be granted by the Vice-Chancellor selectively and, that too, not more than 3 or 4 months so that if the selected person did not join within the extension period, the appointment could be offered to the person placed on the waiting list.

Transfer of post of Assistant Professor from Department of South Indian Languages to Department of Evening Studies

32. Considered if the post of Assistant Professor existing in the Department of South Indian Languages be transferred to Department of Evening Studies, in order to meet the requirement of the teachers in the subject of English.

RESOLVED: That, in order to meet the requirement of the teachers in the subject of English, a post of Assistant Professor existing in the Department of South Indian Languages, be transferred to Department of Evening Studies.

Amendment in Regulations

33. Considered the recommendations of the Faculty of Law dated 22.3.2013 (**Appendix-XL**) that the limit of marks in the aggregate for chance of improvement for LL.M. (Annual System) and LL.M. (Semester System) be enhanced from 55% to 60% and the following amendment in the existing Regulation 9 at page 398 of Panjab University Calendar Volume II, 2007 of LL.M. (Annual System) and Regulation 8 of LL.M. (Semester System), be made:

| Existing Regulation 9 at page 398 of P.U. Calendar Volume 2007 of LL.M. (Annual System) | Proposed Regulation 9 of LL.M. (Annual System) |
|---|--|
| LL.M. students who got/get less than 55% marks in aggregate shall be given chance for improvement from the date of passing the LL.M. degree examination. Provided that improvement in performance by a candidate shall not affect the inter-se merit position determined on the basis of original examination and those who have passed LL.M. would be allowed improvement chance within two years from the date of gazette notification by the Government of India i.e. 6.5.2006. | LL.M. students who got/get less than 60% marks in aggregate shall be given one chance for improvement. Provided that improvement in performance by a candidate shall not affect the inter-se merit position determined on the basis of original examination and those who have passed LL.M. would be allowed improvement chance within two years from the date of approval of this decision by the competent authority. |
| Existing Regulation 8 (LL.M. Semester System) | Proposed Regulation 8 (LL.M. semester System) |
| LL.M. students who get less than 55 per cent marks in the aggregate of all the four semester examination shall be given one chance for improvement within two years from the date of passing the LL.M. examination. Provided that improvement in performance by a candidate shall not affect his inter-se merit position determined on the basis of original examination. | LL.M. students who get less than 60 per cent marks in the aggregate of all the four semester examination shall be given one chance for improvement within two years from the date of passing the LL.M. examination Provided that improvement in performance by a candidate shall not affect his inter-se merit position determined on the basis of original examination. |

NOTE: The Syndicate at its meeting held on 27.1.2013 (Para 14) has decided that:

“Shri Ashok Goyal and Dr. Jagwant Singh said that as the wording of the item is not clear, it should be referred back to the Faculty of Law for clear-cut recommendation/s.

This was agreed to.”

Dr. Jagwant Singh said that in the May meeting of the Syndicate, he had enquired whether the approval of the Bar Council of India had been obtained for B.Com. LL.B. course. If the University had verified from its records and found that the permission of Bar Council of India had been obtained by the University, only then admissions to this course should have been made this year.

The Vice-Chancellor said that he would look into it.

Shri Ashok Goyal stated that they might face problem in this regard in future. If they had not sought permission for B.Com. LL.B. course from the Bar Council of India, they should not have made admissions to this courses at least for the session 2013-2014 because the future of the students is at stake. He learnt that the Bar Council of India had written a letter to the University asking how they had started this course, but the said letter had not been placed before the Syndicate.

The Vice-Chancellor said that they would try to dig out that letter.

Dr. Jagwant Singh said that if this is the position, they should not have gone ahead with the admissions to B.Com. LL.B. course.

It was stated that the Director, University Institute of Legal Studies, had met the Registrar yesterday. When it was enquired from her whether the B.Com. LL.B. course had been approved by the Bar Council of India (BCI), she informed that BCI had a list of approved courses, which included B.A.LL.B., B.Com.LL.B. and B.E.LL.B. courses. She had further informed that they had got permission to admit 180 students and had admitted 120 students to B.A.LL.B. and 60 to B.Com.LL.B. courses.

Shri Ashok Goyal stated that they had also made a regulation/rule that whosoever wanted to leave the course (B.A.LL.B. or B.Com.LL.B.) after 3 years, would be awarded B.A. (General) or B.Com. (General) degree, as the case may be, whereas the BCI does not allow this. The course which is not approved by the BCI, could not be treated as approved just because it existed in the list of courses approved by the BCI. The High Court had also directed the University to give 16 per cent weightage to the students who had passed +2 examination with Commerce Stream. He further said that if these courses did not have approval of the Bar Council of India, what will be the fate of the students. According to him, the first batch of B.Com. LL.B. students would be passing out next year. In case, they did not get license to do practice, who would be responsible for that. If they

say that they had sought permission from B.C.I., where is the record and what response they had got? He urged the Vice-Chancellor to look into the file and see as to how this course was started and how it has been approved by the Syndicate/Senate. He added that the approval for B.A.LL.B. was for 180 students and validity of the same had also expired.

The Vice-Chancellor said that he would give the feedback to the members in the next meeting.

Continuing, Shri Ashok Goyal stated that the Vice-Chancellor is the custodian of the University System/ Calendar. He had been given to understand that in response to the orders of the High Court, a meeting of the Faculty of Law was convened to take a decision whether any appeal needed to be filed against the orders of the High Court. Show him any provision in the Calendar where the Faculty would decide whether appeal is needed to be filed or not? It seemed that an independent system parallel to the Syndicate/Senate was functioning in the Department of Laws and University Institute of Legal Studies, wherein they themselves decide as to how admissions are to be made and defended by them. It could have been understood if the meeting of the teachers of the University Institute of Legal Studies had been convened under the Chairmanship of the Director of University Institute of Legal Studies to consider the issue of filing of appeal. Though the issue was purely academic and legal, it is being criticized. For them, the Syndicate and Senate do not exist. What are the contents of the Writ Petition, what arguments had been made by the University Counsel and on what ground the orders have been passed needed to be looked into. If the Vice-Chancellor is convinced that the order is challengeable, an appeal could be filed by taking an administrative decision and not what faculty felt. He added that it had been learnt that they are obtaining an undertaking from the students that they are taking admission on their own risk and responsibility. Further, the Merit List which had been displayed on the Notice Board is also not in order. Hence the admissions for the session 2013-14 will not be in order. Why the wrong criteria had been adopted while making the admissions? Some people are going to court for that also.

Dr. Jagwant Singh suggested that they should sit together to examine and find where they stand in this case. He suggested that a Small Committee comprising Professor A.K. Bhandari, Registrar; Dr. Jagwant Singh and Dr. Dinesh Talwar should be formed for the purpose.

This was agreed to.

RESOLVED: That the limit of marks in the aggregate for chance of improvement for LL.M. (Annual System) and LL.M. (Semester System) be enhanced from 55% to 60% and the following amendment in the existing Regulation 9 at page 398 of Panjab University Calendar Volume II, 2007 of LL.M. (Annual System) and Regulation 8 of LL.M. (Semester System), be made:

| | |
|--|---|
| <p>Existing Regulation 9 at page 398 of P.U. Calendar Volume 2007 of LL.M. (Annual System)</p> | <p>Proposed Regulation 9 of LL.M. (Annual System)</p> |
| <p>LL.M. students who got/get less than 55% marks in aggregate shall be given chance for improvement from the date of passing the LL.M. degree examination.</p> <p>Provided that improvement in performance by a candidate shall not affect the inter-se merit position determined on the basis of original examination and those who have passed LL.M. would be allowed improvement chance within two years from the date of gazette notification by the Government of India i.e. 6.5.2006.</p> | <p>LL.M. students who got/get less than 60% marks in aggregate shall be given one chance for improvement.</p> <p>Provided that improvement in performance by a candidate shall not affect the inter-se merit position determined on the basis of original examination and those who have passed LL.M. would be allowed improvement chance within two years from the date of approval of this decision by the competent authority.</p> |
| <p>Existing Regulation 8 (LL.M. Semester System)</p> | <p>Proposed Regulation 8 (LL.M. Semester System)</p> |
| <p>LL.M. students who get less than 55 per cent marks in the aggregate of all the four semester examination shall be given one chance for improvement within two years from the date of passing the LL.M. examination.</p> <p>Provided that improvement in performance by a candidate shall not affect his inter-se merit position determined on the basis of original examination.</p> | <p>LL.M. students who get less than 60 per cent marks in the aggregate of all the four semester examination shall be given one chance for improvement within two years from the date of passing the LL.M. examination</p> <p>Provided that improvement in performance by a candidate shall not affect his inter-se merit position determined on the basis of original examination.</p> |

Items 34 and 53 on the agenda were taken up for consideration together.

Introduction of certain courses at S.C.D. Government College, Ludhiana and P.G. Government College, Sector 11, Chandigarh, under the Community College Scheme

34. Considered the recommendation of the Committee dated 21.6.2013 (**Appendix-XLI**) constituted by the Vice-Chancellor with regard to introduction/Approval of Ordinance for Diploma in Software Development and Diploma in Stock Market Trading and Operations under the Community College Scheme, Government of India at S.C.D. Govt. College, Ludhiana for the session 2013-2014.

53. Considered letter dated 23.7.2013 (**Appendix-XLII**) received from Director Higher Education, U.T. Chandigarh, enclosing there with a brief note on the Community Colleges and need for its adoption for UT Chandigarh. This was discussed at length in the Planning Commission and Ministry of Human Resources Development joint review held on 22.7.2013 in Yojna Bhawan, New Delhi.

NOTE: 1. The DHE in its letter has also stated that Community Colleges in Postgraduate Government College, Sector-11,

Chandigarh is to be started from the current academic session, depending on the success of the scheme it can be implemented later in all Government/Government Aided Institutions of the UT of Chandigarh.

2. In the Syndicate meeting dated 15.4.2013 & 25.4.2013, the Vice-Chancellor said that since they had serious reservations and apprehensions about this item, the Chandigarh Administration would be requested that it would be better to open the Community Colleges in Chandigarh Polytechnic College.

This was agreed to.

Shri Tarsem Dhariwal said that the approval of the Syndicate is required to start Diploma in Software Development and Diploma in Stock Market Trading and Operations at SCD Govt. College, Ludhiana, for the session 2013-14, under the Community College Scheme of Government of India, even though the last date is already over.

The Vice-Chancellor stated that he along with the Vice-Chancellors of other Universities of the State has attended few meetings with Chief Minister, Punjab, in which Secretary, Higher Education and certain Senior Officers of Punjab Government were also present. In the said meetings, it was articulated that the notion of Community Colleges should be permitted to be introduced in the normal Colleges of the State because the purpose of the Community Colleges is to ensure the students go through specialized courses. Further, if one goes through the specialized course, one should be given some credit for the same. If one leaves the course, one can return to it later on. This kind of concept is being practised in foreign countries. It is an option for good students, who wanted to earn to finance their further studies. Also, it is something, which was being successfully practised in some States in Southern India. The Central Government is very keen for introducing such a scheme, though it did not reflect its keenness while introducing it in the Northern States. The Vice-Chancellors had observed that this notion of the Central Government/Scheme is worth consideration. Therefore, the proposal made by the Director, Higher Education, Punjab, needed to be given a serious thought.

Smt. Gurpreet Kaur Sapra stated that the concept of Framework of Community College System would provide modular credit based courses with entry and exit flexibility which strengthens National Vocational Education Qualifications Framework. She strongly requested, that to begin with, this proposal of Community College for Postgraduate Govt. College, Sector 11, Chandigarh, especially when it is strictly in accordance with National Vocational Education Qualifications Framework, should be accepted by the Syndicate.

Dr. Jagwant Singh stated that, in fact, it is an idea of Knowledge Commission. It is related to skill development which is the priority area and concern of the Governments and they wanted to push it. Initially, they were ready to implement it as this idea came

from the Govt., like many other ideas in the past, but when they got them implemented, they lost their meaning later. Hence, this scheme needs to be scrutinized. Firstly, there is some delay and, secondly, there is need for integration of some Diplomas and Advance Diplomas, etc. Thirdly, the syllabi also needed to be framed and approved by the competent bodies of the University.

Smt. Gurpreet Kaur Sapra intervened to say that flexibility for designing the syllabus has been left/given to the Institute.

Continuing, Dr. Jagwant Singh said that to him it seems that it is starting of Diplomas in Senior Secondary Schools, Polytechnic and other Colleges and the role of the Universities is primarily to give credit to these Diplomas and award degrees. How they are going to give the student the credit, is yet to be examined. How the previous schemes of the Govt., for which they were pushing earlier, had failed also needed to be kept in view. To him, it seems that they could not start these Diplomas at their own.

Smt. Gurpreet Kaur Sapra said that no College situated in Chandigarh could start these courses under the Community College Scheme without getting affiliation from the P.U.

Continuing further, Dr. Jagwant Singh said that most of the Certificates and Diploma Courses are similar to the Add-On Courses already being run by the Colleges/University.

The Vice-Chancellor said that microscopic examination is needed to be done by a Sub-Committee to be formed by the Syndicate.

Professor Nandita Singh said that this is improvement in the existing system. It seemed to be very innovative. Some planning should be done before taking any initiative.

Dr. Jagwant Singh said that since it is the priority area of the Government, they should accept it, in principal.

Shri Harpreet Singh Dua said that though the Government is showing keen interest in this new Scheme, but, is there any Government College, which had 50% or more faculty members on regular basis. There are several Government Colleges, which are functioning with only one regular teacher and in some Colleges not even a single regular teacher is there.

Shri Ashok Goyal stated that the scheme, formulated by the Government of India, is a very good scheme. There is no denying the fact that this scheme should have been accepted as brought to them earlier. Till now they have been discussing the negatives and the positives of the scheme. But he did not know whether anybody has been able to find anything negative in the scheme; rather, it is good for the students, industry and the country as well. However, almost on the same lines, the Add-On courses are being run. When they prepare themselves without proper consideration/examination/ planning just because the Colleges would be getting the funds and in a hurried manner the University started approving the Add-On courses, the result is before them to see. The Registrar and Controller of Examinations would bear with him that the situation in other Universities is not different. Since, the result of Add-On courses could

not be declared by the University well in time and the purpose of starting Add-On courses was never achieved, and Add-On courses were used by the Colleges as an instrument to collect funds from the UGC. There was a scheme of Innovative Courses. In that also, he remembered, that one of the courses was designed in the name of Master of Business Administration, Computer and Information Technology (MBACIT). This innovative course was approved by the University. The College sold that course in the name of MBA (Computer and Information Technology), whereas the course was MBACIT. It was also advertised in the newspapers that the Panjab University could not have any check except to find one day that the University had accepted/approved that course as MBACIT, that too, when after two years he pointed out the illegal business being done by the College. When the College changed the nomenclature of the course from MBACIT to MBA (CIT) nobody knew. Similar thing is happening now. They could see that the item is to allow introduction/approval of ordinance for Diploma in Software Development and Diploma in Stock Market Trading and Operations at SCD Government College, Ludhiana, under the Community College Scheme floated by the Government of India. Interestingly, the Committee constituted was to change the nomenclature of the course which is Diploma in Software Development and Diploma in Stock Market, Trading and Operations. Hence, they are going on the same path as earlier in the case of Innovative and Add-On courses. Syllabus is formulated only when, in principle, decision is taken to grant extension of affiliation. Referring to Item 53, he wonder why the framed syllabus has been got approved. It had also been mentioned that a Committee under the chairmanship of Dean of University Instruction was constituted for working out the modalities for the introduction of these courses from the ensuing session. The detailed course module for National Competency Certificate level in respect of all these courses along with affiliation fees had also been submitted to the University for final approval/grant of concept and course module. However, the same has not yet been approved by the University. The course modules in the subject of Retail Management and Financial Services were submitted by the concerned Board of Studies in the Faculty of Business Management & Commerce for approval and the Faculty had decided that first of all the concept of Community College be adopted by the Syndicate. However, the Faculty of Science has approved the course module for Health Care Education. The Chandigarh Administration has proposed to introduce the subjects of Health Care Education, Hospitality, Retail Management, Tourism & Financial Service in Postgraduate Government College, Sector-11, Chandigarh. But it is not even the Board of Studies, which has proposed the syllabus. The College had also written that the proposed Ordinance Scheme and Syllabus is attached as per Annexure. In the case of Colleges situated in Punjab, a Committee had been appointed which say that this syllabus be got approved from the Syndicate. Having said this, he does not blame anybody and do not say that people are doing it deliberately/ intentionally to create confusion or with some vested interest. Madam Sapra has explained in detail, but at the same time Govt. Medical College & Hospital, Sector 32, Chandigarh, has been trying for the last more than five years for increase in seats. The Chandigarh Administration was also keen for increasing intake over the years. But the College had not applied well in time and once they missed the deadline, they could not get the additional seats. However, this year, the College had got the seats increased. He can also understand the keenness of the Government of India, U.T. Administration and Punjab Government and he is also equally with

the Government in general, but at the same time the regulations do not permit them to consider such a proposal at this belated stage. Had the regulations permitted them, he would have no hesitation in suggesting approval by the Syndicate. If they themselves are not competent to consider and if they take decision in the form of 'yes' then they have to take into consideration one fact that, could they tell/ask tomorrow the other Colleges not to submit their application in the month of May for affiliation/extension of affiliation. Is there any provision in the Regulations to deal with peculiar circumstances and such other things? There is not. Since their hands are tied, they could not do it. Therefore, these courses under the Community College Scheme of the Government of India have to be started from the next academic session, i.e., session 2014-15. Is there any bar that if these are not started from the session 2013-14, they could not be started from the session 2014-15? The University which have to see as to how many students could be allowed to be admitted, how much fee is to be taken, how much infrastructure is there in the Colleges concerned and also prepare itself for the conduct the examinations, should also be given ample time to prepare for these courses. The University has to take everything to the respective Board of Studies, Faculties for approval of Syllabi and approval of the Syndicate/Senate as well. Had this idea been come in the month of November, he would have been the first person to plead for approval of extension of affiliation for these courses because the scheme is for the benefit of the students of Chandigarh, Punjab, Panjab University and its affiliated Colleges. Why they should have said no? But the impression should not be taken as if the members of the Syndicate are against the proposal. As far as affiliation from Panjab University for such things is concerned, as a matter of right, the U.T. Administration has already exercised that right on the University, but at the same time they should also be given right to express their limitation/s. Then the University has to work hard to find some via-media. Earlier, when they were to open Regional Centre at Muktsar, it was openly announced by the Punjab Government that they would give land and a recurring grant of Rs.5 crore every year as the then Chief Minister, Punjab, was very much interested in the Regional Centre. But in reality what they had faced, everybody knew.

RESOLVED: That the proposal for the introduction/ Approval of Ordinance for Diploma in Software Development and Diploma in Stock Market Trading and Operations at S.C.D. Government College, Ludhiana, and introduction of Health Care Education, Hospitality, Retail Management, Tourism and Financial Services courses in PG Government College, Sector-11, Chandigarh, under the Community College Scheme, be accepted, in principle. Whether these courses could be started from the academic session 2013-14, be looked into by a Committee comprising Professor Keshav Malhotra, Dr. Jagwant Singh, Dr. R.P.S. Josh, Director, Higher Education, U.T. Chandigarh and Director, Higher Education, Punjab.

**Rectification in
Syndicate decision dated
29.02.2012 (Para 16)**

35. Item 35 on the agenda was read out, viz. –

35. To rectify the following decision of the Syndicate meeting dated 29.02.2012 (Para 16) with regard to counting of service rendered by Shri Gautam Bahl, Assistant Librarian, prior to joining the Panjab

University at T.S. Central State, Library, Sector 17,
Chandigarh:

| Existing | Proposed |
|---|--|
| <p>Resolved that- the period of service rendered by Shri Gautam Bahl, Assistant Librarian, A.C. Joshi Library, prior to joining the Panjab University at T.S. Central State, Library Sector 17 as Librarian from 7.08.2004 to 19.03.2007, be counted and the same be taken into account for purpose of eligibility for internal promotion scheme i.e. Senior scale as Career Advancement Scheme of the UGC w.e.f date on which he joined the University i.e. 20.03.2007.</p> | <p>Resolved that -</p> <p>(i) the period of service rendered by Shri Gautam Bahl, Assistant Librarian, A.C. Joshi, Library (in the identical pay-scale) prior to joining the Panjab University at T.S. Central State, Library, Sector 17 as Librarian from 7.08.2004 to 19.03.2007 be counted and the same be taken into account for the purpose of eligibility for internal promotion scheme i.e. senior scale as Career Advancement Scheme (CAS) of the UGC w.e.f. the date on which he joined the University i.e. 20.3.2007.</p> <p>However, this period cannot be counted for the purpose of seniority.</p> <p>(ii) for counting past service for retrial benefits rendered by him at the previous institutions, the Service and Conduct Rule/ Regulation 15.2 (page 131-132) in the P.U. Calendar, Volume I, 2007 be kept in mind.</p> <p>(iii) The Pension Regulation available in P.U. Calendar, Volume I, 2007 under Chapter-X be also kept in mind.</p> |

- NOTE:**
1. An office note enclosed (**Appendix-XLIII**).
 2. The Syndicate in its meeting dated 4.11.2012 (Para 8) (**Appendix-XLIII**) resolved that the consideration of the Item 8 be deferred. In the meantime, the applicability of Regulation be examined.

