

PANJAB UNIVERSITY, CHANDIGARH

Minutes of meeting of the **SENATE** held on **Sunday, the 3rd November 2018** at **11.00 a.m.** in the Senate Hall, Panjab University, Chandigarh.

PRESENT:

1. Professor Raj Kumar (in the chair)
Vice Chancellor
2. Dr. Ameer Sultana
3. Professor Anita Kaushal
4. Shri Ashok Goyal
5. Dr. Amit Joshi
6. Dr. Akhtar Mahmood
7. Dr. Ajay Ranga
8. Ambassador I.S. Chadha
9. Dr. B.C. Josan
10. Dr. Baljinder Singh
11. Professor Chaman Lal
12. Dr. Dalip Kumar
13. Dr. Dayal Partap Singh Randhawa
14. Shri Deepak Kaushik
15. Dr. Emanuel Nahar
16. Dr. Gurjot Singh Malhi
17. Dr. Gurmit Singh
18. Dr. Gurdip Kumar Sharma
19. Dr. Gurmeet Singh
20. Dr. Harsh Batra
21. Shri H.S. Dua
22. Dr. Harjodh Singh
23. Dr. Inderjit Kaur
24. Dr. Inderpal Singh Sidhu
25. Dr. I.S. Sandhu
26. Dr. Jagdish Chander
27. Shri Jagdeep Kumar
28. Shri Jarnail Singh
29. Dr. Keshav Malhotra
30. Smt. Kirron Kher
31. Dr. K.K. Sharma
32. Dr. Nisha Bhargava
33. Dr. Narinder Singh Sidhu
34. Shri Naresh Gaur
35. Professor Navdeep Goyal
36. Dr. Neeru Malik
37. Principal N.R. Sharma
38. Dr. Mukesh K. Arora
39. Professor Manoj K. Sharma
40. Shri Parmod Kumar
41. Dr. Parveen Goyal
42. Shri Pawan Kumar Bansal
43. Professor Pam Rajput
44. Shri Prabhjit Singh
45. Professor Ronki Ram
46. Dr.(Mrs.) Rajesh Gill
47. Professor Rajat Sandhir

Senate Proceedings dated 3rd November, 2018

48. Dr. R.S. Jhanji
49. Professor R.P. Bambah
50. Shri Raghbir Dyal
51. Shri Rashpal Malhotra
52. Dr. Rajesh Kumar Mahajan
53. Dr. Rabinder Nath Sharma
54. Dr. Raj Kumar Mahajan
55. Dr. Sarabjit Kaur
56. Professor Shelley Walia
57. Professor Shankarji Jha
58. Shri Satya Pal Jain
59. Shri Sandeep Kumar
60. Shri Sanjay Tandon
61. Dr. S.K. Sharma
62. Dr. Surinder Kaur
63. Shri Sandeep Singh
64. Dr. Subhash Sharma
65. Dr. Shaminder Singh Sandhu
66. Dr. Tarlochan Singh
67. Shri Varinder Singh
68. Dr. Vipul Kumar Narang
69. Shri V.K. Sibal
70. Professor Karamjeet Singh Registrar ... (Secretary)

The following members could not attend the meeting:

1. Dr. Amod Gupta
2. Dr. Amar Singh
3. Ms. Anu Chatrath
4. Capt. Amarinder Singh, Chief Minister
5. Shri Amanpreet Singh
6. Professor B.S. Ghuman
7. Shri Bharat Bhushan Ashu
8. Dr. D.V.S. Jain
9. Professor Deepak Pental
10. S. Gurlovleen Singh Sidhu, IAS
11. Justice Harbans Lal
12. Professor J.K. Goswamy
13. Justice Krishan Murari
14. Shri Parimal Rai
15. Shri Punam Suri
16. Dr. Raj Kumar Chabbewal
17. Shri Rubinderjit Singh Brar, D.H.E., Chandigarh
18. Mrs. Razia Sultana, Education Minister, Punjab
19. Dr. Suresh Chandra Sharma
20. Dr. S. S. Sangha
21. Shri Sanjeev Bandlish
22. Dr. Satish Kumar

The Vice-Chancellor said that he, on his own behalf and on behalf of the Panjab University, welcomes all the members to the meeting. He also wished 'Good Morning' to each one of the members of the Senate with a degree of regards.

I. With a deep sense of sorrow, I am to share that -

Senate Proceedings dated 3rd November, 2018

- i) We have been terrified by the horrific train accident which happened at Sri Amritsar Sahib on this Dusshera day where loss of precious lives has pained all of us beyond comprehension and our heart goes to those innocent people who lost their lives.
- ii) Prof. Manmohan Chauhan, formerly with the Department of German and husband of Prof. Cecilia Antony, Department of French left for heavenly abode on 29.10.2018. As per his wish, his eyes have been donated as a gift of vision for someone in need.
- iii) Smt. Satya Kaushal, Mother-in-Law of Professor J.K. Goswami, on 3.11.2018.
- iv) Principal Hardiljit Singh Gosal, former Fellow, Panjab University and Principal on 5.9.2018
- v) Principal S.S. Randhawa, SGGS Khalsa College, Mahilpur and former Fellow, Panjab University, on 13.10.2018
- vi) Shri Balram Ji Dass Tandon, a former student of this University and former Governor of Chhatishgarh.

The Senate expressed its sorrow and grief over the passing away of Prof. Manmohan Chauhan, Smt. Satya Kaushal, Principal Hardiljit Singh Gosal, Principal S.S. Randhawa, Shri Balram Ji Dass Tandon and observed two minutes silence, all standing, to pay homage to the departed souls.

RESOLVED: That a copy of the above Resolution be sent to the members of the bereaved families.

II.

1. I am pleased to inform the honourable members of the Senate that:
 - (i) Dr. Subhash Sharma, Hon'ble member of the Senate, has been nominated as the Member of the prestigious Railway Advisory Board.
 - (ii) Dr. Renu Vig, UIET has been awarded Eminent Engineer Award instituted by the Electronics & Technical Education Division Board of Institute of Engineers.
 - (iii) Prof. Dinesh Khurana of the Department of Mathematics has been elected as fellow of National Academy of Sciences, Allahabad.
 - (iv) Dr. S.K. Upadhyay, Deptt. of Botany has been awarded 'Young Scientist Award' for the Biennium 2017-18. The Award consists of a citation and a gold plated silver medal and will be presented at the Inaugural Session of the XIV Agricultural Science Congress, which is scheduled to be held at IARI/NASC Complex, New Delhi during February 20-23, 2019. Prof. S.K. Upadhyay received this honour at the age of 34 years.
 - (v) Ms. Niyati Gulia, a junior research fellow from the Department cum Centre for Women's Studies and Development has been awarded the

Senate Proceedings dated 3rd November, 2018

emerging scholar award at the 8th international conference on health, wellness and society at Imperial College, London, UK.

- (vi) Mr. Binesh Bhatia and his team of 10 NSS Volunteers has got the 3rd position in Swachh Bharat summer internship at State level. He will be awarded a certificate and a cash award of Rs. 20000/- by MHRD.
- (vii) Professor B.S. Bhoop, UIPS, has been selected for Fellowship Award of the Indian Pharmaceutical Association.
- (viii) Dr. J.S. Sehrawat, Assistant Professor, Department of Anthropology has been felicitated with “Young Progressing Career Achievement Award-2018” by the University of Delhi in recognition of his contributions to anthropology.
- (ix) Professor A.K. Grover, former Vice-Chancellor and Hony. Emeritus Professor at PEC has been conferred ATAA Excellence Award 2018 by the TIFR Alumni Association.

2. I got the privilege to interact with the following distinguished dignitaries and discussed strategies for future development of this pristine university and they have assured all possible support:

- i) Sh. Rajnath Singh ji, Hon’ble Union Home Minister
- ii) Sh. R.K. Singh ji, Hon’ble Union Minister for Power & New and Renewable Energy Department,
- iii) Sh. J.P. Nadda ji, Hon’ble Union Health Minister
- iv) Sh. Amitabh Kant ji, CEO, Niti Aayog

Besides I have been meeting the Hon’ble Chancellor and Governor of Punjab very frequently in the past.

The Vice-Chancellor said that these are some things, which he just documented.

Dr. Dayal Partap Singh Randhawa welcomed the Vice-Chancellor to the Panjab University. He also welcomed Ms. Kanu Priya, who has been elected as the first female President of Panjab University Campus Students’ Council. He suggested that she should also be felicitated.

It was informed that Dr. Neeru Malik, Assistant Professor, Dev Samaj College of Education, Sector 36-B, Chandigarh, and Fellow, Panjab University, has been awarded ‘B.R. Ambedkar Award’.

Shri Varinder Singh said that Principal S.S. Sangha has conducted the Panjab University Youth Festival in a very good manner. He should also be felicitated.

Dr. Dalip Kumar said that he would like to say something on his (Vice-Chancellor) opening statement. Referring to Vice-Chancellor’s Statement at Sr. No. (vi), he pointed out that the students of MCM DAV College has secured first position. Secondly, he (Vice-Chancellor) has talked about the corridor/gallery, which would prove to be a good step. Every time they learn that certain persons have got awards, a link should be provided at the website of the University wherein all the awards should be integrated. He suggested that a data base should be prepared and uploaded on the website of the University and shown at the home page.

RESOLVED: That:

- (1) felicitations of the Senate be conveyed to –
 - (i) Dr. Subhash Sharma, Fellow, Panjab University, on his having been nominated as Member of the prestigious Railway Advisory Board;
 - (ii) Dr. Renu Vig, UIET, on her having been awarded Eminent Engineer Award instituted by the Electronics & Technical Education Division Board of Institute of Engineers;
 - (iii) Prof. Dinesh Khurana of Department of Mathematics, on his having been elected as Fellow of National Academy of Sciences, Allahabad;
 - (iv) Dr. S.K. Upadhyay, Deptt. of Botany, on his having been awarded 'Young Scientist Award' for the Biennium 2017-18;
 - (v) Ms. Niyati Gulia, a Junior Research Fellow from the Department-cum-Centre for Women's Studies and Development, on her having been awarded the Emerging Scholar Award at the 8th International Conference on Health, Wellness and Society at Imperial College, London, UK;
 - (vi) Mr. Binesh Bhatia and his team of 10 NSS Volunteers, on their getting 3rd position in Swach Bharat Summer Internship at State level;
 - (vii) Professor B.S. Bhoop, UIPS, on his having been selected for Fellowship Award of the Indian Pharmaceutical Association;
 - (viii) Dr. J.S. Sehrawat, Assistant Professor, Department of Anthropology, on his having been felicitated with "Young Progressing Career Achievement Award-2018" by the University of Delhi in recognition of his contributions to Anthropology;
 - (viii) Professor A.K. Grover, former Vice-Chancellor and Hony. Emeritus Professor at PEC, on his having been conferred ATAA Excellence Award 2018 by the TIFR Alumni Association;
 - (x) S. Tarlochan Singh, Fellow, Panjab University, on his having been nominated on the Jallianwala Bagh Trust; and
 - (xi) Dr. Neeru Malik, Assistant Professor, Dev Samaj College of Education, Sector 36-B, Chandigarh, and Fellow,

Senate Proceedings dated 3rd November, 2018

Panjab University, on her having been awarded 'B.R. Ambedkar Award'.

- (xii) Ms. Kanu Priya on her having been elected as the first President of Panjab University Campus Students' Council.
- (2) the information contained in Vice Chancellor's Statement at Sr. Nos. (vi) of 1. and 2. above as also given by Dr. Dalip Kumar about securing first position by the students of MCMDAV College, Chandigarh, be noted.
- (3) Appreciation of the Senate be conveyed to Principal. S.S. Sangha, Principal, Dashmesh Girls College of Education, Badal and Fellow, Panjab University, for conducting the Panjab University Youth Festival in a good manner.

III.

At this stage, Shri Varinder Singh stated that he (Vice-Chancellor) has done a very good work by bringing Professor Karamjit Singh as new Registrar of this University as also Shri Muneeshwar Joshi as his (Vice-Chancellor) Secretary. Now, he thinks the University is on a right track. The non-teaching staff, which has been provided to them, are now happy. Similarly, both the teachers and students are also happy. For this, he congratulated the Vice-Chancellor.

The Vice-Chancellor stated that he wanted to inform them about himself as everything is not available on the internet. He is meeting such hon'ble and respected persons and is sitting with them for the first time, though with some of them he meets frequently. If gets any opportunity to learn from them, he would not miss that. Some of the hon'ble members have name in their respective field – whether it is politics, art, legal, science, social activities, sports, etc. He once again wants to welcome all of them. Welcome in the sense that he got this opportunity to work with them. He came here from a great Institution, i.e., Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, and in Asia it is still at number one place; and also recognised worldwide. He is a student of Management in that University, which is more than 50 years old Institute. About two and a half years' ago, he was appointed Director, Dean and Head there. It is on record that he has done a lot during that two and half years. He would feel honoured if they see on the internet as to what he has done. If they do that he would feel satisfied. Banaras Hindu University is a University which got established on the basis of donation. Though several Universities got established with donation, the Banaras Hindu University has a speciality, which might be known to some and some not, that 'Bharat Ratan' Hon'ble Madan Mohan Malvia Ji had got donation even from the beggars. Varanasi is a city of temples, wherein Malvia Ji walked in the evening and whatever donation people gave, he accepted that. There is a famous temple of 'Sankat Mochan', where Malvia Ji went (on foot) to pay his obeisance daily, where the people said they also wanted to give some donation for the establishment of the University. Malvia Ji was such a great man and 'Neetigya' as well Diplomat that he told them that such a great Institution could not be build/established without their contribution. Whatever people donated (even Dhela), he accepted the same with happily and regardfully. Some of the people asked him as to why he is accepting donation from such people as many business/corporate houses are ready to give donation in abundance. He told them that the value of money given by these people (beggars) is more than millions/billions of rupees, because the spirit with which they are giving the donation is pious. If the businessman tells that this University/hostel has been constructed with his donation, the beggars could also say

that he has also contributed for the same. He has come here with such values. He felt honoured that he has come from such a sacred land, i.e., Varanasi and had got an opportunity to work at most pious place, i.e., Panjab University, Chandigarh. Majority of the members might knew that Banaras Hindu University was established in the year 1916 and at that time the first Saint, who participated in the function, belonged to Punjab and his name was Saint Attar Singh. As such, they are interwoven with each other. He has been associated with this University for the last more than 3 months and he is thinking as to how this University could be taken to new heights. This University is not an ordinary University. Though Banaras Hindu University from where he has come is also a prestigious University, this University is also not less than that in any manner. He has sit with the Scientists and observed that there are about 40 deliberates. He is going to make their gallery for which their Scientists are contributing. He would also include other big and small Universities of the country in that gallery. He is getting full cooperation from all side in whatever tasks he has initiated. He wanted to share with them 2-3 things – he is going establish Centre for Cyber Security and Artificial Intelligence, which is a mega project and coming up shortly, and he is getting cooperation from all of them. These things would be not only be first in the country, but would rank in top 5 at the international arena. He has already talked to Hon'ble Shri Raj Nath Singh Ji, Union Home Minister, and submitted a formal proposal to him on this. Their Scientists are on the job and they would complete the same at the earliest. Secondly, there are very good Scientists in their University in Nuclear Physics and Biomedical from whom he is taking inspiration and thinking of establishing a Centre. He is also talking to Tata Institute of Fundamental Sciences on this project because he has done this in Banaras Hindu University and got sanctioned a project amounting to Rs.700 crore with Tata Institute of Fundamental Sciences. Here also, he has proposal for establishing an Advance Research Centre in Cancer Disease for which their Scientists are on the job. He has got assurance from Shri J.P. Nadda, Hon'ble Union Health and Family Welfare Minister. In sports also, their University is not less than any University and their facilities are of international standard. He has also prepared a project on International Indoor Gymnasium and initial discussion on the issue has already taken place with the Hon'ble Chancellor. They did not have courses on Biodiesel, Bio-fuel, agriculture, etc., but majority of their affiliated Colleges offered courses on agriculture in which there would be full cooperation and participation of the University. His discussion on this issue has taken place with Shri Gajendra Singh Shekhawat, Hon'ble Minister of State for Agriculture and Farmers Welfare, Government of India. He is preparing the project on the subject, which is amounting to Rs.40-50 crore. All this being done with their good wishes and cooperation, and that too, within a short span of 3 months. There is a need to take it ahead. These things could only be possible with the cooperation of the students, officials, officers faculty members and Scientists of this University. Since he has a limited period of three years, he wanted to complete these things at the earliest with their cooperation, which would not only be of a different kind in the country but also at the world level. He believed that with help, cooperation and good wishes of all of them, he would take this University to new heights. He knew a lot about this August House, they are also witnessing this. He is proud to say that so much democratic and vibrating system perhaps does not exist in any of the University. There is a Court in the Banaras Hindu University, which comprised of 70-80 members and has a democratic system, and the same system he is observing in this University. With these words, he said that now they would take agenda items one by one. They would try to make full utilization of the time and take up maximum items and, in between, if any of the members wishes to make any observation, he would be permitted to do so.

Dr. Gurmeet Singh congratulated the Vice-Chancellor for preparing all the above-said projects. The most important thing which he wanted to emphasize is that he

(Vice-Chancellor) has interacted directly with the students during the last three and a half months, and that too, without the officials/officers, which is a good practice and he urged that this practice should be continued. Whether they get project(s) or not is a different thing, but the students should feel that this is their own Vice-Chancellor. He again suggested that the existing practice should be continued and direct dialogue with the students should be continued.

The Vice-Chancellor stated that he would like to tell them and reiterate that he is a Professor of Management and he believed on direct feedback. His faculty members, officers and officials are doing their job and he is satisfied with them. At the same time, he believed on one-to-one dialogue and meets students in the group of six to ten and selects the group at random and interfaces with them over a cup of tea. During the meeting, he asked them to tell him their five priorities relating to their academics and five problems relating to studies, hostel, library, etc. In the end, asked the students to give five suggestions in which the students could extend their cooperation for realizing the same. The minutes of such meetings/interfaces are prepared and circulated to the concerned quarters for necessary action within a stipulated period. He is yet to do a work relating to an XEN (Horticulture), which is to be completed by 30th of November 2018. Thereafter, the work relating to cleanliness would be done for which the time frame is 10th November. In the sense whatever they are talking about, he is getting a very good result. He strongly believed in interaction and did not agree with any type of gap.

Shri Varinder Singh stated that on the University the first and foremost right is of the students and it has been established for the students. At the moment, the office of the Dean, College Development Council, needed to be improved. There is a heavy work on both the offices, i.e., Controller of Examinations and Dean, College Development Council, which is affecting the students a lot and the career of the students are being jeopardized. The career of several students has been destroyed as certain areas like Abohar, Ferozepur, etc. are at far away, i.e., about 300 k.ms. away. The students who belonged to poor families could not come to Chandigarh and their parents also never came to Chandigarh. Such students or their parents have to spent at least Rs.4,000/- to Rs.5,000/- for visiting Chandigarh once, which they could not afford. The results of semesters and re-evaluation are not declared for year or one and a half years, and the career of several students is destroyed due to this reason. Since the result is not declared in time, the students could not take admission in higher classes. He is not saying that he (Dr. Parvinder Singh) is not doing good job. He is doing a good job, but he is unable to do justice to both the posts as both the positions carry heavy workload. Therefore, they should take a decision on the issue at the earliest. The plea that the post of Dean, College Development Council, should be filled up on regular basis, does not carry any weight as they are talking like this for the last about 3 years. In fact, the issue is being lingered on. It is not right owing to which he is raising the issue.

The Vice-Chancellor said that he is accepting his request.

Continuing, Shri Varinder Singh said that in one of the meeting of the Syndicate, the members had requested to give charge of the post of Dean, College Development Council, to any other person of your choice. He pleaded that it should be done at the earliest, i.e., within a week or fortnight.

When Dr. R.K. Mahajan enquired whether it is a zero hour and suggested that zero hour discussion should be started, Shri Varinder Singh said that it is an important issue as the career of numerous students are being destroyed. He pointed out that even if a single student is made to suffer, it is the responsibility of the University. It is

not right to do politics on each and every issue and the post of Dean, College Development Council, should be filled up on regular basis, which is not being done for the last three years. What is happening in the University is that certain selected persons are being appointed on Selection and Inspection Committee and flying squads. He urged the Vice-Chancellor to obtain data on the issue. He suggested that the persons who came to him (Vice-Chancellor) that he is doing a wonderful job, it should be checked as to how many duties have been assigned to them during the last 2-3 years. His post is such a post and obliging the people and send the people to him (Vice-Chancellor) to tell that the working is being done in an excellent manner. It should be checked as to how many times, they have gone on Inspection, Selection, etc. Even if the life of a single student is destroyed, the University should not tolerate it.

Dr. Amit Joshi remarked that the issue being raised by Shri Varinder Singh is most important and should not be taken lightly.

Continuing, Shri Varinder Singh said that even if a single affected student came to him, he would get his office locked and protest there. Before such a situation arises, alternative arrangement should be made. Several poor students have left their studies owing these reasons.

The Vice-Chancellor said that he would listen to everyone.

Shri Varinder Singh remarked that all would not speak on the issue as all have been obliged by him (Controller of Examinations).

The Vice-Chancellor said that he would set all the things right.

Shri Varinder Singh said that when would all the things be set right? Perhaps, when an F.I.R. is lodged against him. After this, even if the life of a single student is destroyed, he would himself lock his office.

Dr. Subhash Sharma said that this is a very important issue. Since the issue has been raised by Shri Varinder Singh now, either it should be clinched now or later, but it needed to be resolved.

The Vice-Chancellor said that this issue would be taken up later on.

The Items of old agenda of Senate meeting dated 8.7.2018 i.e. C-1 to C-5, C-11 to C-16, C-18 to C-23, C-25, C-32, C-35 to C-36, C-39, C-41, C-50, C-52 to C-53 and C-55 were also taken up in the meeting. On the request of Dr. Ameer Sultana, Item No. C-6 of Senate Agenda dated 8.7.2018 was also taken up for consideration.

The items pertaining to current were taken up first.

IV.

The recommendations of the Syndicate contained in **Items C-1** on the agenda was read out, viz. –

C-1. That the following persons be promoted from Assistant Professor (Stage-1) to Assistant Professor (Stage-2) under the U.G.C. Career Advancement Scheme (CAS) (2010) in the pay-scale of Rs.15600-39100 + AGP Rs. 7000/- at a starting pay to be fixed under the rules of the Panjab University. The posts would be personal to the incumbents and they would perform the duties as assigned to them:

Senate Proceedings dated 3rd November, 2018

Sr. No.	Name	Department
*1.	Dr. Ali Abbas (w.e.f. 06.06.2018)	Urdu
(Syndicate dated 27.08.2018 Para 2(i))		
*2.	Shri Ravinder Kumar Pal (w.e.f. 14.10.2014)	Panjab University S.S. Giri Regional Centre, Hoshiarpur
(Syndicate dated 27.08.2018 Para 2(v))		
**3.	Dr. Aditya Kaushik (w.e.f. 10.07.2012 subject to production of office order for Past service counting from Estt. Branch)	University Institute of Engineering & Technology
(Syndicate dated 27.08.2018 Para 2(vii))		

- NOTE:**
1. The complete bio-data of the candidate would form a part of the proceedings.
 2. It had been certified that the API score obtained by the candidate meets the UGC requirement.
 - * 3. It had also been certified that the selection of Sr. No. 1 and Sr. No. 2 have been made in compliance to fourth and second amendment of UGC Regulations, 2010 respectively.
 - ** 4. That the condition of counting of past service as imposed at Sr. No. 3 be also made applicable in all such similar cases.
 5. The letters of promotion have been issued in anticipation of approval of the Senate

Initiating discussion, Professor Akhtar Mahmood stated that these appointments are of 19th July. Was there any kind of emergency involved in them because this is a routine kind of thing? Secondly, if they look at the Note, it says that "The complete bio-data of the candidate would form a part of the proceedings". Proceedings of what – Selection Committee, Syndicate or Senate? Thirdly, the bio-data have never been given to them. They did not know as to what kind expertise did they have, and what kind of inter-departmental collaboration one could have. He urged the Vice-Chancellor to see to it and as to what kind of guidelines are there.

Dr. Parveen Goyal stated that certain members are saying that Item C-1 is passed, but what they had done in this Item. Firstly, the applications are screened by the Pre-Screening Committee at the Departmental level and thereafter, these are screened by the Screening Committee. Thereafter, the recommendations of the Selection Committee are placed before the Syndicate and then before the Senate. In this way, a teacher felt happy four times. In the last, the Audit Department raised an objection and the entire process becomes standstill. What steps are required to be taken to avoid this situation so that the teachers get motivated? What is involved in it is CAS promotion and an increment and nothing else is involved in it? They should

take it serious. Problem is that after the promotion is approved by the Senate, the Audit Department raised objection(s). They have received a letter in August 2015 clearly stating that if the candidate concerned has done Ph.D. through this and this process, only then his/her CAS promotion is valid; otherwise, not. Suppose they could not violate any regulation/rule of the UGC, but would that letter is to be implemented from the date of receipt by the University or from the date of notification. For example, a letter came in 2015 stating that if one is Ph.D. he/she could get promotion from stage-1 to stage-2 within four years or in certain case within 5 years. However, on the basis of the afore-said letter, their promotion was denied. The authority also did not help the teachers in this denial. According to him, the problem is only at the initial level, i.e., Assistant Professor level. On the one side, the University showed to the teams like NAAC, etc. that they had so many Ph.D. faculty members and claimed ranking accordingly and on the other side, they faculty members say that they would ask the concerned candidates to surrender their Ph.D. degrees because their degrees are not being recognized. Resultantly, there is a great resentment amongst the teachers. Thereafter, second amendment came in June 2013, and they asked the eligible candidate to fill up the prescribed form for their CAS promotion, and the teachers faced a big problem. Thereafter, 3rd amendment came on 4th May 2016 in which it was stated that they should get CAS promotion in accordance with this format. However, it was very difficult to trace the old data. Then came the 4th amendment on 11th July 2016. For which a strong voice was raised by AIFACTO that they implement the new rules/regulations from the date of notification and demand old data. How could they fulfil that? Its benefit was that they have been given three years exemption, which is mentioned at page 77 under Clause 6.3. The new amendment which came on 18th July 2018, it is written in Clause 6.3 (page 77) that they have been given 3 years' exemption, and they could fill up their form under both old and new amendments. He wanted to say that a big objection has been raised on CAS promotions. A letter had come in the year 2015 and the same should be considered.

Dr. Ajay Ranga stated that both the Syndicate and Senate are their Governing Bodies. He is unable to understand whether the Syndicate and Senate of the University are supreme or the Audit Department. Problem is when such supreme bodies, which comprised of high dignitaries, approve the appointments, objection is raised by the RAO, and the objection did not have any base. The regulations of the UGC are very clear. He urged the Vice-Chancellor to go through letter of the UGC dated 19th July 2016, and in the UGC Act, 1956 it is clearly mentioned in Section 22 sub-clause 1 & 2 that equalization of degrees, diplomas, certificates, etc. is not determined by the UGC. In the case of higher education, equivalence is determined by the University concerned, and in the case of employees' promotion, etc., equivalence is decided by the employees' organization. In the case of Class-1 employees, especially teachers, the Senate is the employer. The promotions of teachers have been approved by both the Syndicate and Senate, and thereafter, the RAO has raised an objection that these promotions could not be made. Either the Panjab University should say that their Ph.D. and Masters degrees are fake/ wrong or get those degrees approved from the UGC, if it approved them. Secondly, the new regulations of the UGC came on 11th July 2016, the same things they repeated there also that all the Ph.D. degrees, which have been awarded by a University, they would be approved by the concerned University. However, five conditions have been imposed, e.g. viva should have been held, enrolment should be through an Entrance Test, etc. It had also been decided in this House earlier that if any of the teachers fulfilled these conditions, a certificate should be given to him/her by the Registrar/Dean of University Instruction/Vice-Chancellor, which would be accepted by the RAO. Despite this, candidates came from the IITs where there is a course work of 2 years' duration. Even in their UBS for the last about 20 years, the course work is of 1½ year's duration. However, the promotions of all the teachers have been stopped. The

teachers, who are eligible for Professorship, have been held at Assistant Professor level just because of the objection by the RAO. Secondly, according to him, in the University the powers of the RAO have not been defined. So far as he is able to understand, what are the powers of the RAO and what are his limitations have not been defined anywhere. The RAO or anybody else did not have absolute powers. Either they should define the powers of the RAO or this matter should be taken up at the level of their (RAO's) higher authority. He urged the House to unanimously pass a Resolution in this regard. In fact, they should authorize the Vice-Chancellor to take up this matter with higher authorities of the RAO and get it resolved as there is frustration amongst the faculty members.

The Vice-Chancellor said that, in fact, he is equally concerned with the issue raised by the Hon'ble members, i.e., Dr. Parveen Goyal and Dr. Ajay Ranga. He has already taken up this issue and he was going to tell them as to where he has reached. So far as the issue of grant of Ph.D. increment is concerned, he has taken up this issue from day one. The members of PUTA had a face to face meeting with the Chairman (Dr. D.P. Singh), UGC, in this regard. He (Vice-Chancellor) had also talked to his associate Professor Rajneesh Jain, on this issue. Thus, he is looking into the issue constantly. In between there was a small problem, and he had sent two teachers, and a small Committee has already been appointed there. He had talked to the concerned authority day before yesterday. He hoped that they would get result shortly.

Dr. Ajay Ranga stated that he is thankful for his (Vice-Chancellor) personal efforts, but the problem is the persons who have done Ph.D. in the year 1998, have not been given any concession, and objection has been raised on their promotions. Though their counterpart has become Professor, but they are still Assistant Professor because their appointment is not being cleared by the RAO.

The Vice-Chancellor said that he telling them about the progress on this issue only.

Continuing, Dr. Ajay Ranga said that, in fact, two issues are there – (i) Ph.D. increment(s) and (ii) departmental promotion under CAS. The issue is that the RAO is objecting to the promotion, but the question is whether the direct appointments, which have been made on the basis of promotions, have any objection. There are about 250 teachers, who are affected ones.

Professor Rajesh Gill stated that, in fact, it is a very sensitive issue. Actually, the Vice-Chancellor should tell them as to when did they go to Delhi. The Vice-Chancellor himself had got them an appointment and accordingly they met the concerned Officer(s). In fact, they had been asked to meet the Additional Secretary there. Here at the University level they had talked about the letter of 2015 on the basis of which a lot of CAS promotions have been withheld, and for which, a Committee has been constituted, but so far they did not know the decision of that Committee. The previous day, she was out of station and certain teachers contacted her and inform that their application for promotion under CAS have been returned by attaching a letter of 2015, which had not been adopted by them. As such, this is in a very bad taste. Therefore, she has requested the Registrar to give instructions to get the

Dr. Ajay Ranga said that the objection is on the letter of 2015. However, the UGC has clarified the things in its letter of 2016, but despite that it is not being done.

The Vice-Chancellor said that he is equally concerned with this issue.

Senate Proceedings dated 3rd November, 2018

Dr. Shaminder Singh Sandhu stated that Panjab University is a very prestigious Institution. The behavior of Chandigarh Administration is like that of RAO of the University. The cases of the teachers are going like of the University and the teachers are not getting any increment as several objections are being raised. The people, who have got small/private Universities, that too, within few days, have got five increments for doing Ph.D., whereas on the cases of those, who have done Ph.D. from this University, objections are being raised. Now, they are thinking of surrendering the degree of Panjab University and obtaining the Ph.D. degree from those Universities, which would be very shameful for all of them.

Smt. Kirron Kher said that she would like to welcome him (Vice-Chancellor) to the Panjab University. As she had told him, she was not informed about the meeting. There are certain public meetings, which have been fixed for today, and she could not make them wait any further. She requested the Vice-Chancellor to kindly excuse her for the day. She said that now she would take the leave.

The Vice-Chancellor said that he could not say that she should go, but he could well understand her busy schedule as well as her appointments. The Vice-Chancellor remarked that certain Hon'ble members are feeling uncomfortable with the seating arrangement.

Dr. Dalip Kumar said that the seating arrangement should be made 'bench type' as existed in the Vidhan Sabha. He added that if all the 91 members came to attend meeting, they would not be able to sit.

The Vice-Chancellor said that seating arrangement needs to be comfortable. It would be his top priority to see that the Hon'ble members sit here comfortably.

Shri Sanjay Tandon said that the discussion should not be made lengthy, but the seating arrangement should be made comfortable.

Principal N.R. Sharma stated that as told by Dr. Ajay Ranga as to what powers the RAO had. In the year 2016, three Principals were appointed, viz. he himself (Dr. N.R. Sharma), Dr. I.S. Sandhu and Dr. Kuldip Singh. At the time of selection, the Selection Committee had recommended one additional increment to them. When the file went to RAO, he stopped this by saying that this is only for the teachers and could not be given to the Principals. However, the University Calendar says that Principals are teachers first and then Principals. He wanted to know whether the RAO is more powerful than the Syndicate and Senate or there are certain limitations on him. He urged the Vice-Chancellor to see to this.

RESOLVED: That –

- (1) the recommendation of the Syndicate contained in **Item C-1 on the agenda**, be approved.
- (2) so far as the UGC letter dated 4th August, 2015 is concerned, the Vice-Chancellor be authorized to take appropriate action.

Senate Proceedings dated 3rd November, 2018

V. The recommendation of the Syndicate contained in **Item C-2** on the agenda was read out and unanimously approved, i.e. –

C-2. That Ms. Seema Sood be promoted from Assistant Librarian to Assistant Librarian (Senior Scale) (Stage-1 to Stage-2), A.C. Joshi Library, Panjab University, w.e.f. 08.10.2015 under the U.G.C. Career Advancement Scheme (CAS) (2010) in the pay-scale of Rs.15600-39100 + AGP Rs.7,000/-, at a starting pay to be fixed under the rules of the Panjab University. The post will be personal to the incumbent and she will perform the duties as assigned to her.

(Syndicate dated 27.08.2018 Para 2(ii))

- NOTE:**
1. The complete bio-data of the candidate would form a part of the proceedings.
 2. It had been certified that the API score obtained by the candidate meets the UGC requirement.
 3. It had also been certified that the selection has been made in compliance to second amendment of UGC Regulations, 2010.
 4. The letter of promotion has been issued in anticipation of approval of the Senate.

VI. The recommendations of the Syndicate contained in **Items C-3** on the agenda were read out, viz. –

C-3. That the following persons be promoted from Assistant Professor (Stage-2) to Assistant Professor (Stage-3) under the U.G.C. Career Advancement Scheme (CAS) (2010) in the pay-scale of Rs.15600-39100 + AGP Rs.8,000/-, at a starting pay to be fixed under the rules of the Panjab University. The posts would be personal to the incumbents and they would perform the duties as assigned to them:

Sr. No.	Name	Department
1.	Dr. Namita Gupta (w.e.f. 14.08.2016)	Centre For Human Rights and Duties
(Syndicate dated 27.08.2018 Para 2(iv))		
2.	Dr. Jai Mala (w.e.f. 19.9.2016)	University Institute of Legal Studies
(Syndicate dated 27.08.2018 Para 2(vi))		

- NOTE:**
1. The complete bio-data of the candidate would form a part of the proceedings.

Senate Proceedings dated 3rd November, 2018

2. It had been certified that the API score obtained by the candidate meets the UGC requirement.
3. It had also been certified that the selection have been made in compliance to fourth amendment of UGC Regulations, 2010.
4. The letters of promotion have been issued in anticipation of approval of the Senate.

Dr. Ajay Ranga stated that about 150 cases relating to counting of past service are pending in the University, and a Committee has also been constituted to look into the problem. Now, the problem is that the RAO is doing the individual cases. This is a case, which had been cleared by the Committee, Board of Finance, Syndicate and Senate, and today its stage has also been approved. His only request to the House is that they should take a policy decision that all the similar cases should be cleared on the pattern of this case. Not that all such cases be placed before the Board of Finance individually because this case has been examined by the Board of Finance, Syndicate and the Senate. They should take a policy decision that this decision be implemented on all such cases. He said that since all the members are in favour of this, policy decision should be taken now. He asked the Vice-Chancellor whether policy decision is taken. Before concluding, he thanked the Vice-Chancellor.

RESOLVED: That the recommendation of the Syndicate contained in **Items C-3 on the agenda**, be approved.

VII. The recommendation of the Syndicate contained in **Item C-4** on the agenda was read out and unanimously approved, i.e. –

C-4. Dr. Jivesh Bansal be promoted from Deputy Librarian (Stage-3) to Deputy Librarian (Stage-4), A.C. Joshi Library, Panjab University, w.e.f. 20.06.2015 under the U.G.C. Career Advancement Scheme (CAS) (2010) in the pay-scale of Rs.37400-67000 + AGP Rs.9,000/-, at a starting pay to be fixed under the rules of the Panjab University. The post will be personal to the incumbent and he will perform the duties as assigned to him.

(Syndicate dated 27.08.2018 Para 2(iii)).

- NOTE:**
1. The complete bio-data of the candidate would form a part of the proceedings.
 2. It had been certified that the API score obtained by the candidate meets the UGC requirement.
 3. It had also been certified that the selection have been made in compliance to second amendment of UGC Regulations, 2010.
 4. The letter of promotion has been issued in anticipation of approval of the Senate

VIII. The recommendation of the Syndicate contained in **Item C-5** on the agenda was read out, viz. –

- C-5.** That the probation period of Dr. Upasna Joshi Sethi, Professor, UIAMS, be extended for one more year, w.e.f. 10.8.2018, considering it as an exceptional case, as per Regulation 5 at page 118 of P.U. Calendar Vol. I, 2007.

(Syndicate dated 27.08.2018 Para 10)

Shri Gurjot Singh Malhi stated that first of all, he wanted to make it clear that he does not know most of the persons of this University and does not know even this person, which gives him the advantage to look at the issue impartially. She has not taken objection to this, but it is happening for the second time. They have already done it once. They have spoken a lot in the meeting of the Syndicate also. Of course, he was overruled, but he would like to express his views again. It is not a question of this person or that person. The rules are made for a purpose. The moment, they overlook the rules and facilitate a person, they are leaving the rule open for future interference with the rules in whatever manner the person keep approaching. Let them set aside this for a moment and see as to what is the purpose of putting a person on probation. The purpose of probation is to judge his/her suitability for that particular position. The second purpose, perhaps could be that the person concerned and the Institution wanted to see whether they are fit for each other. That is why, a shorter period is prescribed for probation so that the person could leave her job and the Institution could dispense with his/her services. Probation is a nominal period. Apparently, this person is highly suitable and highly qualified, and that was why, most members in the Syndicate talked in favour of this period. They said that if she left at period, the University/Institute would fall flat and the whole thing would go haywire. That was what the Syndicate members had expected, and that might well be true that if this person left the Institute, the Institute might go to doom. However, to his mind, no person is so indispensable in the world. It was felt in the Syndicate also that whenever one is young, people used him/her. It was also argued that people felt that when Pt. Jawaharlal Nehru would go, this country would be doomed. Though Nehru had gone, this country is still not doomed. Now, it is not they, who are extending the probation. Obviously, the person is so good and so excellent that the people had spoken in her favour and still they wanted to extend her probation. They should look at the irony of the whole situation and they are not obliging the person by breaking their own rules. What is happening over the last two years is that the person has put the University on probation. Obviously, the person thinks that she is not able to decide whether the University is fit for her. The person concerned had got the probation period of the University extended for one year. Now, she wanted to extend the probation period of the University for another year to judge whether the University is suitable for her. Is for that purpose the probation rule existed? Could the person put the University on probation year after year? The person must decide this way or that way. Obviously, the person concerned wanted to keep her lien on two posts/at two places. She is willing to take the other boat at any time. Since they knew that the other boat is ready to go, they should look for a suitable person. Somebody else could come and join the Institute in her place and the University gets benefitted. So he puts his objection on record that they should not break the rules for the sake of benefitting one particular person.

Shri V.K. Sibal stated that he hundred per cent agree with what Shri Gurjot Singh Malhi has said. It is almost like a theatre of absurd. The University has a person, who is very good in her work, and needed to be confirmed, but she says "Please don't confirm her", and that option is not open to her. The rules, which have been

quoted, did not cover this. The rules say that in exception cases, they should not put anybody on probation. So much so, in academic circles, they need not put anybody on probation. That is the exemption, which they have quoted. Though they had extended her probation, it never came to the Senate. Without having approved the proposal by the Senate, the probation period had been extended. So he thought that Shri Malhi is absolutely correct. What is being proposed is administrative violative of the norms and it is illegal as they did not have any power to do it.

Professor Rajesh Gill pointed that this case has another angle. In fact, she had come from a different University and she would be losing the Pension because the case of persons, who were born in Pension before 2004, but joined this University after 2004, is still pending. Her request is that this case is pending in the Ministry of Human Resource & Development (MHRD), which should be got cleared from the Ministry by him (Vice-Chancellor) at the earliest possible, and the teachers of the University would get benefitted.

Dr. Ajay Ranga suggested that all who have joined this University after 2004 should be given Pension. He pointed out that the Punjab Government has done this.

Shri Deepak Kaushik said that the issue of Pension is pending for quite some time. He pleaded that the option of Pension should be reopened. The employees, who have joined the University service up to 2012, should given the option of Pension again. He was supported by Dr. Ajay Ranga.

Principal Gurdip Kumar Sharma cautioned the Vice-Chancellor to see his finances first.

Shri V.K. Sibal said that he (Vice-Chancellor) must tell them as to what decision they had taken. He clarified that they have no power to extend the probation period and he wanted to say it very clearly and completely with all the experience behind him. So kindly let him know as to what decision has been taken.

Professor Navdeep Goyal stated that the amendment in Pension Regulations had been approved by the Syndicate and the Senate to effect that those, who would come to the University from pensionable Institution, they would get the Pension benefit. Unfortunately, the afore-said amendment is pending there. That was why, different people are suffering on this count. This is not the only lady, who has asked for extension in her probation period. In fact, several other persons had asked for extension in probation and this House had allowed them. Its only reason is that the amendment in Regulations is pending at the higher level. Since it is pending there, the people are unsure and asking for this benefit. He, therefore, pleaded that she should be given the benefit extension in her probation period.

Professor Keshav Malhotra stated that the case of Professor Upasana Joshi Sethi was discussed in detail in the meeting of the Syndicate, and they had also made a request to Shri Gurjot Singh Malhi and made aware him about the entire issue that this is not the first lady. Five-six similar cases had already been done. Neither the mistake is on their (persons) part nor their's (members). The case of Pension is pending at the higher level, and they would get Pension only when the case is cleared by the MHRD. The PUTA, Finance & Development Officer and the Vice-Chancellor himself is make a lot of efforts to get the cases, which are pending at the level of MHRD/UGC, cleared at the earliest. He hoped that it is a matter of only few months. If this case is cleared by the MHRD later on, but the probation period of this lady is not extended by the Senate today and she leaves the University, it would be a loss of the University. She is doing a wonderful job as Director of University Institute of Applied Management Science. In

fact, such things demotivate their teachers. He requested Shri Malhi to allow this case to be cleared as an exceptional case.

Shri V.K. Sibal said that he could only say that they are discussing the issue of probation and are not discussing the issue of Pension. Pension issue is extremely different from the probation. The person, who is the subject of this issue, has a choice as to what she wanted to do. Either they should say that her work is unsatisfactory, and if they say that then they have to terminate her services. However, if her work is excellent, then where is the possibility of putting her on probation? When they put somebody on probation, the purpose of that is that they want to satisfy whether one work is satisfactory or not. So let them should not confuse the issue. If they have any problem with him, then he could suggest that they should go for legal advice, and subject to that do what they want. However, if her probation period is extended, his dissent should be recorded. He added that even if they had done certain wrong things in the past, did it mean that they are bound to do what they did earlier. They have to see what the situation from the present perspective irrespective of what they had done in the past.

Professor Ronki Ram said that even if the case of Pension is cleared by the MHRD, the problem would still come because Pension is given to those persons, who were in job till 31st December 2003; however, they should have opted for the same. Those who did not opt, would not get Pension.

Professor Rajesh Gill said that they authorize him (Vice-Chancellor), and he should examine the whole issue and take a decision.

Shri Deepak Kaushik stated that he agreed that this is not a Pension issue. Hon'ble Sibal ji has also said that it is not a Pension issue and this is another issue. However, the issues of employees related to probation as well as Pension, which lead to pension benefit at a later stage. As such, these are inter-connected to each other. When Pension was approved, there were three persons namely, he himself, Professor Ronki Ram and Shri Dharam Paul Sharma, former President, Panjab University Non-teaching Staff Association, who had staged a Dharna in front of the office of the Vice-Chancellor. It is true that they had opted for the Pension. They are telling a lie. MHRD did not have anything to do with the Pension. MHRD has given its statement in the form of an affidavit in the Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court. The former Registrar has also accepted in the affidavit MHRD did not have anything with the Pension, and it is to be done by the Syndicate alone. Owing to certain reasons even the then Vice-Chancellor could not opt for the Pension and today he is also one of the petitioners. He, therefore, requested that they should not have any ill-will against each other, i.e., they had got the Pension and would not allow others to get. Keeping this in mind, the employees, who have joined the University service till 2012, should again be given the option of Pension, and it is absolutely necessary.

Dr. Ajay Ranga said that when it has been done in Punjab Government and Punjabi University, Patiala as well as in Guru Nanak Dev University, Amritsar, and whosoever has joined there after 1st January 2004, have been given the option of Pension, and the Pension has been implemented. As said by Shri Deepak Kaushik, if it is within the powers of the Syndicate, then it could also be decided by the Senate, and this benefit be given to everyone and why to only few people.

RESOLVED: That the recommendation of the Syndicate contained in **Items C-5 on the agenda**, be approved.

