

PANJAB UNIVERSITY, CHANDIGARH

Minutes of the meeting of the SYNDICATE held on Saturday, 13th September 2014 at 2.00 p.m., in the Syndicate Room, Panjab University, Chandigarh.

PRESENT

1. Professor A.K. Grover Vice-Chancellor ... (in the Chair)
2. Shri Ashok Goyal
3. Dr. Balbir Chand Josan
4. Professor B.S. Bhoop
5. Dr. Dalip Kumar
6. Dr. Dinesh Talwar
7. Shri Gopal Krishan Chatrath
8. Dr. Gurdip Kumar Sharma
9. Dr. Hardiljit Singh Gosal
10. Shri Jagpal Singh alias Jaswant Singh
11. Dr. Preeti Mahajan
12. Dr. Preet Mohinder Pal Singh
13. Principal Puneet Bedi
14. Dr. S.K. Sharma
15. Professor A.K. Bhandari Registrar ... (Secretary)

S. Gurdev Singh Ghuman, Director, Higher Education, Punjab, Dr. Karamjeet Singh, Shri Sandeep Kumar and Shri Sandeep Hans, Director, Higher Education, U.T., Chandigarh could not attend the meeting.

Vice-Chancellor's Statement

1. The Vice-Chancellor said, "I am pleased to inform the honorable members of the Syndicate that –

- (1) Smt. Preneet Kaur, Member of Senate, Panjab University, has been elected as Member of Legislative Assembly;
- (2) Professor R.K. Kohli, has joined as Vice-Chancellor of Central University of Punjab, Bhatinda. Professor Kohli was serving as Vice-Chancellor of DAV University, Jalandhar;
- (3) Professor S.K. Mehta, Chairperson, Department of Chemistry has been bestowed with the prestigious Haryana Vigyan Ratna Award for the year 2011-12 for his research contributions in the field of Science;
- (4) Professor I.B. Prasher of the Department of Botany has been chosen for the award of 'Birbal Sahni Centenary Medal-cum-Birbal Savitri Sahni Honour' by the Birbal Savitri Sahni Foundation for his contribution in the discipline of Myco-Geography in the field of classical Botany;
- (5) Ministry of Science & Technology, Department of Science & Technology (INSPIRE and FIST Division), Government of India, has conveyed the sanction of the President for implementation of the PURSE Programme at a total cost of Rs.34,80,00,000/- (Rupees Thirty Four Crores and Eighty Lakh only). As a part of 1st Instalment of the grants for Grants-in-aid General in 2014-15, Rs.2,00,00,000/- (Rupees Two Crores only) has been released for the Panjab University. Further, the Ministry of

Science and Technology has conveyed another sanction of Rs.4,00,00,000/- (Rupees Four Crores only) to the Panjab University as a part of 1st instalment for the grants for creation of Capital Assets in 2014-15. The aforesaid project has been sanctioned for four years at Panjab University, Chandigarh; and

- (6) Rs.40 lakh has been allocated to the School of Communication Studies for establishing the Panjab University Centre for Media Studies out of the MPLADS allocations of Shri H.K. Dua, Member of Parliament, Rajya Sabha”.

Professor B.S. Bhoop, referring to Sr. No.5, pointed out that some Centres, e.g., Centre for Excellence for Potential in Bio-Medical Sciences, have not been included in the above to whom Ministry of Science & Technology, Department of Science & Technology (INSPIRE and FIST Division), Government of India has conveyed sanction for implementation of PURSE Programme.

The Vice-Chancellor said that they would look into such requirements as the PURSE grant progresses.

RESOLVED: That –

(1) felicitations of the Syndicate be conveyed to –

- (i) Smt. Preneet Kaur, on her having been elected Member of Legislative Assembly, Punjab;
- (ii) Professor R.K. Kohli, on his appointment as Vice-Chancellor of Central University of Punjab, Bhatinda;
- (iii) Professor S.K. Mehta, Chairperson, Department of Chemistry, on his having been bestowed with the prestigious Haryana Vigyan Ratna Award for the year 2011-12;
- (iv) Professor I.B. Prasher of the Department of Botany, on his having been chosen for the award of 'Birbal Sahni Centenary Medal-cum-Birbal Savitri Sahni Honour' by the Birbal Savitri Sahni Foundation for his contributions in the discipline of Myco-Geography in the field of classical Botany;

(2) the information contained in the Vice-Chancellor's Statement at Serial Nos. (5) and (6), be noted and approved;

(3) the Action Taken Report on the decisions of the Syndicate meeting dated 12.07.2014, as per **(Appendix-I)**, be noted.

RESOLVED FURTHER: That thanks of the Syndicate be conveyed to Shri H.K. Dua, Member of Parliament, Rajya Sabha for

allocating a sum of Rs.40 lakh out of MPLADS Funds to the School of Communication Studies for establishing Panjab University Centre for Media Studies.

Promotion of Assistant Professor (Stage-2) to Assistant Professor (Stage-3) under the CAS in the Department of French and Francophone Studies

2.(i) Considered minutes dated 22.8.2014 (**Appendix-II**) of the Screening-cum-Evaluation Committee for promotion from Assistant Professor (Stage-2) to Assistant Professor (Stage-3) under Career Advancement Scheme (CAS) in the Department of French and Francophone Studies, Panjab University, Chandigarh.

RESOLVED: That Dr. Arun Rashmi Tickoo be promoted from Assistant Professor (**Stage-2**) to Assistant Professor (**Stage-3**) in the Department of French and Francophone Studies, Panjab University, Chandigarh, under the UGC Career Advancement Scheme, w.e.f. **01.07.2014**, in the pay-scale of Rs.15600-39100 +AGP Rs.8000/- at a starting pay to be fixed under the rules of Panjab University. The post would be personal to the incumbent and she would perform the duties as assigned to her.

NOTE: The complete bio-data of the candidate would form a part of the proceedings.

Appointment of Assistant Professors in the Department of Botany

2.(ii) Considered minutes dated 09.09.2014/10.09.2014/11.09.2014 (**Appendix-III**) of the Selection Committee for appointment of Assistant Professor-5 (General-4, SC-1) in the Department of Botany, Panjab University, Chandigarh.

RESOLVED: That the following persons be appointed Assistant Professors (General-4, SC-1) in the Department of Botany, Panjab University, Chandigarh, on one year's probation, in the pay-scale of Rs.15600-39100 + AGP Rs.6000, on a pay to be fixed according to the rules of Panjab University:

SC Category:

Dr. (Ms.) Ravneet Kaur

General Category:

Dr. (Ms.) Shalinder Kaur	} In view of their outstanding record and performance in the interview, they be granted two additional increments over and above their usual entitlement for Ph.D. degree and/or pay protection, wherever applicable.
Dr. Santosh Kumar Upadhyay	
Dr. Yogesh Mishra	
Dr. (Ms.) Jaspreet Kaur	

The competent authority could assign them teaching duties in the same subject in other teaching Departments of the University in order to utilize their subject expertise/specialization and to meet the needs of the allied Department/s at a given point of time, with the limits of workload as prescribed in the U.G.C. norms.

RESOLVED FURTHER: That the following persons, in order of merit, be placed on the Waiting List:

SC Category:

Dr. (Ms.) Papiya Mandal

General Category:

Dr. Sudhir Pratap Singh
 Dr. Sandeep Ramchandra Pai
 Dr. Puneet Kumar
 Dr. Balwinder Singh

- NOTE:** 1. The score chart of all the candidates, who appeared in the interview, will form a part of the proceedings.
2. A summary bio-data of the selected and wait-listed candidates enclosed. It is certified that the selected candidates fulfilled the qualifications laid down for the post.

RESOLVED FURTHER: That the appointment letters to the persons appointed/promoted under Item 2(i) and 2(ii), be issued in anticipation of the approval of the Senate.

Confirmation of certain Faculty members in various Departments/ Institutions

3. Considered the recommendations of the Vice-Chancellor that the following Faculty members be confirmed in their posts w.e.f. the date mentioned against each:

I. ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR:

(i) DR. HARVANSH SINGH JUDGE INSTITUTE OF DENTAL SCIENCES & HOSPITAL

Sr. No.	Name of the Faculty Member	Designation	Date of Birth	Date of Joining	Proposed Date of Confirmation
1.	Dr. Urvashi Sharma	Associate Professor in Paediatric & Preventive Dentistry	23.03.1969	26.02.2013 (A.N.)	27.02.2014

II. ASSISTANT PROFESSORS:

(i) UNIVERSITY INSTITUTE OF PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES

Sr. No.	Name of the Faculty Member	Designation	Date of Birth	Date of Joining	Proposed Date of Confirmation
1.	Dr. Ashwani Kumar	Assistant Professor	17.11.1975	11.09.2012	10.09.2013

(ii) SOCIOLOGY

Sr. No.	Name of the Faculty Member	Designation	Date of Birth	Date of Joining	Proposed Date of Confirmation
1.	Mr. Vinod Kumar	Assistant Professor	16.03.1984	01.11.2012	01.11.2013

(iii)DES-MDRC

Sr. No.	Name of the Faculty Member	Designation	Date of Birth	Date of Joining	Proposed Date of Confirmation
1.	Mr. Amandeep Singh	Assistant Professor in Sociology	29.09.1977	08.11.2012	08.11.2013
2.	Ms. Kusum	Assistant Professor in English	17.02.1979	09.11.2012 (AN)	10.11.2013

(iv) ANTHROPOLOGY

Sr. No.	Name of the Faculty Member	Designation	Date of Birth	Date of Joining	Proposed Date of Confirmation
1.	#Dr. (Mrs.) Maninder Kaur	Assistant Professor in Physical Anthropology	01.09.1972	28.02.2013	28.02.2014
2.	#Sh. Ramesh Sahani	Assistant Professor in Physical Anthropology	03.01.1966	15.04.2013 (A.N.)	16.04.2014
3.	Dr. Jagmahender Singh	Assistant Professor	23.07.1971	03.05.2013 (A.N.)	04.05.2014

In order of Merit**(v) DR. S.S.BHATNAGAR UNIVERSITY INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL ENGINEERING**

Sr. No.	Name of the Faculty Member	Designation	Date of Birth	Date of Joining	Proposed Date of Confirmation
1.	@Dr. (Ms.) Baljinder Kaur Gill	Assistant Professor in Chemical Engg.	20.07.1972	20.03.2013	25.02.2014
2.	@Dr. Gaurav Rattan	Assistant Professor in Chemical Engg.	04.10.1982	14.03.2013	26.02.2014
3.	@Mr. Surinder Singh	Assistant Professor in Chemical Engg.	21.11.1976	11.04.2013	27.02.2014
4.	@Ms. Sonia Sharma	Assistant Professor in Chemical Engg.	15.07.1981	27.02.2013 (A.N.)	28.02.2014
5.	Mr. Jodh Singh	Assistant Professor in Mechanical Engg.	17.09.1976	05.03.2013	05.03.2014

@ In order of Merit

(vi) UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF OPEN LEARNING

Sr. No.	Name of the Faculty Member	Designation	Date of Birth	Date of Joining	Proposed Date of Confirmation
1.	• Dr. (Ms.) Reena Rani	Assistant Professor in Sociology	12.09.1981	21.05.2013	27.02.2014
2.	• Ms. Rajni	Assistant Professor in Sociology	15.05.1985	28.02.2013	28.02.2014
3.	Mr. Sucha Singh	Assistant Professor in Geography	11.01.1984	05.03.2013	05.03.2014
4.	Ms. Richa Sharma	Assistant Professor in Statistics	22.01.1983	26.04.2013	*-----
<p>*NOTE: The probation period of Dr. Richa Sharma of one year be also computed after excluding the period of maternity leave which she has actually availed w.e.f. 25.11.13 to 23.05.14 i.e. during probation period. Thus, her confirmation from the due date i.e. 26.04.2014 will be got finalized later on.</p>					

- **In order of Merit as per API Score awarded by the Selection Committee**

(vii) LIBRARY & INFORMATION SCIENCE

Sr. No.	Name of the Faculty Member	Designation	Date of Birth	Date of Joining	Proposed Date of Confirmation
1.	Dr. Shiv Kumar	Assistant Professor	10.10.1977	20.03.2013	20.03.2014

(viii) BIOPHYSICS

Sr. No.	Name of the Faculty Member	Designation	Date of Birth	Date of Joining	Proposed Date of Confirmation
1.	^Dr. (Mrs.) Pavitra Ranawat	Assistant Professor in Biophysics	06.02.1980	20.03.2013	19.03.2014
2.	^Dr. (Mrs.) Simran Preet	Assistant Professor in Biophysics	24.10.1980	20.03.2013	20.03.2014

^ **In order of Merit****(ix) STATISTICS**

Sr. No.	Name of the Faculty Member	Designation	Date of Birth	Date of Joining	Proposed Date of Confirmation
1.	Dr. Anju Goyal	Assistant Professor	02.09.1983	21.03.2013	* -----
<p>*NOTE: The probation period of Dr. Anju Goyal be computed after excluding the period of maternity leave which she has been availing w.e.f. 03.02.14 to 01.08.14 i.e. during probation period. Thus, her confirmation from the due date i.e. 21.03.2014 will be got finalized later on, after she joins back.</p>					

(x) GEOGRAPHY

Sr. No.	Name of the Faculty Member	Designation	Date of Birth	Date of Joining	Proposed Date of Confirmation
1.	*Dr. (Ms.) Simrit Kahlon	Assistant Professor	10.01.1970	22.03.2013	18.03.2014
2.	*Dr. Vishwa Bandhu Singh	Assistant Professor	18.08.1980	19.03.2013	19.03.2014

* In order of Merit

(xi) LAWS

Sr. No.	Name of the Faculty Member	Designation	Date of Birth	Date of Joining	Proposed Date of Confirmation
1.	%Dr. Rajinder Kaur	Assistant Professor	30.08.1976	12.04.2013	12.04.2014
2.	%Dr. Supinder Kaur	Assistant Professor	23.04.1976	17.04.2013	17.04.2014

% In order of Merit

(xii) UNIVERSITY INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING & TECHNOLOGY

Sr. No.	Name of the Faculty Member	Designation	Date of Birth	Date of Joining	Proposed Date of Confirmation
1.	\$Dr. Prasanta Kumar Nanda	Assistant Professor in Chemistry/ Applied Chemistry	11.07.1977	06.05.2013	27.02.2014
2.	\$Dr. (Ms.) Renu Thapar	Assistant Professor in Chemistry/ Applied Chemistry	03.11.1976	04.03.2013	28.02.2014
3.	\$Sh. Anil Kumar	Assistant Professor in Chemistry/ Applied Chemistry	05.08.1984	28.02.2013 (A.N.)	01.03.2014

\$ In order of Merit

NOTE: The above cases of faculty members i.e. Dr. Shiv Kumar, Assistant Professor, Department of Library & Information Science [Sr. No. 1 in Table No. (vii)] and Dr. Vishwa Bandhu Singh, Assistant Professor, Department of Geography [Sr. No. 2 in Table No. (x)], there is court case as their selections have been challenged vide CWP Nos. 1104/2014 and 5792/2013 respectively. The final decision of the court is awaited. Therefore, their confirmation as such, proposed above will be subject to final decision of the court.

Dr. Dalip Kumar enquired as to why Dr. Baljinder Kaur Gill and Dr. Gaurav Rattan (Sr. No. 1 and 2 at Page 4), who had joined on 20.03.2013 and 14.03.2014 respectively, are being confirmed w.e.f. 25.02.2014 and 26.02.2014 respectively.

It was clarified that since these persons were higher on merit and joined the service late, they are being confirmed before the confirmation of Ms. Sonia Sharma who has joined on 27.02.2013 and is being confirmed w.e.f. 28.02.2014, i.e., exactly after one year, as per earlier decision of the Syndicate/Senate.

RESOLVED: That the following faculty members be confirmed in their posts w.e.f. the date mentioned against each:

I. ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR:

(i) DR. HARVANSH SINGH JUDGE INSTITUTE OF DENTAL SCIENCES & HOSPITAL

Sr. No.	Name of the Faculty Member	Designation	Date of Birth	Date of Joining	Proposed Date of Confirmation
1.	Dr. Urvashi Sharma	Associate Professor in Paediatric & Preventive Dentistry	23.03.1969	26.02.2013 (A.N.)	27.02.2014

II. ASSISTANT PROFESSORS:

(i) UNIVERSITY INSTITUTE OF PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES

Sr. No.	Name of the Faculty Member	Designation	Date of Birth	Date of Joining	Proposed Date of Confirmation
1.	Dr. Ashwani Kumar	Assistant Professor	17.11.1975	11.09.2012	10.09.2013

(ii) SOCIOLOGY

Sr. No.	Name of the Faculty Member	Designation	Date of Birth	Date of Joining	Proposed Date of Confirmation
1.	Mr. Vinod Kumar	Assistant Professor	16.03.1984	01.11.2012	01.11.2013

(iii) DES-MDRC

Sr. No.	Name of the Faculty Member	Designation	Date of Birth	Date of Joining	Proposed Date of Confirmation
1.	Mr. Amandeep Singh	Assistant Professor in Sociology	29.09.1977	08.11.2012	08.11.2013
2.	Ms. Kusum	Assistant Professor in English	17.02.1979	09.11.2012 (AN)	10.11.2013

(iv) ANTHROPOLOGY

Sr. No.	Name of the Faculty Member	Designation	Date of Birth	Date of Joining	Proposed Date of Confirmation
1.	#Dr. (Mrs.) Maninder Kaur	Assistant Professor in Physical	01.09.1972	28.02.2013	28.02.2014

Sr. No.	Name of the Faculty Member	Designation	Date of Birth	Date of Joining	Proposed Date of Confirmation
		Anthropology			
2.	#Sh. Ramesh Sahani	Assistant Professor in Physical Anthropology	03.01.1966	15.04.2013 (A.N.)	16.04.2014
3.	Dr. Jagmahender Singh	Assistant Professor	23.07.1971	03.05.2013 (A.N.)	04.05.2014

In order of Merit

(v) DR. S.S.BHATNAGAR UNIVERSITY INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL ENGINEERING

Sr. No.	Name of the Faculty Member	Designation	Date of Birth	Date of Joining	Proposed Date of Confirmation
1.	@Dr. (Ms.) Baljinder Kaur Gill	Assistant Professor in Chemical Engg.	20.07.1972	20.03.2013	25.02.2014
2.	@Dr. Gaurav Rattan	Assistant Professor in Chemical Engg.	04.10.1982	14.03.2013	26.02.2014
3.	@Mr. Surinder Singh	Assistant Professor in Chemical Engg.	21.11.1976	11.04.2013	27.02.2014
4.	@Ms. Sonia Sharma	Assistant Professor in Chemical Engg.	15.07.1981	27.02.2013 (A.N.)	28.02.2014
5.	Mr. Jodh Singh	Assistant Professor in Mechanical Engg.	17.09.1976	05.03.2013	05.03.2014

@ In order of Merit

(vi) UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF OPEN LEARNING

Sr. No.	Name of the Faculty Member	Designation	Date of Birth	Date of Joining	Proposed Date of Confirmation
1.	• Dr. (Ms.) Reena Rani	Assistant Professor in Sociology	12.09.1981	21.05.2013	27.02.2014
2.	• Ms. Rajni	Assistant Professor in Sociology	15.05.1985	28.02.2013	28.02.2014
3.	Mr. Sucha Singh	Assistant Professor in Geography	11.01.1984	05.03.2013	05.03.2014
4.	Ms. Richa Sharma	Assistant Professor in Statistics	22.01.1983	26.04.2013	*-----

***NOTE:** The probation period of Dr. Richa Sharma of one year be also computed after excluding the period of maternity leave which she has actually availed w.e.f. 25.11.13 to 23.05.14 i.e. during probation period. Thus, her confirmation from the due date i.e. 26.04.2014 will be got finalized later on.