In pursuance of the above decision of the Syndicate a committee was constituted to examine the applicability of the Regulations, which recommended (dated 13.2.2013) (**Appendix-XLIII**) as under:

“That the Regulations concerning counting of previous service & pension as stated may be ignored, as they are not relevant to the present case and the original existing decision of the Syndicate meeting dated 29.2.2012 (Para 16) may be allowed to be stand as such”.

RESOLVED: That the decision of the Syndicate dated 29.02.2012 (Para 16) with regard to counting of service rendered by Shri Gautam Bahl, Assistant Librarian, prior to joining the Panjab University at T.S. Central State, Library, Sector 17, Chandigarh, be ratified, as under:

| Existing | Proposed |
|---|--|
| <p>Resolved that- the period of service rendered by Shri Gautam Bahl, Assistant Librarian, A.C. Joshi Library, prior to joining the Panjab University at T.S. Central State, Library Sector 17 as Librarian from 7.08.2004 to 19.03.2007, be counted and the same be taken into account for purpose of eligibility for internal promotion scheme i.e. Senior scale as Career Advancement Scheme of the UGC w.e.f date on which he joined the University i.e. 20.03.2007.</p> | <p>Resolved that -</p> <p>(i) the period of service rendered by Shri Gautam Bahl, Assistant Librarian, A.C. Joshi, Library (in the identical pay-scale) prior to joining the Panjab University at T.S. Central State, Library, Sector-17 as Librarian from 7.08.2004 to 19.03.2007 be counted and the same be taken into account for the purpose of eligibility for internal promotion scheme i.e. senior scale as Career Advancement Scheme (CAS) of the UGC w.e.f. the date on which he joined the University i.e. 20.3.2007.</p> <p>However, this period cannot be counted for the purpose of seniority.</p> <p>(ii) for counting past service for retrial benefits rendered by him at the previous institutions, the Service and Conduct Rule/ Regulation 15.2 (page 131-132) in the P.U. Calendar, Volume I, 2007 be kept in mind.</p> <p>(iii) The Pension Regulation available in P.U. Calendar, Volume I, 2007 under Chapter-X be also kept in mind.</p> |

Modification in earlier decision of the Syndicate dated 27.01.2013 (Para 34) with regard to addition in Rule

36. Considered and

RESOLVED: That the earlier decision of the Syndicate dated 27.1.2013 (Para 34) (**Appendix-XLIV**) with regard to addition in Rule 8, at page 326 of P.U. Calendar, Volume III, 2009 meant for admission to Master of Philosophy in English only, be amended as under and given effect to w.e.f. the session 2013-14:

| Existing Rules | Proposed Rules |
|---|-----------------------|
| The applications of the candidates who have | No Change |

| | |
|---|---|
| <p>already passed M.A./M.Sc./M.Sc. (Honours School) may be processed according to the following criteria:</p> <p>(a) Academic Record: 60%</p> <p>(i) Intermediate or equivalent Examination Recognized by the Syndicate 10%</p> <p>(ii) Bachelor's Degree 20%</p> <p>(iii) Master's Degree in the concerned subject 30%</p> <p>(b) Admission Test: 40%</p> <p>(i) Written 35%</p> <p>(ii) Oral 5%</p> <p>(c) The Subject of Music being a performing part, the distribution of marks under the Admission Test should be as under:</p> <p>(i) Written Test 20%</p> <p>(ii) Practical Test (Oral) 20%</p> <p>In order to be eligible, a candidate; must obtain at least 50% marks in the aggregate (written and Oral) of Admission Test.</p> | <p>No Change</p> <p>No Change</p> <p>The admission to M.Phil. course in English of the Department English and Cultural Studies may be processed according to the following criteria:</p> <p>(a) Academic Record: 60%</p> <p>(i) Intermediate or equivalent examination Recognized by the Syndicate 5%</p> <p>(ii) Bachelor's Degree 15%</p> <p>(iii) Honours Degree in the concerned subject 10%</p> <p>(iv) Master's Degree in concerned subject 30%</p> <p>(b) Admission Test: 40%</p> <p>(i) Written 24%</p> <p>(ii) Interview 16%</p> <p>In order to be eligible, a candidate must obtain qualifying marks of 12 out of 24 (i.e. 50%) in the written exam which is mandatory. Candidates will be called for interview only if they secure 50% in the written exam. In the oral examination, it is again mandatory for candidates to secure 8 out of 16 (i.e. 50%) independently of the</p> |
|---|---|

| | |
|--|---|
| | <p>written exam, to secure an overall 50% in both the written exam and the interview.</p> <p>It is only after candidates secure 50% in both written and oral examination that the overall merit list will be prepared by consulting their academic records.</p> |
|--|---|

- NOTE:**
1. The criteria for Reserved category may continue to be the same as stated in Rule 7, Page 326 of Vol. III of the University Calendar.
 2. However, Rule 6, page 326 of Vol. III, which states that there would be no distinction between students possessing Pass course Degrees and Honours School Degrees for purposes of admission to the M.Phil. course should be replaced by “there would be no distinction between students possessing Pass course and Honours School Degree for purposes of admission to M.Phil. course, **except admission to M. Phil. in the Department of English and Cultural Studies.**”

Addition/deletion in Hostel Rules printed in P.U. Handbook

37. Considered minutes dated 7.5.2013 (**Appendix-XLV**) of the Committee regarding addition/deletion in the Panjab University Handbook of Hostel Rules for the session 2013-14.

RESOLVED: That the recommendations of the Committee dated 07.05.2013, as per **Appendix-XLV**, be approved.

Sanction of Rs.28.00 lacs for installation of second elevator in Boys Hostel No.8

38. Considered the recommendation of the Vice-Chancellor to sanction ₹28.00 lacs for installation of second elevator in Boys Hostel No. 8 in P.U. Campus out of the Budget Head ‘Development Fund Account’ under Rules 11 & 12. The information contained in the office note (**Appendix-XLVI**) was also taken into consideration.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that the deadline for installation of elevator in Girls Hostels had expired two years ago. Even the extension for installation had also expired, which was December 2012, but still the elevator had not been installed.

RESOLVED: That a sum of Rs.28.00 lac, be sanctioned for installation of second elevator in Boys Hostel No.8 at Panjab University Campus, out of Budget Head “Development Fund Account”, under Rules 11 and 12.

Transfer of money from the Budget Head “Conduct of Exams” to “Amalgamated Fund”

39. Considered if a sum of ₹1,00,000/- sanctioned by the Vice-Chancellor out of the budget “Conduct of Exams.” be transferred to “Amalgamated Fund” Code No. 00160 of the Sports Department to meet the expenses of electricity and water charges bills for use of Gymnasium Complex for Spot Evaluation of Answer-Books for Examinations 2013, in order to meet the audit objection.

After some discussion, it was –

RESOLVED: That, in order to meet the audit objection, a sum of ₹1,00,000/- sanctioned by the Vice-Chancellor out of the budget "Conduct of Exams.", be transferred to "Amalgamated Fund" Code No. 00160 for onward payment of expenses to pay the electricity and water charges bills for use of Gymnasium Complex for Spot Evaluation of Answer-Books for Examinations 2013.

Issue regarding giving concession in tuition fee and other non-refundable charges to SC/ST students in self-financing courses

40. Considered if concession in tuition fee and other non-refundable charges be given to SC/ST students belonging to Punjab State for admission in self-financing courses of the Panjab University and its Regional Centres.

NOTE: The Syndicate dated 31.7.2011 (Para 53(L)) resolved as under:

The Vice-Chancellor in anticipation of approval of the Syndicate/Senate has allowed that the tuition fee and other non-refundable charges be not taken from the SC/ST students belonging to Punjab State at the time of admission in the various courses **other than self-financing courses of the Panjab University and its Regional Centres for the session 2011-2012.**

Professor Keshav Malhotra said that the concession in tuition fee and other non-refundable charges to SC/ST students belonging to Punjab State should be given only in courses other than self-financing courses. He, therefore, suggested that the existing practice should continue.

Dr. I.S. Sandhu said that when the SC/ST students are being given various benefits under the reserved categories and are also being admitted in various courses under the reserved categories as well as under open category, they should not be given any more benefit.

After some further discussion, it was –

RESOLVED: That tuition fee and other non-refundable charges, be charged from the SC/ST students belonging to Punjab State admitted in self-financing courses being offered at the Panjab University and its Regional Centres.

Recommendation of the Committee dated 13.6.2013 regarding unspent balance of Rs.218.26 lacs for carry forward for next financial year

41. Considered the recommendations of the Committee dated 6.2013 (**Appendix-XLVII**), and

RESOLVED: That –

1. the funds of the TEQIP Project be allowed to be kept in the name of Head of the concerned Department in a separate bank account in any nationalized bank. The unspent balance of grant received in TEQIP project, which was received in the name of Registrar, be transferred in the account to be opened in the name of Director, UIET;
2. the internal Auditor appointed through SPFU and NPIU under TEQIP guidelines shall conduct the necessary audit as per the Regulation 18, under Chapter II(A)(iii) of P.U. Calendar Volume 2007;
3. special exemption be given with respect to requirement of Audit by R.A.O., under Rule 12.4 of P.U. Accounts Manual in respect of TEQIP Project; and
4. the concerned Department shall maintain proper books of account including cash book, stock/asset registers, classified register of income and expenditure as per the rules of the University. All the statement of expenditure and utilization certificate relating to TEQIP Project shall be prepared and submitted by the respective departments at their own level after getting it audited from the Auditor appointed through SPFU & NPIU. The copy of report of the Auditor appointed through NPIU shall be submitted to the Registrar for information. After the close the project all the assets acquired under the project and non-consumable items shall be transferred to the stock register/asset register of the concerned department. A certificate to this effect shall be submitted to the Registrar after the closure of the scheme.

NOTE: As informed by Professor Renu Vij, Director UIET and Professor R.K. Chhabra, Chairperson, UICET, the guidelines of the funding agency of TEQIP scheme provide that the accounts of this project are subject to internal audit by an auditor to be appointed by the State Project Facilitation Unit (**SPFU**) and National Project Implementation Unit (**NPIU**). In such cases, there is no need for having audit of bills by the office of Resident Audit Officer as it would amount to double processing of the same files. It was further informed to the members

that the funds of TEQIP project/scheme is to be kept in the name of head of the concerned department in a separate bank account in any nationalized bank.

In this regard, the members perused the relevant rules and regulation of the University as reproduced below:-

- i) Regulation 18, Chapter II(A)(iii), P.U. Cal. Vol. I (2007)

“for concurrent audit of University accounts and of all the bills before they are paid, an Auditor may be appointed by the Government on request from the University. In case the Government does not accede to this request, the Senate shall appoint an Auditor for the purpose. The Auditor shall hold office for such period and shall receive such remuneration as the Senate may sanction from time to time.”

- ii) Rule 12.4 under Chapter XII of **P.U. Accounts Manual** the accounts of the schemes/projects shall be audited by the Resident Audit Officer of the University.

Regarding the Regulation 18, it was discussed that the Auditor is to be appointed by the Government and as per the guidelines of the funding agency as informed by Professor Renu Vig, the internal Auditors shall be appointed through SPFU (State Project Facilitation Unit) which is the unit of Chandigarh Administration and NPIU (National Project Implementation Unit) which is the unit of the Ministry of Human Resource and Development.

However, as per Rule 12.4 of P.U. Accounts Manual, the accounts of the schemes/

projects shall be audited by Resident Audit Officer of the University and therefore, a special exemption needs to be obtained from the Syndicate in respect of TEQIP projects under University Institute of Engineering & Technology and Dr. S. S. Bhatnagar University Institute of Chemical Engineering & Technology.

Guidelines for utilizing the sanctioned amount under certain Budget Heads

42. Considered the following guidelines for utilizing the amount of ₹12.70 lac sanctioned to the Department of Physics out of the Budget Head “Lab charges for students against receipts (B.Sc. Physics & Electronics- ₹5,50,000/-, M.Sc. Physics & Electronics - ₹7,20,000/-), code No. 25/ N/400 (Non-plan)” for the following items:

“Laboratory equipments /furniture/computers/ printers/ computer spare parts/chemicals/glass ware/toner/ cartridge/ stationary/repair of equipment/ renovation / augmentation of the lab/ electrical items/electronics items/analytical charges etc.”

NOTE: 1. As far as guidelines for utilizing the amount is concerned, Panjab University general rules which are in vogue such as expenditure up to ₹10,000/- by the Head of the Department, amount between ₹10,001/- to ₹4,99,000/- by way of quotations, and ₹5,00,000 and above by way of calling tenders etc. could be implemented.

2. An office note enclosed (**Appendix-XLVIII**)

RESOLVED: That the following guidelines, be approved, for utilizing the amount of ₹12.70 lac sanctioned to the Department of Physics out of the Budget Head “Lab charges for students against receipts (B.Sc. Physics & Electronics - ₹5,50,000/-, M.Sc. Physics & Electronics - ₹7,20,000/-), code No. 25/N/400 (Non-plan)” for the items mentioned below:

“Laboratory equipments /furniture/ computers/ printers/ computer spare parts/ chemicals/ glass ware/ toner/ cartridge/ stationary/ repair of equipment/ renovation/ augmentation of the lab/ electrical items/electronics items/ analytical charges etc.”

Request Mrs. Nimmi T. Singh W/o Late S. Tarlochan Singh Mardan, Retd. Superintendent for condoning delay in submission of form for family pension

43. Considered request dated 02.07.2013 (**Appendix-XLIX**) received from Mrs. Nimmi T. Singh wife of Late S. Tarlochan Singh Mardan (Superintendent, Examinations Branch), to condone the delay in submission of form for the family pension as a Special Case.

- NOTE:**
1. The Syndicate at its meeting held on 15.5.2013 & 29.6.2013 has decided that the last date for exercising family pension be extended up to 30th June 2013.
 2. The application of Mrs. Nimmi T. Singh was received in the Office on **2.7.2013**, whereas the last date for receipt of option form was fixed by the Syndicate on 30.6.2013, (being Sunday) it was **further extended up to 1.7.2013**.

RESOLVED: That request dated 02.07.2013 (**Appendix-XLIX**) received from Mrs. Nimmi T. Singh wife of Late S. Tarlochan Singh Mardan (Superintendent, Examinations Branch), to condone the delay in submission of form for the family pension as a Special Case, be acceded to.

Amendment in Rule for Additional seat for Only Single Girl Child

44. Considered if request dated 10.4.2013 (**Appendix-L**) received from Sandeep Kapoor, for incorporating amendment in the Rule for additional seat for Only Single Girl child in various courses of Panjab University and has requested to include the second girl child in the case when the elder girl child happens to be severely mentally retarded and is not in a position to lead a normal life..

- NOTE:** The Syndicate has approved two additional seats for the only sibling (single) girl child for admission in each course in the P.U., teaching Departments, Regional Centre and its affiliated Colleges have been allowed provided she is otherwise eligible from all angles vide circular No. Misc/A-6/27738-28037 dated 1.4.2013 (**Appendix-L**).

Shri Ashok Goyal stated that, in fact, they had started with single girl child, but thereafter they extended the benefit to one of the girls, whose parents had even two girls. Meaning thereby, anybody having two girls, one of them was entitled for benefit subject to the condition that there is documentary proof that the other girl is not claiming or had not claimed the said benefit in other Institution. But they did not rename the category. They claimed that those who did not take the second chance are entitled to this benefit, but the persons, who took the second chance and had two girls, could not avail this benefit. What was their fault? Ultimately, one of the persons, who had only single girl child, challenged that how the University was giving the concession of single girl child to one of the two girl children in the High Court and when the High Court objected to it, the rule was amended again and the concession started to be given only to single girl child. However, the law does not bar them from giving concession to one of the two girl children. Why could not they say that concession be given subject to maximum of two girl children only. Provided further that the benefit would be given only to

one girl. He pleaded that they should resolve it in the above-said manner.

Smt. Gurpreet Kaur Sapra said that 'Single Girl Child' meant only one child. Even if a person for whatever reason/s has two girl children, he/she should not be given this benefit.

The Vice-Chancellor said that somebody should collect the whole data and history pertaining to this issue so the item is brought back for proper discussion/ deliberation.

RESOLVED: That the consideration of Item be deferred.

**Resolution proposed by
Shri Deepak Kaushik,
Fellow**

45. Considered the following Resolution proposed by Shri Deepak Kaushik, Fellow:.

"Cashless facility for Medical treatment at the approved Hospitals/Institutions for the employees/retired employees of the Panjab University, may be provided".

EXPLANATION

Since the cost of the Medical treatment has considerably increased manifold, generally the employees/retired employees cannot afford to make payments at the spot especially in case of emergencies. The cashless treatment facility will be greatly helpful to save the life of the patient employees. Most of the major public/private organizations/ institutions have already implemented the scheme of cashless treatment for their employees.

After some discussion, it was –

RESOLVED: That the above Resolution proposed by Shri Deepak Kaushik, a Fellow, along with explanatory note, be referred to a Committee to be constituted by the Vice-Chancellor for consideration in the first instance.

**Issue regarding
equivalence of Exams
of C.M.J. University,
Shillong (Meghalaya)**

46. Item 46 on the agenda was read out, viz. –

46. To review the equivalence already granted to the Examinations conducted by the C.M.J. University, Shillong (Meghalaya) in view of the recommendation of the Hon'ble Governor of Meghalaya, as informed by the Principal Secretary to the Governor vide letter No. GSMG/CMJU/82/2009/Vol. II/493 dated 12.6.2013, that the C.M.J. University, Shillong (Meghalaya) be dissolved in terms of Section 48 of the C.M.J. University Act, 2009:

- NOTE:**
1. The session from which the above decision is to be made effective should also be decided.
 2. A copy of the Urgent Public Notice issued by the University Grants Commission on its website enclosed **(Appendix-LI)**.

Dr. Jagwant Singh said that he had raised the issue of Ph.D. degrees being awarded by C.M.J. University, Shillong (Meghalaya), wherein the candidates did not even know the title of their Ph.D. theses.

Dr. R.P.S. Josh suggested that a clarification should be sought as to which date the degrees awarded by C.M.J. University, Shillong (Meghalaya) have been derecognized.

Shri Ashok Goyal stated that on 12.06.2013, the Principal Secretary to the Governor, Meghalaya had informed that the C.M.J. University, Shillong (Meghalaya) be dissolved in terms of Section 48 of the C.M.J. University Act, 2009. He thought that the University had been recommended to be dissolved on the basis of degrees being awarded illegally. If any degree for which they are not ready to grant equivalence, could they accept it for the purpose of employment? Therefore, any degree awarded by C.M.J. University, Shillong (Meghalaya) whether awarded before or after 12.06.2013, but brought to the Panjab University for consideration should not be recognized except the cases where the degrees had already been recognized, including for employment purposes. Further, the candidates, whose cases of appointment have come after 12.06.2013 or would come later on, should not be approved automatically irrespective of the date the degree was awarded.

Dr. Jagwant Singh and Dr. Tarlok Bandhu said that the degrees awarded by C.M.J. University, Shillong (Meghalaya) have been derecognized on the basis that the degrees were illegally awarded by it during the last 2-3 years.

Dr. Tarlok Bandhu said that certain candidates had done Ph.D. from C.M.J. University, Shillong (Meghalaya), within a period of two years. The Maharashtra State had quashed the appointment of all the persons who had got degree/s from C.M.J. University, Shillong. They could also take similar action.

The Vice-Chancellor said that since the admissions of candidates who have passed examination/s from C.M.J. University are allowed provisionally, the same could be cancelled. However, to nullify the appointments already happened, they had to get the matter legally examined. Moreover, as observed by the members, it would also be checked as to what the Maharashtra Government is doing on this issue.

This was agreed to.

RESOLVED: That the degree/s awarded by C.M.J. University, Shillong (Meghalaya), irrespective of year of award of degree, which are placed or are to be placed before the Registrar or Vice-Chancellor or the Syndicate after 12.06.2013, be **not** granted equivalence.

At this stage, Smt. Gurpreet Kaur Sapra stated that certain candidates applied for re-evaluation and the answer-books of 2-3 candidates got mixed up with other class/college, due to which their result were declared late. The candidates had applied for admission in Government Arts College. Since the results of these candidates were declared 3-4 days after the finalization of merit list, they could not get admission. The University had to take a call to streamline the re-evaluation system because it is detrimental to the interests of the

students. She, therefore, pleaded that re-evaluation system should be revamped in such a manner that, in future, the results of re-evaluation are declared within a stipulated time.