Shri V.K. Sibal got his dissent recorded.

IX. The recommendation of the Syndicate contained in **Item C-6** on the agenda was read out, viz. –

C-6. That the resignation of Dr. Akshat Mehta, Assistant Professor, Centre for Police Administration, be accepted w.e.f. 29.12.2016 i.e. the date of his relieving from the University on account of sanction of leave without pay to join as Associate Professor, Police Administration, Raksha Shakti University, Ahmedabad, under Regulation 6 at page 118 of P.U. Calendar, Volume-I, 2007.

(Syndicate dated 27.08.2018 Para 11)

Initiating discussion, Shri V.K. Sibal stated that the resignations are accepted from the date on which the persons submit their resignation. There is no provision again under the law that they could accept the resignation of a person when he/she has not submitted his resignation. This gentleman has applied only on 16th June 2018, whereas they (University) wanted him to have resigned in 2016. How could they do this? This is again violative of administrative norms. This is a concession for which they are not empowered. In fact, no authority is empowered to give this concession because he has an opportunity to withdraw his resignation. Once he gives his resignation, he could not say that please accept it from the previous year, i.e., two years earlier. Therefore, they should accept his resignation only from 2018.

Shri Jagdeep Kumar said that Shri V.K. Sibal is absolutely right.

RESOLVED: That the resignation of Dr. Akshat Mehta, Assistant Professor, Centre for Police Administration, be accepted w.e.f. 20th June, 2018, i.e. the date of submission of resignation.

X. The recommendation of the Syndicate contained in **Item C-7** on the agenda was read out, viz.:-

C-7. That the resignation of Shri Amandeep Singh, Programmer (Sr. Scale), UIET, PU be accepted w.e.f. 14.03.2016 (duly recommended by the departmental Academic & Administrative Committee) with the condition that a sum equal to his pay & allowances for period falling short i.e. 3 months, in lieu of prior notice, be deducted from his P.F. A/c No. 7519, under Rule 16.1 at page 84, P.U. Calendar, Volume-III 2009.

(Syndicate dated 23.09.2018 Para 4).

While taking up Item C-7, the members were of the unanimous view that same decision as for C-6 be also applied to this item.

RESOLVED: That the resignation of Shri Amandeep Singh, Programmer (Sr. Scale), UIET, PU be accepted w.e.f. 02.04.2018 (instead of 14.03.2016), i.e., the date on which he submitted his application for resignation, subject to the condition that a sum equal to his pay & allowances for the notice period of 3 months, be deducted from his P.F. A/c No. 7519, under Rule 16.1 at page 84, P.U. Calendar, Volume-III 2009.

Senate Proceedings dated 3rd November, 2018

XI. The recommendation of the Syndicate contained in **Item C-8** on the agenda was read out, viz. –

C-8. That the following Superintendents (enlisted at Sr. No. 1 to 114 (except Sr. No. 1 and 87), be confirmed in their posts w.e.f. the date mentioned against each:

Sr. No.	Name of the person	Branch/ Department	Date of Appointment as Superintendent	Date of Confirmation
1.	Sh. Surinder Kumar Thind	VVBIS & IS, Hoshiarpur	26.08.2014	26.08.2015 NOTE: An enquiry is pending against him in a fraud case.
2.	Mrs. Veena	Re-evaluation	28.06.2011	27.08.2015
3.	Sh. C.S. Rahi (Retd. on 31.1.2017)	Estt-I	05.07.2011 (A.N.)	28.08.2015
4.	Sh. Balbir Singh (Retd. on 28.2.2017)	Accounts	06.07.2011	29.08.2015
5.	Sh. Madan Gopal Singh (Retd. on 28.2.2018)	Exams.	03.08.2011	30.08.2015
6.	Mrs. Mamta Kumari Ghai (Retd. on 30.6.2016)	R & D (R & S)	14.10.2011	31.08.2015
7.	Mrs. Santosh Kumari	UIET	01.08.2011	01.09.2015
8.	Sh. V.K. Mahajan (Retd. on 31.12.2016)	R & S	03.05.2011	02.09.2015
9.	Sh. Prit Pal Singh (Retd. on 31.3.2016)	Exams.-III	07.09.2011 (A.N.)	03.09.2015
10.	Sh. Dhara Dutt	Accounts	08.09.2011	04.09.2015
11.	Sh. Omesh Verma	Conduct	08.11.2011	05.09.2015
12.	Sh. Kamal Kumar (Retd. on 30.6.2018)	Research Promotion Cell	16.11.2011	06.09.2015
13.	Sh. Mohinder Singh (Retd. on 31.3.2018)	Exams.	17.11.2011	07.09.2015
14.	Mrs. Pawan Kumari Aneja	Accounts	09.01.2012	08.09.2015
15.	Sh. Hari Din (Retd. on 31.8.2016)	Exam.-II	09.01.2012	09.09.2015
16.	Sh. Arvinder Singh, (Retd. on 30.09.2016)	Dr. H.S.J. Institute of Dental Sciences & Hospital	09.01.2012	10.09.2015
17.	Sh. Surinder Kumar, (Retd. on 31.5.2017)	Exams	01.02.2012	11.09.2015
18.	Sh. Vinod Kumar Singla, (Retd. on 31.3.2018)	U.B.S.	08.02.2012	12.09.2015

Senate Proceedings dated 3rd November, 2018

Sr. No.	Name of the person	Branch/ Department	Date of Appointment as Superintendent	Date of Confirmation
19.	Sh. Rajinder Singh Negi, (Retd. on 30.11.2015)	General	03.02.2012	13.09.2015
20.	Sh. Kamal Singh Jamwal, (Retd. on 31.3.2016)	UIHMT	03.02.2012	14.09.2015
21.	Mrs. Prem Lata	UIAMS	03.02.2012	15.09.2015
22.	Mrs. Suman Minglani nee Suman Arora	Accounts	03.02.2012	16.09.2015
23.	Mrs. Shalta Kumari (Retired on 31.08.2017)	Exams.	06.03.2012	17.09.2015
24.	Mrs. Manju Dhand (Retd. on 31.3.2018)	Secrecy	06.03.2012	18.09.2015
25.	Sh. Ashok Kumar (Retd. on 31.4.2018)	UMC	14.03.2012	19.09.2015
26.	Mrs. Chander Kanta, (Retd. on 31.5.2018)	USOL	13.03.2012	20.09.2015
27.	Mrs. Neelam Kapur nee Neelam Jethi	Exams	15.03.2012 (A.N.)	21.09.2015
28.	Sh. Damodar Dass, (Retd. on 31.7.2016)	Accounts	15.05.2012	22.09.2015
29.	Sh. Kishan Singh, (Retd. on 31.12.16)	Exams	01.08.2012	23.09.2015
30.	Sh. Ashwani Kumar, (Retd. on 31.5.2016)	Estt.-I	02.08.2012	24.09.2015
31.	Mrs. Prem Lata Joshi, (Retd. on 25.2.2017)	Accounts	01.08.2012	25.09.2015
32.	Sh. Ranjit Singh (Retd. on 28.2.2017)	CIL	03.08.2012	26.09.2015
33.	Mrs. Kiran Kashyap nee Kiran Malhi, (Vol. Retd. on 30.06.2016)	USOL	07.08.2012	27.09.2015
34.	Sh. Manjit Singh, (Retd. on 30.11.2017)	Dr. H.S.J. Institute of Dental Science & Hospital	14.08.2012	28.09.2015
35.	Sh. Surjit Singh. (Retd. on 31.12.17)	Exams	27.08.2012	29.09.2015
36.	Mrs. Kiran Bala, (Retd. on 31.12.17)	Accounts	03.09.2012	30.09.2015
37.	Sh. Rakesh Kumar, (Retd. on 31.1.2016)	Conduct	04.09.2012	01.10.2015
38.	Sh. Ashok Rampal, (Retd. on 30.4.2016)	UIET	05.10.2012	02.10.2015
39.	Sh. Surinder Kumar (Retd. on 31.3.2017)	XEN Office	18.10.2012	03.10.2015

Senate Proceedings dated 3rd November, 2018

Sr. No.	Name of the person	Branch/ Department	Date of Appointment as Superintendent	Date of Confirmation
40.	Mrs. Kailash Kumari (Retd. on 31.5.2017)	USOL	01.11.2012	04.10.2015
41.	Mrs. Charanjit Kaur	D.S.W.'s Office	01.11.2012	05.10.2015
42.	Mrs. Sarita Sharma,	USOL	08.11.2012	06.10.2015
43.	Mrs. Dinesh Kumari Sharma	CET Cell	16.11.2012	07.10.2015
44.	Mrs. Anju.	Exams	16.11.2012	08.10.2015
45.	Sh. Mohan Bir Singh,	Accounts	06.02.2013	09.10.2015
46.	Mrs. Nisha Lakhanpal,	Exam.	22.03.2013	10.10.2015
47.	Sh. Ved Parkash Bhardwaj (Retd. on 28.2.2017)	Office of the Vice-Chancellor	02.04.2013	11.10.2015
48.	Mrs. Dolly (Retd. on 31.7.2017)	Computer Unit	09.04.2013	12.10.2015
49.	Mrs. Kailash Rani	U.B.S.	02.05.2013	13.10.2015
50.	Sh. G.J. Hardy	Estt.-II	02.05.2013	14.10.2015
51.	Sh. Ashwani Kumar Chopra (Retd. on 30.9.2016)	Secrecy	04.06.2013	15.10.2015
52.	Sh. Sanjeev Kumar	UMC	02.08.2013	16.10.2015
53.	Sh. Ravinder Trikha	R & S	05.08.2013	17.10.2015
54.	Mrs. Komal Sharma	Secrecy	02.09.2013	18.10.2015
55.	Mrs. Kiran Sharma	Exams.	21.10.2013	19.10.2015
56.	Sh. Bhupinder Singh	Computer Unit	10.01.2014	20.10.2015
57.	Sh. Hari Om (Retd. on 31.3.2016)	Exams.	15.01.2014	21.10.2015
58.	Sh. Anil Kumar Sharma,	Accounts	20.01.2014	22.10.2015
59.	Mrs. Savita Rani Sharma,	USOL	27.01.2014	23.10.2015
60.	Sh. Deepak Kaushik,	Mathematics	04.02.2014	24.10.2015
61.	Sh. Raj Pal Rana (Retd. on 30.4.2018)	Sports	19.02.2014	25.10.2015
62.	Sh. Hans Raj	Law	28.03.2014 (A.N.)	26.10.2015
63.	Mrs. Indu Anand,	Accounts	15.04.2014	27.10.2015

Senate Proceedings dated 3rd November, 2018

Sr. No.	Name of the person	Branch/ Department	Date of Appointment as Superintendent	Date of Confirmation
64.	Sh. Sachendra Singh Rawat	Estt.-II	15.04.2014	28.10.2015
65.	Sh. Subhash Chand (Retd. on 31.5.2016)	Colleges	01.05.2014	29.10.2015
66.	Sh. Sukhpal Singh	C.V.O.'s Office	01.05.2014	30.10.2015
67.	Mrs. Swaran Kaur	R & S	02.06.2014	31.10.2015
68.	Sh. Sanjay Kumar Bhasin	Estt.-II	02.06.2014	01.11.2015
69.	Sh. Vasu Dev	Secrecy	02.06.2014	02.11.2015
70.	Sh. Rajesh Kumar Gupta	Conduct	02.06.2014	03.11.2015
71.	Sh. Sunil Kumar Gupta	Secrecy	02.06.2014	04.11.2015
72.	Mrs. Monika Chopra	COE's Office	23.06.2014	05.11.2015
73.	Sh. Devinder Kumar	Computer Unit	01.07.2014	06.11.2015
74.	Sh. Rajan Sharma	Office of the Vice-Chancellor	01.07.2014	07.11.2015
75.	Sh. Manmohan Jit Handa (Retd. on 31.01.2018)	R & S	07.07.2014	08.11.2015
76.	Sh. Sanjay Kaul	Secrecy	04.07.2014	09.11.2015
77.	Sh. Rajinder Kumar,	Conduct	10.07.2014	10.11.2015
78.	Sh. Ranjit Singh	Dr. H.S.J. Institute of Dental Sciences & Hospital	01.09.2014	11.11.2015
79.	Sh. Vijay Kumar	Estt.-II	01.09.2014	12.11.2015
80.	Sh. Sukhdev Sharma	General	01.09.2014	13.11.2015
81.	Ms. Gunita Sharma	Accounts	22.10.2014	14.11.2015
82.	Sh. Ram Parkash	UIET	14.11.2014	15.11.2015
83.	Mrs. Sushma Khillan	UMC	13.11.2014	16.11.2015
84.	Ms. Kiran Bala	Geology	26.11.2014	26.11.2015
85.	Sh. Umesh Johar	Accounts	26.11.2014	27.11.2015
86.	Mrs. Shakuntla Kumari	Exams	05.12.2014	05.12.2015

Senate Proceedings dated 3rd November, 2018

Sr. No.	Name of the person	Branch/ Department	Date of Appointment as Superintendent	Date of Confirmation
87.	Sh. Naresh Sabharwal	UIPS	10.12.2014	10.12.2015 (Placed under suspension, w.e.f 28.9.2015)
88.	Sh. Parkas Chand, (Retd. on 31.12.2017)	Publication Bureau	01.01.2015	01.01.2016
89.	Sh. Lajja Ram Hans, (Retd. on 31.05.2016)	Accounts	01.01.2015	02.01.2016
90.	Sh. Samil Masih (Retd. on 31.10.2017)	Exams	06.01.2015	06.01.2016
91.	Sh. Parveen Kumar	Office of the DUI	05.01.2015	07.01.2016
92.	Sh. Rajinder Kumar	Colleges	05.01.2015	08.01.2016
93.	Mrs. Indu Thapliyal,	Conduct	12.01.2015	12.01.2016
94.	Mrs. Shashi Gupta,	Office of the DCDC	12.01.2015	13.01.2016
95.	Sh. Shashi Parkash Sharma	Accounts	18.03.2015	18.03.2016
96.	Sh. Sandeep Grover,	Exams	18.03.2015	19.03.2016
97.	Mrs. Suman Sharma	Youth Welfare	18.03.2015	20.03.2016
98.	Sh. Sanjeev Kumar Kamboj	General	21.04.2015	21.04.2016
99.	Sh. Puran Chand Thakur	Sociology	21.04.2015	22.04.2016
100	Mrs. Sunita Rani,	Accounts	21.04.2015	23.04.2016
101	Sh. Sandeep Arora	Conduct	09.05.2015	09.05.2016
102	Sh. Gandharv Singh (Retd. on 31.03.2017)	C.O.E's Office	12.05.2015	12.05.2016
103	Sh. Suresh Kumar	Public Administration	01.06.2015	01.06.2016
104	Sh. Ram Nath alias Ram Singh	Estt.-I	02.06.2015	02.06.2016
105	Mrs. Rani Mann	Re-evaluation	04.07.2015	04.07.2016
106	Sh. Bachan Singh	R.T.I. Cell	04.07.2015	05.07.2016
107	Sh. Bhumi Singh	Estate Cell	06.07.2015	06.07.2016
108	Sh. Dharam Pal	Accounts	10.08.2015 (A.N.)	11.08.2016
109	Mrs. Sunila Narang	Secrecy	09.09.2015	09.09.2016
110	Mrs. Darshan Kaur	Exams	09.09.2015	10.09.2016

Sr. No.	Name of the person	Branch/ Department	Date of Appointment as Superintendent	Date of Confirmation
111	Sh. Chaman Lal	Accounts	09.09.2015	11.09.2016
112	Sh. Jagtar Singh	R & S	09.10.2015	09.10.2016
113	Sh. Dinesh Deep Mehta	Exams	08.10.2015	10.10.2016
114	Sh. Mahesh Kumar	CET	08.10.2015	11.10.2016

- NOTE:** 1. The date of confirmation of these Superintendant is on the basis of availability of permanent slots.
2. The confirmation of Shri Surinder Kumar Thind (Sr. No. 1) and Shri Naresh Sabharawal (Sr. No. 87) be kept pending till such time their pending court cases/enquiry cases are finalised by the competent authorities. Their cases will be considered later on for which 02 vacant slots have been kept reserved for their confirmation.
3. The Syndicate while recommending the confirmation of above Superintendents has also resolved that, for future, in order to look into the issue threadbare regarding confirmation of employees at the initial stage of their joining the service, the Vice Chancellor be authorised to constitute a Committee, the stakeholders be also taken into confidence before taking a final decision.

(Syndicate dated 23.09.2018 Para 5)

Shri V.K. Sibal stated that if they go through page 118, they would find that 33 of these persons have already retired, and now they have no connection with the University. Could they confirm somebody who is not on the rolls of the University? If he is not on the rolls of the Government, the Government could not consider him for a benefit because he has retired. Out of them 33 have already retired and once they have retired, their connection with the University has cut off. Thus, the physical cord has gone, and if the physical cord has gone, there is no point in confirming them. He enquired against which post they are confirming them. Are they confirming them against the posts on which they were working earlier? As such, it is absurd again. So he would request him (Vice-Chancellor) to look at it.

Dr. Ajay Ranga said that they authorize the Vice-Chancellor to get it examine and, thereafter, take a final decision in the matter.

The Vice-Chancellor said, "Okay".

When Shri Deepak Kaushik, after the lunch, enquired as to what decision has been taken in Item 8, some of the members replied that Item 8 has already been approved.

RESOLVED: That the recommendation of the Syndicate contained in **Item C-8 on the agenda**, be approved.

XII. The recommendation of the Syndicate contained in **Item C-9 on the agenda** was read out viz. –

C-9. That the deemed date of confirmation of Dr. Amarjit Singh Naura as Assistant Professor (now Associate Professor), Department of Biochemistry, P.U., be fixed as 29.06.2011 i.e. after completion one year from the date of his joining on notional basis i.e. 29.06.2010 on his previous post i.e. Assistant Professor.

(Syndicate dated 14.10.2018 (Para 3))

Shri V.K. Sibal said that this person ought to be given a notional benefit on the basis of a Court decision, but that Court decision is not before them. How could he say anything on it? Secondly, the Court decision is in regard to a different person. As such, this item should be withdrawn, and should be placed again with proper background and documents.

The Vice-Chancellor said, “Okay”.

RESOLVED: That, in view of the discussion, Item C-9 on the agenda, be withdrawn and placed again with proper background and relevant documents.

XIII. The recommendation of the Syndicate contained in **Item C-10 on the agenda** was read out, viz. –

C-10 That the recommendations dated 23.07.2018 (Item No. 10, 11 & 14) of the Executive Committee of PUSC, be approved.

NOTE: The language under Item No. 10 of the recommendations of PUSC be properly worded.

(Syndicate dated 27.08.2018 Para 3)

Dr. Ajay Ranga said that there is big problem in Item C-10.

Professor Akhtar Mahmood said that Item C-10 refers to agenda of 8.7.2018. In fact, it is a different kind of thing. If they look at the first page, it says “Item C-10 of agenda dated 8.7.2018”. It did not relate to this. Actually, it related to old agenda, whereas they are discussing the new agenda.

It was clarified that Item C-10 of old agenda has been withdrawn.

Principal Gurdip Kumar Sharma enquired as to what problem is there in Item C-10. In fact, Item C-10 related to sports.

Dr. Ragbir Dayal stated that in Item C-10, he is unable to find the recommendations of Panjab University Sports Committee. He thought that the same are missing. He enquired as to where are the recommendations of the Panjab University Sport Committee? How would they be able to speak on this item? When it was told that the recommendations are available there, Dr. Dayal said that on page 112, only the names of 30 members existed, but the recommendations are not there. When one of the members pointed out that the recommendations are available on page 113, Dr. Dayal said that page 113 is not made available to him. How would he be able to speak, especially when the recommendations of Sport Committee have not been

made available to him? Why his page has been left blank. Is it deliberately on the part of the people, who had prepared the agenda or it is just by chance?

Shri V.K. Sibal, responding to Item C-10, pointed out that it says that the language under Item C-10 needed to be properly worded. Are they to approve something which is not properly worded? They must know as to what the language is. Therefore, he is observing that it is asking them to hustling into its approval.

Dr. Ajay Ranga pointed out that the last line of the minutes at page says "Clears from the Audit Branch of the Panjab University out of the respective Panjab University Budget Head". They had stated that they would seek clearance from the audit later on, whereas whatever he knew is that a big objection of about 100-150 pages is there on it, i.e., on the distribution of money. Therefore, his request is that first clearance from the Audit should be sought and thereafter, the matter should be placed before the Senate because the Senate is the superintending body and not the Audit.

At this stage, it was suggested that by a couple of members that first Dr. Ranga should go through the paper(s), which had been provided to all the members, including him, today.

The Vice-Chancellor requested the members to go through all the papers which have been supplied to them today on table, and would come back to the item later on.

Some discussion relating to Item No. C-10 which took during discussion on Item C-16 has been appended here.

Dr. Neeru Malik intervened to say that she has to add one thing in Item No. C-10. It has been said that there are 125 objections of the audit and it is being assumed that the audit objections of the time of the present Director are not being cleared. She would like to tell the House that these objections relate to the cases which are lying pending since the last 20 years. The audit department which is working in the administrative office, it is doing its job and they should not point out any finger towards it.

Dr. Ajay Ranga intervened to say that how Dr. Neeru Malik could take a guarantee of the audit department that it would work in a proper way.

Continuing, Dr. Neeru Malik said that neither the audit would work according to her nor according to Dr. Ajay Ranga. She said that when she is addressing the House, Dr. Ranga should also listen patiently what is being said.

Dr. Ajay Ranga said that he would tell about the corruption being done there. The persons, who are ineligible, how they have been appointed?

Dr. Neeru Malik said that in the PUSC, there are 30 members who have submitted the report on 19th April, 2018 and the audit is looking into it. She said that she is member of that Committee, that is why, she is speaking. Addressing to Dr. Ranga, she said if one accepts what he says, then he supports him, otherwise he opposes it.

Dr. Ajay Ranga said that one person is misleading the House. He would not let anything happens illegal. The department is not their personal property.

A din prevailed at this stage and nothing was clearly audible.

RESOLVED: That in view of the discussion, the recommendations of the Syndicate contained in Items C-10 on the agenda, be referred back to the Executive Committee of PUSC.

XIV. The recommendation of the Syndicate contained in **Item C-11 on the agenda** was read out, viz. –

C-11. That the following new courses be started in the Department of Defence and Strategic Studies, University School of Open Learning (USOL), from the academic session 2018-2019 and Regulations/Rules be also approved

1. Postgraduate Diploma in Disaster Management and Corporate Security.
2. Certificate course in Corporate Security, Safety and Fire Protection Management

(Syndicate dated 27.08.2018 Para 4)

Initiating discussion, Dr. Raghbir Dayal stated that he had gone through the minutes relating to introduction of this Course at USOL. During the last 4-5 years, whenever the Budget Estimates are presented to the Senate, they had the opportunity to see the working of USOL. For the last some years, the revenue of USOL is stagnant. In fact, it is decreasing. He would like to draw his (Vice-Chancellor) attention to the Budget Estimates (Minutes of Board of Finance meeting), which had been provided to them. If they go through page 11 of the minutes of Board of Finance, the income of USOL in the year 2017-18 has decreased in comparison to the year 2016-17, and it is decreasing consistently. In the year 2016-17, the income of USOL from different courses was approximately Rs.16.5 crore (page 11 - Sr. No.A, Sub-clause-V, University School of Open Learning). He would like to draw their attention to the fact that the income derived by USOL from various courses is basically decreasing year after year. If they see the projection, it is Rs.20 crore, but in the Revised Estimates, the same has been brought down to Rs.16 crore. That meant, they are not having sufficient number of admissions at USOL. Somehow they are not able to attract good quality of courses and students. He did not know reasons for this. The new course in Disaster Management and Corporate Security, which they are starting, they have seen in it in the meeting of Faculty of Arts, when it was placed before the Faculty of Arts, the opinion of members was that this very course is being offered in the University itself at three different places, and they have only five students. It is true that Disaster Management is very important subject, but somewhere he (Vice-Chancellor) is also a Professor of Management and the person who is sitting beside him (Registrar) is also a Professor of Management. He thought that they are not able to see the proper optimization theory of these courses, i.e., whether they are economically viable or not. Tomorrow, when they would prepare notes for these courses, how much expenditure would be there, including engagement of faculty members? The additional expenditure would also increase the burden on the University. He thought that the USOL must see as to which courses are practically feasible. Secondly, it is astonishing that they had put asterisks at three places. At first place, it is written "decrease in the income". Why there is decrease in income, and the reply is number of NRI students and non-filling up of all seats in certain courses, but there is no number of seats at USOL. Hence, this asterisk is not valid here, and has just been put. The Registrar would inform them through him (Vice-Chancellor) as to how many NRI students got admissions at USOL.

The Vice-Chancellor stated that Dr. Raghbir Dayal has given a very strong and important input regarding the decreasing trend of income and other things. He is going to do something important in the USOL. There was some problem in certain courses being offered at USOL at the UGC level, but now the things had been made clear to them. His focus is to include some more dimensions because this is a very important School. A very big challenge before them is how to raise/generate the funds. In this regard, there is a clear-cut directive from the UGC and the MHRD that they should introduce new courses to generate funds. They had also been given direction so far as deficit of the University is concerned, and the only way is that they have to generate the funds through their own sources. As such, whatever is being told, he would keep it in mind.

Dr. Raghbir Dayal said that he is talking about the existing courses, and the existing courses are losing their relevance day-by-day. What are the reasons – whether owing to decrease in fees or there is not enough quality of material available with the students. So he would request him (Vice-Chancellor) that in the next Senate meeting when the Budget Estimates for the year 2019-2020 would be presented, the detailed position of USOL, i.e., from where the money is coming (from which courses the money is coming – detail-wise report of the courses) and what is the income and what is the expenditure, should be presented. Only then they would be able to assess the position as to which courses are practically feasible, and which are being run only for the sake of being run.

The Vice-Chancellor said, “Noted”.

Professor Emanuel Nahar, referring to the Budget Estimates for the year 2016-16, clarified that during the year 2016-17, they had been offering B.Ed. course, which was later on discontinued. There were 500 seats in that B.Ed. course, and with the discontinuation of the B.Ed. course, they lose an income of Rs.3 crore. So far as introduction of the courses under consideration are concerned, only the existing faculty would run these courses, and no additional faculty is required for this purpose. However, so far as strength of students is concerned, it is increasing and comparatively it is not decreasing.

Dr. Dalip Kumar, endorsing the viewpoints expressed by Dr. Raghbir Dayal, stated that when new courses are started in the affiliated Colleges, Committees are formed and several things are seen, i.e., how many classrooms, labs., etc. are there, whether requisite software and human resource is there, when new courses are started in the University as well, the University also constitute its Committees to work out whether the courses are feasible or not. For affiliated Colleges, they had different type conditions, and big Committees usually visited the Colleges. He, therefore, suggested that a system should also be devised in the University so that they could review the courses as has been suggested by Dr. Raghbir Dayal.

Shri Deepak Kaushik stated that he would like to make a request to him (Vice-Chancellor), and in fact, to the House that it is good that they are introducing new courses in the University. Earlier also, 166 new courses were introduced, but nobody assessed as to who would run these courses. If they recruited non-teaching staff, only then they would be able to run the courses. MHRD is giving instructions to the University from time to time to start the new courses and it is good, but the MHRD should also be told about the condition imposed by it that no employee is to be recruited. If employees are not to be recruited, who would work and support the teachers and the students. Whether the faculty members would be able to do the work of non-teaching staff or they would do the teaching. Therefore, his request to the Vice-

Chancellor is whether he talked to the MHRD or not, they are least concerned with it, but the only request is that if new courses are to be introduced, non-teaching staff should also be recruited, and only then the new courses should be introduced.

The Vice-Chancellor stated that he is fully concerned with this, and is of the view that every component in the system of the University is very-very important – whether it is teaching or non-teaching. Even the unskilled people, who are putting in their whole hearted efforts for the upgradation of the entire system are also very important. As such, it is his concern. He could assure them that very soon they would see a lot of changes in the USOL. He has brought a professional type of thing, and notification of the same would be made soon. Whatever their concern is, he full agreed with it as to why their courses could not become popular. That would also been seen by him deeply. Since they all shared resources in the USOL, there would be no need to have more resources at USOL. Even if the need of resources arose, it would be seen. Ultimately, they would be in a position to convince the MHRD for releasing the funds. They have already vacant posts owing to imposition of ban. He is preparing the justification for filling up the vacant positions. He would get proposal prepared in accordance with the justification, and then talk to the MHRD. There is nothing to worry. Whether it is their concern or not, but he is fully concerned with them as all this could not be done without the non-teaching staff.

Shri Deepak Kaushik said that it is not his intent, he is tell them the factual position. He is not talking only about the USOL. He had also told him (Vice-Chancellor) during the retirement function that if he obtains data from 2010 onwards, he would himself see as how many employees had retired. On an average, 10 employees retired every month. He is talking about the entire University.

Dr. Raghbir Dayal stated that, with his (Vice-Chancellor) permission, he would like to add one more line that name of the USOL building is “Suraj Bhan Hall”. When he was coming in the morning to attend this meeting, he observed that “A” letter is missing in the “Hall”. He urged the Vice-Chancellor get the needful done.

The Vice-Chancellor requested the members to keep on giving suggestions.

Shri Jarnail Singh stated that Dr. Raghbir Dayal has definitely raised a very important issue. What he has raised is that they are offering these three courses at 3 places and they are having only five students. If it is a fact, is it advisable to start the non-viable course(s) at USOL. Did they start the courses for the adjustment of certain faculty members in certain other courses? Let them start the courses with caution. His only request is that they should not introduce new courses, especially where there is no taker. In the end, Shri Jarnail Singh said that he could not meet him (Vice-Chancellor), and a lot of congratulations to him from his side. They welcome him (Vice-Chancellor) in the Panjab University. He had heard a lot of praise of him (Vice-Chancellor), but their University is also one of the premier Universities of the country, and it is a mother University of various other Universities of the region. He also welcomed Professor Karamjeet Singh for joining as Registrar of the University.

The Vice-Chancellor said that he had already accepted that he is student of Banaras Hindu University, he has taught there, and he is the alumnus of BHU. However, from 23rd July 2018 he had forgotten the Banaras Hindu University. Now, he belonged to Panjab University.

Dr. Raghbir Dayal remarked that he (Vice-Chancellor) should remember it. He also remarked that in this way after 3 years, he (Vice-Chancellor) would forget Panjab University also.

The Vice-Chancellor said that it is not like that. In fact, now it is his University to which he likes to highlight. They should also say at different places that their University is the only University, which related to two countries. It is a University, the history of which is 136 years old, and it was started in one country, and it had camp offices at several places in other country, and thereafter, its establishment started from the year 1958. He thought that it is very rare and perhaps the only one University in the country. When were regulations relating to the Syndicate and Senate of the University drafted? Such a system did not exist anywhere. In fact, they are the unparallel.

Shri Sanjay Tandon remarked that earlier also, it was one country and today also it is a one country. Earlier also, that was India and today also that country is India. Therefore, they should not take it to that side. It is true that their Institution is very old, but they should concentrate on the agenda.

Shri Rashpal Malhotra stated that the observation, which has come on Item C-11 is a reality, but he would like to tell them that both these courses are developing and how many thousand crores of rupees had been set aside for the social responsibility. Similarly, several incidents of disaster management were being happening in different places in the country. Keeping in view the viewpoints expressed by Dr. Raghbir Dayal, he would like to suggest that these courses needed to be properly marketed. Marketing in these courses is required because there is an acute shortage of human resources in both these areas. However, Panjab University has nothing to do in the matter because the funds are to be allocated by the Government. But he would like to tell his friends that these courses are really important, however; the marketing is required. They should take into confidence some of the industrialists in this region, particularly in Punjab, and they would not only find a lot of response, but also get funds for the purpose.

Principal R.S. Jhanji stated that his friend, Dr. Raghbir Dayal, has raised a very valid point. He must this because it is the social responsibility of the Corporate also. Of course, these two courses are very relevant and innovative, and the University should encourage such types of Certificate and Diploma Courses and, in fact, many more Diploma and Certificate Courses, but keeping in view the employability factor. The geographical and regional conditions matter a lot, but they could encourage such types of Certificate, Diploma and Advanced Diploma courses also. However, again as suggested by Shri Malhotra, marketing of the courses is required. They have also to see from where they would get the students because if they employed the faculty members, but if the course(s) is/are not viable, then again it would be financial problem for the University. He reiterated that such types of courses should be encouraged, but keeping in view the employability and strength of the students. In fact, the faculty should re-examine or should cautiously proceed further in the matter or many other courses as well.

Professor Akhtar Mahmood said that whenever they start a new course, there is always a problem about the number of students, who would be joining the said course. He pointed out that there is a condition in the University that if the number of the students is less than 10, they should not start the course. However, it did not mean that the introduction of the course should not be approved. It should be approved, but

if the number of admission seekers is less than 10, keeping in view the existing practice/rules, the course should be kept in abeyance.

Principal Narinder Singh Sidhu said that it is a good initiative by the University, but he would like to request that many more such courses (short-term courses, i.e., six months, 1-Year, etc.) should be identified, and they should be made available to the affiliated Colleges also. This would definitely spread the focus to different areas of Punjab. Though he had spoken about this on other different fora, nothing has been done so far.

Principal Gurdip Sharma suggested that a Committee, comprising of members from the University and affiliated Colleges belonging to different fields, should be constituted for the purpose. In fact, they are lagging behind in this area. They are lagging behind even from Guru Nanak Dev University, Amritsar. Guru Nanak Dev University has started a number of such courses. He suggested that a list of courses should be ready for the Colleges from which they could opt the courses. Therefore, a Committee should be constituted, which should include Professors of the University and Principals of the Colleges.

Shri Jagdeep Kumar said that the teachers of the Colleges should also be included in the Committee being suggested by Principal Gurdip Sharma.

Dr. Dayal Partap Singh Randhawa stated that they started the meeting by paying condolence to the deceased. There could be any type of disaster which may occur from any kind of natural calamity or there could also be man-made disaster. As such, this is a very important and relevant subject, and it must be pressed and should not be confined to a specific course. They should try to teach the students and make them learn as to how handle, work and act in such situations. Hence, his request is that they had one qualifying subject at the undergraduate level, viz. Environmental Education, to obtain the degree. He suggested that this (Disaster Management) should be made part and parcel of the Environmental Education. In any, they must train the students as to how to handle and act at the time of such calamity.

Dr. Baljinder Singh stated that they are associated with only two agencies – (i) CBRM, and (ii) NDRF, and these are the two agencies at the National level, which impart the training. If somehow, he (Vice-Chancellor) could establish a Centre of CBRM at the campus, it would really help in running such type of courses.

Dr. Dalip Kumar said that there are already Disaster Groups in the affiliated Colleges, under the Career Oriented Programme. He suggested that some weightage of the same should be given so that there is interaction.

RESOLVED: That the recommendations of the Syndicate contained in **Items C-11 on the agenda**, be approved.

RESOLVED FURTHER: That a Committee be constituted to carry out feasibility study before starting various new courses in the University campus as well as in the colleges.

XV. Considered the recommendations of the Board of Finance (**Items C-12 on the agenda**) contained in the minutes of its meeting dated 16.07.2018, as endorsed by the Syndicate dated **27.08.2018 Para 15**):

Item No. 1

That the Revised Estimates of 2018-19 (**Appendix - I**), be approved:

Note: The copies of the minutes of the meeting of the Budget Estimate Committee are enclosed.

Item No. 10

That an amount of Rs.7,07,000/- be deposited with the General Post Office (GPO) for issuance of Commemorative Postage Stamp on Professor Ram Chand Paul out of Budget Head "General Administration" Sub Head "Office & General Expenses".

- Note:**
1. A proposal in this regard was sent by the Vice Chancellor to Ministry of Communications and Information Technology for issuance of a Commemorative Postage Stamp on Professor Ram Chand Paul to mark his 100th Birth Anniversary year. A brief write up on Prof. Ram Chand Paul is attached at **Appendix -)**
 2. The communication from the Ministry of Communication in this regard has been received approving the issuance of Commemorative Postage Stamp of the denomination of Rs. 5/- only as one time exercise (**Appendix -)**The breakup of the amount as communicated by the Ministry is as under:

Sr. No	Description	Quantity	Amount in (in Rs.)
1.	Stamps @ Rs. 5/-	100000	500000/-
2.	First Day Cover (blank) @ Rs. 5/-	2500	12500/-
3.	First Day Cover (Cancelled) @ Rs. 16/-	1000	16000/-
4.	Brochure @ Rs 5/-	2500	12500/-
5.	Presentation Pack @ Rs. 100/-	200	20000/-
6.	Stamps Album (Deluxe) @ Rs. 300/-	20	6000/-
7.	Blow up (size 30" x 40") @ Rs. 8000/- (rate revised)	10	80000/-
8.	Blow up (size 20" x 24") @ Rs. 6000/- (rate revised)	10	60000/-
Total :			707000/-

Dr. Gurmit Singh stated that he has to say three points. One is that in accordance with the attached minutes, a sum of Rs.50 lacs has been earmarked under the "Earn While Learn". It is a very good scheme and they are trying a lot for the last one year, but at the same time they had a bitter experience as he had talked to the Finance & Development Officer and the Dean of University Instruction as well and had also recently written a letter to him (Vice-Chancellor). Citing an example, he said that in his Department the Library is being run by the students, and they had done an

exemplary work, and that too, without any remuneration. When they wrote to the Establishment Branch, the Branch put a condition that the amount incurred for the purpose would be charged against the vacant post of the Department. If there is a vacant post, but the same is being charged somewhere, the post could not be treated as vacant. They should ensure that if an amount of Rs.50 lacs has been earmarked, the same should reach to the students. Now, they have reached in the month of December and they have earmarked a sum of Rs.50 lacs for the year 2018-19. He had also written a letter to the Secretary to Vice Chancellor in this regard and would like have his (Vice-Chancellor) personal intervention that if the students could be helped in one way or the other, no hindrance should be created in the way. Secondly, the amount, which is being given to the Department for the Seminars, is allocated differently to each Department. Citing example, he said that a Department had been sanctioned a sum of Rs.50,000/- and another Rs.20,000/- only and so on. He had learnt that several Departments did not claim the money as they faced a lot of problems in getting them adjusted. Now, the amount of Rs.50,000/- had been reduced to Rs.20,000/- only, which according to him is not a good for this University. He pointed out that out this amount of Rs.20,000/-, Rs.15,000/- would be spent on Auditorium. He, therefore, requested that the capping should be removed and at least the amount which was allocated earlier should be released to the Departments concerned. Thirdly, now what he is going to tell should not be taken otherwise. He personally did not know Professor R.C. Paul as he is not related to him anyway, and they saw his photograph in the Senate Hall only. But the amount of Rs.7 lacs which the University is paying for the release of postage stamp did not seem proper. The stamp would be released once. They should think whether the family of Subhash Chandra Bose had given money for the release of postage stamp in his memory. He suggested that in lieu of the release of stamp, they should spend a sum of Rs.7 lacs in the Department in his memory either in the form of construction of a new classroom, fitting of water cooler. On the one hand, the University is facing an acute financial crunch, and on the other hand, they are spending a sum of Rs.7 lacs only for getting a postage stamp released, and that too, one time. Had the proposal been received from his family, the matter would have been different. If the money is to be spent by the University, it should be for creation of infrastructure and the same could not be on the name of anybody, and that could be a symbol, e.g., A.C. Joshi Library. He, therefore, requested that they should reconsider the issue. He had not spoken in the beginning without any reason that he (Vice-Chancellor) should continue meeting the students. It should be ensured that it should be limited only to the talks/meetings. In fact, he (Vice-Chancellor) should promise that this amount of Rs.50 lacs would be distributed amongst the students because he doubts that this amount of Rs.50 lacs would remain untouched as is the case of various scholarships.

Shri Gurjot Singh Malhi said that he had raised the same thing in the Syndicate meeting, but the same was not clarified. In the Syndicate meeting, he had pointed that the amount of Rs.7 lacs is too much. However, it was clarified to him that Rs.5 lacs, which is for stamp, would actually be used by the University to send out letters, etc. If that is the case, then it is Rs.7 lacs minus Rs.5 lacs, i.e., Rs.2 lacs only which is being actually spent.

Dr. Gurmit Singh said that even if it is so, then why this amount be spent for all the former Vice-Chancellors. However, he is again saying he is not objecting to any individual. In fact, they should create something in his name.

Dr. Shaminder Singh Sandhu said that they seconded the suggestion given by Dr. Gurmit Singh that the funds should be used for the welfare of the students and the development of infrastructure in the University.

Shri Pawan Kumar Bansal stated that he also welcomed him (Vice-Chancellor) to this University and to the city. He is sure and confident that his stewardship would take the University to newer heights. Having said that he wished to come this particular issue which is before them. So far as his knowledge goes, there is an Advisory Committee of Government of India, and it is ultimately that Committee, which took such decisions. He has really never known that they charge money for this purpose. Thus, it is new to him that they have to pay for getting a stamp released. Might be, there are certain amendments in the subsequent years and this has been done. Many years back, he had been a member of this Committee. And what they really look into is, the relevance of particular person or the event. He thought that in this case, with due respect to all the Vice-Chancellors, and in fact, all the Vice-Chancellors had really contributed immensely to the welfare of the University and upliftment of the University, but here is a case where they are celebrating the centenary of Professor Ram Chand Paul, which is the relevant thing. If they were to ask somebody even after 105 years or so, they would outrightly reject it, and here also they did not know whether they would accept it or not. However, this is the relevant criteria on which might issue a stamp, and he thought that he (Vice-Chancellor) must check it again as he had brought an issue here as an agenda item, it could be right that now they might have started charging for this. However, he would not really be able to appreciate that the Government of India or any Government, maybe it had been done earlier, but they should bring it to their notice.

Dr. Gurmit Singh intervened to say that the commemorative stamps are issued only once.

Continuing, Shri Pawan Kumar Bansal stated that commemorative stamps are always issued only once and at that time, they printed only a particular number of stamps, and they also issued first day covers of that as well as the brochure containing the brief resume of the person concerned on whose honour the stamp is issued, but charging the money is not understandable. He suggested that they should impress upon the Government that the stamp should be issued. There is a convention that whenever a centenary of a person is celebrated, usually a stamp is released. Although nowadays the writing of letters has reduced, and the work of the Post Offices has reduced so far as letters are concerned, but on things, i.e., parcels, etc. stamps might be used, and on certain things, they also used the impressions of stamps. Still the stamps are good. Earlier also, the stamps had been issued in the name of the University, and the practice should continue, but they must take it up with the Department of Post that they should not charge any money from the University.

Shri Sanjay Tandon stated that, in fact, this issue had come up in the meeting of the Board of Finance, and when they discussed this issue pointed out by Shri Pawan Kumar Bansal, it was clarified that no money is spent to get the stamp issued. Secondly, the money has already been paid by the University. Thirdly, the stamps equivalent to this money would be given to the University, which would be used by the University itself. How they had arrived to the figure of Rs.5 lac, might be a question, but its misutilization is not there as has been pointed out by one of his friends as those stamps would be used by the University for the purpose of postage. It is incorrect to say that this money was earmarked for some other work and this money is being misutilized.

Shri Pawan Kumar Bansal said that if Rs.5 lacs are for this year and next year also it would be Rs.5 lacs, then there is no issue at all, and the stamps would be used by them in the years to come.

S. Tarlochan Singh, while welcoming the Vice-Chancellor, stated that he would like to say one thing that after a very long time, they are having a meeting which was being conducted in a very good atmosphere, they have been putting very good efforts and they should pray that this practice should continue. What is being discussed about the stamp, for their information, he has got released three stamps. These relate to Sardar Partap Singh Kairon, Sant Gian Singh Rarewala, on the centenary of Artist Shobha Singh. The rules of stamp releasing are those that whosoever applies for the stamp, it was his/her only duty that as and when the stamp would be released, the cost of the release of the organising the function, will be borne by the concerned, and nothing else. Not a Penny is to be paid to the department. These three had been got done by him and no expenditure had incurred on it. They have to organise the function.

Dr. Parveen Goyal said that on page No. 4 of the Board of Finance, there had come a matter for approval of an amount of Rs.5 lakh for setting up a small lift of the size of 4x4 for residential purpose at PU Regional Centre. The XEN had said that the budget was less and it should be revised. Initially the XEN was contacted telephonically as to what was to be done and he averted that nothing could be done and there would be requirement of an amount of Rs. 30 lacs. He diminished all the motivation and rather de-motivated. From the outsourced estimates, it was said to be to the tune of Rs.9 lacs for the small size of 4x4 from A to Z, the total expenditure was stated to be Rs.9 lacs. He further said that the things should be done on priority basis as the Vice Chancellor had visited the Regional Centre and staff was very hopeful. He said that it is highly hoped that the Vice Chancellor will do something surely. He further said that there has been approved a budget for top floors but the XEN, R.K. Rai, the faculty is very much embarrassing with him. They said that a telephone is made in May and they are told that there would be floated tender within one and a half month, after the lapse of this one and a half month, it is again stated that one and a half month more is needed and after fifteen days of that, when he himself went to the XEN after fifteen days, I was told by the XEN that let he be given a time of fifteen days and after fifteen days, when he personally went to him, I was told that their internet was weak.

The Vice Chancellor said that it means the work was getting delayed.

Dr. Parveen Goyal continued saying that they should see what was the reason of the delay, it should be seen and also the motive behind making delays. He urged the Vice Chancellor that he is very hopeful of the Vice Chancellor and he should take action in the matter. They are very happy that they have been told by the Vice Chancellor to bring projects. They have approached him that something should be done for them.

The Vice Chancellor said that they have been asking him(Vice Chancellor) and he is also happy from them. He urged the members to continue their cooperation and help in constituting the support.