• In order of Merit as per API Score awarded by the Selection Committee

(vii) LIBRARY & INFORMATION SCIENCE

Sr. No.	Name of the Faculty Member	Designation	Date of Birth	Date of Joining	Proposed Date of Confirmation
1.	Dr. Shiv Kumar	Assistant Professor	10.10.1977	20.03.2013	20.03.2014

(viii) BIOPHYSICS

Sr. No.	Name of the Faculty Member	Designation	Date of Birth	Date of Joining	Proposed Date of Confirmation
1.	^Dr. (Mrs.) Pavitra Ranawat	Assistant Professor in Biophysics	06.02.1980	20.03.2013	19.03.2014
2.	^Dr. (Mrs.) Simran Preet	Assistant Professor in Biophysics	24.10.1980	20.03.2013	20.03.2014

^ In order of Merit**(ix) STATISTICS**

Sr. No.	Name of the Faculty Member	Designation	Date of Birth	Date of Joining	Proposed Date of Confirmation
1.	Dr. Anju Goyal	Assistant Professor	02.09.1983	21.03.2013	* -----
<p>*NOTE: The probation period of Dr. Anju Goyal be computed after excluding the period of maternity leave which she has been availing w.e.f. 03.02.14 to 01.08.14 i.e. during probation period. Thus, her confirmation from the due date i.e. 21.03.2014 will be got finalized later on, after she joins back.</p>					

(x) GEOGRAPHY

Sr. No.	Name of the Faculty Member	Designation	Date of Birth	Date of Joining	Proposed Date of Confirmation
1.	*Dr. (Ms.) Simrit Kahlon	Assistant Professor	10.01.1970	22.03.2013	18.03.2014
2.	*Dr. Vishwa Bandhu Singh	Assistant Professor	18.08.1980	19.03.2013	19.03.2014

*** In order of Merit****(xi) LAWS**

Sr. No.	Name of the Faculty Member	Designation	Date of Birth	Date of Joining	Proposed Date of Confirmation
1.	%Dr. Rajinder Kaur	Assistant Professor	30.08.1976	12.04.2013	12.04.2014
2.	%Dr. Supinder Kaur	Assistant Professor	23.04.1976	17.04.2013	17.04.2014

% In order of Merit

(xii) UNIVERSITY INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING & TECHNOLOGY

Sr. No.	Name of the Faculty Member	Designation	Date of Birth	Date of Joining	Proposed Date of Confirmation
1.	\$Dr. Prasanta Kumar Nanda	Assistant Professor in Chemistry/ Applied Chemistry	11.07.1977	06.05.2013	27.02.2014
2.	\$Dr. (Ms.) Renu Thapar	Assistant Professor in Chemistry/ Applied Chemistry	03.11.1976	04.03.2013	28.02.2014
3.	\$Sh. Anil Kumar	Assistant Professor in Chemistry/ Applied Chemistry	05.08.1984	28.02.2013 (A.N.)	01.03.2014

\$ In order of Merit

NOTE: The above cases of faculty members i.e. Dr. Shiv Kumar, Assistant Professor, Department of Library & Information Science [Sr. No. 1 in Table No. (vii)] and Dr. Vishwa Bandhu Singh, Assistant Professor, Department of Geography [Sr. No. 2 in Table No. (x)], there is court case as their selections have been challenged vide CWP Nos. 1104/2014 and 5792/2013 respectively. The final decision of the court is awaited. Therefore, their confirmation as such, proposed above will be subject to final decision of the court.

Confirmation of Dr. Hemant Batra, Professor in Oral Maxillofacial Surgery at Dr. Harvansh Singh Judge Institute of Dental Sciences & Hospital

4. Considered and

RESOLVED: That Dr. Hemant Batra, Professor in Oral Maxillofacial Surgery at Dr. Harvansh Singh Judge Institute of Dental Sciences & Hospital, P.U., be confirmed in his post w.e.f. the date mentioned against his name:

Name of the Faculty Member	Designation	Date of Birth	Date of Joining	Proposed Date of Confirmation
Dr. Hemant Batra	Professor in Oral Maxillofacial Surgery	16.10.1969	26.02.2013 (A.N.)	27.02.2014

NOTE: The Selection Committee dated 01.06.2012 had imposed a condition that before confirmation his work will be reviewed by 3 (three) experts and only on positive report by them, his confirmation will be got decided accordingly.

Three experts have given their positive recommendations **(Appendix-IV)** on the above issue.

Confirmation of Dr. Arun Kumar Garg, Associate Professor in Orthodontics at Dr. Harvansh Singh Judge Institute of Dental Sciences & Hospital

5. Considered and

RESOLVED: That Dr. Arun Kumar Garg, Associate Professor in Orthodontics at Dr. Harvansh Singh Judge Institute of Dental Sciences & Hospital, P.U., be confirmed in his post w.e.f. the date mentioned against his name:

Name of the Faculty Member	Designation	Date of Birth	Date of Joining	Proposed Date of Confirmation
Dr. Arun Kumar Garg	Associate Professor in Orthodontics	21.03.1974	26.02.2013 (A.N.)	27.02.2014

NOTE: The Selection Committee dated 13.06.2012 had imposed a condition that before confirmation his work will be reviewed by 3 (three) experts and only on positive report by them, his confirmation will be got decided accordingly.

Three experts have given their positive recommendations (**Appendix-V**) on the above issue.

Recommendations of the Academic Council meeting dated 2.7.2014

6. Considered the following recommendations of the Academic Council meeting dated 2.7.2014:

ITEM III

- 2(i) that Semester System in Bachelor of Library & Information Science in place of Annual System be introduced from the academic session 2014-15;
- (ii) that Regulations/Rules for Bachelor of Library & Information Science (Semester System) effective from the academic session 2014-15, as per (**Appendix-VI**), be approved;
- (iv) that Regulations 8 and 11 for Postgraduate Diploma in Library Automation and Networking (Annual System), be amended as under and given effect to from the academic session 2011-12:

PRESENT REGULATION	PROPOSED REGULATION
8. A candidate who has been on the rolls of the USOL and fails to appear or having appeared fails in the examination, may be allowed to continue his/her enrolment for the period of two years immediately succeeding the year in which he/she completed the course on payment of continuation fee as prescribed by the Syndicate from time to time every year, in addition pay the examination fee and to	8. If a candidate fails to qualify in any paper/papers of PGDLAN examination, he/she may be allowed to appear for two years subsequently as a 'Late College Student', in the paper/papers in which he/she failed to qualify. The period of two years is counted from the time he/she becomes eligible to appear in the said examination. If he/she still fails

appear in the examination as an ex-student of the USOL.	to qualify these papers within this period, his/her result shall stand cancelled. Such a candidate shall not be allowed to appear in PGDLAN examination without repeating the whole course as a regular student of the University School of Open Learning.
11. For reappear cases, candidate should secure at least 50% marks in aggregate and 25% marks in the papers in which he/she has failed.	11. Deleted

13(i) that Semester System in the following Post M.A. Diploma Courses in place of Annual System be introduced from the academic session 2014-15:

- (i) Professional Counselling & Psychotherapy
- (ii) Psychological Testing.

(ii) that the Regulations/Rules for the above-said Post M.A. Diploma Courses to be effective from the academic Session 2014-15, as per **(Appendix-VI)**, be approved;

15(ii) that the Regulations/Rules for Postgraduate Diploma in Mass Communication (Semester System) to be effective from the academic session 2014-15, as per **(Appendix-VI)**, be approved.

ITEM V

That the following eligibility criteria be approved for admission to Ph.D. in the subject of Vivekananda Studies and given effect to from the academic session 2014-15:

“A candidate who has passed Master’s degree examination in any Faculty with at least 55% marks in the aggregate be permitted to enrol in Ph.D. in the subject of Vivekananda Studies provided the candidate has cleared the UGC-NET/University Entrance Test for Ph.D. in any Faculty.”

ITEM VII

That admission to M.B.A. (Biotechnology) course for the session 2014-15, be kept in abeyance.

ITEM VIII

That the Regulations/Rules and outlines of tests, syllabi and courses of reading for **Diploma in Stock Market & Trading Operations** (under Community College Scheme) starting from the session 2014-15, as per **(Appendix-VI)**, be approved.

ITEM X

That –

- (i) the Regulations/Rules for B.Com./B.B.A. (Semester System) courses effective from the session 2014-15, as per **(Appendix-VI)**, be approved.
- (ii) for B.Com. Course, the Colleges be allowed to continue with the unit strength of 70 students.

ITEM XI

That the Regulations and Rules for Certificate Course in Music (Vocal and Instrumental) effective from the session 2014-15, as per **(Appendix-VI)**, be approved.

ITEM XIII

That the Rules and Regulations for the following examinations under the Semester System, as per **(Appendix-VI)**, be approved and given effect to from the session 2014-15:

1. B.F.A.
2. Special Diploma in Fine Arts for Hearing and Speech Impaired and Mentally Challenged
3. M.F.A.
4. Special Advanced Diploma in Fine Arts for Hearing and Speech Impaired and Mentally Challenged.

ITEM XIV

That –

1. M.Ed. Special Education (Learning Disability) (Semester System) be introduced from the academic session 2014-15;
2. Regulations/Rules, Eligibility criteria and number of seats for M.Ed. Special Education (Learning Disability) (Semester System), as per **(Appendix-VI)**, be approved.

ITEM XVIII

That –

1. the eligibility criteria/qualification for admission to M.Sc. in Nuclear Medicine w.e.f. the session 2014-15 onwards be approved as under:

EXISTING	PROPOSED
Minimum qualification for admission to M.Sc. 1 st year in Nuclear Medicine will be B.Sc. from a recognized University with Physics and Chemistry (non-medical stream) or Chemistry and Biology (Medical stream) as core subjects. Candidates having B.Sc. in Nuclear Medicine and Biophysics shall also be eligible for admission to the course.	Minimum qualification for admission to M.Sc. 1 st year in Nuclear Medicine will be B.Sc. from a recognized University with Physics and Chemistry (non-medical stream) or Chemistry and Biology (Medical stream) as core subjects. Candidates having B.Sc. in Nuclear Medicine/ Biophysics shall also be eligible for admission to the course.

2. the dissertation as partial fulfilment for the award of M.Sc. degree in Medical Physics will be submitted after the completion of 3rd year. The dissertation shall carry 300 marks and marks shall be awarded after conducting the viva-voce. M.Sc. degree will be awarded after the completion of 3 years course and having scored 50% of the aggregate marks.

ITEM XIX

That amendment in Regulation 5(iii) for 5-Year Integrated B.Sc. & M.Sc. in Fashion & Lifestyle Technology be made as under and given effect to from the academic session 2014-15:

EXISTING REGULATION	PROPOSED REGULATION
5. There shall be one House Examination in a semester followed by one final examination for that semester.	5. There shall be one House Examination in a semester followed by one final examination for that semester.
(i) xxx xxx xxx	(i) xxx xxx xxx
(ii) xxx xxx xxx	(ii) xxx xxx xxx
(iii) a student is required to obtain 32% marks in the internal assessment in each paper to be eligible for the examination in that paper.	(iii) a student is required to obtain 40% marks in the internal assessment in each paper to be eligible for the examination in that paper.

ITEM XXIII

That the action taken by the Vice-Chancellor while in approving the following recommendations of the Faculty of Science (Meeting dated 23.3.2014, Para 7), be noted:

- 7(i) that M.Sc. (Biochemistry) (Semester System) be introduced in the affiliated Colleges w.e.f. the session 2014-15.
- (ii) that the eligibility criteria: B.Sc. (50% marks) examination of Panjab University or any other University the examination of which is recognized as equivalent thereto with Biochemistry/Chemistry as an elective subject.
- (iii) that the admission would be through Panjab University – CET (PG).
- (iv) that the number of seats be 15(Fifteen).
- (v) that the Regulations for M.Sc. (Biochemistry) (Semester System) Course be the same as for other M.Sc. (Semester System) courses available in Panjab University Calendar, Volume-II, 2007 at pages 132-136.

ITEM XXV

That –

1. the eligibility criteria for admission to Postgraduate Diploma in Cosmetology and Beauty Care be changed to 45% to make the course more viable and assessable to the students who are unable to pursue the Postgraduate Courses in any other elective subject;
2. the Regulations/Rules for B.Sc. (Home Science) (Semester System) effective from the admissions of 2014, as per **(Appendix-VI)**, be approved;
3. the Regulations/Rules for the following courses from the admissions of 2014, as per **(Appendix-VI)**, be approved:
 - (i) Postgraduate Diploma in Nutrition and Dietetics (Semester System)
 - (ii) Postgraduate Diploma in Fashion Designing (Semester System)
 - (iii) Postgraduate Diploma in Child Guidance and Family Counselling (Semester System)
4. the elective subject of Food Science & Quality Control prescribed for B.Sc. 1st Year be kept in abeyance from 2012;
5. the Regulations/Rules for B.Sc. Fashion Designing (Semester System) from the session 2014-15, as per **(Appendix-VI)**, be approved; and
6. the Regulations/Rules for Post Graduate Diploma in Statistics for the examinations of 2014-15, as per **(Appendix-VI)**, be approved.

ITEM XXIX

That as recommended by the Standing Committee in its meeting dated 12.6.2014, all the Diplomas and Certificate Courses, including Certificate Course in Women's Studies (offered through University School of Open Learning) be continued to be offered under Annual System.

ITEM XXXV

That the candidates with B.Sc. from all streams be made eligible for admission to M.Sc. (Environment Science) examination.

Referring to Sub-Item X relating to Regulations/ Rules for B.Com./B.B.A. (Semester System), Dr. Dalip Kumar stated that in both the cases Regulation 4 says that every candidate shall be examined in the subject as laid down in the syllabus prescribed from time to time. 20% marks in each paper shall be assigned for internal assessment based on the following parameters:

- | | | | |
|----|-------------------|---|-----|
| a. | Mid-Semester Test | : | 50% |
| b. | Class/Snap Test | : | 25% |
| c. | Attendance | : | 25% |

Whereas the circular No.Misc./A-6/51822-52122 dated 08.08.2014 issued by the Deputy Registrar (Colleges) says that 10 per cent internal assessment will be calculated on the proposed formula in order to maintain uniformity in calculating the internal assessment in Undergraduate Semester classes by all the affiliated Colleges, Teaching Departments and Regional Centres of the Panjab University, etc.:

1.		Class test
		: 5%
2.	Activities (Seminar, project and assignments)	Academic
		: 3%
3.		Attendance
		: 2%

He pleaded that uniformity should be maintained for the award of marks of internal assessment in all the undergraduate classes.

Shri Ashok Goyal stated that if they are not in agreement with the recommendation/s of the Academic Council, the recommendation/s should be referred back to the Faculty concerned instead of Academic Council stating the Syndicate differed so far as recommendations regarding award of internal assessment marks are concerned.

Referring to Sub-Item V, Shri Ashok Goyal stated that, as per the proposed eligibility criteria, the candidates, who have passed Master's degree examination in any Faculty with at least 55% marks in the aggregate, have been permitted to enroll for Ph.D. in the subject of Vivekananda Studies. He enquired in which subject the candidates concerned would be eligible for appointment as Assistant Professors.

The Vice-Chancellor said that everyone does not do Ph.D. for becoming eligible for the posts of Assistant Professors. However, the candidate concerned would be eligible for appointment as Assistant Professor in the subject in which he/she has done postgraduation, but he/she would not be awarded 15 marks meant for Ph.D.

Shri Ashok Goyal stated that they are already facing a problem on the issue. Citing an example, he said that certain candidates have done and certain more are doing Ph.D. in Guru Nanak Sikh Studies, but they are not being considered for appointment as Assistant Professor in the subject of History, despite the fact that Guru Nanak Sikh Studies is a part of the History. Therefore, they needed to make the things clear.

The Vice-Chancellor said that such candidates would not be awarded 15 marks meant for Ph.D.

Professor Preeti Mahajan stated that they are also facing similar problem in the Department of Library and Information Science. There is a person working as Guest Faculty at the Centre for Public Health. The person concerned is B.Sc. (Non-Medical), Ph.D. in Library & Information Science and Diploma in System Management. The Academic and Administrative Committees of the Centre for Public Health had accepted him/her as Supervisor for Ph.D. in the subject of Public Health. She had sought the opinion of Dean, Faculty of Science (Professor Rupinder Tewari), who had commented that Public Health

is an inter-disciplinary subject and person concerned could be a Supervisor in the subject of Public Health.

Shri Ashok Goyal stated that this is something very peculiar that a person having B.Sc. (Non-Medical) degree, Diploma in System Management and a Ph.D. in Library & Information Science has been allowed to act as Ph.D. Supervisor in Public Health.

The Vice-Chancellor said that other research Institutes look at the research of the person concerned. Personally, he would see whether the person concerned has diversified and published papers in that subject and not at the entire background of the person and would not worry what he/she was earlier. So far as his appointment as Guest Faculty at Centre for Public Health is concerned, they could appoint somebody as Guest Faculty if he/she has specialization to deliver lectures on a particular topic/subject, but could not appoint him/her on regular basis. They should have liberalized approach instead of narrow approach.

Shri Ashok Goyal remarked that he was neither in favour of liberalized nor narrow approach, but they should be clear. How could they justify allowing a person, who has bachelor degree in Non-Medical and Ph.D. in Library & Information Science, to guide Ph.D. in Public Health. Certain issues could be decided by the Vice-Chancellor, certain by the Academic Council, certain by the Syndicate and certain by the Senate.

The Vice-Chancellor said that the office of the Dean of University Instruction is held by a senior-most faculty member and by virtue of this he/she is competent to take decision on such matters. The Dean of University Instruction could also consult Dean Research, who is also one of the senior faculty members. However, the Syndicate must convey its concern that such an issue should not be left to the Chairperson. He remarked that they had enough checks and balances in their system. Dean of University Instruction can take initiative to put this matter before the Chairpersons during a forthcoming meeting.

Principal Hardiljit Singh Gosal enquired in which subject the candidates, who had done Ph.D. in Guru Nanak Sikh Studies, would be eligible for appointment as Assistant Professors.

Principal Gurdip Sharma said that the cases of the College teachers for appointment as Supervisors/Co-Supervisors for Ph.D. candidates, which are pending in the University office since long, should also be discussed in the Chairpersons meeting and expedited.

Dr. Dalip Kumar said that about two years ago they had approved that the College teachers could also be appointed Supervisors/Co-Supervisors of Ph.D. candidates, still more than 25 cases of the College teachers, who wish to be appointed Supervisors/Co-Supervisors are pending. He suggested that this issue should be referred to Research Promotion Cell.

The Vice-Chancellor requested Dr. Dalip Kumar to send him a copy of the list/partial list of the pending cases along with the name of the Department before the next meeting of the Chairpersons so that he could get its report from the Chairpersons.

Dr. Dinesh Talwar stated that they must oversee the functioning of the University Teaching Departments. So far as approval of certain Colleges as Research Centres are concerned, he is thankful to the Vice-Chancellor/ Syndicate. Now, the College teachers are doing good research. However, he would like to bring to their notice that certain Departments are not allowing the Library facility to the research students from Colleges, who are enrolled under College teachers. He pleaded that such students should be allowed to use the Library facility of the Departments as the Colleges Libraries are not as equipped as the University Teaching Departments are. He also pointed out that certain Departments are demanding Rs.30,000/- for allowing Library facility to such students.

Principal B.C. Josan said that his College had been approved as Research Centre by the University in the subject of Chemistry and the Library of his College remained open till midnight.

Principal Gurdip Sharma said that they should promote inter-disciplinary research. The UGC is also encouraging the Choice Based Credit System.

Shri Ashok Goyal stated that about three meetings earlier, Shri Gopal Krishan Chatrath had suggested that the forum of Chairpersons of the Departments should not act as a parallel forum to the Syndicate/Senate. It is being openly conveyed that such and such decision has been taken in the Chairpersons' forum. Therefore, he suggested that whatever is to be discussed in the Chairpersons' meeting, that should be to ensure the implementation of the decisions of the Syndicate and Senate and also to have interaction.

The Vice-Chancellor said that there is no parallel forum to the Syndicate and Senate. The Chairpersons' forum is just an advisory body.

Shri Gopal Krishan Chatrath said that from few years the decisions of the Syndicate and Senate are being flouted by those bodies which are the creation of the Syndicate and Senate under the technical refuge. It should be ensured that the decisions of the Syndicate and Senate are implemented and, if there is any problem, the matter should be placed before the Syndicate/Senate again.

The Vice-Chancellor stated that in his opening statement in the next meeting of Chairpersons, he would reiterate the limitations as well as purpose of the meeting of the Chairpersons.