Continuing, Smt. Gurpreet Kaur Sapra, referring to Item C-52 (Supplementary Agenda) regarding alternative system of Internal Assessment in Colleges, stated that the existing system of award of Internal Assessment on the basis of House Tests is not doing well, especially due to wastage of valuable days meant for teaching during the days of examinations. Maybe, they would not be able to discuss the issue in detail in this meeting, but the issue should be considered and finalized at the earliest. If need be, a brain-storming session should be earmarked for the same because they should not continue to waste the number of valuable teaching days.

Sanction of Rs.9,63,370/- for development of Children Park in Sector 25, Residential Complex

47 Considered if an amount of ₹9,63,370/- be sanctioned/approved out of the Budget-Head 'Development Fund' (Rule 3) for the work of development of Children Park, opposite Main Store, Sector-25, Residential Complex for comfort and convenience of the Residents of Sector-25.

NOTE: An office note along with detailed estimates for the development of park in Sector-25, Residential complex attached as **(Appendix-LII)**.

RESOLVED: That an amount of ₹9,63,370/- be sanctioned/approved out of the Budget-Head 'Development Fund' (Rule 3) for the work of development of Children Park, opposite Main Store, Sector-25, Residential Complex, for comfort and convenience of the Residents of Sector-25.

Sanction of Rs.8,53,000/- for providing Walking Track in the proposed Children Park in Sector 25, Residential Complex

48. Considered if an amount of ₹8,53,000/- be sanctioned/approved out of the Budget-Head 'Development Fund' (Rule 3) for providing Walking Track in the proposed Children Park opposite Main Store, Sector-25, Residential Area Campus, P.U., Chandigarh.

NOTE: An office note along with detailed estimates for providing Walking Track in the proposed Children Park in Sector-25, Residential Area attached as **(Appendix-LIII)**.

RESOLVED: That an amount of ₹8,53,000/- be sanctioned/approved out of the Budget-Head 'Development Fund' (Rule 3) for providing Walking Track in the proposed Children Park opposite Main Store, Sector-25, Residential Area Campus, P.U., Chandigarh.

Agenda Items 49 and 50 being Ratification and Information Items, these be read under Items 58A and 58B.

Extension in the term of present Deans

51. Considered the recommendations of the Vice-Chancellor that the following present Deans be allowed to continue for one more year, or up to the date of their retirement, whichever is earlier:

| Sr. No. | Name of the faculty members | Designation |
|----------------|--|-----------------------------|
| 1. | Professor Amrik Singh Ahluwalia Department of Botany | Dean Student Welfare |
| 2. | Professor Kalpana K. Mahajan Department of Statistics | DSW (Women) |
| 3. | Professor Neelam Grover USOL | Dean Alumni Relations |
| 4. | Professor Gurmail Singh Department of Economics | Dean International Students |

NOTE: The relevant Regulations read as under:

I. Dean of Student Welfare

- (i) The term of appointment of the present Dean of Student Welfare, Professor Amrik Singh Ahluwalia, Department of Botany is with effect from **01.08.2011 to 31.07.2013**.
- (ii) Regulation 1 at page 107 of P.U. Calendar, Volume I, 2007:

“The Senate may, on the recommendation of the Vice-Chancellor and the Syndicate, appoint a Dean of Student Welfare for such a period and on such terms and conditions as may be determined by them.”

II. Dean of Student Welfare (Women)

- (i) The term of appointment of the present Dean of Student Welfare (Women), Professor Kalpana K. Mahajan, Department of Statistics is with effect from **12.08.2010 to 11.08.2013**.
- (ii) Regulation 1 at page 107 of P.U. Calendar, Volume I, 2007:

“The Senate may, on the recommendation of the Vice-Chancellor and the Syndicate, appoint a Dean of Student Welfare (Women) for such a period and on such terms and conditions as may be determined by them.

III. Dean of Alumni Relations

- (i) The term of appointment of the present Dean of Alumni Relations, Professor Neelam Grover, University School of Open Learning (USOL), is with effect from **23.11.2011 to 22.11.2013**.
- (ii) Regulation 1 at page 109 of P.U. Calendar, Volume 1, 2007:

“The Senate on the recommendation of the Vice-Chancellor and the Syndicate may appoint a Dean of Alumni Relations. Such appointment may be renewed from year to year but the maximum period for which a person may hold this office shall not exceed five (consecutive) years.”

IV. Dean of International Students

- (i) The term of appointment of the present Dean of International Students, Professor Gurmail Singh, Department of Economics is with effect from **01.8.2011 to 31.07.2013**.
- (ii) Regulation 1 at page 108 of P.U. Calendar, Volume 1, 2007:

“The Senate on the recommendation of the Syndicate may from time to time, appoint one of the University Professors to hold the office of Dean of Foreign Students. The term of appointment shall be for one year, renewable from year to year, but the maximum period shall not exceed three years (consecutive). The amount and nature of the allowance to be granted to the Dean of Foreign Students for performing the duties attached to the office shall be determined by the Syndicate at the time of his appointment.”

Dr. Dinesh Talwar proposed the name of Dr. Navdeep Goyal, Department of Physics, for appointment as Dean of Student Welfare and Professor Nandita Singh, Department of Education for appointment as Dean of Student Welfare (Women).

Professor Keshav Malhotra seconded the above proposals. Several other members also concurred with these suggestions.

The Vice-Chancellor said that he is ready to work with any person for the responsibilities of the University. However, it is to be kept in view that the names being recommended by the Syndicate would need acceptance by the Senate.

After some further discussion, it was –

RESOLVED: That it be recommended to the Senate that –

- (1) Dr. Navdeep Goyal, Department of Physics, be appointed Dean of Student Welfare for a period of one year with effect from 01.08.2013, under Regulation 1 at page 107 of P.U. Calendar, Volume I, 2007;
- (2) Professor Nandita Singh, Department of Education, be appointed Dean of Student Welfare (Women) for a period of one year with effect from 12.08.2013, under Regulation 1 at page 107 of P.U. Calendar, Volume I, 2007;
- (3) Professor Neelam Grover, University School of Open Learning, be appointed Dean Alumni Relations, with effect from 23.11.2013 to 28th February 2014, i.e., the date of her retirement, under Regulation 1 at page 109 of P.U. Calendar, Volume I, 2007; and
- (4) Professor Gurmail Singh, Department of Economics, be appointed Dean of International Students, with effect from 01.08.2013 to 31st May 2014, i.e., the date of his retirement, under Regulation 1 at page 108 of P.U. Calendar, Volume I, 2007.

RESOLVED FURTHER: That appointment letters to the newly appointed Deans, be issued, in anticipation of the approval of the Senate.

The Syndicate placed on record its appreciation for the services rendered by Professor Amrik Singh Ahluwalia, Department of Botany, as Dean of Student Welfare and Professor Kalpana K. Mahajan, Department of Statistics, as Dean of Student Welfare (Women).

Deferred Item

52. Considered the proposed alternative system of Internal Assessment in Colleges received from the Director Higher Education, UT, Chandigarh for the academic session 2013-14.

NOTE: The similar proposal was received from Shri Ajoy Sharma, former Director, Higher Education, U.T., Chandigarh which was discussed in the Syndicate meeting dated 15.4.2013 & 25.4.12013 (Para 20) and the Syndicate resolved that the proposal of, suggesting alternative system of Internal Assessment, along with discussion and decision of the Syndicate dated 08.09.2012/

06.10.2012, be referred to the Boards of Studies, Faculties and Academic Council for consideration and recommendations.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that the item had been brought from the stage where they had left it in the month of October 2012. In fact, the Syndicate at that time had decided that this proposal be referred to the Board of Studies, Faculties and Academic Council for consideration. Therefore, they could not do anything unless and until the recommendations of the Board of Studies, Faculties and Academic Council are placed before them.

RESOLVED: That consideration of Item be deferred.

Item 53 on the agenda was taken up for consideration along with Item 34.

Deferred Item

54. Consideration of following Item 54 on the agenda was deferred:

54. To consider Report of the Committee dated 3.5.2013, constituted by the Vice-Chancellor to look into the complaints against Guru Nanak Girls College, Model Town, Ludhiana.

NOTE: E-mail dated 23.7.2013 received from President, Guru Nanak Education Trust, Model Town, Ludhiana-141002 addressed to the Vice-Chancellor enclosed.

Shri Ashok Goyal stated that the report of the Committee is very exhaustive and he would say only one thing that they must appreciate the Committee for having done so much hard work and compiling a beautiful report. He did not think whether any aspect has been left untouched by the Committee. Since it needed serious application of mind and thorough discussion, the consideration of the item be deferred to the next meeting. But at the same time, they should not lose much time because otherwise they might lose priority as is in the case of other Colleges. From these documents, it could be ascertained that the College has realized its mistake. Therefore, they have to be very quick to take decision in the matter.

The Vice-Chancellor said that he did note the concerns of the Committee about the serious irregularities pointed out by it about the College.

Dr. Jagwant Singh said that at the most they could accept the report. What action is to be taken against the College, should be decided after due discussion.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that since it required serious discussion and keeping in view the fact the some of the members had already left, the report of the Committee should be placed before the Syndicate in its next meeting.

Dr. Dinesh Talwar said that there is a provision in the Calendar under Regulation 11.2, viz. –

“11.2. If after enquiry it is found that an affiliated college under private management is not being properly administered the Syndicate may authorize the Vice-Chancellor to appoint a representative or representatives of the University on the Managing body of the College for such period as may be prescribed by the Syndicate.”

In view of this provision, they should nominate their representatives on the Managing body of Guru Nanak Girls College, Model Town, Ludhiana.

Shri Harpreet Singh Dua said that there is nothing wrong in the proposal made by Dr. Dinesh Talwar.

The Vice-Chancellor consented to accept it.

Shri Ashok Goyal stated that, in fact, Dr. Dinesh Talwar had given them a via-media to avoid exploitation and victimization of teachers and also given us time for proposing action after having accepted the report. They needed to take action against the College in terms of provisions of Calendar after thorough discussion, but at the same time they should ensure that no victimization is done. In the meanwhile, Dr. Dinesh Talwar has rightly suggested that in terms of Regulation 11.2 if after enquiry it is found that an affiliated college under private management is not being properly administered the Syndicate may authorize the Vice-Chancellor to appoint a representative or representatives of the University on the Managing body of the College for such period as may be prescribed by the Syndicate. But probably that will not serve the purpose because the Managing Committee might not call any meeting of the Managing body during this period. The representatives of the University would go only if any meeting is held. Therefore, he suggested that they should appoint the representatives and notify the same to the College as well as to the staff of the College so that if anything unusual happen, the same is brought to the notice of the notified representatives so that they could visit the College. But the whole thing has to be done keeping in view the fact that the College has been very undesirably expressing doubts on the integrity of the Committee. Maybe their contention is bias. So the representatives appointed also are not to victimize the management because they are appointed only to save the teachers and employees of the College from harassment. Because the Committee appointed by the Syndicate, which had the courage to do something, is already facing hard time. But they should be prepared to initiate action against the College as per regulations.

Supplementing Shri Ashok Goyal, Dr. Dinesh Talwar said that an exhaustive report was also submitted by the Committee appointed by the University in the case of GTB College, Dasuya. But since they did not take any action against the College in time, the College has terminated the services of the complainant teachers.

RESOLVED: That the following persons be nominated on the Managing body of Guru Nanak Girls College, Model Town, Ludhiana, under Regulation 11.2 at page 161 of P.U. Calendar, Volume I, 2007:

1. Professor Nandita Singh
2. Dr. Jagwant Singh
3. Professor Karamjeet Singh.

At this stage, the Vice-Chancellor announced that a special meeting of the Syndicate would be held on 9th August 2013 at 11.00 to consider the deferred items.

Report of the Committee regarding grant of Autonomous Status to SGGS Khalsa College, Mahilpur

55. Considered Report (**Appendix-LIV**) of the Expert Committee constituted by the Vice-Chancellor, as per direction of the Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court, Chandigarh, to inspect S.G.G.S. Khalsa College, Mahilpur, Hoshiarpur for grant of Autonomous status to the College. Information contained in the office note (**Appendix-LIV**) was also taken in the consideration.

NOTE: The Hon'ble Court in CWP No. 14241 of 2013 has ordered that the report under sealed cover be placed before the University Syndicate for consideration and decision.

The members observed from the report that out of 110 teachers (as per list provided to the Committee), only 54 teachers were present in the College for interaction with the Committee, despite the prior information that the inspection is going to take place. Also, the evidence of the rest of the teachers being on leave could not be presented. Looking at the qualifications given in the list of the teachers, it was further found that 11 teachers out of 54 are B.Ed. and, thus, eligible for appointment as teachers only in Schools as they have not qualified NET, which was compulsory for being eligible for College/University teaching. The Syndicate took serious note that the College is not complying with the minimum standards laid down by the U.G.C. and the Panjab University regarding qualifications of staff and the salary being paid to them. The Syndicate observed from report that "when the Committee cross-checked the attendance record of teachers submitted by the College from July 2012 to June 2013, the maximum number of teachers who marked their attendance in any month was only 36 teachers. When the number of teachers appointed by the College was verified from the salary register, it showed the number of teachers mentioned in the salary payment register – inclusive of Principal, D.P.E., Librarian, Sports teachers, was only 47." There is sufficient evidence that a false and manipulated list of teachers had been presented to the Committee by the College and the same might have been the case in the lists submitted earlier to the University and even to the U.G.C. Committee.

Shri Ashok Goyal stated that though the item is for considering grant of autonomous status to SGGS Khalsa College, Mahilpur, the Syndicate must record that under what circumstances such a College had been allowed to function as a College affiliated to Panjab University as there are serious lapses on its part. Although the University had earlier forwarded the application of the College for autonomous status to the U.G.C., still it does not deny them the right to inspect the College for ensuring that the basic things, which are required as per U.G.C. and University guidelines, are there. The only difference is that they should have inspected the College prior to sending the proposal to the U.G.C., whereas they had inspected the College after the U.G.C. had accorded its approval. It is recommended by the Committee that no autonomous status be granted to SGGS Khalsa College, Mahilpur, but in view of the serious deficiencies in the

College, as pointed out in the report, it is imperative on the part of the Syndicate to proceed against the College under Regulation 11.1, which reads as under:

11.1. If, at any time, Vice-Chancellor finds that a College appoints a Principal or a teacher whose qualifications do not conform to those laid down by the University or is not complying with the requirements of Section 27 of the Panjab University Act, various regulations and rules of the University, or any instructions issued by the Syndicate, the Syndicate will have the authority to impose one or more of the following penalties –

- (1) students of the college concerned shall not be accepted for the University examinations;
- (2) the Colleges staff shall be debarred from University work, such as appointment as examiners, superintendents of examination centres, etc.
- (3) the Principal or the teacher concerned shall be debarred from seeking election to a University body or his name shall be removed from the list of members of University body;
- (4) the papers for grants to the colleges shall not be forwarded to the State Government/University Grants Commission;
- (5) the University may withdraw affiliation granted to the college in part or in whole.

Further, Regulation 14.1 at page 161 of P.U. Calendar, Volume I, 2007 says that “Every affiliated College shall be inspected at least once in four years by an Inspection Committee to be appointed by the Syndicate on the recommendations of the Vice-Chancellor. Provided that if at any time, the Syndicate is of the opinion, that a special inspection of an affiliated College is necessary, the Syndicate may cause such an inspection to be made by a Committee appointed by it on the recommendations of the Vice-Chancellor”. Under special circumstances, the Committee was appointed and, that too, under the orders of Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court and the inspection had been conducted. Fulfilling the assigned duty, the Committee has submitted its report recommending that autonomous status be not granted to SGGS Khalsa College, Mahilpur. He appreciated the labourious efforts put in by the Committee and submitting a well drafted report to the Syndicate. He is afraid that the other affiliated Colleges may also be having the same kind of situation. If it is true, the Syndicate also needs to do introspection as to where they had gone wrong and why such a situation had been continuing for such a long time. In fact, 50% of the teachers were not present in the College at the time of inspection, especially when the College was conveyed in advance that the University Inspection Committee would be visiting the College on such and such date. The Committee had found that

almost whole of the staff is underpaid and as far as qualifications were concerned, many deficiencies have been pointed out.

The House unanimously decided that the report of the Committee should be accepted.

The Vice-Chancellor said that the concern expressed by the members in view of the facts mentioned in the report regarding permanent affiliation to the College would be addressed in due course by the Dean, College Development Council.

After discussion, it was –

RESOLVED: That –

1. report (**Appendix-LIV**) of the Expert Committee constituted by the Vice-Chancellor, as per direction of the Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court, Chandigarh, to inspect S.G.G.S. Khalsa College, Mahilpur, Hoshiarpur, be accepted.
2. the request of the Principal of S.G.G.S.Khalsa College, Mahilpur, Hoshiarpur for grant of Autonomous Status to the College, be **not** acceded to.
3. in view of the discussion took place in the meeting, the Dean, College Development Council, would examine the whole issue and recommend action against the College under Regulations 11.1 and 14.1 at pages 159 and 160 of Panjab University Calendar, Volume 1, 2007.

At this stage, Principal R.S. Jhanji said that instead of granting blanket additional seats to all the affiliated Colleges, a Sub-Committee of the Syndicate should be constituted to consider the requests received from various affiliated Colleges and make recommendations.

Dr. Jagwant Singh said that since the second counselling for admission to B.Com. course is scheduled for 6th and 7th August 2013, the decision with regard to sanction of additional seats should be taken well before the said counselling. Secondly, no late fee should be charged from B.Com. students as the second counselling is yet to be held.

Shri Ashok Goyal stated that the last date for admission without late fee is already over. If additional seats are sanctioned at this stage, the Colleges would charge the late fee. But the members are saying that the University should not charge any late fee from such students. However, there are certain Colleges, which had already submitted their applications to the University for grant of additional seats. Their apprehension is that if a Committee is constituted by the Syndicate/Vice-Chancellor, the matter would be delayed for at least a week or so. He, therefore, suggested that the Colleges, which had already submitted their requests for sanctioning additional seats, should be sanctioned 5 additional seats per unit and maximum of 10 seats per course.

Dr. I.S. Sandhu said that the late fee of Rs.1800/- per student for the late admissions to be made with Vice-Chancellor's permission should not be charged from the students admitted against the additional seats.

Dr. Jagwant Singh said that late admission fee should only be charged from the students who got late admission because of certain reasons, for example, late declaration of results.

Dr. Dinesh Talwar said that in some of the affiliated Colleges, there was a Unit of 15 to 25 seats for courses like B.Sc. (Bio-technology) and B.Sc. (Bio-Informatics), whereas for M.Sc. (Biotechnology and Bio-informatics), which are Postgraduate courses, the Unit consist of 40 seats. He, therefore, suggested that the Unit strength of B.Sc. (Biotechnology and Bio-informatics) should be raised to 40 students.

To this, Dr. Jagwant Singh said that already there was a decision that the allocation of seats to a course would be 40 with practical and 60 without practical.

Dr. Dinesh Talwar said that if increase in strength of Units is to be allowed, rationalization of fee structure should also be considered for implementation from the next session.

Professor Keshav Malhotra said that the late fee with the permission of the Vice-Chancellor must be charged, but could be decreased proportionately so that the University is not put at any loss.

The Vice-Chancellor said that the College must ask for additional seats supported by an undertaking that it had adequate infrastructure for accommodating 5/10 additional students.

After some further discussion, it was –

RESOLVED: That 5 additional seats per unit per course for a unit of 40 or more students and maximum of 10 seats for two or more units of 40 or more students each, be sanctioned. However, if the strength of the unit is less than 40, 10% additional seats of the existing number of seats be sanctioned in the course concerned. This would apply to all the undergraduate and postgraduate courses. Provided the College/Institute requests for sanction of additional seats supported by an undertaking that it had adequate infrastructure for accommodating 5/10 additional students.

Deferred Item

56. Considered the minutes dated 23.4.2013 of the Enquiry Committee constituted by the Vice-Chancellor on the complaint made by Dr. Raghbir Singh, H.No. D-7, Azad Nagar Kot Khalsa, Amritsar (Pb.) against Dr. S.S. Randhawa, Principal, SGGGS College, Mahilpur of his having allegedly obtaining the recognition of NCTE & affiliation from the Panjab University, Chandigarh for starting M.P.Ed. in the session 2008-2009 on forged documents received through MHRD, New Delhi.

The members observed that since this item needed much time to discuss, the consideration of the item be deferred.

RESOLVED: That consideration of Item be deferred.

**Award of degree of
Doctor of Philosophy**

57. Considered reports of examiners of certain candidates on the theses, including viva-voce reports, for the award of degree of Doctor of Philosophy (Ph.D.).