Shri Satya Pal Jain said that he too, as has been said by Sardar Tarlochan Singh Ji, congratulates him to occupy this position. He said that ever since the Vice Chancellor had joined the University a new ray of hope in atmosphere has been created among the teachers, students and also that has been tried to be generated among the Senate and the Syndicate. It could be as a matter of strange coincidence that he had worked with at least the eight Vice Chancellors whose portraits have been lying hanging in the Hall, he was perhaps the first Vice Chancellor who had come totally from the outside. The earlier one happened to be associated with any College, University or from the department, those happen to sit along with them in the Senate Hall and afterward joined the high Chair that now stands occupied by him. The former Vice Chancellor Prof. Bambah had to sit beside them in the Hall. It means that whosoever

joins the position, starts from the very beginning. He congratulated the Vice Chancellor on having the very good start. He said that there had come the matter of stamp, he had to stand by the views of Sardar Tarlochan Singh. He said that he had been the member of the Committee formed by the Govt. of India which decides about the commemorative stamps. It looks strange that before the release of the stamp, the University was asked to deposit such and such an amount in advance and the University shall have to purchase the 1.25 lac ticket worth rupees four. He has to say two things, it is different thing if the University has already made the payment. He said that in the name of the individual or the Institution of which the stamp is to be released, it appears that they are trying to add something indifferent to his respect at some places if the stamp release is made subjected to some conditions. The ticket had been issued in the name of the Mahatma Gandhi and many more prominent leaders, he did not know if there had come fore any such condition that until and unless they will pay a definite amount for making the purchase of lakhs of stamps, the stamp would not be released. He said that it should not be such. It appears to him that how it did happen in the past, he did not know but atleast in the time to come, they should be cautious about this phenomenon. It affects the altitude of the respect that is paid towards the concerned persons. The tickets are issued and many a people utilize those tickets. He said that they had issued stamps in the name of Balwant Gargi and Ruchi Ram Sahni, as her his knowledge and information, it happened never that an advance money was paid. He further said that for the forthcoming time, the Committee which has been constituted should convey to the Govt of India not to impose such a condition. Now it appears to him that they have already paid the amount, it would not be possible to be recovered. He said the Committee of the Govt. of India should also be requested not to impose this condition as it would lead to erosion in the sense of respect toward the concerned person.

Dr. Chaman Lal said that he has been using the word *Mahodaya* on the advice which has been circulated by of the University Grants Commission where it has been instructed to the Universities clearly that they should use in their conduct words like, *Sriman, Mahodaya, Manyavar*. So, he said that for the Vice Chancellor, he would like to use the word Mahodaya leaving the word Sir. These are the two Hindi words. He said that *Sriman Ji*, he wanted to say that he had a question that when the issue of language would come, he would discuss it again but momentarily the only question is that he should be told in the memory of Shri R.C.Paul, the ticket was being issued, it is a good thing. No body would have any objection on it. It is his apprehension that in the building of this University, prior to Professor R.C.Paul, there happened to be two historical figures (he is fond of reading history and he has the knowledge of Panjab University history too), these are Dewan Anand Kumar and Professor A.C.Joshi. The contribution of these two persons in the building this University and its establishment, and contemporarily where the University stands today, no Vice Chancellors contribution could match to the contribution of these two persons. He wanted to state it clearly. He enquired as to whether in the memory of Dewan Anand Kumar and Professor A.C.Joshi, any ticket had been issued, it was his query and he did want to know if any ticket had been issued in their name by the University, where any money was given by the University or any approach was made on the part of the University. He said that he did not want to make any objection to the release of stamp in his memory but he wanted to say it definitely and on record that whatever contribution had been given by Professor A.C.Joshi and Dewan Anand Kumar to surface this University, unless and until they are paid respect and honoured, the honour to confer on any person would amount to lessening the respect of these two personalities.

Dr. Ajay Ranga said that he wanted to say something about the Panjab University Regional Centre, Ludhiana. He stated that the XEN of the Panjab University estimates five times more than the market rates in every matter. The Regional Centre

had demanded an amount of Rs. 5 to 7 lacs after inviting quotations. The proposal of Rs. 5 lacs also was approved. The XEN got rejected the proposal from the Committee and it was told that atleast 30 lacs rupees would be required. He further stated that in the University Campus and in the other institution the installation of lift costs to Rs. 5-7 lacs, his request is that, that proposal should be accepted. The rupees 5 lacs for lift and whatever amount is to be incurred on installation, that should be approved so that the lift is installed there. He said that the maximum expenditure would come to the tune of Rs. 7.5 lacs and that should be got approved.

Dr. Mukesh Kumar Arora said that he also wanted to say something about Regional Centre Ludhiana. He further said that the Vice Chancellor is from the Management faculty and he has initiated the practice to reduce the costs, this has been started from his very office. The Vice Chancellor has been trying to save one and one pie. It is good that he has stopped offering tea in his office.

The Vice Chancellor replied that let him tell them that for honourbale members who visit his office, tea is offered to them.

Dr. Mukesh Arora continued saying that the accommodation which has been there for the Director of Reginal Centre, Ludhiana, has been lying vacant and unutilized. The former Director and even before him the Director also had not taken that accommodation. He said that he had raised the issue in the Syndicate earlier too that the next teacher should be allocated the accommodation so that the structure is put to somewhat use otherwise it would turn obsolete. It is his request to save the money, the accommodation should be put to some use.

Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua said that in the coming year, there has been coming the 550th Birthday of Sri Guru Nanak Dev. He said that he has observed that in the Board of Finance, not a sufficient provision has been made. He said that either some department should be given a special grant. For dissemination of the teaching of Guru Nanak Dev, keeping interdisciplinary approach in view, or if some departments wants to do something collectively, for them a special grant should be provided.

The Vice Chancellor said that it was a very good suggestion.

Shri H.S. Dua continued saying that his submission was only that this should not be limited to the department of Punjabi or Guru Nanak Sikh Studies, even the sciences departments either collectively or whatever the policy is formulated, the teachings of Sri Guru Nanak Dev or on the research which has taken place till the day, to get compiled the research, the programme should be chalked out. He said that what type of programme they have to plan, the Central Government, State Government, and many Universities have already started working on it, about a year back for this. But so far as the Panjab University was concerned, to his knowledge, it does not seem to have reflected anywhere. He added that the meeting of Board of Finance has been going to be held, a special grant should be got allocated to feed to the celebration.

He further said that he has one more suggestion, that a Committee of scholars should be formed to see in what way the programmes are to be devised in planned manner and executed.

The Vice Chancellor said that he wanted to add something to it. Actually there has been going to happen a programme on 6th of December, 2018 and the concerned departments have been asked to chalk out somewhat programmes under his intimation so that they could proceed further. He said that it was a good suggestion of Dr. Dua and other departments would also be involved in it to promote these activities.

Dr. Tarlochan Singh said that whole of the house and the learned professors, has been sitting over here and he has two suggestions to make. A good saying as has been said by Shri H.S. Dua but he wanted to add one more point that there would happen Centenary of Jalianwala Bagh on 13th of April, the next year. The incident of Jalianwala Bagh was the rarest in the history of the world, wherein just in 15 minutes over 1000 people were massacred. That centenary, which relates to Punjab, is going to take place next year and the Panjab University being their heritage, it was because of that. For this a special publication and on this, whatever collection could be made, that should be done. He said that he wanted to congratulate the Vice Chancellor that the publication wing has published a good book which has been released by Chancellor. He has been receiving queries from all over the world as to from where the book could be purchased. The another suggestion which has been given by Shri H.S. Dua about Sri Guru Nanak Dev ji, Dr. Balwant Gargi., when he was in the Panjab University, he had written a light and sound drama "*Mitti Dhund Jag Chanan Hooda*" and that lasted for one year in Amritsar and it was written from very much here by Dr. Balwant Gargi. Several copies of the script are lying there. He suggested that for this a special Committee should be formed consisting of scholars and experts keeping in view the literary aspect and the House should approve it.

The Vice Chancellor said that it was the apprehension of the present members that a Committee should be constituted to see as to how to deal with such matters so that more and more people could have their involvement in it.

Dr. Chaman Lal made it known to the House that on Jalianwala Bagh, there had been a very good book published at the Panjab University. It is a very classic book and is of historical nature and he wanted to include it in the Jalianwala Bagh Centenary Programme.

Dr. Rabinder Nath Sharma said that he had one information about Dr. Tarlochan Singh. He further said that Dr. Tarlochan Singh should be accorded congratulation that the Govt. of India has nominated Dr. Tarlochan Singh in the Jalianwala Bagh Trust. The House applauded with clapping.

Shri Prabhjit Singh said that it should also be included in the statement.

The Vice Chancellor said that okay.

Dr. Naresh Gaur said that the matter of PURC Ludhiana has been discussed here. He said that about the residence of the Director, his opinion is that loss should not occur and the residence should be converted into that of a Guest House. So many people visit there for Seminar, for lecture and by making that residence as Guest House and University will save a lot of money in this way.

Dr. Dalip Kumar said that at page No. 12, in the minutes on many places, there has come the issue of purchase of Air Conditioners. Somewhere two air conditioners are worth Rs. One lacs and at other places, in one and a half lac and at some places, they are in 1.20 lacs. He suggested that a uniformity should be made of it.

He further said that at many a places, the quantity has been mentioned and at some places, it has not been mentioned. There are so many cases not indicating as to how many purchases are to be made. If the quantity is mentioned in one case, then it should have been mentioned in all the cases. The air conditioners are to be installed in Chandigarh and proposals are of different departments, then the rate cannot be different there. If the rate is of one lac, then in other department the installation would be of the same amount. He said that these things should be got reviewed.

Shri Raghbir Dayal said that for the last so many years and which is in the Panjab University Calendars too, the budget of University did happen to be presented in the March meeting and the budget estimates of the next financial year did happen to come, besides that the audit reports of the last to last years had to be appended. However, during the last 3-4 years, when the University financially was passing thorough a very critical phase, it did happened to come to them in the month of September because the University had to send the fresh proposals to the UGC. He said that he has been surprised to see that in the budget of the year 2017-18, the audit reports are missing which to his view, should have been there. He said that there is another important thing that in the newspapers, the budget estimates for 2019-20 had appeared. He enquired as to whether these revised estimates have been cleared by the Board of Finance and Syndicate which have been published in the newspapers. The figure of Rs. 577 crore has come up in that day's newspapers. He said that his query whether it has been routed through Board of Finance. How these have come in the newspapers. The third issue about which all the members have spoken about their regions. He said that he wanted to draw the attention of the Vice Chancellor that there exists the Regional Centre of Panjab University in Muktsar too. It is for the information of the Vice Chancellor and he would like to invite the Vice Chancellor to the Centre. For the last so many years, the Centre at Muktsar has been in a very dilapidated condition. They had fought a lengthy war in the Senate and the Syndicate. Now minor repairs are being done there and it has been for the long time, but these are in their budgetary head the over and above. There has been lying a budgetary allocation separately concerning Regional Centre Muktsar, amounting to Rs. 2 crores. The FDO would agree to him on the amount. He said that he has been requesting the Vice Chancellor that in the budget estimates of 2019-20 which are to be prepared of Rs. 600 crores. In case Rs. 2 crores is given to the Muktsar Centre, they could raise a new building of the Regional Centre. There has been a chunk of four acre land in Muktsar in the name of the University, the boundary wall of which has been erected. He said that as and when the budget estimates of 2019-20 are to be prepared, it is his humble submission, this thing should compulsorily be considered so that it does not happen that Punjab Govt. takes back the prime land of 5 acre on account of non construction of the building on the land.

On the point of order, Dr. Ajay Ranga said that the it is true that the condition of the Regional Centre, Muktsar, is very pathetic that there may occur some mishap. The half of the building is closed and half is workable. The whole of the building seeps in case of incessant rains. The lanter and the walls have disjoined each other. He said that some big mishappening may occur there. So he wanted that somethings should be done and grant should be given to avoid any mishappening.

Shri Sandeep Singh said that Dr. Dalip Kumar has talked about the price of the air conditioners. In the past, ever since they have come to the University, the financial crunch was talked of mostly. He said that now as the Vice Chancellor has come and there would be no problem of finance as the Vice Chancellor speaks on the stage that finances would be managed. He further said that it never happened in the history that the increased fee was decreased. No one except Dr. Dalip Kumar had talked about the air conditioner. He said that there is no need of Air conditioners. As the others could remain without AC, the all could follow it. By this way, the pollution in atmosphere would be decreased, on the other hand, the University funds would be saved, the thirdly the electricity which is to be consumed by ACs, would be saved. The money so saved, could be spend on decreasing the fee. This would be a very kind of the Vice Chancellor.

He said that the other issue is relating to the cost of forms. One of the forms fee is Rs. 157/-. Those three rupees neither go to the University accounts nor in the candidate's accounts. He said that the fee of forms should be made in round figure,

either it could be made 150/- or 160/-. In other fees too, one is Rs. 525/-, other is 630/- , one is 625/- and some is Rs. 157/-. The extra money does not go in either's accounts. They are charged of the students and never go to the University accounts.

Thirdly the fee of BDS and MDS of dental college students, when an increase of 10% was made, it amounted to Rs. 40000/-. He said that without students, no University can run. If there would be no students, it would be difficult for the University to run. He said that the annual increase of 10%, should be taken back and it would be very kind of the Vice Chancellor if he rolls back the increase in fee and fetches the grants from the Central Government.

Dr. Chaman Lal said that the issue which has been raised by Shri Raghbir Dayal of the Regional Centre Muktsar, he would talk about the Regional Centre Muktsar, which has been in a very dilapidated state. He further said he himself had visited the Regional Centre, Muktsar, they had called him for a lecture and he had gone there. He added that the Government college is at very appropriate place, geographically and locationally and the Regional Center is 4-5 kilometer ahead of that college and lot of money the University has been wasted in the form of rent. While the government had given 4-5 acre of land, it was told by Shri Raghbir Dayal, the registration is very much in the name of the University. He said that whatever is to be done, it should be done on the land which has been adjoining the college and it should also be done within a year. The Regional Centre Muktsar has been prone to injustice. It is the location of the Malwa and the Finance committee should take a decision that immediate construction of it should be started and the shifting be made from the rented site. The rent which is being wasted on the old space, is stopped. The repair of the rented site which is being done, is the further wastage of money. Firstly the crores of rupees have been spent on repair and then the shifting would be done in the new building. The money which is spent on the rented building, it should be spent on the new building.

Shri Sandeep Singh said that the house should be informed of the money spent on the renovation of rooms in the Faculty House.

Professor Rajesh Gill said that for the last two years, she has been observing the budget estimates. She said that when the additional demands are taken from the Departments to release funds, which are scrutinized, examined and is seen what is required and the demand is reduced. As has been stated by Dr. Gurmeet Singh that a rider of Rs. 20000/- has been put on all the departments for organizing the Seminars. But when the demands come from the Hostels, there a lot of variations. For one hostels, the allocation amounts to Rs. 15 lacs and to the other it is 3 lacs. She said she feels that this is an arbitrary practice and she had pointed out this in the budget meeting also. She suggested that some mechanism should be in place so that there could be a physical verification that as to why one particular hostel gets huge amounts and to the other, why it is not given. It should not be blindly allocated. There happens such that some members say that he/she requires more funds, give him/her. It should not be such. If there exists no person in the meeting of anybody's interest, it means funds would not be given to them. She said that something has to be done.

The Vice Chancellor said okay.

Professor Emanuel Nahar said that the estiamtes are prepared by the XEN office. It has no concern with the wardens of the Hostels.

Shri Gurjot Singh Malhi said that he wanted to issue a clarification on the issue Shri Pawan Bansal raised, and Jain sahib raised and also Mr. Tandon spoke on the

stamp. He said that he think that for commemorative stamp, there is a calendar. The Government of India decides each and every year that these were the events of national importance on which the commemorative stamps would be issued. The events of national importance come into the calendar and no money is ever charged for those stamps. Now what has been done in this particular case, to his mind, is not a promisory stamp, it is a first day cover. If you want to get a first day cover issued, then there is a cost for that because first day cover, for example, whenever the head of the bureau of civil aviation security for the country.

Shri Pawan Kumar Bansal said that without stamp, there is no first day cover.

Shri Malhi continued stating that there are two categories of systems, one is commemorative system. The Vice Chancellor urged for moving ahead of the discussion on the stamp.

RESOLVED: That the recommendations of Board of Finance meeting dated 16.07.2018 contained in Item C-12 on the agenda (Item No. 1 and Item No. 10), as endorsed by the Syndicate dated 27.08.2018 Para 15), **be approved.**

XVI. The recommendations of the Syndicate contained in **Item C-13 on the agenda**, was read out, viz.:-

C-13 That the following Resolution proposed by Dr. Parveen Goyal, Fellow pursuant to Regulation 11.1 at page 29 of P.U. Calendar Volume-I, 2007:

“Agenda of the Syndicate may be circulated to all Senate members in the form of soft copy”

The Syndicate in its meeting dated 23.09.2018 (Para 18) has resolved that with the hard copy of the final minutes of the Syndicate, a softcopy of the Syndicate agenda papers be also sent to the members of the Senate.

(Syndicate dated 23.09.2018 Para 18)

Dr. Parveen Goyal said that in C-13, he had proposed that the Syndicate members are elected from the Senate. The members in the Syndicate keep on changing every year. He said that the agenda which comes in Syndicate, his only humble request was that the agenda which goes to the Syndicate members goes parallel to the Senate members. Even it could be in the form of email or soft copy. But whatever the resolve part was prepared, that was totally different. It led to become financial burden on the University. The resolve part which was made, is that whenever the minutes of Syndicate would be ready, these minutes would be sent to the Senate members in the form of hard copy which has proved to be a heavy burden and secondly the soft copy would again be sent when the minutes would be prepared. He said that this has wrongly been resolved. He did mean to say that when the agenda goes to the Syndicate members, that agenda should go in parallel to every Senate member so that they remain aware of the current situation. What happens currently is that the Syndicate happens now and the Senate meets after three four months. They remain unaware of the situation. The minutes are also prepared after 3-4 months. He said that his humble submission is that the agenda of Syndicate simultaneously should go to the Senate members. He said that the second point was that they were doing a

simple way of digitization. What was there, it was that he had seen the expenditure and only in one year, on four Senate, more than fifty lacs expenditure had been incurred only on agenda copies. He did not mean to say that all the things should be closed. He did mean to say those who require hard copy, they be given the hard copy and otherwise, one soft copy should be given. He further said that as the item No. C-13 has come, the decision which has been taken, should be displayed instantly so that no variation occurs later on. In the office of the D.R.General, the minutes are prepared there, they these are approved by the Registrar and then goes to the Vice Chancellor. Ultimately it consumes a lot of time, and the minutes are prepared in 3-3 months.

The Vice Chancellor said that these things are in the process and they will be informed about this very shortly.

Dr. Parveen Goyal enquired as to if the resolve part would be displayed or not.

Shri Subhash Sharma said that there was a lengthy discussion on this issue, in the Syndicate and almost unanimously it was agreed that there is no doubt that the Senate elects the Syndicate. Besides this, this was also a fact that every set up, has its own decorum and sanctity. So the agenda which goes to the Syndicate before the meeting, that agenda, should not be sent to the Senate members and at other places. It never happens anywhere. There is a parliament and there is elected Cabinet by the Parliament, but the agenda of the Cabinet does not go to all. He said that so it was his request that agenda of Syndicate be not sent to Senate. Tomorrow, the voters will ask us that they elect the Senate and the agenda of Senate be sent all to them because they are the electorate of the Senate members. If the Senate is the electorate of the Syndicate, those come from the graduate constituency, from lecture constituency, those are all electorate. They will say that they elect them, the agenda of Senate should come to all. He said that it was his request not to expand it. All the proceedings of the Syndicate happen to come to Senate. It was discussed that if there is felt a requirement that when the proceedings of the Syndicate come, sometimes the resolve part comes, in this case, what the agenda was, is not known. At that time the soft of the agenda could be sent after the meeting is over and when the proceedings go. It was decided. So far as the previous practice is concerned, it would not be appropriate, all would go to an end if there would be agenda of Syndicate to each one.

Dr. Dalip Kumar said that whatever was resolved by the Syndicate, that would mostly be suitable.

Dr. Jagdish Chander Mehta said that he agree with Dr. Parveen Goyal on the point that the Syndicate agenda of should also be made available to the Senate. He said that he does not think that there are any secret items because the following day, all the agenda appears in the newspapers. It happens so many times that the issues sent by the Senate members, they do not come in the Syndicate agenda so that they know it in advance that they had sent some agenda but it could not find space in the Syndicate. He said that to his view, the agenda of Syndicate should be sent to all the Fellows.

Dr. Ajay Ranga said that on this issue, what the problem is that on the Panjab University website, there come the minutes of both the Senate and the Syndicate. His request to the house is that alongwith those minutes, the agenda and annexures should also be uploaded. The problem is that only the minutes of Senate and Syndicate are displayed on the site. The question arises here that the people who are not part of the House, it is demanded under Right to Information Act 2005, that they should provide complete information and we should have a transparent system to have any kind of information to anyone in the country. He said that his request is that all the agendas

should be arranged to be displayed alongwith the minutes because here agenda comes somewhat different and minutes say something otherwise. If there comes any problem, so that, one can make a cross checking. They are hiding the information. He said his request was that the information should not be hide and it be made open to the public. All the agenda, annexures, and minutes should be displayed.

The Vice Chancellor said that it would be done.

Shri Jarnail Singh said that this issue should be resolved today only that agenda is only meant for Syndicate members and when they have taken a decision, these should be uploaded on the website alongwith the proceedings as well as the agendas. Why to send the agenda to the Senate members as they have nothing to discuss. There is definitely no for this. Please take a decision, only upload the proceedings alongwith the agenda and all the appendices. The things are so clear and simple.

RESOLVED: That recommendations of the Syndicate contained in **Item C-13 on the agenda**, be approved with the modification that the final minutes and agenda pertaining to the meetings of Syndicate and Senate be uploaded on the website Panjab University.

XVII. The recommendations of the Syndicate contained in **Item C-14 on the agenda**, was read out, viz. –

C-14 That the minutes dated 20.07.2018 of the Committee constituted by the Vice-Chancellor, with regard to communication received from MHRD vide No. MS16-1/2008 (Rajbhasha) dated June 12, 2018) regarding use of Rajbhasha in the offices and the Society, be approved.

(Syndicate dated 23.09.2018 Para 26)

Shri Pawan Kumar Bansal said that they appreciate the advice and advisory of the Ministry of Human Resources and Development. He said that the Hindi is a rajbhasha. But in the Panjab University, in this region, because Punjabi is our mother tongue, the Panjab University started from Lahore, then came to Shimla and then to Chandigarh. Although the Panjab University is in union territory, large number of students from Haryana, Himachal and Punjab study here. He said that it is his view that Panjab University should give preference to the Punjabi. After giving priority to the Punjabi, they should promote the rajbhasha too. It is good thing that rajbhasha be utilized, the people feel proud that Hindi is there rajbhasha. Both the reasons which has been narrated by him, not under any circumstances, should be ignored. The importance of English in today's context that the young people learn and go to abroad. The China, France, Germany which at some times, were not giving importance to English, they also do not want its ignoring and they also too have started accepting the English. So they should not sideline the importance of the English language as well. Some policy should be in place that as and when some notifications come from the ministry with regard to rajbhasha, in that case, they should not endeavor to reduce the importance of Punjabi but rather there is a need to increase the importance of Punjabi by Panjab University. This is his only submission.

Dr. Rabinder Nath Sharma said that whatever has been stated by Shri Pawan Bansal, he is fully agreeable to it. He further said that the letter under discussion, is a suggestion. It is an advisory. They should not create any controversy which can affect the harmony. There are no two opinions on the issue that Hindi should be respected all-

around but the way the two hundred colleges of Punjab are affiliated to the University. The way they think that the Panjab University is situated in one of the city of the state of Punjab. Keeping all these in view, the way the letter has been treated that a Directorate of Hindi should be established, Cell be created, this should not be done. He further said that he thinks that Hindi department already exists here, the Punjabi department is very much here, the things could be done through that. He said that this is an emotional issue and nothing such should be invoked that may lead to harmonious disturbance. He said that the Punjabi was their mother language and its position is high and it speaks from our inside. The status and the respect of the mother tongue should remain and nothing should be promoted at the cost of the mother language.

Shri Varinder Singh Vicky said that they pay full respect to the Hindi and whenever they go out of Punjab, they speak in Hindi to the extent whatever they know. Punjab is our mother tongue. He further added that the letter of UGC which was being considered, at every place, it has been mentioned as Rajbhasha. In the last it has been written that the correspondence will be in Hindi or should be in Hindi. The Committee that has been constituted in the Panjab University, it all has been written that the Rajbhasha should be put to use and in the last, they have written Directorate of Hindi. He said that they are against it but the real meaning of the letter, the direction of the letter has been going towards Hindi. The Punjabi which is our mother tongue, the division of our state Punjab when took place, that has been done on the basis of language. The Panjab University relates to the Punjab and all the affiliated colleges are its part and Chandigarh is also the part of the Punjab state. That is why the their region is of the language of Punjabi speaking people. In Panjab University about eighty percent people speak in Punjabi, they may either be students or the teachers, they come from the state of Punjab and the meaning of the letter which depicts, is that the rajnbhasha should be promoted.

Shri D.P.S. Randhawa said that their language Hindi and about all other languages spoken in India as well as English, his point was that they should be consistent on this issue only to the extent that no language should die. They have already seen that the mother of all languages, the Sanskrit is almost dead. So with this point, if he gave him one example, that during one of the very important war happened in this world, one linguistic quote was generated by, used by Americans of the native language of native Indians in America. Meaning thereby that they never know where they could have any advantage of or any knowledge of language, will be beneficial for them and for us. He further said that first of all, he would like to say here that the affiliated colleges which exist here with Panjab University, most of them, give examination in Punjab language, and he wanted to bring it to the knowledge of the Vice Chancellor, the Controller of Examination and that of the DCDC and this has already been stated so many times in the past that the paper setter, should have full knowledge of all the three languages. The paper evaluator must have the knowledge of all these three languages, Hindi, English and Punjabi. The stress should be given on the point that so that they do not go away from the mother language Punjabi that they forget their mother tongue to save the other language. He added that the Controller of Examination has been fully aware of it and he know nothing as to what he has done in this regard. So many students took examination in Punjabi language, and the papers are checked by such person who has even no knowledge of Punjabi. He said that focus should be made on this thing and the respect which every language deserve, should evidently be given to that.

Professor Gurmeet Singh said that he would like to state the real facts because the way this issue has arisen in the past day, which is very disheartening and perhaps

all persons do not know all the things. The first point is that the issue has been linked in such a way that all this happened incidentally after the joining of the Vice Chancellor. But the facts are not such. This was the first thing. He said that it is the coincident that because of his being the Chairperson of the Hindi Department, he had the opportunity to know about the facts through the Committee, which has been constituted by the Vice Chancellor. There are three different points. The first point is that he would like to say that there are not two opinions that as has been stated by Pawan Bansal Ji that the right of Punjabi is first of all. If they could remind that when in the year 2008, he was in the Senate, before that all the notice boards which exist here, all were written only in the English language. At that time too, it was stated by him that the right of the Punjabi is above the all. If someone feels that Punjabi should be written on the top, this could be written. There is no question of it. Even now, they are not considering the English as foreign language. In one of the times, it was being promoted that unless and until the English would extinct, the other Indian languages would not flourish. But now they do not think in this way after the service span of twenty to twenty five years. He said that he wanted to make it clear that as has been stated by Dr. Rabinder Nath Sharma about Directorate of Hindi. The letter regarding Director happened to come in the year 2015 and they had asked that there should be established the Directorate. He was the member of that Committee. He himself had opined that in case any Directorate of Hindi would be established in the University, then the Punjabi would necessarily be added to it and even if its name would be Hindi Directorate. If they have to appoint the translators, and the other suggestion that is being given that there are Hindi and Punjabi departments, it was already an another issue, if it is expected of them that they would remain in translation job, that would not be appropriate and really, they cannot do it reasonably. The translation is totally a different issue. It was said by him that one Hindi and one Punjabi translator could be appointed on contractual basis. There is nothing wrong in it. One person is from Hindi and another is from Punjabi. He said what is said about that the MHRD does not give us posts, if the MHRD has been writing since 2015 that open the Directorate. He said that he feels that it should be made especially when they are keeping one from Punjabi. Since 2015, he had studies this issue, the Syndicate had authorized the Vice Chancellor to take decision. Nothing such has happened as was being said that it is going to happen. Logic of some persons was given to him that there came number of letters, should our view such that so many letter come and keep them on sending to the Committees. When the second letter had come, it was said that Handbook of Information, whose Chairman was he himself, it was stated that the letter should be translated by him in Hindi and which included the prospectus. In that case, it was said by himself that it should be both in Hindi and Punjabi. It can never be in Panjab University that letter be translated in Hindi only, it have to be translated in Punjabi too. It is also the matter of record. For that the process is going on. He said that it was recommended that the Prospectus of English be made by the Branch and Hindi and Punjabi by the Department. He asked why? The branch which has been getting it in English, it should itself engage outside translators of Hindi and Punjabi on approved rates. The Third letter which is of before the 20th July, it is relates to the times prior to the resuming of Vice Chancellor. On this letter, the meeting was held under the chairmanship of Dean of University Instruction. Just it was recommended by the Committee that this letter be forwarded if any department wants to install the board at their own level. Now this phenomenon of brushing paint on sign boards, this could happen only in our country. He said that as has been said by one of the member, he himself has no opposition to the English. In the name of the English, the Israel has achieved the highest development. He said that they do not want to touch that subject. He just want to clarify that in the matter, nothing like imposition of Hindi was there. It would look as very ridiculous that if someone would say that Hindi should be imposed in Panjab University. All know to what extent Hindi and Punjabi is being utilized

here. There is nothing of the nature of enforcement of Hindi. It was a letter of advisory nature.

Shri Varinder Singh Vicky read out the last para of the letter stating that at every place, it has been stated that the meeting is to be held in rajbhasha.

Dr. Gurmeet Singh said that the letter is being read wrongly. He may himself read this letter. He said that he is challenging it if it is found anywhere written that the meetings be held in Hindi. They have just said that the other language should also be given promotion. He said that he would tell about the background. The Govt. of India organizes a Vishav Hindi Samelan in every three years. There are certain recommendations of them and the letter do come. He said that he is not in favour or against this that letter should have gone to the Syndicate or not. He is just saying that nothing apprehension of that kind that by imposing Hindi here the importance of Punjabi would decrease. It is totally baseless. Not even a single attempt has been made of the acclaimed nature. If it is realized such, he has disclosed all what he wanted to tell.

Shri Prabhjit Singh said that the issue which was being discussed here, first of all, they should read out the basic letter. The letter is available on page No. 146 of the agenda. It has been written there that "*karyakaal mein rajbhasha ka prayog mantri, adhikari aur karamchhari, sabhi garv ke saath karein,*" Now if the correspondence is to be in rajbhasha, then you speak *namaste, srimaan bolo, mahodaya bolo, jaise mama, pita, bhai, behan, chacha,* all has been written here. He said that if all the correspondence is to be done in Hindi, then the instructions of the Punjab government which are implemented by the affiliated colleges of Punjab, how they will be implemented. He further said that relevancy is that when it said that the correspondence be in Hindi language, then the affiliated colleges in Punjab where the Punjab government's instructions, where there is a compulsory language, what would be its effect. He said that practically it is not possible. Why this is not possible, for every recruitment, the Hindi is not compulsory in Punjab. There the Punjabi is compulsory. Suppose there came a letter to him in Hindi, he would not be able to read it out. The recruitment in all the colleges in Punjab, although it is government, or it is aided, and unaided, there is compulsory Punjabi for them. For job, upto matriculation the Punjabi is essential. He questioned when he/she is not conversant with the Hindi language, how s/he would be able to read. Only those could get job who have Punjabi pass upto matric, even the Assistant Professors, Associate Professors, if they have to do service in Punjab, for them Punjabi is compulsory. He said that it is not possible and there is a difference between rajbhasha and ratriyabhasha. Rajbhasha is that which has been coming into the ambit of the policy of the State. Our national language is Hindi but our rajbhasha is Punjabi. He said that the letter could be made controversial upto the desired extent, it will be of no matter. If they want to make it within University, then it is possible. If the correspondence is to be made in Hindi, then it is not possible. He said that the Punjabi would be their first language.

Dr. Narinder Singh Sidhu said that one thing has been cleared by Shri Prabhjit Singh that there is a difference between, national language and rajbhasha. In the letter both these words has been used. At one place, the Syndicate has used national language and at another place, it has been used as rajbhasha. He said that he wanted to have clarification in this regard. He further said that constitution experts are also sitting in this house, they could correct me if he goes wrong. Twenty two languages are included in the Eighth Schedule of the Indian Constitution. Hindi and English are recognized as official languages of the central government. So in this way no single language has been given the status of a national language. He said that there is no need of further discussion and the item should be withdrawn.

Shri Sanjay Kumar Tandon said that whatever the issue about language is being discussed here, he urged that the Vice Chancellor using his Chair, should make an end to the issue where it is. This issue would head towards the point where it would become a language clash and all should understand the sensitivity of the issue. He said that all who have been sitting here, there would be a rare one, otherwise in all their homes, the Punjabi is spoken as mother tongue and to create impression, someone may add some English words while speaking in mother tongue. But if seen otherwise, in mind he/she thinks in Punjabi, and if to be spoken in Hindi, converts the Punjabi thought into Hindi and if there is to be spoken in English, translates it into English. It sometimes leads to make mistakes. But he has to say that the letter which has come to University, Professor Gurmeet Singh had explained it in a very good manner, it is a suggestionary letter. After every three years, such letters are sent by the government. There is no quarrel over it and whatever system is going on, it would continue and whatever the importance of the Punjabi language is here in this region, we cannot reduce the importance of that language in our area. The process of conversation which is in practice, it will continue and we will continue communicate in Hindi as usual. The use of the English, the agenda which is sent to them, the Punjabi speaking people, reading the agenda which is in English, do speak in Punjabi. He said that the case of the English language is the same one. We have adopted the English language, that was too the language of Englishmen but the Hindi has been posed as opposite to Punjabi. He further said that this was not the thing of conflict. They are both complementary to each other, if one is his left hand then the other is his right hand. He said that apprehending the matter in this way, this controversial issue should be stopped here and the prevalent practice must continue.

Dr. Mukesh Kumar Arora said that Punjabi was their mother language. They all pay respect and dignity to it and it should also be such. But when the students of Punjab do law, they say that why they are not allowed to take examination in Punjabi language while Guru Nanak Dev University and Punjabi University allows law papers in Punjabi. He said that he had raised the issue in Syndicate that this should be allowed. All had said that Bar Council of India has not been allowing it here. He questioned as to if it is being allowed to the students of law of Punjabi University Patiala. He further said that if they have to give respect and honour to the Punjabi mother language, then law should be allowed in Punjabi here in the University. He further said that no language could advance further by brushing black paint on other languages. It should also be condemned. Those who have black-polished the Hindi, they should also be condemned. He said that they should not show disgrace to any language.

Professor Ronki Ram said that while they were making discussion on the Punjabi language, in the University the teachers who teach here must have Punjabi upto matriculation, otherwise how could they teach in Punjabi.

Dr. Chaman Lal said that on the issue of the Punjabi language, he has the most prerogative person to speak on Punjabi issue because he had been a Hindi officer. He had been a Hindi Office and he has been much familiar with the Rajbhasha law and he is much aware of Rashtriya Bhasha Policy. First he would like to talk technically so that all could apprehend it well. He said that number one, Rajbhasha is different term, Rashtriya Bhasha is also different term, and Matr-Bhasha is different term. Of India, there are two rajbhashas, they are co-lingual. They are English and Hindi. There are two official languages. There are 22 constitutional languages, in which Hindi, Punjabi and all other languages exist. All these are national languages. The status of any language is neither above or below of any other language. All are equal.

The Vice Chancellor said that Dr. Chaman Lal should summarise the story.

Dr. Chaman Lal said that that issue is needed to be understood technically. Technically, if they want to make it brief, then he would like to say that the Panjab University should make its official language Punjabi, as per Punjab policy. He said that it was number one suggestion. He continued as number two suggestion that in Panjab University the large number of students of Hindi speaking areas, from Himachal Pradesh, Haryana, Delhi, Rajasthan study here. From that point of view, the Hindi language should be continued to be given the prestigious status too. About number three, he said that as of now the English has become the international language and all the terms are used of English although sometimes in translated form. He further said that no language could flourish until and unless it gains expertise in technical subjects. He stated that in Engineering, Medical, Technical subjects, Computer in all these subjects, the importance should be given to the Punjabi although it has not reached to that level, but it should be the target that the Punjabi as well as Hindi should become the medium of taking examination and also the means of writing theses. For scribing theses, both Hindi and Punjabi should be used. The University should adopt inclusive language policy but not at the cost of the Punjabi.

On the point of order, Dr. D.P.S said that as has been stated by Shri Sanjay Tandon to suppress the issue, he is not in favour of it. He said that as has been explained by Dr. Chaman Lal, the language should be used in a very positive way, in the openness and in the open minded way, after discussion, during the tenure of the Vice Chancellor. He said that they need to know the resolution of this item should not be allowed to be dropped. He said that it is a different thing that the Vice Chancellor may not take any decision in the context of the letter which has been received from the UGC, but on the issue of languages, they have no objection to discuss the matter.

Professor Ronki Ram said that the issue of languages is not only the issue of the University, it is very important issue in another terms.

RESOLVED: That the letter No. MS16-1/2008 (Rajbhasha) dated June 12, 2018 received from MHRD regarding use of Rajbhasha in the offices and the Society, be noted.

XVIII**C-15**

Considered:

- (i) that Enquiry Report submitted by Shri P.L. Ahuja, Enquiry Officer endorsed by the Syndicate against Shri Balbir Singh, Superintendent, University School of Open Learning (USOL) (under suspension), Panjab University, Chandigarh, be accepted, if so.
- (ii) a decision with regard to the penalty to be imposed on the delinquent official- Shri Balbir Singh, Superintendent, University School of Open Learning (USOL) (under suspension) be also taken

((Syndicate dated 23.09.2018 Para 9))

Shri Deepak Kaushik said that in Item No. C-15, the enquiry report on the allegations levelled against Shri Balbir Singh, Superintendent (under suspension) has been appended. Mainly the allegation levelled against him was that he was drunk when he came to the office. Now, the Enquiry Officer has given his report and it has not been

proved anywhere that he has been under the influence of liquor. Secondly, as regards his leave, he has given his leave application to some other female employee who has been working in some other department. As she has to reach her office in time, so she gave the leave application of two days casual leave of Shri Balbir Singh to the Chowkidar who was on duty in the University School of Open Learning. But the Chowkidar somehow forgot to give that application in the office. Punjabi is the mother tongue of Punjab and in Punjabi it is very clear that casual leave means, the leave which is taken on account of some urgency. Though it should be got sanctioned first, but in urgent circumstances, one has to send leave from home, which he also sent. If this is an issue of his leave, then it could be converted in earned leave. This is a very small issue and it is very surprising that an employee was suspended on such a small issue. He thinks that in long service of 33 years, it is the first instance when a Registrar (former Registrar) has suspended an employee on this account. Being President of the Panjab University Staff Association, he went to the Registrar who told him that this person (Shri Balbir) had come to the office in a drunken position, so he suspended him. So, now this allegation has not been proved. He, therefore, requested that he (Shri Balbir Singh) should be reinstated. He is a very good employee. It is surprising that just for 2 casual leaves, he was suspended. He again requested the Vice Chancellor to reinstate him as he is a very good person.

Shri Prabhjit Singh said that when this issue came in the Syndicate, they did not discuss this issue as the punishing authority for Class "A" officers is Senate. That is why they referred this item to the Senate. At page 192 of the agenda, the charges levelled against him have been mentioned. The first charge is that, you are on leave for three days from 25.7.2017 to 27.7.2017 and you were required to join duty on 28.7.2017, but failed to report on duty and that you remained absent without approval of the competent authority. In the charge sheet itself, it has been written that 'you are on leave'. Now the second question is for a short period, there came influence of alcohol. After that enquiry was conducted. It is rarest of the rare case where a person has been suspended just for casual leave of three days. As for as his information is concerned, while referring to page No. 227, he said it is a dispute between the Chairperson and the employee and there is nothing else. The Assistant Registrar (Admissions) has written that 'I would like to bring to your kind notice that Shri Balbir Singh, Office Superintendent, Admission Branch was on casual leave for three days from 25th July to 27th July, 2017. He was to report for duty on 28th July but he did not come to the office. Since 28th July till today i.e. 4.8.2017 he did not send any application or intimation to this office. I have come to know from some colleagues that yesterday morning he came to the office just for a short while and under the influence of alcohol'. On the basis of this, they levelled charges without any medical report. It is totally biased. On reading the report, the circumstances shows that there was dispute with the Chairperson. He was not feeling well, it has also been written in the enquiry report. When his leave was sanctioned and he got the leave, then there is no question of any sentence.

Dr. Neeru Malik said, moreover, no charge has been proved. It is clearly visible that wrong allegation has been levelled. There is double loss to the University. They have to appoint an Enquiry Officer and made him the payment. Secondly, after suspending a good employee, they must have deputed someone in his place. Who will pay the money for this false complaint?

Shri Prabhjit Singh said that he (Sh. Balbir Singh) should be issued a warning and the leave be got sanctioned.

Shri Jarnail Singh said for what the warning is to be given.

Professor Shelley Walia conveyed happy and warm welcome to the Vice Chancellor and wished meaningful and happy stay here to which the Vice Chancellor conveyed his thanks to Professor Shelley Walia. He does not want to speak on this peculiar case. He has nothing against this case or the judgement or enquiry that has been carried out. He has basically an objection against the procedure that they adopt in order to carry out the enquiry. He would like that he (Vice Chancellor) should understand that it should be considered. He is speaking as member of the Reforms Committee, there has been talk in terms of the role of the Chairperson, the role of the Committee of the Department also. In that context, when they have a procedure to appoint Judicial officer and the Judicial Officer begins to question and summon the defendant and the accused and then begins to go into the case, he thinks it causes tremendous amount of embarrassment many times to the Chairperson. He, for instance, as a Chairperson, would not like bring to fore any kind of accusation in future, if he knew that he has to first to get down and make an affidavit, go to the estate office, have the Notary endorse it, and then bring it to the notice of the Vice Chancellor. What he is saying, there are senior people in the administrative, academic, technical committees in the department. He (Vice Chancellor) should appoint an Enquiry Committee, which has on it, let they should say two/three competent members of the Senate or the senior Professors of the department to know the working of the office and they know the office that has been working that kind of situation. He, therefore, suggested that if one would complain to him, let that complaint have the sanctity of an affidavit. One should not be asked to go to first procure the ten rupees affidavit and then putting on it his complaint. When, he as a Chairperson makes this complaint, he, as a Vice Chancellor, or as a Senator, should believe what one is saying and do not ask to put it on a judicial paper. So, he is against the whole idea of affidavit. The Committee should be constituted by the Vice Chancellor keeping in view the fact that the persons who are sitting in the department know the working of the office, the Registrar who heads the non-teaching staff and couple of members of the Senate. He does not think that he needs to pay any one. He does not think that they need the services of a Session Judge or anyone to carry out this kind of enquiry. He is saying that this is an objective enquiry, whatever the enquiry is, he is not questioning it. He is not going into its nuances. What he is actually emphasizing is that they should consider the procedure. He wants to point out that he has talked to a couple of army officers. When an ACR is written against a soldier, the soldier can say that he differs and does not agree with it. But he cannot come up with counter allegations and say that they are concocting the allegations.

Shri Gurjot Malhi said there are two charges and the second one is not proved, which was the major charge. On the first charge which is a minor charge. They have set up an enquiry committee. The Enquiry Officer has diligently looked at it and he has proved it. There is hardly a point for setting up an enquiry committee if they are not going to listen to the recommendations. Whatever enquiry committee they have set up, it has gone through it. If they to page 162, Para 21, the Enquiry Officer says very clearly that the person in question says that he gave the application to a lady employee, the lady employee further gave it to a Chowkidar, but that lady employee has herself declined and this gentleman has refused to put any question to that person. So, they have taken care of this consideration. There was not sufficient evidence to say that this person has actually given the application. So, they should accept the enquiry report at its face value and decide a minor punishment, whether that minor punishment is a caution or warning or whatever, the fellow should be immediately reinstated and given minor punishment whatever the Senate decides and close the case.

Shri Naresh Gaur said that the enquiry procedure, to his mind, is wrong. The enquiry has been done in a very biased way. In the departmental enquiry when some Judge is appointed as Enquiry Officer and the Presenting Officer is also a law person,

so an normal employee cannot face it in a proper way. So, procedurally, it is wrong. Secondly, the major charges owing to which he was suspended, it did not prove. Shri Gurjot Singh Malhi ji has suggested for minor penalty. But he said that casual leave is taken in case of emergency. So, there is no need for imposing any penalty and the charges be dropped and he be cleared of all the charges.

Professor Navdeep Goyal said, even otherwise, he was on continuous leave.

The Vice Chancellor said, let they should conclude it. He said that the report is rejected.

Professor Akhtar Mahmood said that he should be left after giving a warning.

Shri Deepak Kaushik asked for what he is being given the warning.