Shri Ashok Goyal stated that 50% of the decisions of the Syndicate are not implemented, whereas the decisions taken in the Chairpersons' meeting or in Dean, College Development Council's meeting are completely implemented. He pointed out that in the August meeting of the Syndicate, it was decided that a letter should be written by the Dean, College Development Council to inform the constitution of the Selection Committees and to impress upon that nobody other than the persons mentioned therein should be allowed to sit in the meeting/s of the Selection Committee. This was decided because certain Colleges were allowing unauthorized persons to sit in the meetings of the Selection Committee. It was specifically acknowledged by the Vice-Chancellor that the said letter would be issued without waiting for the relevant paragraph of the Syndicate proceedings. But to his knowledge no such letter has been issued. If

they do not reiterate, no action is taken by the University. He suggested that a letter about the eligibility criteria for appointment of Supervisors/Co-Supervisors of Ph.D. candidates clarifying all the things must be issued by the Dean of University Instruction at the earliest to all the Chairpersons of the University Teaching Departments.

Shri Gopal Krishan Chatrath said that the Board of Control of one of the Departments has passed orders that the Vice-Chancellor is not a competent authority and the matter was placed before the Syndicate and Senate. The Senate took it seriously and thereafter, the same was got implemented. Therefore, an order should go from the Vice-Chancellor that the decisions of the Syndicate and Senate have to be obeyed. Further, it should be made empathetically clear that the Board of Control is not above the Syndicate/Senate.

Shri Ashok Goyal stated that one of the Principals of an affiliated College told him that unauthorized persons sit in the meeting/s of the Selection Committee/s. Despite a specific decision of the Syndicate, a letter has been written by the Deputy Registrar (Colleges) asking for comments of the Principal/s, which is completely wrong.

Principal Puneet Bedi said that a letter has been received from the Deputy Registrar (Colleges) asking the Principals for comments. She had told the Deputy Registrar (Colleges) that the matter has been discussed in one of the meetings of the Syndicate and it has been decided that unauthorized persons should not be allowed to sit in the meetings of the Selection Committees. Instead of giving instructions that unauthorized persons should not be allowed to sit in the meetings of the Selection Committees, he had sought comments of the Principal/s.

The Vice-Chancellor said that he had not authorized anyone to do so.

Principal Hardiljit Singh Gosal suggested that a letter should be issued by the University to the Vice-Chancellor's nominees as well as to the Managements of the affiliated Colleges making everything clear, including payment of TA/DA, etc.

It was clarified that such a letter had already been put on the website and if need be, a letter could also be written to all the Principals of the affiliated Colleges and the nominees of the Vice-Chancellor on the Selection Committees as well about dos and don'ts for the meetings of the Selection Committees, including payment of TA/DA, etc. to the Vice-Chancellor's nominees.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that the Vice-Chancellor's nominees should be fully equipped with all kinds of input, including payment of TA/DA, etc. to them.

RESOLVED: That the recommendations of the Academic Council dated 02.07.2014 contained in Items III, V, VII, VIII, XI, XIII, XIV, XVIII, XIX, XXIII, XXV, XXIX and XXXV, be approved.

RESOLVED FURTHER: That recommendation of the Academic Council contained in Item X, be referred back to the Faculty of Business Management & Commerce to reconsider proposed

Regulation 4 for B.Com. and B.B.A. (Semester System) pertaining to criteria for award of internal assessment and redraft the same in tune with the Regulation for award of internal assessment of other undergraduate courses.

**Recommendations of the
Leave cases Committee
dated 08.08.2014**

7. Considered minutes dated 08.08.2014 (**Appendix-VII**) of the committee constituted by the Vice-Chancellor, in terms of the Syndicate decision dated 16.05.1981 (Para-18) to look into the leave cases of teaching staff.

Dr. Dinesh Talwar, referring to the Leave Case of Dr. Vaneeta Aggarwal, Assistant Professor at University Business School, said that she was granted duty leave for carrying out a project. Now, she had requested for cancellation of the remaining duty leave w.e.f. 28.05.2014 and sought extra-ordinary leave without pay for two years with permission to retain the lien, to enable her to join as Assistant Professor at Madras University. Is it right?

It was clarified that, earlier, Dr. Vaneeta Aggarwal had been sanctioned duty leave for carrying out a UGC Project. Now, as she had to join on a permanent position in another University, she has requested for cancellation of duty leave and has sought extraordinary leave without pay for two years with permission to retain the lien.

Professor S.K. Sharma, referring to leave case of Dr. Charanjeev Singh, Professor, Department of Public Administration, suggested that he should be asked to give an affidavit attested by the Notary Public that he is not gainfully employed there. On the one hand, the people are working somewhere after seeking leave from this University and on the other hand, the academic work of the University is suffering.

Shri Gopal Krishan Chatrath said that if the person had gone on leave without pay, he could work and get salary. Therefore, in such cases, there is no need to obtain an affidavit from the person/s concerned.

Shri Ashok Goyal stated that as far as Regulations for extraordinary leave without pay are concerned, it is mandatory on the part of the person to tell the reasons for seeking leave and if he/she says that it is personal and domestic circumstances, then he/she has to confirm that he/she is not gainfully employed anywhere or he/she should specifically say that he/she should be granted extraordinary leave without pay for engaging in such and such thing, where he/she would be gainfully employed because they could not grant extraordinary leave without pay to anybody for running a business, etc.

The Vice-Chancellor said that Dr. Charanjeev Singh is saying that he should be granted extension in extraordinary leave without pay to continue to stay in Australia owing to urgent and compelling domestic circumstances. He further said that the concern shown by the members that the people should not misuse this facility is well taken. He added that since they are following the Semester System in which a Semester is a unit. If somebody is on a leave exceeding one semester, the leave vacancy appointment must be made in due manner.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that they have given duty leave to Dr. Vaneeta Aggarwal for a purpose, i.e., for carrying out a project. What was that project, which was being handled by her and could she leave the said project in between?

The Vice-Chancellor said that, now Dr. Vaneeta Aggarwal had availed another opportunity. However, they would look into the terms and conditions of the project and enquire about its status and whether she would complete that project and give academic output as well as utilization certificate.

After some further discussion, it was –

RESOLVED: That the recommendations of the Committee dated 08.08.2014, as per appendix, be approved.

Rectification of Syndicate decision dated 15.3.2014 (Para 21)

8. Considered and rectify the decision of the Syndicate dated 15.03.2014 (Para 21) (**Appendix-VIII**) with regard to the nomenclature of Retail Management and Tourism course run at P.G. Government College, Sector-11, Chandigarh, as per UGC notification vide No. F.1-5/2013(CC) dated 13.02.2014 (**Appendix-VIII**) as proposed below:

Syndicate decision dated 15.03.2014	Proposed Modification as per UGC notification
The Syndicate dated 15.03.2014 (Para 21) has resolved that the recommendations of the Committee dated 18.02.2014, be approved, with the modification that the nomenclature of the courses run at Post Graduate Government College, Sector 11, Chandigarh, be approved as (i) Retail Management and Tourism ; and (ii) Finance Service and similarly for SCD Government College, Ludhiana, the nomenclature of the courses is: (i) Software Development; and (ii) Stock Market Trading and Operations.	University Grants Commission vide letter No. F.1-5/2013(CC) dated 13.02.2014 has intimated the names of courses approved under the scheme of Community Colleges in P.G. Government College, Sector-11, Chandigarh as under: (i) Tourism (Certificate in Travel and Tourism) (ii) Retail Management (Certificate in Retail Management).

- NOTE:**
1. The Principal, P.G. Government College, Sector-11, Chandigarh, vide Memo. No.PGGC/11/S/2014/ 4275-78 dated 21.07.2014 has requested rectification in Syndicate decision (Para 21) as per UGC notification which is enclosed (**Appendix-VIII**).
 2. An office note enclosed (**Appendix-VIII**).

Dr. Dalip Kumar stated that recently on 13th August 2014, the U.G.C. had written a special letter pertaining to conduct of examinations and issuance of certificate and according to the letter, the Colleges are authorized to conduct the examinations of courses run under the Community Colleges Scheme and issue certificate at their own level. He read out the contents of the letter as under:

“The College concerned should itself award Diploma/Certificate under its own seal and signature after a written authorization from the affiliating University.

However, the College should mention the name of the affiliating University and the scheme on the award.

The affiliating universities are requested to give written authorization to the affiliated Colleges running the scheme of Community College to award certificate(s)/diploma(s).”

Professor S.K. Sharma said that, earlier, there was one certificate course and now two courses have been mentioned. Have they sent the Inspection Committee to see whether the College has the infrastructure, faculty, etc. for running these courses?

Dr. Dalip Kumar stated that no Inspection Committee of the University had inspected the College. The College had just paid the affiliation fee to the University. However, these are two courses which are being run under the Community Colleges Scheme and the same have been approved by the University. He suggested that the University should send the Inspection Committee to inspect the College.

Principal Gurdip Sharma said that the nomenclature of the courses should be as per the U.G.C. and the same should not be changed under any circumstances; otherwise, they might face problem in future.

RESOLVED: That the decision of the Syndicate dated 15.03.2014 (Para 21) (**Appendix-VIII**) with regard to the nomenclature of Retail Management and Tourism course run at P.G. Government College, Sector-11, Chandigarh, as per UGC notification vide No. F.1-5/2013(CC) dated 13.02.2014 (**Appendix-VIII**), be rectified, as proposed below:

Syndicate decision dated 15.03.2014	Proposed Modification as per UGC notification
The Syndicate dated 15.03.2014 (Para 21) has resolved that the recommendations of the Committee dated 18.02.2014, be approved, with the modification that the nomenclature of the courses run at Post Graduate Government College, Sector 11, Chandigarh, be approved as (i) Retail Management and Tourism ; and (ii) Finance Service and similarly for SCD Government College, Ludhiana, the nomenclature of the courses is: (i) Software Development; and (ii) Stock Market Trading and Operations.	That the recommendations of the Committee dated 18.02.2014, be approved, as per Appendix , with the modification that the nomenclature of the courses run at Post Graduate Government College, Sector-11, Chandigarh, be approved as (i) Tourism (Certificate in Travel and Tourism) , and (ii) Retail Management (Certificate in Retail Management) ; and similarly for SCD Government College, Ludhiana, the nomenclature of the courses is: (i) Software Development; and (ii) Stock Market & Trading Operations

RESOLVED FURTHER: That an Inspection Committee be sent to the P.G. Government College, Sector-11, Chandigarh, to see whether the College have the requisite infrastructure, faculty, etc. to run (i) Tourism (Certificate in Travel and Tourism) and (ii) Retail Management (Certificate in Retail Management) courses, under the Community Colleges Scheme.

Request of the Chairperson, USOL dated 24.07.2014 regarding discontinuation of MFC at USOL from the session 2014-15

9. Considered the request of the Chairperson, University School of Open Learning, P.U., dated 24.07.2014 (**Appendix-IX**), that the Master of Finance and Control (MFC) programme at USOL, P.U.,

Chandigarh, be discontinued, as per the guidelines of Distance Education Council (DEC) (**Appendix-IX**) from the session 2014-2015.

Professor Preeti Mahajan stated that in the letter dated 20th August 2013 through which the U.G.C. had conveyed its recognition for the courses being offered at the University School of Open Learning, one of the conditions for offering the courses through distance mode is that “the University should have at least one full time faculty member exclusively for coordinating each programme at the headquarters”. But there is no regular teacher at University School of Open Learning for Bachelor of Library & Information Science and Postgraduate Diploma in Library Automation & Networking. One person who is employed there is neither Ph.D. nor UGC-NET. She did not know what information they had sent to the U.G.C. relating to these courses.

The Vice-Chancellor said that he would look into the matter.

RESOLVED: That, as per the guidelines of Distance Education, the Master of Finance and Control (MFC) programme at University School of Open Learning, be discontinued from the session 2014-15.

Recommendations of the Executive Committee of PUSC, Panjab University dated 25.07.2014

10. Considered the following recommendation (Item No.21) dated 25.07.2014 (**Appendix-X**) of Executive Committee of PUSC, Panjab University:

Item No.21: Sanction and approval of enhancement of officiating charges of various games to the Umpires/Officials/Referees as per following details:

Officiating Charges

Sr. No.	Game	Existing Rates	Proposed Rates
1.	Badminton	Rs.200/- (per official, per match)	Rs.250/- (per official per match)
2.	Ball Badminton	-do-	-do-
3.	Basket Ball	-do-	-do-
4.	Volleyball	-do-	-do-
5.	Water Polo	-do-	-do-
6.	Handball	-do-	-do-
7.	Kabaddi (NS)	-do-	-do-
8.	Kabaddi (PS)	-do-	-do-
9.	Kho-Kho	-do-	-do-
10.	Korf ball	-do-	-do-
11.	Netball	-do-	-do-
12.	Squash	-do-	-do-
13.	Table Tennis	-do-	-do-
14.	Hockey	-do-	-do-
15.	Indoor Hockey	-	-do-
16.	Baseball	Rs.200/- (per official, per match)	Rs.400/- (per official, per match)
17.	Cross-County	-do-	-do-
18.	Softball	-do-	-do-
19.	Football	-do-	-do-
20.	American Football	-	-do-
21.	Tennis	Rs.400/- (per	No Change

Sr. No.	Game	Existing Rates	Proposed Rates
		official per match)	
22.	Archery	Rs.800/- (per official, per day)	No Change
23.	Athletics	-do-	-do-
24.	Best Physique	-do-	-do-
25.	Boxing	-do-	-do-
26.	Canoeing & Kayaking	-do-	-do-
27.	Chess	-do-	-do-
28.	Cricket	-do-	-do-
29.	Cycling	-do-	-do-
30.	Fencing	-do-	-do-
31.	Judo	-do-	-do-
32.	Power Lifting	-do-	-do-
33.	Weight Lifting	-do-	-do-
34.	Rowing	-do-	-do-
35.	Shooting	-do-	-do-
36.	Swimming/ Diving	-do-	-do-
37.	Taekwondo	-do-	-do-
38.	Yachting	-do-	-do-
39.	Yoga	-do-	-do-
40.	Gymnastics	-do-	-do-
41.	Rhythmic Gymnastics	-	-do-
42.	Gatka	Rs.200/- (per official, per match)	Rs.800/- (per official, per match)

NOTE: 1. Justification for the enhancement of officiating charges:

- (i) Enhancement of Officiating charges from Rs.200/- to Rs.250/- due to hike in prices.
- (ii) Enhancement of Officiating charges from Rs.200/- to Rs.400/- due to long duration of matches as each match takes more than two hours;
- (iii) Enhancement of Officiating charges from Rs.200/- to Rs.800/- in the game of Gatka being full day tournament.

2. An office Note enclosed (**Appendix-X**).

Majority of the members were of the view that the rates proposed by the Executive Committee of PUSC to the Umpires/ Officials/Referees for various games are not in accordance with the duration, efforts, etc. involved in the games. Referees for Kabaddi have to put in less efforts in comparison to Hockey, Football, Basket Ball, etc. In the games like Hockey, Football and Basket Ball, the referees have to run wherever the ball goes. Secondly, the Executive Committee of PUSC has recommended enhancement from Rs.200/- per official, per match to Rs.800/- per official, per day for Gatka and

Rs.400/- per official per match from Rs.200/- per official per match for Softball, which is completely wrong. They were of the view that these should be referred back to the Executive Committee of PUSC for reconsideration and making recommendations keeping in view the duration of the game/s and the efforts required to be made by the Umpires/Referees/Officials, however, after some discussion, it was –

RESOLVED: That the following recommendation (Item No. 21) dated 25.07.2014 (**Appendix-X**) of Executive Committee of PUSC, Panjab University, pertaining to enhancement in officiating charges to the Umpires/ Officials/Referees for various games be approved as under; however, in future, while making enhancement in these rates, the observations of the members be kept in view and the rates be recommended accordingly:

Officiating Charges

Sr. No.	Game	Existing Rates	Proposed Rates
1.	Badminton	Rs.200/- (per official, per match)	Rs.250/- (per official per match)
2.	Ball Badminton	-do-	-do-
3.	Basket Ball	-do-	-do-
4.	Volleyball	-do-	-do-
5.	Water Polo	-do-	-do-
6.	Handball	-do-	-do-
7.	Kabaddi (NS)	-do-	-do-
8.	Kabaddi (PS)	-do-	-do-
9.	Kho-Kho	-do-	-do-
10.	Korf ball	-do-	-do-
11.	Netball	-do-	-do-
12.	Squash	-do-	-do-
13.	Table Tennis	-do-	-do-
14.	Hockey	-do-	-do-
15.	Indoor Hockey	-	-do-
16.	Baseball	Rs.200/- (per official, per match)	Rs.400/- (per official, per match)
17.	Cross-County	-do-	-do-
18.	Softball	-do-	-do-
19.	Football	-do-	-do-
20.	American Football	-	-do-
21.	Tennis	Rs.400/- (per official per match)	No Change
22.	Archery	Rs.800/- (per official, per day)	No Change
23.	Athletics	-do-	-do-
24.	Best Physique	-do-	-do-
25.	Boxing	-do-	-do-
26.	Canoeing & Kayaking	-do-	-do-
27.	Chess	-do-	-do-
28.	Cricket	-do-	-do-
29.	Cycling	-do-	-do-
30.	Fencing	-do-	-do-
31.	Judo	-do-	-do-
32.	Power Lifting	-do-	-do-
33.	Weight Lifting	-do-	-do-

Sr. No.	Game	Existing Rates	Proposed Rates
34.	Rowing	-do-	-do-
35.	Shooting	-do-	-do-
36.	Swimming/ Diving	-do-	-do-
37.	Taekwondo	-do-	-do-
38.	Yachting	-do-	-do-
39.	Yoga	-do-	-do-
40.	Gymnastics	-do-	-do-
41.	Rhythmic Gymnastics	-	-do-
42.	Gatka	Rs.200/- (per official, per match)	Rs.800/- (per official, per day)

Condonation of delay for submission of Ph.D. Thesis

11. Considered if, delay of 1 year and 14 days beyond 5 years, i.e., up to 01.09.2014, for submission of Ph.D. thesis of Ms. Shruti Chadha, Junior Research Fellow, enrolled on 18.08.2008 at University Business School (UBS), P.U., in the Faculty of Business Management & Commerce, be condoned, as she could not submit her thesis within the prescribed period due to the following reasons:

- (i) She had been simultaneously teaching MBA classes of University Business School, P.U. in the field of Finance and Accounting. Her working hours ranged between 4-6 lectures in a week. She was also framing the question papers and evaluating the answer sheets of the students taught by her for the mid semester and class tests. This service has been rendered by her to the Panjab University with due diligence.
- (ii) She was coming under stress for reasons beyond her control and her thesis work started lagging behind. Thus on the recommendations of her guides she took three months leave from 1 January, 2013 to 31 March, 2013 from teaching work. The leave helped her marginally to recoup.
- (iii) Therefore, she joined the University Business School after three months leave and then continued with the regular teaching and examination work entrusted to her by the Chairman, UBS till November, 2013. Simultaneously, she carried forward the Ph.D. thesis work.
- (iv) However, because of her poor health the Ph.D. thesis work lagged behind the scheduled period of completion (18 November, 2013) as learnt by her in spite of her diligent efforts.

NOTE: 1. Regulation 4.1 at page 370, P.U. Calendar, Volume II, 2007 reads as under:

“The duration of Ph.D. course shall be three academic years.

xxx xxx xxx xxx

Provided further that extension may be granted, to a candidate who is unable to complete his research work and thesis within the prescribed period of 3 years, by the Research Board up to a maximum of two years (one year at a time) on the recommendation of and for reason to be recorded by the supervisor.”

2. Regulation 12 at page 373 of P.U. Calendar, Volume-II, 2007 reads as under:

“If a candidate fails to submit his thesis within the prescribed period including the extension granted, his enrolment shall be cancelled. However, delays up to 3 months in the submission of the thesis beyond the prescribed/extended period may be condoned by the Dean, Faculty of Business Management and Commerce.”