RESOLVED: That the degree of Doctor of Philosophy be awarded to the following candidates in the Faculty and subject noted against each:

| Sr. No. | Name of the Candidate | Faculty/ Subject | Title of Thesis |
|----------------|--|-------------------------------|---|
| 1. | Mr. Alaa Shawi Mashaan, H.No.1217, Sector 15-B Chandigarh | Science/ Physics | TRANSPORT PROPERTIES OF DOPED AND UNDOPED NANOCRYSTALLINE CdSe THIN FILMS |
| 2. | Ms. Seema Sahore House No.122, Sector-8 Urban Estate Karnal (Haryana) | Languages/ English | HUMAN-RELATIONSHIPS IN THE SELECTED NOVELS OF WILLIAM FAULKNER |
| 3. | Mr. Sanjeev Ranjan Department of Public Administration Panjab University Chandigarh | Arts/Public Administration | AN ANALYSIS OF PERFORMANCE OF MUNICIPAL COUNCIL PANCHKULA: A STUDY OF SELECT MUNICIPAL SERVICES |
| 4. | Ms. Roya Yoghoubi H.No.361, Sector 37-A Chandigarh | Languages/ English | IMAGES OF MASCULINITY: MALE IDENTITY IN SELECTED PLAYS OF SAM SHEPARD |
| 5. | Ms. Mandeep Kaur C/o S. Tarlok Singh Chawla H.No.561, Phase-3A SAS Nagar (Sector 53) Mohali | Education/ Education | EFFECT OF WEB BASED INSTRUCTION ON ACHIEVEMENT IN BIOLOGY IN RELATION TO LEARNING STYLE AND INTELLIGENCE |
| 6. | Mr. Manish Kumar Khunger H.No.E-2528-A Pandit Babu Street Near Bikaneri Road Fazilka-152123 | Law/Law | RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT 2005: ITS ENFORCEMENT AND EFFICACY WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO THE STATE OF PUNJAB |

Deferred Item

58. Consideration of following Item 58 on the agenda was deferred:

58. To consider if the Policy drafted by the Committee against Sexual Harassment, in compliance with the THE SEXUAL HARASSMENT OF WOMEN AT WORKPLACE (PREVENTION, PROHIBITION AND REDRESSAL) ACT, 2013, be adopted.

RESOLVED: That consideration of Item be deferred.

Agenda Items 49 and 50 being Ratification and Information Items, these be read under Items 58-A and 58-B.

Routine and formal matters

58 A. The information contained in Items **R-(i)** to **R-(xxix)** on the agenda was read out, viz. –

(i) The Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation of approval of the Syndicate, has approved the minutes dated 2.5.2013 (**Appendix-LV**) of the Committee constituted by the Vice-Chancellor for reviewing/ finalizing the Instructions for admission to various courses in the affiliated Colleges/ Teaching Departments for the session 2013-2014.

(ii) The Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation of approval of the Syndicate, has sanctioned a refundable loan of ₹20 Crore out of the P.U. Plan/ Scheme/ Projects Accounts in S.B.I. and Canara Bank to avoid hardship for release of liabilities.

NOTE: 1. The Loan of ₹20 Crore will be refunded on receipt of Grant-in-Aid from the Govt. of India/ Government of Punjab.

2. An office note enclosed (**Appendix-LVI**).

(iii) The Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation of approval of the Syndicate, has approved the recommendations of the Youth Welfare Committee dated 12.4.2013 (**Appendix-LVII**).

(iv) The Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation of the approval of the Syndicate, has approved the following recommendations of Leave Cases Committee dated 10.5.2013 (**Appendix-LVIII**) to look into the Leave Cases of Teaching Staff:

(i) that Dr. Rajesh Kumar, Assistant Professor, Department of Physics, be granted Study leave without pay for one year w.e.f. 1st June 2013 or from the date he is relieved from the department, under Regulation 11(I) at pages 140-143 of Panjab University Calendar, Volume I, 2007 to enable him to avail Raman Fellowship (Post-Doctoral Research Fellowship) awarded by UGC and work at Florida International University, Florida, USA.

(ii) that Professor Dharmanand Sharma, Department of Philosophy, be granted Half Pay Leave for one year w.e.f. 1st July, 2013, under Regulation 11(E) at page 139 of Panjab University Calendar, Volume I,

2007, to enable him to complete his personal commitments.

(iii) that Ms. Upneet Kaur Managat, Assistant Professor, Centre for Human Rights & Duties, be granted study leave for 8 months w.e.f. 1st July, 2013 to 28th February, 2014 under Regulation 11(I), pages 140-143 of Panjab University Calendar, Volume I, 2007, to enable her to complete the Ph.D. work.

(v) The Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation of approval of the Syndicate, has granted extension in term of appointment of the following persons as Assistant Professor (as the current academic session ends on 30.4.2013), Centre for Nano Science & Nano Technology, University Institute of Emerging Area in Science & Technology, P.U. for the next academic session 2013-14 not before 11.7.2013 purely on temporary basis or till the regular posts are filled in through proper selection, whichever is earlier in the pay grade of ₹15600-39100+ AGP ₹6000/- plus other allowances as admissible, as per University rules under Regulation 5 at pages 111-112 of Panjab University Calendar, Volume I, 2007 and further allow Dr. Nishima to continue to work as Assistant Professor (Temporary) without drawing any salary/ pay as she has already been allowed to draw her salary from DST Inspire faculty awarded to her:

1. Dr. Nishima
2. Dr. Richa Rastogi Thakur.

(vi) The Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation of approval of the Syndicate, has approved the re-employment of Mrs. Harveen Pannu, Associate Professor (Retired on 30.06.13), University School of Open Learning, on contract basis up to 20.06.2018 (i.e. attaining the age of 65 years) w.e.f. the date she joins as such after one day break as usual, as per Rules/Regulations of P.U. & Syndicate decision dated 28.06.2008 (Para 58)/29.02.2012 on fixed emoluments equivalent to last pay drawn minus pension to be worked out on the full service of 33 years both in case of teachers opting for Pension or CPF. Salary for this purpose means pay plus allowances excluding House Rent Allowance.

- NOTE:**
1. Academically active report should be submitted after completion of every year of re-employment by the concerned faculty member through the HOD with the advance copy to DUI. Thus, usual one-day break will be there at the completion of every year during the period of re-employment. All other rules as mentioned at page 130 of Panjab University Calendar, Volume III will be applicable.
 2. The re-employed teacher will not be entitled to any residential accommodation on the Campus. If

a teacher is already living on the Campus, he/she shall not be allowed to retain the same for more than 2 months after the date of superannuation. The failure to vacate the University residential accommodation after the stipulated period shall entail automatic termination of re-employment under Rule 4.1, at page 130 of Panjab University Calendar, Volume III, 2009.

3. An office note enclosed
(Appendix-LIX).

(vii) The Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation of approval of the Syndicate, has –

(i) granted extension in term of appointment of the following persons as Assistant Professor in U.I.E.T. (Sr. No.1 to 42) up to 30.06.2013 with one day break on 01.05.2013, purely on temporary basis, in the pay-scale of ₹15600-39100+AGP Rs.6000/- plus other allowances as admissible, as per University rules under Regulation 5 at pages 111-112 of Panjab University Calendar, Volume I, 2007, except Sr. No.09 (Mrs. Daljit Kaur) as she has been proceeded on Maternity leave upto 30.04.2013.

(ii) granted extension in term of appointment of the following persons as Assistant Professor in U.I.E.T. (Sr. No.1 to 42) for the next session 2013-14 that will start in the month of July 2013 w.e.f. the date they starts/started to work not before 11.07.2013 (as summer vacation will end on 10.07.2013) purely on temporary basis or till the regular post/s are filled in through proper selection whichever is earlier, in the pay-scale of ₹15600-39100+AGP ₹6000/- plus other allowances as admissible, as per University rules under Regulation 5 at pages 111-112 of Panjab University Calendar, Volume I, 2007:

| Sr. No. | Name of the Person | Branch | Sr. No. | Name of the Person | Branch |
|----------------|---------------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------------------|---------------|
| 1. | Ms. Preeti Aggarwal | CSE | 22. | Ms. Manisha Kaushal | CSE |
| 2. | Ms. Jyoti Sharma | Maths | 23. | Ms. Deeksha Gupta | CSE |
| 3. | Mr. Hitesh Kapoor | Mgt. | 24. | Ms. Yogesh Sharma | EEE |
| 4. | Ms. Anu Jhamb | Mgt. | 25. | Ms. Gurpreet Kaur | EEE |
| 5. | Dr. Geetu | Physics | 26. | Sh.Kuldeep Singh Bedi | EEE |
| 6. | Dr. Puneeta | Chemistry | 27. | Ms. Aditi Gupta | EEE |
| 7. | Mr. Sarvjit Singh | ECE | 28. | Ms. Anaahat Dhinda | ECE |
| 8. | Ms. Garima Joshi | ECE | 29. | Mr. Jatinder Singh | ECE |
| 9. | Ms. Daljit Kaur | ECE | 30. | Mr. Sanjiv Kumar | ECE |
| 10. | Ms. Rajni Sobti | IT | 31. | Ms. Harvinder Kaur | ECE |

| | | | | | |
|-----|--------------------|-------------|-----|----------------------|-----------------------|
| 11. | Mr. Sukhvir Singh | IT | 32. | Ms. Gurpreet Kaur | ECE |
| 12. | Ms. Renuka Rai | Chemistry | 33. | Mr. Rajneesh Singla | IT |
| 13. | Ms. Pardeep Kaur | ECE | 34. | Mr. Gurmukh Singh | IT |
| 14. | Dr. Ranjan Bhatia | Bio-Tech. | 35. | Ms. Nidhi | IT |
| 15. | Ms. Sabhyata Soni | ECE | 36. | Mr. Manu Bansal | IT |
| 16. | Ms. Prabhjot Kaur | Mathematics | 37. | Ms. Shaweta Mehta | IT |
| 17. | Ms. Parminder Kaur | Bio-Tech. | 38. | Dr. Anu Priya Minhas | Bio-Tech. |
| 18. | Dr. Minakshi Garg | Bio-Tech. | 39. | Mr. Gursharan Singh | Bio-Tech. |
| 19. | Dr. Jyoti Sood | Physics | 40. | Mr. Chander Prakash | Mech. Engg. |
| 20. | Ms. Dhriti | CSE | 41. | Mr. Amit Thakur | Mech. Engg. |
| 21. | Ms. Himanshu | CSE | 42. | Mr. Vijay Kumar | Micro- Electronics |

(viii) The Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation of approval of the Syndicate, has extended the term of the following Assistant Professors (appointed on purely temporary basis) till 30.6.2013 on the same terms and conditions on which they are working in the Department of Zoology, under Regulation 5 at pages 111-112 of Panjab University Calendar, Volume I, 2007:

1. Dr. Ravneet Kaur
2. Ms. Mani Chopra
3. Mr. Puneet Raina
4. Mr. Vijay Kumar.

(ix) The Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation of approval of the Syndicate, has extended the term of Shri Karamjit Singh, Assistant Professor in Computer Science (contract basis) on a fixed salary of ₹30,400/- P.U. Regional Centre, Near Gurudwara Tibbi Sahib, Sri Muktsar, Sahib, till 31.5.2013 on the same terms and conditions on which he is working earlier, under Regulation 5 at pages 111-112 of Panjab University Calendar, Volume I, 2007.

(x) The Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation of the approval of the Syndicate, has granted extension in term of appointment of the following persons as Assistant Professors in the Department of Biotechnology upto 30.6.2013 with one day break on 01.5.2013, purely on temporary basis, in the pay-scale of ₹15600-39100 +AGP ₹ 6000/- plus other allowances as admissible, as per University rules under Regulation 5 at pages 111-112 of Panjab University Calendar, Volume I, 2007:

1. Dr. Monika Sharma
2. Dr. Pooja Makkar
3. Dr. Baljinder Singh Gill.

(xi) The Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation of the approval of the Syndicate, has extended the term of appointment of the following Assistant Professors in the Department of Microbial Biotechnology up to 30.06.2013 with one day break on 01.05.2013 purely on temporary basis or till the regular post/s is/are filled in through proper selection, whichever is earlier in the pay-scale of ₹15600-39100 +AGP ₹6000/- plus other allowances as admissible, as per University rules under Regulation 5 at pages 111-112 of Panjab University Calendar, Volume I, 2007:

1. Dr. Vishal Agrawal
2. Dr. Swapana Tomas.

(xii) The Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation of approval of the Syndicate, has approved the appointment of Dr. Satish Kumar Sambher, as Medical Officer (on contract) at Bhai Ghanaiya Ji Institute of Health, P.U. initially for a period of six months w.e.f. the date he join as such & further extendable upto two years by giving one day break on completion of every six months on satisfactory service, on fixed salary of ₹25,800/- p.m. + ₹5000/- (fixed) for performing emergency & night duties and on the similar terms and conditions as applicable to other contractual full time Medical Officers working in the Health Centre.

(xiii) The Vice-Chancellor, subject to and in anticipation of approval of the Syndicate, has extended the contractual term of Mrs. Shruti Sahdev, Medical Officer (Homeopathic), SSGPURC, Bajwara, (Hoshiarpur) for a further period of three months w.e.f. 12.06.2013 to 05.09.2013 with one day break on 11.06.2013 or till the post is filled in afresh (on contract), whichever is earlier, on the previous terms & conditions.

(xiv) The Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation of approval of the Syndicate, has extended the term of contractual appointment of Shri Sandeep Chopra, Law Officer, for another period of one year w.e.f. 16.4.2013 to 15.4.2014 with one day break on 15.4.2013 (being Sunday on 14.4.2013) and his existing salary be increased by 10% and his salary be charged/paid against the vacant post of Law Officer or till the post is filled through selection, whichever is earlier.

NOTE: An office note enclosed (**Appendix-LX**).

(xv) The Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation of approval of the Syndicate/Senate, has approved the promotion of Shri Sudershan Kumar, Senior Tech. (G-II), as Laboratory Superintendent (G-I), in the Department of Anthropology in the pay-scale of ₹ 15600-39100+GP ₹5400 with initial pay of ₹ 21000/- plus allowances as per University rules w.e.f. the date he reports for duty, against the vacant post in the Department of Anthropology. His pay will be fixed as per University rules.

(xvi) The Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation of approval of the Syndicate/ Senate, has re-appointed the following four Demonstrators on purely temporary/contract basis (whose present term of appointment for the academic session 2012-13 expires on 30.6.2013) further for the next academic session 2013-14 w.e.f. 2.7.2013 to 30.6.2014 after one day break on 1.7.2013 or till regular selection is made, whichever is earlier, at the minimum of the scale of ₹10300-34800+GP ₹5000/- plus allowances, on the existing terms and conditions:

1. Dr. Harkirat Seth
Department of Pharmacology
2. Dr. Anupam Vijayvergia
Department of Physiology

3. Dr. Kalyani V. Deshpandey
Department of Biochemistry
4. Dr. Ravi Kant Sharma
Department of Biochemistry.

(xvii) The Vice-Chancellor, subject to and in anticipation of approval of the Syndicate, has extended the contractual term of appointment of Mr. Om Parkash, Programmer, Computer Centre, P.U. for a further period of three months w.e.f. 31.05.2013 to 27.08.2013 with one day break on 30.05.2013 or till the advertised post is filled in through regular selection, whichever is earlier, on the previous terms and conditions.

(xviii) The Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation of approval of the Syndicate, has extended the contractual term of appointment of Shri Gurpreet Singh, Programmer (on contract) at Computer Centre, Panjab University for a further period of three months w.e.f. 2.04.2013 to 27.06.2013 with one day break on 01.04.2013 or till the post is filled in through regular selection, whichever is earlier, on the previous terms and conditions.

(xix) The Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation of approval of the Syndicate, has extended the contractual term of appointment of Shri Mohinder Singh Negi, Programmer, Computer Unit, Panjab University for a further period of three months w.e.f. 20.06.2013 to 16.09.2013 with one day break on 19.06.2013 or at least till such time all examination results are declared during this session, whichever is earlier, on the previous terms and conditions.

(xx) The Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation of approval of the Syndicate, has accepted resignation of Ms. Bindya, Assistant Professor, Electronics & Communication Engineering at S.S. Giri P.U. Regional Centre, Hoshiarpur, w.e.f. 17.7.2013, under Regulation 6 at page 118 of Panjab University Calendar, Volume I, 2007 as requested by her.

NOTE: 1. Regulation 6 at page 118 of P.U. Calendar, Volume I, 2007 reads as under:

“A permanent employee, recruited on or after 1st January, 1968, shall give at least three months’ notice before resigning his post, failing which he shall forfeit salary for the same period.

Provided that Syndicate may waive off this requirement in part or whole for valid reasons.

xxx xxx xxx”.

2. An office note enclosed
(Appendix-LXI).

(xxi) The Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation of approval of the Syndicate, has accepted the resignation of Dr. Meena Rani, Assistant Professor in Hindi (Temporary) at P.U. Constituent College, Nihalsinghwal, District Moga, w.e.f. 10.4.2013 (A.N.) under Rule 16.2 at page 83, Panjab University Calendar, Volume III, 2009.

(xxii) The Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation of approval of the Syndicate, has extended the term of contractual appointment of Shri Ashok Kumar, (Superintendent, Retired), UIAMS for 3 months as O.S.D., w.e.f. the date he reports/reported for duty after giving him one day break after expiry of his previous term, on half the salary last drawn (excluding HRA, CCA and other special allowances) rounded off to nearest lower 100 irrespective of the fact whether he has opted for pension or not, out of the Budget Head "General Administration – Sub Head – Hiring Services/ Outsourcing Contractual/ Casual or Seasonal Worker". Further, the Vice-Chancellor has also ordered that no further extension will be given.

(xxiii) The Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation of approval of the Syndicate, has re-employed Shri Hari Ram, Technical Officer, Computer Centre, P.U. retired on 31.10.2010 (whose term of re-employment expired on 22.03.2013), on contractual basis after giving one day break on 25.03.2013 (23.3.2013 & 24.3.2013 being Saturday & Sunday) for a period of one month w.e.f. 26.3.2013 to 25.4.2013 or till the newly appointed person joins against the post of Sr. Technical Assistant (G-I) in the D.C.S.A., whichever is earlier, and he be paid half of the salary last paid (excluding HRA, CCA and any other special allowances) rounded off to nearest lower 100, as per Senate decision dated 4.12.2010. The salary of Shri Hari Ram be charged/paid against the vacant post of Senior Technical Assistant (G-I) in the Computer Centre (vacated on the retirement of Shri S.K. Bhardwaj). No further extension in re-employment will be given to Shri Hari Ram.

(xxiv) The Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation of approval of the Syndicate, has approved the remuneration paid to the Registrar, Panjab University for performing the duty during CET 2013 at par with COE/OSD.

NOTE: The rates of remuneration for various Entrance test were approved by the Syndicate w.e.f. 31.3.2012. The rate of remuneration to be paid to the Registrar has not been mentioned in the rate list. The Registrar Panjab University, Chandigarh, performed duty during CET 2013, but his payment was made provisionally by the Audit.

(xxv) The Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation of approval of the Syndicate, has approved that the students who had submitted their Ph.D. thesis under the Golden Chance allowed by the Syndicate from time to time be charged late fee/fine for up to 5 years and for period beyond that either actual late fee/fine or ₹10,000/- which is minimum be charged.

NOTE: The Syndicate dated 27.1.2013 (xvi) has extended the last date for submission of Ph.D. thesis up to 30.6.2013 for all the candidates, who could not do so by the stipulated date, enrolled under old/new Regulations.

(xxvi) The Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation of approval of the Syndicate, has appointed Shri Satish Kumar, Assistant Registrar (Retired on 31.03.2013), Examination Branch-III, on contractual basis for a period of 6 months w.e.f. the date he reports on duty, on half the salary last drawn (excluding HRA, CCA & other special allowances) rounded off to nearest lower 100 irrespective of the fact whether he has opted for pension or not out of Budget Head "General Administration-Sub-Head-Hiring Services/ Outsourcing Contractual/Casual or Seasonal Workers."

NOTE: An office note enclosed
(Appendix-LXII).

(xxvii) The Vice-Chancellor, subject to and in anticipation of approval of the Syndicate, has appointed Shri Nand Kishore, Junior Technician G-III, (Retired on 31.05.2013), of Department of Bio-Chemistry (working in Institute of Educational Technology & Vocational Education, Panjab University), on contractual basis, for a period of six months w.e.f. the date he reports for duty and he be paid half of the salary last drawn (excluding HRA, CCA & other special allowances) rounded off to nearest lower 100 irrespective of the fact whether he has opted for pension or not out of Budget Head "General Administration-Sub-Head-Temporary Establishment/ Contractual Services/ Hiring Services/Outsourcing/Casual Workers", in terms of the decision of the Board of Finance(item no. 22, dated 21.02.2012), approved by the Syndicate/ Senate vide Para 3 & III of the minutes of the meeting held on 29.02.2012 & 31.03.2012 respectively.