The Vice Chancellor while requesting Shri Deepak Kaushik to sit again said that the report is rejected.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that he would like to talk about what Professor Shelley Walia and Shri Naresh Gaur. They have to be very careful while distinguishing between a departmental enquiry and a trial which one has to undergo in the court of law. There are, not only instances, in most of the cases that somebody has been if found guilty in the departmental enquiry, but has subsequently or before that may exonerated by the court of law, they have the right to ignore what the Court of law has said and they can go what the departmental enquiry report finding are. Why, because in the departmental enquiry, they are not to follow the CPC, the procedures of the Court. But as Professor Shelley Walia has explained, he does not know, how for that is correct, but if it is correct, it is very very serious matter. While appointing the Enquiry Officers, they must ensure that they know the basics of how to conduct a departmental enquiry. It does not mean that if somebody has remained a judge of the Supreme Court or Judge of the High Court, Session Judge or for that matter a Magistrate, it automatically does not means that he knows how to conduct the departmental enquiries. He might have been sitting in so many cases of service law, but it is not necessary that he knows, what is the difference between departmental enquiry and trial in the Court. What he has been told that the Enquiry Officer, he is giving an example in this case also that the complaint has been made by the Chairperson of the department. He has been given to understand that this Enquiry Officer asked the Chairperson, who is the reporting authority to give an affidavit in support of what she has said while reporting as Reporting Officer which is totally not only uncalled for but not sustainable in the eyes of law. That is done only in the Court of law and the Enquiry Officer is directing this also, the copy of the affidavit be supplied to the delinquent three days before the date is fixed as is done in the Court of law. If that is the procedure being adopted, probably, it may not be possible, in future also, for accepting such reports. Not only that, no Reporting Officer whether he/she is Chairperson, Vice Chancellor, Registrar or for that matter any Controller of the person, nobody would like to report the matter if he has to give an affidavit. In this light, he suggested that a small Committee may be made, at least to see, where they have been going wrong and who are Enquiry Officers who have been appointed from time to time and who have committed these errors to ensure that these errors are not committed in future.

Shri Jarnail Singh wanted to know as to what has been decided in this case to which the Vice Chancellor said that the Enquiry Report has been rejected.

The Vice again said that the Enquiry Report is not accepted.

Shri Gurjot Singh Malhi asked as to what is the ground for not accepting the report.

The Vice Chancellor said, what all the members said, that is the ground.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that learned person is not that who ask for an affidavit from the Chairperson, but Shri Gurjot Malhi is right that they should not record that the report is not accepted because there is nothing wrong in the report. On the basis of the report, they only have to take a decision, keeping in view the circumstances, as honourable members have explained the facts.

Shri Jarnail Singh said that they must ensure that when the people come to the office, they must work.

Continuing, Shri Ashok Goyal said that he does not want to confront what Shri Deepak Kaushik has said. As President of the Association, he is definitely supposed to say, what he has said. But let they should not forget that no leave can be claimed as a matter of right i.e earned leave casual leave or whatever it is. So to say that it is '*Aksmat leave*' that does not, because even if there is extreme emergency at ones place, one can be asked to come to the office because office is the first priority as per the service rules and the service law says. But they have to keep in mind the practice that here people give the message on telephone also. Let they should not forget the fact that the charge against him is basically was that he was under the influence of liquor for which he was placed under suspension. In spite of the fact that he was on leave, he was seen in the office, purportedly, under the influence of liquor. That was the charge on the basis of which he was placed under suspension immediately. That charge has not been proved. But the Enquiry officer has proved this charge that, yes, he was on leave without getting it sanctioned. They are not against the employees, but at the same time, they do not want to create this also that they change the service law, that one can avail casual leave at any time and every time. No, it is not that. So, let they should not give him any punishment, what is mentioned in the Calendar, but definitely they can say, Enquiry Report accepted, but keeping in view, the first opportunity, because it is for the first time, he may be cautioned to be careful in future which is not a punishment also, which does not amount to the rejection of the report also, which also does not compromise the discipline.

The Vice Chancellor said it is right, let they should go ahead.

Shri Ashok Goyal said now what is to be done for the period of suspension, how that period is to be treated. If the main ground for suspending him was influence of liquor, if that has not been proved, then the period of suspension has to be treated on duty and the salary for that period should be given to him.

Professor Ronki Ram said that they should look into the report also read the concluding part of the report on page 172 taking to 173, Para 34 which says, "after evaluating the entire evidence, I am of the view that it is proved that the delinquent remained absent from duty without approval from the competent authority and the same amounts to wilful absence. Charge No.1 against the delinquent is duly proved. The conduct of the delinquent exhibits lack of devotion to duty and the same is unbecoming of an employee of the University. However, there is no definite and sufficient evidence to prove the charge No. 2 regarding the visit of the delinquent to the office under the influence of alcohol. So, Charge No. 2 is not proved". Since Charge No.2 is not proved, so the enquiry itself says that there is no charge where as the first charge is proved. But now since he has served for a longer period of time and this is

only for the first time that this delinquency of duty has been reported. So, they can take a lenient view.

Professor Shelley Walia said that will they take the lenient view always. He thinks that they should infuse the sense of duty. For instance, he does not remember taking a casual leave and going on casual leave without informing the Chairperson either by email or by telephone. How they can go without informing the Chairperson.

Professor Ronki Ram said that he can be warned to be careful in future.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that there is another very serious issue. He would like to bring it to the notice of the House through the Vice Chancellor. He has seen for the first time any organisation where against some person disciplinary action is pending or even likely to be initiated, the person is not given promotion, pending that action. But here are instances where the person has been issued charge sheet, the enquiry is already on and Enquiry Officer has also been appointed and the person has been promoted. He just want to get it recorded and an enquiry be ordered to find out who is responsible for such a serious laps, if there is any such case. Second, that the Enquiry Officer is writing to that person, a letter that he the undersigned has been appointed as Enquiry Officer to conduct enquiry against such and such person, within brackets, now promoted such and such. He wondered, what Professor Shelley Walia is saying. He is going a step further that they want to punish somebody for having committed such offence, but here pending that awarding the punishment, they have awarded him also. So, that should also be seen.

The Vice Chancellor said, noted.

RESOLVED: That. –

- (i) Enquiry Report submitted by Shri P.L. Ahuja, Enquiry Officer and endorsed by the Syndicate against Shri Balbir Singh, Superintendent, University School of Open Learning (USOL) (under suspension), Panjab University, Chandigarh, be accepted;
- (ii) The suspension of Shri Balbir Singh, Superintendent, USOL, be revoked and the period of suspension, be treated as on duty, and he be paid full salary for the same; and
- (iii) since he remained absent from the duty wilfully, he be warned to be careful in future.

XIX. The recommendation of the Syndicate contained in Item **C-16 on the agenda** was read out, viz. –

C-16. Considered that temporary affiliation to Govt. College at Ludhiana (East) to start B.A.-I for the academic year 2018-19, be granted and the College be instructed to fulfil the requirements within one month.

(Syndicate dated 27.08.2018 Para 26)

At this stage, Dr. Ameer Sultana said that she wants to say something.

Shri Deepak Kaushik wanted to know as to what has been resolved in Item No. C-8.

Senate Proceedings dated 3rd November, 2018

Shri Prabhjit Singh asked him as to why he is creating a controversy. The same has been resolved as has been resolved by the Syndicate.

Dr. Ameer Sultana said that before taking up Item No. C-16, the case of Dental College is pending since long and she requested to take it up. She requested the Vice Chancellor to keep it on priority to which the Vice Chancellor said that this item has been on priority and she should not worry about it.

Shri Prabhjit Singh enquired as to which item she would like to take up first.

Dr. Ameer Sultana said that it is Item No. C-6 of the old Senate agenda meeting of 8.7.2018.

Shri Jarnail Singh said that if it is not possible to take up the old agenda, he requested the Vice Chancellor to convene another meeting of the Senate shortly.

Dr. Ameer Sultana requested that the Dental College item should be taken today itself.

Professor Pam Rajput requested the Vice Chancellor to take up item No. C-6 after Item No. C-16 of the current agenda.

Shri Prabhjit Singh said that when the official of Punjab Government had come in the meeting of the Syndicate and he has said that they would complete the deficiencies. He requested the Vice Chancellor to tell the House if some communication has been received from them.

The Vice Chancellor read out some portion from the letter No. East College/15 dated 11.10.2018 received from the office of the Principal: Government. College Ludhiana (East) where it is mentioned as under:

“The compliance has been ensured as per the conditions No.1-5 as proposed in the Letter No. 9549 dated 26.09.2018 and all facilities mentioned in the letter including faculty has been extended to the students from SCD Government. College, Ludhiana to the students of Government. College, Ludhiana (East) is no deficiency now. It is for your information and necessary action”.

Shri Prabhjit Singh further asked, what about the deficiencies in SCD Government. College, Ludhiana. His question is that as to what are the deficiencies. The deficiencies which were there out of which 99% relate to the recruitment of faculty. If the faculty has now been transferred, it would be again transferred somewhere. How the faculty was recruited because no advertisement has been given. If they do it for this college, some other college would cite its example in future. If the office is satisfied that they have complied with all the deficiencies, then there is no problem. If the recruitment is done there, then he just wants to know the name of the Principal of this College. Just writing a letter that they have recruited the faculty does not suffice the purpose.

Shri Jagdeep Kumar said that to grant affiliation is totally wrong. It is a government college. The Government has just done only paper work and practically nothing has been done. If they would open a new college in future and the conditions which they are imposing, he would also go to the Court and contest that how affiliation could be granted to a Government College. They have opened another college within the college. So, the argument of Shri Prabhjit is quite right. So, they should recognise this college like this as it would open an Pandoras box. The Malout College is also in the same condition, so they should not be granted affiliation.

Shri H.S. Dua said that he would like to know whether this college is for Boys or for Girls.

It was informed (by Controller of Examinations) that with reference to Item No. C-16, the letter which they have received, was placed before the Affiliation Committee meeting. The first meeting of this Committee was held on 25th October and the second on 29th October. A threadbare discussion was held on this issue as to what were the deficiencies and what they have complied with. But overall, they were given one month's time to comply all the deficiencies. They have given this letter. All members were present and after discussion, they were given one month's time so that the remaining deficiencies be complied with. The staff which College has mentioned, they need the details of that staff because only this letter was available with the University and that was submitted to the Affiliation Committee.

Shri H.S. Dua said that he has just asked the name of the College.

It was informed (by the Controller of Examinations) that earlier the name of this college, as per the letter initially received by the University, was Government College for Girls only, but now the letter which the University has received, as they have not shifted to the new building, they are working from the SCD Government College, so simply they are writing Government College, Ludhiana, they are using the word 'East'. Secondly, the query of Shri Jagdeep Kumar regarding Malout College, the Controller of Examination informed that that project is not under consideration at the moment. The Government has withdrawn that college for the time being. They have allocated two colleges, i.e. one at Ludhiana and second at Malout. This status regarding Ludhiana College is available in the Dean College Development Council Office.

Shri Prabhjit Singh said that after the clarification given by the Dean College Development Council, what is the hurry to give affiliation to this college. If the affiliation Committee has given month's time, then defer this item for the next Senate. Unless they do not have the papers, what they are discussing. He further said that in the meantime, write to that college not to admit students. Until the affiliation is granted, it would create complications for other colleges also.

Shri H.S. Dua said that the admissions have been done.

Shri Prabhjit Singh said, how the admissions could be done when the affiliation has not been granted. This was also endorsed by Shri Jagdeep Kumar and some other members.

The Vice Chancellor requested the members to listen to the whole issue. They are not going to take any decision.

Shri Jagdeep Kumar said their request for affiliation should be rejected.

Shri H.S. Dua said that the Fellows are showing their concern because some Government or Constituent College is opened, its case is taken very lightly. But when some private college is opened, its enquiry is done threadbare. For example, in the proforma, the name of the College has been mentioned as Government College for Girls. They have given affiliation to Government College for Girls whereas they have admitted boy students. When some other college filled its proforma or when its last date gets over, penalty is imposed on it. The constituent colleges which have been opened so far, no faculty appointment has been done. What grant has been given, it is just being sum up on political grounds. Now this college is being opened in the name of Government College (East) and it is being run from the SCD Government College where as it has to locate somewhere else, why relaxation there. The higher education is running through

a very difficult phase and it is very hard to survive for a private college. When they given affiliation to some other college, they put many conditions of faculty etc., but when they give affiliation to such colleges, why they close their eyes. Ultimately, there could be some motive to ignore something. He was of the opinion that the Student should get education on the fee as is determined by the Government. He said, see the proforma, it is mentioned that 'staff will be deputed in adequate number'. When they have not fulfilled the conditions, why they are accepting their returns. He said the University should not grant affiliation to this college until they erect their own infrastructure and recruit faculty on regular basis.

Dr. Amit Joshi said that whatever Shri H.S. Dua has said it is absolutely true and he is also in the Affiliation Committee and he has been raising this issue. It is not that simple to understand as to how the affiliations are granted. On paper it looks very simple that a college has applied and affiliation has been granted, but it is not so. What happens is that a college has applied and an Inspection Committee goes there and that Inspection Committee would submit its report. The action on the report of that Committee has yet to be taken, but till that time, the Affiliation Committee changes. New Syndicate would be formed. When the next Affiliation Committee goes to that College, the old documents are not given to that Committee. All this affiliation process is total false. In this Session this the 12th or 14th meeting of the Affiliation Committee. They are not informed about the conditions which were imposed in 2016 or 2017. During the last 12 meetings, they keep on asking whether there old conditions or not and the office never provided them with a consolidated statement about the condition and what conditions have been fulfilled and what remains to be fulfilled. When the college has not fulfilled the conditions of 2017, then how the next Inspection Committee goes to the College. There are colleges who have not appointed faculty as per the old conditions imposed on it. There are some colleges where only one teacher is there and they are running four units of B.Ed.

The Vice Chancellor requested Dr.Amit Joshi to conclude the discussion.

Continuing, Dr. Amit Joshi said that either there is no data or it might not be shown to them intentionally. He demanded that a proper enquiry should be held in this matter as to how many colleges are there who have not applied for affiliation even till date, but their return is accepted. Secondly, how many college are such are there who have not completed the conditions and the affiliation letter has not been sent by the University, but their return is accepted. Thirdly, when they consider grant of affiliation or extension of affiliation, there should be no discrimination between the government colleges and private colleges. They should be treated at par. He requested that this whole date should be accumulated.

Professor Mukesh Arora said that Dr. Amit Joshi has said all the things very rightly. Even the colleges which have been granted permanent affiliation, there was not even a single teacher, but still the M.A. classes are running. The names of those colleges should also be added. Secondly, Shri Prabhjit Singh has asked about the Principal of that college. Professor Mukesh Arora said that Dr. Dharm Singh has been given additional charge of Principal of this college. As regards the name of the College, he said, as the name of his college is SCD Government College, but both girls and boys are studying there. If they want to do something, then they should see that one Principal has been given charge of even 5 colleges, so they should request the government to appoint Principal and staff.

Dr. Ameer Sultana said that she is also a member of the Affiliation Committee. They have a lot of discussion on this issue. She opined that the Affiliation Committee has given them 30 days time, so this item be brought in the next meeting of the Senate.

Senate Proceedings dated 3rd November, 2018

As the issues regarding affiliation committee are being discussion, so she has some recommendations. For affiliation, there should be a policy of the University she also requested that it should made online as there are lots of problems with the affiliation Committee.

Dr. Amit Joshi said that it is totally at the mercy of Dean College Development Council.

Dr. Inderpal Singh Sidhu said that he is also a member of the Affiliation Committee and he suggested that the meetings of the Affiliation Committee should in camera. In the first meeting one speaks something else and the other he speaks something else.

Shri Prabhjit Singh said that the work of affiliation is that of the Syndicate. Virtually, there is no affiliation committee, rather it was made by the Syndicate to take care of day to day functions and it works on behalf of the Syndicate. As has been said by Dr. Inderpal Singh Sidhu that in one meeting one member speaks something else and in other something else, he did not want to speak, but everyone is blaming the Dean College Development Council. The D.C.D.C. is a person and not an office. While agreeing to what Dr. Amit Joshi has said, he stated that there are some colleges who have been able to get permanent affiliation even when they have not applied. The Dean College Development Council cannot remember all these things. He was of the opinion that any person should not be targeted, they can target the office. If there is need to have more staff, that should be provided.

Shri Varinder Singh said that they have to talk to the head of the office and not to anyone else.

Shri H.S. Dua said that he has been a member of the Affiliation Committee three times. The Dean College Development Council office has prepared a data containing 450 pages as to what is the discrepancy in a college since 2008. That data is ready in the office of the Dean College Development Council. In the Affiliation Committee, the role of the Dean College Development Council is to put up the file or to communicate further the decision of the Affiliation Committee. Here the only issue was as to why there is different parameter for government colleges. As talked about Dr. Ameer Sultana about making it online, he said that the online system is ready with them. The problems comes only when the Inspection Committees are not formed before 31 December. These Committees should be made before 31st of December. If these are made before 31st December, then in three months after visiting all the colleges, the Committees could submit their reports which could be subsequently placed before the March meeting of Senate. It could then be decided which college has to be given a course or not. Now admissions have been done, but there are no Principals. All these things were running in the past, but now they should set right. The colleges who have applied till October 31, it should be implemented that no application would be entertained after this.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that since morning they have reached the most agenda part now. The Item C-16 is the most important agenda of today's meeting. As has already been stated, they have 200 odd colleges affiliated to this University. The overall impression is as if the colleges are not properly monitored, controlled, affiliated, disaffiliated or whatever it is. Now Shri H.S. Dua has raised a pertinent issue. He wants this Senate to take a conscious decision that, are they ready to follow the regulation in letter and spirit. If yes, let they should take a decision today itself that for the year 2018-19, they are not going to accept any application for affiliation after today because the last date for applying for extension of affiliation was 31st of October as for as the Calendar is concerned. But, the Syndicate of this University has violated the regulation.

Senate Proceedings dated 3rd November, 2018

Shri Varinder Singh on a point of order asked, it means, that till today, they were doing pick and choose. Shri Ashok Goyal ji is saying that from today they should follow the rules, were they not following it before that.

Shri Sandeep Singh said this is what is happening.

Shri Varinder Singh asked, then what they are doing.

The Vice Chancellor said that they should listen to everyone. It is not that it is being accepted, what one is saying.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that what Shri Varinder Singh is saying, he is also saying the same thing. Probably, he does not know the art of expressing what he has. What he is saying is that they have been doing is all wrong for so many years, that is why these problems are there. Why they cannot take this decision today that from today onward, they would follow the law. By chance the meeting is taking place on 3rd November and yesterday was the last day after 31st October. The regulation is amply clear that there is no provision for application for affiliation or extension in affiliation beyond 1st November. But there was some high court case, many years back, where the high court directed that the application be considered after a particular date. They consciously in the Syndicate made the rule that by such and such date, in violation of the regulation which stipulate the 1st November as the last date, they have made the provision in the rules, which cannot be violation of the regulation, they have to be in consonation of the regulation, they have taken the date upto 10th January of the year in which the affiliation is being applied. Now naturally, when 10th January is the date, how do they expect the whole process to be completed by 31st March as stipulated in the Calendar that all affiliation cases have to be decided by the Senate latest by 31st March. Today they are sitting here in the month of November and still discussing the cases of affiliation i.e. 8 or 7 months are stipulated which is there in the Calendar. Now another thing which he wants to say as far this college is concerned, he wants to bring it to the notice of the House, they should know what a folly they have committed in the Syndicate. The official, as Shri Prabhjit was saying has spoken in the Syndicate, was to their understanding, member of the Syndicate, ex-officio member of the Syndicate, who happened to be, according to them, D.P.I., Punjab. After the meeting finished, the Vice Chancellor and all the members came to know that he was not the authorised ex-officio member, he was not even D.P.I., he was Deputy D.P.I., who came in as it was a picnic place, attended the meeting, made so many statements, took so many undertakings and they were swayed away by his undertakings and they said alright, one month time is given and whatever he has stated it is alright. As for as reference of Affiliation Committee is concerned which has been given by Dean College Development Council, he wants to make the facts straight, yes, this issue was discussed in the affiliation committee and the affiliation committee did not deliberate in detail because they were told that this issue is already pending consideration of the Senate and will be part of the agenda in the next Senate. Then all the members thought that they will discuss it in the Senate. The fourth issue is that when they have to follow their Calendar, where is the provision that they will discriminate between the government and private colleges. Why there are two parameters for government and private colleges and why there are two parameters even for private colleges or even for government colleges if they talk of intra-government or intra-private colleges. It is how, the situation is that November has started, these people have not made any recruitment. The kind of undertaking which was given in that meeting, though by unauthorised officer, but of course on official platform and they in good faith gave the permission. It is for the Senate to take a conscious decision that in view of their own regulations and in view of the progress and in view of the confusion even, who is the Principal, somebody has been appointed or not, whether they have to give affiliation or not. But, yes, if such a college is to be

granted affiliation keeping in view the peculiar circumstances as it belongs to Punjab Government, then let they should take a decision, if they are not to take the decision that they will follow the regulations in letter and spirit. Let they should take a decision whosoever, any tom dick and herry comes with an application for affiliation or extension of affiliation, without looking into any of the papers, they will grant it. They are already facing in the affiliation Committee and that too he (Vice Chancellor) also have seen in the newspapers vis-a-vis in Chandigarh colleges itself. Now frankly speaking, the Affiliation Committee of Syndicate and Senate for that purpose has no authority to point out an iota of deficiency in government colleges whether they belong to Punjab or not. If that is the position, then they have to accept practically, no problem, let they should take a conscious decision. There are colleges, as has been reported, who have not applied for the courses and this University has been generous enough to permit them even without application, notwithstanding the fact, though he does not agree with that rule, somebody had applied with late fee of Rs. One lac. upto 10th January, they granted him also the affiliation. An somebody who has not applied at all, what to talk of late fee, he was not even imposed any fine and even without fine they have granted him the affiliation. So, he thinks the one who does apply with the fine of one lac. he is a fool because the better one is who has not applied at all. Affiliation and policy cases has to be granted, why one should pay one lac. Thirdly, there have been cases where the University has specifically denied permission to grant affiliation. They have specifically been directed not to admit the students till the affiliation is granted and they still admit the students. Such cases have not come for the first time. Earlier there have been cases and this University has taken a decision even to impose a fine to the tune of Rs. 5 lacs. along with a direction that the students admitted against it be shifted to the other colleges and the fee which has been charged from those students be transferred to those colleges where they have been shifted. He does not know what prompts them for taking such decisions. Now in the last, as far as the affiliation Committee is concerned, as for as the working of the affiliation Committee is concerned, he simply said that there is no concept of affiliation in the Calendar. The affiliations are to be considered by the Syndicate and Senate because it is not practically possible to deal with hundreds of the cases in the meetings of the Syndicate and Senate. It was said that let a small Committee be made which ultimately was given the name of Affiliation Committee which has become a regular routine in the last three years. He simply says that a Committee of 9 Syndics, there are total 15 members, has been given the name of Affiliation Committee simply because Syndicate cannot meet so frequently, but this Committee can meet as and when necessary. So, even if it is proposed that let the Affiliation Committee, if it is to be at all in future, let it be consisting of all the 15 members. They are working as Syndicate members and the Vice Chancellor has to preside the meeting. It is only the Secretary, who might not be there to convene the meeting, rest of all the members will be there. So, he said, let they should be honest to themselves, let they should do the introspection, the solution lies to each and every problem.

Dr. Dalip Kumar said that he has talked to many people about the present Affiliation Committee of nine members and he has been told that the Dean College Development Council has no role and he used to sit mum. He just presents the record.

The Vice Chancellor requested Dr. Dalip Kumar to first speak on the issue and suggest as to what is to be done in this matter and secondly what is to be done on the issue of affiliation.

Dr. Dalip Kumar said that all the things have been discussed so he would speak in the reference of that only. He has also to talk about what Shri Ashok Goyal has said. He said that they were given a document containing 42 pages, he (Vice Chancellor) can read it. It shows that some colleges have been denied affiliation because they were not

having books costing Rs. 20,000/-, some college has been denied affiliation because it has not submitted affiliation fee. There are three such cases whose affiliation fee has not been received till today, but they were granted affiliation. In one case the Affiliation Committee writes that affiliation granted on the condition that the college would appoint five faculty members by 15th November. One college is not having books just costing Rs. 10,000/- it was denied affiliation. He told that there are 158 colleges in the document given to them. Dr. Amit has said that 12 meetings of the Affiliation Committee have held. He requested that all these 42 pages needs to be reviewed keeping in view the various dimensions. They cannot ignore one aspect or the other. Denying affiliation to one college and giving to another college is not fair. This happened only during this particular session. If the University is not getting affiliation fee, he is of the very firm opinion that there should not be any discrimination between a government and private institutions. He is happy to say, even Shri Raghbir Dayal ji in the morning mentioned, that they came to know from the media about the budget of the years 2018-19 and 2019-20 from the media. He is thankful and also appreciate the efforts of the media that they are reporting the things. The colleges are not getting the reports. It is important that such type of disparity should be looked into in a very serious manner.

Shri Ashok Goyal on a point of order said that it may be noted that the colleges whose affiliation fee has not been received and the Affiliation Committee has granted them affiliation and if the Affiliation Committee has done any discrimination then the aspersion should be asked for, but if the office has not brought this fact in the notice of the Affiliation Committee, that should also be looked into. He hundred percent endorses what Dr. Dalip Kumar has said that no discrimination should be done.

Principal R.S. Jhanji said that this issue is very sensitive and due to this there is lot of litigation. There are maximum RTI cases, Court cases. What is the root cause of this and what they could do for that root cause that has to be considered. Affiliation policy is clearly defined in the Calendar. But the problem is that when the Affiliation Committees go to the colleges, people from themselves go for affiliation every year. The Affiliation Committees are changed every year. If the University sends a Committee even after twenty days, then its parameters would change. The parameters change because there is no manual for this. Then how the uniformity would be there. The uniformity could be there only if there is orientation to the Committee. There is no clear cut policy. If four Committees go in four different colleges, there would be variation in all the four. As has been told by Dr. Dalip Kumar, sometimes the Committee write the reason for not giving affiliation as the shortage of books of only Rs. 10,000/- Sometimes it writes shortage of books of Rs. 50,000/- or one lac. or sometimes not required. The parameter is already defined in the Calendar. But why a need arose to form a new Affiliation Committee. He has also been a member of this Committee. A very good data base was prepared. But now the problem is that they have no data base. Every year the University writes to the Colleges to inform as to where is the temporary affiliation and where is permanent affiliation. They collect the data every year. When they would be having complete data base, only then they come to know as to what are the previous deficiencies and what are the current deficiencies. They have leave to make any variation between the government colleges, grant in aid colleges and the self-financing colleges. The regulatory body is the same and the rules and regulation are clearly defined. They have to do 2-3 things. First there should be a manual where everything should be mentioned clearly. As has been said by Shri Ashok Goyal that there are nine members in the Affiliation Committee and if it is made of all the 15 members of the Syndicate, there cannot be any problem. The matter could be directly placed before the Syndicate. First the Committee has unnecessarily convened twelve meetings and then the Syndicate meeting was held and now an objection has been put in the Senate meeting. So, what is the use of this. So, the Affiliation Committee which goes to the

College should work in a proper way. The recommendation of that Committee should be approved by the Syndicate. If there is any deficiency the case should be left out and the affiliation may not be granted. If some college is regularly violating the directions of the University, that college should not be given affiliation and that college should be put in the bracket of habitual violators.

Dr. Subahsh Sharma said that he is also a member of the Affiliation Committee. They have thought that when the process would be completed, they would recommend some things and would like to hold discussion on it with a separate in the agenda. It is good that via this item, discussion is being held on this important issue. He said let there be more discussion on this issue as a very stake of the University is based on this discussion. Discussion was also held regarding the working of the Affiliation Committee. He agrees with what Dr. Amit Joshi, Dr. Dalip Kumar and many other members has said. There is nothing like '*Aladdi's Chirag*' with the Affiliation Committee. Suppose the Inspection Committee is going to visit the College in the month of May. Till the time, they get report from that Committee, the college would have done the admission till such time. The University receives the return till they do the compliance of the deficiencies pointed out to them. What Affiliation Committee would do? So, his first observation is, what Shri Ashok Goyal ji has said that they should strictly adhere the time schedule. Time should be fixed for visits of the Inspection Committees. The delay is at various levels. The colleges who have applied in time, there is also delay. The Inspection Committees are going even after three months. Same is the case with the Selection Committees. So, they should ask the office to expedite the things. This issue cannot be resolved till the time they adhere to the time schedule. The second thing which is most important and which he has felt is that many institutions which grant affiliation, there is a very good rule that when one applies for affiliation, there is a set of conditions. When all these conditions are fulfilled on then the Inspection Committee goes there. What is happening in the University is that one Inspection Committee submits its report. The Committee has pointed out the deficiency to appoint 12 faculty members. He asked as to how much faculty is required to teach this course, they said that 14 faculty members are required. 14 teachers were required and there was deficiency of 12 teachers. It means just after appointing 2 teachers, the colleges started the course and applied for affiliation. Such a compliance cannot be there ever. Then the selection process is started. They ask for the approval of Selection Committee and it takes three months. When ultimately the Selection Committee meeting is held, then it is said that suitable candidates were not available etc.etc. In this way, the next year starts and the deficiency remains as it is. So, he suggested that first all the conditions should be met with, if there is a condition to recruit 14 faculty members, then the college should first recruit these persons, only then the Inspection Committee would go. Whatever requirement are there for infrastructure they should be completed and filled up in the proforma. The Colleges do not fulfil even 10% of the conditions and apply for affiliation and waste the time of the university. The whole year pass like this and new year starts and the story again starts from the beginning. This whole circle is running from many years due to which there are lot of problems and also subjectivity. Suppose the Committee is considering 70 cases and if there subjectivity, as Dr. Dalip Kumar has said, 3-4 wrong cases would also get approved, though they have made lot of efforts that such a thing may not happen. The other big concern is that the government colleges and constituent college do not ever feel that the University Affiliation Committee has any importance. They take it for granted. The issue is not that some college has not purchased the books of Rs. 20,000/-, the issue is that when the Inspection Committee has pointed out a deficiency, they did not consider it necessary to reply to the University whether they are doing compliance or not. The issue is that they are not taking it seriously.

Dr. Dalip Kumar intervened to say that the college has replied on 15th May, this is on record.

Continuing, Dr. Subhash Sharma said that he is not talking about that case. He is talking about the general observation about the government colleges. One college has not applied. Now they have sent a letter to the University to send an Inspection Committee when university have already taken the return. This is a case of a Chandigarh Government College. So, they have to holistically review the whole affiliation process. Such issues should be brought in black and white after having serious discussion. He requested to follow the Calendar, timeline and also some other strict things should be done, only then the things would be set right.

The Vice Chancellor said that it could be done only with their support. In the last all the things are put on the Syndicate and Senate. These are very important suggestions and they have look into it very seriously.

Dr. R.K. Mahajan said that he is representatives of the colleges as General Secretary. The positions of the colleges is such that the staff has not been paid salary in about one hundred colleges. In the constituent colleges not even a single teacher has been regularised, then how the University granted affiliation to it when there is only one Principal who is working on regular basis. The colleges where the teachers have not been given salary for the last six months, the University imposes to condition there that 14 teachers be regularised, only then the affiliation would be granted. Why it is so? The members who go on inspections, they torture the Principal. It should be checked as to who is being sent for inspection. He has said this openly in the Principals' meeting that this inspector raj should be stopped. He has also said in the previous Senate meeting that first they should frame the rules first and the college should be allowed to apply only when he fulfils all the conditions. Only two members should be sent just to verify what is written in the proforma. One member should from the College Principal and the other from the University. He said in one of the colleges, 15 people had been going to that college since the last 13 years. They can see how much burden has to be borne by that college. The college is not able to pay salary to its staff, but it has to spend 1.5 lacs for such inspections.

Shri Sandeep Singh intervened to say that it is easy for them to spend Rs. 1.5 lacs than to appoint faculty on regular basis.

Continuing, Dr. R.K. Mahajan said that there are many such colleges where on 65 students are studying and it is being run by a Gurdwara and they do not have money. They are not given any grant by the government. But they force them. They are giving education to the girl students. So, he requested that the government should also pay heed towards it and give grant to the colleges. After the year 1978, no grant has been given to any college. All the colleges have been self-finance/unaided. The colleges are suffering a lot as neither the government nor the UGC is paying them any money. So, he requested that a rule should be made that the college Principal would apply for new course only when the conditions are fulfilled.

Dr. Dalip Kumar while endorsing the view point of Dr. R.K. Mahajan said that this should be started from this year itself.

Shri Sandeep Singh said that how they could do this. After 1996, no appointment in the colleges have been made. If it is done, the colleges would close down.

Dr. R.K. Mahajan said that if a Government Colleges does not fulfil the conditions, they keep on giving permission to them for starting new courses.

Shri Ashok Goyal said whatever Dr. R.K. Mahajan has said that is already in the Calendar.

Shri Sandeep Singh said that one person in one meeting speaks against one issue, but the same person in other meeting speaks in favour of that. Why it is happening? One Committee says that such and such college would not be given affiliation, but the other says that it should be given affiliation. Then how the conditions are fulfilled. He requested that the meeting of the affiliation Committee should be held in camera so that everything gets clear. Though everybody knows how it is being done, but when it comes to vested interests, then such things are done.

Dr. D.P.S. Randhawa said that it is very serious issue. Their dignity is attached to it and there is a question mark on it.

Shri Raghbir Dayal said that he has to talk in some different context. These are the chronic issues of the colleges and they have opened a Pandora box. A special Senate meeting was also held, but nothing could come out. He said may everybody including the Vice Chancellor and officers of the University are sitting here. He would like to request the Senate that there should not be any discrimination between the government colleges and private colleges. But why there is discrimination between the University Departments and Regional Centres of the University. He requested the Vice Chancellor to tell as to how many persons have been appointed on regular basis in the Panjab University Regional Centre, Muktsar during the last five years and how many persons were appointed in the University teaching departments. He said that the Vice Chancellor could give answer to his question during the next Senate meeting.

Shri Varinder Singh said that 2-3 years ago, it was requested to regularise 1925 teachers in the colleges. Many teachers have completed three years, but those have not been regularised till date. The important issue which he would like to discuss is that his colleagues who go for inspections, they are elected from the colleges. The same persons go to the colleges for inspection. Some members have raised the issue as to why the parameters are changed every time. The reason for that is if a member is elected from the college, how he would do justice. He said no member from the Senate should go for inspection and also for selection as they do politics in the colleges. Suppose one Principal goes for inspection to the other college, then he would see whether they would vote for him, or have they voted for him or will they vote for him. They go there for making their votes. When Shri H.S. Dua wanted to speak, Shri Varinder Singh requested to complete his version.

At this stage, a pandemonium prevailed as several members started speaking together.

Shri Varinder Singh said that no Senate or Syndicate member should go for inspection. Only the Professors of the University should go for inspections or selections.

Dr. Inderpal Singh Sidhu, Shri K.K. Sharma, Shri H.S. Dua, Shri Jagdeep Kumar and some other members said that the Professors of this University would also not go for inspections and selections.

Shri Varinder Singh said that those Professors who are members of the Senate, they should not go for inspections/selections.

A din prevailed at this stage as several members started speaking together and nothing was audible.

Shri K.K. Sharma said that the integrity of the Senate is not doubtful. The process should be made time bound.

Shri Varinder Singh said, it is doubtful.

Dr. Rabinder Nath Sharma requested the member to let him (Shri Varinder Singh) complete his version.

Shri Varinder Singh again repeated that the members of the Senate should neither go for inspections nor for selections. The reason is before them. That is why there is difference of opinion in different meeting. They are the persons who have to go for inspection, they are in the Senate and Syndicate. How all these things could go side by side. He again requested that only those Professors who are not members of the Senate should be sent for inspections and selections.

Again a din prevailed at this stage.

The Vice Chancellor requested Shri Varinder Singh to conclude his point.

Shri Varinder Singh said that they should take a decision that no member of the Senate should go for inspection and selection. He has already explained the reason for this. They just do vote politics there. This politics also affects the formation of the Syndicate also. This whole process starts from the inspections and selections of the colleges. Sometimes back they have sent surprise teams to the colleges, they can see the complaints and what has happened. Though it is very difficult, but they have to take a decision on this issue. If they have to go by the truth, then he (Vice Chancellor) has to stop the Senate members for conducting inspections and selections. Why they come to the Senate? It should be unanimously decided that no Senate member would take TA/DA, nobody would go neither for inspections nor for selections, then he would guarantee that even half of the persons would not contest the Senate elections.

Shri Raghbir Dayal requested the Vice Chancellor that these statements should not become part of the proceedings as he (Shri Varinder Singh) is talking vague without any facts. He said that he would contest the Senate election even if he is not assigned any inspection or selection. He is talking vague and it is all rubbish.

At this stage, since several members started speaking together, so the Vice Chancellor adjourned the meeting.

After the meeting resumed again Professor Chaman Lal said that this issue has created a lot of controversy, it could be taken up at some other time. The truth is that the situation of colleges is very bad though the explosion has taken place today.

Professor Navdeep Goyal said that when they were discussing this item, the never knew that a non-Syndics is attending the meeting. They have to be very careful in future that any member who is not a member of the Syndicate cannot deliberate in the Syndicate meeting. They had taken this decision on the assurance given by him. But the assurances which were given, has not been fulfilled. He thinks, keeping in view this things, it would be better if the affiliation is not granted this year.

Shri Jagdeep Kumar said that this is passed unanimously. This was also endorsed by some other members also.

RESOLVED: That the recommendations of the Syndicate to grant of temporary affiliation to Govt. College for Girls at Ludhiana (East) to start B.A.-I for the academic year 2018-19, be referred back to Syndicate along with discussion

At this stage, Dr. Ameer Sultana said that before taking up the ratification items, they should take up the issue of Dental College may be taken up first. She is requesting this for the second time.

Subsequently, at this time, some discussion took place on Item No. C-11, which has been made a part of discussion under that Item.

Dr. Ameer Sultana on behalf of the House again requested the Vice Chancellor to take up the issue of Dental College first before taking up the ratification items. She said that this is the third time she is requesting to take up the issue on behalf of the Committee Against Sexual Harassment.

Dr. Dalip Kumar and some other members also endorsed it.

It was informed by the members that this item has been listed as **Item No. C-6** in the old agenda of Senate meeting dated 8.7.2018.

XX. The recommendation of the Syndicate contained in **Item C-6** on the agenda was read out, viz. –

C-6. That the report received from Chairperson, PUCASH, Panjab University, Chandigarh regarding complaint submitted by students of Dr. Harvansh Singh Judge Institute of Dental Sciences & Hospital, Sector-25, Panjab University, Chandigarh, duly forwarded by the Syndicate.

(Syndicate dated 26.5.2018 Para 46)

The Vice Chancellor said that now they are taking up Item No. C-6 which is a matter to be considered here. This is regarding the report submitted by the PUCASH in respect of the complaint submitted by the students of Dr. H.S.J. Institute of Dental Sciences and Hospital, Secotr-25, Panjab University, Chandigarh duly forwarded by the Syndicate which is available at page 271 of the agenda papers.

Dr. Dalip Kumar said that there is no need for any discussion and it should be passed. This was also endorsed by Shri Sandeep Singh.

Dr. Subhash Sharma said that there is unanimity in the House. This was endorsed by Dr. Rabinder Nath Sharma and several other members.

Dr. Ameer Sultana said that she recommends strongly that he should be terminated from the service immediately.

This was approved by the House unanimously.

Shri Prabhjit Singh said that he is hundred percent with the House in removing him from the service. But that person would go to the Court so they should first file the caveat, then he should be removed so that he might not take stay from the Court.

Shri Naresh Gaur said that they have discussed the issue and taken a decision, it is very good decision. This is the second case in the University, the first was that of Dr. Komal Singh. But he informed that several cases of sexual harassment relating to

the colleges are still pending in the University. There was a case of a College at Ludhiana for which a Committee has been formed. He is a member of that Committee. The other members of that Committee are, Principal Inderjit Kaur and Madam Sandhu and Chairperson of the Committee is Ms. Anu Chatrath. The teacher has been dismissed from the college and that teacher has filed a case in the High Court. After this, another case was lodged against that person who has committed this crime. Though the University had made a Committee, but was it not the duty of the University to ask as to what action has been taken by that Committee. If any member would not like to be a member of the Committee, he should be changed. Earlier Professor Mukesh Arora was the Chairperson of that Committee, but he withdrew himself from the Chairmanship and Ms. Anu Chatrath was made the Chairperson. The incidents of sexual harassment are increasing day by day. With this the image of the University, Senate and also the colleges is being maligned because all the decisions are taken by the Syndicate and Senate. He, therefore, requested that all the cases be compiled and reported to the Syndicate and Senate and action be taken immediately on them.

The Vice Chancellor said it has been noted.

Professor Chaman Lal while appreciating the sentiments of the members, said that he also support it, but still to follow a proper procedure, even when someone goes to Court, the Court always say, show them the speaking orders. Speaking orders means that they have to put down the reasons. But in this case, he thinks, whatever the Committee has recommended, It should be recorded that the Committee has recommended major punishment, that should be part of the proceedings. So everyone should agree first to this one thing that major punishment should be awarded to this guilty person. Now following the major punishment, there are three types of major punishments, which were in the case of Mr. Komal Singh also. Then out of three punishments, Dr. Ameer Sultana has proposed number one i.e. termination from service. There are others also. So, if they do not discuss things and they just went to sway, then they forget the procedural part. The procedural part can create legal problems always. So, they should be agreeing to the second part also that out of three types of major punishments, which major penalty is agreed by all. He definitely support Dr. Ameer Sultana what she has proposed, but if there is some other proposal, that should also come for discussion and they should reach on consensus.

Professor Pam Rajput said that Professor Chaman Lal has explained about the three provision for punishment in the Panjab University Calendar. She proposed that first penalty i.e. his services should be terminated without any chance of getting employment somewhere. She requested the House to prove the first penalty which was approved by the House by thumping of tables. Secondly, the Legal Remembrances of the University be asked to filed the caveat. Third, another issue has been raised about the colleges. Every college should be asked to constitute their own Committee Against Sexual Harassment as per the UGC/as per the Act. They should not look towards the University to constitute the Committee. There is a law that every institute including the affiliated colleges should constitute such a Committee. The University also send direction to all the Regional Centres of Panjab University to constitute such Committees. She requested that as and when there is such recommendations from the Committee Against Sexual Harassment, the meeting of Senate might be called at the earliest. If it is not done, it is violation of the Act. The Act says that the aggrieved party should be listened to within 30 days and action on the recommendations of the Committee should be taken within 20 days. This case dates back in May and the Committee Against Sexual Harassment has sent its report. But this case is coming to the Senate now which means that five months have already passed and they have violated the Act. She said in future they should not violate the law. When such a

recommendation comes from the Committee Against Sexual Harassment, the meeting of the Senate should be immediately convened to action.

The Vice Chancellor said that this is a unanimous decision to which the members said 'yes'.

RESOLVED: That –

- (i) recommendations of the Syndicate dated 26.5.2018 that report dated 25.5.2018 of the Panjab University Committee Against Sexual Harassment (PUCASH) regarding complaint of sexual harassment filed by students of Dr. H.S.J. Institute of Dental Sciences, Panjab University, Chandigarh against Dr. Devinder Preet Singh, Associate Professor at Dr. H.S.J. Institute of Dental Sciences, Panjab University, Chandigarh, be accepted; and;
- (ii) as per provisions contained in Chapter-IV (Part VI, Page-114) of Panjab University Calendar Volume-III, 2016, Major Penalty of "Dismissal from service of the University" be imposed on Dr. Devinder Preet Singh, Associate Professor at Dr. H.S.J. Institute of Dental Sciences, Panjab University, Chandigarh.

At this stage the Vice Chancellor said that there are some ratification items relating to the students, teaching and non-teaching staff and these items are very urgent.

Professor Navdeep Goyal, Dr. Dalip Kumar, Dr. R.K. Mahajan said if anybody would like to say something on these items, then he can speak, otherwise these items are passed.

The Vice Chancellor said that there are Ratification and Information Items in the Current Agenda and he requested the members to pass it to which the members said these are passed.

XXI. The information contained in **Items R-1 to R-8** on the agenda was read out, viz. –

R-1 That the Vice-Chancellor in anticipation of the approval of the Syndicate has re-appointed following Three Demonstrators, Dr. Harvansh Singh Judge Institute of Dental Sciences & Hospital, purely on temporary/contract basis (whose present term of appointment for the academic session 2017-2018 expired on 30.06.2018) w.e.f. 03.07.2018 to 30.06.2019 for the academic session 2018-19, after one day break on 02.07.2018 (01.07.2018 being Sunday) or till a regular selection is made, whichever is earlier at the minimum of the scale of Rs.10300-34800+GP Rs.5000/- plus allowances, on the existing terms and conditions. The persons possessing Medical/Dental qualifications i.e. M.B.B.S./B.D.S. are also entitled for Non-Practising Allowances (NPA) @ 25% of the basic-pay, subject to the condition that the basic Pay + NPA shall not exceed Rs.85000/- p.m. in the terms of Senate decision dated 29.09.2013 (Para LX) Item No. 20 (III):

1. Dr. Harkirat Sethi, Department of Pharmacology
2. Dr. Anupam Vijayvergia, Department of Physiology
3. Dr. Ravi Kant Sharma, Department of Biochemistry

(Syndicate dated 27.08.2018 Para 16(ii))

Senate Proceedings dated 3rd November, 2018

R-2 That the Vice-Chancellor, on the recommendations of Academic Council (item no. XVIII dated 26.6.2018) and in anticipation of approval of the Syndicate and Senate, has allowed to introduce the following two courses in the Govt. College of Education, Sector-20-D, Chandigarh w.e.f. Academic session 2018-2019 along with the modalities, no. of seats etc:

- (i) Post Graduate Diploma in Life Skills and Education for Human Excellence- (PGD-LSEHE) (one Year Regular Course) (Credit Based Semester System) (I to II Semester)
- (ii) Post Graduate Diploma in Educational Technology (PGDET) (One Year Regular Course) (Credit Based Semester System) (I to II Semester).