3. The maximum time limit under the UGC’s new guidelines for submission of Ph.D. thesis be fixed as eight years from the date of enrolment, i.e. normal period: three years, extension period; two years (on year to year basis with usual fee prescribed by the Syndicate from time to time) and condonation period: three years, after which enrolment and registration of the candidate be treated as automatically cancelled. xxx xxx xxx xxx. These guidelines became effective from June 15, 2010, **but the candidate is governed under the above old Regulation 4.1 as she was enrolled on 18.08.2008.**
4. An office note enclosed **(Appendix-XI).**

RESOLVED: That the delay of 1 year and 14 days beyond 5 years, i.e., up to 01.09.2014, for submission of Ph.D. thesis by Ms. Shruti Chadha, Junior Research Fellow, enrolled on 18.08.2008 at University Business School (UBS), P.U., in the Faculty of Business Management & Commerce, be condoned, as she could not submit her thesis within the prescribed period due to the following reasons:

- (i) She had been simultaneously teaching MBA classes of University Business School, P.U. in the field of Finance and Accounting. Her working hours ranged between 4-6 lectures in a week. She was also framing the question papers and evaluating the answer sheets of the students taught by her for the mid semester and class tests. This service has been rendered by her to the Panjab University with due diligence.
- (ii) She was coming under stress for reasons beyond her control and her thesis work started lagging behind. Thus on the recommendations of her guides she took three months leave from 1 January, 2013 to 31 March, 2013 from teaching work. The leave helped her marginally to recoup.
- (iii) Therefore, she joined the University Business School after three months leave and then continued with the regular teaching and examination work entrusted to her by the Chairman, UBS till November, 2013. Simultaneously, she carried forward the Ph.D. thesis work.
- (iv) However, because of her poor health the Ph.D. thesis work lagged behind the scheduled period of completion (18 November, 2013) as learnt by her in spite of her diligent efforts.

Request of Shri Mohd. Afaq Alam, Associate Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, PEC for granting extraordinary special sanction for submission of Ph.D. thesis

12. Considered the request dated 14.08.2014 (**Appendix-XII**) of Shri Mohd. Afaq Alam, Associate Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, PEC, (enrolled under No.11604/ Ph.D. w.e.f. 13.09.1995 under the Faculty of Engineering & Technology) that he be granted Extraordinary Special Sanction for submission of Ph.D. thesis as he could not submit the thesis for evaluation due to ill health of his spouse and other unavoidable circumstances.

- NOTE:**
1. The Research Board had granted extension for submission of Ph.D. thesis to Shri Mohd. Afaq Alam up to 31.12.2011.
 2. He was informed vide this office letter dated 27.12.2011 that extension is granted for not more than 3 years and requested that he will have to submit the thesis on or before 20.02.2012.
 3. The Research Board in Engineering & Technology dated 02.05.2012 (Para 10 & 21) (**Appendix-XII**) has **resolved that the Board rejected the request of Sh. Mohd. Afaq Alam for extension of one more year i.e. up to 31.12.2012 for submission of his Ph.D. thesis.**
 4. The Syndicate dated 27.01.2013 (Para R-xvi) (**Appendix-XII**) has extended the last date for submission of Ph.D. thesis under **special chance up to 30.06.2013**

for all the candidates enrolled under old/new Regulations.

5. Regulation 11 given at page 446, P.U. Calendar Volume-II, 2007, reads as under:

“Such application for extension must be submitted to the University before the expiry of date for submission of thesis. Such extension will be granted for one year at a time subject to a maximum of three years, beyond which ordinary no further extension will be granted by the Research Board”.

6. The maximum time limit under the UGC’s guidelines for submission of Ph.D. thesis be fixed as eight years from the date of enrolment, i.e. normal period: three years, extension period: two years (on year to year basis with usual fee prescribed by the Syndicate from time to time) and condonation period: three years, after which enrolment and registration of the candidate be treated as automatically cancelled. These guidelines became effective from June 15, 2010.
7. An office note enclosed (**Appendix-XII**).

RESOLVED: That Shri Mohd. Afaq Alam, Associate Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, PEC, (enrolled under No.11604/Ph.D. w.e.f. 13.09.1995, under the Faculty of Engineering & Technology), be granted Extraordinary Special Sanction for submission of Ph.D. thesis as he could not submit the thesis for evaluation due to ill health of his spouse and other unavoidable circumstances.

Recommendations of the Administrative Committee dated 28.7.2014 of Bhai Ghanaiya Ji Institute of Health regarding enhancement of rent of private room from Rs.1000/- to Rs.1500/- as per PGIMER

13. Considered the recommendation dated 28.07.2014 (**Appendix-XIII**) of the Administrative Committee of Bhai Ghanaiya Ji Institute of Health that the rent of the private room, for Panjab University employees as per their entitlement, be enhanced, from Rs.1000/- to Rs.1500/- as per PGIMER, Chandigarh pattern.

RESOLVED: That the rent of the private room, for Panjab University employees as per their entitlement, be enhanced, from Rs.1000/- to Rs.1500/- as per PGIMER, Chandigarh pattern.

Sanction of Rs.5,56,000/- for rewiring and providing electrical points in the Department of Political Science

14. Considered if, an amount of Rs.5,56,000/-, be sanctioned, out of the budget head ‘Development Fund’ for rewiring and providing electrical points in the Department of Political Science, P.U, Chandigarh.

NOTE: 1. The Rough cost Estimate for Rewiring and Providing of electrical points in the

Department of Political Science, P.U., is enclosed (**Appendix-XIV**).

2. An office note enclosed (**Appendix-XIV**).

RESOLVED: That an amount of Rs.5,56,000/-, be sanctioned, out of the budget head 'Development Fund', for rewiring and providing electrical points in the Department of Political Science, P.U, Chandigarh.

At this stage, it was decided that the following items, which are of urgent nature, be taken up for consideration:

Award of degree of Doctor of Philosophy

20. Considered reports of examiners of certain candidates on the theses, including viva-voce reports, for the award of degree of Doctor of Philosophy (Ph.D.).

RESOLVED: That the degree of Doctor of Philosophy be awarded to the following candidates in the Faculty and subject noted against each:

Sr. No.	Name of the Candidate	Faculty/ Subject	Title of Thesis
1.	Mr. Ajay Kumar C/o Prem Lal Sharma # 61, New Bank Colony Una Road, Hoshiarpur	Languages/ Sanskrit	PAURANIKA YOGA-VIMARSA (PATANJALA YOGADARSANA KE VISISTA SANDARBHA MEM)
2.	Ms. Harshdeep Kaur H.No. 3538, Sector-37-D Chandigarh	Design & Fine Arts/ Music	SHASTRIYA EVAM UPSHASTRIYA- SANGEET MEIN RAGA-KHAMAJ EVAM CHITRAPAT-GEETON MEIN USKA PRAYOG-EK ADHYAYAN
3.	Ms. Shalini H.No. H 2/3 Teacher's Flat BPSMV, Khanpur Kalan Sonipat	Languages/ English	POLITICS OF REPRESENTATION: A FEMINIST STUDY OF VIJAY TENDULKAR'S SELECTED PLAYS
4.	Ms. Jyoti Joshi H.No. 1, Sector-19 Panchkula	Science/ Zoology	A COMPARATIVE EVALUATION OF CHEMOTHERAPY, IMMUNOTHERAPY AND IMMUNOCHEMOTHERAPY FOR THE CONTROL OF VISCERAL LEISHMANIASIS - AN EXPERIMENTAL STUDY
5.	Ms. Shuchi Davar Apartment No.-201 Marigold Block Mayfair Soulspace Apartments Plot No.-4, Sector-70, Mohali	Business Management & Commerce	HUMAN RESOURCE MANAGEMENT PRACTICES IN POST REFORM PERIOD IN SELECT ORGANIZATIONS IN NORTH WESTERN REGION OF INDIA
6.	Ms. Asha Saini 125-S, Modi Kunj, Zirakpur Ambala Chandigarh Highway District Mohali	Languages/ Hindi	HINDI VAISHNAV BHAKTI AUR KIRTAN: SAIDHANTIK ADHAR AUR ATTIHASIK PARIPREKSHY

Sr. No.	Name of the Candidate	Faculty/ Subject	Title of Thesis
7.	Ms. Seema Mahajan H.No. 332, Sector-37-A Chandigarh	Business Management & Commerce	VOLUNTARY DISCLOSURE, EARNINGS QUALITY AND THE COST OF CAPITAL: AN EMPIRICAL STUDY OF SELECTED INDIAN COMPANIES
8.	Ms. Meenakshi 261, AX Model Town Extension, Part-2 Ludhiana	Business Management & Commerce	A STUDY OF WORK EXHAUSTION IN FRONT-LINE BANK EMPLOYEES IN SELECTED BANKS IN PUNJAB & CHANDIGARH
9.	Ms. Manju Bala H.No. 1335-B, Sector 41-B Chandigarh	Languages/ Hindi	SHIWANI KE UPANAYASON MEIN ADHUNIKTA BODH
10.	Mr. Satinderpal Singh V.P.O Katani Kalan District Ludhiana	Science/ Chemistry	A DEEP INSPECTION INTO ORGANOSILICON DERIVATIVES OF NITROGEN AND OXYGEN HETEROCYCLES: SYNTHESIS STRUCTURAL CHARACTERIZATION AND REACTIVITY
11.	Ms. Swati Talreja H.No. 723/2, Sector-40-A Chandigarh	Pharmaceutical Sciences	STUDIES ON COMPATIBILITY, POLYMORPHISM AND MULTICOMPONENT CRYSTALS OF SELECTED ANTIHYPERTENSIVE DRUGS
12.	Ms. Goggi Gupta C/o #597, Sector-25 Panchkula	Education/ Education	TEACHER EFFECTIVENESS IN RELATION TO STRESS, TEACHING APTITUDE, EMOTIONAL AND SOCIAL INTELLIGENCE
13.	Ms. Nishu Department of Physics P.U., Chandigarh	Science/ Physics	STUDY OF ASSOCIATED HIGGS PRODUCTION WITH $WH \rightarrow WWW^* \rightarrow l\nu l\nu$ AT LHC ENERGY USING CMS DETECTOR
14.	Ms. Kiranjeet Kaur Department of Microbiology P.U., Chandigarh	Science/ Microbiology	CHARACTERIZATION OF MOLECULAR PLAYERS IN HUMAN SPERM- <i>ESCHERICHIA COLI</i> INTERACTIONS WITH THE AIM TO DEVELOP ANTI-SPERM RECEPTOR MONOCLONAL ANTIBODIES AS VAGINAL CONTRACEPTIVE
15.	Mr. Pawan Kumar Village Toderpur, Tehsil Samana, District Patiala	Languages/ Punjabi	ADHUNIK PANJABI GEET-KAV DA SUHAJ-SHASTRI ADHIYAN
16.	Ms. Supriya Chowdhary H.No. 422 SBI Housing Society Sector-48-A, Chandigarh	Languages/ English	ISLAM, IDEOLOGY AND NATIONALISM: DISCURSIVE FORMATIONS OF PROGRESSIVE URDU POETRY WITH REFERENCE TO THE WORKS OF ALI SARDAR JAFRI, FAIZ AHMED FAIZ AND KAIFI AZMI
17.	Mr. Rajinder Singh S/o Sh. Pritam Singh Village Chackwari P.O. Rajaka-Talab Tehsil Nurpur District Kangra (H.P.)	Education/ Education	A STUDY OF SELF-EFFICACY SELF-ESTEEM THINKING AND DECISION MAKING STYLES OF PROSPECTIVE TEACHERS IN RELATION TO THEIR GENDER AND ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT

Sr. No.	Name of the Candidate	Faculty/ Subject	Title of Thesis
18.	Ms. Jyotsana Sharma H.No. 926, Phase-X Mohali	Business Management & Commerce	NUTRITION LABELLING IN PROCESSED FOOD INDUSTRY: A STUDY OF REGULATION COMPLIANCE AND CONSUMER PERCEPTION IN NORTHERN INDIA
19.	Ms. Kanchan Lata Yadav Lab No.3 Department of Microbiology P.U., Chandigarh	Science/ Microbiology	PRODUCTION, CHARACTERIZATION AND BIOTECHNOLOGICAL APPLICATIONS OF EXOPOLYSACCHARIDE (EPS) FROM ANA AUTOCHTHONOUS FUNGAL ISOLATE
20.	Mr. Akash Khosla C/o 1285, Ranjit Nagar Kharar	Law/Law	REGULATION OF CYBER SPACE: A SOCIO-LEGAL STUDY WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO CYBER LAW IN INDIA
21.	Mr. Puneet Rinwa H.No. 167, D.C. Colony Sirsa	Pharmaceutical Sciences	STUDIES ON NEUROPROTECTIVE MECHANISMS OF ANTIOXIDANT AND ANTI-INFLAMMATORY DRUGS IN CHRONIC STRESS INDUCED NEUROLOGICAL DISEASES
22.	Ms. Bidyarani Asem School of Communication Studies, P.U., Chandigarh	Arts/ Mass Communication	MEASURING READABILITY: A MESSAGE ANALYSIS OF NEWSPAPER EDITORIALS
23.	Ms. Sukhpreet Kaur House No. 671 Phase-7 Mohali	Arts/ Sociology	COMMUNITY PARTICIPATION AND DRUG DE-ADDICTION: A STUDY OF COMMUNITY BASED DE-ADDICTION CLINICS IN AND AROUND CHANDIGARH
24.	Mr. Patil Namdeo Laxman A-5, Nandan Residency, Dhongade Nagar, Nashik Road, Nashik (M.S.)	Engineering & Technology	EXPERIMENTAL AND FINITE ELEMENT INVESTIGATIONS FOR SOIL SUBGRADE STRENGTH IMPROVEMENT USING GEOSYNTHETICS AND FLY ASH-RHA STABILIZERS
25.	Mr. Nibras Mossa Umran # 450, Sector-15-A, Chandigarh	Science/ Physics	EFFECT OF ENDOHEDRAL DOPING ON C60 AND CARBON NANOTUBES
26.	Ms. Poonam Rana C/o M.C. Saini H.No. 5008-B Sector-38 (West) Chandigarh	Arts/ Gandhian Studies	SELF-GOVERNANCE IN THE GLOBALIZED WORLD WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO MALANA
27.	Ms. Vibha Sharma H.No. 5013/1 Modern Housing Complex Manimajra Chandigarh	Arts/ Public Administration	GOVERNMENT POLICY AND ADMINISTRATION OF CHILD WELFARE IN HARYANA
28.	Mr. Rajesh Mohan H.No. 11/1265 7-R Dogar Basti Faridkot	Design and Fine Arts/ Music	SUGAM SANGEET MEIN GEET-GAZAL-NAZAM EK VISHLESHANATMAK ADHYAYAN
29.	Ms. Sajal Sarabhai H.No. 1033, 1 st floor Sector-7 Panchkula	Science/ Microbiology	MOLECULAR MECHANISM OF <i>TERMINALIA CHEBULA</i> RETZ MEDIATED QUENCHING OF <i>PSEUDOMONAS AERUGINOSA</i> PAO1

Sr. No.	Name of the Candidate	Faculty/ Subject	Title of Thesis
			VIRULENCE AND USE OF <i>CAENORHABDITIS ELEGANS</i> AS THE NON MAMMALIAN MODEL HOST SYSTEM
30.	Ms. Navnit Kaur H.No. 2921, Phase-7 Mohali	Languages/ French	LE RIRE CHEZ ROBERT CHALLE

Recommendations of the Board of Finance dated 05.09.2014

23. Considered the following recommendations of the Board of Finance contained in the minutes of its meeting dated 05.09.2014 (Items 1 and 19):

Item 1

That the revised estimated expenditure of Rs.435.92 crore and revised estimated income of Rs.166.38 crore for the financial year 2014-15 be approved for onward submission to UGC/MHRD.

Note: (i) Component wise revised estimated expenditure and income is as per **Appendix-I (P- 1 to 3)**.

(ii) Detail justification is as per **Appendix-II (P-1 to 5)**.

Item 19

That –

(i) unspent balance of Rs.14,20,778/-out of the sanctioned provision of Rs.65.00 lac for the financial year 2013-2014 be carried forward and utilized during the financial year 2014-2015 for furnishing of College Bhawan Building ;

(ii) an additional provision of Rs.72.00 lac may also be sanctioned out of the 'College Development Council Revolving Fund Account' for providing elevators, infrastructure, furnishing and other fixtures etc. required for the College Bhawan for the financial year 2014-2015.

Note: The College Development Council Revolving Fund has been constituted out of the fee from the students of the Colleges, which can be utilized only for the activities concerning the College Development Council.

RESOLVED: That the recommendations of Board of Finance contained in its minutes dated 5.09.2014 (Items 1 and 19), be endorsed to the Senate for approval.

Recommendation of the Committee dated 29.8.2014 regarding rate of interest on CPF and GPF for the period 1.4.2014 to 31.3.2015

24. Considered minutes dated 29.8.2014 (**Appendix-XV**) of the Committee constituted by the Vice-Chancellor to examine the rate of interest on contributory Provident Fund and General Provident Fund to be paid to the employees for the period of 1.4.2014 to 31.3.2015.

RESOLVED: That the recommendation of the Committee dated 29.8.2014, as per **Appendix**, pertaining to the rate of interest on Contributory Provident Fund and General Provident Fund to be paid to the employees for the period of 1.4.2014 to 31.3.2015, be approved.

At this stage, it was decided that the meeting be adjourned and the consideration of the remaining items on the agenda be deferred.

Syndicate Proceedings dated 13th /26th September 2014

The adjourned meeting be scheduled for 26th September 2014 at 5.00 p.m. wherein the remaining items would be considered/discussed.

At this stage, in connection with Item No.15, Shri Ashok Goyal said that it would have been appropriate had the copy of the judgement of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India pertaining to the case of Shri Dhanwantry Ayurvedic College & Hospital, Sector 46-B, Chandigarh along with complete papers and annexures and an office note confirming and verifying that whatever had been mentioned in the orders of the Court has been correct as per the office record be supplied along with agenda papers. He suggested that the said information should be appended with the item whenever it is placed before the Syndicate, i.e., 26th September 2014.

Shri Gopal Krishan Chatrath said that they are only concerned with the implementation of the judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court and High Court.

A.K. Bhandari
Registrar

Confirmed

Arun Kumar Grover
VICE-CHANCELLOR

(2) the information contained in the Vice-Chancellor's Statement at Serial No.2, be noted.

Issue regarding allowing the students of BAMS First Prof. to appear in the examination

15. Considered if, the students of Shri Dhanwantry Ayurvedic College & Hospital, Sector-46, Chandigarh, who were admitted in B.A.M.S. First Professional in the academic year 2012-13, be allowed to appear in their respective examination/s.

- NOTE:**
1. The Syndicate meeting dated 18.5.2014 (Para 40) (**Appendix-XVI**) had resolved that the students had appeared in one or more examination and have cleared or got compartments be allowed to appear in their respective examination/s for which they are eligible.
 2. The letter dated 11.7.2014 received from Shri G.K. Chatrath, member of the Syndicate, is enclosed (**Appendix-XVI**).
 3. Representation of the students of B.A.M.S. First Prof. batch 2012-13 of Shri Dhanwantry Ayurvedic College & Hospital, Sector-46, Chandigarh is enclosed (**Appendix-XVI**).
 4. The report from the Controller of Examinations with regard to receipt of forms and fees of the students of BAMS 1st Prof. (Batch 2012-13) of Shri Dhanwantry Ayurvedic College, Chandigarh was enclosed (**Appendix-XVI**), in which it has been mentioned that the examination forms and fees of the students received on 23.8.2013, i.e. well in time, as the last date was 26.8.2013.
 5. The order of Hon'ble Punjab and Haryana High Court in CWP No. 11109 of 2014 dated 06.06.2014 filed by Tushar Kashyap and another, students of BAMS 1st Professional (Batch 2012-13) of Shri Dhanwantry Ayurvedic College & Hospital, Sector-46, Chandigarh Versus U.T. Chandigarh and others was enclosed (**Appendix-XVI**).

The Vice-Chancellor briefed the members about the history of this case. Last time in the meeting of the Syndicate held on 18th May 2014, they took the decision in respect of this College by putting forth the following three options before the members of the Syndicate and asked them to give their viewpoints in support of one or the other options, namely, (i) the students who had appeared in one or more examinations and have cleared or got compartments, should be allowed to appear in their respective examination; or (ii) the students who had not appeared even in one examination but their admissions were accepted by the University under whatever circumstances, should be allowed to appear in the examination; or (iii) they had to

wait for the final outcome of the case filed in the Punjab & Haryana High Court. After a long debate at that time, they reached at a conclusion that the students of Shri Dhanwantry Ayurvedic College & Hospital, Sector 46, Chandigarh, who had appeared in one or more examinations and have cleared or got compartments, be allowed to appear in their respective subsequent examination/s for which they are eligible. The students who had been admitted by the College in 2012-13 were left-out and have appealed that a favourable decision should be taken keeping in view their career and problems being faced by them. As far as College is concerned, it has been disaffiliated by the University. There has been some directive from the U.G.C. that the students once admitted to any course/s, should be allowed to complete their course. After that the case in respect of this College had gone to the Supreme Court and now the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India vacated its interim order passed on 26.7.2013. There is no decision against these students who had been admitted through Joint Admission Committee (JAC), in which the University had also participated. The students were admitted, but their examinations were not conducted. This is the last batch of students which stands connected to the University in one way or the other. They should take a favourable decision in respect of these students so that their career could be saved.