(xxviii) The Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation of approval of the Syndicate, has extended the term of contractual appointment of Shri Param Jeet Lal, (Assistant Registrar, Retired), D.U.'s Office for 3 months as O.S.D. (Admission), w.e.f. the date he reports/reported for duty after giving him one day break after expiry of his previous term, on half the salary last drawn (excluding HRA, CCA and other special allowances) rounded off to nearest lower 100 irrespective of the fact whether he has opted for pension or not, out of the Budget Head "General Administration - Sub Head - Hiring Services/ Outsourcing Contractual/ Casual or Seasonal Worker". Further, the Vice-Chancellor has also ordered that no further extension will be given.

(xxix) The Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation of the approval of the Syndicate, has approved the fee-structure as recommended by the Committee dated 21.6.2013 constituted by the Vice-Chancellor to be followed by the Degree Colleges affiliated

to Panjab University for the session 2013-14 subject to compliance of the following mandatory conditions:

1. That all the Colleges would pay salary, including all admissible allowances such as ADA, HRA, annual increment, etc., and other benefits viz. - retirement benefits, gratuity, PF, leave encashment, etc. as amended from time to time by the State Government/U.T. Administration/University.
2. That the College prospectus should carry only the approved Heads and Colleges would not be allowed to charge any fee/fund under any other head not approved by the University.
3. That the Colleges would be required to submit the Income and Expenditure statement duly audited by the Chartered Accountant to the Colleges Branch of the University by 30th June, every year.
4. That the Colleges would charge fee/funds for all Undergraduate, Post-graduate and Self-financing courses, strictly as per the fee structure approved and notified.
5. That the tuition fee and admission fee as prescribed by the UT/Punjab Government for the affiliated non-Govt. Colleges.
6. That the Colleges should create special separate head for charging fee towards retirement benefit fund, amalgamated fund, student aid fund and scholarship fund (meritorious students).
7. That new fee/fund structure applicable for the session 2013-14 would, in future, be a part of the college prospectus. This may strictly be adhered to and the colleges shall invariably provide a copy of the prospectus to the University for record.
8. That the College shall appoint regular faculty in each course in compliance of the Panjab University norms.
9. That fee/funds charges should be displayed prominently on the College Notice Board & Website.
10. Copies of fee/funds/other University charges are enclosed.

Referring to Sub-Item 58A-R-(iii), Dr. Tarlok Bandhu said that there are problems in the recommendations of the Youth Welfare Committee. According to him, no item could be prepared without professional director. Similarly, if the accompanist is allowed to accompany the teams of only one College during a zonal/inter-zonal festival, nobody would come forward for the same as they accompanied different teams and earn some money for them. He,

therefore, pleaded that Professional Directors should continue to be allowed and an accompanist should be allowed to accompany different teams.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that the accompanists and the directors in certain events, e.g., Bhangra, etc. are professionals and they had to accompany different Institutions/Universities during the same session at the Inter-College or Inter-University levels. Basically the idea behind the recommendation is to encourage genuine competition amongst the amateurs, but practically it is not feasible. Probably, the Committee has taken into consideration the fact that if the Professional Directors or accompanists are allowed, they would have their own teams. But it would have been better if they had given reasons for making such recommendations.

Dr. I.S. Sandhu said that in events drama also the director is always a professional.

The Vice-Chancellor said that he himself, Dr. Tarlok Bandhu and Director, Youth Welfare would sit together to look into the problems in the recommendations of the Youth Welfare Committee and solve the same.

Referring to Sub-Item 58A-R-(iv), Professor Keshav Malhotra stated that since the Syndicate had already been adopted the revised guidelines for grant of Study Leave (Item 11) by the Syndicate, Dr. Rajesh Kumar, Assistant Professor, Department of Physics, should be granted study leave for one year with pay. He added that in the said guidelines it had been mentioned that “the scheme of Study Leave provides an opportunity to avail of scholarships/ fellowships awarded to the faculty, who wish to acquire new knowledge and to improve analytical skills. When a teacher is awarded a scholarship or stipend for pursuing further studies leading to Ph.D./Post doctoral qualification or for undertaking research project in the higher education institution abroad, the amount of the scholarship/ fellowship shall not be linked to the recipient’s pay/salary paid to him/her by his/her parent institution. The awardee shall be paid salary for the entire duration of fellowships/scholarship, provided, of course, he/she does not take up any other remunerative jobs like teaching, in the host country”. He, therefore, pleaded that the case of Dr. Rajesh Kumar for grant of study leave with pay should be relooked into.

This was agreed to.

Referring to Sub-Item 58A-R-(xxviii), Professor Keshav Malhotra suggested that the term of contractual appointment of Shri Param Jeet Lal, (Assistant Registrar Retd.), D.U.I.’s Office, should be granted extension for one year.

RESOLVED: That –

- (1) the information contained in **Item 58A – R-(i) to R-(ii) and R- (iv) to R-(xxviii)**, on the agenda, be ratified, with the modification that under Sub-Item **58A-R-(xxviii)**, the term of contractual appointment of Shri Param Jeet Lal, (Assistant Registrar Retd.), D.U.I.’s Office, be granted extension for six months instead of three months;

- (2) the Vice-Chancellor, be authorized to take decision on **Sub-Item R-(iii)**, on behalf of the Syndicate; and
- (3) consideration of **Sub-Item R-(xxix)** on the agenda, be deferred.

Routine and formal matters

58B. The information contained in Items **I-(i)** to **I-(v)** on the agenda was read out and noted, i.e. –

- (i) The Vice-Chancellor on the orders passed by the Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court, Chandigarh under CWP No.6436 of 2010, has refunded the fee including Registration fee and Development fee to the following students of BA.LLB. 1st year under NRI/PIO/NRI Industry sponsored category at UILS Department during 2007-08:

| Sr. No. | Name of the Candidate | Fee Deposited \$560 Regd. Fee + \$200 Dev. Fund as NRI Category | Required fee as General Category ₹85 Regd. Fee + ₹5000 Dev. Fund. | Refund of fee as per court order after the adjustment of fee in general Category |
|---------|-----------------------|---|---|--|
| 1. | Jasbir Singh | ₹ 22938+8192= ₹ 31130/- | ₹ 85+5000= ₹ 5085 | 26945 (26045/- as per claim) |
| 2. | Rukhsar Sandhu | ₹ 22775+8135= ₹ 30909/- | ₹ 85+5000= ₹ 5085 | 25824/- |
| 3. | Vani Sahi | ₹ 22764+8130= ₹ 30894 | ₹ 85+5000= ₹ 5085 | 25809/- |
| 4. | Ramandeep Singla | ₹ 22798+8142= ₹ 30940/- | ₹ 85+5000= ₹ 5085 | 25855/- |
| 5. | Ameena Singh | ₹ 22373+7990= ₹ 30363 | ₹ 85+5000= ₹ 5085 | 25278/- |
| 6. | Dhruv Sihag | ₹ 22820+8150= ₹ 30970 | ₹ 85+5000= ₹ 5085 | 25885/- |
| 7. | Teevar Sharma | ₹ 22731+8118= ₹ 30849/- | ₹ 85+5000= ₹ 5085 | 25764/- |

NOTE: 1. The Syndicate vide Para 40 dated 30.1.2010 (**Appendix-LXIII**) has authorized the Vice-Chancellor to implement the decision of Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court, Chandigarh in such cases.

2. An office note enclosed (**Appendix-LXIII**).

- (ii) The Vice-Chancellor, as authorized by the Syndicate (Para 5, dated 31.10.1984), has sanctioned retirement benefits to the following University employees:

| Sr. No. | Name of the employee and post held | Date of Appointment | Date of Retirement | Benefits |
|----------------|--|----------------------------|---------------------------|---|
| 1. | Mrs. Harveen Pannu Associate Professor in Geography University School of Open Learning | 11.08.1977 | 30.06.2013 | Gratuity and Furlough as admissible under the University Regulations with permission to do business or serve elsewhere during the period of Furlough. |
| 2. | Professor Raj Kamal Pathak Department of Anthropology | 24.03.1998 | 30.06.2013 | Gratuity as admissible under the University Regulations |

(iii) The Vice-Chancellor, as authorized by the Syndicate (Para 5, dated 31.10.1984), has sanctioned retirement benefits to the following University employees:

| Sr. No. | Name of the employee and post held | Date of Appointment | Date of Retirement | Benefits |
|----------------|---|----------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| 1. | Ms. Sarvada Sharma Assistant Registrar Computer Unit | 25.07.1974 | 30.04.2013 | Gratuity and Furlough as admissible under the University Regulations with permission to do business or serve elsewhere during the period of Furlough |
| 2. | Shri Parkash Chand Pathania Senior Assistant University School of Open Learning | 01.08.1984 | 31.07.2013 | Gratuity as admissible under the University Regulations. |
| 3. | Shri Jeet Singh Senior Assistant (Store) P.U. Press | 25.04.1988 | 31.07.2013 | |
| 4. | Shri Jasvir Singh Technical Officer G-I Central Instrumentation Laboratory | 15.12.1986 | 30.06.2013 | |
| 5. | Shri Jai Narain Semi Professional Assistant USOL | 01.08.1973 | 31.07.2013 | |
| 6. | Shri Mehar Singh Security Guard Department of Education | 24.12.1977 | 30.06.2013 | |
| 7. | Shri Raj Kumar Security Guard Department of Chemistry | 09.03.1983 | 30.06.2013 | |

| | | | | |
|-----|---|------------|------------|---|
| 9. | Shri Periya Samy Beldar Construction Office | 02.04.1993 | 31.07.2013 | Gratuity as admissible under the University Regulations. |
| 10. | Shri Ram Karan Boatman Directorate of Sports | 01.03.1966 | 31.07.2013 | |
| 11. | Shri Bikram Singh Driver Vice-Chancellor's Office | 01.04.1973 | 31.8.2013 | |

(iv) The Vice- Chancellor has sanctioned terminal benefits to the member of the family of the following employees who passed away while in service:

| Sr. No. | Name of the deceased employee and post held | Date of Appointment | Date of death (while in service) | Name of the family member/s to whom the terminal benefits are to be given | Benefits |
|---------|--|---------------------|----------------------------------|---|--|
| 1. | Late Ms. Pinkesh Gupta Junior Assistant USOL | 12.07.1997 | 01.12.2010 | Shri Naresh Kumar Bansal (Husband) | Gratuity and ex-gratia grant admissible under the University Regulation and Rule |
| 2. | Shri Kewal Singh Common Room Attendant Boys Hostel No. 3 | 26.10.1981 | 05.03.2013 | Smt. Sudesh Kumari (Wife) | Gratuity and ex-gratia grant admissible under the University Regulation and Rule |

(v) To note letter No. C-15011/4/2012-Vig dated 4.7.2013 (**Appendix-LXIV**) received from K.S. Mahajan, Under Secretary (Vig), Government of India, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of Higher Education (Vigilance Section), in reply to University's letter No. 1119/R/DS dated 6.6.2013 (**Appendix-LXIV**), regarding Prosecution sanction against Professor O.P. Katare, University Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Panjab University, Chandigarh.

NOTE: The decision of the Senate regarding grant of prosecution sanction against Professor O.P. Katare, is being conveyed to Central Vigilance Commission as per orders of M.H.R.D.

After decisions on the agenda items were taken, the members started general discussion.

- (1) Dr. Dinesh Talwar said that the Core Committee, constituted to examine the Inspection Reports in detail, has suggested action against the Colleges which have not removed the discrepancies. He pointed out that Government College for Girls, Sector 42, Chandigarh, is running B.Sc. (Biotechnology) and B.Sc. (Microbiology) courses in the absence of any permanent faculty. They had not taken any action against the College. He pleaded that they should not bend so much under the pressure of the Government.

Shri Ashok Goyal stated that the impression had been given that there are less problems in the Colleges situated in Chandigarh in comparison to Punjab and Government Colleges are in a position to get away with anything. He did not know how the courses, not only at the Undergraduate level but at the Postgraduate level also, have been started without the teachers. This should be looked into and see in that if there has been recruitment of teachers, then they have to be very receptive.

- (2) Shri Ashok Goyal stated that he just wanted to recall that in the March 2013 meeting of the Syndicate, they unwillingly took a decision to disaffiliate Dev Samaj College of Education, Chandigarh, for M.Ed. course from the session 2013-14. At that time, it was also decided that the Vice-Chancellor should use his good offices to take up the matter with the Chandigarh Administration and if by the beginning of the next session, the problem is solved, the College should be allowed to continue with the admission to M.Ed. course. He was happy to say that the College has agreed to continue with the course and has made a request to the Vice-Chancellor for permitting them to do so. The Vice-Chancellor has probably referred the case to the Syndicate. He suggested that the Vice-Chancellor should be authorized to allow Dev Samaj College of Education, Sector 36, Chandigarh to grant extension of affiliation for M.Ed. course and make admissions for the session 2013-14, in anticipation of approval of the Syndicate.

RESOLVED: That the Vice-Chancellor be authorized to grant extension of affiliation to Dev Samaj College of Education, Sector 36, Chandigarh, for M.Ed. course and make admissions for the session 2013-14, in anticipation of approval of the Syndicate.

(A.K. Bhandari)
Registrar

Confirmed

(Arun Kumar Grover)
VICE-CHANCELLOR

PANJAB UNIVERSITY, CHANDIGARH

Minutes of the meeting of the SYNDICATE held on Tuesday, 13th August 2013 at 05.00 p.m., in the Syndicate Room, Panjab University, Chandigarh, to consider the items the consideration of which was deferred on 27th July 2013.

PRESENT

- | | | | |
|-----|------------------------------------|-----|----------------|
| 1. | Professor A.K. Grover | ... | (in the Chair) |
| | Vice-Chancellor | | |
| 16. | Shri Ashok Goyal | | |
| 17. | Dr. Dalbir Singh Dhillon | | |
| 18. | Dr. Dinesh Talwar | | |
| 19. | Shri Harpreet Singh Dua | | |
| 20. | Dr. I.S. Sandhu | | |
| 21. | Dr. Jagwant Singh | | |
| 22. | Professor Keshav Malhotra | | |
| 23. | Dr. Nandita Singh | | |
| 24. | Professor Naval Kishore | | |
| 25. | Dr. R.P.S. Josh | | |
| 26. | Principal R.S. Jhanji | | |
| 27. | Shri Satya Pal Jain | | |
| 28. | Professor Shelley Walia | | |
| 29. | Dr. Tarlok Bandhu | | |
| 30. | S. Gurdev Singh Ghuman | | |
| | Director, Higher Education, Punjab | | |
| 31. | Professor A.K. Bhandari | ... | (Secretary) |
| | Registrar | | |

Shri Satish Kumar, and Smt. Gurpreet Kaur Sapra, Director, Higher Education, U.T., Chandigarh, could not attend the meeting.

The Vice-Chancellor said that the consideration of some of the items, which required a little longer discussion and reading of various reports submitted by the Committees appointed by the Syndicate/Vice-Chancellor, was deferred. The said items had been placed before the Syndicate in today's meeting for consideration. Now, the members could make their comments.

Alternative System of Internal Assessment in Colleges proposed by former DPI (Colleges), U.T., Chandigarh **52.** Considered the proposed alternative system of Internal Assessment in Colleges (**Appendix-LXVI**) received from the Director Higher Education, UT, Chandigarh for the academic session 2013-14.

NOTE: The similar proposal was received from Shri Ajoy Sharma, former Director, Higher Education, U.T., Chandigarh which was discussed in the Syndicate meeting dated 15.4.2013 & 25.4.12013 (Para 20) and the Syndicate resolved that the proposal of, suggesting alternative system of Internal Assessment, along with discussion and decision of the Syndicate dated 08.09.2012/ 06.10.2012, be referred to the Boards of Studies, Faculties and Academic Council for consideration and recommendations.

The Vice-Chancellor stated that this item pertained to alternative system of internal assessment. This proposal was made by one of the members of the Syndicate last year, i.e., Shri Ajoy Sharma, the then Director, Higher Education, U.T., Chandigarh. He had

submitted some plans for having a different way of assessing the students for awarding marks for internal assessment. The matter was discussed at length and it was referred to a Committee. Last year, it was felt that they would return to it at an appropriate time. In the background of this, the item is before them.

Dr. R.P.S. Josh said that as far as he remembered, this proposal was referred to the Boards of Studies in various subjects. Secondly, the comments/suggestions have also been sought from the Principals of the affiliated Colleges on this issue. He added that if the students did not appear in September and December examinations, how would they prepare themselves for the final examination.

Dr. I.S. Sandhu said that both September and December examinations are necessary. Therefore, the existing practice should be allowed to continue.

The Vice-Chancellor said that Smt. Gurpreet Kaur Sapra, Director, Higher Education, U.T., Chandigarh, had requested for placing this proposal before the Syndicate. Hence, the item is before them. He further said that there is some pressure on the University to introduce Semester System at both undergraduate and postgraduate levels.

Shri Ashok Goyal stated that in view of the concern expressed by the members, he would like to ask from the Vice-Chancellor, the Chairman of the Syndicate, is it within the purview of the Syndicate to consider this proposal, especially when the Syndicate had already resolved in 2012 to refer it to the Boards of Studies, Faculties and Academic Council. He wondered whether it is proper to bring this proposal again to the Syndicate, especially when the decision to this effect has already been taken. Until they get the requisite input, it should not be brought before the Syndicate. If they took any decision, would it not tantamount to undermining the authority of the Syndicate of 2012?

The Vice-Chancellor said that Smt. Gurpreet Kaur Sapra, Director, Higher Education, U.T., Chandigarh, who is the ex-officio member of the Syndicate, has requested him to place the item before the Syndicate again. Though whatever input was to come, had not arrived. He added that they do discuss the issues about which the members felt strongly.

Shri Ashok Goyal stated that he remembered that he had made reference of this item in the previous meeting of the Syndicate. Technically, the Syndicate considers the proposals which had the recommendations of the Vice-Chancellor. It is only when the Vice-Chancellor is convinced that this item needed to be placed before the Syndicate, the item is placed before the Syndicate. The Vice-Chancellor for his own assistance might constitute a Committee for having feedback. Unfortunately for the last 3-5 years, the items are coming to the Syndicate to consider the recommendations of the Committees, though the Committee/s is/are constituted by the Vice-Chancellor in his wisdom just for his assistance to examine some particular issues. If they consider this proposal today, it would be nothing but to supersede the decision, which the Syndicate had already taken. Instead of placing the item before the Syndicate again, reminders should have been issued to the Boards of Studies to expedite the things.

Professor Keshav Malhotra said that as per his information, the Boards of Studies are going to meet shortly to consider this proposal. Hence, the proposal is under consideration of the Boards.

Dr. Dinesh Talwar stated that, now-a-days, there is impression that the University accepts the proposals of the Governments without taking necessary approval from the regulatory bodies. Whereas the sole criteria for taking decisions in academic matters related to the University as well as its affiliated Colleges is in the hands of the University. There is general impression that whatever proposal comes from the Government, a Committee is constituted by the University. There should not be any second thought that the Academic Calendar is to be decided by the University and not the Government. He is surprised to note that they seek approval of the Government even in small matters which are well within their purview. As far as the schedule of 180 teaching days is concerned, it is the duty of the teachers of the University as well as its affiliated Colleges to work absolutely efficiently and diligently. It is for the University to see as to how the requirement of 180 teaching days is to be met. According to him, 180 teaching days do not mean that there should be 180 teaching days. In fact, 180 teaching days includes days for cultural activities, educational-cum-recreational tours, etc. He further stated that though they had already taken decisions unanimously on the issue of observance of 5-days week in the affiliated Colleges and re-employment of College teachers up to the age of 65 years on the pattern of Panjab University, decisions on these issues are still pending on the part of the Government, which is not in good taste. They expect that under the leadership of Professor Arun Kumar Grover the rank of the University would be taken to the highest level. He pleaded that the decisions on the issues which are within the purview of the University, should be taken by the University itself. Though they are not averse to suggestions, the prerogative, which has been vested with them, the issue should not be passed on to someone else for dictating terms to them. The decisions which had been taken by the academic bodies of the University should be implemented and the proposals which are being sent by other people might be considered at an appropriate level and only after the decision is taken by those academic bodies, the item should be brought before the Syndicate for consideration. He was sorry to point out that more than 200 teachers have not been given annual increments as well as senior/selection grades by the U.T. Administration. He pleaded that before bringing such proposals to the Syndicate, the Director, Higher Education, U.T. Chandigarh should be made aware of these difficulties. In the end, he said that since they wanted to prepare their students for the examination, the existing system of conducting House Tests in September and December could not be done away with.

Shri Satya Pal Jain stated that last time this proposal was referred to the Boards of Studies. Therefore, first the opinion of the Boards should be sought and, if need be, the Boards should be asked to submit their recommendations within a stipulated period. Ultimately, the decision is to be taken by the Syndicate. Referring to the statement made by Shri Ashok Goyal that only the recommendations of the Vice-Chancellor should come to the Syndicate, Shri Satya Pal Jain said that his personal view is that the members of the Syndicate should be given an option to bring an item to the Syndicate, which might be submitted in the University office 7-10 days before the meeting. According to him, there is no harm in

examining this proposal. As far as the proposal under consideration is concerned, they should wait for the recommendations of the Boards of Studies.