(Syndicate dated 27.08.2018 Para 16(i))

R-3 That the Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation of approval of the Syndicate/Senate, has approved the minutes of the committee dated 17.07.2018 with regard to the amendment in sub-clause 9.5 of clause 9 of amendment in Ph.D. guidelines, 2017 for award of M.Phil./Ph.D. degree (which are in conformity with U.G.C. Minimum Standards and Procedure for the award of Ph.D. degree) Regulation 2016.

(Syndicate dated 27.08.2018 Para 16(iv))

R-4 That the Vice-Chancellor in anticipation of the approval of the Syndicate, has approved the proposal dated 19.07.2018 of Professor Rajat Sandhir, Department of Biochemistry, Associate Director, Research Promotion Cell and Professor Parveen Rishi, Department of Microbiology that the BMS Blocks I and II South Campus P.U., Chandigarh be named as "Venki Ramakrishnan Block and "Hargobind Khorana Block" respectively.

(Syndicate dated 27.08.2018 Para 16(v))

R-5 That the Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation of the approval of the Syndicate, has allowed to start Post Graduate Diploma in Guidance and Counselling in the University School of Open Learning (USOL) w.e.f. academic session 2018-19.

(Syndicate dated 23.09.2018 Para 30(iii))

R-6 That the Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation of the approval of the Syndicate, has accepted the resignation of Dr. Ram Singh, Assistant Professor in Commerce (Temporary), P.U. Constituent College, Sikhwala, Distt. Sri Muktsar Sahib w.e.f. 20.08.2018 as he has given one month notice from 20.07.2018 to 19.08.2018, under Rule 16.2 at page 85 of P.U., Calendar Volume-III, 2016.

(Syndicate dated 23.09.2018 Para 30(iv))

R-7 That the Vice-Chancellor has appointed the following persons as Part-Time Medical Specialist, (Orthopedician) and (Gynaecologist) Bhai Ghanaiya Ji Institute of Health, P.U., purely on contract basis on fixed emoluments of Rs.20,000/- p.m. (2 hours on working days), initially for

Senate Proceedings dated 3rd November, 2018

period of one year, and further extendable with one day break after every one year on satisfactory performance & good conduct and other terms & condition notified by the University in Advt. No. 1/2018:

1. Dr. Ranjit Singh Rana - Orthopedician
2. Dr. Seema Chaudhary Kapoor - Gynaecologist

(Syndicate dated 23.09.2018 Para 30(v))

R-8 That the Vice-Chancellor, on the recommendation (Item XLV) of the Academic Council dated 26.06.2018, has allowed to introduce new course namely M. Architecture in the Chandigarh College of Architecture, Sector-12, Chandigarh w.e.f. academic session 2018-19 along with Rules and Regulations.

(Syndicate dated 27.08.2018 Para 16(xxix))

Dr. Ajay Ranga, while referring to **Item R-(1)**, said that three Demonstrators have been appointed in Dental College 10 years back. The post was advertised as likely to be permanent. Now 10 years have passed, but those people are working on the same post. Their interview was also held for the post which were advertised as likely to be permanent. But after it, their appointments were made contractual. Now they are working on contract year by year and the case is sent here for approval. There is no gap in their service during the last ten years. They fulfil all the minimum qualifications. He requested that they should be regularised as this is a harassment for them.

The Vice Chancellor said that they are now approving their appointment for 2018-19.

Shri Deepak Kaushik said that it is right what Dr. Ajay Ranga has said. There are people who are working for the last 20 years even. A Committee was formed for this purpose. The Committee also sent its proposal for approval. The Syndicate had made the Committee and that Committee gave its recommendation. After that a case was filed by someone in the Court. The Committee gave the recommendation that the University should get the stay vacated in the Court and all the persons should be regularised.

Dr. Ajay Ranga said that whatever Shri Deepak Kaushik is saying, that is alright, but both these cases are different. In the case which Shri Deepak Kaushik has said, the persons were appointed as temporary on contractual basis, but in the Dental College they were appointed as, likely to be permanent.

At this point of time Shri Jagdeep Kumar said that on 22nd October, a letter was sent to the Deputy Registrar Colleges that for the approval of College teachers, the teacher has to give an affidavit that he has no case pending against him/her and that affidavit has to be certified by the Principal of the College that his work and conduct is quite satisfactory. He further said that in the approval cases, there was neither such provision in the University nor it is required. Due to this all the approval cases have been sent back to the colleges. So, he requested that this letter should be withdrawn. In the case of Principals, such a letter has been issued, that should also be withdrawn. The University has to check only the eligibility of the person. If he is eligible, there should not be any problem in approving the case. Due to this the teachers are feeling harassed. He further said that the former Vice Chancellor has accepted their request and he would like to submit the same request to him (Vice Chancellor) also.

Senate Proceedings dated 3rd November, 2018

As regards the 1925 teachers who were appointed sometime back are working on basic pay on the basis of the order of the High Court. Now they have completed three years. He requested that a resolution from the House be sent to the Punjab Government that their services be regularised with immediate effect and they be given full scale. This was a very big demand of Punjab and Chandigarh Teachers Union.

Further the status of Aurobindo College as per the decision of the Syndicate be told to them.

He said that it has been given to understand that renovation of 11 rooms of Golden Jubilee Guest House, Rs.53 lacs have been spent. He was of the opinion that with such an amount, eleven new rooms could be constructed. This is a very big amount and an enquiry should be held in this matter.

Dr. Jagdeep Kumar again requested that Vice Chancellor to get the letter as mentioned by him withdrawn.

Dr. Parveen Goyal requested the Vice Chancellor to allow him to speak on **Item No. R-(3)**. There is a very simple point in R-(3). At page No.17, the facility for turnitin software has been provided for curtailing plagiarism. It has been passed by the University 20% plagiarism is permitted.

- (i) "The filters should be set to:
 - a) Excluding quotes (acknowledged)
 - b) Excluding Bibliography, references and footnotes
 - c) Excluding matches less than ten words in continuity
 - d) Exclude all generic terms, laws standard symbols and standards equations
 - e) Any prior submission of work from thesis/dissertation of the candidate to Turnitin database unknowingly/mistakenly may be excluded from the originality report.
- (ii) Dury acknowledged secondary data sources be excluded from similarity analysis.
- (iii) Similarity with self published work in journals/conference proceedings is to be excluded from the analysis. This has to be clarified by the Supervisor and a note to this effect be added along with the similarity report.
- (iv) Research Methodology is to be excluded/ignored if quoted with proper references/footnotes.
- (v) Overall similarity index upto 20% is acceptable after taking (i),(ii), (iii) and (iv) above into consideration.
- (vi) Turnitin Originality Report should be certified by the Supervisor and countersigned by the Chairperson.
- (vii) Record of Turnitin Originality Report of the candidate must be maintained in the office of the nodal department.
- (viii) The subjects of Hindi, Punjabi, Sanskrit and Urdu where Turnitin Originality Reports system does not function, these Departments should devise their own mechanisms to check the plagiarism.

Senate Proceedings dated 3rd November, 2018

- (ix) The Ph.D. and M.Phil research work be called as Ph.D. thesis and M.Phil dissertation.
- (x) The revised Anti-Plagiarism certificate and Affidavit are enclosed as Annexure-I & II.”

But there is great problem in turnitin software which he could also discuss. But the simple solution for this would be to constitute a Committee consisting of Professor A.K. Bhandari, he himself or any other person. They would sort it out easily.

The Vice Chancellor said that when the Committee would be discussed, all the issues relating to this would be discussed by that Committee.

Professor Rajesh Gill said she would like to tell as to how it is to be implemented and how the filtering is to be done. She said at page No, 20, the affidavit which has been attached, it is written ‘That I am a Ph.D. student in the Department of _____ Panjab University, Chandigarh vide Enrolment No._____’. She said that they have changed the word ‘Enrolment’ with that of ‘Registration’ or confirmation. This should be corrected.

Dr. Parveen Goyal said that a Committee is already there under the Chairmanship of Professor Bhandari. He further said that the stakeholder should be there. They may make him or some other person a member of the Committee.

Professor Keshav Malhotra said that Dr. Parveen Goyal should be made a member.

At this point of time the members said that all the Ratification and Information items have been approved.

RESOLVED: That the information contained in Sub-Items **R-1 to R-8** on the agenda, be ratified; and approved, with the condition that, as pointed out by Professor Rajesh Gill, the word appearing in “Affidavit – Sub-Item **R-(3)**” “Enrolment” be substituted with “Registration”.

XXII. The information contained in **Items I-1 to I-47** on the agenda was read out and noted –

I-1. That the Syndicate has felicitated to the following:

- (i) Professor Raj Kumar, Vice Chancellor, PU, on his being appointed as Chairman, State Higher Education Council (SHEC) under the Rashtriya Uchchatar Shiksha Abhiyan (RUSA) scheme of the MHRD by the Hon’ble Governor of Punjab and Administrator, Chandigarh, UT.
- (ii) Professor Ved Prakash Upadhyaya, retired from Department of Sanskrit, on his being awarded the Certificate of Honour for the year 2018 by the President of India.
- (iii) Professor S.K. Tomar, Department of Mathematics, for being nominated on the Executive Council of Guru Jambheshwar University of Science & Technology, Hisar by the Hon’ble Governor of Haryana.

Senate Proceedings dated 3rd November, 2018

- (iv) Dr. Gurmeet Singh, Chairperson, Department of Hindi, on his being selected for deputation to Indian Council for Cultural Relations (ICCR) Chair of Hindi Language at the University of Jordan, Amman, Jordan.
- (v) Mr. Pradip Nirbhavane, Senior Research Fellow at University Instt. of Pharmaceutical Sciences, on his being selected for Birmingham India Institute Fellowship, fully-funded to carry out interdisciplinary Indo-British collaborative research work in the domain of Lipidic Nanocarriers for the Treatment of Uveitis.
- (vi) Ms. Shiyana, Research Scholar at University Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences (UIPS) on her being awarded the APRC Travel and Short Stay Grant by the International Brain Research Organization (IBRO) at the RIKEN Centre for Brain Science in Japan.

(Syndicate dated 27.08.2018 Para 1)

- (vii) Ms Yamini P., Ph.D. Research Scholar, working under the supervision of Professor Kanwaljit Chopra, Chairperson, University Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, on her winning the 'Rajnibhai V Patel 'PharmInnova Award 2017-18', for best thesis in M.Pharm. (Pharmacology) and also receiving a trophy, certificate and a cash prize of Rs 51,000, with her research guide receiving Rs 25,000.
- (viii) Professor Archana Rakesh Singh, Chairperson of the School of Communication Studies on her being nominated as the member of the Film and Television Institute of India society.
- (ix) Professor O.P. Katare, University Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, on his being conferred the Association of Pharmacy Teachers of India (APTI) Manjushree Pal Memorial Award for the best Pharmaceutical Scientist.

(Syndicate dated 23.09.2018 Para 1)

- (x) Dr. Indu Pal Kaur, Professor of Pharmaceutics, UIPS, Panjab University, Chandigarh has been conferred the Women Scientist Award 2018 by the organisation of Pharmaceutical Producers of India.
- (xi) Former Professor and Head of Hindi Dept. Dr. Dharam Paul Maini on having been conferred Dindyal Upadhaya award in the field of languages;
- (xii) Dr. A.K. Singh, an alumnus of the Bio Physics Department of our university on having been appointed Director General, Life Sciences of DRDO
- (xiii) Ms. Mani Kamboj of P.U. Campus, student of University institute of Legal Studies, on being representing India in the 18th Asian Roller Skating Championship (Asia-Oceania Rink Hockey) held from 4th September to 13th September 2018 at China.

Senate Proceedings dated 3rd November, 2018

- (xiv) Dr. G.S. Gupta, Professor Emeritus, Dept. of Biophysics on having been honoured with a most prestigious Bharat Ratna Dr. A.P.J. Abdul Kalam Excellence Award of India by India International Friendship Society on September 29, 2018; in recognition of his meritorious services and outstanding contribution in the field of scientific research and education
- (xv) Dr. J.S. Sehrawat, Dept. of Anthropology on having been received a grant of Rs.30.58 lacs from Science and Engineering Research Board, Department of Science and Technology for the project on biological profiling of the Ajnala remains.

(Syndicate dated 14.10.2018 Para 1)

I-2. That the syndicate has noted the following:

- (i) Shri M. Venkaiah Naidu, Hon'ble Vice President of India and Chancellor, Panjab University, in exercise of powers vested in him under Section 10 of the Panjab University Act, 1947, has appointed me (Prof. Raj Kumar, Director, Dean and Head, Institute of Management Studies of Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi), as Vice Chancellor of Panjab University, a very prominent and one of the oldest Universities in India, for a term of three years w.e.f. 23rd July, 2018.
- (ii) MHRD under RUSA Scheme has accorded approval for Rs.5 crore under equity initiatives (for constructing Girls Hostel) and Rs.7 crore for faculty improvements, which will be carried out by Human Resource Development Centre (HRDC), PU.
- (iii) University Grants Commission has upgraded the Department of Anthropology from CAS-I to CAS-II for a period of 5 years (01-04-2018 to 31-03-2023) with a total grant of Rs.94 lakhs (Non-Recurring + Recurring) and one Project Fellow (Actual).

(Syndicate dated 27.08.2018 Para 1(2) (i), (iii) & (vii))

- (iv) I got the privilege to interact with the following distinguished dignitaries and discussed strategies for future development of this pristine university and they have assured all possible support:
 - i) Hon'ble Shri Arun Jaitley, Union Finance Minister on 31.8.2018.
 - ii) Hon'ble Shri Gajendra Singh Shekhawat, Union Minister of State for Agriculture and Farmer's Welfare on 31.8.2018.
 - iii) Hon'ble Gen. V.K. Singh, Union Minister of State for Foreign Affairs on 5.9.2018.
 - iv) Hon'ble Dr. Jitendra Singh, Union Minister of State in the Ministry of Personnel, Public Grievances & Pensions on 8.9.2018.
 - v) Hon'ble Shri Satya Narayan Arya, Governor of Haryana on 11.9.2018.

Senate Proceedings dated 3rd November, 2018

- vi) Hon'ble Shri Vijay Sampla ji, Union Minister of State for Social Justice and Empowerment on 14.9.2018.
 - vii) Hon'ble Capt. Amarinder Singh, Chief Minister Punjab on 14.9.2018.
 - viii) Hon'ble Acharaya Devvrat ji, Governor, Himachal Pradesh on 15.9.2018.
 - ix) Hon'ble Shri V.P. Singh Badnore ji, Governor of Punjab on 18.9.2018.
- (v) I have attended a Vice-Chancellors workshop on Graded Autonomy under UGC [Categorization of Universities (only) for Grant of Graded Autonomy] Regulations 2018 at New Delhi on 20.9.2018
- (vi) Dr. Muneeshwar Joshi, (Formerly Registrar, Maharaja Agrasen University, Baddi and Deputy Registrar, Panjab University) has been appointed as Secretary to Vice-Chancellor and he has joined on 13.9.2018.

(Syndicate dated 23.09.2018 Para 1(2))

- (vii) Our university has been accredited for swimming Sports/discipline under Khelo India Talent Development Program for a period of one year by the Ministry of Youth Affairs & Sports, Government of India.
- (viii) Dashmesh Shooting Academy of Dashmesh Girls College campus, VPO Badal, Distt. Sri Muktsar Sahib has been accredited for Shooting (Residential) Sports/discipline under Khelo India Talent Development Program for a period of one year by the Ministry of Youth Affairs & Sports, Government of India. This college is the only college from the North India region having been selected and is already known for its sports activities having won many national and international medals. Their student Avneet Kaur Sidhu, an Arjuna Awardee, was the first women Olympian in shooting from North India and bagged Commonwealth Gold Medal.
- (ix) Government of Assam has expressed its willingness to set up a Shrimanta Shankardeva Chair with a one-time provision of Rs. 5 crore in our university.
- (x) Youth delegation from Sri Lanka visited our university on 13.10.2018 under the International Youth Exchange Programme between India & Sri Lanka organised by the Ministry of Youth Affairs & Sports, Govt. of India for inter cultural tradition/heritage sharing.
- (xi) The University Business School Alumni Association has donated Rs.11 lacs to the University Business School for the purchase of new furniture.
- (xii) Ministry of Human Resource Development has sanctioned a grant of Rs.6.63 crore to the Panjab University for setting up a Centre for Academic Leadership and Educational Management (CALEM) out of which an amount of Rs.2.42 crore has been released.

(Syndicate dated 14.10.2018 Para 1(i), (ii), (iii), (vii), (xi) & (xii))

Senate Proceedings dated 3rd November, 2018

- I-3** To note the notification No. VPS-15/02/2018 dated 21.7.2018 received from the Secretary to the Vice President of India, New Delhi that “In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 of the Panjab University Act, 1947, the Chancellor of Panjab University, Chandigarh is pleased to appoint Professor Raj Kumar, Banaras Hindu University as the Vice-Chancellor of Panjab University for a term of three years with effect from 23.07.2018 or from the date he assumes charge of his office as Vice-Chancellor.

(Syndicate dated 27.08.2018 Para 17(i))

- I-4** That Mohd. Samshad Alam and Mohd. Taukir Alam, be re-appointed as Assistant Professor (purely on temporary basis) in the Department of Community Education and Disability Studies, P.U., with immediate effect for the session 2018-2019, against the vacant post or till the posts are filled in, on regular basis, through proper selection, whichever is earlier, on the same terms and conditions on which they have worked previously during the session 2017-2018, under Regulation 5 page 111, P.U. Calendar-I, 2007.

NOTE: That in future for appointments on temporary basis, the directive of MHRD not to fill up the posts and the direction of the Court not to replace the persons already employed on temporary basis with another temporary person be mentioned in the background of the item.

(Syndicate dated 27.08.2018 Para 5)

- I-5** That the following persons be re-appointed as Part Time Assistant Professor in the Department of Laws, P.U. for the Academic session 2018-2019, against the vacant positions of the Department, on the same terms and conditions according to which they have worked previously and they would be deemed to have joined the department from the date they started taking the classes as verified by the Chairperson of the Department:

Sr. No.	Name
1.	Ms. Neetu Gupta
2.	Ms. Priyanka Bedi
3.	Ms. Yashesvi Singh
4.	Ms. Sonia
5.	Dr. Reena Kansal
6.	Ms. Imrose K. Tiwana

(Syndicate dated 23.09.2018 Para 2)

- I-6** That the following persons, be re-appointed as Part-time Assistant Professor, PURC, Ludhiana, from July, 2018 for the current session 2018-2019, on an honorarium of Rs.22800/- p.m. (fixed) (for teaching 12 hours per week) against the vacant position of the Centre:

1. Ms. Vandana Bhanot
2. Ms. Sarita Paul

Senate Proceedings dated 3rd November, 2018

3. Dr. Kuljit Singh
4. Mr. Sunil Mittal

(Syndicate dated 27.08.2018 Para 7)

I-7 The Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation of the approval of the Syndicate, has re-appointed Mrs. Naveender P.K. Singh as Part Time Assistant Professor in the Department of Law, w.e.f. the date she starts work for the academic session 2018-2019 against the vacant position of the Department, on the same term and conditions according to which she has worked previously.

(Syndicate dated 14.10.2018 Para 14(i))

I-8 The Vice-Chancellor has ordered that Professor Karamjeet Singh, UBS, to take over the additional charge of the post of the Registrar as a temporary measure from Col. Guljit Singh Chadha (Retd.) w.e.f. 30.09.2018 (A.N.), till further orders.

(Syndicate dated 14.10.2018 Para 14(iii))

I-9 That the Vice-Chancellor has re-appointed Dr. Zareen Fatima as Assistant Professor, Department of Urdu, P.U. on contract basis at fixed emoluments of Rs.30400/- p.m. w.e.f. the date she starts/started work, for the session 2018-19 i.e. upto 31.05.2019, against the vacant post or till the posts are filled in, on regular basis, whichever is earlier, under Regulation 5 at page 111 of P.U. Calendar, Volume-I, 2007, on the same term and conditions on which she worked previously for the last session.

(Syndicate dated 27.08.2018 Para 16(vi))

I-10 That the Vice-Chancellor has:

- (i) extended the term of appointment of Ms. Twinkle Bedi, Assistant Professor in Computer Engineering, Dr. S.S. Bhatnagar University Institute of Chemical Engineering & Technology, purely on temporary basis (with one day break) upto 30.06.2018, under Regulation 5 at page 111 of P.U. Calendar, Volume-I, 2007, on the same terms and conditions on which she was working earlier for the session 2017-18; and
- (ii) re-appointed (afresh) Ms. Twinkle Bedi as Assistant Professor, Dr. S.S. Bhatnagar University Institute of Chemical Engineering & Technology, purely on temporary basis, w.e.f. 09.07.2018, for the academic session 2018-19, or till the posts are filled in, on regular basis, through proper selection, whichever is earlier, in the pay-scale of Rs.15600-39100+AGP of Rs.6000/- plus allowances as per University rules, under Regulation 5 at page 111-112 of P.U. Calendar, Volume-I, 2007, on the same term and conditions on which they were working earlier for the session 2017-18.

(Syndicate dated 27.08.2018 Para 16(vii))

I-11

That the Vice-Chancellor has:

- (i) extended the term of appointment of following persons as Assistant Professor (temporary) for the session 2017-18 at University Institute of Engineering & Technology, P.U. upto 30.06.2018, with one day break on 01.05.2018, in the pay scale of Rs.15600-39100 + AGP Rs.6000/- plus other allowances as admissible, as per University rules, under Regulation 5 at pages 111-112 of P.U. Calendar, Volume-I, 2007, on the same term & conditions:

Sr. No.	Name of person	Branch
1.	Ms. Jyoti Sharma	Mathematics
2.	Mr. Hitesh Kapoor	Management
3.	Ms. Anu Jhamb	Management
4.	Ms. Geetu	Physics
5.	Mr. Saravjit Singh	ECE
6.	Ms. Garima Joshi	ECE
7.	Ms. Daljit Kaur	ECE
8.	Ms. Rajni Sobti	IT
9.	Mr. Sukhvir Singh	IT
10.	Ms. Renuka Rai	Chemistry
11.	Ms. Pardeep Kaur	ECE
12.	Dr. Ranjana Bhatia	Biotech.
13.	Ms. Prabhjot Kaur	Mathematics
14.	Dr. Parminder Kaur	Biotech.
15.	Dr. Minakshi Garg	Biotech
16.	Dr. Jyoti Sood	Physics
17.	Ms. Dhriti	CSE
18.	Ms. Anahat Dhindsa	ECE
19.	Mr. Jitender Singh	ECE
20.	Mr. Rajneesh Singla	IT
21.	Mr. Sanjiv Kumar	ECE
22.	Ms. Manisha Kaushal	CSE
23.	Ms. Harvinder Kaur	ECE
24.	Dr. Anu Priya Minhas	Biotech
25.	Mr. Vijay Kumar	Micro Electronics
26.	Ms. Gurpreet Kaur	ECE
27.	Mr. Kuldeep Singh Bedi	EEE
28.	Mr. Amit Thakur	Mech.
29.	Ms. Mamta Sharma	Applied Physics
30.	Mr. Munish Kansal	Applied Mathematics

- (ii) further re-appointed the above persons (Sr. No.1 to 29), as Assistant Professor (temporary) at UIET, P.U., for next academic session 2018-19 w.e.f. the date they start work, in the pay scale of Rs.15600-39100 + AGP Rs.6000/- plus other allowances as admissible, as per University rules under Regulation 5 at pages 111-112 of P.U. Calendar, Volume-I, 2007, on the same term &

conditions according to which they have worked during the session 2017-18.

NOTE: The person at Sr. No. 30 at (i) Shri Munish Kansal has left the job w.e.f. 30.6.2018 (A.N.).

(Syndicate dated 27.08.2018 Para 16(viii))

I-12 That the Vice-Chancellor has:

- (i) extended the term of appointment of Dr. Vishal Agrawal as Assistant Professor, Department of Biochemistry, purely on temporary basis, upto 30.06.2018 with one day break on 01.05.2018, under Regulation 5 at page 111-112 of P.U. Calendar, Volume-I, 2007; and
- (ii) re-appointed (afresh) Dr. Vishal Agrawal as Assistant Professor (temporary), Department of Biochemistry w.e.f. the date he start/started work, in the pay scale of Rs.15600-39100 + AGP of Rs.6000/- plus other allowances as admissible as per University rules, against the vacant post or till the post is filled in, on regular basis, through proper selection, whichever is earlier, for the academic session 2018-19, under Regulation 5 at page 111-112 of P.U. Calendar, Volume-I, 2007.

(Syndicate dated 27.08.2018 Para 16(ix))

I-13 That the Vice-Chancellor has re-appointed (afresh) Dr. Harsimran Kaur Boparai as Assistant Professor in Anaesthesia, Dr. Harvansh Singh Judge Institute of Dental Sciences & Hospital, purely on temporary basis w.e.f. 02.06.2018 for 11 months i.e. upto 01.05.2019 with one day break on 01.06.2018 or till the posts are filled in, on regular basis, through proper selection, whichever is earlier, under Regulation 5 at page 111 of P.U. Calendar, Volume-I, 2007, on the same terms and condition on which she was working earlier.

(Syndicate dated 27.08.2018 Para 16(x))

I-14 That the Vice-Chancellor has re-appointed (afresh) Dr. Richa Rastogi Thakur as Assistant Professor (temporary) in Centre for Nano Science & Nano Technology, w.e.f. the date she starts/started work, purely on temporary basis, in the pay-scale of Rs.15600-39100 + AGP Rs.6000/- plus other allowances as admissible, as per University rules, for the academic session 2018-2019, against the vacant post or till the posts are filled in, on regular basis, through proper selection, whichever is earlier, under Regulation 5 at pages 111-112 of P.U. Cal. Vol. I, 2007, on the same term & conditions according to which she has worked during the session 2017-2018.

(Syndicate dated 27.08.2018 Para 16(xi))

I-15 That the Vice-Chancellor has:

- (i) extended the term of appointment of Dr. Anuj Gupta as Assistant Professor, Centre for Stem Cell and Tissue Engineering, purely on temporary basis upto 30.06.2018 for the academic session 2017-2018, with one day break on 01.05.2018 on the same terms and conditions.
- (ii) re-appointed (afresh) Dr. Anuj Gupta as Assistant Professor (temporary) in Centre for Stem Cell and Tissue Engineering w.e.f. the date he start/started work in the pay-scale of Rs.15600-39100 +AGP Rs.6000/- plus other allowances as admissible, as per University rules, for the academic session 2018-2019, against the vacant post or till the posts are filled in, on regular basis, through proper selection, whichever is earlier, under Regulation 5 at pages 111-112 of P.U. Cal. Vol. I, 2007.

(Syndicate dated 27.08.2018 Para 16(xii))

I-16 That the Vice-Chancellor has appointed Mr. Varun Maini, as Assistant Professor in the subject of Computer Science (purely on temporary basis) at Shaheed Udam Singh P.U. Constituent College, Guru Har Sahai, Distt. Ferozepur w.e.f. the date he will start work for the academic session 2018-2019, against the vacant posts or till the posts are filled in, on regular basis, through proper selection, whichever is earlier, in the pay scale of Rs.15600-39100+AGP Rs.6000/- plus allowances as per University rules, under Regulation 5 at page 111-112 of P.U. Cal. Vol. I, 2007.

(Syndicate dated 27.08.2018 Para 16(xiii))

I-17 That the Vice-Chancellor, has re-appointed (afresh) the following persons as Assistant Professors (purely on temporary basis) at P.U. Constituted College, Sikhwala, Distt. Sri. Muktsar Sahib w.e.f. the date they start/started work for the academic session 2018-2019 i.e. upto the start of summer vacations of 2019, against the vacant posts or till the posts are filled in, on regular basis, through proper selection, whichever is earlier, in the pay-scale of Rs.15600-39100 + AGP Rs.6000/- plus other allowances as admissible as per University Rules, under Regulation 5 at page 111-112 of P.U. Cal. Vol. I, 2007, on the same terms and conditions on which they were working earlier for the session 2017-2018:

Sr. No.	Name of the person	Subject
1.	Ms. Navdeep Kaur	Assistant Professor in English
2.	Mr. Sukhdev Singh	Assistant Professor in Punjabi
3.	Ms. Mamta Rani	Assistant Professor in Commerce
4.	Dr. Sumit Mohan	Assistant Professor in Hindi
5.	Dr. Ram Singh	Assistant Professor in Commerce
6.	Mr. Harpreet Singh	Assistant Professor in Economics
7.	Dr. Rajesh Chander	Assistant Professor in History
8.	Dr.(Ms.) Lakhveer Kaur	Assistant Professor in Physical Education

(Syndicate dated 27.08.2018 Para 16(xiv))

I-18

That the Vice-Chancellor has:

- (i) re-appointed (afresh) the following persons as Assistant Professors at Dr. Harvansh Singh Judge Institute of Dental Sciences & Hospital, purely on temporary w.e.f. 17.7.2018 for 11 months i.e. upto 16.6.2019 with one day break on 16.7.2018 (break Day) or till the posts are filled in, on regular basis, through proper selection, whichever is earlier, under Regulation 5 at page 111 of P.U. Cal. Vol. I, 2007, on the same terms and conditions on which they were working earlier:

Sr. No.	Name of the person	Designation
1.	Dr. Monika Nagpal	Assistant Professor
2.	Dr. Amrita Rawla	Assistant Professor
3.	Dr. Rajeev Rattan	Assistant Professor
4.	Dr. Prabhjot Kaur	Assistant Professor
5.	Dr. Manjot Kaur	Assistant Professor
6.	Dr. Amandeep Kaur	Assistant Professor
7.	Dr. Vandana Gupta	Assistant Professor
8.	Dr. Rajni Jain	Assistant Professor
9.	Dr. M.K. Chhabra	Associate Professor

- (ii) re-appointed (afresh) the following persons as Sr. Assistant Professors at Dr. Harvansh Singh Judge Institute of Dental Sciences & Hospital, purely on temporary basis w.e.f. 17.8.2018 for 11 months i.e. upto 16.7.2019 with break on 15.8.2018 (Holiday) and 16.8.2018 (Break day) or till the posts are filled in, on regular basis, through proper selection, whichever is earlier, under Regulation 5 at page 111 of P.U. Cal. Vol. I, 2007 on the same terms and conditions on which they were working earlier:

Sr. No.	Name of the person	Designation
1.	Dr. Prabhleen Brar	Sr. Assistant Professor
2.	Dr. Rosy Arora	Sr. Assistant Professor
3.	Dr. Vivek Kapoor	Sr. Assistant Professor
4.	Dr. Ruchi Singla	Sr. Assistant Professor

(Syndicate dated 27.08.2018 Para 16(xv))**I-19**

That the Vice-Chancellor has extended the term of appointment of the following persons as Assistant Professor at P.U. S.S. Giri Regional Centre, Hoshiarpur, purely on temporary basis, on account of having their worked up to 31.05.2018 for the Academic session 2017-2018, with one day break as usual against the vacant posts of the centre or till the posts are filled on regular basis, whichever, in the pay-scale of Rs.15600-39100+AGP of Rs. 6000/- plus allowances under Regulation 5 at pages 111 of P.U. Cal. Vol.-I, 2007:

Sr. No.	Name of the person	Branch
1.	Shri Kanwal Preet Singh	CSE
2.	Ms. Sukhpreet Kaur	CSE
3.	Ms. Shama Pathania	CSE
4.	Ms. Monika	ECE
5.	Shri Anish Sharma	ECE
6.	Ms. Harman Preet Kaur	ECE
7.	Shri Gurbinder Singh	I.T.
8.	Ms. Divya Sharma	I.T.
9.	Rikika Arora	I.T.
10.	Ms. Tanvi Sharma	I.T.
11.	Shri Ajay Kumar Saini	Mech.
12.	Shri Gurwinder Singh	Mech.

(Syndicate dated 27.08.2018 Para 16(xvi))

- I-20** That the Vice-Chancellor has re-appointed Ms. Rajni Chauhan, Assistant Professor in Commerce (purely on temporary basis), University School of Open Learning against the vacant post of the Department, from July, 2018 for the academic session 2018-2019 or till the posts are filled in, on regular basis, whichever is earlier, in the pay scale of Rs.15600-39100+AGP of Rs.6000/- plus allowances under University Regulation, 5 at page 111 of P.U. Cal. Vol. I, 2007.

(Syndicate dated 27.08.2018 Para 16(xvii))

- I-21** That the Vice-Chancellor has re-appointed following persons as Assistant Professor at P.U. S.S. Giri Regional Centre, Hoshiarpur, purely on temporary basis, for the academic session 2018-19 w.e.f. the date they start/started work, against the vacant posts of the Institute or till the posts are filled in, on regular basis, through proper selection, whichever, in the pay-scale of Rs.15600-39100+AGP of Rs.6000/- plus allowances under Regulation 5 at pages 111 of P.U. Cal. Vol.-I, 2007:

Sr.	Name of the person	Branch
1.	Shri Kanwal Preet Singh	CSE
2.	Ms. Sukhpreet Kaur	CSE
3.	Ms. Shama Pathania	CSE
4.	Shri Gurbinder Singh	I.T.
5.	Ms. Divya Sharma	I.T.
6.	Ms. Ritika Arora	I.T.
7.	Ms. Tanvi Sharma	I.T.
8.	Shri Ajay Kumar Saini	Mech.
9.	Shri Gurwinder Singh	Mech.

(Syndicate dated 27.08.2018 Para 16(xviii))

- I-22** That the Vice-Chancellor has re-appointed the following persons as Assistant Professors (purely on temporary basis) at P.U. Constituent College Nihal Singh Wala, Distt. Moga, w.e.f. the date they will start/started work for the session 2018-19, against the vacant posts or

Senate Proceedings dated 3rd November, 2018

till the posts are filled in, on regular basis, through proper selection, whichever is earlier in the pay scale of Rs. 15600-39100 + AGP Rs. 6000/- plus allowances as per University Rules under Regulations 5 at Page 111-112 of P.U. Cal. Vol. 2007, on the same term and conditions on which they were working earlier for the session 2017-18:

Sr. No.	Name	Subject
1.	Mr. Sandeep Buttola	Sociology
2.	Dr. Shashi Kant Rai	Hindi
3.	Dr. Harjeet Singh	English
4.	Ms. Rajni Bhalla	Commerce
5.	Ms. Monica	Commerce
6.	Ms. Ritu Mittal	Economics
7.	Ms. Simranjeet Kaur	Computer Science
8.	Ms. Ashim Kumar	Mathematics

(Syndicate dated 27.08.2018 Para 16(xix))

I-23 That the Vice-Chancellor has re-appointed Dr. Parminder Singh, Assistant Professor (purely on temporary basis), P.U. Constituent College, Karyal, Dharmkot, Distt. Moga, w.e.f. the date he will start/started work for session 2018-19 in the pay scale of Rs. 15600-39100 + AGP Rs.6000/- plus allowances as per University Rules under Regulations 5 at Page 111-112 of P.U. Cal. Vol. 2007, on the same term and conditions on which he was working earlier for the session 2017-18.

(Syndicate dated 27.08.2018 Para 16(xx))

I-24 That the Vice-Chancellor has re-appointed Mr. Pawan Kumar as Assistant Professor (Non-NET) (purely on contract basis) at Shaheed Udham Singh P.U. Constituent College Guru Har Sahai, Distt. Ferozepur w.e.f. the date he will start/started work for the odd semester of session 2018-19, against the vacant post or till the regular post is filled in, on regular basis, through regular selection, whichever is earlier at a fixed salary of Rs. 30400/- on the same term and conditions on which he was working earlier for the session 2017-18.

(Syndicate dated 27.08.2018 Para 16(xxi))

I-25 That the Vice-Chancellor has re-appointed following persons as Assistant Professor (purely on temporary basis)/Assistant Professor (Part-time) in the Department/ Institute in the pay scale/emoluments, as mentioned below against each, for the session 2018-19, on the same term and conditions according to which they have worked previously:

I. Assistant Professor (Temporary)

Sr. No.	Name of the person	Dept. /Institute	in the pay scale of Rs. 15600-39100+AGP Rs.6000/- + allowances. w.e.f the date they start/started work, under regulation 5 at page 111,
1.	Dr. Abha Sethi	University Institute of Legal Studies	
2.	Ms. Shafali		

3.	Mr. Harvinder Singh		of P.U. Calendar, Volume 1. 2007
----	---------------------	--	-------------------------------------

II. Assistant Professor (Temporary)

Sr. No.	Name of the person	Dept. /Institute	
1.	Mr. Gaurav Kashyap	University Institute of Hotel Management and Tourism	in the pay scale of Rs.15600-39100+AGP + Rs.6000/- allowances. w.e.f. the date they start/ started work, under regulation 5 at page 111, of P.U. Calendar Volume 1. 2007
2.	Mr. Abhishek Ghai,		
3.	Ms. Lipika Guliani		
4.	Mr. Amit Katoch		
5.	Mr. Manoj Semwal		

III. Assistant Professor (Part-time)

Sr. No.	Name of the person	Dept. /Institute	
1	Ms. Nancy Sharma	University Institute of Legal Studies	on an honorarium of Rs. 22800/- p.m. (fixed) (for teaching 12 hours a week) w.e.f. the date they start/ started work
2	Mr. Sanjeev Kumar		
3	Ms. Amrit Pal Kaur		
4	Ms. Supreet Gill		
5	Ms. Harman Shergill		
6	Ms. Shivani Gupta		
7	Ms. Alamdeep Kaur		
8	Ms. Shikha Dhiman		

(Syndicate dated 27.08.2018 Para 16(xxii))

I-26 That the Vice-Chancellor has approved the re-appointment of Ms. Shaffy Gidhar, Assistant Professor in Computer Science (purely on contract basis), P.U. Constituent College Sikhwala, Sri Muktsar Sahib, w.e.f. the date she will start/started work for the odd semester of session 2018-19, against the vacant post or till the post is filled in, on regular basis, through proper selection, whichever is earlier at a fixed salary of Rs. 30400/- on the same term and conditions on which she was working earlier for the session 2017-18.

(Syndicate dated 27.08.2018 Para 16(xxiii))

I-27

That the Vice-Chancellor has:-

- (i) extended the term of the appointment of the following Assistant Professors at P.U. Regional Centre, Sri Muktsar Sahib w.e.f. 2.5.2018 to 30.6.2018, purely on temporary basis, for the session 2017-18 (with one day break) i.e. on 1.5.2018, on the same terms and conditions on which they were working earlier for the session 2017-18:-

Sr. No.	Name of the person	Designation & Subject
1.	Ms. Inderjot Kaur	Assistant Professor in Law
2.	Sh. Hardip Singh	Assistant Professor in Punjabi

- (ii) re-appointed (afresh) the above mentioned persons (Sr. No. 1 & 2) as Assistant Professors at P. U. Regional Centre, Sri Muktsar Sahib purely on temporary basis w.e.f. 9.7.2018 for the academic session 2018-19 or till the regular posts are filled in through regular selection whichever is earlier, in the pay-scale of Rs. 15600-39100 + AGP of Rs. 6000/- plus allowances as per University Rules, under Regulation 5 at page 111 of P.U. Cal. Vol.-I, 2007, on the same terms and conditions on which they were working earlier for the session 2017-18.
- (iii) Dr. Rajnish Mutneja has appointed as Assistant Professor at P.U. Regional Centre, Sri Muktsar Sahib on part-time basis w.e.f. 9.7.2018 for the academic session 2018-19, or till the regular post is filled in through regular selection whichever is earlier, on an honorarium of Rs. 22800/- p.m. (fixed) (for teaching 12 hours a week), on the same terms and conditions on which he was working earlier for the session 2017-18.

(Syndicate dated 27.08.2018 Para 16(xxiv))**I-28**

That the Vice-Chancellor, has re-appointed (afresh) the following persons as Assistant Professors (purely on temporary basis) at Shaheed Udham Singh P.U. Constituent College, Guru Har Sahai, Distt. Ferozepur w.e.f. the date they will start/stared work for the academic session 2018-2019, against the vacant posts or till the posts are filled in, on regular basis, through proper selection, whichever is earlier, in the pay scale of Rs.15600-39100+AGP Rs.6000/- plus allowances as per University rules, under Regulation 5 at page 111-112 of P.U. Cal. Vol. I, 2007, on the same term and condition on which they were working earlier for the same 2017-2018:

Sr. No.	Name of the person	Designation
1.	Dr. Gurdeep Singh	Assistant Professor in Punjabi

2.	Dr. Resham Singh	Assistant Professor in Punjabi
3.	Dr. Harnam Singh	Assistant Professor in Physical Education
4.	Ms. Simarjeet Kaur	Assistant Professor in Mathematics
5.	Ms. Nishi	Assistant Professor in Commerce
6.	Mr. Mohammad Sazid	Assistant Professor in Commerce
7.	Mr. Harjinder Singh Bhardwaj	Assistant Professor in Political Science

(Syndicate dated 27.08.2018 Para 16(xxv))

I-29

That the Vice-Chancellor, has re-appointed (afresh) the following persons as Assistant Professor (Purely on temporary basis) at Baba Balraj P.U. Constituent College, Balachaur, Distt. S.B.S. Nagar w.e.f. the date they will start/started work for the session 2018-2019 against the vacant posts or till the posts are filled in, on regular basis, through proper selection, whichever is earlier, in the pay-scale of Rs.15600-39100 +AGP Rs.6000/- plus allowances as per University Rules, under Regulation 5 at page 111-112 of P.U. Cal. Vol. 2007, on the same term and condition on which they were working earlier for the session 2017-2018:

Sr. No.	Name of the person	Subject
1.	Dr.(Ms.) Kamalpreet Kaur	Punjabi
2.	Ms. Sukhjit Nahar	Sociology
3.	Shri Hari Krishan	History
4.	Ms. Gurdeep Kaur	Punjabi
5.	Dr.(Ms.) Poonam Dwivedi	English
6.	Mrs. Ruby	Mathematics
7.	Shri Inder Bhagat	Computer Science
8.	Dr. Hari Nath	Hindi
9.	Shri Ramandeep Singh Nahar	Commerce
10.	Shri Deepak	Computer Science

(Syndicate dated 27.08.2018 Para 16(xxvi))

I-30

That the Vice-Chancellor, has re-appointed (afresh) the following persons as Assistant Professors (purely on temporary basis) at P.U. Rural Centre, Kauni, Sri Muktsar Sahib w.e.f. 09.07.2018 to 30.04.2019, for the academic session 2018-2019 or till the posts are filled in, on regular basis, through proper selection, whichever is earlier, in the pay-scale of Rs.15600-39100 + AGP Rs.6000/- plus allowances as per University Rules, under Regulation 5 at page 111-112 of P.U. Cal. Vol. 2007, on the

Senate Proceedings dated 3rd November, 2018

same terms and condition on which he was working earlier for the session 2017-2018:

Sr. No.	Name of the person	Designation
1.	Dr. Gurjit Singh	Assistant Professor in Punjabi
2.	Mr. Surinder Singh	Assistant Professor in Political Science
3.	Ms. Seema	Assistant Professor in Physical Education
4.	Mr. Saumyadeep Bhattacharya	Assistant Professor in English

(Syndicate dated 27.08.2018 Para 16(xxvii))

I-31 That the Vice-Chancellor has re-appointed Mr. Rajiv Kumar as Assistant Professor at P.U. constituent College, Mohkam Khan Wala, Ferozepur, purely on temporary basis, w.e.f. the date he will start/started work, for the session 2018-19 i.e. upto the start of summer vacations 2019 in the pay-scale of Rs.15600-39100+AGP of Rs. 6000/- plus allowances as per University Rules, under Regulation 5 at pages 111-112 of P.U. Cal. Vol.-I, 2007, on the same term and conditions on which he was working earlier for session 2017-18.

(Syndicate dated 23.09.2018 Para 30(ii))

I-32 That the Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation of the approval of the Syndicate, has re-appointed afresh Ms. Harpreet Kaur as Assistant Professor in Mathematics at Dr. S.S. Bhatnagar University of Chemical Engineering & Technology, purely on temporary basis w.e.f. 07.08.2018 for the academic session 2018-19, or till the posts are filled in, on regular basis, through proper selection, whichever is earlier, in the pay scale of Rs.15600-39100 + AGP Rs.6000/- plus allowances as per University Rules, under Regulation 5 at page 111 of P.U. Calendar, Volume-I, 2007, on the same terms and conditions on which she was working earlier for the session 2017-18.

(Syndicate dated 23.09.2018 Para 30(vi))

I-33 That the Vice-Chancellor has re-appointed following persons as Assistant Professor at P.U.S.S. Giri Regional Centre, Hoshiarpur, purely on temporary basis, for the academic session 2018-19, w.e.f. the date they start/started work, against the vacant posts of the Institute or till the posts are filled in, on regular basis, through proper selection, whichever is earlier, in the pay-scale of Rs.15600-39100+AGP of Rs. 6000/- plus allowances under Regulation 5 at pages 111 of P.U. Cal. Vol.-I, 2007:

Sr. No.	Name of the person	Branch
1.	Ms. Monika	ECE
2.	Shri Anish Sharma	ECE
3.	Ms. Harman Preet Kaur	ECE

(Syndicate dated 23.09.2018 Para 30(i))

I-34 In pursuance of orders dated 30.07.2018 passed by the Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court in CWP No. 18544 of 2018 (Vijay Prabha and Anr. Vs Panjab University & Ors.) tagged with LPA 1505 of 2016, wherein the petitioner has been given the benefit of continue in service, in view of the similarly projected cases in the said case. The LPA No.1505 of 2016 (Dr. Amrik Singh Ahluwalia & Anr. Vs. Panjab University & Others) entire connected bunch of matters relating to the age of retirement (60 to 65 years) is pending before the Hon'ble High Court, the Vice-Chancellor, has ordered that:

- (i) Dr. Ramnik Aurora, Assistant Professor, Department of French, be considered to continue in service w.e.f. 01.09.2018 as applicable in such other cases of teachers which is subject matter of CWP No. 18544 of 2018 & others similar cases and salary be paid which she was drawing on attaining the age of 60 years without break in the service, excluding HRA (HRA not to be paid to anyone), as an interim measure subject to the final outcome of the case filed by her. The payment to her shall be adjustable against the final dues to her for which she should submit the undertaking as per performa.
- (ii) she be allowed to retain the residential accommodation (s) allotted to her by the University on the same terms and conditions, subject to adjustment as per orders of the Hon'ble High Court on the next date of hearing, as in respect of all those the teachers residing in the University Campus (who have got stay to retain residential accommodation).