Professor S.K. Sharma stated that the situation is not as simple as has been explained. If they took a decision to conduct the examination of students of 2012-13 batch, it would be quoted as a precedent and in future any College could admit the students without the approval/recognition by the University, teach them for a semester and deposit the fee like Dhanwantry Ayurvedic College which is no more affiliated to the University. They had not even known whether there is sufficient teaching faculty or not. The University had not checked this situation till date. They are only taking a decision keeping in mind that as these students of 2012-13 batch had already deposited the fee in the University office, they should be permitted to appear in the examinations of 1st Professional. Tomorrow professional Colleges where B.Ed. and M.Ed. classes were being taught would quote this decision as a precedent. He further pointed out that the University had not even sent any Inspection Team to the College so far to ascertain whether the College fulfills the deficiencies pointed out by earlier Inspection Committees. Even the AYUSH had not given them approval. Though the students had submitted their fees, but the College had not provided them proper facilities for their teaching. He was of the view that the University would be compromising at the level of academic excellence and encouraging backdoor entry. According to him, if they allow the students of 2012-13 batch to appear in their respective examination/s, it would give a wrong signal outside.

Shri Gopal Krishan Chatrath stated that first of all, they should understand the situation. The College had gone to the Court and in view of the judgement in LPA No.1959 of 2012 when Chandigarh Administration issued notification including the name of Shri Dhanwantry Ayurvedic College & Hospital, Sector 46, Chandigarh as one of the institutes for which admissions had to be made by the Central Admission Committee, i.e., Homoeopathic College and other Colleges. It was a Joint Committee in which the Panjab University also participated. Moreover, these students were registered in R&S Branch of the University and their examination forms were accepted and their first Professional Examinations were due to be held in September 2013. The University issued date-sheet for the conduct of

the examinations, but thereafter postponed it. When the University took the decision in respect of this College in May 2014, the students of 2012-13 batch had already been attended the classes for more than one and a half year and they should have been examined by the University. What happened had happened due to the filing of Writ Petition by the College? This Civil Writ Petition No.16219 of 2012 was, however, dismissed by Justice Ranjit Singh. The matter was again filed vide LPA No.1959 of 2012 and permission was granted to the appellant to admit fresh batch of students according to its sanctioned intake at entry level for the session 2012-13 in the BAMS course in accordance with the recommendations of the CCIM in its report dated 11.04.2012. The report said that their admissions are regular, hold their examinations and treat them as regular students of the College. Thereafter the matter went to the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India and the Supreme Court withheld/stayed the orders of the DB of Punjab & Haryana High Court. The College had gone to the Supreme Court and Supreme Court had now vacated the stay orders dated 26.07.2013. The judgement of the DB, which had held their admissions of 2012-13 as legal and valid, but so far as the question of approval of the AYUSH is concerned, it would be considered when they seek affiliation in future. Now, the question is whether the students admitted in 2012-13 should be allowed to appear in the 1st Professional examination. According to him, the College might be at fault, but there is no fault of the students. They were not going to cancel their admissions as their admissions were not cancelled by any authority and their admissions have been made through proper counselling. Now, the Supreme Court of India has vacated the stay on the judgement of the DB of Punjab & Haryana High Court. In view of this order, these students are entitled to be examined by the University as they were admitted through a proper Joint Admission Committee. As far as the approval of AYUSH is concerned and the fact that the College has concealed the original facts while pleading their case in the Hon'ble Supreme Court, as has been pointed out by one of the members, the fact is that the Supreme Court of India has vacated the stay by considering all such aspects. If the College had pleaded their case by adopting unlawful/wrong means, they might be punished but the order of the Supreme Court has to be respected. The copy of the decision of the Supreme Court of India is also appended with the agenda.

Dr. Dinesh Talwar stated that the students who were admitted in 2012-13 had attended the classes only for six months. He remarked that the College had even taken admission fee of Rs.70,000/- (approx.) from each student of 2nd year and has also taken a sum of Rs.2,20,000/- from the students admitted under NRI quota, even after the expiry of last date of admission scheduled by the University and with an assurance to the students that their examinations would be conducted. He wanted to know whether the University would take the examinations of the 1st Professional or all other subsequent examinations.

The Vice-Chancellor stated that keeping in view the overall picture of Shri Dhanwantry Ayurvedic College & Hospital, Sector 46, Chandigarh and the admissions of 2012-13 batch, the University is not in favour of giving affiliation to this College. It is a common admission process for MBBS, BAMS and BHMS through which the admissions were made at this College, subject to the outcome of the decision of the Punjab & Haryana High Court. As far as the College of Ayurveda is concerned, it is in grey area. The College might have deficiencies, but the students who had been admitted in 2012-13,

have already spent one and a half year and are waiting for the conduct of their 1st Professional Examination. They should take a favourable decision so that these students could be allowed to appear in the 1st Professional Examination and become eligible for 2nd Professional examinations. They had debarred these students at that time keeping in view the pendency of the case of the College in the Court and they had already given relief to 2/3rd students of this College in May 2014. Now, the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India had vacated the stay orders on the judgement of the DB of Punjab and Haryana High Court and they have to take a decision on humanitarian ground and being student friendly. This matter should be closed and such decisions/things should not be considered as precedent in future. In the past also, some of the affiliated Colleges admitted students over and above their sanctioned strength and such Colleges were also given relief by the University keeping in view the social obligations and welfare of the society at large, but as far as the standards of academic excellence are concerned, these should not be compromised at all.

Professor S.K. Sharma pointed out that this College had not been given affiliation by the University and due to that the College had not appointed requisite number of teachers and if they allow such students to appear in their 1st Professional examinations, they would compromise with the standards of academic excellence.

Shri Gopal Krishan Chatrath stated that it is not the question as is being presented. The question is that the highest Court of land has upheld their admissions and given them right which is available to the students as they were admitted through due process. The Division Bench of Punjab & Haryana High Court had upheld their admissions. It was Chandigarh Administration which issued notification including the name of the College in the Counselling and the Panjab University had also participated in that. Now, the Supreme Court of India has also vacated the stay orders on 08.09.2014 and the University could not disobey the orders of the Hon'ble Court. As their admissions are legal, nobody should raise a question.

The Vice-Chancellor said that the Syndicate is the Government/Executive Body of the University and they would have to take the decision.

Dr. Dinesh Talwar said it is surprising that the College was not affiliated with the University for the session 2012-13, but still it had given Admission Notice/advertisement in the newspapers on behalf of the University? Does it mean that the University is also a party to it?

The Vice-Chancellor said that they should not go in the details of the past.

Quoting an example, Professor S.K. Sharma stated that in the past one of the College of Education made admissions to B.Ed. Course and later on the College was disaffiliated/closed, the students of that College were distributed to other Colleges of Education and adjusted accordingly so that the students might not suffer.

The Vice-Chancellor said that Professor S.K. Sharma is citing a true and good example, but there is only one Ayurvedic College affiliated with Panjab University and due to that it is not possible.

Shri Sandeep Hans enquired that what would be the fate of these students after appearing in their 1st Professional Examinations as at present, the College is disaffiliated by the University.

The Vice-Chancellor said that the College is not affiliated to the University. The students have to pass the theory examination. The U.T. Administration and the University should come together and pressurize the College so that the College could improve its infrastructure and remove deficiencies pointed out by the Inspection Committees.

Shri Gopal Krishan Chatrath said that the University had even issued the date sheet for these students, but the date sheet was postponed because in the meantime the orders of the DB of Punjab & Haryana High Court were stayed by the Supreme Court of India. Now the stay is vacated and they should start the process so that the students of 2012-13 batch could be able to appear in their 1st Professional examinations.

The Vice-Chancellor said that the House should be unanimous in taking a decision in this regard.

Dr. Dalip Kumar stated that if they are discussing in respect of the students of 2012-13 batch, these students were admitted through Joint Admission Committee. University had issued them Registration Numbers, accepted their examination forms, Examination fees and even issued date sheet for the examinations to be held w.e.f. 15.10.2013. According to him, it is great injustice to the students of this batch. Whatever the College is doing with these 30 students of 2012-13 batch, it is the responsibility of the University to check and verify. He pleaded that in the interest of the students, their examinations should be conducted by the University.

Shri Sandeep Hans said that why the students were being punished for the wrong done by the College?

Principal Gurdip Sharma stated that there are two aspects of this issue, (i) the legal aspect as the Supreme Court of India had vacated the stay orders put on the orders of the DB of Punjab & Haryana High Court on 8th September 2014; and (ii) the humanitarian aspect which should be kept in mind and the students should be allowed to appear in their 1st Professional examination.

Shri Ashok Goyal stated that he had full sympathy with the students. According to him, 2/3rd students of this College had already been given relief by the University and only 1/3rd students of 2012-13 batch were left and were meted out step-motherly treatment. Now, he wanted to remind Mr. Chatrath about the decision taken on 18.05.2014. At that time, it was said that the Courts are the highest authority of the country and they should go by the verdict of the Court. At that time, the Vice-Chancellor also said that they had not to go into the legality of the matter and let them realize that they had taken the decision under undue pressure. It was clearly told that they should not bother what the Court had said and there was no order in favour of the students or the University. This College did not deserve affiliation as there is insufficient infrastructure, inadequate faculty, etc. After arguing in the Courts for years together, the Civil Writ Petition filed by the College was dismissed and contrary to that they took the decision to give relief to the students ignoring the judgement

of the Court. They did not say that you could not give the benefit to the students. At that time, some of the members were of the opinion that they should wait for the final outcome of the Court verdict. According to him, they should wait until the Court give relief to the students, but they were at fault as the University had conducted their examinations. In the meeting of the Syndicate dated 18.05.2014, the opinion was that they should give some relief to the students of this College by putting following three options to the members of the Syndicate:

1. The students who had appeared in one or more examinations and have cleared or got compartments, should be allowed to appear in their respective examination; or
2. The students who had not appeared even in one examination but their admissions were accepted by the University under whatever circumstances, should be allowed to appear in the examination; or
3. They had to wait for the final outcome of the case filed in the Punjab & Haryana High Court.

The decision was taken in favour of option at Sr. No.1, but unfortunately he was in favour of the persons who were in favour of waiting for the final outcome of the case filed in the Punjab & Haryana High Court. The relief was given to the students who had appeared in one or more examinations and have cleared or got compartments and they were allowed to appear in their respective examination/s. He pointed out that Mr. Chatrath wrote a letter to the Vice-Chancellor in the month of July 2014, when he realized that some relief should also be given to the students who had been admitted in this College for the 2012-13 session by including those in the same decision taken in the month of May 2014. Keeping in view this letter, undue pressure of the left-out students and probably based on the legal opinion, the Vice-Chancellor placed this item before the Syndicate in its meeting held on 17.08.2014, despite absence of any order of the Supreme Court in their favour. The order of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India had come in the month of September. In May 2014 meeting, the relief was given to the students who had been admitted in 2009-10, 2010-11 and 2011-12, but the students admitted in 2012-13 were debarred from appearing in examinations, despite the fact that no Court has passed any adverse orders against their admissions. He was shocked to see that there was no such Court order till 17.8.2014 and the orders only came on 8th September 2014. Now, when they met on 13th September 2014, Mr. Chatrath said that they could not disobey the orders of the Supreme Court. Though at that time the issue was not discussed, but he suggested that what are the orders of the Supreme Court of India should be circulated to the members of the Syndicate along with the background note/para-wise reply so that the issue could be discussed threadbare. He was sorry to point out that the background/para-wise note has not been circulated till date in spite of the orders of the Vice-Chancellor. The background of this case is much better known to Mr. Chatrath. He thought that if there were some background papers, the members could understand the issue well. In May 2014, they took the decision barring what the Court had said. Whether they had to discuss the issue in the light of the Judgement of the Supreme Court of India which is the highest authority or they as Government of the University should take

decision in favour of the students. Mr. Chatrath pointed out that the admissions were made in 2012, date sheet was issued, but the examinations were postponed at the last moment. He was of the view that the date sheet could not be prepared as the issue of affiliation of the College was pending. Professor S.K. Sharma had rightly pointed out that the College has not been given affiliation by the University till date. Conducting the examinations of the students of such College would be technically or legally wrong. The Court had never said that the students are not at fault. They were not inclined to cancel the admission of the students, as it would harm only the students and not the College. Meaning thereby, the punishment should be awarded to the both. Now the situation had become peculiar as they could not go on that side also. If wrong facts were mentioned by the College in the Supreme Court contrary to the viewpoints of the University, he was not suggesting that they should file a case in the Supreme Court against the wrong facts given by the College. But now they speak on the basis of the legal opinion. When this issue was discussed in the Syndicate in its meeting held in February 2014, it was unanimously decided that no relief could be granted to the students. Again the issue was brought with the recommendation of the Committee and no relief was given subject to the outcome of the Court. Thereafter the decision was taken after three months and now after four months, they are again discussing the issue notwithstanding the fact that the Management of the College would not come to the University to seek affiliation for 2013-14 and the Vice-Chancellor said that they had given in writing that they are withdrawing their application for affiliation for the session 2013-14. The application is not pending with the University. Rather, right under the nose of the Chandigarh Administration and Panjab University, the College is seeking affiliation with Guru Ravi Dass Ayurvedic University, Hoshiarpur by violating Section 273 of the Reorganization Act. They should keep in mind the territorial jurisdiction; otherwise, tomorrow Government Medical College & Hospital, Sector 32, Chandigarh might seek affiliation with Baba Farid University of Health Sciences, Faridkot and S.D. College might seek affiliation with Patna University. According to him, the idea of the University is to see that minimum standards of Medical Education are maintained by the affiliated College/s. The College Administration should not be allowed to go scot free for having played fraud with the career of the students by using the Court or lacuna in our own system. The notice was issued by the Director, Government Medical College & Hospital, Sector 32, Chandigarh on 9th December 2012 under the direction of the Punjab & Haryana High Court and admissions were made in Ayurvedic College for 30 seats and nothing had been mentioned that the admissions were subject to the outcome of the decision of the Court. Everything is happening under the nose of the University. In July 2012 admission prospectus was issued by the College showing that the College is affiliated with Panjab University. In this way, the students alone could not be blamed. Nowhere in the newspapers or in the prospectus of the College it was mentioned that their admissions are subject to the litigation and outcome of the Court. The students (from December to July) were preparing for appearing in the examinations after studying for six months. Their examination was supposed to be held in the month of September 2013, but the Syndicate took the decision in its meeting of May 2014 that the University would not conduct the examination of the students who were admitted in this College for the session 2012-13. That was why, these students were left and nobody is against these students. But nobody has come with the proposal how to deal with the Management of the College, which has been playing

with the career of the students, playing with the laid-down system of the University and even with the Chandigarh Administration. Rather than taking corrective measures, they had taken the decision not to conduct their examinations on the plea that the case of the students of 2013-14 has not come to the University as yet. But at the same time, those students are also at par with the students who had been given relief earlier. As far as the question of affiliation to the College is concerned, the chapter has already been closed. This decision was taken six months earlier and in spite of that the Management of the College is assuring the students that they need not worry and they would get their examination conducted by seeking affiliation with Guru Ravi Dass Ayurvedic University, Hoshiarpur for the 2013-14 batch. Could they allow such actions of Managements? My suggestion would be that once they had taken the decision keeping away what the legal position is and what the University Regulations are and what AYUSH and the orders of the Punjab & Haryana High Court/Supreme Court says. They said in the Supreme Court that the approval for next year has also been granted. It is a wrong fact which they had produced in the court. Whose responsibility is this to bring to the notice of the Supreme Court that the Management of the College had produced wrong facts in the Supreme Court? It becomes imperative on the part of the University to apply to the Supreme Court to clarify the position. Secondly, the background papers were not there. They did not know who were the applicants and to whom the relief was given by the Supreme Court. Had it been given to the College or to the students or to the University or the Government of India? He was asking this question as the orders of the Supreme Court were forwarded to the University by the Principal of Dhanwantry Ayurvedic College & Hospital, Chandigarh, which showed as if they filed the application and they had got the orders. That was why he had raised the issue in the Syndicate meeting dated 13.9.2014 that it would have been appropriate had the copy of the judgement of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India pertaining to the case of Shri Dhanwantry Ayurvedic College & Hospital, Sector 46-B, Chandigarh along with complete papers and annexures and an office note confirming and verifying that whatever had been mentioned in the orders of the Court has been correct as per the office record be supplied along with agenda papers. As per his knowledge, the case had been filed by the students and the relief had also been given to the students and the College had committed so much excess with the students. Instead of making arrangement for the conduct of their examination, they persuaded them that the movement the students moved the Court, they would get some relief. These students filed case in the Punjab & Haryana High Court and the University had taken the stand that the issue had already been stayed by the Supreme Court. It was on the application of the students supported by an affidavit filed by one of the students, namely Ms. Pooja, on the basis of that the Supreme Court had given its verdict on 8.9.2014. How it had come along with the forwarding letter from the Principal of the College? It meant that the College was again trying to get credit for the efforts put in by the students. His submission in this regard is that once they had taken decision in principle that they would not go with what the legal aspect is, they should remain firm on that. He was not against giving relief to these students. He was of the view that the Syndicate must discuss how to take care of such a mischievous Management of the College, which had been affiliated with this University since 1991 and the College had not appointed the requisite number of teaching faculty even for a day. After taking over as the Vice-Chancellor of Panjab University, Dr. A.K. Grover in the meeting of

the Syndicate in October 2012 said that they may have to compromise in respect of some courses, to some extent, but under no circumstances in respect of Professional courses. At that time, it was decided that if the College did not have the requisite number of faculty and infrastructure, affiliation would not be granted. He understood that under any circumstance, the academic excellence would not be compromised/diluted. They were not sitting here to punish the students and their parents. But what the Management is doing, it is doing knowingly. If the examination for the 1st Professional would be conducted, then what would be the fate of the students for the 2nd Professional as the 2nd Professional examination had already become due without attending even a single class. He was of the view that if the University took decisions in piece meals, it would create problems for the students in future.

Principal B.C. Josan said that keeping in view the career of the students of Dhanwantry Ayurvedic College & Hospital, Chandigarh of 2012-13 batch, the University should conduct their examinations of 1st Professional.

Professor S.K. Sharma stated that it would create problems as the College has not been recruiting faculty as per the recommendations of various Inspection Committees. How could they allow the students of a College to appear in the examination/s, which had no more affiliation with the University? He was of the view that next year, it would become a backdoor affiliation to the College. In this way, they were playing with the academic standards and doing biggest mistake as far as standards of education of this University are concerned.

Principal Puneet Bedi said that the examination of the 2012-13 batch of 1st Professional of BAMS students should be conducted by the University.

Professor Karamjeet Singh stated that earlier also they had taken decision in respect of this College in contravention of the University Regulations. This was very unfortunate as the decision was taken by giving three options. Now, they are taking decision in violation of the University Calendar. He was of the view that as the students were admitted through proper counselling, the welfare of the students should be kept in mind and they should be allowed to appear in the examinations of 1st Professional, but action should be taken against the Management of the College.

Shri Gurdev Singh Ghuman said that the students of 2012-13 batch of this College should be allowed to appear in 1st Professional examination, but action should be taken against the College.

Shri Gopal Krishan Chatrath stated that he had the judgment of the Court. In the current session, they took an appropriate decision to mould their relief earlier granted to the students. This order of the court validates their admissions as it had been made through proper procedure, i.e., Joint Counselling. He was of the opinion that the students of BAMS (2012-13 batch) who had been admitted through Joint Counselling should be allowed to appear in their 1st Professional examinations.

The Vice-Chancellor said that the Dhanwantry Ayurvedic College & Hospital, Chandigarh is at fault. The College is not serving

the purpose for which the Chandigarh Administration had allocated land to it. He thought that the University along with the U.T. Administration must put pressure on the College Management that it should serve the purpose for which they are charging a fee from the students. They should provide service to the students in commensurate with the fee being charged from the students. The College needs to be disciplined by the University and the U.T. Administration and signal be sent to the College by constituting a Sub-Committee including Director Higher Education, U.T. Chandigarh, Director Higher Education, Punjab and the College Management should be called to the Office of the Director Higher Education, U.T. Chandigarh. He would participate in the meeting on behalf of the University to resolve the issue.