Endorsing the viewpoints expressed by Dr. Dinesh Talwar, Dr. R.P.S. Josh said that about 300-400 College teachers are suffering as they are not being given annual increments and also not being placed in the senior scale/selection grade.

Dr. Jagwant Singh stated that he fully remembered that this proposal had been referred to the Boards of Studies by the Syndicate. The item should be placed before the Syndicate again for consideration as and when the input is received from the Boards. He further stated that the U.T. Administration was not taking into consideration what is happening in Punjab on various issues relating to teachers working in the Colleges situated in Chandigarh. He along with Professor Keshav Malhotra and Dr. R.P.S. Josh met Smt. Gurpreet Kaur Sapra, Director Higher Education, U.T., Chandigarh regarding the issue of Community Colleges. They told her that the Government is taking into consideration only the Colleges situated in U.T. Chandigarh. But it is not possible to take a decision taking into consideration only the Colleges situated in Chandigarh because the decision has to be implemented in the Colleges situated in U.T. Chandigarh as well as in the State of Punjab. They told her clearly that she should not expect this kind of experimentation from them. Therefore, they are not in a position to consider the issue of Community Colleges and she was fairly convinced with their arguments. He further said that they could not take up the matter of API Score with her in that meeting. However, any new guidelines/rules/regulations could not be implemented retrospectively and they have to implement such guidelines/rules/regulations prospectively. Finally, she had agreed with them. Incidentally, the Director, Higher Education, Punjab had issued a letter stating that they had to continue with the old system of assessment up to 31st March 2013. He further said that they are again taking different dates for grant of Ph.D. increments than what is prescribed in the U.G.C. Notification. As such, they were facing several problems. The issue of 180 teaching days could be decided through a Committee appointed by the Syndicate. For that also, there has to be reasonable interaction between the members of various Regulatory Bodies. Therefore, this item could not be considered at this stage.

The Vice-Chancellor said that as far as promotions of College teachers under the Career Advancement Scheme are concerned, he would take up the matter with the Director, Higher Education, U.T. Chandigarh and with Punjab Government.

Dr. I.S. Sandhu stated that they appreciate the efforts being made by the Vice-Chancellor. He, in the presence of the Director, Higher Education, Punjab, said though the Punjab Government wanted to implement Semester System both at undergraduate and postgraduate level in all universities of the State, it is not lifting ban on recruitment of teachers which was imposed in 2004. He enquired whether there is any response from the Punjab Government for lifting of ban imposed on recruitment of teachers. He added that the Committee constituted to look into the issue of discontinuation of Semester System at the postgraduate level has recommended that the Semester System at the postgraduate level should be done away with.

But the recommendation of the Committee has not been placed before the Syndicate for consideration.

The Vice-Chancellor said that Ms. Ravneet Kaur, Principal Secretary, Higher Education, Punjab, has told him that once they filled up the vacant positions in the Government Colleges, they would take up the issue of filling up of vacant positions in the aided Colleges.

Principal R.S. Jhanji said that payment of salaries have not been made to the teachers in the aided Colleges for the last 14 months.

The Vice-Chancellor said that minimum service benefits should be given to the teachers.

S. Gurdev Singh Ghuman, Director, Higher Education, Punjab, said that he would look into the issue pointed out by Principal R.S. Jhanji.

Shri Ashok Goyal stated that Item 54 was again brought to the Syndicate, whereas in the note it has been mentioned that 'the Vice-Chancellor said that since they had serious reservations and apprehensions about this item, the Chandigarh Administration would be requested that it would be better to open the Community Colleges in Chandigarh Polytechnic College'. The item was brought to the Syndicate in July meeting to which Dr. Dinesh Talwar has made a reference. Frankly speaking, whatever decision was taken by the Syndicate in April 2013, he spoke on the same lines in the July meeting of the Syndicate that the concept of Community Colleges should be accepted, in principle, and what is not in their hands as per Regulations of the University, should be looked into by a Committee constituted by the Syndicate. It is in his knowledge that the Committee has said that as far as Diploma and Certificate courses in Community Colleges are concerned, these should be run on the same lines as the Add-On courses were being run in the University. In fact, this scheme of Community Colleges is of the Government of India and is supposed to be run in the Polytechnic Colleges. The "document", to which Madam Sapra was referring to, very clearly says that these courses should be run in Polytechnic Colleges. In the April meeting of the Syndicate, they had also resolved that it would be better to open the Community Colleges in Polytechnic Colleges. There was no intention to violate the regulations because he was the one who always said whether it is U.T. Administration or Punjab Government or Central Government, it is the duty of everybody to maintain the sanctity of the regulations. That is why this item could not be considered. However, the impression is given as if the item is being brought to the Syndicate under pressure. Thereafter, the message would go that they had placed the proposal before the Syndicate, but the Syndicate has not approved the same. Therefore, it is the duty of the Vice-Chancellor and the Registrar to see that item is brought to the Syndicate only if the same is covered under the regulations because they are bound by the regulations. If the proposal/item is not covered under the regulations, the concerned person/authority should be told in clear terms that it is not possible for them to get the item considered by the Syndicate. But it is taken as if some pressure is put on the Syndicate to accept the proposal because otherwise also it is mentioned in the document presented by the Director, Higher Education, U.T. Chandigarh that as far as Add-On courses are concerned, these are already approved by the Syndicate that the

Colleges, whichever got Add-On courses, could apply within three weeks of the date they get the course concerned. Therefore, the Government had quoted the rule, which had been framed for Add-On courses, though they wanted to implement it in the scheme of Community Colleges, which is not in existence at the time of framing of above-said rules. Therefore, the Committee decided that if they wanted to avail this benefit, let these courses be also allowed to be run at par with Add-On courses.

Professor Keshav Malhotra stated that they met the Director, Higher Education, U.T. Chandigarh. Though they did not know the concept of Community Colleges, when they went through the document in detail, they found that these courses are meant to be run in the technical educational institutions. In fact, there are two components – Level-I and Level-II. Level-I contained Add-On type courses to be run by technical colleges and not in degree colleges. Level-II related to Dual Degree concept, i.e., graduate degree along with some Diploma.

Dr. Jagwant Singh stated that after going through the document, they found that whatever certification is to be done under the Community College scheme at some stage is that they have to give some credit for the course/diploma done under the Community College scheme. This concept has not become a part of the University Calendar as yet. Hence, the Director, Higher Education was told that it would not be possible for them to accept the scheme of Community Colleges. Though they were not against any new concept, their concern is about vocationalization of education. Since lot of money is coming to them under the Community College scheme of the Government, these courses could easily be run in the Polytechnic Colleges. In fact, the entire nitty-gritty of this Community College scheme has not been understood by the Administration.

RESOLVED: That the Item pertaining to alternative system of Internal Assessment in Colleges proposed by Shri Ajoy Sharma, former Director, Higher Education, U.T. Chandigarh, be placed before the Syndicate after obtaining the opinion/recommendations of various Boards of Studies.

Report of the Committee dated 3.5.2013 constituted to look into the complaints against Guru Nanak Girls College, Model Town, Ludhiana

54. Considered Report (**Appendix-LXVII**) of the Committee dated 3.5.2013, constituted by the Vice-Chancellor to look into the complaints against Guru Nanak Girls College, Model Town, Ludhiana.

NOTE: E-mail dated 23.7.2013 received from President, Guru Nanak Education Trust, Model Town, Ludhiana-141002 addressed to the Vice-Chancellor enclosed (**Appendix-LXVII**).

Dr. Tarlok Bandhu stated that he had gone through the report of the Committee and found it to be very disturbing. The Principal of the College had attacked one of the members of the Committee with her stick on 27.05.2013. Though the entire case was narrated to the Vice-Chancellor, but no action, including lodging of a First Information Report (FIR), was taken till 31.05.2013. In the report, serious violations on each and every aspect have been reported. All these issues have been discussed threadbare by the Committee. Further, the case of plagiarism by Principal (Dr.) Charanjit Kaur Mahal in her Ph.D. thesis has also been discussed. On page 21 of the report, it has been mentioned that Principal Charanjit Kaur Mahal

submitted her thesis and the same was accepted by the University before the stipulated period of 3 years. He failed to understand how the thesis was accepted by the University before the stipulated period of 3 years. The Committee has raised the points which are related to the mal-practices being prevalent in Guru Nanak Girls College, Model Town, Ludhiana. He, therefore, suggested that a show cause notice should be issued to Guru Nanak Girls College, Model Town, Ludhiana, for disaffiliation.

Shri Harpreet Singh Dua stated that, as said by Dr. Tarlok Bandhu, the Committee had covered all the aspects. Serious violations have been pointed out and proved. On page 29 of the report, it has been mentioned that Ms. Jaspreet Kaur was admitted to BBA-II in 2012 on the basis of fake Detailed-Marks-Card (DMC) and Migration Certificate of Punjabi University, Patiala. Though Ms. Jaspreet Kaur was a student of BBA-I in 2011 of the same College and had failed in the BBA-I examination. The Superintendent of the College has made the entry of the DMC of BBA-I "fail" in the register maintained by the College, under his signature. However, that very candidate took admission in the same College by procuring fake certificates from Punjabi University, Patiala. The student was admitted under the signature of the Superintendent of the College, whereas Superintendent is not authorized to recommend/make admission as the admissions in the Colleges are usually made by the Admission Committees. The College is also not paying salary to the teachers as per U.G.C. norms. In fact, the College said that they are not bound to pay HRA. When the issue was raised in the Syndicate and a Committee was constituted, the College enhanced the salary up by 30-40%. However, neither medical allowance is paid to the teacher nor the College contribution towards PF is being given. Even if the College contribution towards PF is given, it is deducted from the teachers' salary. Similarly, maternity leave has not been granted to the lady teachers for the last 7-8 years. Whenever any teacher applied for maternity leave, she is given leave without pay for one year. The persons, who are working as departmental heads in the College, are still working on *ad hoc* basis, whereas the faculty members, whose appointments had been approved by the University, were not allowed to act as head of the Departments. The admissions of the students are also being made by the *ad hoc* faculty members and not by the regular teachers. Some of the persons had been shown as teachers, but their names did not exist in the record of the College. The College is charging double fee from the students admitted in self-financing courses. Even then the College is not giving the benefit of HRA and other allowances to the teachers. Though the College is running an IGNOU Centre in the College, but it did not provide any document to the Committee, which is a serious matter. The Librarian of the A.C. Joshi Library had earlier said that the Ph.D. thesis of Principal Charanjit Kaur Mahal is not available in the Library and they could not provide the same. But later on a copy of the said thesis has been procured by the D.R. (Colleges).

Principal R.S. Jhanji stated that the report of the Committee relating to Guru Nanak Girls College, Model Town, Ludhiana, is really disturbing. He drew the attention of the Syndicate towards the two documents, i.e., report of the Committee submitted on 15th July 2013 and the minutes of the Committee held on 16th July 2013, which was held immediately after the submission of the report. He did not know as to what were the compulsions to convene the meeting of some members of the Committee again on 16th July 2013, that too, after the

submission of the report. This would have definitely a bearing on the integrity of the members. In this regard he read out the following contents mentioned at Page 39 of the Appendix:

“All present regretted the progress of the events as they happened from May 27 onwards. In the interest of the students that study at the Guru Nanak Girls College, Ludhiana, the President and the Principal of the College offered to cooperate with the University in the conduct of the task of imparting education to the young students.

However, the President and the Principal of Guru Nanak Girls College, Ludhiana, added that they have always cooperated with the University authorities and would continue to do so.”

Whereas it is known fact that both the President and the Principal of Guru Nanak Girls College, Ludhiana, have neither cooperated with the University authorities nor with the Committee. He pointed out that not all the members were present in the meeting of the Committee held on 16th July 2013 and only some of the members were present. If all this had to be done, then what was the need of submission of report by the Committee?

Dr. Jagwant Singh stated that it has been mentioned at page 39 that “after having discussion on the happenings before and after the visit of the Committee on May 27, 2013, the members of the Committee offered to withdraw the complaint submitted to the Vice-Chancellor in the evening of May 27, 2013, concurrent with the withdrawal of the legal notice served on the Committee members on June 5, 2013 by the President, Guru Nanak Girls College, Ludhiana after the visit of the Committee”. It is really disturbing because the members of the Committee had offered to withdraw the complaints submitted to the Vice-Chancellor when the College concurred to withdraw the legal notice served on the Committee members. The Managing Committee of the College thought that by serving legal notice and bullying members of the Syndicate, Senate and the University representatives, they could get away. By agreeing to withdraw the complaint, the Committee members had encouraged this kind of conduct of the Managing Committee. The College had levelled allegations against the Vice-Chancellor, Registrar, senior functionaries of the University and the Committee members and finally they get away with it. It is openly coming out now as to how much pressure the Committee members had exerted. Professor Rajesh Gill has not signed the proceedings of the Committee meeting dated 16th July 2013. This College had started very aggressively against the University. The members of the Syndicate and Senate could neither be pressurized nor favoured. They should collectively take a serious note of all these things and take an appropriate decision as to how they could come out of this situation. They should learn how to withstand the pressure. In the interest of education, they have the responsibility to take a call.

Principal R.S. Jhanji said that according to him, they had given way to the illegalities of the College by just backtracking.

Professor Nandita Singh stated that on 15th July 2013 at 4.00 p.m. they started reading the contents of different documents and finalized the report at 7.30 p.m. All the members signed the report

except Professor Aruna Goel, Chairperson of the Committee, who said that she would sign it tomorrow. Then on 16th July 2013, in the morning, she received a call that a meeting of the Committee is going to be held in the Vice-Chancellor's office, to which she was surprised. The caller said that they have to go through the report before they decide for compromise. She was not allowed to say much by the Chairperson of the Committee in the meeting. In the whole meeting of the Committee, the President, Managing Committee, Guru Nanak Girls College, Model Town, Ludhiana, prevailed. She felt that since Professor Aruna Goel wanted to have a compromise, that is why, this decision has been taken on 16th July 2013.

Dr. Dinesh Talwar said that though the Syndicate had taken a decision to appoint 3 representatives on the Governing Body of Guru Nanak Girls College, Model Town, Ludhiana, till date no action has been taken on the said decision of the Syndicate which related to such a serious issue. On the issue of such a serious nature, the office of the Deputy Registrar (Colleges) waited for the paragraph of the Syndicate decision, whereas they should have acted swiftly. Referring to the report of the Committee, he said that he has read the entire report. Even if the compromise has taken place, in view of the serious deficiencies which have been pointed out in the report, the College could not get away with. Not even by serving legal notices on the members of the Committee. In the end, he proposed that – (i) the Students' Returns of the College should not be accepted till appropriate action is taken against the Principal of the College by the University; (ii) since the behaviour of the Principal of the College is uncalled for and unpardonable, after 13th August 2013, any communication from the College with her signatures should not be accepted for all intents and purposes; and (iii) the senior-most teacher of Guru Nanak Girls College, Model Town, Ludhiana, should be appointed as Officiating Principal of the College and the communications sent under his/her signatures should only be accepted. In this way, the University must assert its authority over the affiliated Colleges. He added that there could be dissent by a few members in the decisions taken by the Syndicate and Senate, but the decisions are taken by majority. In one of the cases in the High Court, a member of the previous Syndicate had said in the High Court that the decisions of the Syndicate should be put on the fire, which was wrong on his part because he was part and parcel of the said decision as he had not recorded his dissent. He pleaded that the decision of the Syndicate taken in its previous meeting that 3 representatives of the Syndicate/University should be appointed on the Governing Body of the College should be effected immediately without waiting for the relevant paragraph.

Professor Shelley Walia said that, in fact, some members of the Committee along with President and Principal of the College have backtracked in the presence of the Vice-Chancellor, which is totally ridiculous. The compromise made by the Committee had embarrassed the University. Therefore, he would think for action to be taken against the members of the Committee who seems to have buckled down under some kind of pressure and fear of litigation. Should they have another Committee to visit the College and find out as to what are the issues.

S. Gurdev Singh Ghuman, Director, Higher Education, Punjab said that stern action should be taken against the College.

Dr. I.S. Sandhu said that the respect of the Committees visiting various affiliated Colleges should be safeguarded. Continuing, he said that the members of the University Committees if served with legal notices, should be defended in the Courts by the lawyers engaged by the University. If no action is taken against Guru Nanak Girls College, Model Town, Ludhiana, they would get away with all kind of nonsense on their part. He, therefore, suggested that a stern action should be taken against the College.

Shri Ashok Goyal stated that he did not have any hesitation in accepting that he is a coward and could not withstand any kind of pressure, which is being put up by the Managing Committees of the Colleges, their Principals and others. Very clearly and frankly the Vice-Chancellor has also become a party to support the Management of the College in one way or the other. How could the Vice-Chancellor of Panjab University allow the Managing Committee of the College to hold the meeting in his office, that too, after the submission of the report by the Committee, though on paper, they have to blame the members of the Committee for backtracking. The President of the Managing Committee of the College has the courage to criticize the members of the Syndicate, attitude of the Syndicate and Senate members and other senior functionaries of the University. He wondered wherefrom he has got that courage. They should not blame the members of the Committee for succumbing to the pressure of the legal notices served on them. Though they sent those legal notices to the University for handling them at its own level, nothing has been done by the University till date. He enquired why this item has been brought to the Syndicate only with selective documents and why the legal notices have not been annexed with the item? Secondly, why the complaint filed with the Vice-Chancellor by the members of the Committee about one month back has not been annexed? The Committee finalized its report on 15th July 2013 and before its submission, the College Management had filed a complaint with the Vice-Chancellor. The complaint has been filed with the Vice-Chancellor by a Committee which was appointed by the Syndicate. Since the Committee was not appointed by the Vice-Chancellor, but by the Syndicate itself, if any complaint was filed by the Committee, it should have been placed before the Syndicate first instead of the Vice-Chancellor as the Syndicate was only the competent authority to take appropriate decision. But unfortunately, whatever complaint was filed by the Committee appointed by the Syndicate, the Vice-Chancellor instead of taking Syndicate into confidence, handed over the copy of the complaint to the College Management. Unofficially, the College Management has apologized for the misbehaviour of the Principal. The Management had admitted that the Principal had misbehaved as she was frustrated and emotionally depressed. The Management had assured the Vice-Chancellor that they would regret for the misbehaviour of the Principal in writing. Next day instead of expressing regret, in writing, the College Management served legal notices on the Vice-Chancellor, Registrar and Members of the Committee, which is very serious. The way the University has been behaving, it has completely failed to maintain the dignity of the members of the Senate, Syndicate and Committees constituted by these statutory bodies. The Managements of the Colleges are coming out with heavy hands on the functionaries of the University and members of the Syndicate and Senate and the situation has reached at a stage where it is not possible for anybody to go for inspection of the Colleges. It is a very alarming situation. He further stated that the Librarian of A.C. Joshi Library should be taken to task as to under

what authority/circumstance he has misled the Committee by reporting that the copy of the Ph.D. thesis of Principal Charanjit Kaur Mahal is not available in the Library, when it was available. Why he had played hide and seek with the Committee? The Management of the College is so courageous that they got away with it. If they go through the language of the affidavit and legal notices, they would find that they had alleged that Professor Rajesh Gill, one of the Hon'ble members of the Senate has called Principal Mahal a bxxxx. After such a wild allegation against one of the members of the Committee and the Senate, it is so painful that the members of the Committee were compelled to sign such a document and everybody knew who has played the role of a middleman. All this has been done to keep personal relations intact and by ignoring the interest of the University. The members of the Committee have taken this step at the cost of the University by ignoring the reputation of the University. Some of the members, especially the women members had kept their own interest in view while signing such a document, which clearly shows as if some people are trying to hush up the matter, which is a serious matter. But what is more serious in this whole exercise is that all the members of the Committee and the College Management, who have signed on the document on 16th July 2013, have tried to do one thing that Professor Rajesh Gill is the only culprit because she has not put her signatures. Had she been the signatory, he would have assumed that maybe her feelings have been assuaged and the Principal had regretted before her. But they could themselves see the language wherein it has been mentioned that "All present regretted the progress of the events as they happened from May 27 onwards. In the interest of the students, who studied at Guru Nanak Girls College, Ludhiana, the President and the Principal of the College offered to cooperate with the University". Referring to page 39, he said that it has also been written that "the Chairperson of the Committee, Professor Aruna Goel along with some members of the Committee met the President and the Principal of Guru Nanak Girls College, Ludhiana, in the presence of Deputy Registrar (Colleges) in the office of the Vice-Chancellor on July 16, 2013 at 4.30 p.m." The Deputy Registrar (Colleges) has been shown as if he is the Chief Justice of India. While writing another document, they backtracked from the previous one, which was prepared/finalized in the presence of Professor Rajesh Gill, who in fact was the target of the Management of the College. When a news item appeared in the newspapers, the same very College Management sent an e-mail to the Vice-Chancellor. Surprisingly and shockingly, the Vice-Chancellor acknowledged the receipt of the e-mail and wrote "to be forwarded to Syndicate meeting – July 27, 2013". Shri Ashok Goyal drew the attention of the House towards the following two paragraphs of the appendix mentioned at pages 41 and 42:

"The College authorities have consistently alleged that sections of the enquiry committee have been working under the undue influence of Shri Harpreet Singh Dua, a member of the Syndicate. We have sufficient credible material to support this allegation. Therefore, there is reasonable apprehension that the report is biased and factually incorrect. The Syndicate is required and expected to proceed in accordance with law and facts. It will be unfortunate if the Syndicate is misinformed into taking action which is not supported by the record.