(Syndicate dated 23.09.2018 Para 31(iv))

I-35 In pursuance of orders dated 30.07.2018 passed by the Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court in CWP No. 18544 of 2018 (Vijay Prabha and Anr. Vs Panjab University & Ors.) tagged with LPA 1505 of 2016, wherein the petitioner has been given the benefit to continue in service, in view of the similarly projected cases in the said case. The LPA No.1505 of 2016 (Dr. Amrik Singh Ahluwalia & Anr. Vs. Panjab University & Others) entire connected bunch of matters relating to the age of retirement (60 to 65 years) is pending before the Hon'ble High Court, the Vice-Chancellor, has ordered that:

- (i) Dr. Vijay Prabha, Professor, Department of Microbiology, be considered to continue in service w.e.f. 01.09.2018 as applicable in such other cases of teachers which is subject matter of CWP No. 18544 of 2018 & others similar cases and salary be paid which she was drawing on attaining the age of 60 years without break in the service, excluding HRA (HRA not to be paid to anyone), as an interim measure subject to the final outcome of the case filed by her. The payment to her shall be adjustable against the final dues to her for which she should submit the undertaking as per performa.

Senate Proceedings dated 3rd November, 2018

- (ii) she be allowed to retain the residential accommodation (s) allotted to her by the University on the same terms and conditions, subject to adjustment as per orders of the Hon'ble High Court on the next date of hearing, as in respect of all those the teachers residing in the University Campus (who have got stay to retain residential accommodation).

(Syndicate dated 14.10.2018 Para 15(vi))

I-36 In pursuance of orders dated 21.08.2018 passed by the Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court in CWP No. 20531 of 2018 (Dr. (Ms.) Prabha Vig & Ors. Vs Panjab University & Ors.) tagged with LPA 1505 of 2016, wherein the petitioner has been given the benefit of continue in service, in view of the similarly projected cases in the said case. The LPA No.1505 of 2016 (Dr. Amrik Singh Ahluwalia & Anr. Vs. Panjab University & Others) entire connected bunch of matters relating to the age of retirement (60 to 65 years) is now fixed for hearing on 28.09.2018, the Vice-Chancellor, has ordered that:

- (i) Dr. (Ms.) Prabha Vig, Associate Professor, Department of Life Long Learning & Extension, be considered to continue in service w.e.f. 01.09.2018 as applicable in such other cases of teachers which is subject matter of CWP No. 20531 of 2018 & others similar cases and salary be paid which she was drawing on attaining the age of 60 years without break in the service, excluding HRA (HRA not to be paid to anyone), as an interim measure subject to the final outcome of the case filed by her. The payment to her shall be adjustable against the final dues to her for which she should submit the undertaking as per performa.
- (ii) she be allowed to retain the residential accommodation (s) allotted to her by the University on the same terms and conditions, subject to adjustment as per orders of the Hon'ble High Court on the next date of hearing, as in respect of all those the teachers residing in the University Campus (who have got stay to retain residential accommodation).

(Syndicate dated 14.10.2018 Para 15(vii))

I-37 That the Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation of the approval of the Syndicate, has allowed Dr. Rakesh Khullar, Medical Officer (on contract), Bhai Ghanaiya Ji Institute of Health, PU, to continue to work as Medical Officer on contract (beyond the age of 65 years) w.e.f. 18.09.2018 with one day break on 17.09.2018 till such time the post of Medical Officer is filled in on regular basis through advertisement on the previous terms and conditions.

(Syndicate dated 14.10.2018 Para 14(ii))

I-38 That minutes dated 09.02.2018 of the CIIPP Advisory Committee and IPR Committee for finalization of Entrepreneurship Policy for the

faculty, students and researchers of Panjab University, be approved subject to it being legally vetted. Following members were requested to assist:

- (i) Professor Keshav Malhotra
- (ii) Dr. Subhash Sharma
- (iii) Dr. Sanjeev Puri

(Syndicate dated 27.08.2018 Para 9)

- I-39** To note the report dated 09.07.2018 submitted by the Chief Vigilance Officer accepted by the former Vice-Chancellor, in respect of complaint made by Mr. Balwinder Singh R/o 4E2, Adarsh Nagar, Naya Gaon, Distt. Mohali.

(Syndicate dated 23.09.2018 Para 31(i))

- I-40** To note letter dated 14.08.2018 of Director, Research Promotion Cell, regarding selection of Mr. Pardip Nirbhavane, Senior Research Fellow, UIPS, for the prestigious "University of Birmingham India Institute Fellowship" fully funded to carry out interdisciplinary Indo-British collaborative research in domain of 'Lipidic Nanocarriers for the Treatment of Uveitis'.

(Syndicate dated 23.09.2018 Para 31(ii))

- I-41** That the Vice-Chancellor has accepted an additional donation of Rs.75,000/- made by Mr. Vinod K Punshi (donor) to enhance corpus to Rs.1,00,000/- for existing endowment namely 'Punshi Narain Devi Vidyawati Charitable Trust Gold Medal'.

(Syndicate dated 23.09.2018 Para 31(iii))

- I-42** That –

- (i) the minutes dated 17.07.2018 of the Committee regarding method of accepting diet charges against the Mess/Canteen bills of various hostels, in light of communication received from the office of the DSW dated 16.05.2017, be approved.
- (ii) subletting of hostel mess be not allowed in any cost and if any contractor is found guilty, strict action be taken against him.

(Syndicate dated 23.09.2018 Para 12)

- I-43** That

- (i) by-election, for one seat of Senate, from the Constituency of Heads of Affiliated Arts Colleges, fallen vacant on the demise of Dr. Hardiljit Singh Gosal, Principal, Govind National College, Narangwal, Ludhiana on 05.09.2018, be conducted for the remaining term of the Senate i.e. upto 31.10.2020.

Senate Proceedings dated 3rd November, 2018

- (ii) The Registrar is appointed as the Returning Officer for the purpose, under Regulation 10.1 of Panjab University Calendar Vol.-I, 2007

(Syndicate dated 14.10.2018 Para 8)

- I-44** That the Vice-Chancellor, as per authorization given by the Academic Council dated 26.06.2018 (Item No. XXXVII) and in anticipation of the approval of the Syndicate, has approved the minutes dated 30.08.2018 of the Skill Development Board regarding outline of tests, syllabi and courses of reading of various newly introduced B.Voc. courses as mentioned in the office note dated 13.09.2018 for the examination of 2018-19 along with the timeline and action person of each activity submitted by the Director, UIHMT.

The Syndicate while ratifying the above orders has also added that the last date for admission to B.Voc. Course as also for the affiliated Colleges of Panjab University be extended to 25th October 2018 with permission of the Vice-Chancellor.

(Syndicate dated 14.10.2018 Para 14(iv))

- I-45** That the Vice-Chancellor, on the recommendation of the Faculty of Engineering & Technology dated 24.09.2018 and in anticipation of the approval of the Syndicate has approved that onetime special chance, be given to final year students of BE 7th and 8th semesters (2017-18 session) of all the departments falling under Faculty of Engineering & Technology for appearing in Examination in September/October, 2018 and to year back students. The year back students be also allowed to attend the classes provisionally. This one time measure should not be taken as a precedent.

The Syndicate while ratifying the above orders has also decided that one time special chance be also extended to all professional courses of the University provided the Regulations of concerned courses do not debar for giving such chance.

(Syndicate dated 14.10.2018 Para 14(v))

- I-46** That the Vice-Chancellor, as per authorization given by the Syndicate, in its meeting dated 27.08.2018 (Para 4) has approved the recommendations of the Committee dated 11.09.2018 and permitted to introduce the M.A. Education- Two Year (Semester System) course in USOL, under the UGC-DEB stipulated lines w.e.f. Academic session 2018-2019.

(Syndicate dated 14.10.2018 Para 15(iii))

- I-47** Pursuant to decision of the Syndicate meeting dated 30.03/21/29.4.2018 (Para 50), the Affiliation Committee in its various meetings, has granted temporary extension of affiliation to the following Colleges for certain courses/subjects for the session 2018-2019, as under:

Senate Proceedings dated 3rd November, 2018

Sr. No.	Date of the meeting of the Committee	Name of the College	Name of the Courses/ subjects
1.	18.06.2018	Arya College Civil Lines, Ludhiana (Punjab)	B.A. I (Tax Procedure & Practice)- 40 seats (Vocational subject)
2.	18.06.2018	Ramgarhia Girls College, Miller Ganj Ludhiana	B.A. 1 st year (Human Rights & Duties)-E 40 students and M.Com. 1 st (one unit)
3.	18.06.2018	Khalsa College for Women, Sidhwan Khurd, Ludhiana	M.Sc. Mathematics and Physics
4.	18.06.2018	Mata Gurdev Kaur Memorial Shahi Sports College of Physical Education, Jhankroudi Samrala, Distt. Ludhiana	(i) B.P.Ed. 1 st year & 2 nd year (100 seats each class) (ii) Post Graduate Diploma in Yoga Therapy. The college shall appoint the Associate Professor in Physical Education.
5.	18.06.2018	Master Tara Singh Memorial College for Women, Old Sabzi Mandi, Ludhiana	B.A.-I (Early childhood care and Education)-Vocational subject (40 students)
6.	18.06.2018	Govind National College, Govind Nagar, Narangwal, Ludhiana	The M.P.Ed 1 st & 2 nd year (one additional unit) and B.A. 1 st & 2 nd year (Sociology)-1 unit be granted for the session 2018-19, subject to the condition that the college should also deposit the late fee of Rs. 50,000/- and affiliation fee Rs. 2,000/- for M.P.Ed. course.
7.	18.06.2018	J.C.D.A.V. College Dasuya Distt. Hoshiarpur	(i) B.A.-I, II & III (Gandhian Studies) (ii) M.A.-I & II (History)-60 seats, and (iii) M.A. I & II (Punjabi)-60 seats, subject to the College shall appoint one Assistant Professor in the subject of History on regular basis as per UGC/PU norms.
8.	18.06.2018	DAV Post Graduate College, Sector-10, Chandigarh	B.A./B.Sc., B.Ed.1 st integrated course 1 st , 2 nd & 3 rd year
9.	18.06.2018	S.M.S. Karamjot College for Wome, Miani Distt. Hoshiarpur	(i)M.A.-I & II (History)-60 seats and (ii) M.A.-I & II (Music Vocal)- 60 seats. The Principal of the college has been requested to comply with the conditions imposed by the Inspection committee for B.Com. I, II & III (one unit) and B.C.A. I, II & III (one unit) latest by 30.06.2018 enabling the university to proceed further in the matter.

Senate Proceedings dated 3rd November, 2018

10.	18.06.2018	MCM DAV College for Women, Sector-36, Chandigarh	M.A.-II (Hindi)
11.	18.06.2018	MBBGRGC Girls College of Education, Mansowal, Distt. Hoshiarpur	B.Ed. Course-1 st and 2 nd year(50 seats for each year)
12.	18.06.2018	G.K.S.M. Govt. Collge Tanda Urmar Distt. Hoshiarpur	(i) B.Sc. (Agriculture-4 years course)-1 st 2 nd and 3 rd year (ii) B.C.A.-II (one unit) and (iii) B.A./B.Sc. I, II & III (Computer Science)-one unit. The temporary extension of affiliation for B.C.A. I (one unit) and PGDCA (one unit) has been withdrawn from the session 2018-2019.
13.	18.06.2018	Post Graduate Govt. College for Girls, Sector-42, Chandigarh	Advance Diploma in Cosmetology (UGC sponsored) and Diploma in Music Vocal & Music instrument (Self-Finance)
14.	18.06.2018	Govt. College, Hoshiarpur	B.Sc. (Agriculture)-4 years integrated course. The Principal of the College has been requested to comply with the conditions imposed by the Inspection committee for B.C.A. I II & III (one unit), and PGDCA (one unit) latest by 30.06.2018 enabling the University to proceed further in the matter.
15.	18.05.2018	Post Graduate Govt. College, Sector-11, Chandigarh	(i) M.Phil.-Physical Education (ii) M.P.Ed. I & II year (iii) M.A. Punjabi I & II year and (iv) BBA-I, II & III (Year)
16.	18.06.2018	Sri Guru Gobind Singh College, Sector 26, Chandigarh	(i)BCA-I, II III (3 rd unit)(ii) M.Sc. Microbial Biotechnology-I & II (iii) M.Sc. Biotechnology-I & II (iv) M.Sc.-I & II (Zoology) (v) M.Sc. I & II (Chemistry)(vi) M.Sc.-I (Physics)
17.	18.5.2018	Post Graduate Govt. College, Sector-11, Chandigarh	M.A. (English) I & II year, subject to the condition that he college will submit the proof of purchase of books in the office within 15 days which would then be placed before the affiliation committee and regarding temporary extension of affiliation for B.Sc. Biotechnology- I, II & III year the affiliation committee has granted temporary affiliation for the session 2018-19, subject to appointing permanent faculty and submit the proof of having fulfilled the requirement only.

Senate Proceedings dated 3rd November, 2018

18.	13.07.2018	G.G.N. Khalsa College, Civil Lines, Ludhiana	(i) B.A.-1 st , 2 nd & 3 rd year (Computer Science)-E-(30 seats) & (ii) B.C.A.- 1 st , 2 nd & 3 rd year (one unit)-40 seats (iii) PGDMC-(40+5%) (iv) M.Sc.-1 st & 2 nd year (Chemistry)-40 students and (v) B.Com 1 st (3 rd unit), subject to the condition that the college shall appoint the faculty member/ compliance of other conditions as per recommendations of the inspection committee in the above said subject latest by 31.08.2018, failing which the student's return for above said subjects shall not be accepted by the University. Further the affiliation Committee has not granted temporary extension of affiliation for M.Sc.- 1 st year (Physics) (one unit) for the session 2018-19.
19.	13.07.2018	Chandigarh College of Architecture, Sector-12, Chandigarh	M. Arch. 1 st year, subject to the condition that the college will submit the compliance regarding the fulfillment of the condition as imposed by the inspection committee in its meeting held on 13.07.2018 by 30.07.2018.
20.	13.07.2018	Goswami Ganesh Dutt Sanatant Dharam College, Sector-32, Chandigarh	New subject course i.e. B.A. 1 st year (Geography), subject to the condition that the college shall appoint the faculty members/ compliance of other conditions as per recommendations of the inspection committee in the subject of B.A. 1 st year (Geography) latest by 31.08.2018 failing which the students for B.A.-1 st year (Geography) shall not be accepted by the University.
21.	13.07.2018	Khalsa College for Women, sidhwan Khurd Ludhiana	B.A. B.Ed. 1 st year (4 year integrated course) one unit each and B.Sc. B.Ed. 1 st year (4 year integrated course)-one unit each
22.	13.07.2018	Guru Gobind Singh College for Women, Sector-26, Chandigarh	(i) M.A. English I & II (ii) B.A.-I, II & III (Functional English) (iii) B.Com. I II & III (3 rd unit) (iv) M.Com. I & II (v) B.Com. I & II (4 th unit) (vi) M.Sc. IT- I & II (vii) B.Sc. I ,II & III (Computer Science) (viii) B.C.A. I, II & III (ix) M.A. Sociology-I & II (x) M.A. Economics-I & II (xi) B.Sc. I, II & III (Non-Medical), subject to the

Senate Proceedings dated 3rd November, 2018

			condition that the college shall appoint the faculty members/compliance of other conditions as per recommendations of the Inspection Committee in the above mentioned subjects/Courses latest by 31.08.2018, failing which the students return for the mentioned subjects/courses not be accepted by the University.
23.	13.07.2018	Chandigarh College of Engineering & Technology, Sector-26, Chandigarh	(i) B.E. (Computer Science & Engineering)-63 seats (ii) B.E. (Electronics & Communication Engineering)-63 seats (iii) B.E. (Civil Engineering)-63 seats & (iv) B.E. (Mechanical Engineering)-63 seats
24.	13.07.2018	Govt. College of Arts, Sector-10, Chandigarh	(i)M.F.A. 1 st & 2 nd year and (ii) Special Advance Diploma in Fine Arts for Hearing and Speech impart and Mentally Challenged persons, subject to the condition that the college shall appoint the faculty members/compliance of other conditions as per recommendations of the inspection committee in the above mentioned subject/courses latest by 31.08.2018, failing which the students return for the mentioned subjects/courses shall not be accepted by the University.
25.	13.07.2018	Dev Samaj College for Women, Sector-45, Chandigarh	(i)M.C.om.-I , II & (ii) B.Sc. I & II (Non-Medical) New Courses (iii) PG Diploma in Marketing & Management and (iv) PG Diploma in Mass Communication, subject to the conditions as per the college shall appoint the faculty members/compliance of other conditions as per recommendations of the Inspection committee in the mentioned subjects/courses latest by 31.08.2018, failing which the students return for the mentioned subjects/courses shall not be accepted by the university. As regarding MCA 1 st year (new course) affiliation committee has not granted temporary extension of affiliation for the session 2018-19, due to the

Senate Proceedings dated 3rd November, 2018

			reason that the inspection committee which visited the college on 18.05.2018 has recommended that the proposed extension of affiliation in MCA-1 st year be not granted for the session 2018-2019.
26.	13.07.2018	Govt. College of Commerce and Management, Sector-50 Chandigarh	(i)B.Com. I, II & III (one unit) (ii) B.B.A.-I, II & III (two units) & (iii) M.Com. I & II (one unit) (iv) B.C.A.-I & II (one unit), subject to the conditions that the college shall appoint the faculty members/compliance of other conditions as per recommendations of the inspection committee in the mentioned subjects/courses latest by 31.08.2018, failing which the students return for the mentioned subject/courses shall not be accepted by the university.
27.	13.07.2018	Guru Gobind Singh College for Women, Sector-26, Chandigarh	(i) B.Com. I, II & III (4 th unit) (ii) M.Com. I & II (2 nd unit) (iii) M.A.-I & II (Economics) (iv) M.A. (Sociology)-I, (v) B.A. 1 st & 2 nd year- Religious and Sikh studies, subject to the condition that the college shall appoint the faculty members/compliance of other conditions as pre recommendations of the inspection committee in the mentioned subjects/courses latest by 31.08.2018, failing which the students return for the mentioned subjects/courses shall be not be accepted by the university.
28.	13.07.2018	S.G.G,S. Khalsa College, Mahilpur Distt. Hoshiarpur	(i)B.C.A. I, II and III (one unit for each year) (ii) M.Sc. I and II (IT)-40 seats for each year (iii) D.P.Ed. (2 nd year)-50 seats (iv) M.P.Ed.-2 nd year (one unit), (v) M>A.-I (Music-Vocal)-one unit and (vi) M.Sc. I (Fashion Designing), subject to the condition that the college shall appoint the faculty member/compliance of other conditions as per recommendations of the inspection committee in said courses/subject and submit the compliance report latest by 31.08.2018, failing which the

Senate Proceedings dated 3rd November, 2018

			<p>student's return for the said subjects/courses shall not be accepted by the University for the session 2018-19.</p> <p>Further, temporary extension of affiliation in M.P.Ed.-1st has not granted for the session 2018-19, as the college has not appoint the faculty members, i.e. one Professor, two Assistant Professors and two Assistant Professors as per recommendations of the inspection committee.</p>
29.	13.07.2018	Siri Guru Har Rai Sahib College for Women Chabbewal Distt. Hoshiarpur	<p>(i)B.A. I, II & III (English G & E), (ii) B.C.A.-I, II & III (one unit) (iii) B.Sc. II (Fashion Designing) (iv) PGDCA (one unit) and (v) Post Graduate Diploma in Fashion Designing, subject to the conditions that the college shall appoint the faculty member/ compliance of other conditions as per recommendations of the inspection committee in said courses/subject and submit the compliance report latest by 31.08.2018, failing which the student's return for the said subjects/courses shall not be accepted by the University for the session 2018-19.</p> <p>Further, temporary extension of affiliation in B.Sc.-1st year (Fashion Designing) has not granted for the session 2018-19, as the college has not appointed the faculty members in the said subject as per recommendations of the inspection committee.</p>
30.	13.07.2018	Sant Hari Singh Memorial College for Women, Chella Makhsuspur Distt. Hoshiarpur	<p>(i)B.A.-II and III (English (General & Elective), Hindi Economics, Pol. Science, History, Punjabi (General & Elective), Home Science, Computer Science, Physical Education, (ii) BCA-II and III (one unit) and (iii) B.Com.-II and III (one unit), subject to the condition that the college shall appoint the faculty member/ compliance of other conditions as per recommendations of the</p>

			<p>inspection committee in said courses/subject and submit the compliance report latest by 31.08.2018, failing which, the student's returns for the said subjects/courses shall not be accepted by the University for the session 2018-19.</p> <p>Further, temporary extension of affiliation in B.A.-I (English (General & Elective), Hindi Economics, Pol. Science, History, Punjabi (General and Elective), Home Science, Computer Science, Physical Education), (ii) B.C.A.-I (one unit) (iii) B.Com-I (one unit) and (iv) PG Diploma in Fashion Designing has not granted for the session 2018-19, as the college has not appointed the faculty members in the said subject as per recommendations of the inspection Committee.</p>
31.	13.07.2018	Guru Gobind Singh Khalsa College for Women, Jhar Sahib Ludhiana	M.Com.-1 st & 2 nd year (one unit each), subject to the condition that the college shall appoint the faculty member/compliance of other conditions as per recommendations of the inspection committee in the subject as mentioned latest by 31.07.2018, failing which, the student's return for the subject shall not be accepted by the University. The college is required to deposit the temporary extension of affiliation fee Rs.4000/- + Rs.50000/- at the earliest.
32.	13.07.2018	Bhai Nagahia Singh Memorial Girls College, Alamgir, Distt. Ludhiana	(i)B.Com. I (one unit)-70 students (ii) B.A. I, II & III Economics-60 (60 students) (iii) B.A.-I, II & III (English (C & E)-1 unit (iv) B.A.-I, II & III Punjabi (v)B.A.-I, II & III (History)-one unit (vi) B.A.-I, II & III (Political Science)-one unit (vii) B.A.-I, II & III (Sociology)-40 students (viii) B.A.I-I, III (physical Education)-one unit, subject to the condition that the college shall appoint the faculty members/compliance of other conditions as per recommendations of the

Senate Proceedings dated 3rd November, 2018

			inspection committee in the subject as cited above latest by 31.08.2018, failing which the student's return for the subject as mentioned shall not be accepted by the University.
33.	13.07.2018	G.T.B. Khalsa College for Women, Dasuya, Distt. Hoshiarpur	(i)B.A.-III (Fashion Designing), (ii)M.A.-II (Political Science) and (iii) B.A.-II (Sociology), subject to the condition that the college shall appoint the faculty members for teaching M.A. (Political Science) as per recommendations of the inspection committee and submit the compliance report latest by 31.08.2018, failing which, the student's returns for M.A. (Political Science) shall not be accepted by the University for the session 2018-2019.
34.	13.07.2018	Govt. College, Hoshiarpur	(i)B.C.A., I, II & III (one unit) and (ii) PGDCA
35.	13.07.2018	S.D. College, Hoshiarpur	B.C.A.-I, II & III (one unit for each year) and B.B.A. I, II & III(one unit for each year), subject to the conditions as per recommendations of the inspection committee in said courses/subjects and submit the compliance report latest by 31.08.2018, failing which, the student's returns for the said subjects/courses shall not be accepted by the University for the session 2018-2019.
36.	13.07.2018	DAV College for Girls, Garhshankar Distt. Hoshiarpur	(i)B.Com.-III (one unit) and (ii) B.Sc.-I (Fashion Designing), subject to the condition that the college shall appoint the faculty member/compliance of other conditions as per recommendations of the inspection committee in said courses/subject and submit the compliance report latest by 31.08.2018, failing which the student's returns for the said subjects/courses shall not be accepted by the University for the session 2018-2019.
37.	13.07.2018	GGDSD College, Haryana Distt. Hoshiarpur	B.Sc. (Agriculture)-2 nd year (4 years course), subject to the condition that the college shall submit the appointment letter,

Senate Proceedings dated 3rd November, 2018

			joining report and approval case of the selected candidate latest by 31.08.2018, failing which, the students return for the said subject shall not be accepted by the University for the session 2018-2019.
38.	13.07.2018	Sant Baba Hari Singh Memorial College of Education, Mahilpur Distt. Hoshiarpur	B.Ed. 1 st and 2 nd year (100 seats for each year), subject to the condition that the college shall appoint a Principal and one Assistant Professor on regular basis as per recommendations of the inspection committee in said courses/subject and submit the compliance report latest by 31.08.2018, failing which, the student's returns for the said subjects/courses shall not be accepted by the University for the session 2018-2019.
39.	13.07.2018	Swami Ganga Giri Janta Girls College, Ludhiana	(i) B.A.-1 st , 2 nd & 3 rd year (Advertisement Sales Promotion & Sales Management)-E one Unit Each (ii) B.A.-1 st , 2 nd & 3 rd year (Principal & Practice of Insurance)-E-40 seats each (iii) B.A. 1 st , 2 nd & 3 rd year (IT)-E one unit each (iv) B.A.-1 st , 2 nd & 3 rd year (Computer Science)-E one unit each, subject to the condition that the college shall appoint the faculty member/ compliance of other conditions as per recommendations of the inspection committee in the subject as cited, latest by 31.08.2018, failing which, the student's return for the subject as cited shall not be accepted by the University.
40.	13.07.2018	Arya College, Civil Lines, Ludhiana	(i) Journalism & Management (ii) Advertisement and Sales Management (iii) Event Management, subject to the condition that the college shall appoint the faculty member/ compliance of other conditions as per recommendations of the inspection committee in the subject as cited latest by 31.08.2018, failing which the student's return for the subject as cited shall not be accepted by the

Senate Proceedings dated 3rd November, 2018

			University.
41.	13.07.2018	K.L.S.D. College Subhash Nagar, Daresi Road Distt. Ludhiana	B.A. 1 st , 2 nd & 3 rd year (Sociology)-40 students each
42.	13.07.2018	Arya College, Civil Lines, Ludhiana	(i)P.G. Diploma in Travel and Tourism-40 seats (ii) P.G. Diploma in Marketing Management-40 seats, subject to the condition that the college shall appoint the faculty member/compliance of other conditions as per recommendations of the inspection committee in the subject as cited latest by 31.08.2018, failing which the student's return for the subject as cited shall not be accepted by the University.
43.	13.07.2018	Ramgarhia Girls College, Miller Ganj, Ludhiana	B.Sc. 1 st & 2 nd (N.M.)-40 seats, subject to the condition that the college shall appoint the faculty member/compliance of other conditions as per recommendations of the inspection committee in the subject as cited, latest by 31.08.2018, failing which the student's return for the subject as cited shall not be accepted by the University.
44.	13.07.2018	G.T.B. National College, Dakha, Ludhiana-141102	(i)B.A.-1 st year (Functional English)-E (30 seats) (ii) B.A.-1 st year (Advertising, Sales Promotion & Sales Management)-E (one unit) (iii) B.A.-1 st year (Computer Application)-E (one unit), subject to the condition that the college shall appoint the faculty member/compliance of other conditions as per recommendations of the inspection committee in the subject as cited, latest by 31.08.2018, failing which, the student's return for the subject as cited shall not be accepted by the University.
45.	13.07.2018	Sri Guru Gobind Singh College, Sector-26, Chandigarh	(i)B.Com. I, II & III (4 th unit) (ii) M.Com-I & II (2 nd unit) (iii) M.A.-I & II (Economics) (iv)M.A. (Sociology)-I, (v) B.A. 1 st & 2 nd year- Religious and Sikh Studies, subject to the condition that the college shall appoint the faculty

Senate Proceedings dated 3rd November, 2018

			members/compliance of other conditions as per recommendations of the inspection committee in the mentioned subjects/courses latest by 31.08.2018, failing which, the students return for the mentioned subjects/courses shall not be accepted by the University.
46.	20.07.2018	Govt. College of Yoga Education and Health, Sector-23, Chandigarh	(i)B.Ed.-1 st and 2 nd year (Yoga), (ii) Post Graduate Diploma in Yoga Therapy (iii) Basic Certificate Course in Yoga Education, subject to the condition that the college shall appoint the faculty member/compliance of other conditions as per recommendations of the inspection committee in said courses/subject and submit the compliance report latest by 31.08.2018, failing which the student's returns for the said subjects/courses shall not be accepted by the University for the session 2018-2019.
47.	20.07.2018	Guru Nanak College of Education, Ludhiana (Punjab)	(i)B.Ed. Course 1 st year & 2 nd year (150 seats for each year) instead of 50 seats (ii) M.Ed. course 1 st & 2 nd year (one unit for each year), subject to the condition that the college shall appoint the faculty member/compliance of other conditions as per recommendations of the inspection committee in the said subjects latest by 31.08.2018, failing which, the student's return for said subjects shall not be accepted by the University.
48.	20.07.2018	Shree Satya Sai B.Ed. College, V.P.O. Karaiwala Tehsil: Gidderbaha Distt. Sri Muktsar Sahib (Pb.)	B.Ed. Course (Two Units-100 seats), subject to the conditions that the college shall appoint six faculty members on regular basis, detail of P.F. of teaching staff & Proof regarding the revision of salary of the Principal and submit the authentic proof latest by 31.08.2018, failing which the students returns in the said course shall not be accepted by the University for the session 2018-2019.

Senate Proceedings dated 3rd November, 2018

49.	20.07.2018	Saini Sahara College of Education, Ferozepur Road, Near Power Grid Sri Muktsar, Sahib (Punjab)	B.Ed. Course (Two units-100 seats)
50.	20.07.2018	J.D. College of Education, Bathinda Road Sri Muktsar Sahib (Punjab)	B.Ed. Course (Two units-100 seats), subject to the conditions that the college shall appoint two faculty members and submit the authentic proof of the same latest by the 31.08.2018, failing which the students returns in the said course shall not be accepted by the University for the session 2018-19.
49.	20.07.2018	Kalgidhar Institute of Higher Education, Kingra Road, Near Danewala Chowk, Malout, Distt. Sri Muktsar Sahib	B.Ed. Course (Two units-100 seats)
50.	20.07.2018	Satyam College of Education, V.P.O.- Ghall Kalan Distt. Moga (Punjab)	B.Ed. Course (Two Units-100 seats) & M.Ed. Course (one unit-50 seats), subject to the condition that the College shall fulfill all the conditions imposed by the Inspection Committee and submit the authentic proof of the same latest by 31.08.2018, failing which, the students returns in the said courses shall not be accepted by the University for the session 2018-19.
51.	20.07.2018	Muktisar Institute of Higher Education, Jalalabad Road Sri Muktsar Sahib (Punjab)	B.Ed. course (Two Unit-100 seats), subject to the condition that the college shall submit the authentic proof of salary that the college paying salary worth Rs.21,600/- per month instead of 15,600/- and 16070 per month latest by 01.08.2018, failing which, the students returns in the said course shall not be accepted by the University for the 2018-19.
52.	20.07.2018	Baba Mangal Singh Institute of Education Barnala Road, Bhugipura Distt. Moga (Punjab)	B.Ed. Course (Two Unit-100 seats), subject to condition that he College shall fulfil all the conditions imposed by the Inspection Committee and submit the authentic proof of the same by 31.08.2018, failing which the students returns in the said course shall not be accepted by the University for the session 2018-2019.

Senate Proceedings dated 3rd November, 2018

53.	20.07.2018	Guru Gobind Singh College of Education Vill. Theri Bathinda Road, Malout Distt. Sri Muktsar Sahib	B.Ed. course (Two units-100 seats), subject to the condition that the College shall fulfil all the conditions imposed by the Inspection Committee and submit the authentic proof of the same latest by 31.08.2018, failing which the students returns in the said course shall not be accepted by the University for the session 2018-2019.
54.	20.07.2018	National Degree College Chowarianwali, Distt. Fazilka-152123 (Punjab)	(i)B.A. Part I, II & III (English (C &E)), Punjabi (C &E), Elective Subjects Mathematics, History, Political Science, Physical Education, Sociology, Hindi, Computer Applications, Economics), (ii) B.C.A. Part I, II & III (iii) B.Com. Part I, II courses, subject to the condition that the College shall fulfil all the conditions imposed by the inspection committee and submit the authentic proof of the same latest by 31.08.2018, failing which, the students returns in the said courses shall not be accepted by the University for the session 2018-19, Further, the affiliation committee has also decided that the college should admit only girls students. Likewise, the college be advised to submit PF details and pay rolls of the staff members to the University by 1.8.2018.
55.	20.07.2018	Syon College, Abohar-152116 (Punjab)	(i)B.A. Part I, II & III (English, (C &E), Punjabi (C &E), Elective Subject Hindi, History, Economics, Political Science, Sociology, Geography, Public Administration, Police Administration, Physical Education, Education, Mathematics, Computer Science and Music (ii) B.Com part I, II & III, (iii) B.Lib. (one unit), (iv) M.A. Punjabi, (v) M.A. (Hindi), (vi) M.A. (Sociology), (vii) M.A. Part I (History) courses, subject to the condition that the College shall fulfill all the conditions imposed by the Inspection Committee and submit the authentic proof of the same latest by 31.08.2018, failing

			which, the students return in the said courses shall not be accepted by the University for the session 2018-19.
56.	20.07.2018	Dev Samaj College for Women, Ferozepur City-152002 (Punjab)	(i) M.Sc. Part I (Fashion Designing), (ii) B.Sc. I (Agriculture), subject to the condition that the College shall fulfill all the conditions imposed by the Inspection Committee and submit the authentic proof of the same latest by 31.08.2018, failing which, the students' returns in the said courses shall not be accepted by the University for the session 2018-19.
57.	20.07.2018	Jyoti B.Ed. College Fazilka-152123 (Punjab)	B.Ed. (Two Units-100 seats), subject to the condition that the College shall fulfill all the conditions imposed by the Inspection Committee and submit the authentic proof of the same latest by 31.08.2018, failing which the students returns in the said courses shall not be accepted by the University for the session 2018-19.
58.	20.07.2018	Bhag Singh Khalsa College for Women Village Kala Tibba, Abohar-152116 (Punjab)	(i)B.Com. I, II & III (ii) B.Sc. I, II & III (Non-Medical) and (iii) M.A. (Sociology). Further the Affiliation Committee has decided that pay-roles/salary details in respect of staff members be supplied to the University by 10.08.2018.
59.	20.07.2018	Guru Nanak College Ferozepur Cantt.-152002 (Punjab)	(i)B.A. Part I, II & III (Sociology (E)), (ii) M.A. I, & II (Sociology), (iii) B.Com. I, II & III (iv) M.Com. I & II (v) B.C.A. I, II & III (vi) PGDCA (vii) B.Sc. Agriculture 2 nd Year (viii) M.A. I & II (Punjabi) (ix) M.A. I & II (History) and (x) M.Sc. I & II (Mathematics), subject to the condition that the College shall fulfill all the conditions imposed by the Inspection Committee and submit the authentic proof of the same latest by 31.08.2018, failing which the students return in the said courses shall not be accepted by the University for the session 2018-2019, Further the college has been advised vide Misc/A2 7785-7802 dated 1.8.2018 to send the salary details, proof of CPF

Senate Proceedings dated 3rd November, 2018

			deductions and proof of grant of increment to the staff members latest by 1.8.2018.
60.	20.07.2018	B.K.M. College of Education Balachaur, Distt. S.B.S. Nagar-144521 (Punjab)	(i)B.Ed. (Four Units-200 seats), subject to the condition that the College shall fulfill all the conditions imposed by the Inspection Committee and submit the authentic proof of the same latest by 31.08.2018, failing which, the students returns in the said course shall not be accepted by the University for the session 2018-2019.
61.	20.07.2018	S.D. College for Women, 3-Jawahar Nagar Moga(Punjab)-142001	New course B.Sc. I (Fashion Designing)- One unit, subject to the condition that the College shall submit the joining reports in respect of appointed faculty members latest by 01.08.2018.
62.	20.07.2018	MBG Government College, Pojewal, S.B.S. Nagar (Punjab)	(i)B.A. Part I, II & III Computer Science (E) (40 seats) and (ii) M.A. (Punjabi) (40 seats). The DPI (Colleges) Punjab has been informed to make compliance of all the conditions imposed by the Inspection Committee.
63.	20.07.2018	Kenway College of Education, Abohar-152116 (Punjab)	(i)B.Ed. (Four Units-200 seats), (ii) M.Ed. Course (one unit-50 seats), subject to the condition that the College shall fulfill all the conditions imposed by the Inspection Committee and submit the authentic proof of the same latest by 31.08.2018, failing with the students return in the said courses shall not be accepted by the University for the session 2018-2019.
64.	20.07.2018	Gopichand Arya Mahila College, Abohar-152116	(i)M.Com. II, (ii) M.A. II (Punjabi) courses, subject to the condition that the College shall fulfill all the conditions imposed by the Inspection Committee and submit the authentic proof of the same latest by 31.08.2018, failing which the students return in the said courses shall not be accepted by the University for the session 018-2019.
65.	20.07.2018	Waheguru College Burj Muhar Road, Abohar-152116 (Punjab)	(i)B.A. Part I, II & III English (C & E), Punjabi (C & E), Elective Subjects Hindi, Public Administration, Political Science,

Senate Proceedings dated 3rd November, 2018

			Economics, Physical Education, Mathematics, Sociology, Fine Arts, Computer Science, History, Music (ii) B.Com. Part I, II & III (one unit), (iii) B.Sc. I, II & III (Agriculture) (one unit) courses, subject to the condition that the college shall fulfill all the conditions imposed by the Inspection Committee and submit the authentic proof of the same latest by 31.08.2018, failing which the students returns in the said courses shall not be accepted by the University for the session 2018-2019.
67.	20.07.2018	Guru Nanak College of Education, Dalewal Distt. Hoshiarpur	B.Ed.-1 st and 2 nd year (200 seats for each year) and M.Ed. 1 st and 2 nd year (50 seats for each year), subject to the condition that the college shall fulfill all the conditions as per recommendations of the Inspection Committee in said courses/subject and submit the compliance report latest by 31.08.2018, failing which, the student's returns for the said subjects/courses shall not be accepted by the University for the session 2018-19.
68.	20.07.2018	Tagore College of Education, Fatehgarh Korotana, Jalandhar Road, Distt. Moga (Pb)	B.Ed. Course-(Two Units-100 seats) for the sessions 2017-2018 and 2018-2019, subject to the condition that the College shall submit the authentic Proof regarding individual teacher wise deduction of P.F. latest by 31.08.2018, failing which the students returns in the said course shall not be accepted by the University for the session 2018-2019.
69.	20.07.2018	Mata Sahib Kaur Girls College, Talwandi Bhai, Dist. Ferozepur-142050 (Punjab)	(i)B.A. Part I, II & III (English, (C &E), Punjabi (C &E), Elective Subjects History, Sociology, Political Science, Mathematics, Economics, Computer Science, Physical Education, Hindi), (ii) M.A. (Political Science), (iii) M.A. Part I (History), (iv) B.Com. Part I, (v), B.Sc. I, II & III (Non-Medical) (vi) B.Sc. I, II, III (Medical), (vii) B.Sc. I, II & III

			(Fashion Designing), (viii) PGDCA courses, subject to the condition that the College shall fulfill all the conditions imposed by the Inspection Committee and submit the authentic proof of the same latest by 31.08.2018, failing which the students return in the said courses shall not be accepted by the University for the session 2018-2019.
70.	20.07.2018	Rayat College of Education Railmajra, Distt. SBS Nagar-144533 (Nawashahar), Punjab	(i) B.Ed. (Two Units- 100 seats), (ii) M.Ed. Course (one unit-50 seats) courses, subject to the condition that he College shall fulfill all the conditions imposed by the Inspection Committee and submit the authentic proof of the same latest by 31.08.2018, failing which the students return in the said courses shall not be accepted by the University for the session 2018-2019. The College be advised that PF details and salary details of each staff members be sent to the University upto 1.8.2018.
71.	20.07.2018	M.D. College of Education, Abohar-152116 (Punjab)	(i)B.Ed. (Three Units-150 seats), subject to the condition that the College shall fulfill all the conditions imposed by the Inspection Committee and submit the authentic proof of the same latest by 31.08.2018, failing which the students returns in the said courses shall not be accepted by the University for the session 2018-2019. Further, the Affiliation Committee decided that the college be advised to seek the panel for selection of faculty members from the University.
72.	20.07.2018	Sant Baba Bhag Singh Memorial Girls College, Sukhanand Distt. Moga (Punjab)	(i)B.A. I, II & III (Computer Application)-One Unit each (ii) B.Sc. I, II & III (Computer Application)-One unit each (iii) B.C.A. I, II & III (one unit each) (iv) M.Sc. I & II (IT)-one unit each (v) M.Sc.-II (Mathematics)-One unit (vi) B.Sc. II (Fashion Designing)- One unit (vii) B.Sc. I & II (Agriculture)-One unit each, subject to the condition that the College shall fulfill all the

Senate Proceedings dated 3rd November, 2018

			condition imposed by the Inspection Committee and submit the authentic proof of the same latest by 31.08.2018, failing which, the students returns in the said courses/subjects shall not be accepted by the University for the session 2018-2019.
73.	20.07.2018	Babe Ke College of Education VPO Mudki, Distt. Ferozepur-142060 (Punjab)	(i)B.Ed. (Four Units-200 seats) (ii) M.Ed. Course (one unit-50 seats) courses for the session 2018-2019, subject to the condition that the College shall fulfill all the conditions imposed by the Inspection Committee and submit the authentic proof of the same latest by 31.08.2018, failing which the students return in the said courses shall not be accepted by the University for the session 2018-2019.
74.	20.07.2018	Govt. College (Girls) Jalalabad (W), Fazilka-152024 (Punjab)	(i) B.A. Part I, II & III English (C &E), Punjabi (C&E), Elective Subjects, Physical Education, Political Science, Mathematics, History, Economics and (ii) B.Com. Part I, II & III courses. Further, the Affiliation Committee has decided that the D.P.I. (Colleges), Punjab, be informed to make compliance of all the conditions imposed by the Inspection Committee.
75.	20.07.2018	HKL College of Education, Guru Har Sahai, Distt. Ferozepur-15022 (Punjab)	(i)B.Ed. (Two Units-100 seats), subject to the condition that the College shall fulfill all the conditions imposed by the Inspection Committee and submit the authentic proof of the same latest by 31-08-2018, failing which the students returns in the said courses shall not be accepted by the University for the session 2018-2019.
76.	20.07.2018	R.S.D. College, Ferozepur City-152002 (Punjab)	(i)M.A. (English) Part II and (ii) M.Sc. (Chemistry) Part II, subject to the condition that the College shall fulfill all the conditions imposed by the Inspection Committee and submit the authentic proof of the same latest by 31.08.2018, failing which, the students' returns in the said courses shall not be accepted

Senate Proceedings dated 3rd November, 2018

			by the University for the session 2018-2019. As far as B.A. Part II Music (Vocal) (E) and M.A. (English) courses are concerned, the work load be reassessed and informed to the University accordingly.
77.	20.07.2018	D.A.V. College of Education, Fazilka-152123 (Punjab)	(i)B.Ed. Course (Two Units-100 seats), subject to the condition that the College shall fulfill all the conditions imposed by the Inspection Committee and submit the authentic proof of the same latest by 31.08.2018, failing which the students returns in the said courses shall not be accepted by the University for the session 2018-2019.
78.	20.07.2018	M.D. College of Education, Abohar-152116 (Punjab)	(i)B.Ed. (Three Units-150 seats), subject to the condition that the college shall fulfill all the conditions imposed by the Inspection Committee and submit the authentic proof of the same latest by 31.08.2018, failing which, the students return in the said courses shall not be accepted by the University for the session 2018-2019. Further, the Affiliation Committee decided that the college be advised to seek the panel for selection of faculty members from the University.
79.	13.07.2018	Khalsa College, Garhdiwala Distt. Hoshiarpur	(i)B.Sc. 3 rd year (Agriculture)-One unit, (ii)M.Sc.(Chemistry)-2 nd year-One Unit (iii)B.Sc.-1 (Fashion Designing), and (iv) PG Diploma in Nutrition and Dietics, subject to the condition that the college shall appoint the faculty members and fulfill all the other conditions as per recommendations of the Inspection Committee in said courses/subject and submit the compliance report latest by 31.08.2018, failing which, the student's returns for the said subjects/courses shall not be accepted by the University for the session 2018-2019.
80.	20.07.2018	Rayat Bahra College of Law, Bohan, Distt. Hoshiarpur	B.A. LL.B. course-1 st , 2 nd and 3 rd year (5 years integrated course)-120 seats, subject to the condition that the college shall fulfill all the

Senate Proceedings dated 3rd November, 2018

			conditions as per recommendations of the inspection committee in said courses/subject and submit the compliance report latest by 31.08./2018, failing which, the student's returns for the said subjects/courses shall not be accepted by the University for the session 2018-2019.
81.	20.07.2018	Sant Baba Bhag Singh Memorial Girls College of Education, V.P.O. Sukhanand Distt. Moga (Punjab)	B.Ed. course (Two Units-100 seats) & M.Ed. course-2 nd year (One Unit-50 seats), subject to the condition that the College shall fulfill all the conditions imposed by the Inspection Committee and submit the authentic proof of the same latest by 31.08.2018, failing which, the students returns in the said courses shall not be accepted by the University for the session 2018-2019.
82.	20.07.2018	Guru Nanak College for Girls, Tibbi Sahib Road Sri Muktsar sahib (Pb.)	(i)M.Com. I & II- One unit each (ii) B.Sc. III (Fashion Designing)-40 seats (iii) M.Sc. I & II (Fashion Designing)- One unit each (iv) M.Sc. I & II (Physics)-One unit each and (v) New Courses M.A. I (English)-one Unit, subject to the condition that the College shall fulfill all the conditions imposed by the 31.08.2018, failing which, the students returns in the said courses/subjects shall not be accepted by the University for the session 2018-19.
83.	13.07.2018	Bajaj College, Vill. Chowkimann, Ferozepur Road Distt. Ludhiana	(i) BBA I (2 nd unit), BBA II & III (1 unit) and B.Com. 1 (2 nd unit), B.Com. II & III (1 unit) (ii) B.C.A.1 st , 2 nd & 3 rd year (one unit) (iii) B.Sc. (Fashion Designing), 1 st , 2 nd & 3 rd year (30 students), subject to the condition that the college shall appoint the faculty members as per recommendation of the Inspection Committee and shall submit the compliance latest by 31.08.2018 failing which the students return for the said courses shall not be accepted for the session 2018-2019 by the University. Further, the college be directed to

			strictly follow the statutory requirement for deduction of EPP and shall submit the proof thereof latest by 25.07.2018.
84.	20.07.2018	Moga College of Education for Girls Near P.S. Sadar, GT Road Ghall Kalan Distt. Moga (Pb)	B.Ed. Course (Two Units-100 seats) & M.Ed. Course (One Unit-50 seats), subject to the condition that the college shall fulfill the conditions imposed by the Inspection Committee and submit the authentic proof of the same latest by 31.08.2018, failing which the students returns in the said courses shall not be accepted by the University for the session 2018-2019.
85.	20.07.2018	Shukdeva Krishna College of Education for Girls, V.P.O.- Ghall Kalan Distt.- Moga (Pb.)	B.Ed. Course (Three Units-150 seats)
86.		D.A.V. College, Abohar	Physics and Chemistry and B.Com. II and III Honours classes.
87.	07.09.2018	Homeopathic Govt. College & Hospital, M-671, Sector-26, Chandigarh	B.H.M.S. course First Year (50 seats), subject to condition that salary to the staff is paid as per Govt. scales as pointed by the Inspection Committee in the inspection report.
88.	11.09.2018	S.D. College for Women 3, Jawahar Nagar Moga (Pb) 142001	New course i.e. B.Sc. I (Fashion Designing)- one unit
89.	7/11/12/18.9.2018	Rayat College of Education Railmajra, Distt. SBS Nagar -144533 (Nawanshahar)-Punjab	(i)B.Ed. Course (Two-Units-100 seats), (ii) M.Ed. Course (one unit-50 seats) course, subject to submission of attested copies of documents such as appointment letters, joining report, proceedings of the selection committee to the University within fifteen days from the date of receipt of panel from the University.
90.	7/11/12/18.9.2018	Malwa College, Bondli, Samrala, Ludhiana-141114	(i)B.A.1 st & 2 nd year- Music (Vocal-15 seats) (ii) M.Com-1 st & 2 nd year (one unit each)-40 seats.
91.	29.09.2018	DAV Post Graduate College, Sector-10, Chandigarh	New subject/course i.e. B.Voc.-1 st year in Journalism and Media Production under B.Voc. Scheme (ii) B.Voc-1 st year in Hospital Administration and Management under B.Voc. Scheme (iii) Diploma in Physiotherapy Assistant and Elderly care under UGC Community College Scheme.