Shri Ashok Goyal stated that this College should not be allowed to take affiliation from any other University in the State of Punjab. As per his knowledge, the process of taking affiliation is in the pipeline and it is just possible that the College would get a letter from the Punjab Government. Secondly, they had to recall that there should be affiliations as per the jurisdiction allotted to a particular University, i.e., Guru Nanak Dev University, Amritsar; Punjabi University, Patiala and Panjab University, Chandigarh as per the Notification of the Government of India. Unless the Government of India issues a fresh letter, the Colleges situated in Chandigarh could not seek affiliation with any University in the State of Punjab.

The Vice-Chancellor said that, in nutshell, there is nobody who is saying that the interest of the students should be disregarded.

Professor S.K. Sharma said that he would not be a party to any decision taken by this House by compromising with the standards of higher education. His dissent should be recorded.

Shri Ashok Goyal stated that as per the orders of the Supreme Court of India on dated 08.09.2014 wherein the interim order passed by the Supreme Court of India on dated 26.07.2013 granting stay to the judgement and order dated 3.12.2013 of the High Court, has been vacated, but still the situation is that the College is not affiliated with the University for the session 2013-14, 2014-15 and 2015-16. Now, they are deciding to take the examinations of the students of a College, which is not affiliated with University. If the College is not affiliated with the University and they conduct their examinations, what would be the fate of such students?

The Vice-Chancellor said that they should take a call and decide to hold the examinations of 1st and 2nd Professionals of the students as they had been admitted through proper counselling.

Shri Ashok Goyal stated that the students of this College who were admitted there during the session 2012-13 should be allowed to appear in their 1st Professional examination, but the College should not be allowed to go for affiliation with any other University.

The Vice-Chancellor said that there was a consensus in the House that the students of 2012-13 batch should be allowed to appear in their 1st Professional Examination. As far as appointment of competent teachers in the College and fulfillment of other requirements by the College, he would request the Chandigarh Administration, particularly, the Director Higher Education, U.T.

Chandigarh to convene a meeting on behalf of the Chandigarh Administration and call the Management of the College and someone from the University so that the issue could be resolved.

Majority of the members were of the view that the University should take examinations of the 1st Professional of the students who were admitted in the College during the session 2012-13.

After some discussion, it was –

RESOLVED: That the students of Shri Dhanwantry Ayurvedic College & Hospital, Sector-46, Chandigarh, who were admitted in B.A.M.S. First Professional in the academic year 2012-13, be allowed to appear in their respective examination/s.

Professor S.K. Sharma recorded his dissent.

Deferred Item

16. Considered the recommendation dated 21.07.2014 of the committee constituted by the Vice-Chancellor that the rates for booking of rooms/ Conference Hall/Dining Hall at University Guest House i.e. Guest House, Golden Jubilee Guest House, Faculty House and Teachers' Holiday Home, Shimla, be increased, as per Appendix 'A'.

NOTE: The Committee dated 21.07.2014 was of the opinion that any revenue model which targets proper utilization of resources will work effectively only if mandatory booking of rooms/ Conference Hall etc. is made either 'off-line' or 'on-line' with non-refundable advance payment at least for one day.

Initiating discussion, Shri Ashok Goyal stated that he was really pained to note that this issue had earlier also been placed before the present Syndicate, debated there and some recommendations were made, but in spite of that now in the same form the item had been placed before the Syndicate. He was of the view that recommendations should not be put before the Syndicate in this manner. Earlier, there were recommendations of some other Committee, but now the recommendations were of some other Committee.

Shri Gopal Krishan Chatrath stated that he had received a letter from the office of the Registrar saying that he had been appointed as Chairman of the Committee constituted by the Vice-Chancellor regarding the revision of the rates for booking of rooms/Conference Hall/Dining Hall at University Guest Houses, i.e., Guest House, Golden Jubilee Guest House, Faculty House and Teachers' Holiday Home, Shimla. He was in the process of fixing a meeting of the Committee, but in the meantime he had got copies of the agenda of the Syndicate and he had noticed that a Committee had already made recommendations in this regard. Now, he is requesting the Vice-Chancellor that this item should be deferred and let him complete the finalization of the rates for booking of rooms/Conference Hall/Dining Hall at University Guest Houses, i.e., Guest House, Golden Jubilee Guest House, Faculty House and Teachers' Holiday Home, Shimla before the commencement of the next meeting of the Syndicate.

Dr. Dinesh Talwar said that the recommendations of the Committee constituted by the Vice-Chancellor under the Chairmanship of Professor L.K. Bansal had already submitted its recommendations in its meeting held on 21.7.2014, but Professor Karamjeet Singh and Dr. Dalip Kumar, both the members of the present Syndicate could not attend the meeting. He pointed out that if there had already been constituted a Committee for this purpose, why another Committee had been constituted for the same purpose.

Shri Ashok Goyal stated that the Syndicate had already discussed the recommendations of the earlier Committee constituted by the Vice-Chancellor under the Chairpersonship of Professor Preeti Mahajan and she suggested recommendations based on the calculations. Instead of making changes as suggested by the members of the Syndicate, another Committee under the Chairmanship of Professor L.K. Bansal had been constituted and recommendations of this Committee were placed before the Syndicate. Shri G.K. Chatrath had every right to be annoyed as there have been so many Committees for revising the rates in respect of Guest Houses. Secondly, there are so many controversies that the members of the Senate are not entitled for anything. The teaching and non-teaching employees of the University were of the view that they never get accommodation in the Guest House/Faculty House as most of the accommodation remained booked in the name of the members of the Syndicate/Senate. They are all members of one family, i.e., non-teaching staff, teaching staff and members of the Senate. His submission in this regard is that Senators are not from Mars and they are also part and parcel of this University. He was not against anybody, but those members of the Senate should be identified who were misusing this facility and there should not be sweeping statements that the Guest Houses are being misused by the Senators. According to him, the Senators should get this type of facility in other Guest Houses situated at Panjab University Campus, but why the booking of accommodation in the Guest/Faculty House was being targeted. If anybody objects that the Senators are not entitled to get accommodation in the University Guest Houses/Faculty House, he suggested that let this House take a decision here today.

RESOLVED: That the consideration of the item, be deferred to a subsequent Syndicate meeting. In the meantime Shri G.K. Chatrath Committee shall meet and provide the much needed input.

Recommendation of the Committee dated 23.7.2014 and Board of Finance dated 6.2.2014 regarding creation of supernumerary post of Senior Technician Grade-II in Physics Department

17. Considered the recommendation of the Committee dated 23.7.2014 (**Appendix-XVII**) constituted by the Vice-Chancellor that the recommendation of the Board of Finance dated 6.2.2014 (Agenda Item 24) regarding creation of supernumerary post of Senior Technician Grade-II be created in the pay band of Rs.10300-34800+GP 3800 plus allowances as admissible under rules, in the Department of Physics, Panjab University for the period 18.08.2006 to 07.09.2011 to promote Shri Shakti Chand Danda as Senior Technician Grade-II w.e.f. 18.08.2006 against this (as his junior Shri Prem Singh, Jr. Technician Group III was promoted as Sr. Technician G-II w.e.f. 18.08.2006), without affecting the seniority of the existing incumbents in Grade -II, be re-considered.

NOTE: 1. The Board of Finance dated 06.02.2014 (Agenda Item No. 24) resolved as under:

“Resolved to recommend to the Syndicate that a supernumerary post of Senior Technician Grade-II be created in the pay band of Rs.10300-34800+GP 3800 plus allowances as admissible under rules, in the Department of Physics, Panjab University for the period 18.08.2006 to 07.09.2011 to promote Sh. Shakti Chand Danda as Senior Technician Grade-II w.e.f. 18.08.2006 against this (as his junior Shri Prem Singh, Jr. Technician Group III was promoted as Sr. Technician G-II w.e.f. 18.08.2006).”

2. The Syndicate decision dated 22.02.2014 (Para 4) (under Item No. 24) resolved that:

“The recommendation of BOF dated 06.02.2014 (Item 24) regarding creation of Supernumerary post of Senior Technician Grade-II for promotion of Shri Shakti Chand Danda for the period 18.08.2006 to 07.09.2011 **be not approved.**”

3. In the Senate meeting dated 22.03.2014 (Para VIII) (under Item No. 24) has been discussed that the case of Shri Shakti Chand Danda would be **referred back to the Syndicate for re-consideration in the light of the observation made by some member/s.**
4. An office note enclosed (**Appendix-XVII**).

Dr. Dalip Kumar suggested that all the financial benefits should be given to Shri Shakti Chand Danda for the period of his suspension as he had already been acquitted by the Court.

RESOLVED: That the recommendation of the Board of Finance dated 6.2.2014 (**Agenda Item 24**) regarding creation of supernumerary post of Senior Technician Grade-II, in the pay band of Rs.10300-34800+GP 3800 plus allowances as admissible under rules, in the Department of Physics, Panjab University for the period 18.08.2006 to 07.09.2011, be created to promote Shri Shakti Chand Danda as Senior Technician Grade-II w.e.f. 18.08.2006 against this (as his junior Shri Prem Singh, Junior Technician Group III was promoted as Sr. Technician G-II w.e.f. 18.08.2006), without affecting the seniority of the existing incumbents in Grade -II.

Recommendations of the House Allotment Committee-I and II dated 30.07.2014

18. Considered the minutes dated 30.07.2014 (**Appendix-XVIII**) of the House Allotment Committee-I and II.

Initiating discussion, Professor Karamjeet Singh pointed out that accommodation was not allotted to the applicants under Agenda Item 3 and 4 of the House Allotment Committee I and II on medical grounds and their case was referred to a Medical Board, whereas in

the case of Agenda Item 8, accommodation was allotted to the applicant without referring to the Medical Board.

It was clarified that as far as Item 8 is concerned, there is no need of referring his case to the Medical Board as both the Kidneys of Mr. Arvind Kumar, Clerk, Examination Branch were damaged as per the record of the P.G.I.

Continuing, Professor Karamjeet Singh suggested that it should have been mentioned in the item so that there should be no doubt.

Dr. Dinesh Talwar, referring to agenda item 7, stated that Shri Rakesh Kumar, Physiotherapist should be allotted accommodation on the University Campus on priority basis as his services were required in odd hours as and when the cross-country races were organized in the University and sports injuries are common to the participants of this game.

The Vice-Chancellor suggested that Dr. Dinesh Talwar should give all such requirements in writing and thereafter his case should be looked into.

RESOLVED: That the minutes dated 30.07.2014 (**Appendix-XVIII**) of the House Allotment Committee-I and II, be approved.

Inspection Report

19. Considered Inspection Reports (**Appendix-XIX**) of newly proposed College namely- Satyam College for Girls, V.P.O. Sayadwala, Tehsil Abohar, District Fazilka, for grant of temporary affiliation for Undergraduate Courses i.e. (i) B.A.-I (ii) B.Com.-I and (iii) BCA-I.

- NOTE:**
1. The Punjab Government has granted provisional NOC to the College under reference with certain conditions. The Clause under (7) and Clause (8) of the given NOC, has stated that the Management will open the College only after getting the affiliation letter from the University and the College Management is required to approve the Building Plan by the concerned authority with six months and if the College fails to submit the approved building Plan, NOC certificate from the state Government will be cancelled.
 2. An office note enclosed (**Appendix-XIX**).

Initiating discussion, Dr. Dalip Kumar stated that he was in favor of opening new Colleges in rural areas, especially for Girls. Further, he pointed out that affiliation could not be granted in units. The College had never demanded affiliation in the course of BCA Part-I, how the Inspection Committee recommended it. It needed to be corrected. As per observations given in the Inspection Pro forma, there are 12 tables and it was shown that the College had 100% deficiency. As far as filling up of faculty positions are concerned and mentioned that the College would provide the compliance report to the University by 30th September 2014. This should also be corrected.

Dr. Dinesh Talwar suggested that the girl students, who had already been admitted there, should be allowed to appear as private candidate on the pattern of Government College, Jalalabad, only in respect of B.A.I and not in respect of B.Com.-I and B.C.A.-I as there is no provision in the Regulations of the University permitting B.Com. and BCA students to appear as private candidates, except in the case of failure ones as late College students only.

Shri Gopal Krishan Chatrath pointed out that this College had been inspected in a hurried manner and case of this College had been cleared within 48 hours. He pleaded that the cases of similar nature lying in the office of the Dean, College Development Council should also be cleared. One such Colleges is Syon College, Abohar, which should also be treated at par with Satyam College for Girls.

Shri Ashok Goyal stated that they are considering the item regarding affiliation to Satyam College for Girls for the session 2014-15. He pointed out that at page 216, Para 2 of the agenda, the Punjab Government has granted provisional NOC to the College under reference with certain conditions. The same is available at Page 235/C. The Clause (7) and Clause (8) of the given NOC, has stated that the management will open the College only after getting the affiliation letter from the University and the College Management is required to get approved the Building Plan by the concerned authority within six months and if the College fails to submit the approved Building Plan, NOC certificate from the State Government will be cancelled. He was of the view that what would be fate of such a College if provisional affiliation is granted by the University as the building plan is an advance requirement for any College before seeking affiliation. The University is allowing the girl students to appear as private in the course of B.A. Part-I, which facility is very much there as per University Calendar to the girls except for particular courses like BCA/B.Com., etc. If there are cases of other similar Colleges lying pending in the Colleges Branch, these should also be treated at par with this College.

Shri Gopal Krishan Chatrath stated that the University writes to the office of the Director Higher Education, Punjab to nominate someone as his representative for inspecting the College/s for the purpose of giving NOC. Similarly, the office of the Director Higher Education, Punjab writes to the University office that the Registrar should go on such Inspection Committee/s. It is not right. According to him, a College Principal/Director, Regional Centre, etc. could be appointed as nominee of the University, keeping in view the area in which the College is situated. There should also be a time limit for issuance of NOC by the office of the Director Higher Education, Punjab on the pattern of NCTE in respect of Colleges of Education. If NCTE did not reply within a period of six months; it deemed that NOC is granted.

Dr. Dinesh Talwar suggested that in the meantime an Inspection Committee of 3-4 members should visit the College to see whether the College had appointed the requisite number of teachers as well as fulfillment of required infrastructure.

Dr. Dailip Kumar endorsed the viewpoints expressed by Dr. Dinesh Talwar.

After some discussion, it was -

That to change the nomenclature of Budget head “Improvement of Education” to “Impetus to Research” and as per the recommendation of the Committee dated 07.05.2014 placed at **(Appendix – IV {P-1 to 5})** a new sub-head “Publication Fee/Cost” be also created under Budget head “Impetus to Research” by incorporating a budget provision of Rs.5.00 lacs in the Non-Plan Budget 2014-15 to provide financial subsidy to regular faculty/employee of Panjab University for publication of research papers. It was **further resolved** that the purchase of e-books, e-publications and e-journals (back-volumes) shall also be permissible for availing subsidy for purchase of books.

Additional Financial Liabilities: Rs.5,00,000/- p.a. (approx.)

Item 5

That in terms of the decisions of the Syndicate and Senate dated 29.2.2012 (Para 22) and dated 31.3.2012 (Para-XVI) as per **Appendix-V (P-1-2) & VI (P-1)**, a supernumerary post of Junior Engineer (Civil) be created with effect from March 2000 to 8.5.2007 in the pay-scale of Rs.5800-9200 (unrevised) {now revised to Rs.10300-34800 + GP 3800} w.e.f. 1.1.2006 and Rs. 10300-34800 + GP 4800 with initial pay of Rs.18250/- w.e.f. 1.11.2012 (entry scale) in the Panjab University Construction Office and Sh. Mehar Chand Sharma may be treated to have been promoted as Jr. Engineer (Civil) against the said post w.e.f. March, 2000 (Notionally) as a measure personal to him and not to be quoted a precedent with financial benefits from the date of the decision of the Board of Finance.

Additional Financial Liabilities : Rs.20,000/- p.a.

- Note:** 1. The Syndicate and the Senate dated 29.02.2012 (Para -22) and dated 31.03.2012 (Para-XVI) approved the following recommendations of the Committee dated 15.07.2011 constituted by the Vice-Chancellor to look into case of Shri Mehar Chand Sharma, Junior Engineer(Civil).

“Sh. Mehar Chand Sharma be given seniority/promotion retrospectively in the cadre of Junior Engineer (Civil) w.e.f. March, 2000 (the date vide which he was awarded the Diploma in Civil Engg.), notionally and his pay be fixed accordingly. Further, it was also recommended that he may not be entitled to any financial benefits for the period he had not discharged his duties as Junior Engineer (Civil).”

2. The Resident Audit Officer, Panjab University has advised that to implement the above recommendations of the Committee as per the decision of the Syndicate and the Senate to give him promotion retrospectively in the cadre of Junior Engineer (Civil) w.e.f. March,2000 notionally, a supernumerary post of Junior Engineer is required to be

Item 10

That the following clarificatory points for implementation of the Assured Financial Up-gradation Scheme for University employees on completion of 10/20/30 years of service in a cadre as already approved by the BOF/Syndicate dated 21.02.2012/29.02.2012 and BOF/Syndicate/Senate dated 19.07.2013, 24.08.2013 and 29.09.2013 respectively were considered and approved (**Appendix – XIII (P- 1 to 3) & XIV (P- 1 to 5)**):

Sr. No.	Queries	Clarification
1.	If an employee fails to submit the option to opt for the Assured Financial Upgradation Scheme on completion of 10/20/30 years of service within the stipulated period, then whether he will be covered under the old scheme (i.e. ACPS of Punjab Govt.) or the new scheme i.e. AFUS of Panjab University?	If an employee fails to submit the option within the stipulated period, then the concerned employee shall be deemed to have opted the old scheme i.e. ACPS of Punjab Govt.
2.	The exact cutoff date for compulsory implementation of the Assured Financial Upgradation Scheme for the new entrants.	The cut-off date for compulsory implementation of Assured Financial Upgradation Scheme be taken as the date when the competent body i.e. the Senate finally approves these clarifications.
3.	Whether the compensatory allowance and special addition of pay granted to various posts/shall be allowed to the employees who got such pay band as per the Assured Financial Upgradation Scheme on completion of 10/20/30 years of service.	The financial upgradation under this scheme is personal to the incumbent on completion of specified period. Therefore, he/she will be granted only the relevant pay band and grade pay. However, the other compensatory allowance, special pay, secretarial pay and special addition or any other allowance shall be given as per the substantive post of the incumbent.
4.	What will be the meaning of 3 promotions for the adjustment in Assured Financial Upgradation Scheme on completion of 10/20/30 years of service? Whether mere grant of next hierarchical scale amount to promotion?	All financial upgradations whether as substantive promotion, personal promotion or other upgradation shall be considered as promotion for the purpose of the AFUS except ACPS of Punjab Govt. (8/16/24/32 or 4/9/14 schemes). It is also relevant to clarify here that in the future pay revisions while fixing the pay in the revised scales, the replacement pay scale/pay band shall be allowed to an employee which he/she had been given by virtue of Assured Financial Upgradation Scheme of the Panjab University.
5.	Whether grant of senior scale as ratio to cadre amounts to promotion?	The grant of senior scale in the specific ratio of concerned cadre shall be considered as promotion/financial upgradation only if the concerned

		employee was granted higher pay-scale/ pay band and increment.
6.	How the pay of those employees shall be fixed who have already availed three or more increments over and above the annual increments?	<p>As per the scheme approved by the competent bodies, if any benefit accrue to the employee in pursuance of the scheme, then it will be given only from the prospective date rather the benefit of notional fixation of pay was also not given. Therefore, the principle of no arrear, no recovery has been followed. Hence, at the time of implementation of scheme, it has to be seen in which pay-scale/pay band and grade pay, the employee would have been placed, had the Assured Financial Upgradation Scheme been implemented retrospectively. If an employee presently is getting the pay band of Rs.10300-34800 + GP Rs.4400/-, but on implementation of this scheme (AFUS) he is eligible to be fixed in the pay band of Rs.15600-39100+ GP Rs.5400/-, then he shall be allowed this pay band and GP by protecting his pay whatever he is drawing. In case the present pay of the employee is less than the minimum of the eligible pay-band, then he shall be given the minimum of pay band.</p> <p>Increments shall only be allowed if employee has not earned three increments other than annual increments. If an employee has already earned 3 increments in the shape of promotion, ACP or otherwise, then no increment shall be given while granting the benefit of AFUS. If an employee has been allowed two increments, then he shall be allowed only one increment while giving the benefit of AFUS and so on.</p>
7.	How the Assured Financial Upgradation Scheme on completion of 10/20/30 years of service i.e. 3 stages of personal promotions shall be ensured in the cadres where the cadre promotion does not have three steps?	In those cadres, where the promotional avenues does not go up to three levels/steps, then in such cases the employees shall be granted as per the pay-scales given at Annexure-I of the circular issued vide No.29938-30137/Estt. dated 31.12.2013 circulating the Assured Financial Upgradation Scheme (i.e. at par with ex-cadre post having no further promotional avenues).
8.	Whether the Assured Financial Upgradation Scheme of Panjab University on completion of 10/20/30 years of service shall be applicable to those cadres who were earlier not covered under Punjab	The employees who are enjoying the benefit under special 3 tier scales, shall not be covered under the Assured Financial Upgradation Scheme of the Panjab University.