In the circumstances, your office is requested to send a copy of the report to the College for comments and

verification of facts. You are further requested to submit copies of statements made by some teachers to the committee for verification regarding their applicability today.”

The College Management had already taken care of the members of the Syndicate and assured telling them that if they did something against them, they are there to take it up. He does not have courage to express his opinion until he gets an assurance from the Syndicate and the Vice-Chancellor that everybody has a right to become frank and courageous to express his/her opinion and whatever legal action would otherwise be required, would be taken care of by the University itself. Probably, the idea of getting this document signed on 16th July 2013 was to create congenial atmosphere so that the report of the Committee becomes unbiased, but unfortunately it had worked for cross-purposes. The 16th July document has become a bone of contention because their own members of the Committee seem to have backtracked of the complaint which they have made. He requested the Vice-Chancellor to guide the Syndicate what is to be done now.

The Vice-Chancellor said that let him reiterate that when the proposal came that they would come to meet him in his office, he was not aware that the report has already been finalized and signed by the members. Normally, the Chairperson put his signatures first, but it has happened in reverse order in this case. To him, the most important thing is that they had backtracked of the complaint that the stick was deliberately thrown to attack someone. Now, important issue is that a lot of irregularities committed by the College have been pointed out in the report, which are unacceptable. If he had to guide the Syndicate, he would say forget about the legal notices and 16th July compromise note. Since the 15th July report of the Committee is *prima facie* based on documentary evidences, and in it several deficiencies and irregularities on the part of the College have been pointed out, they could still take action against the College as per University regulations. What action is to be taken is to be decided by the Syndicate.

Dr. Dinesh Talwar reiterated that in the meanwhile three representatives of the University should be appointed on the Governing Body of the College.

The Vice-Chancellor said that the message should be strict so that everybody could know that such violations are not permissible. With this action, they are trying to impose some discipline on the affiliated Colleges. Similarly, the Punjab Government also have some responsibility towards the managements of these affiliated Colleges and see that the Colleges are run as per norms of the Government as well as of the University. If they agreed, they could send a copy of the report to the College and if the College wanted to respond, it could do so within a stipulated period. In the meantime, a Sub-Committee of the Syndicate could be constituted to recommend action against the College as per University regulations.

Dr. Dinesh Talwar said that a Committee appointed by the DPI (Colleges), Punjab, led by Deputy DPI (Colleges), Punjab visited Guru Nanak Girls College, Model Town, Ludhiana, on 7th July 2013 to verify the irregularities being committed by the College. It is astonishing to know that the data/documents were not even supplied by the College

to that Committee also. From this, they could gauge the attitude of the College authorities.

Professor Naval Kishore informed that the College is covered under the grant-in-aid scheme of the Punjab Government and the post of Principal is also covered under the grant-in-aid scheme. If the DPI had conducted the enquiry, the Government could also take action on the basis of that report.

Dr. Jagwant Singh said that the practice of levelling of allegations and putting pressure on the members of the Committees appointed by the University by the Governing Body of the Colleges is continuing in the College for quite some time. It is very disturbing that pressure tactics had been applied by College Management on the members of the Governing/Superintending Bodies of the University. They should maintain the dignity of the members as they are the custodian of the democratic set up of the University. Therefore, they must take action against the College after examining the report and seeking comments from the College Management. He assured that they would get support of all the members of the Syndicate.

Continuing, Dr. Jagwant Singh said that the action taken report on the GTB Khalsa College for Women, Dasuya, must also be placed before the Syndicate. He remembered that the report on the said College was also sent to the Punjab Government. He does not think any action has been taken against the said College, on the contrary, the services of all the complainant teachers have been terminated.

Dr. Dinesh Talwar said that if they correctly remember, before taking a decision in the case of GTB Khalsa College for Women, Dasuya, it was decided that this year no examination centre should be created at this College. Despite this, examination centre was created at GTB Khalsa College for Women, Dasuya. To send a signal, they have to make a beginning in spite of pressures being exerted on them.

The Vice-Chancellor said that a Sub-Committee comprising Dr. Dinesh Talwar, Principal R.S. Jhanji and Dr. Tarlok Bandhu should be appointed to look at the provisions of the University Calendar and see what action could be taken against the College. Whatever action is recommended to be taken, they have at least to give the College a show cause notice.

Shri Ashok Goyal suggested that, as recommended by the Committee in 1.1 at page 29, a complaint should be filed against the College with the Police for investigation so that the persons behind fake certificates (Detailed-Marks-Card of BBA-I and Migration Certificate) scandal are identified. He further suggested that whatever action is required to be taken by the University on the basis of the report of the Committee should be taken. The College should be issued show cause to explain as to why action be not taken against it in view of the discrepancies pointed out by the Committee in its report.

RESOLVED: That –

- (1) as recommended by the Committee in 1.1 at page 29, based on the complaint of Shri Prithipal Singh Grewal, a complaint be filed against the College with the Police for investigation so that the persons behind fake certificates (Detailed-Marks-Card of BBA-I and Migration Certificate) scandal are identified and appropriate action taken against them; and
- (2) the members of the Committee, who have been served with the legal notices by the College Management, be defended in the Court by the University/its Advocates.

RESOLVED FURTHER: That the following Sub-Committee of Syndics be constituted to examine the report of the Committee, which has been considered by the Syndicate, to suggest action to be taken against Guru Nanak Girls College, Model Town, Ludhiana, as per the provisions of University Calendar, especially Regulation 11.1 at page 162 of P.U. Calendar, Volume I, 2007:

1. Shri Ashok Goyal ... (Chairman)
2. Dr. Dinesh Talwar
3. Dr. I.S. Sandhu
4. Dr. Tarlok Bandhu
5. Deputy Registrar (Colleges) ... (Convener).

At this stage, the members raised and discussed the issue of non-appearance of certain Advocates of the University in the Courts and also not filing applications for vacation of stays. They felt that the reason for this might be payment of meagre amount by the University to the Advocates. They observed that the fees paid by the Punjab Government, U.T. Administration, Chandigarh and other Universities of the State to the Advocates on their panel should be got examined by a Committee and enhancement in fees to be paid to the Advocates on the University panel should be recommended.

After some further discussion, it was –

RESOLVED: That a Committee be constituted by the Vice-Chancellor, to examine the fees being paid to the Advocates engaged by the Punjab Government, U.T. Administration, Chandigarh and other Universities in the State of Punjab and recommend enhancement in the fees to be paid to the Advocates appointed by the University on its panel.

At this stage, referring to Item 55 which was considered in the previous meeting of the Syndicate, Dr. Jagwant Singh said that though the decision with regard to non-grant of autonomous status to S.G.G.S. Khalsa College, Mahilpur, was taken, decision on other issues which emerged out of the report of the Committee, appointed on the direction of High Court, was deferred. He suggested that other issues regarding S.G.G.S. Khalsa College, Mahilpur, highlighted in the report on the basis of which even extension of affiliation could be cancelled, should be discussed now.

Professor Naval Kishore informed that S.G.G.S. Khalsa College, Mahilpur, has not even applied for extension of affiliation. He, however, suggested that, to be on the safer side, a last chance should be given to the College to get the inspection done within a period of 7 days and if the College refused to get itself inspected, action should be initiated against it.

To this, Dr. Jagwant Singh said that they wanted to facilitate somebody against whom they had enough proofs with regard to bungling in appointment of teachers, payment of less salary to the teachers, etc.

The Vice-Chancellor enquired, could they prepare a show cause notice as to why S.G.G.S. Khalsa College, Mahilpur, be not disaffiliated on the basis of the report submitted by the Committee comprising Professor A.K. Bhandari (Registrar), Dr. Jagwant Singh and others as the inspection is not being got done by the College? The College may be given 7 days to get the inspection done. If inspection is not got done by the College, an Inspection Committee be sent to the College so that the report of the first Committee is reiterated.

Dr. Jagwant Singh said that the Syndicate had already observed from the report that out of 110 teachers (as per list provided to the Committee), only 54 teachers were present in the College for interaction with the Committee, despite the prior information that the inspection is going to take place. Also, the evidence of rest of the teachers being on leave could not be produced. Moreover, from the qualifications given in the list of the teachers, it was found that 11 teachers out of 54 are B.Ed. and, thus, eligible for appointment as teachers only in Schools as they have not qualified NET, which was compulsory for being eligible for College/University teaching. Therefore, as far as extension of affiliation to S.G.G.S. Khalsa College, Mahilpur, is concerned, they should not be on the defensive.

Shri Ashok Goyal stated that in the report of the Committee constituted by the Vice-Chancellor in terms of the orders of Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court, it had been recommended that since the College is seriously falling short of all mandatory norms in meeting all aspects of the U.G.C. and University Regulations, the Committee is of the considered opinion that what to talk of about autonomous status to the College, the College is falling short of conditions even for getting affiliation from the University. However, the stand of the College is that since it enjoyed permanent affiliation for the last so many years, there is no need to get it inspected. Whereas as per Regulation 14.1 at page 161 of P.U. Calendar, Volume I, 2007, every affiliated College shall be inspected at least once in four years by an Inspection Committee to be appointed by the Syndicate on the recommendations of the Vice-Chancellor. It has been further provided under the Regulation 14.1 that if at any time, the Syndicate is of the opinion, that a special inspection of an affiliated College is necessary, the Syndicate may cause such an inspection to be made by a Committee appointed by it on the recommendations of the Vice-Chancellor. Therefore, the Colleges, which did not get inspection done and do not fulfil the conditions of the University for affiliation, do not deserve continuation of affiliation even. Since the Committee is saying that they should reconsider their decision regarding grant of affiliation to the College, why should they send another Inspection Committee. If at all, they send a Committee for extension of affiliation, they do not have any moral right after a week to disaffiliate the College. He

further said that there is no need to issue a show cause notice to the College. Such a College could be dealt with in accordance with the Regulations. The Syndicate could send Inspection Committee to the College/s at any time. The only concession, which needed to be given to the College, is that it should be informed that it would be inspected from all angles on such and such date, which are mandatory for affiliation and in case the College failed to get the inspection done on the announced/given date, appropriate action would be taken by the Syndicate against it in terms of the regulations. But before doing that, since the issue is already pending in the Court, he suggested that they must take the opinion of the Advocate, who is representing the University in the Court, so that he could know what follow up action the University is taking against the College simultaneously.

RESOLVED: That –

- (1) S.G.G.S. Khalsa College, Mahilpur (Hoshiarpur) be inspected under the proviso of Regulation 14.1 at page 161 of P.U. Calendar, Volume I, 2007, that if at any time, the Syndicate is of the opinion, that a special inspection of an affiliated College is necessary, the Syndicate may cause such an inspection to be made by a Committee appointed by it on the recommendations of the Vice-Chancellor. But before doing that, opinion of the Advocate, who is representing the University in the Court, be sought so that he could know what follow up action the University is taking against the College simultaneously.
- (2) if the College did not allow it to be inspected by the Committee appointed for the purpose, action be taken against it on the basis of the report of the Committee, constituted by the Vice-Chancellor in terms of the orders of the Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court.

Minutes of Enquiry Committee dated 23.04.2013 constituted on the complaint made by Dr. Raghubir Singh

56. Considered the minutes dated 23.4.2013 (**Appendix-LXVIII**) of the Enquiry Committee constituted by the Vice-Chancellor on the complaint made by Dr. Raghubir Singh, H.No. D-7, Azad Nagar Kot Khalsa, Amritsar (Punjab) against Dr. S.S. Randhawa, Principal, SGGGS College, Mahilpur, of his having allegedly obtaining the recognition of NCTE & affiliation from the Panjab University, Chandigarh for starting M.P.Ed. in the session 2008-2009 on forged documents received through MHRD, New Delhi.

Dr. Jagwant Singh stated that every time they closed their eyes after seeing all the reports and people exploit the system of the University. It is mentioned in the report that SGGGS Khalsa College, Mahilpur, obtained affiliation from the Panjab University and recognition from the N.C.T.E. for M.P.Ed. course on the basis of fake documents at least in the case of 3 members of the faculty, viz., Dr. Gurmej Singh, Lecturer, Dr. Pritam Singh, Lecturer-cum-Reader and Dr. Sawinder Singh Randhawa, Professor, which were fabricated by the Principal of the College, Dr. Surjit Singh Randhawa. Affidavits, bio-data and joining reports signed by the concerned teachers as well as Dr. S.S. Randhawa, Principal of the College were submitted to the N.C.T.E. Regional Office, Jaipur and the copies of the same had been

procured by the complainant under R.T.I. Act. It has been alleged that the documents attached are fake because the photograph pasted on the biodata was that of Sardul Singh, who is working as Lecturer in Political Science in the same College in place of Dr. Gurmej Singh. The signatures of Dr. Gurmej Singh on the affidavit, biodata as well as joining report were allegedly fake. Similarly, in the biodata of Dr. Pritam Singh, photograph of Avtar Singh was allegedly fixed. Sardul Singh is the main spokesman of the College. If he is telling a lie at one place, it meant he is telling lie everywhere. As per Annual Returns submitted by the College, Dr. Gurmej Singh, Dr. Pritam Singh and Dr. Sawinder Singh Randhawa have never worked in this College. Sardul Singh was Lecturer in Political Science in this College. Avtar Singh and Ajit Singh were working as Lecturer in Physical Education and Superintendent, respectively in SGGS College, Sector 26, Chandigarh. It is clear that the statements of the Principal are false. He further stated that the regulations of the N.C.T.E. are also attached with the item and from the regulations it is clear that the N.C.T.E. issued the Notification after fulfilment of all the conditions by the College. But in the instant case, the N.C.T.E. had issued the Notification within 10 months. Regarding affidavits, biodata and joining reports, the Principal said that he did not know anything about these documents. In fact, the University should have sent a person to the office of the N.C.T.E. to verify the record and the scanned copies should have been sought. But they did not do this. As far as Dr. Pritam Singh is concerned, he is working at S.N. College, Banga and he knew him because he is a teachers' activist. He personally enquired from him whether he had sought leave from the College and Dr. Pritam Singh replied in negative. Though the Principal told them these are the staff members working in his College, he is not willing to give any record. Rest of the documents might have been with the D.P.I. (Colleges), Punjab. As far as date of birth of Dr. Surjit Singh Randhawa is concerned, approval for his appointment as Lecturer stood withdrawn. The Managing Committee member of that College, i.e., the Secretary had written that it is not his signatures. When they had negative reports, the same should be given to the Court. Let they shift the onus to the Court. He added that it has been mentioned at page 51 of the report that 'the Committee is of the view that its concern is with the facts of the case and not the motives behind the complaint, therefore, it cannot ignore the complaint simply on the basis of some previous history of relations between Dr. Raghubir Singh, the College and its functionaries. The allegations regarding Ms. Manveet are not specific and too general in nature to be substantially addressed. The case filed by the College against Ms. Manveet does not substantiate the allegations now being made. Dr. S.S. Randhawa has presented to the Committee the proposal sent to the N.C.T.E. for M.P.Ed. course which shows that Dr. Gurmej Singh, Dr. Pritam Singh and Dr. Sawinder Singh Randhawa were appointed in the College and their particulars were sent to the N.C.T.E.', whereas Dr. Surjit Singh Randhawa, Principal of the College, has contradicted it by saying that these persons had never been working in his College.

Shri Ashok Goyal stated that one thing is clear from the report of the Committee that everything is fraud. He suggested that the entire case should be given to an investigating agency, i.e., Central Bureau of Investigation (CBI) or Vigilance Bureau of the State or a criminal case should be filed with the Chandigarh Police as Dr. Surjit Singh Randhawa has defrauded the Panjab University. There is no bar for taking such a criminal action and simultaneous action against

the College in terms of University regulations. In case they decided to disaffiliate the College and allow him to go scot free, that would also be not fair. Since it is an institution, it would be disaffiliated, but the one who has been doing all this, would go scot free. That is why, civil as well as criminal action should be taken simultaneously. Referring to the report submitted by V.K. Sibal, he said that since the Committee did not have statutory authority for summoning the record though several documents including affidavits have been annexed, the University could summon any record from the College. It has also been mentioned in the report that the College has obtained affiliation from the Panjab University and recognition from the N.C.T.E. for M.P.Ed. course on the basis of fake documents. The Principal of the College has given names of those persons, who have been appointed by duly constituted Selection Committee, which according to this report do not exist at all. The Principal has pasted somebody else's photograph on the form of somebody else. Therefore, nothing is impossible in this case. These three persons, i.e., Dr. Gurmej Singh, Dr. Pritam Singh and Dr. Sawinder Singh Randhawa have never applied for these posts in this College. N.C.T.E. should be written about the misgivings of the College. Action could be taken against the College saying that the Committee appointed by the University has observed that the College has failed to produce such and such record and in case the College failed to supply these documents within the stipulated period to the University, action would be taken against the College under Regulation 11.1.

After some further discussion, it was –

RESOLVED: That –

- (1) possibility be explored to handover the case of SGGGS Khasla College, Mahilpur to Punjab Vigilance Bureau; and
- (2) the case be clubbed with the pending litigation in the Court and the case be followed in the Court seriously. For this, even if heavy fee is to be paid to the Advocate appointed by the University, the same be paid.

Deferred Item

58. Consideration of following Item 58 on the agenda was deferred:

58. To consider if the Policy drafted by the Committee against Sexual Harassment, in compliance with the "THE SEXUAL HARASSMENT OF WOMEN AT WORKPLACE (PREVENTION, PROHIBITION AND REDRESSAL) ACT, 2013, be adopted.

Consideration of following Items 59(i), 59(ii), 59(iii) and 59(iv) on the agenda was deferred:

Deferred Item

59(i) To consider minutes dated 8.8.2013 of the Selection Committee (Walk-in-interview) for appointment of Assistant Professors in Computer Science at the following constituent Colleges/P.U. Regional Centers purely on temporary basis for the Academic session 2013-14 or till the regular posts are filled in through proper selection,

whichever is earlier, in the pay-scale of ₹15600-39100+AGP ₹6000/- plus other allowances admissible as per University rules:

- (i) Baba Balraj P.U. Constituent College, Balachaur, District Nawanshahr-1 post;
- (ii) P.U. Constituent College Guru Har Sahai, District Ferozepur-1 post (*subject to the approval of the Punjab Govt./U.G.C.);
- (iii) P.U. Rural Centre, Kauni, Sri Muktsar Sahib-2 posts; and
- (iv) Panjab University Swami Sarvanand Giri Regional Centre, Hoshiarpur (Computer Science & Engineering)-1 post.

59(ii). To consider minutes dated 10.8.2013 of the Selection Committee (Walk-in-interview) for appointment of Assistant Professors in English at the following constituent Colleges purely on temporary basis for the Academic session 2013-14 or till the regular posts are filled in through proper selection, whichever is earlier, in the pay-scale of ₹15600-39100+AGP ₹6000/- plus other allowances admissible as per University rules:

- (i) Baba Balraj P.U. Constituent College, Balachaur, District Nawanshahr-2 posts;
- (ii) P.U. Constituent College Guru Har Sahai, District Ferozepur-2 posts (*subject to the approval of the Punjab Govt./U.G.C.);
- (iii) P.U. Constituent College Nihalsinghwala District Moga-2 posts; and
- (iv) P.U. Constituent College Sikhwala, District Sri Muktsar Sahib-1 post.

59(iii). To consider minutes dated 12.8.2013 of the Selection Committee (Walk-in-interview) for appointment of Assistant Professors in Punjabi at the following constituent Colleges/P.U. Rural Centre purely on temporary basis for the Academic session 2013-14 or till the regular posts are filled in through proper selection, whichever is earlier, in the pay-scale of ₹15600-39100+AGP ₹6000/- plus other allowances admissible as per University rules:

- (i) Baba Balraj P.U. Constituent College, Balachaur, District Nawanshahr-1 post;
- (ii) P.U. Constituent College Guru Har Sahai, District Ferozepur-1 post (*subject to the approval of the Punjab Govt./U.G.C.);
- (iii) P.U. Constituent College Nihalsinghwala, District Moga-1 post; and
- (iv) P.U. Rural Centre, Kauni, Sri Muktsar Sahib-1 post.