Senate Proceedings dated 3rd November, 2018

92.	11.09.2018	Shree Satya Sai B.Ed. College, V.P.O. Karaiwala Distt. Sri Muktsar Sahib (Pb.)	B.Ed. course (two unit-100 seats) The principal of the College has been advised to appoint five Assistant Professors on regular basis within 15 days after receipt of the panel from the University, but not later than 31.10.2018.
93.	1.10.2018	M.D. College of Education, Abohar-152116 (Punjab)	(i)B.Ed. (Three Units-150 seats), subject to the condition that regular Principal be appointed by the College latest by 31.10.2018.
94.	7/11/12/18.9.2018	Dev samaj College for Women, Ferozpur City-152002 (Punjab)	(i)M.Sc. Part I (Fashion Designing), (ii) B.Sc. I (Agriculture), subject to submission of copies of the proceedings, appointment letters and joining reports of teachers latest by 31.10.2018.
95.	29.09.2018	Guru Gobind Singh College for women, Sector-26, Chandigarh	(i)M.A. English I & II (ii) B.A.-I, II & III (Functional English) (iii) B.Com. I, II & III (3rd unit) (iv) M.Com. I & II (v) B.Com. I & II (4 th unit) (vi) M.Sc. IT-I & II (vii) B.Sc. I, II & III (Computer Science) (viii) B.C.A.-I, II & III (ix) M.A. Sociology- I & II (x) M.A. Economics- I & II (xi) B.Sc. I, II & III (Non-Medical).
96.	12.09.2018	DAV College for Girls, Garhshankar, Distt. Hoshiarpur	(i)B.Com.-III (one unit) and (ii) B.Sc.-I (Fashion Designing)-One unit
97.	11.9.2018	Guru Gobind Singh Girls College, Gidderbaha Distt. Sri Muktsar Sahib (Pb.)	(i)B.A. I, II & III- English, Punjabi, Hindi, History, Pol. Science, Physical Education, Economics & Mathematics (ii) B.A. I, II & III (Computer Science)- 40 seats each (iii) B.Com. I, II & III-one unit each (iv) M.A. I & II Punjabi (one unit each), except new course i.e. B.P.Ed. (2 years course) Further, the Principal of the College has been advised to appoint three Assistant Professors in English, two Assistant Professors in Computer Science and one Assistant Professor in Commerce on regular basis. The College is also required to submit the authentic proof regarding annual increments and deduction of P.F. Temporary Affiliation for the 1 st year courses for the next academic session i.e. 2019-20 will be granted, only if the College fulfils all the conditions imposed

Senate Proceedings dated 3rd November, 2018

			by the Inspection Committee for the session 2018-2019.
98.	01.10.2018	Muktisar Institute of Higher Education, Jalalabad Road Sri Muktsar Sahib (Pb.)	B.Ed. course (two units-100 seats)
99.	12.09.2018	GGDSD College, Hariana Distt. Hoshiarpur	B.Sc. (Agriculture)-2 nd year (4 years course)
100.	7/11/12/18.9.2018	Lala Jagat Naryan Education College Jalalabad (West), Distt. Fazilka (Punjab)-152024	(i)B.Ed. course (Two Units-100 seats), (ii) M.Ed. Course (One Unit-50 seats) with the advice that the appointment of teachers as pointed out by the Inspection Committee be expedited latest by 31.10.2018.
101.	18.09.2018	Post Graduate Govt. College, Sector-46, Chandigarh	The temporary extension of affiliation for BBA 1 st year and also for BCA 1 st year (2 nd unit) for the session 2018-2019 has been declined.
102.	7.9.2018	Guru Ram Dass B.Ed. College Chak Room Wala, Jalalabad (W) Distt. Fazilka (Punjab)	(i)B.Ed. course (Four Units-200 seats), (ii) M.Ed. Course (one unit-50 seats), the college has been advised to make the appointment as pointed out in the Inspection Committee Report at the earliest but not later than within 15 days of the receipt of the panel.
103.	11.09.2018	Gopichand Arya Mahila College Abohar-152116 (Punjab)	(i)M.Com. II (ii)M.A. II (Punjabi) course, subject to submission of copies of the proceedings, appointment letters and joining reports of teachers appointed latest by 31.10.2018.
104.	11.09.2018	D.A.V. College, Malout Distt. Sri Muktsar Sahib (Pb.)	(i)B.C.A. I, II & III (one unit each) and (ii) B.Com. I, II & III one unit each. The principal of the College has been advised to make appointment of teachers as per the conditions imposed by the Inspection Committee latest by 31.10.2018.
105.	7/11/12/18.09.2018	Ramgarhia Girls College, Miller Ganj Ludhiana	B.Sc. 1 st & 2 nd (N.M.)-40 seats with the condition that the college should re-advertise the post of Assistant Professor in Physics (if not done already) and expedite the process of making appointments for the teaching posts which remained unfilled and the appointments be made latest by 31.10.2018.
106.	7/11/12/18.09.2018	A.S. College of Education, Kalal Majra, Khanna-	The temporary extension of affiliation for B.Ed. for the session

Senate Proceedings dated 3rd November, 2018

		Samrala Road, Distt. Ludhiana-141402	2018-2019 has been declined.
107.	7/11/12/18.09.2018	G.G.N. Khalsa College, Civil Lines Ludhiana	(i)B.A.-1 st , 2 nd & 3 rd year (Computer Science)-E-30 seats & (ii) B.C.A.-1 st , 2 nd & 3 rd year (one unit)-40 seats (iii) PG DMC (40+5%) (iv)M.Sc.-1 st & 2 nd year (Chemistry)-40 students (v) B.Com.-1 st (3 rd unit) with the condition that the college should expedite the process of making appointments for the teaching posts which remained unfilled and the appointments be made latest by 31.10.2018.
108.	12.09.2018	Sri Guru Gobind Singh College of Education, Beghpur Kamlooh Distt. Hoshiarpur	B.Ed. 1 st and 2 nd year (200 seats), further advised that the process of appointment of the Principal as well as the teachers against the vacant positions as pointed by the Inspection Committee be expedited and be made latest by 31.10.2018 under intimation to the University.
109.	29.09.2018	Goswami Ganesh Dutt Sanatam Dharam College, Sector-32, Chandigarh	New subject/course i.e. B.A. 1 st year (Geography)
110.	12.09.2018	Sai College of Education Sardulapur Distt. Hoshiarpur	B.Ed.-1 st and 2 nd year (100 seats for each year), subject to ensuring that the conditions imposed by the Inspection Committee are complied with.
111	29.09.2018	Comrade Gurmeet Mohlan (C.G.M.) College, Vill. Mohlan, Tehsil-Malout, Distt. Sri Muktsar Sahib (Pb.)	(i)B.A.-I,II & III English (C), Punjabi (C&E), Political Science, Economics, Sociology, Hindi, History and Physical Education (ii) B.A. I, II & III (Computer Application)-40 seats each, (iii) B.Sc. I, II & III-(Agriculture)-40 seats each (iv) B.A. I, II & III Music (Vocal)-15 seat each (v) M.A. I & II (Sociology) one unit each (vi) M.A. I & II (Hindi) One unit each and New Course (vii) M.A.I (Punjabi) One unit, subject to the condition that college shall appoint one Assistant Professor in English, one Assistant Professor in Economics, two Assistant Professors in Computer Science and two Assistant Professors in Agriculture on regular basis and send authentic proof of the same latest by 31.10.2018. Further the Principal of the college has been advised to send the authentic proof regarding deduction

			of P.F. and annual increments to staff (teaching and non-teaching employees) latest 31.10.2018.
112	12.09.2018	Govt. College, Hoshiarpur	(i)M.Com. 1 st year (one unit) and (ii) M.Sc. (IT)-1 st year
113	7/11/12/18.09.2018	B.C.M. College of education, Urban Estate, Sector-32/A, Chandigarh Road Ludhiana	(i)M.Ed. 1 st & 2 nd year (1 unit)-50 students (ii) B.Ed. (Special Education-Learning Disability)30 seats (one unit) with the condition that the College should expedite the process of making appointments for the teaching posts which remained unfiled and the appointments be made latest by 31.10.2018.
114	7/11/12/18.09.2018	Gurjranwala Guru Nanak (G.G.N) Khasla College, Civil Lines, Ludhiana	Advanced Diploma course in Bank Management with the advice that the post of Assistant Professor to teach Advanced Diploma Course in Bank Management be filled immediately latest by 30.10.2018.
115	1.10.2018	Jyoti B.Ed. College Fazilka-152123 (Punjab)	(i)B.Ed. (Two Units-100 seats) subject to the condition that all the remaining required Assistant Professors are also appointed upto 31.10.2018 through the already constituted selection committee by the University.
116	29.09.2018	Guru Nanak College for Girls, Tibbi Sahib Road Sri Muktsar Sahib (Pb.)	(i)M.Com.-I & II- one unit each (ii) B.Sc.-III (Fashion Designing)-40 seats (iii) M.Sc. I & II (Fashion Designing)-one unit each (iv) M.Sc.-I & II (Physics)-one unit each and (iv) New Course M.A. I (English) one unit. Further the Principal of the College has been advised to fill up the one post of Assistant Professor in Physics & one post of Assistant Professor in English on regular basis and send the authentic proof of the same latest by 31.10.2018.
117	7/11/12/18.09.2018	Syon College, Abohar-152116 (Punjab)	(i)B.A., Part I, II & III (English, (C & E), Punjabi (C & E), Elective Subjects Hindi, History, Economics, Political Science, Sociology, Geography, Public Administration, Police Administration, Physical Education, Education, Mathematics, Computer Science and Music (ii) B.Com. Part I, II & III (iii) B.Lib. (one unit) (iv) M.A. Punjabi (v) M.A. Hindi, (vi) M.A. (Sociology), (vii) M.A. Part I (History) courses, subject to submission of

Senate Proceedings dated 3rd November, 2018

			copies of the proceedings, appointment letters and joining report of teachers latest by 31.10.2018.
118	12.09.2018	DIPS College of Education, Rarra-Morr, Tanda Urmar Distt. Hoshiarpur	B.Ed. 1 st and 2 nd year (100 seats for each year)
119	12.09.2018	Hoshiarpur Professional and Vocational College Adamwal Distt. Hoshiarpur	The affiliation Committee has not granted temporary extension of affiliation for (1)B.A.I, II and III (English) (G &E), Punjabi (G &E), Political Science, Sociology, Physical Education, History, Economics, Mathematics, and Computer Science (2)B.Com.-1 and II (one unit for each class) (3)B.Sc. I, II and III (Agriculture)-one unit (4) B.Sc. I and II (Non-Medical). Further advised not to admit students in any course mentioned in the subject above.
120	11.09.2018	Lala Lajpat Rai Memorial College of Education, Dhudike Distt. Moga (Pb.)	M.Ed. course (one unit-50 seats) Further the Principal of the college has been advised to expedite the process for making appointment of one Professor and one Associate Professor on regular basis and send the authentic proof of the same to this office latest by 31.10.2018.
121	12.09.2018	Dasmesh Girls College, Chak Alla Baksh, Mukerian Distt. Hoshiarpur	(i)B.Sc.-II (Fashion Designing), (ii) B.A.B.Ed.-3 rd Year (4 years integrated course) (iii) B.A. III (Fashion Designing) (iv) M.A.-II (Punjabi)-60 seats and (v) M.A.-II (Political Science)-60 seats
122	1.10.2018	Guru Nanak College Ferozepur Cantt-152002 (Punjab)	The affiliation Committee has observed that the college has appointed seven teachers against the nineteen recommended by the Inspection Committee. So the college has been advised to expedite the procedure of appointing remaining 12 teachers latest by 31.10.2018 failing which request of the college may not be considered for extension of affiliation for any course.
123	7.9.2018	Shaheed Ganj College for Women Mudki Distt. Ferozepur-142060	The affiliation Committee has observed that the college after considering the information contained in the file and in view of the facts that the college has failed to comply with the conditions relating to appointment of Assistant

Senate Proceedings dated 3rd November, 2018

			Professors, has decided not to grant extension of affiliation for the courses (i) B.A. Semesters I to VI English (C &E), (ii) Punjabi (C & E), (iii) Mathematics-E, (iv) Economics (E), (v) Sociology, (vi) History (E), (vii) Political Science (E), (viii) Computer Science (E), Physical Education (E), B.C.A. Semester I to VI.
124	29.9.2018	Govt. College of Commerce and Management Sector-50, Chandigarh	(i)B.Com. I, II & III (2 nd unit) (ii) B.B.A-I, II, III (2 nd units) (iii) M.Com.-I & II year (one unit) (iv) B.C.A.-I & II (one unit)
125	7/11/12/18.09.2018	S.D.P. College for Women, Daresi Road Ludhiana-141008	B.A. B.Ed. course 2 nd year (4 year integrated course)-50 seats (one unit) with condition that the college should expedite the process of appointment of Principal by 31.10.2018.
126	7/11/12/18.09.2018	Baba Kundan Rural College of Education, Kullainwal- Jamalpura, Ludhiana	B.Ed. course 1 st year, subject to the condition that the process of making appointment for the remaining three teachers be expedited and the appointments be made latest by 31.10.2018.
127	7/11/12/18.09.2018	D.A.V. College of Education Fazilka-152113 (Punjab)	B.Ed. Course (Two Units-100 seats), subject to submission of attested copies of documents such as appointment letters, joining reports, proceedings of the selection committee to the University latest by 31.10.2018.
128	7/11/12/18.09.2018	National Degree College Chowarianwali Distt. Fazilka-152123 (Punjab)	B.Com. III, subject to fulfilment of the conditions imposed by the inspection committee.
129	11.09.2018	Tagore College of Education, Fatehgarh Korotana Jalandhar Road Distt. Moga (Pb.)	B.Ed. course (two units-100 seats)
130	18.05.2018	Brahmrishi Yoga Training College, Sector-19, Chandigarh	B.Ed. Yoga 1 st year & 2 nd year -20 seats
131	7/11/12/18.09.2018	Bajaj College, Vill. Chowkimann, Ferozepur Road, Distt. Ludhiana-142024	(i)BBA I (2 nd unit), BBA II & III (1unit) and B.Com. I (2 unit), B.Com. II & III (1 unit) (ii) B.C.A.-1 st , 2 nd & 3 rd year (one unit) (iii) B.Sc. (Fashion Designing), 1 st , 2 nd & 3 rd year (30 student), with the condition that the college should send the proof of purchasing licensed software in operating

			system. Further, the college be directed to send the requisite proof of deducting EPF.
132	11.09.2018	Satyam College of Education, V.P.O. Ghall Kalan Distt. Moga (Pb.)	B.Ed. course (two units-100 seats) and M.Ed. course (one unit-50 seats), that the Principal of the College has been advised to expedite the process for making appointment of one Associate Professor and three Assistant Professors in Education for M.Ed. course on regular basis and send the authentic proof of the same to this office latest by 31.10.2018.
133	7/11/12/18.09.2018	Bajaj College, Vill. Chowkimann, Ferozepur Road Distt. Ludhiana-142024	(i)BBA I (2 unit), BBA II & III (1 unit) and B.Com. I (2 unit), B.Com. II & III (1 unit) (ii) B.C.A.- 1 st , 2 nd & 3 rd year (one unit) (iii) B.Sc. (Fashion Designing) 1 st , 2 nd & 3 rd year (30 student), with the condition that the college should send the proof of purchasing licensed software in operating systems. Further, the college be directed to send the requisite proof of deducting EPF.
134	11.09.2018	Baba Kundan Singh Memorial Law College, Jalalabad (East), Dharmkot, Distt. Moga (Pb.)	(i) LL.B. (3 year course)-60 seats and (ii) B.A. LL.B. (Hons.)-5 year integrated course- 60 seats
135	11.09.2018	Tagore College of Education, Fategarh Korotana Jalandhar Road Distt. Moga (Pb.)	(i)B.Ed. course (two units-100 seats), the college be asked to send the authentic proof regarding individual teacher wise deduction of P.F. latest by 15.10.2018.
136	11.09.2018	Babe Ke College of Education, V.P.O. Daudhar Distt. Moga (Pb.)	(i)B.Ed. course (two units-100 seats) (ii) M.Ed. course (one unit-50 seats) Further, the College has been advised to appoint regular Principal at the earliest, but not later than within two months time. The appointment of one Professor and two Associate Professors made by the College at their own level cannot be accepted. Hence, the College is advised to get such promotions be done by the duly constituted selection committee sent by the Panjab University, Chandigarh.
137	11.09.2018	Dashmesh Girls College of Education Badal Distt. Sri Muktsar Sahib (Pb.)	M.Ed. course (One Unit-50 seats) Further, the Principal of the college has advised to re-advertise the one post of Professor on regular basis

Senate Proceedings dated 3rd November, 2018

			and fill up the same latest by 31.10.2018.
138	29.09.2018	Goswami Ganesh Dutt Sanatan Dharam College, Sector 32, Chandigarh	New Subject/course i.e. B.A. 1 st year (Geography)
139	12.09.2018	Govt. College, Hoshiarpur	(i)M.Com. 1 st year (one unit) and (ii)M.Sc. (IT)-1 st year
140	12.09.2018	Sant Baba Hari Singh Memorial College of Education Mahilpur Distt. Hoshairpur	B.Ed. 1 st and 2 nd year (100 seats for each year) Further, advised that the process of appointment of teachers against the vacant positions as pointed by the Inspection Committee be expedited and be made latest by 31.10.2018 under intimation to the University.
144	03.12.2018	Sri Guru Gobind Singh College, Sector-26, Chandigarh	M.A. Economics-1 & II, M.A. (Sociology)-I, B.A. 1 st & 2 nd year-Religious and Sikh Studies
145	29.09.2018	Bhai Nagahia Singh Memorial Girls College, Alamgir, Ludhiana	1. B.Com. I (one unit)-70 students 2. B.A. I, II, III Economics-60 students 3. B.A. I, II, III English (C & E-one unit) 4. B.A. I, II, III Punjabi 5. History-one unit 6. Political Science-one unit 7. Sociology-40 students 8. Physical Education-01 unit, with the condition that the College should send the compliance latest by 15.10.2018.
146	11.09.2018	Lala Lajpat Rai Memorial College of Education Dhudike Distt. Moga (Pb.)	M.Ed. course (one unit-50 seats) Further, the Principal of the College has been advised to expedite the process for making appointment of one Professor and one Associate Professor on regular basis and send the authentic proof of the same to this office latest by 31.10.2018.
146	7/11/12/18.09.2018	Mata Sahib Kaur Girls College Talwandi Bhai, Distt. Ferozepur-142050 (Punjab)	(i)B.A. Part I, II & III (English (C & E), Elective Subjects History, Sociology, Political Science, Mathematics, Economics, Computer Science, Physical Education, Hindi (ii)M.A. Political Science (iii)M.A. Part I (History) (iv)B.Com. part I (v)B.Sc. I, II, III (Non-Medical) (vi)B.Sc. I, II, III (Medical) (vii) B.Sc. I, II & III (Fashion Designing) (viii) PGDCA courses, subject to submission of copies of the proceedings, appointment letters and joining reports of teachers latest by 31.10.2018.

Senate Proceedings dated 3rd November, 2018

147	7/11/12/18.09.2018	Kenway College of Education Abohar-152116 (Punjab)	(i)B.Ed. (Four Units-200 seats) (ii)M.Ed. course (One unit-50 seats), subject to the submission of attested copies of documents such as appointment letters, joining reports, proceedings of the selection committee to the University latest by 25.09.2018 (31.10.2018) as interview would have held as reported by the college in its letter interview has already been scheduled for second week of September, 2018.
148	29.09.2018	Baba Kundan Singh College VPO Muhar, Distt. Ferozepur (Punjab)	M.A. 2 nd Music (Vocal), subject to submission of copies of proceedings, appointment letter and joining report of teacher/s appointed latest by 31.10.2018. Further, the Affiliation Committee has observed that the College has not applied for grant of extension of affiliation of M.A. 1 st Year Music (Vocal) and it is presumed that the College has not admitted students for M.A. 1 st Music (Vocal).
149	29.09.2018	Goswami Ganesh Dutt Sanatan Dharam Colelge, Sector-32, Chandigarh	New Subjects/course i.e. B.Voc. 1 st year Logistic Management
150	12.09.2018	S.D. College, Hoshiarpur	B.C.A. I, II & III (one unit for each year) and B.B.A. I, II & III (One unit for each year), subject to the condition that the College shall re-advertise the vacant positions and the appointment of teachers against the vacant positions as pointed by the Inspection Committee be expedited and be made latest by 31.10.2018 under intimation of the University.
151	7/11/12/18.09.2018	G.H.G. Khalsa College Gurusar Sadhar, Distt. Ludhiana-141104	The Affiliation Committee has declined the grant of affiliation to B.A. B.Ed. Integrated Course (4 th year) and B.P.Ed. (Course) M.P.Ed. (Two year course).
152	7/11/12/18.09.2018	Swami Ganga Giri Janta Girls College Raekot, Ludhiana	(i)B.A.-1 st , 2 nd & 3 rd year (Advertising Sales Promotion & Sales Management)-E one unit each (ii) B.A.-1 st , 2 nd & 3 rd year (Principal & Practice of Insurance)-E 40 seats each (iii) B.A.-1 st , 2 nd & 3 rd year (IT)-E one unit each (iv) B.A.-1 st , 2 nd & 3 rd year (Computer Science)-E one unit each, with the condition

Senate Proceedings dated 3rd November, 2018

			that the College should expedite the process of making appointments for the teaching posts which remained unfiled and the appointments be made latest by 31.10.2018.
153	7/11/12/18.09.2018	Partap College of Education, Hambran Road, Ludhiana	(i)B.Ed. 1 st , 2 nd & 3 rd year-200 seats (ii)M.Ed. 1 st , 2 nd year-50 seats, subject to the condition that the interviews for the posts of the Principal and the Professor are conducted and appointment be made immediately but not later than 31.10.2018 under intimation to the University.
154	18.09.2018	Post Graduate Govt. College, Sector-46, Chandigarh	The Affiliation Committee has declined the grant of affiliation to BBA 1 st year and also for BCA (2 nd unit).
155	1.10.2018	B.K.M. College of Education, Balachaur Distt. S.B.S. Nagar-144521 (Punjab)	The Affiliation Committee has granted Two units (100 seats) of B.Ed. Course in the interest of the students and keeping in mind the strength of the teachers the extension of affiliation is granted.
156	7/11/12/18.09.2018	M.R. Govt. College Fazilka-152128 (Punjab)	The Affiliation Committee has expressed the inability to grant extension of affiliation of the B.A. I, II & III (Computer Science E), (ii) B.C.A. Part I, II & III. If the College makes admission of the students without having the affiliation of the University. The responsibility is that of the College. The R&S Branch has been specifically conveyed not to accept the registration return of any of the College unless and until the affiliation is confirmed to have been granted by the University for any course.
157	29.09.2018	Dev Samaj College for Women, Sector 45, Chandigarh	The Affiliation Committee has declined the grant of affiliation for (i)M.Com. I & II (ii) B.Sc. I & II (Non-Medical) New Course (iii) PG Diploma in Marketing & Management (iv) PG Diploma in Mass Communication due to the College has failed to comply with the condition to appoint the teachers and also failed to remove such deficiencies by stipulated date 31.08.2018.

158	7/11/12/18.09.2018	Mai Bhago College for Women, Vill. & P.O. Ramgarrh, Chandigarh Road Ludhiana-141123	B.Com. II and III but the Affiliation Committee has declined for grant of extension of affiliation for B.Com. I.
-----	--------------------	---	--

NOTE: The Syndicate in its meeting dated 30.3/21/29.4.2018 (Para 50) has constituted the following affiliation Committee for the session 2018-2019 regarding affiliation of Colleges pursuant to decision of the Syndicate dated 21.1.2017 (Para 7,8, & 9):

1. Dr. Satish Sharma, FellowChairperson
2. DCDC
3. Shri Subhash Sharma
4. Principal Anita Kaushal
5. Principal S.S. Sangha
6. Dr. Raj Kumar Mahajan
7. Professor Ameer Sultana
8. Dr. Inderpal Singh Sidhu
9. Dr. Amit Joshi
10. Shri Ashok Goyal
11. D.R. (Colleges)Convener

The Vice Chancellor said that there are some items (**C-1 to C-5, C-11 to C-16, C-18 to C-23, C-25, C-32, C-35 to C-37, C-39, C-41, C-50, C-52 to C-53 and C-55**) on the old agenda of the Senate meeting dated **8.7.2018** relating to appointments, promotions and extension in term of appointment, which are of urgent nature. He requested the members to have a look on these items.

Dr. Dalip Kumar said the items relating to promotion should be approved.

The other members also joined and said that these items are passed.

Dr. Amit Joshi said that all the items relating to teachers are passed to which the Vice Chancellor said that it has been done.

Dr. Gurmeet Singh said that the items relating to promotion etc be passed, but there are other items also those should be taken up later on.

XXIII. The recommendations of the Syndicate contained in **Items C-1, C-2, C-3, C-4, C-5, C-11, C-12, C-13, and C-14** on the agenda were read out and unanimously approved, i.e. –

C-1. That the following persons be promoted from Associate Professor (Stage-4) to Professor (Stage-5) under the U.G.C. Career Advancement Scheme (CAS) (2010), in the pay-scale of Rs.37400-67000/-+ AGP Rs.10,000/-, at a starting pay to be fixed under the rules of the Panjab University. The posts would be personal to the incumbents and they would perform the duties as assigned to them:

Sr. No.	Name	Department
***1.	Dr. Sarabjit Singh (w.e.f. 16.10.2017)	School of Punjabi Studies
(Syndicate dated 26.05.2018 Para 2(i))		
***2.	Dr. Vandana Arora (w.e.f. 22.12.2016)	Laws
(Syndicate dated 26.05.2018 Para 2(ix))		
*3.	Dr. Manu Sharma (w.e.f. 20.3.2016)	University Institute of Engineering & Technology
(Syndicate dated 26.05.2018 Para 2(xxv))		
***4.	Dr. Amrinder Pal Singh (w.e.f. 14.11.2017)	University Institute of Engineering & Technology
(Syndicate dated 26.05.2018 Para 2(xxvi))		
***5.	Dr. Renu Thakur (w.e.f. 26.9.2017)	Ancient Indian Culture and Archaeology
(Syndicate dated 26.05.2018 Para 2(xxxiv))		
***6.	Dr. Satvinder Pal Kaur (w.e.f. 19.12.2017)	Education
(Syndicate dated 26.05.2018 Para 2(xxxix))		
*7.	Dr. Anuradha Sharma (w.e.f. 31.3.2016)	Community Education and Disability Studies
(Syndicate dated 26.05.2018 Para 2(xliii))		
8.	Dr. Navleen Kaur (w.e.f. 28.2.2013)	Community Education and Disability Studies
(Syndicate dated 26.05.2018 Para 2(xlvii))		

- NOTE:**
1. The complete bio-data of the candidate would form a part of the proceedings.
 2. It had been certified that the API score obtained by the candidate meets the UGC requirement.
 - * 3. It had also been certified that the selection have been made in compliance to second amendment of UGC Regulations, 2010.
 - *** 4. It had also been certified that the selection have been made in compliance to fourth amendment of UGC Regulations, 2010.

Senate Proceedings dated 3rd November, 2018

5. The letters of promotion have been issued in anticipation of approval of the Senate.

C-2 That the following persons be promoted from Assistant Professor (stage-3) to Associate Professor (stage-4) under the U.G.C. Career Advancement Scheme (CAS) (2010) in the pay-scale of Rs.37400-67000/- +AGP Rs.9000/-, at a starting pay to be fixed under the rules of Panjab University. The posts would be personal to the incumbents and they would perform the duties as assigned to them:

Sr. No.	Name	Department
***1.	Dr. Ashish Virk (w.e.f. 01.07.2017)	University Institute of Laws, Panjab University Regional Centre, Ludhiana
(Syndicate dated 26.05.2018 Para 2(ii))		
***2.	Dr.(Ms.) Aman Amrit Cheema (w.e.f. 26.07.2016)	University Institute of Laws, Panjab University Regional Centre, Ludhiana
(Syndicate dated 26.05.2018 Para 2(iii))		
***3.	Dr. Shruti Bedi (w.e.f. 5.10.2016)	University Institute of Laws
(Syndicate dated 26.05.2018 Para 2(vii))		
**4.	Dr.(Ms.) Supinder Kaur (w.e.f. 7.6.2016)	Laws
(Syndicate dated 26.05.2018 Para 2(viii))		
***5.	Dr. Tejinder Pal Singh (w.e.f. 16.11.2017)	University Business School
(Syndicate dated 26.05.2018 Para 2(x))		
***6.	Dr. Veenu Mangat (w.e.f. 3.2.2018)	University Institute of Engineering & Technology
(Syndicate dated 26.05.2018 Para 2(xxvii))		
***7.	Dr. Inderdeep Kaur (w.e.f. 31.12.2016)	University Institute of Engineering & Technology
(Syndicate dated 26.05.2018 Para 2(xxviii))		
***8.	Ms. Roopali (w.e.f. 31.12.2016)	University Institute of Engineering & Technology
(Syndicate dated 26.05.2018 Para 2(xxix))		
***9.	Dr. Yajvender Pal Verma (w.e.f. 31.12.2016)	University Institute of Engineering & Technology
(Syndicate dated 26.05.2018 Para 2(xxxii))		

Sr. No.	Name	Department
**10.	Dr. Navneet Arora (w.e.f. 6.7.2016)	University Institute of Legal Studies
(Syndicate dated 26.05.2018 Para 2(xxxv))		
**11.	Dr. Monica Munjial (w.e.f. 18.6.2016)	Centre for Social Work
(Syndicate dated 26.05.2018 Para 2(xxxvi))		
*12.	Dr. Jangvir Singh Shahi (w.e.f. 23.10.2015)	Physics
(Syndicate dated 26.05.2018 Para 2(xxxvii))		
***13.	Dr. Neetu Goel (w.e.f. 23.12.2014)	Chemistry
(Syndicate dated 26.05.2018 Para 2(xxxviii))		
***14.	Dr. Ram Mehar (w.e.f. 14.10.2017)	University School of Open Learning
(Syndicate dated 26.05.2018 Para 2(xl))		
***15.	Dr. Supreet Kaur (w.e.f. 7.9.2017)	University School of Open Learning
(Syndicate dated 26.05.2018 Para 2(xli))		
***16.	Dr. Mamta Rani (w.e.f. 7.9.2017)	University School of Open Learning
17.	Dr. Prabha Vig (03.03.2012)	Life Long Learning and Extension
(Syndicate dated 26.05.2018 Para 2(xliv))		

- NOTE:**
1. The complete bio-data of the candidate would form a part of the proceedings.
 2. It had been certified that the API score obtained by the candidate meets the UGC requirement.
 - *3. It had also been certified that the selection have been made in compliance to second amendment of UGC Regulations, 2010.
 - **4. It had also been certified that the selection have been made in compliance to third amendment of UGC Regulations, 2010.
 - ***5. It had also been certified that the selection have been made in compliance to fourth amendment of UGC Regulations, 2010.

Senate Proceedings dated 3rd November, 2018

6. The letter of promotion has been issued in anticipation of approval of the Senate.

C-3 That the following persons be promoted from Assistant Professor (Stage-2) to Assistant Professor (Stage-3) under the U.G.C. Career Advancement Scheme (CAS) (2010) in the pay-scale of Rs.15600-39100 + AGP Rs.8,000/-, at a starting pay to be fixed under the rules of the Panjab University. The posts would be personal to the incumbents and they would perform the duties as assigned to them:

Sr. No.	Name	Department
**1.	Dr. Amita Verma (w.e.f. 19.09.2016)	University Institute of Legal Studies
(Syndicate dated 26.05.2018 Para 2(iv))		
*2.	Dr. Anil Kumar Thakur (w.e.f. 15.9.2015)	Laws
(Syndicate dated 26.05.2018 Para 2(v))		
**3.	Dr. Dinesh Kumar (w.e.f. 18.07.2016)	Laws
(Syndicate dated 26.05.2018 Para 2(vi))		
*4.	Dr. Rupinder Bir Kaur (w.e.f. 12.08.2015)	University Business School
(Syndicate dated 26.05.2018 Para 2(xv))		
**5.	Dr. Rekha Rani (w.e.f. 7.4.2017)	Institute of Educational Technology and Vocational Educational
(Syndicate dated 26.05.2018 Para 2(xvii))		
*6.	Ms. Neeru Mago (w.e.f. 7.4.2016)	Panjab University S.S. Giri Regional Centre, Hoshiarpur
(Syndicate dated 26.05.2018 Para 2(xix))		
**7.	Dr. Rajesh Kumar (w.e.f. 26.09.2017)	University Institute of Engineering & Technology
(Syndicate dated 26.05.2018 Para 2(xiii))		
**8.	Ms. Nisha Tayal (w.e.f. 14.7.2016)	University Institute of Engineering & Technology
(Syndicate dated 26.05.2018 Para 2(xxxi))		
*9.	Dr. Suman Mor (w.e.f. 30.8.2015)	Environment Studies
(Syndicate dated 26.05.2018 Para 2(xlv))		

Sr. No.	Name	Department
*10.	Dr. Ameer Sultana (w.e.f. 1.11.2015)	Department-cum-Centre for Women's Studies and Development

(Syndicate dated 26.05.2018 Para 2(xlvi))

- NOTE:**
1. The complete bio-data of the candidate would form a part of the proceedings.
 2. It had been certified that the API score obtained by the candidate meets the UGC requirement.
 - * 3. It had also been certified that the selection have been made in compliance to second amendment of UGC Regulations, 2010.
 - **4. It had also been certified that the selection have been made in compliance to fourth amendment of UGC Regulations, 2010.
 5. The letters of promotion have been issued in anticipation of approval of the Senate.

C-4 That the following persons be promoted from Assistant Professor (Stage-1) to Assistant Professor (Stage-2) under the U.G.C. Career Advancement Scheme (CAS) (2010) in the pay-scale of Rs.15600-39100 + AGP Rs. 7000/- at a starting pay to be fixed under the rules of the Panjab University. The posts would be personal to the incumbents and they would perform the duties as assigned to them:

Sr. No.	Name	Department
1.	Ms. Rachita Sambyal (w.e.f. 21.09.2017)	University Institute of Applied Management Sciences
(Syndicate dated 26.05.2018 Para 2(xi))		
2.	Mr. Naveen Kumar (w.e.f. 10.10.2017)	University Institute of Applied Management Science
(Syndicate dated 26.05.2018 Para 2(xii))		
3.	Dr. Ajay Kumar Dogra (w.e.f. 24.9.2017)	University Institute of Applied Management Science
(Syndicate dated 26.05.2018 Para 2(xiii))		
4.	Ms. Manjushri Sharma (w.e.f. 12.9.2017)	University Institute of Applied Management Science
(Syndicate dated 26.05.2018 Para 2(xiv))		

Senate Proceedings dated 3rd November, 2018

Sr. No.	Name	Department
5.	Ms. Amritpal Kaur (w.e.f. 16.9.2016)	Institute of Educational Technology & Vocational Education
(Syndicate dated 26.05.2018 Para 2(xvi))		
6.	Dr. Anil Kumar (w.e.f.28.02.2017)	University Institute of Engineering & Technology
7.	Dr. Renu Thapar (w.e.f. 04.03.2017)	
8.	Dr. Prasanta K. Nanda (w.e.f. 06.05.2017)	
(Syndicate dated 26.05.2018 Para 2(xviii))		
9.	Dr. Anurag Kuhad (w.e.f. 10.9.2016)	University Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences
10.	Dr. Ashwani Kumar (w.e.f. 11.9.2016)	
11.	Dr. Jai Malik (w.e.f.11.9.2016)	
12.	Dr. Vandita Kakkar (w.e.f. 11.9.2016)	
13.	Dr. Neelima Dhingra (w.e.f. 11.9.2016)	
14.	Dr. Amita Sarwal (w.e.f. 12.9.2016)	
15.	Dr. Sangeeta Pilkhwal Sah (w.e.f. 14.9.2016)	
(Syndicate dated 26.05.2018 Para 2(xx))		
16.	Dr. Jagjit Singh (w.e.f. 21.10.2015)	University Institute of Engineering & Technology
(Syndicate dated 26.05.2018 Para 2(xxi))		
17.	Dr. Manisha Sharma (w.e.f. 28.08.2011)	Mathematics
(Syndicate dated 26.05.2018 Para 2(xxii))		
18.	Dr. Gagandeep Singh (w.e.f. 20.09.2017)	University Institute of Engineering & Technology
(Syndicate dated 26.05.2018 Para 2(xxiv))		

Sr. No.	Name	Department
19.	Ms. Preetika Sharma (w.e.f. 24.9.2015)	University Institute of Engineering & Technology
(Syndicate dated 26.05.2018 Para 2(xxx))		
20.	Dr. Ankur Singh (w.e.f. 8.10.2014)	Panjab University S.S. Regional Centre, Hoshiarpur

(Syndicate dated 26.05.2018 Para 2(xxxiii))

- NOTE:**
1. The complete bio-data of the candidate would form a part of the proceedings.
 2. It had been certified that the API score obtained by the candidate meets the UGC requirement.
 3. It had also been certified that the selection have been made in compliance to fourth amendment of UGC Regulations, 2010 (except Sr. No. 17).
 4. The letters of promotion have been issued in anticipation of approval of the Senate.

C-5 That Dr. Dazy Zarabi, Assistant Professor, Department of Community Education and Disability Studies, Panjab University, Chandigarh be promoted from Assistant Professor (Stage-2) to Assistant Professor (Stage-3), w.e.f. 01.01.2009, under UGC Career Advancement Scheme (CAS) (2010) in the pay-scale of Rs. 15600-39100+AGP Rs.8,000/-.

NOTE: The letter of promotion to the person has been issued in anticipation of approval of Senate.

(Syndicate dated 30.3/21.4/29.4.2018 Para 36)

C-11 That the term of appointment of Dr. Rajesh Kumar Mishra, as Hony. Director, P.U. Rural Centre Kauni, Sri Muktsar Sahib be extended upto 03.01.2021.

NOTE: The letter of extension to the person has been issued in anticipation of approval of Senate.

(Syndicate dated 30.3/21.4/29.4.2018 Para 37)

C-12 That Dr. (Ms.) Ramandeep Kaur, Associate Professor, Department-cum-National Centre for Human Genome Studies & Research, be confirmed as such in her post w.e.f. the due date i.e. 25.02.2017 after completion of probation period of one year on 24.02.2017.

(Syndicate dated 30.3/21.4/29.4.2018 Para 38)

Senate Proceedings dated 3rd November, 2018

- C-13** That the probation period of Dr. Upasna Joshi Sethi, Professor, UIAMS be extended for one year i.e. w.e.f. 10.08.2017.

(Syndicate dated 26.5.2018 Para 31)

- C-14** That the title of 'Professor Emeritus', be conferred on the following distinguished teachers of Panjab University, under Regulation 3 at page 114 of P.U. Calendar Volume I, 2007:

Sr. No.	Name	Department
1.	Ms. Kamlesh Mohan Retired Professor & Chairperson Department of History Panjab University, Chandigarh	History (Pages 415-430)
2.	Professor Ashok Kumar Agarwal Professor (Retd.) Centre for Advanced Study in Mathematics Panjab University, Chandigarh	Mathematics (Pages 431-436)
3.	Professor Manmohan Gupta Retired Professor & Chairperson Centre of Advanced Study in Physics Panjab University, Chandigarh	Physics (Pages 437-460)
4.	Professor Mahendra Kumar Retired Professor & Chairperson Department of Indian Theatre Panjab University, Chandigarh	Indian Theatre (Pages 461-467)
5.	Dr. Virendra Kumar Retired Professor & Chairperson Department of Laws Panjab University, Chandigarh	Laws (Pages 468-488)

NOTE: The case of Professor (Mrs.) Madhu Raka for conferment of the title of Professor Emeritus be placed before the Committee when she completes her re-employment.

(Syndicate dated 26.5.2018 Para 13)

XXIV. The recommendations of the Syndicate contained in **Items C-15 and C-16** on the agenda were read out and unanimously approved, i.e. –

- C-15** That Shri Dharamvir Sharma, Assistant Architect, P.U. Architect Office, be confirmed as such in his post w.e.f. 01.02.2011.

(Syndicate dated 30.3/21.4/29.4.2018 Para 34)

- C-16** That the following Personal Assistants be confirmed in their posts w.e.f. the date mentioned against each.

Sr. No.	Name of the persons and Branch/ Department	Date of Appointment	Date of Confirmation
1.	Mrs. Varsha Luthra Office of the Dean University Instruction	03.12.2009	04.01.2014

2.	Mr. Shankaran Kutty Nair E. Office of the Registrar	01.02.2010	01.11.2014
3.	Mrs. Harjinder Kaur University Institute of Engineering & Technology	26.04.2010 (A.N.)	01.08.2015
4.	Mrs. Veena Aggarwal Office of the Dean Colleges Development Council	29.09.2010	01.06.2016

(Syndicate dated 29.4.2018 Para 8)

XXV. The recommendation of the Syndicate contained in **Item C-18** on the agenda was read out, viz. –

C-18 That the recommendation dated 12.07.2017 of the Committee, constituted by the Vice-Chancellor, with regard to the CAS promotion cases of the following faculty members, who were already promoted from 24.07.2013 onwards, without capping on API score as per UGC Regulations, 2010, on the basis of the observations of RAO as per 2nd amendment, be approved:

1. Dr. Preeti Gupta, Assistant Professor, UIET, is eligible for promotion from Assistant Professor (Stage-1) to Assistant Professor (Stage 2) w.e.f. 08.03.2014 i.e. the last date of attending the conference from 6-8 March, 2014 (instead of the date given earlier i.e. 06.10.2013, as she fulfilled the requisite API score of 40.00 (with capping) on the said date.
2. Dr. Neeraj Sharma, Assistant Professor, UIET, is eligible for promotion from Assistant Professor (Stage-1) to Assistant Professor (Stage 2) w.e.f. 08.11.2014 i.e. the last date of attending the conference from 7-8 November, 2014 (instead of the date given earlier i.e. 06.10.2013, as she fulfilled the requisite API score of 40.00 (with capping) on the said date.
3. Dr. Ravinder Kaur, Associate Professor, Department of Geography, is eligible for promotion from Associate Professor (Stage-4) to Professor (Stage-5) w.e.f. 30.06.2014 i.e. the last date of last publication in June, 2014 (instead of 08.01.2014, the date given earlier) as she fulfilled the requisite API score of 120 (with capping) on the said date.