	Govt. ACPS of 4/9/14 and for which special 3 tier scales have been approved such as for JE, SDO, etc.	
9.	Whether the personal promotion schemes already approved for some of the categories of Panjab University employees shall be allowed simultaneously with this Assured Financial Upgradation Scheme of 10/20/30 years of service?	The main aim for introducing the Assured Financial Upgradation Scheme on completion of 10/20/30 years of service was to ensure at least 3 financial upgradations at regular intervals during the whole tenure of the service of all the non-teaching employees. In University, there are many isolated posts or ex-cadre posts for which there are no promotional avenues. Moreover, due to specific organizational structure of the University many employees falling in the cadres have to stagnate for decades for getting a promotion. Therefore, by this scheme (AFUS) the University has assured its employees 3 financial upgradations after a specified period. However, in those cases where University has already approved personal promotion schemes i.e. where the promotion is allowed after a specified period on fulfillment of necessary conditions irrespective of the fact whether the post is available or not; these 3 stages of financial scheme has no role to play. Therefore, this scheme (AFUS) is not applicable in those cases.
10.	How the pay of employees shall be regulated who have availed the benefit of ACPS of Punjab Govt. of 4/9/14 years after the date of implementation of this scheme (AFUS) if they opt for the same.	The date of implementation of the Assured Financial Upgradation Scheme of the Panjab University on completion of 10/20/30 years of service is 29.02.2012 i.e. the date on which the Syndicate has approved (Para 3, dated 29.02.2012). Any employee who opts for this scheme of 10/20/30 years and has availed the benefits of Punjab Govt. ACPS (4/9/14 years) after the date of implementation i.e. 29.02.2012, the pay of such employees shall be refixed after withdrawing the benefit availed by him under ACPS of 4/9/14 or 8/16/24/32.

Item 11

(A) Noted the decision of the Syndicate that:

The service rendered by Sh. Sukhdev Singh from 26.10.1960 to 31.03.1978 in the Department of Campus Sports P.U. out of the Amalgamated Fund be counted towards qualifying service for pension only if he was appointed by following the proper procedure under the rules.

Note: 1) The Syndicate vide Paragraph 18 dated 15.03.2014 considered the recommendation of the Pension

Committee dated 21.11.2013 (Item No.4, **Appendix – XV (P-1) & XVI (P-1 to 4)**) with regard to service rendered by him in the Amalgamated Fund Account w.e.f. 26.10.1960 to 31.03.1978 be treated as qualifying service for pension purpose.

- 2) There is a specific Regulation No. 3.5, P.U. (Calendar Volume – I) 2007, at Page – 183 which reads as under:

“service rendered by an employee as work-charged as also service paid from contingencies, qualifies for pension provided:

- (i) Such service is followed by regular employment;
- (ii) Such service is full-time job (and not part-time or portion of the day).”

(B) Noted & ratified the following actions taken by the Vice-Chancellor:

- (I) sanction a sum of Rs.55.00 lacs out of Fund for Dr. H.S. Judge Institute of Dental Science Account No. 10444979760 for purchase of pre-fabricated Mobile Dental Van as per requirement of Dental Council of India at Dr. H.S. Judge Institute of Dental Science, P.U., Chandigarh (**Appendix – XVII (P-1)**).
- (II) in revising the existing rates of honorarium to be paid to employees deputed on examination duty w.e.f. 01.04.2014 which shall be applicable up to two days visit as per **Appendix – XVIII (P – 1 to 3)**.

Class of Officials (for outstation duty)	Existing Honorarium per day (upto three days visit)	Revised Honorarium per day (upto two days visit)
A	300	500
B	275	450
C	225	360
For Local Duty		
A	150	200
B	125	150
C	100	125

Note: There is no financial liability as proposed rates are refixed in such a manner that the employees get equal amount which was being paid earlier for a three days visit.

Present proposal is to encourage and compensate the staff so deputed as well as to reduce the expenditure on hiring of vehicles for a three days visit

earlier being undertaken by the employees.

Item 12

To grant Pay Band of Rs.37400 – 67000 + GP 9000 from the date of completion of 3 years service as Deputy Director or 01.01.2006 , whichever is later, to Dr. Jayanti Dutta, Deputy Director, Academic Staff College, Panjab University, Chandigarh in terms of the clarification issued by the University Grants Commission vide letter No. F.17-4/13(PS/Misc) dated 20.08.2014 as per **Appendix – XIX (P – 1)**.

Note: (1) The Board of Finance dated 06.02.2014 (Item No.16) **Appendix – XX (P- 1 to 14)** resolved to recommend to the Syndicate that in terms of Syndicate decision vide Para-14 dated 08.09.2014 & 06.10.2012.

- i. Dr. Jayanti Dutta may be allowed the pay band of Rs. 37400-67000 + GP 9000 from the date of completion of 3 years service as Deputy Director in Reader's scale or 01.01.2006 whichever is later.
- ii. The pay shall be fixed in the pay band of Rs.37400- 67000 + GP 9000 from the date of change of pay band as per (i) above, but the financial benefit shall be given only from the date of approval of the Syndicate and since all the expenditure of Academic Staff College including Salaries are being paid out of the grant released by UGC, hence for release of arrears, prior approval by the UGC may be obtained.

(2) The Syndicate dated 22.02.2014 vide Para 4 (Item No 16) considered the above recommendations of the Board of Finance and resolved that the recommendations of the BOF dated 06.02.2014 (Item No.16) allowing shifting Dr. Jayanti Dutta from pay band III to Pay Band IV be referred to UGC and, if approved by the UGC, the matter be placed before the BOF and thereafter before the Syndicate and Senate.

(3) The UGC vide its letter No.F.17-4/13(PS/Misc) dated 20.08.2014 has informed the University that since the staff of the Academic Staff College is engaged with academic activities they shall be treated as Academic Non Vocational staff and be allowed replacement from Pay Band III to Pay Band IV at par with Readers.

Item 13

That the personal promotion policy, nomenclature of Teaching posts etc. for the faculty at Dr. Harvansh Singh Judge Institute of Dental Sciences & Hospital, Panjab University, Chandigarh be approved as per **Appendix – XXI (P- 1 to 4)**.

Item 14

That –

(i) to set up leased line internet connectivity (1 Mbps 1:1 ILL) through BSNL along with creation of a new budget provision of Rs.1,25,281/- (Recurring) w.e.f. the financial year 2014-15 at Panjab University Extension Library, Ludhiana to provide smooth & uninterrupted internet facility to the faculty, research scholars and students of various streams be approved.

(ii) Hostels should also be connected with this leased line.

Additional Financial Liabilities : Rs.1,25,281/- per annum (approx.)

Item 15

That the Audited Annual General Statements for the year 2013-2014 for the following Accounts be approved **(Appendix - XXII, P 1 to 20):**

	Page No. of Appendix
i) P.U. Current Account No.10444978333	1
ii) Depreciation Fund Account	2
iii) Pension Corpus Fund Account	3
iv) Special Endowment Trust Fund Account	4
v) Teachers' Holiday Homes Fund Account	5
vi) Students' Holiday Homes Fund Account	6
vii) Foundation for Higher Education & Research Fund Account	7
viii) Revolving Fund Account of Publication Bureau	8
ix) Library Security Fund Account	9
x) Student Aid Fund Account	10
xi) Student Scholarship Fund Account	11
xii) Central Placement Cell Account	12
xiii) Development Fund Account	13
xiv) Amalgamated Fund Account	14
xv) Student Medical Fund Account	15
xvi) Library Development Fund Account	16
xvii) Electricity & Water Fund Account	17
xviii) Dr. H.S. Judge Institute of Dental Sciences	18
xix) Merit cum Poor Student Loan Account	19
xx) Foundation Day Memorial Fund Account	20

Item 16

Noted & ratified the action taken by the Vice-Chancellor in anticipation of the approval of the Board of Finance/Syndicate/Senate for granting the following pay-scale with all the benefits as per

entitlement to Sh. Iqbal Singh Sethi and Sh. Ajit Singh Ravail, Mono Operator (Section Holders), P.U. Press w.e.f. 01.01.1978 onwards in pursuance of orders of the Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court passed in the Civil Writ Petition No.2654 of 1999 decided on 06.07.2012 (**Appendix - XXIII, P-1 to 5**) as under:

Dated	Unrevised pay-scale	Conversion grade allowed
01.01.1978	250-400	750-1300
01.01.1986	750-1300	1640-2925
01.01.1996	1640-2925	5480-8925

Item 17

Noted & ratified the action taken by the Vice-Chancellor in anticipation of approval of the Board of Finance/Syndicate/Senate in sanctioning the following consequential service benefits to Sh. Gobind Rakesh, Supdt. (Retired) by creating supernumerary posts (i.e. Sr. No.1 to 3 as Asstt., Sr. No. 4 to 6 as Sr. Asstt. and Sr. No.7 & 8 as Supdt.) as noted against each in pursuance of orders dated 21.1.1987 passed by Sub Judge 1st Class, Chandigarh and the Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court passed in RSA No.475 of 1990 titled as Panjab University and another V/s Gobind Rakesh (**Appendix- XXIV, P-1 to 3**).

1. Promotion as Sr. Assistant w.e.f. 1.4.1980 to 21.4.1981
2. Efficiency Bar 700-725 w.e.f. 3.9.1981 to 31.8.1982
3. Confirmation : 14.4.1981 instead of 20.7.1984
4. Sr. Scale 800-1400 w.e.f. 18.11.1985
5. Proficiency Step up w.e.f. 1.4.1988 to 21.4.1989 (1800-3200)
6. ACPS 16 years w.e.f. 1.4.1996 to 21.4.1997 (6400-10640)
7. Promoted as Officiating Supdt. on 13.5.1996 Reverted on 1.7.1996
8. Again promoted as Supdt. w.e.f. 15.10.1996 to 2.7.2001 (Retired from service on 30.9.2001)

Item 18

That the recommendations of the Committee dated 07th August, 2014 as per **Appendix - XXV, P-1-2** regarding grant of stage of Rs.14940/- to Lecturers (Selection Grade)/Readers after five years of service w.e.f. 01.01.2006 be approved:

NOTE: i) The Board of Finance in its meeting dated 19.7.2013 vide Agenda Item No.07 has approved to grant minimum stage of Rs.14940/- to Lecturers (Selection Grade) after completion of five years of service in view of the decision of Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh (**Appendix - XXVI, P-1 to 5**).

ii) After the decision of the Board of Finance, the University received a letter from the Finance Department, U.T. Administration, Chandigarh vide No.F&PO (6)-2013/7719 dated 14.08.2013 advising that the Panjab University should seek the guidance of

Government of Punjab and U.G.C. before implementing the orders of Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh which has no territorial jurisdiction (**Appendix-XXVII, P-1**).

- iii)** In response to the above letter, the University sought legal opinion from Dr. Anmol Rattan Sidhu, University Retainer who opined that the law declared by Court of other States is equally applicable to Panjab University because there is no contrary decision on this issue by the Punjab & Haryana High Court or any other Court including the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India.
- iv)** In view of the above legal opinion, the matter was again referred to U.T. Administration, Chandigarh to review their stand as communicated vide letter dated 14.08.2013. In response to above, U.T. Administration, Chandigarh vide letter dated 14.07.2014 again advised to seek the clarification from Punjab Government and UGC in this case and to verify the status of further appeal, if any.

Item 19

xxx

xxx

xxx

xxx

This item had been taken up in the Syndicate meeting held on 13.09.2014.

Item 20

Noted & ratified the action taken by the Vice-Chancellor in enhancing the budget provision from Rs.20.00 lacs to Rs.50.00 lacs under the Budget head "Service Tax paid to Govt. on Rental Property of Panjab University, Campus" out of 'Estate Fund Account' for the payment of monthly service tax of rented University property.

Item 21

That a budget head "Means-cum-Merit based Tuition Fee Support" with provision of Rs.75.00 lacs be created to provide the tuition fee support against the enhancement of fee approved by the Senate as per (**Appendix - XXVIII, P- 1 to 3**).

Item 22

That the following recommendations of the Committee dated 25.08.2014 & 05.05.2014 (**Annexure-XXIX (P- 1-2) & XXX (P- 1 to 3)**) constituted by the Vice-Chancellor regarding clubbing of the posts of

Electricians working in the P.U. Campus and Regional Centres of the University into Junior Technician Group (Electrician) (Skilled and Semi-skilled Staff) be approved as follows:

- (1) that the post of Electrician held by Sh. Amar Nath may not be merged till the present incumbent is working on this post. However, the benefit of the scheme of 50:30:20 may be given to him as a measure personal to him provided that the stage of Technician Grade –I shall only be given when a Technician Grade-II in the main cadre having total length of service less than Sh. Amar Nath got the stage of Technician Grade-I. This is a personal measure and on the vacation of the post on retirement or otherwise, this post shall be part of the total cadre. All the other terms and conditions as applicable to Technicians Grade – III, II & I in the Construction Office will also be applicable to this person.
- (2) The other Electricians working in the University Campus and outside stations may also be merged in the main cadre of Technician (Electricians) and they may be placed at the tail in the present seniority).

- Note:**
1. The Board of Finance at its meeting held on 19.07.2014, Agenda Item No.21 duly approved by the Syndicate and Senate dated 24.08.2013 and 29.09.2013 approved that all the posts of Electricians sanctioned in various Departments may be clubbed in the common cadre of Junior Technicians and they may be given the pay-band of Rs.5910-20200 + GP 2400.
 2. However, while implementing the decision, it was observed that one of the Electrician, Sh. Amar Nath who was originally appointed in the year 1996 in the A.C. Joshi Library, if merged with the overall cadre of Junior Technicians in the Construction Office, then he will be placed at the tail in the present seniority, by ignoring his overall length of service in the University.
 3. In order to mitigate this hardship, the Committee has made above recommendations.

Referring to sub-item 9, Dr. Dinesh Talwar stated that the GP for the posts of Laboratory Technician in the revised scale of Rs.10300-34800 should be Rs.4800/- instead of Rs.3200/- as the Punjab Government Notification has been adopted by the University. This decision had already been implemented in Government Medical College & Hospital, Sector 32, Chandigarh. He further pointed out that the qualifications for the post of Junior Technician working in the Departments on one hand were Matriculation and they have

promotion policy to reach up to the pay band of Rs.15600-39100 and go up to the GP of Rs.5700/- and on the other hand the prescribed qualification for the post of MLT in the University is B.Sc., but they have no promotional avenues. At the time of the revision of pay scales by the Punjab Government, their designation did not fall in the table and due to that this category was left from revision of Grade Pay. At present their nomenclature has been changed MLT-I. According to him, there are only four employees in the University in this category. He pleaded that their case should be considered and they should be given the GP of Rs.4800/-.

The Vice-Chancellor said that the University could not consider the revision of the Grade Pay, etc. at this stage in respect of these employees.

Shri Ashok Goyal stated that there were so many instances in the University where GP were revised in anticipation of the approval of the Board of Finance. He suggested that let the Vice-Chancellor be authorized to examine the case of these four Medical Lab Technicians working in the University and take decision on behalf of the Syndicate and thereafter an item be placed before the Syndicate for ratification.

Shri Gopal Krishan Chatrath pointed out that Dr. Dinesh Talwar had handed over a case of a woman employee who had not been granted the Maternity Leave. He had handed over a judgement of the Supreme Court of India in this respect. He suggested that the office could download the same from the website of the Supreme Court of India. He pleaded that such file should be dug out and orders be passed accordingly.

Referring to sub-item 11, Shri Ashok Goyal remarked that anyone appointed from different channels in the University, such as a person/s drawing salary from Amalgamated Fund, could not become employee of the University for all-type of benefits. He pointed out that why different channels of appointments were being adopted in the University. Shri Ashok Goyal suggested that as per the decision of the Syndicate in one of its meetings 2-3 months ago that, in future, every appointment in the University should be made through Administrative Office only; otherwise it would create problems.

The Vice-Chancellor said that the point raised by Shri Ashok Goyal is well taken.

RESOLVED: That the recommendations of Board of Finance contained in its minutes dated 05.09.2014 (Items 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18,20, 21 and 22), be endorsed to the Senate for approval.

**Recommendation of the
Committee dated
29.8.2014 regarding rate
of interest on CPF and
GPF for the period
1.4.2014 to 31.3.2015**

Deferred Item

24. This item had already been taken up in the meeting of the Syndicate dated 13.09.2014 and approved.

25. To reconsider the following decision of the Syndicate dated 22.02.2014 (Para 28) that:-

- (i) M.Tech. Nano Science & Nano Technology course being offered by Centre for Nano Science & Technology, under

the premises of Department of Physics, be re-designated as M.Sc. Nano Science and be brought under the purview of the Faculty of Science.

(ii) xxx xxx xxx xxx

NOTE: 1. The Senate meeting dated 25.05.2014 (Para XXXVII) had resolved that:

(1) the issue of re-designation of M.Tech. Nano Science & Nano Technology course being offered by Centre for Nano Science & Technology, under the premises of Department of Physics, as **M.Sc. Nano Science** and to be brought under the purview of the Faculty of Science, be referred back to the Syndicate for reconsideration. The Vice-Chancellor be authorized to take decision on the recommendation of the Syndicate, on behalf of the Senate.

(2) xxx xxx xxx xxx

2. An office note is enclosed.

RESOLVED: That the consideration of the item, be deferred.

Deferred Item

26. To consider the suggestions dated 11.09.2014, made by Advocate Amar Vivek with regard to the proceeding in Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, for extension in last cut off date for filling up 180 seats and odd Vacant Seats in various Engineering Courses/Institutions in P.U., Chandigarh through manual Counselling.

RESOLVED: That the consideration of the item, be deferred.

Deferred Item

27. To consider if the 10 additional seats in M. Phil. course, be created for the officers serving in the Armed Forces from the current session, i.e., 2014-15 in the Department of Defence & National Security Studies, as requested by the Chairperson vide letter No.4504/DDNSS dated 05.09.2014.

NOTE: 1. The request dated 05.09.2014 of the Director, Centre for Joint Warfare Studies (CENJOWS), New Delhi is enclosed.

2. The department has 20 seats for the officers posted at Army Training Command, Shimla and another 05 seats for defence officers outside ARTRAC.

3. The Syndicate dated 26.04.2014 (Para 35) has resolved that the Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) be executed between the Department of Defence & National Security Studies, Panjab University and Higher Command Wing, Army War College, MHOW.

RESOLVED: That the consideration of the item, be deferred.

Award of degree of Doctor of Philosophy

28. Considered reports of examiners of certain candidates on the theses, including viva-voce reports, for the award of degree of Doctor of Philosophy (Ph.D.).

The members unanimously decided that the cases of Ph.D. students, which reached in the General Branch for approval of the Syndicate up to 26.09.2014, should also be included in the list.