59(iv). To consider minutes dated 13.8.2013 of the Selection Committee (Walk-in-interview) for appointment of Assistant Professors in Political Science at the following constituent Colleges/P.U. Rural

Centre purely on temporary basis for the Academic session 2013-14 or till the regular posts are filled in through proper selection, whichever is earlier, in the pay-scale of ₹15600-39100+AGP ₹6000/- plus other allowances admissible as per University rules:

- (i) P.U. Constituent College Nihalsinghwala, District Moga-1 post; and
- (ii) P.U. Rural Centre, Kauni, Sri Muktsar Sahib-1 post.

Consideration of following Item 60 on the agenda was deferred:

Deferred Item

60. To consider the minutes dated 30.07.2013 of the Committee constituted by the Vice-Chancellor to discuss the template for Assistant Professor (P.U.) approved by the Syndicate in view of 2nd Amendment of UGC Regulation – June 2013 (Minimum qualification for appointment of teachers and measures for maintenance of standards in Higher Education).

Issue regarding grant of provisional affiliation to a new Government Girls College, Jalalabad

61. Considered if new Government Girls College Jalalabad (West), Fazilka, be granted affiliation for B.A./B.Com courses from the session 2013-14 by condoning the delay as a special case and subject to fulfillment of condition by the College as per regulations. Information contained in the office note (**Appendix-LXIX**) was also taken into consideration.

NOTE: 1. Regulation 1.1 (a) and 1.3 appearing at page 157 of Panjab University Calendar volume I, 2007 reads as under:

1.1 (a) Director of Public Instruction or Head of the Education Department of the state concerned in the case of a Government College.

1.3 The Last date by which the application should reach the Registrar of the University shall be October of the year preceding the one in which it is proposed to start the college.

- 2. The D.C.D.C. has opined that taking into account the need of holistic need of providing education in the rural areas and also the cause thereof as has been taken up by the Govt. of Punjab as would ensure that the women of the state are able to engage themselves in purposeful and rewarding experience of Education at the proposed College, initiation of which will certainly give a big impetus to the women empowerment.

Under the circumstances, it would be appropriate to consider the request of Government of Punjab by waiving off the condition of time frame limitation as a onetime measure, not to be repeated in any

other case at any other time in future, and not to be ever considered as a precedent as the social cause of addressing the overall development of the women empowerment is of primordial importance.

3. Request of the Principal of Government Girls College, Jalalabad (West) was enclosed **(Appendix-LXIX)**.
4. Letter No. 14/10-2009 Coll.Edu.(1) dated 8.8.2013 and 15/20/2009-5 Edu. Cell/797 dated 7.8.2013 from D.P.I. College (Punjab) were enclosed **(Appendix-LXIX)**.

S. Gurdev Singh Ghuman, Director, Higher Education, Punjab, stated that they wanted to offer courses in Arts and Commerce streams at the newly opened Government Girls College Jalalabad (West), Fazilka. The College has five acres campus wherein 22 classrooms have already been constructed and the infrastructure have also been created. Now, everything is ready. At present, there is no Girls College in that area. He, therefore, pleaded that the College should be granted provisional affiliation for these courses subject to the recommendations by the Inspection Committee to be appointed by the University. As far as condoning the delay is concerned, they had already written to the University in this regard.

Dr. Dinesh Talwar said that 3-4 Government Colleges in Punjab were being run without any regular teacher. He enquired whether teachers have been appointed in the newly proposed Government College or not.

To this, S. Gurdev Singh Ghuman stated that they had already made the arrangement by transferring teachers from other Government Colleges.

Continuing, Dr. Dinesh Talwar said that by transferring teachers, the work of old Government College would suffer. He named few Government Colleges, e.g., Zira, Fazilka, Dudeka, Rara Sahib, Pojewal, etc., where there is already shortage of regular teachers.

Principal R.S. Jhanji said that the Punjab Government has not applied for provisional affiliation within the date stipulated.

Shri Harpreet Singh Dua said that firstly, the Punjab Government has not applied for provisional affiliation within the stipulated date. Secondly, even if the College is granted the provisional affiliation by condoning the delay, as a special case, they have to extend the last date for admission. He, therefore, suggested that they should not create such a situation as they had already so many cases of delay. Further, the University has not granted provisional affiliation/extension of affiliation to such Colleges which have not appointed teacher/s by 30th June.

Dr. Dinesh Talwar apprehended that in case new College established by the Punjab Government was granted provisional affiliation subject to the recommendation by the Inspection Committee, by condoning the delay in the submission of application

for applying for affiliation, as a special case, a review petition might be filed by those Colleges which have been denied affiliation/extension of affiliation by the University and in that eventuality, the University would stand nowhere.

S. Gurdev Singh Ghuman, Director, Higher Education, Punjab, said that if provisional affiliation could not be given for two courses, it should be given at least for B.A. course.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that in all probability it should be seen that the Regulations are not violated.

After some further discussion, it was –

RESOLVED: That the Vice-Chancellor be authorized to take decision, on behalf of the Syndicate, regarding grant of provisional affiliation to new Government Girls College Jalalabad (West), Fazilka, for B.A. course for the session 2013-14.

Award of degree of Doctor of Philosophy

62. Considered reports of examiners of certain candidates on the theses, including viva-voce reports, for the award of degree of Doctor of Philosophy (Ph.D.).

RESOLVED: That the degree of Doctor of Philosophy be awarded to the following candidates in the Faculty and subject noted against each:

| Sr. No. | Name of the Candidate | Faculty/ Subject | Title of Thesis |
|---------|--|---------------------------|---|
| 1. | Mr. Vinod Kumar Mittal Old Braham Kumari Street No. 10 Ward No. 14 Jawaharke Road Mansa Punjab - 151505 | Education/ Education | OBEDIENCE-DISOBEDIENCE TENDENCY OF ADOLESCENTS IN RELATION TO THEIR SELF-EXPRESSION, SOCIAL COMPETENCE AND GENDER |
| 2. | Ms. Kanwaljit Kaur Kingra #59, St. No. 2 Adarsh Nagar Faridkot- 151203 | Education/ Education | EFFECTIVENESS OF COMPUTER ASSISTED AND ACTIVITY ORIENTED INSTRUCTIONAL STRATEGIES ON ACHIEVEMENT IN SCIENCE IN RELATION TO SCIENTIFIC ATTITUDE AND CREATIVITY |
| 3. | Mr. Rajeev Dhanda 53-Urban Estate PH-2, Jalandhar City Distt. Jalandhar | Arts/Political Science | COMMON PROPERTY RESOURCES, INSTITUTIONS AND CASTE CONFLICTS: A STUDY OF PUNJAB |
| 4. | Ms. Manpreet B-I/402, Ward No. 1 Near Post Office Lehragaga District Sangrur 148031 | Education/ Education | A STUDY OF COGNITIVE SKILLS OF X GRADERS IN RELATION TO THEIR STRESS, ASPIRATIONS AND SOCIO-ECONOMIC STATUS |
| 5. | Mr. Pushpinder Singh Prince Colony Ward No. 13 H.No. 55, Samrala District Ludhiana | Science/ Chemistry | SELECTIVITY IN SOME NEW METHODOLOGIES FOR SYNTHETIC ELABORATION AT α -C-H CENTRES OF TERTIARY AMINES |

| Sr. No. | Name of the Candidate | Faculty/ Subject | Title of Thesis |
|----------------|--|--------------------------------------|---|
| 6. | Ms. Monika Rani H.No. 137, Sector 27/A Chandigarh | Science/ Statistics | STATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF COMPLEX COHERENT SYSTEMS |
| 7. | Ms. Harneet Billing 1061, Top Floor Sector - 38-B Chandigarh | Education/ Education | EFFECT OF INQUIRY TRAINING MODEL AND INDUCTIVE THINKING MODEL ON COGNITIVE AND AFFECTIVE OUTCOMES OF NINTH GRADERS IN RELATION TO THEIR LEARNING APPROACHES |
| 8. | Ms. Meera Nagpal 203-B Bhai Randhir Singh Nagar Ludhiana | Arts/ History | STATE PATRONAGE IN THE PUNJAB (C, 1750 A.D.-C. 1850 A.D.) |
| 9. | Ms. Suman Rani D/o Baru Mal V.P.O. Majod Tehsil Hansi District Hisar (Haryana) - 125049 | Arts/ Geography | URBAN-RURAL RELATIONS IN AN AGRICULTURALLY DEVELOPED STATE (A CASE STUDY OF HISAR DISTRICT, HARYANA) |
| 10. | Mr. Anil Department of Zoology P.U., Chandigarh | Science/ Zoology | EVALUATION OF IMMUNE RESPONSE IMPARTED BY 66 kDa AND 79 kDa ANTIGENS OF PLASMODIUM BERGHEI (NK-65) IN BALB/C MICE |
| 11. | Ms. Preeti Arora H.No. 1387 Sector-15, Panchkula | Business Management & Commerce | CAPITAL BUDGETING - AN EMPIRICAL STUDY OF SELECTED COMPANIES IN INDIA |
| 12. | Ms. Pallavi Sinha C/o Saurav Singla H.No. 499 Sec -15-A Chandigarh | Arts/ Public Administration | A STUDY OF EMPOWERMENT OF WOMEN IN INDIA WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO NORTH AND SOUTH DELHI |

After decisions on the agenda items were taken, the members started general discussion.

- (3) Shri Ashok Goyal stated that the Syndicate in its previous meeting had decided that 5 additional seats per unit, per course for a unit of 40 or more students and maximum of 10 seats for two or more units of 40 or more students each, be sanctioned. However, if the strength of the unit is less than 40, 10% additional seats of the existing number of seats be sanctioned in the course concerned. Even examples were also given with regard to the decision elaborating how the additional seats could be granted. In spite of all this, the circular had been issued by the Colleges Branch stating that 5 additional seats would be sanctioned for a unit of 40 students and maximum of 10 seats for two or more units. There are Colleges which have been given 5 seats only where the strength of unit is 40 students. It is a very serious matter and required to be looked into as to how the wrong recording has been done. Though several queries had been received by the University, including through e-mails/fax, no response (in writing) had been given to the Colleges concerned. All this created a lot of embarrassment. Even today five people had come from Hoshiarpur for seeking clarification. In the end, he suggested

that taking into the consideration the situation prevailing in the Colleges situated in Chandigarh and the State of Punjab, if they increase the number of additional seats at this stage, whether they would hold another central counselling for admission to B.Com. course. It was pointed out by Dr. Jagwant Singh that third central counselling for B.Com. is already scheduled for 19.08.2013.

Dr. I.S. Sandhu said that the Colleges should be given sometime (at least 1 or 2 days) to submit the record of the admissions made under the provision 'late admissions with the permission of the Vice-Chancellor' in the University office.

Professor Naval Kishore said that provision of submission of record/requests along with payment of late fee within a week is already there.

After some further discussion, it was –

RESOLVED: That 5 additional seats per unit per course for a unit of 40 or more students each, be sanctioned. However, if the strength of the unit is less than 40, 10% additional seats of the existing number of seats be sanctioned in the course concerned. This would apply to all the undergraduate and postgraduate courses. But the College/Institute has to make a request for sanction of these additional seats.

- (4) Professor Keshav Malhotra said that with the 2nd Amendment of UGC Regulation – June 2010, especially with regard to the proposed API score, the young faculty members are feeling demoralized and demotivated. He pleaded that the University should take up the issue of the capping of API score seriously as it would affect not only the research, but also the holistic growth of academics. He, therefore, suggested that the capping should be got done away with.

Shri Ashok Goyal suggested that the Syndicate should take into consideration the second amendment and resolve that Panjab University would take up the 2nd amendment of UGC Regulation with the UGC for review.

After some further discussion, it was unanimously –

RESOLVED: That –

- (1) the University would take up the matter with the U.G.C. for reviewing the 2nd Amendment of UGC Regulation – June 2010, especially with regard to API score in view of its negative implications for academics and research in the University; and
- (2) the Vice-Chancellor would discuss the matter with Shri Ashok Thakur, Secretary, Higher Education, MHRD, during his ensuing visit to the University Campus on 16th August 2013 and convey

the feelings of the Syndicate and the teachers of the University to him. A copy of the letter written by the University in this regard be handed over to Shri Ashok Thakur.

- (5) Dr. Jagwant Singh said that the action taken report on the decision of the Syndicate on GTB Khalsa College for Women, Dasuya, should be placed before the Syndicate in its next meeting.

The Vice-Chancellor said that the action taken report on the decision of the Syndicate on GTB Khalsa College for Women, Dasuya, would be placed before the Syndicate in its next meeting.

- (6) Dr. Jagwant Singh said that, in the May 2013 meeting of the Syndicate also, he had raised the issue of B.Com.LL.B. and suggested that if they had not sought the permission of the Bar Council of India (BCI) for B.Com.LL.B. course being offered at University Institute of Legal Studies, the same should be obtained from the BCI before the admissions for the course for the session 2013-14 are made.

The Vice-Chancellor said that a couple of days ago, the Dean of University Instruction, the Director, University Institute of Legal Studies, and the Law Officer of the University, had met the Secretary of the BCI. The Secretary of the BCI had asked them to provide the updated information to the BCI so that inspection could be done by the BCI at the earliest.

Dr. Jagwant Singh said that who and on whose direction the permission had not been sought during this entire period should be identified and responsibility for this serious lapse be fixed.

Shri Ashok Goyal stated that it is a very very serious issue and the Vice-Chancellor had earlier asked him to discuss the matter with ASVC. He discussed the matter with ASVC and made him aware about the pros and cons of the matter. The ASVC has told him that he would get the entire information about the B.Com.LL.B. course tomorrow. Ultimately, Shri R.L. Kapoor (ASVC) told him that permission for the years 2011-12 and 2012-13 had not been received from BCI. In the year 2011-12, University Institute of Legal Studies had taken an undertaking from the students that they were taking admission to B.Com.LL.B. course at their own risk and responsibility and if the permission is not granted by the B.C.I., they would be shifted to B.A.LL.B. course. The question arises as on what basis they had taken the above-said undertaking from the students subject to approval of BCI, when they knew very well that they had not applied for the said approval. Now, the matter is already in the Court. Unfortunately, they skipped it telling this fact in the court that they are yet to get approval from the BCI as far as B.Com.LL.B. course is concerned. When it had come to their notice, they must not have made admissions to B.Com.LL.B. course at least for the session 2013-14 as there was not any escape route left. Still, the University took a conscious decision that they would

go ahead with the admissions to B.Com.LL.B. course. Syndicate ought also be told as to under what/which authority and whose approval, the University had started B.Com.LL.B. course in 2011-12 and admissions made.

Continuing, Shri Ashok Goyal stated that since it is a B.Com. LL.B. course, as per Advocates Act, the BCI clearly says that they just give approval for B.Com.LL.B. and B.A.LL.B. courses or as the case may, but the syllabi of B.Com.LL.B. course should be comparable with B.Com. General Degree. As far as his memory goes, the syllabi for B.Com.LL.B. course had never been placed before the Faculty of Business Management & Commerce, which is the competent body to frame/approve the syllabi for courses of Commerce Stream. Then the question arises, who had approved the syllabi of B.Com.LL.B. course. What kind of education they were imparting to the students of B.Com.LL.B. course? Are not they befooling the society at large. Who would be responsible, when the students would not get the licence from the BCI for practice after passing the B.Com.LL.B. course? As per his information, the application for approval to the BCI had been sent after the issue was raised by Dr. Jagwant Singh in the meetings of the Syndicate and Senate. Before that no attention had ever been paid to this issue. The two persons, who claimed to be the Architect of this B.Com.LL.B. course, were saying that they did not need the approval of the BCI as they could run this course at their own level. If they had applied for approval for B.Com.LL.B. course for the students admitted in 2013-14, what would be the fate of the students admitted to this course in the years 2011-12 and 2012-13. Had the Secretary of the BCI told the Committee that they would take care of the students admitted to this course in the years 2011-12 and 2012-13? The shortcoming/s pointed out by the Syndicate members should be taken in right spirit because they had pointed out the same so that it could be rectified now and it should not be taken as if they are politicizing the issue of B.Com.LL.B. When this is being said then probably he would be the first person to move to the Court saying that the Panjab University is guilty of misguiding the society. They had to find out by holding an enquiry as to who is responsible for playing with the system.

- (7) Shri Ashok Goyal stated that it is a serious issue that when the Vice-Chancellor had earlier visited P.U. Regional Centre, Ludhiana, inviting local Senate members on pick and choose basis on this occasion by the Director, was not understandable. The Vice-Chancellor again went to P.U. Regional Centre, Ludhiana, recently for inauguration of Hostel and the Director, again invited the local Senate members to the function by applying the method of pick and choose, and even the two Syndicate members belonging to Ludhiana were not invited. The Syndicate should take strong exception to the fact that the Director, P.U. Regional Centre, Ludhiana, is spoiling the democratic set up of the University, which could not be allowed under any circumstances. The Vice-Chancellor in one of the meetings of the Syndicate that as and when Dr. Deepak Kapur completes the term of 3 years, he would be replaced. About 7 months had already passed, but Dr. Deepak Kapur is still continuing as Director, P.U. Regional Centre, Ludhiana.

He had also been given to understand that the Vice-Chancellor had inaugurated the Hostel and on the stone it had been inscribed that the Hostel had been inaugurated in the presence of Dr. Deepak Kapur, Director, P.U. Regional Centre, Ludhiana and the Hostel Warden.

The Vice-Chancellor said that no permission had been sought from him in this regard.

Shri Ashok Goyal proposed that Dr. Deepak Kapur be relieved from the responsibility of Director, P.U. Regional Centre, Ludhiana, and Principal Hardiljit Singh Gosal should be appointed in his place.

The above proposal was seconded by several members.

The Vice-Chancellor said that he should be given some time to contemplate.

- (8) Shri Ashok Goyal stated that he also wanted to bring to the notice of the members had there is a lot of mismanagement in the affairs of A.C. Joshi Library, where also a pick and choose policy is being applied as far as transfers and postings are concerned. Nobody should be allowed to politicize the situation according to his whims and fancies. One of the employees had been removed from the A.C. Joshi Library and the person concerned had no option but to proceed on leave. The University should come to the rescue of the employees, who are suffering on this count. There are several more irregularities, which have been committed by the Librarian.

Professor Keshav Malhotra suggested that the transfer/posting orders should be cancelled and the previous status quo ante should be maintained in the A.C. Joshi Library.

Dr. Jagwant Singh said that he had raised the issue of approval of B.Com.LL.B. course by the BCI a couple of months back in the Syndicate. As far as not getting approval of syllabi from the Faculty of Business Management and Commerce is concerned, it is a serious matter. If they do not take any action, the situation would not improve rather it would deteriorate further – whether it is P.U. Regional Centre, Ludhiana or A.C. Joshi Library.

Dr. Tarlok Bandhu said that in January 2013 meeting of the Syndicate, he had raised the issue of appointment of permanent Librarian and filling up of vacant posts at P.U. Extension Library Ludhiana. He added that the report of the Committee constituted to make some recommendations regarding Extension Library, Ludhiana, was handed over to the then Vice-Chancellor, Professor K.N. Pathak, but nothing concrete came out. The last meeting of the Advisory Committee of P.U. Extension Library was held on 10th January 2012 and since then no meeting of the Advisory Committee had been convened. Secondly, the Director, P.U. Regional Centre, Ludhiana was also the Chief Executive of P.U. Extension Library, Ludhiana. The each and every letter and document relating to P.U. Extension Library, Ludhiana, has to

be signed by the Director. Despite of the orders of the Vice-Chancellor that the Director should go to P.U. Regional Centre, Ludhiana, at least for two days in a week, the Director does not go to Ludhiana even for a day. Due to this, the work of the Regional Centre as well as P.U. Extension Library is suffering badly. In fact, one person from Ludhiana frequently came to Chandigarh at different places told by the Director to get the documents signed from him and sometimes Director told the person concerned that he had no time and the person had no alternative but to go back without doing anything. He requested the Vice-Chancellor to check the record to find out as to how many times the Director has gone to Ludhiana.

Shri Ashok Goyal suggested that till the post of Director, P.U. Regional Centre, Ludhiana, is filled in on regular basis, Principal Hardiljit Singh Gosal should be given the charge of Director, P.U. Regional Centre, Ludhiana, in place of Dr. Deepak Kapur. Dr. Deepak Kapur is continuing as Director, P.U. Regional Centre, Ludhiana for the last more than 3 years. What is special about him that they are still continuing with him in spite of the fact that one of the employees posted at Ludhiana is permanently staying at Chandigarh? Earlier, Principal H.R. Gandhar was given the additional charge of P.U. Regional Centre, Muktsar, who used to come to Muktsar from Abohar and the Regional Centre was functioning smoothly.

The Vice-Chancellor asked the members to give him some time.

- (9) Dr. Dinesh Talwar said that despite his pointing out in the previous meeting of the Syndicate that GGDSD College, Sector 32, Chandigarh, was charging double fee from the students, nothing has been done so far. Since the election to the Students Council is round the corner, this issue might be the biggest agenda of various parties. He, therefore, pleaded that this issue should be resolved at the earliest.

A.K. Bhandari
Registrar

Confirmed

Arun Kumar Grover
VICE-CHANCELLOR