RESOLVED: That the degree of Doctor of Philosophy be awarded to the following candidates in the Faculty and subject noted against each:

Sr. No.	Name of the Candidate	Faculty/ Subject	Title of Thesis
1.	Ms. Angela Verma GH-3, Sarojini Hostel P.U. Chandigarh	Science/ Microbiology	ANTITUMORIGENIC EFFECT OF SYMBIOTIC ON THE 1,2-DIMETHYLHYDRAZINE INDUCED COLON CARCINOGENESIS IN RATS
2.	Mr. Amit Kumar Dwivedi H.No.10, Harmilap Nagar Phase-III, Baltana (Zirakpur) SAS Nagar(Pb.)	Arts/ Psychology	CHILDREN'S AND ADOLESCENTS' EXPERIENCE OF DEPRESSION: A DEVELOPMENTAL APPROACH TO PREDICT VARIATION IN SYMPTOMATOLOGY
3.	Ms. Asha V.P.O. Garanpura Kalan Tehsil Tosharn District Bhiwani Haryana	Science/ Zoology	MITOCHONDRIAL GENOME DIVERSITY OF SOME SPECIES OF TERMITES
4.	Mr. Manish Kumar H.No.004, Block-D Sunny New Complex Sunny Enclave Sector 125 Mohali	Arts/ Political Science	A STUDY OF SPECIAL ECONOMIC ZONES IN NORTH WESTERN INDIA
5.	Ms. Rupinder Kaur D/o Dalip Singh H.No.201, Second Floor Sector 44-A, Chandigarh	Business Management & Commerce	ANTECEDENTS OF ORGANIZATIONAL CITIZENSHIP BEHAVIOUR: AN EMPIRICAL STUDY OF SELECTED BANKS IN CHANDIGARH REGION
6.	Ms. Rashmi Aggarwal 735/14 Ahatta Murari Lal Kalka (Haryana)	Science/ Statistics	SMOOTHING AND ESTIMATION IN GENERALIZED ADDITIVE MODELS

Sr. No.	Name of the Candidate	Faculty/ Subject	Title of Thesis
7.	Mr. Gaurav Mahajan Ward No.5, Lambi Gali Nurpur District Kangra (H.P.	Education/ Education	EFFECT OF COMPUTER ASSISTED INSTRUCTION ON ACHIEVEMENT IN ECONOMICS IN RELATION TO ATITUDE TOWARDS TECHNOLOGY AND STYLE OF LEARNING AND THINKING
8.	Ms. Shruti Bansal #642, Sector-8 Panchkula (Haryana)	Science/ Microbiology	PRODUCTION, PURIFICATION, CHARACTERIZATION OF A BACTERIAL DEPOLYMERASE AND COMPARISON OF ITS EFFECACY WITH A PHAGE BORNE DEPOLYMERASE IN ERADICATING THE BIOFILMS OF KLEBSIELLA PNEUMONIAE
9.	Ms. Priya Rani D/o Shri Balram V.P.O.-Kot-bhai (Nain Bazar) Tehsil Gidderbaha District Muktsar	Languages/Punjabi	1990 TON BAAD DE PANJABI NAVAL VICH NAYAK DA PUNAR-SATHAPAN

Routine and formal matters

29. The information contained in Items **R-(i)** to **R-(xi)** on the agenda was read out and ratified, i.e. –

(i) The Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation of the approval of the Syndicate, has given additional charge of Advisor & Secretary to Vice-Chancellor (ASVC) to Dr. Madhu Raka, Professor (Re-employed), Department of Mathematics, till further orders and she will be given an honorarium of Rs.2000/- per month along with telephone & internet facility at home and use of official vehicle.

(ii) The Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation of the approval of the Syndicate and in terms of the Senate decision dated 22.12.2012 (XXI), has approved the re-employment of Dr. Arun Rashmi Tickoo (retiring on 31.08.2014), Department of French, P.U., on contract basis up to 31.08.2019 i.e. the date of her attaining the age of 65 years, as per Rules/Regulations of Panjab University, on fixed emoluments equivalent to last pay drawn minus pension to be worked out on the full service of 33 years both in case of teachers opting for pension or CPF. Salary for this purpose means pay plus allowances excluding House Rent Allowance.

NOTE: 1. Academically active report should be submitted after completion of every year of re-employment by the concerned faculty member through the HOD with the advance copy to DUI. Thus, usual one-day break will be there at the completion of every year during the period of re-employment. All other rules as mentioned at page 130 of Panjab

University Calendar, Vol. III, 2009 will be applicable.

2. Rule 4.1 at page 130 of P.U. Calendar, Vol.III-2009 reads as under:

“As per rule 4.1 the re-employed teacher will not be entitled to any residential accommodation on the Campus. If a teacher was already living on the Campus, he/she shall not be allowed to retain the same for more than 2 months after the date of superannuation. The failure to vacate the University residential accommodation after the stipulated period shall entail automatic termination of re-employment.”

- (iii) The Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation of the approval of the Syndicate, has approved the extension in re-employment to Dr. Ashvini Agrawal, Professor (Retd.), Department of Ancient Indian History, Culture & Archaeology, P.U., Chandigarh, on contract basis up to 22.09.2016 i.e. the date of his attaining the age of 65 years, as per Rules/Regulations of PU & Syndicate decision dated 28.06.2008 and 29.02.2012 and Senate decision dated 22.12.2012 (Para XXI), on fixed emoluments equivalent to last pay drawn minus pension to be worked out on the full service of 33 years both in case of teachers opting for pension of CPF. Salary for this purpose means pay plus allowances excluding House Rent Allowance.

NOTE: Academically active report should be submitted after completion of every year of re-employment by the concerned faculty member through the HOD with the advance copy to DUI. Thus, usual one-day break will be there at the completion of every year during the period of re-employment. All other rules as mentioned at page 130 of Panjab University Calendar, Vol. III, 2009 will be applicable.

- (iv) The Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation of the approval of the Syndicate, has accepted, the resignation of Dr. Pooja Makkar, Assistant Professor (temporary), Department of Biotechnology, w.e.f. 31.07.2014 (A.N.) with the condition that she will have to deposit salary in lieu of one month notice, under Rule 16.2 at page 83 of P.U. Calendar, Volume-III, 2009.

NOTE: Rule 16.2 at page 83 of P.U. Calendar, Volume-III, 2009, reads as under:

“the service of a temporary employee may be terminated with due notice on

payment of pay and allowances in lieu of such notice by either side. The period of notice shall be one month in case of all temporary employees which may be waived at the discretion of appropriate authority”.

- (v) The Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation of the approval of the Syndicate, has condoned the delay of 1 day (one day) i.e. up to 24.7.2014 of Ms. Swarnima Sharma, a candidate of Ph.D. in Education, Department of Education, P.U., Chandigarh, for submission of Ph.D. Synopsis/approval of Candidacy.
- (vi) The Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation of the approval of the Syndicate, has approved the subject combination for B.A./B.Sc. (General) First Year (Semester System) examination 2014-15 (**Appendix-XX**).
- (vii) In response to letter No.011/EDN/076/ 256134 dated 6.8.2014 received from the Director, Central Vigilance Commission and letter No. 6756/RC JAI 2010 A 0004 dated 22.8.2014 (**Appendix-XXI**) received from the Head of Branch SPE, CBI, Jaipur, the Vice-Chancellor, on behalf of the Syndicate, has ordered that the earlier decision of the Syndicate meeting dated 5.3.2013 (Para 20) be reiterated that since no case is made for prosecution of Professor O.P. Katare, UIPS, sanction for his prosecution sought by the C.B.I. be not granted.

- NOTE:**
1. A letter No.011/EDN/076/ 256134 dated 6.8.2014 has been received from the Director, Central Vigilance Commission, Satarkta Bhavan, GPO Complex, Block-A, I. N.A. requesting the University to revisit the decision and accord sanction of prosecution of Professor O.P. Katare, UIPS.
 2. A letter No. 6756/RC JAI 2010 A 0004 dated 22.8.2014 has been received from the Head of Branch SPE, CBI, Jaipur, in which he has stated that the matter has been examined by the C.V.C., New Delhi and requested the University to revisit the decision and accord sanction of prosecution of Professor O.P. Katare, University Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences.

- (viii)** The Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation of the approval of the Syndicate, has granted provisional extension of affiliation to S.C.D. Govt. College, Ludhiana, for Diploma in Stock Market & Trading Operations, under UGC Community College Scheme for the session 2014-15.

NOTE: Inspection reports and office note are enclosed **(Appendix-XXII)**.

- (ix)** The Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation of the approval of the Syndicate, has granted provisional extension of affiliation to A.S. College, Khanna, for (i) B.Voc. (Banking, Insurance & Retailing); and (ii) B.Voc. Multimedia (Graphics & Animation), Career Oriented Course, for the session 2014-15.

NOTE: Inspection reports and office note are enclosed **(Appendix-XXIII)**.

- (x)** The Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation of the approval of the Syndicate, has granted provisional extension of affiliation to J.C.D.A.V. College, Dasuya (Hoshiarpur), for Advance Diploma in Organic Farming, under UGC Community College Scheme for the session 2014-15.

NOTE: Inspection reports and office note are enclosed **(Appendix-XXIV)**.

- (xi)** The Vice-Chancellor, subject to and in anticipation of the approval of the Syndicate, (in light of decision of the Judicial Magistrate (1st Class), Chandigarh dated 12.05.2014 wherein Shri Jagdish Lal Gogna, Technician Grade-I has been acquitted from the offences), has reinstated Shri Jagdish Lal Gogna without any condition w.e.f. 21.05.2014 i.e. the date of decision of the Court (whereas he was earlier reinstated in year 2009, provisionally) and the period spent under suspension w.e.f. 14.10.2004 to 01.09.2009 (as he was reinstated provisionally w.e.f. 02.09.2009) be treated on duty and the consequential service/financial benefits/promotion etc. during his suspension period be also sanctioned/released to him in accordance with the above decision of the Court which also covered under Rule 2.1 available at page 112 of P.U. Calendar, Vol.III, 2009.

NOTE: 1. Rule 2.1 available at page 112 of P.U. Calendar, Vol. III, 2009, reads as under:

“An officer against whom proceedings have been taken for arrest in execution of a money decree or on a criminal charge or who is detained under any law shall be considered under suspension and allowed subsistence allowance only. If he is

subsequently acquitted of the blame, full amount due may be allowed in respect of the period of suspension otherwise as the circumstances may warrant.”

2. An office note is enclosed **(Appendix-XXV)**.

Routine and formal matters

30. The information contained in Items **I-(i)** to **I-(viii)** on the agenda was read out and noted –

- (i)** The Vice-Chancellor, while thankfully acknowledging the services of Shri R.L. Kapoor, IAS (Retd.), Advisor & Secretary to the Vice-Chancellor, Panjab University, Chandigarh, has accepted his resignation w.e.f 19.08.2014. Accordingly, Shri R.L. Kapoor, IAS (Retd.) is relieved from his duties.
- (ii)** The Vice-Chancellor has extended the term of contractual appointment of Shri Karan Kumar Soni, (Assistant Registrar/S.O. to the Vice-Chancellor, Retd. (voluntarily) on 01.07.2014) as Special Officer to the Vice-Chancellor, w.e.f. 19.08.2014 to 15.09.2014, @ half of the last pay drawn plus D.A, H.R.A. (excluding CCA and other Special allowances) rounded off to nearest lower 100, out of the vacant post of Special Officer to the Vice-Chancellor, under Rule 4 (v) (b) at page 76 of the P.U. Calendar, Volume III, 2009.
- (iii)** The Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court, vide interim orders dated 19.08.2014 in CWP No. 16501 of 2014 **(Appendix-XXVI)**, has allowed, Dr. Manju Malhotra, Professor in History, University School of Open Learning, to continue in service till 22.09.2014, i.e. the date of hearing of the case of Dr. Bhura Singh Ghuman in CWP No. 11988 of 2014 and such period of service shall be subject to the final decision of the writ petition.
- (iv)** The Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court, vide interim orders dated 05.08.2014 in CWP No. 12039 of 2014 **(Appendix-XXVII)**, has allowed, Professor (Dr.) Amar Nath Gill, Department of Statistics, P.U., to continue in service beyond the age of 60 years, till 22.09.2014.
- NOTE:** Professor (Dr.) Amar Nath Gill, Department of Statistics, P.U., has already been allowed to continue his service till 05.08.2014 vide interim orders dated 30.06.2014 **(Appendix-XXVII)** of the Hon'ble Punjab and Haryana High Court in CWP No. 12039 of 2014.
- (v)** The Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court, vide interim orders dated 05.08.2014 in CWP No. 11988 of 2014 **(Appendix-XXVIII)**, has allowed, Professor (Dr.) Bhura Singh Ghuman, Department of Public Administration, P.U., to continue in service beyond the age of 60 years, till 22.09.2014.

NOTE: Professor (Dr.) Bhura Singh Ghuman, Department of Public Administration, P.U., has already been allowed to continue his service till 05.08.2014 vide interim orders dated 30.06.2014 (**Appendix-XXVIII**) of the Hon'ble Punjab and Haryana High Court in CWP No. 11988 of 2014.

(vi) The Vice-Chancellor, as authorized by the Syndicate (Para 5, dated 31.10.1984), has sanctioned retirement benefits to the following University employees:

Sr. No.	Name of the employee and post held	Date of Appointment	Date of Retirement	Benefits
1.	Dr. (Mrs.) Manju Malhotra Professor of History University School of Open Learning	16.10.1981	31.08.2014	Gratuity and Furlough as admissible under the University Regulations with permission to do business or serve elsewhere during the period of Furlough.
2.	Dr. Arun Rashmi Tickoo Assistant Professor Department of French and Francophone Studies	02.08.1996	31.08.2014	Gratuity as admissible under the University Regulations.

NOTE: The above is being reported to the Syndicate in terms of its decision dated 16.3.1991 (Para 16).

(vii) The Vice-Chancellor, as authorized by the Syndicate (Para 5, dated 31.10.1984), has sanctioned retirement benefits to the following University employees:

Sr. No.	Name of the employee and post held	Date of Appointment	Date of Retirement	Benefits
1.	Ms. Soma Sharma Deputy Registrar USOL	22.08.1972	30.09.2014	Gratuity and Furlough as admissible under the University Regulations with permission to do business or serve elsewhere during the period of Furlough.
2.	Ms. Shamima Begum nee Sunila Ganda Assistant Registrar Dr. S.S.B.U.I.C.E.T	28.04.1977	30.09.2014	
3.	Ms. Chander Wati Library Assistant Dr. S.S.B.U.I.C.E.T	04.06.1982	30.09.2014	Gratuity as admissible under the University Regulations
4.	Shri Pyara Lal Rattan Senior Compositor P.U., Press	03.01.1977	30.09.2014	

NOTE: The above is being reported to the Syndicate in terms of its decision dated 16.3.1991 (Para 16).

(viii) The Vice-Chancellor has sanctioned terminal benefits to the members of the family of the following employees who passed away while in service:

Sr. No.	Name of the deceased employee and post held	Date of Appointment	Date of death (while in service)	Name of the family member/s to whom the terminal benefits are to be given	Benefits
1.	Late Shri Kashmir Chand Kaundal Superintendent UIPS	14.08.1976	01.07.2014	Smt. Sudarshana Kumari (Wife)	Gratuity and Ex-gratia grant as admissible under the University Regulations and Rules
2.	Late Sh. Rakesh Chander Senior Technician (G-II) Department of Bio-Chemistry	03.04.1973	17.07.2014	Mrs. Bimla Sharma (Wife)	

During general discussion just before the conclusion of the meeting, the following issues were raised:

(1) Principal Gurdip Sharma said that certain seats of M. Phil. in the subject of Guru Granth Sahib in the Department of Punjabi are lying vacant the same should be allowed to be filled.

Dr. Hardiljit Singh Gosal also pleaded that the seats of M.Phil. lying vacant both in the subjects of Guru Granth Sahib as well as Punjabi should be allowed to be filled in.

The Vice-Chancellor said that the matter would be looked into.

(2) Shri Ashok Goyal stated that every branch in the University is working independently against what rules and regulations of the University say, what the Syndicate/Senate and the Vice-Chancellor say. So much so people dare to say that Bachelor Degree of a recognized University is not equivalent to the Bachelor's Degree of Panjab University. He was of the view that Bachelor's Degree of the University recognized by the U.G.C. should be equivalent to the Bachelor's Degree of Panjab University. At present, they are saying that the degree is not equivalent and later on they would start saying that the syllabi of so and so course is not at par with the syllabi of the Panjab University. Recently a case had come to his knowledge that one of the students had taken admission in B.Ed. last year. The qualification possessed by the candidate was B.Sc. (Applied Biotechnology). Almost after one year a letter was faxed to the College of the candidate that his/her admission to B.Ed. had been cancelled as the Bachelor's Degree obtained by the candidate is not equivalent to the Bachelor's Degree of

Panjab University. This file has been hanging fire in the University office for so many months. Instead of sending the case of the candidate to the Department of Education for clarification, his/her case had been sent to the Department of Biotechnology and the Chairperson of the Department of Biotechnology said that the degree of B.Sc. (Applied Biotechnology), the candidate possessed is not equivalent to the B.Sc. (Biotechnology) Degree of Panjab University. The candidate submitted a representation to the Controller of Examinations on the day of his/her examination and the candidate was allowed to appear in the examination provisionally. The degree of the candidate is verified from the University from where he/she had passed and everything was found satisfactory, but today before the commencement of the meeting of the Syndicate, he was told that the R&S Branch is still saying that the Degree of B.Sc. obtained by the candidate, in question, is not equivalent to the Degree of B.Sc. of Panjab University.

- (3) Shri Ashok Goyal stated that the Syndicate had already taken a decision to grant extension up to two years at one time and subject to maximum of 5 years, i.e., up to the age of 65 years to the Principals of Degree Colleges provided eligible and suitable candidate/s is/are not found. A circular was issued in this regard by the University and a couple of Colleges acted accordingly. Surprisingly and shockingly, a person from the College had come to the University with some documents signed by the Principal for seeking panel from the University. The Clerk in the University dared to say that how the person is signing documents as Principal of the College who had retired two months back. Finally, the documents were not taken and asked him to throw the papers on the face of the Principal. So much so, a letter had gone to the Principal under the signatures of the Superintendent that under what capacity he/she had been signing the documents as Principal of the College, in spite of the fact that the College had written a letter to the University for more than one and half months ago informing everything. Nobody is ready to listen anything in the University. What is the mechanism, they had adopted? After all there has to be some authority to take the decisions and even to over-rule. Even on the orders passed by the Vice-Chancellor, Syndicate/Senate the people in the University are not ready to act and do work as per their own whims and fancies. Similarly, teachers in certain Departments of the University were also working independently without bothering about the decisions taken by the Syndicate/Senate/Vice-Chancellor. He suggested that everything in the University should be synchronized in such a manner so that there should be no confusion in the minds of the employees and they should be stopped to work as per their own whims and fancies.

The Vice-Chancellor said that the matter would be looked into.

- (4) Shri Gopal Krishan Chatrath informed that there are two equivalency booklets issued by the University and these booklets needed updation. There is also a note on the back page of these booklets regarding equivalency/recognition of courses by the U.G.C. He requested the Vice-Chancellor to constitute a Committee so that updation of these booklets is

done and a latest letter of the U.G.C. in this respect be included in these booklets which clearly says that any degree issued by Universities established under the legislature of the State or the country and if the Universities are members of the Association of Indian Universities, their degrees should be recognized. There is another letter issued by the U.G.C. mentioning the names of the Deemed Universities which are fake. The problem is in view of this recent letter which had been issued by the U.G.C. As per this letter, 85% names of the Universities, examinations and the courses needed correction/ updation. I have three volumes of books on education which contains all the judgements regarding education. The University should get a copy of the same.

Endorsing the viewpoints expressed by Shri Gopal Krishan Chatrath, Dr. Hardiljit Singh Gosal stated that they had equivalency booklet issued by the University in 2007 and there is no mention of the latest Universities and their recognized examinations. He pleaded that these equivalency booklets should be updated at the earliest by forming a Committee.

- (5) Shri Gopal Krishan Chatrath suggested that whatever circular is issued by the University, a copy of the same should be given to them separately so that they could note and guide their colleagues accordingly and the same could not be changed in different cases and there should be uniform law for all.
- (6) Dr. Dalip Kumar pointed out that this time they had received the minutes of the Syndicate meetings held on 17.08.2014 and 13.09.2014 without giving them the time to point out any discrepancy in the minutes. Earlier, they had been given a period of 15 days for this purpose. He did not know what is the reason behind it ? He pointed out that even the spellings of the name of the Registrar whose appointment was approved on 17.08.2014 are incorrect in the proceedings.
- (7) Dr. Dalip Kumar pointed out that the amount of grant given under major projects by the U.G.C. is Rs.15-20 lac. The same had wrongly been recorded/mentioned as 20-50 lac in the minutes of the Syndicate meeting dated 17.08.2014 (**Item 18 at page 46**), it needed to be corrected.

A.K. Bhandari
Registrar

Confirmed

Arun Kumar Grover
VICE-CHANCELLOR