NOTE: 1. The Syndicate expressed its anguish on the objection raised by the RAO on the issue of grant of one increment to the Principals of the Constituent Colleges, as under the Panjab University Regulations, Chapter VIII(E), 'teacher' shall include the Principal, etc.

2. The affidavit submitted by the Panjab University in the High Court on the issue of grant of increments for Ph.D. be withdrawn and a revised affidavit be submitted after rectifying the inadequacies.

(Syndicate dated 19.11.2017 Para 6)

Dr. Parveen Goyal said that **Item No. C-18** of the old agenda is also part of Item No. C-45. In Item No. C-18, Ms. Preeti Gupta and Mr. Neeraj Sharma, they joined in September 2008 and they are working on the same grade pay of Rs. 6000/-. So, he requested that the minutes of the meeting be prepared at the earliest and they should be started from day one otherwise these teachers would have to suffer.

At this point of time several members requested the Vice Chancellor to start zero hour. They also requested that the Vice Chancellor should note down the names of the person who have to speak so that every member would get a chance.

RESOLVED: That the recommendation of the Syndicate contained in **Item C-18 on the agenda**, be approved.

XXVI. The recommendations of the Syndicate contained in **Items C-19, C-20, C-21 and C-22 on** the agenda were read out and unanimously approved, i.e. –

C-19 That the minutes dated 18.08.2017 of the Committee, constituted by the Vice-Chancellor, to examine and give its recommendations on the issues pointed out in the letter dated 19.07.2017 of Dr. Karamjeet Singh, University Business School, regarding CAS promotion and direct recruitment, be approved.

(Syndicate dated 19.11.2017 Para 7)

C-20 (A) That the following appointments be made to the Research Promotion Cell:

- | | | |
|----|---|--------------------|
| 1. | Professor Ramanjit Kaur Johal
Dept. of Public Administration | Director |
| 2. | Professor V.R. Sinha
UIPS | Associate Director |
| 3. | Professor Sanjeev Sharma
Director, UIAMS | Member |
| 4. | Professor C.N. Kumar
Dept. of Physics | Member |
| 5. | Dr. Navneet Kaur
Dept. of Chemistry | Member |
| 6. | Dr. Purva Kansal
UBS | Member |

NOTE: The appointment letters to these persons have been issued, in anticipation of approval of the Senate.

(Syndicate dated 19.11.2017 Para 39)

(B) That Professor Rajat Sandhir, Department of Biochemistry be appointed as Associate Director, Research Promotion Cell in place of Professor V.R. Sinha, University Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences.

NOTE: That the appointment letter has been issued to Professor Rajat Sandhir, in anticipation of approval of the Senate.

(Syndicate dated 19.12.2017 Para 47)

- C-21** That the term of appointment of Professor Deepti Gupta, Department of English and Cultural Studies, as Dean of International Students, be extended for one more year w.e.f. 20.11.2017.

(Syndicate dated 19.11.2017 Para 30)

NOTE: The Syndicate in its meeting dated 30.3./21/29.4.2018 (Para 47) considered the appointment of Professor Promila Pathak, Department of Botany as Dean of International Students w.e.f. 1.4.2018 and resolved that the issue be treated as closed and Professor Deepti Gupta, Department of English and Cultural Studies would continue as Dean of International Students up to 19.11.2018 as already recommended by the Syndicate.

(Syndicate dated 30.3./21/29.4.2018 Para 47)

- C-22** That the resignation of Dr. Kapil Kumar Sharma, Associate Professor, Department of Mathematics, be accepted w.e.f. 31.05.2016 i.e. the date on which he proceeded on Extra Ordinary Leave without pay, under Regulation 6 at page 118 of P.U. Calendar, Volume-I, 2007.

(Syndicate dated 24.02.2018 Para 37)

XXVII. The recommendation of the Syndicate contained in **Items C-23** on the agenda was read out and unanimously approved, i.e., –

- C-23** That Shri Janak Ram Dhiman, Deputy Registrar, Secrecy Branch, be confirmed w.e.f. 01.06.2016 against the post of Deputy Registrar vacated by Shri Devinder Kumar Marwaha on his retirement on 31.05.2016.

(Syndicate dated 23.09.2017 Para 32)

XXVIII. The recommendation of the Syndicate contained in **Items C-25** on the agenda was read out and unanimously approved, i.e., –

- C-25** To consider that the minutes dated 13.12.2017 of the Committee, constituted by the Vice-Chancellor, regarding clarification on certain issues arising out of AICTE Regulations dated 5th March 2010 (received from Professor Dileep Malkhede, Adviser-I, (RIFD Bureau), AICTE, New Delhi), be approved.

(Syndicate dated 10.12.2017 Para 15)

XXIX. The recommendation of the Syndicate contained in Items C-32 on the agenda was read out and unanimously approved, i.e., –

C-32 That minutes dated 30.08.2017 of the Committee constituted by the Vice-Chancellor, to work out the Regulation regarding merit of M.E./M.Tech. Modular Programmes, be approved.

(Syndicate dated 10.12.2017 Para 35)

XXX. Considered **(Item C-35 on the agenda – Syndicate dated 20.8.2017 (Para 13) and dated 23.7.2017 (Para 38)** relating to recommendations of Regulations Committee meetings dated 19.07.2017 (Items 3, 5, 6, 7, 10, 11, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 23, 25, 27, 28, 29 and 30), and

RESOLVED: That –

- (1) the amendments, additions, deletions, etc. in the Regulations be approved as under:

ITEM 3

That reintroduction of Postgraduate Diploma in Women's Studies in the Department-cum-Centre for Women's Studies (effective from the session 2017-2018), be approved and given effect to, in anticipation of approval of the various University bodies/Govt. of India/publication in the Govt. of India Gazette.

NOTE: The Regulations for the said course would be the same as already available at pages 177-178 of Panjab University Calendar Volume II, 2007.

ITEM 6

That addition in the eligibility criteria for M.Sc. in Nuclear Medicine (effective from the session 2017-18), be made as under and given effect to in anticipation of approval of the various University bodies/Government of India/publication in the Government of India Gazette.

PRESENT REGULATION	PROPOSED REGULATION
Minimum qualification for admission to M.Sc. 1 st year in Nuclear Medicine shall be B.Sc. with at least 50% marks in the aggregate from Panjab University or any other University recognized by the Syndicate as equivalent thereto with Physics and Chemistry (Non-Medical stream) or Chemistry and Biology (Medical stream) as Core subjects. The candidates having B.Sc. in Nuclear	Minimum qualification for admission to M.Sc. 1 st year in Nuclear Medicine shall be B.Sc. from a recognized University with Physics and Chemistry (Non-Medical stream) or Chemistry and Zoology/Biotechnology (Medical stream) as Core subjects. The candidates having B.Sc. in Nuclear Medicine/Biophysics and B.Sc. degree in X-Ray/Medical Technology. shall also be eligible for

<p>Biophysics/Radiation Sciences shall also be eligible for the admission to the course.</p> <p>Admission to M.Sc. course in Nuclear Medicine will be through Entrance Test, to be conducted by the Panjab University. While deciding the final merit of the entrance test, a weight-age shall also be given to the marks obtained in B.Sc. by the candidate, as per the University rules. The cut off percentage marks secured in the entrance test will also be as per the University Rules.</p>	<p>admission to the course. <u>B.Sc. through correspondence/open University stream is not eligible.</u></p> <p>The candidates should have passed the graduation (B.Sc. from a recognized University/Institute with at least 50% marks.</p>
---	---

ITEM 7

That amendment in Regulation 3 for B.A. B.Ed. (effective from the session 2017-2018) be made as under and given effect to, in anticipation of approval of the various University bodies/Govt. of India/publication in the Govt. of India Gazette.

<u>PRESENT REGULATION</u>	<u>PROPOSED REGULATION</u>
<p>3. Minimum qualifications for admission to first Semester of the course shall be:</p> <p>10+2 examination of any Board/University, which is recognized by the Panjab University as equivalent, to it with at least 50% marks (45% marks in case of SC/ST).</p> <p>The candidate must not be more than 20 years of age as on 1st August of the year in which admission is sought to the first Semester (22 years in case of SC/ST).</p> <p>The admission shall be on such criteria (academic merit or entrance test or both etc.) as may be prescribed by the Syndicate/Senate from time to time.</p>	<p>3. Minimum qualifications for admission to first Semester of the course shall be:</p> <p>10+2 examination of any Board/University, which is recognized by the Panjab University as equivalent, to it with at least 50% marks (45% marks for SC/ST).</p>

ITEM 10

That amendment in Regulation 2(d) for Diplomas in (i) French (ii) German and (iii) Russian appearing at page 229-230 of Panjab University Calendar Volume II, 2007 (effective from the session 2015-16) be made as under and given effect to in anticipation of approval of the various University bodies/Govt. of India/publication in the Govt. of India Gazette.

PRESENT REGULATION	PROPOSED REGULATION
<p>2. A person who has passed one of the following examinations shall be eligible to join these courses:-</p> <p>a) Certificate in French/German/Russian of the Panjab University;</p> <p>b) B.A. Part I examination with French/German/Russian as an elective subject of the Panjab University;</p> <p>c) For admission to Diploma Course in Russian, Elementary Technical Translation Certificate in Russian;</p> <p>d)*For admission to Diploma Course in German, the Certificate in German for Science Students with 50% marks;</p> <p>e) An examination of another University/Board recognized by the Syndicate as equivalent to (a), (b), (c) or (d).</p>	<p>2. A person who has passed one of the following examinations shall be eligible to join these courses:-</p> <p>a) to (c) No change</p> <p>d) The students passing Deutsch Niveau (Level) A2 examination of Goethe Institute/Mueller Bhavan shall be admitted to Diploma Course in German.</p> <p>e) No Change</p>

ITEM 11

That addition in Regulation 2(d) for Advanced Diploma Courses in (i) German (ii) Russian and (iii) French appearing at page 235-236 of Panjab University Calendar Volume II, 2007 (effective from the session 2015-16), be made as under, and given effect to, in anticipation of approval of the various University bodies/Govt. of India/publication in the Govt. of India Gazette.

PRESENT REGULATION	PROPOSED REGULATION
<p>2. A person who has passed one of the following examinations shall be eligible to join these courses :-</p>	<p>2. A person who has passed one of the following examinations shall be eligible to join these courses:-</p>

<p>(a) Certificate in French/German/ Russian of the Panjab University;</p> <p>(b) B.A. Part I examination with French/German/Russian as an elective subject of the Panjab University;</p> <p>(c) For admission to Diploma Course in Russian, Elementary Technical Translation Certificate in Russian;</p> <p>(d) An examination of another University/Board recognized by the Syndicate as equivalent to (a), (b), (c) or (d).</p>	<p>(a) to (c) No change</p> <p>(d) For admission to Advanced Diploma Course in German</p> <p>The students passing Deutsch Niveau (Level) B2 examination of Gethe Institute/Max Mueller Bhavan shall be admitted to Advanced Diploma Course in German.</p> <p>(e) No Change</p>
--	--

ITEM 15

That amendment in Regulation 11.6 for Master of Social Work be made as under and given effect to, in anticipation of approval of the various University bodies/Govt. of India/publication in the Govt. of India Gazette.

<p>REGULATION (effective from the session 2007-08) (Sent to Govt. of India)</p>	<p>PRESENT REGULATION (effective from the session 2010-2011) (Approved by the Syndicate dated 29.6.2010)</p>	<p>PROPOSED REGULATION (effective from the session 2016-2017)</p>
<p>11.6 Master in Social work (MSW)</p> <p>(i) Bachelor in Social Work or Bachelor in Arts with Sociology or Psychology as one of the subjects from any University recognized by U.G.C. with a minimum aggregate of 50% marks.</p> <p>OR</p>	<p>11.6 Master of Social Work</p> <p>(i) Bachelor's degree obtaining at least 50% marks in any discipline form a recognized University/Institute.</p>	<p>11.6 Master of Social Work</p> <p>a) Bachelor's degree obtaining at least 50% marks in any discipline from a recognized University/ Institute.</p>

<p>i) Masters in Sociology or Psychology or Social Anthropology from any University recognized by U.G.C. with 50% marks in aggregate.</p> <p>(iii) Other eligibility conditions shall be as per P.U. Regulations and Rules.</p>	<p>(i) The candidates belonging to SC/ST categories shall be allowed 5% relaxation in the eligibility requirements.</p> <p>(iii) The candidates who have appeared/are appearing in the final year of the Bachelor's degree are also eligible to apply subject to their result with minimum percentage required.</p>	<p>(b) The candidates belonging to SC/ST/BC/ PWD categories shall be allowed relaxation in the eligibility requirements as per Govt. of India reservation policy .</p> <p>c) Other eligibility conditions shall be as per P.U. Regulations and Rules.</p>
---	---	--

ITEM 16

That the Regulations for M.Com. (Business Economics) (effective from the session 2015-16), be approved, in anticipation of approval of the various University bodies/Government of India/publication in the Government of India Gazette.

ITEM 17

That Regulations for B.Sc. Fashion Designing (Semester System) (effective from the session 2014-15), be approved, in anticipation of approval of the various University bodies/Government of India/publication in the Government of India Gazette.

ITEM 18

That Regulations for B.Sc. Nursing (Four-Year Course) (effective from the session 2014-15), be approved, in anticipation of approval of the various University bodies/Government of India/publication in the Government of India Gazette.

ITEM 19

That Regulations for Master of Dental Surgery (MDS) at Dr. Harvansh Singh Judge Institute of Dental Sciences & Hospital (effective from the session 2015-16), be approved, in anticipation of approval of the various University bodies/Govt. of India/publication in the Govt. of India Gazette.

ITEM 20

That Regulations for B.Voc. courses running in the affiliated Colleges (effective from the session 2017-2018), be approved, in anticipation of approval of the various University bodies/Govt. of India/publication in the Govt. of India Gazette.

ITEM 21

Senate Proceedings dated 3rd November, 2018

That Regulations for B.Sc. (Home Science) (Three-Year Course) (Semester System) (effective from the session 2014-15), be approved, in anticipation of approval of the various University bodies/Government of India/publication in the Government of India Gazette.

ITEM 23

That change in nomenclature of Bachelor of Clinical Optometry (B.Optom) to Bachelor of Optometry (B.Optom) (effective from the session 2017-2018), be made as under and given effect to, in anticipation of approval of the various University bodies/Govt. of India/publication in the Govt. of India Gazette.

PRESENT NOMENCLATURE	PROPOSED NOMENCLATURE
Bachelor of Clinical Optometry (B.Optom)	Bachelor of Optometry (B.Optom) (effective from the session 2017-2018)

ITEM 25

That Regulations for LL.M. (One-Year Course) (Semester System) (effective from the session 2014-15), be approved, in anticipation of approval of the various University bodies/Government of India/publication in the Government of India Gazette.

ITEM 27

That Regulations for Shastri (Three-Year Course) (Semester System) (effective from the session 2014-15), be approved, and in anticipation of approval of the various University bodies/Govt. of India/publication in the Govt. of India Gazette.

ITEM 29

That addition in Regulation 2 for M.Sc. (Honours) course in Chemistry (Semester System) (effective from the session 2016-17 and 2017-18), be made as under and given effect to, in anticipation of approval of the various University bodies/Government of India/publication in the Government of India Gazette.

PRESENT REGULATIONS	PROPOSED REGULATIONS
<p>2. A person who has passed one of the following examinations shall be eligible to join M.Sc. (Honours School) Semester System:</p> <p>(i) B.Sc. (Honours School) examination of the Panjab University in the subject of M.Sc. (Honours School)</p>	<p>2. No Change</p> <p>to (ii) No Change</p>

<p>course provided that BCA/B.Tech./B.E. (Computer Science/ Engineering) with 50% marks or any other examination recognized as equivalent thereto shall also be eligible for M.Sc. (Honours School) Computer Science.</p> <p>(ii) B.A. or B.Sc. examination of the Panjab University or any other examination recognized by the Panjab University as equivalent thereto, for admission to M.Sc. (Honours School) in Anthropology.</p> <p>Provided that admission of the eligible students other than B.Sc. (Honours School) from Panjab University will be based on their merit in the Entrance Test (OCET) for B.Sc. (Pass or Honours) examination with 50% marks from Panjab University or any other University recognized as equivalent thereto/the fulfillments of such other requirements as may be laid down by the Syndicate.</p>	<p><u>For M.Sc. (Honours) course in Chemistry (from the session 2016-17)</u></p> <p>a) B.Sc.(Honours School) examination of the Panjab University in the subject of Chemistry.</p> <p>OR</p> <p>b) B.Sc.(Pass or Hons.) examination with 50% marks (45% marks in case of Sc/ST/BC) from Panjab University or any other University recognized as equivalent thereto with (i) Chemistry (ii) Physics (iii) Mathematics or any other Science subject during all the three years of graduation .</p> <p><u>For M.Sc. (Honours) course in Chemistry (effective from the session 2017-18)</u></p> <p>a) B.Sc.(Honours School) examination of the Panjab University in the</p>
--	---

	subject of Chemistry. OR b) B.Sc.(Pass or Hons.) examination with 50% marks (45% marks in case of Sc/ST/BC) from Panjab University or any other University recognized as equivalent thereto with (i) Chemistry in all the three years /six semesters and (ii) any two Science subjects during two years/four semester during of graduation.
--	---

ITEM 30

That amendment of Regulation 2 for Bachelor of Laws appearing at page 387 of Panjab University Calendar Volume II, 2007 (effective from the session 2017-18), be made as under and given effect to, in anticipation of approval of the various University bodies/Govt. of India/publication in the Govt. of India Gazette.

<u>PRESENT REGULATION</u>	<u>PROPOSED REGULATION</u>
<p>2. The minimum qualification for admission to the first year class of the LL.B. course shall be one of the following :-</p> <p>(a) A Bachelor's degree in any faculty of the Panjab University with at least 45% of the aggregate marks;</p> <p>(b) A degree in any faculty of any other University recognized as equivalent to the corresponding degree of the Panjab University, with at least 45% of the aggregate marks.</p> <p>Provided that in case of candidates having Bachelor's degree of the University or any other University recognized by the Syndicate, through Modern Indian Languages (Hindi or Urdu or Panjabi/Gurmukhi Script) and/or in a classical Language</p>	<p>2. The minimum qualification for admission to the first year class of the LL.B. course shall be:-</p> <p>A Bachelor's/Master's degree in any discipline with at 45% marks in aggregate from Panjab University or any other University recognized by Bar Council of India and Panjab University.</p> <p>In case of candidates having Bachelor's degree of the University or any other University recognized by the Syndicate, through Modern Indian Languages (Hindi or Urdu or Panjabi/Gurmukhi Script) and/or in a classical Language (Sanskrit or Persian or Arabic) the aggregate of 45% marks shall be calculated by taking into account the percentage of aggregate marks that he had secured at the language examination, excluding the</p>

<p>(Sanskrit or Persian or Arabic) the aggregate of 45% marks shall be calculated by taking into account the percentage of aggregate marks that he had secured at the language examination, excluding the marks for the additional optional paper, English and the elective subject taken together.</p> <p>(c) A Master's degree from the Panjab University;</p> <p>1) A Master's degree from any other University recognized as equivalent to the Master's degree of the Panjab University.</p>	<p>marks for the additional optional paper, English and the elective subject taken together.</p> <p>5% concession is admissible in eligibility marks to SC/ST/BC/PWD candidates.</p>
--	--

- (2) the amendment(s) proposed by the Regulations Committee as mentioned below, be approved from the session 2015-16. However, from the session 2018-19 the subjects of Bioinformatics, Microbiology, Biochemistry and Industrial Chemistry be added into the proposed Regulation:

PRESENT REGULATION	PROPOSED REGULATION
<p>Minimum qualification for admission to M.Sc. 1st year in Nuclear Medicine will be B.Sc. from a recognized University with Physics and Chemistry (Non-medical stream) or Chemistry and Biology (Medical stream) as core subjects. The candidates having B.Sc. in Nuclear Medicine and Biophysics shall also be eligible for admission to the course.</p> <p>Admission to M.Sc. course in Nuclear Medicine will be through Entrance Test, to be conducted by the Panjab University. The candidates should have passed the</p>	<p>Minimum qualification for admission to M.Sc. 1st year in Nuclear Medicine shall be B.Sc. with at least 50% marks in the aggregate from Panjab University or any other University recognized by the Syndicate as equivalent thereto with Physics and Chemistry (Non-Medical stream) or Chemistry and Biology (Medical stream) as Core subjects. The candidates having B.Sc. in Nuclear Medicine/ Biophysics/ Radiation Sciences shall also be eligible for the admission to the course.</p>

<p>graduation (B.Sc.) from a recognized University/ Institute with at least 50% marks. While deciding the final merit of the entrance test, a weightage shall also be given to the B.Sc. marks obtained by the candidate, as per the University rules. The cut off percentage marks secured in the entrance test will also be as per the University Rules.</p>	
--	--

- (3) the required amendment(s) (**Item 28**) in Regulation 17.4 appearing at page 133 of Panjab University Calendar, Volume I, 2007 in pursuant to the recommendations of the Administrative Committee of BGJ Institute of Health, be made as under:

PRESENT REGULATION	PROPOSED REGULATION
<p>17.4 A whole-time Medical Officer of the University shall retire on reaching the age of sixty years; provided that extension may be granted for a period up to two years in special cases, on the recommendation of the Vice-Chancellor.</p>	<p>17.4 A whole-time Medical Officer of the University shall retire on reaching the age of sixty five years.</p>

(Syndicate dated 23.7.2016 Para 38)

Dr. D.P.S Randhawa suggested that, before zero hour, Item No. C-36 and C-37 of the old agenda of Senate meeting dated 8.7.2018 should be taken up for consideration as these are very important items.

XXI. The recommendation of the Syndicate contained in **Items C-36** on the agenda was read out, viz. –

- C-36** To consider minutes dated 25.10.2017 (Appendix) of the Committee constituted by the Syndicate dated 20.08.2017 (Para 13), that the subject of History, Philosophy and Geography should be included in the Regulation governing the admission to M.A. Public Administration and the relevant Regulation 11.1 appearing at page 91 of Panjab University Calendar Volume II, 2007, be amended as under and made effective from the session 2018-19:

PRESENT REGULATION	PROPOSED REGULATION
<p>11.1 A person who has passed one of the following examinations from the Panjab University or an examination recognized by the Syndicate as equivalent thereto, shall be eligible to join the M.A. degree course, other than in Physical Education.</p> <p>(i) to (iii) xxx xxx xxx</p> <p>Provided that-</p> <p>1(a) For the Public Administration course, a person who has passed one of the following examinations shall be eligible:-</p> <p>B.A. (Pass) with 45 per cent marks in Political Science or Economics or Sociology or Psychology or History.</p>	<p>11.1 No Change</p> <p>(i) to (iii) No change</p> <p>Provided that-</p> <p>1(a) No Change</p> <p><u>B.A. (Pass) with 45 per cent marks in Political Science or Economics or Sociology or Psychology or History or Philosophy or Geography.</u></p>

NOTE: The Vice-Chancellor has approved the recommendation of the Committee dated 25.10.2017 on behalf of the Syndicate (as authorised by the Syndicate in its meeting dated 20.08.2017 (Para 13).

RESOLVED: That the subject of History, Philosophy and Geography be included in the Regulation governing the admission to M.A. Public Administration and the relevant Regulation 11.1 appearing at page 91 of Panjab University Calendar Volume II, 2007, be amended as under and made effective from the session 2018-19:

PRESENT REGULATION	PROPOSED REGULATION
<p>11.1 A person who has passed one of the following examinations from the Panjab University or an examination recognized by the Syndicate as equivalent thereto, shall be eligible to join the M.A. degree course, other than in Physical Education.</p> <p>(i) to (iii) xxx xxx xxx</p> <p>Provided that-</p> <p>1(a) For the Public Administration course, a person who has passed one</p>	<p>11.1 No Change</p> <p>to (iii) No change</p> <p>Provided that-</p> <p>1(a) No Change</p>

<p>of the following examinations shall be eligible:-</p> <p>B.A. (Pass) with 45 per cent marks in Political Science or Economics or Sociology or Psychology or History.</p>	<p>B.A. (Pass) with 45 per cent marks in Political Science or Economics or Sociology or Psychology or History or Philosophy or Geography.</p>
---	---

Arising out of the above, Dr. D.P.S. Randhawa said that he would like to take only one issue, which relates to allowing the admission to M.Phil Course. The item relates to eligibility for admission to M.Phil Course in Public Administration. In this item, they have been allowing the students, who have passed M.A. from different streams and they will be allowed to take up M.Phil Course in lieu of the time in Public Administration. That is the total dilution of stream, syllabus and the spirit of that Course. So, to his mind it should be strictly taken as the way towards only eligible candidates who have passed the M.A. Political Science or M.A. Public Administration should only be allowed. This subject is a subsidiary and has come out of the department of Political Science and Police Administration could also be taken as well. So, this is his concern.

XXXII. The recommendation of the Syndicate contained in **Item C-37 on the agenda** was read out, viz. –

C-37. That recommendation at Sr. No. 1 dated 26.10.2017 of the Committee, constituted by the Vice-Chancellor, to look into the matter, to review the terms and conditions for engagement of Guest Faculty, be approved.

NOTE: The Vice-Chancellor be authorised to constitute a committee to look into recommendation No. 2 of the Committee and other related matters.

(Syndicate dated 24.02.2018 Para 9)

Professor Rajesh Gill said that related to this, although the specific item is not there, Item No. C-37 (old agenda) relates to Guest Faculty. In Public Administration Department, Guest Faculty was recruited last i.e in July/August 2017 from Economics, Laws and from Management. Will Public Administration students be recruited by Department of Laws or Department of Economics and they are continuing. This means that they will have a stake while the students of Public Administration are suffering. The selection should be reviewed, they should be revoked and a Committee should be formed on this issue.

Dr. R.K. Ranga said it has also been discussed earlier. Some people were not given appointment. The appointments which have been made, those are illegal appointments in violation of UGC regulations. The persons who are appointed, they are not eligible to teach public administration.

Professor Rajesh Gill said that if it is done like this then Law Department, persons of economics subject will be appointed and in Economics Department, persons with political background will be appointed. She requested the Vice Chancellor to hold an enquiry in this matter.

Dr. R.K. Ranga also endorsed it and that such illegal things should be stopped.

Shri Sandeep Singh while supporting the view point of Dr. Ranga and Professor Rajesh Gill said that the person who has not studying that subject himself, how he can teach it to others.

The Vice Chancellor said that now they should go ahead as he has taken it and will see to it.

RESOLVED: That the consideration of **Item C-37**, be deferred.

On being insisting by Dr. Gurmeet Singh to start zero hour, the Vice Chancellor said still zero hour has not started.

XXXIII. Considered the recommendation of Faculty of Science dated 19.12.2017 (Para 30) (Item C-39 on the agenda – **Syndicate dated 24.02.2018 Para 14**), and

RESOLVED: That the revised grading System for calculation of the end-semester (SGPA)_{ES}, (CGPA)_{ES} and final CGPA for a candidate for the B.Sc. (Honours) under the framework of Honours School System being run at Panjab University Campus, be approved.

XXXIV. Considered the recommendations of the Board of Finance (**Item C-41 on the agenda**) contained in the minutes of its meeting dated 01.03.2018 (Items 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14 and 15) as endorsed by the Syndicate dated 30.03.2018 (Para 5):

Item 1

That the proposal of Government of Punjab for release of grant of Rs 17.94 crores in three annual installments, starting from the year 2018-19, in addition to the annual release of grant with enhancement of 6% as well as the other points agreed by the Government of Punjab in the meeting dated 13.2.2018, be accepted.

NOTE: The emergent meeting of local members of the Board of Finance was convened on 13.2.2018, in pursuance of a communication dated 30.01.2018 received from Special Secretary Expenditure, Govt. of Punjab (**Appendix – _**).

Item 2

To note that this was agreed to by all the members of the Board of Finance that the issue of Intra University Bank transfers, keeping in view the specific comments of the MHRD/UGC as well as U.T. Administration, Chandigarh, for future, let such transfers be also go through the process of pre-audit, with clear understanding that there should not be any delays on part of the audit in dealing with such cases.

Item 3

That one increment over and above the pay protection as already allowed by the competent authority, i.e., Senate vide Para VIII dated 09.10.2016 to the following three Principals of Constituent Colleges be admitted by audit:

- i) Dr. Iqbal Singh Sandhu, Principal, Panjab University Constituent College, Sikhwala, Sri Muktsar Sahib.
- ii) Dr. N.R. Sharma, Principal, Panjab University Constituent College, Gur Har Sahai.
- iii) Dr. Kuldip Singh, Principal PU Constituent College Nihal Singh Wala (Moga).

NOTE: 1. The above three Principals were recommended for appointment by the duly constituted Selection Committee in three constituent colleges of Panjab University.

The above recommendations of the Selection Committee were endorsed by the Syndicate in its meeting 1/15 May, 2016 vide Para 75 with recommendation that the pay of each candidate be protected as per P.U. rules and they be granted one increment over and above their respective protected pay. Finally, the Senate in its meeting dated 9.10.2016 vide Para VIII approved the recommendation of the Syndicate.

2. In pursuance of the above decision of the Senate, the audit has admitted the salary of these principals after protecting their pay being drawn from their respective previous employers.
3. Regarding the grant of one increment over and above the protected pay, the audit enquired from the office about the supporting rule in this regard.
4. On this, it was clarified to audit that the one increment over and above the protected pay was allowed by the Competent Authority i.e., Senate in term of the regulation 4.1 Page-118, PU Cal. Vol.-I as well as Clause XVII of Appendix-I of UGC regulation dated 30.6.2010 which are reproduced as under:

“Regulation 4.1 – Save as otherwise provided in the Regulations, the fixation of salary, accelerated increments, grant of allowance, etc., shall, in the case of employees holding permanent posts rest with:

(a) Senate- in the case of employees of Class A;

- (b) **Syndicate - in the case of employees of Class B;**
- (c) **Vice-Chancellor - in the case of employees of Class C.”**

“Clause XVII - Discretionary award of advance increments for those who enter the profession as Associate Professors or Professors with higher merit, high number of research publications and experience at the appropriate level, shall be within the competence of the appropriate authority of the concerned University or recruiting institution while negotiating with individual candidates in the context of the merits of each case, taking into account the pay structure of other teachers in the faculty and other specific factors.”

5. The audit did not concur with the view point of office and gave the following observations:

“There is separate provision (4) for pay scales of Principals in Colleges, where as Regulation (xvii) relates to Assistant Professor/ Associate Professor/ Professor in colleges & Universities. No doubt Regulation (1) (III) of P.U. Calendar Vol.-I provides that teachers includes Principal of affiliated colleges but UGC make separate provision for them”

Moreover provision XVII of Appendix I clearly states that advance increments can be given while negotiating with individual candidates and not after the appointment of candidates. It is therefore advised the decision of the Syndicate be reviewed.”

**Financial Liability: Rs.3,71,950/- approx.
(from the date of their joining till 31.3.2018).**

Item 4

That the expenditure on livery articles for Security Staff, Drivers & Other Class-C employees, based on the competent bidding process, carried out by the Central Purchase Committee of the University, through E-tender, be allowed and for future the Vice Chancellor be authorised to sanction the expenditure on the purchase of livery articles, within the sanctioned budgetary provision, at L1 rates, by following the due procedure of e-tendering/ GeM portal.

- NOTE:**
1. The rates of Livery articles for Security Staff, Drivers, Mukh Sewadars & Class 'C' employees were approved vide Item No. 13 in the meeting of *Board of Finance held on 27.7.2011*.
 2. A Central Purchase Committee was constituted by the Vice- Chancellor for purchase of Stationery, Paper and Livery Articles for FY 2017-18. The Central Purchase Committee in its meeting held on 6.6.2017 approved the e-tender document for purchase as per purchase procedure mentioned in Accounts manual Rule 27.9.

The tender was e-published on official site of UT administration. The committee had opined to proceed on L1 basis i.e. lowest quoted rate basis amongst the technically qualified firms only on item wise basis.

3. Due to time gap of 6 years and consequent inflationary impact, the current rates of most of the items in comparison to the rates approved in 2011, are found to be on the higher side.
4. The comparative statement showing the rates of livery articles approved by the Board of Finance dated 27.7.2011 and the rates recommended by the Central Purchase Committee along with decrease, increase of rates & justification for enhancement is placed at **Appendix - 1**.
5. The case was forwarded to the Audit which raised the following observations.

"The Board of Finance in its meeting held on 27.7.2011 has fixed the rate of Livery articles to be issued to the Security Staff and Class C employees. Now, the Panjab University has proposed to purchase the livery articles at the rate higher than fixed by BOF, by inviting open tender.

The audit has raised certain observation on dated 8.12.17 and 8.1.18. The Panjab University has proposed to procure the goods on the basis of lowest tenders rates invited by the Panjab University in anticipation of approval of BOF. There is no rule/ regulation whereby the

vice Chancellor has been authorized to accord sanction in anticipation of approval of Board of Finance. It is therefore advised that matter be put to the Audit after it is considered by Board of Finance.”

6. It is proposed that rates of Livery items as recommended by Central Purchase on basis of e-bidding at L1 rates be approved as annexed at **Appendix –_).**
7. In future, the Vice Chancellor may be authorized to allow the purchase of livery articles, within the sanctioned budgetary provision, through e-tendering at L1 rates by following the due procedure.

Item 5

To note that this was agreed upon by all the members of the Board of Finance that the issue of interest on amount of Rs. 20,66,60,586/- transferred from pension corpus to revenue account, matter should not have been brought to the BOF and rather should have been settled by the audit and accounts at their own level.

NOTE: 1. As per the decision of the Board of Finance vide agenda item No.26 (II) dated 11.02.2013, the 10% employer share in respect of employees who had opted for pension for the financial years 2010-11, 2011-12 & 2012-13 amounting to Rs. 20,66,60,586/- was transferred from pension corpus fund to revenue account of the university.

The ACLA observed that in addition to the above amount the interest may also be transferred from Pension Corpus Fund to Revenue Account, which the pension corpus had earned on such amount during the financial years 2010-11, 2011-12 & 2012-13 vide its memo No. RAO/2013/226 dated 02.05.2013.

2. In response to the above referred observation of the audit, the office has given its version vide letter No.1577/FDO dated 25.07.2013. The relevant extract of which are reproduced here below:

“Since the inception of the pension corpus fund, a budget provision has been made in the Revenue budget to supplement the corpus. Initially there were three sources of inflow to the pension Corpus i.e., (1) Interest earned on Corpus money (2) 10% employers contribution of employees who had opted for pension and (3) provision in the Revenue budget.

As per the report of the Sub-Committee for the assessment of budget requirement of the University constituted by the Government of India, MHRD vide order No.2/2/2010-U.II dated 19th July, 2010, it was recommended that on the basis of the estimated pension liability for a given financial year, necessary budget provision may be created after adjusting the estimated interest income on the corpus fund for such financial year. Accordingly, the practice of transfer of 10% employer's contribution from Revenue Account to pension corpus fund stand discontinued w.e.f. the financial year 2010-11 as per the decision of Board of Finance dated 11.2.2013 vide Agenda Item No.26 (II). It was also decided that the amount already transferred to pension corpus be refunded to revenue account. Accordingly, an amount of Rs. 20.66 crore transferred from pension corpus to revenue account.

In this regard, it is submitted that interest was never claimed in University inter account transfers. On yearly basis a provision is made in the revenue budget for transfer of amount to pension fund account. The status of budget provision incorporated in the revenue budget and the detail of actual transfer thereof to the pension corpus for the years 2010-11, 2011-12 and 2012-13 is as under:

	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
Budget provision	Rs.16.24 crore	Rs. 22.83 crore	Rs. 33.70 crore
Details of actual transfer from	Rs.2.50 crore on 3/7/10	Rs.3.30 crore on 18/2/12	Rs.5.00 crore on 1/1/13
Revenue account to Pension	Rs.4.00 crore on 30/8/10	Rs.19.53 crore on 18/2/12	Rs.20.00 crore on 23/3/13
Corpus Fund	Rs.2.00 crore on 6/9/10		Rs.8.70 crore on 7/5/13
	Rs.1.50 crore on 5/1/11		
	Rs.6.24 crore on 13/1/11		

From the above table, it is clear that the amount provided in the budget was not credited to the pension corpus fund proportionately and evenly on monthly basis. Rather the amount was transferred as per the availability of funds, depending upon the date of receipt of grant from Government. No interest has ever been claimed from revenue budget for not transferring the amount to pension corpus in time. It is pertinent to mention that the deficit of pension corpus is being funded through the revenue budget of University.

Therefore, charging interest either for delay in transfer of amount from revenue budget to corpus fund or vice-versa is not reasonable and would unnecessarily inflate the expenditure on one side and income on the other hand.

The position was further clarified by the office vide note dated 05.05.2015 received in the audit vide diary No.299 dated 05.05.2015 which is reproduced here below:

“In continuation to the reply already submitted vide No. 1577/FDO dated 25.07.2013, it is further clarified that the actual deficit of Pension Corpus on yearly basis is being met by way of making an appropriate budget provision in the Non-Plan Account. Therefore, if the University transfers interest from Pension Corpus to Revenue Account then net result would be enhanced Pension Corpus deficit which ultimately has to be recouped from the Revenue budget. Therefore, no useful purpose would be served except that on one hand interest will be transferred from Pension Corpus to Revenue Account and on the other hand enhanced provision shall be provided in the Revenue budget to meet the Pension corpus deficit of that particular year.”

3. Now after almost 3 years the audit has observed that the observation already given still stands.

Item 6

- (i) That in case of sponsored research projects/schemes as well as consultancy projects, for which the concerned Faculty member is responsible for the execution and submission of its progress report to the concerned funding agency, the annual audit of such projects/schemes be allowed by the professional CA Firms to be taken from the panel approved by the CAG, with condition that the provisions of Panjab University Accounts Manual and General Financial Rules will be strictly followed including the pre-audit of proposals of procurement of goods and services valuing more than Rs.500 lacs.
- (ii) That the term of reference for audit assignment of sponsored projects and the consequential amendments in P.U. Accounts Manual, **as per annexure I & II**, be approved, pursuant to discussion in the meeting of the Board of Finance.

Item 7

That an amount of Rs.54.14 lacs be sanctioned out of Development Fund for construction of additional class rooms in the Block-II of the Department of Laws for the academic session 2018-19.

NOTE: An estimate of Rs.54.14 lac submitted by Executive Engineer, P.U. Construction office for construction of additional class rooms to the Department of Laws is available as per **Appendix – _).**

Item 8

That the status quo be maintained and no further enhancement in DA rate and increment be allowed to the teachers who are continuing in service beyond the age of 60 years as per interim orders of the Hon'ble High Court, till the final outcome of the pending appeals.

NOTE: 1. Various faculty members of Panjab University had filed a Civil Writ Petitions before the Hon'ble Panjab and Haryana High Court, Chandigarh for enhancement in the age of retirement from 60 to 65 as per the UGC regulations. The Hon'ble Panjab and Haryana High Court, Chandigarh dismissed all such Writ Petitions vide its order dated 16.08.2016 through a common order passed in CWP No.11988 of 2014 for all connected matters.

2. The concerned teachers filed LPA before the Division Bench of Hon'ble Panjab and Haryana High Court, Chandigarh, which has passed an interim order dated 06.12.2016, the operative part of which is re-produced here below :

“ The resultant effect, as clarified in the order dated 26.10.2016, is that the appellants are entitled to continue without any break in service so as to enable them to draw the same emoluments/salary which they were drawing immediately before the order dated 16.08.2016 was passed by the learned Single Judge. In this view of the matter, we direct that the order passed by the learned Vice-Chancellor on 25.11.2016 vide which he has directed to pay salary to the appellants as they were drawing immediately before the pronouncement of the order by the learned Single Judge excluding HRA, as an interim measure and subject to the final outcome of these appeals, is the most fair, just and workable solution. The University is equally justified in asking the appellants to give an undertaking to the

effect that the payment made to them pursuant to the ad interim orders, shall be subject to final outcome of these appeals and thus it can be adjusted against the post-retiral dues in the event of non-acceptance of these appeals. The aforementioned arrangement shall continue till further orders.”

3. The concerned teachers represented to the university authorities to grant the enhancement in the D.A. as well as annual increments even beyond the age of 60 years in view of the interim orders of the Hon’ble Panjab and Haryana High Court, Chandigarh.
4. In this matter the audit has given this observation as under:

“The policy for enhancement of D.A. and annual increment to all teachers continuing beyond the age of 60 years be got legally examined in view of the interim order of the Hon’ble Panjab and Haryana High Court, Chandigarh in this regard”.

5. As per the advice of the Vice-Chancellor the university obtained the legal opinion from Dr. Anmol Rattan Sidhu, Sr. Advocate. The relevant extract of which is reproduced here below :

“There is no doubt that the employees have rendered continuous service, which makes them entitled for the grant of annual increments, thus in my considered opinion considering all the facts and representations submitted by the teachers and keeping in mind that the issue involved in all the writ petitions and appeal before the Hon’ble High Court being same all the teachers are entitled to the grant of annual increments”.

Financial Liability : Rs.41.55 lac per annum (aprox.)

Item 9

That the rate of honorarium for the resource person in University colloquia as well as the rate of Secretarial Assistance to concerned staff being paid by the University @ of Rs.5,000/- and Rs.1,000/- respectively be approved. Further resolved that the Vice-Chancellor be authorized to approve the amount of honorarium of more than Rs 1000 subject to maximum of Rs 5,000/- per session, to the concerned resource person in other University events, depending

upon the importance of event as well as the stature of the concerned resource person.

- NOTE:** 1). Panjab University has started Colloquia series in the year 2012 and since the inception of such series, an honorarium of Rs. 5000/- is being paid to the distinguished personalities as resource person. Till the last financial year all such payments were being admitted and passed by the audit. However, now the audit has raised following observations:

“Audit is very much aware of Rule 5.3 of Panjab University Account Manual 2012. The rule ibid provides that Vice-Chancellor shall relax the rules for payment of honorarium on basis of proper justification.

In the instant case honorarium of Rs. 5000/- has been given for delivering a lecture in Panjab University Colloquium. The Panjab University has not framed any rules for payment of honorarium for giving lecture in colloquium. Moreover, no justification has been by the department while obtaining sanction from Vice-Chancellor.

It is therefore advised that sanction of the Vice-Chancellor for payment of honorarium of Rs. 5000/- may got received by proper justification.”

- 2). On this issue, the Vice-Chancellor has remarked:

“Let the colloquia honorarium so far be paid out of Foundation for Higher Education or some other budget head immediately. Let an agenda item be prepared for approval through Syndicate and BOF, if need be. Colloquia seminar do not exist on behalf of any University in India. This has been specially commended by NAAC as well.

Item 10

Noted and ratified the action taken in issuing FDR of Rs. 45,71,000/- including interest in compliance of the interim order dated 15.1.2018 passed by the Court of Ld. Sh. J.S. Sidhu, Additional District Judge, Chandigarh in the Objection Petition No. Arb No. 1/18 titled Panjab University Chandigarh Versus M/s Surjit Singh Sodhi and Anr.

NOTE: 1. Sh. Surjit Singh Sodhi, Contractor filed an arbitration claim of more than Rs. 1,12,00,000/- against the Panjab University regarding the execution of the work of 100 bedded hospital. The University contested the claim before the Arbitrator through Advocate. The Arbitrator passed the final award on 26.10.2017 by directing the Panjab University to pay an amount of Rs. 42,53,005/- to M/s Surjit Singh Sodhi along with costs of Rs.86,610/- towards a proportionate fee of arbitral tribunal, Rs.15,000/- towards cost of the Arbitration Centre and Rs. 25,000/- towards the fees of the advocate (Total Rs.43,79,615/-).

2. The award of Arbitrator dated 26.10.2017 was challenged by the Panjab University by filing an objection petition before the Court of Distt. Judge, Chandigarh who marked this case to Sh. J.S. Sidhu Ld. Additional District Judge Chandigarh. The Ld. ADJ passed the following orders on 15.1.2018:

“Reply to the stay application filed. Adjournment is requested for filing reply to the main petition. It be filed on 30.1.2018. In the meantime the petitioner is directed to deposit the award amount in the FDR in the name of Respondent No. 1 in view of order dated 3.1.2018, thereafter, the original FDR be placed on record and the original FDR will be released to the person entitled for the same after the final decision of the present case. Record of the Arbitrator be also summoned for that date. Arguments on stay application will also be heard on that date.”

3. In compliance of the above orders, FDR of Rs. 45,71,000/- (i.e., Rs.43,79,615/- plus interest of Rs.1,91,385/- @18% w.e.f. 26.10.17 to 25.1.18) as advised by the counsel of the University was submitted in the Hon'ble Court. It has been specifically mentioned on the FDR that ***“Final disbursement of this FDR shall be made only with the consent of Panjab University”***.
4. The next date of the case is fixed for **14.03.2018**.

Item 11

Noted and ratified the decision of Syndicate dated 10.12.2017 Para 48 (iv) **(Appendix-VIII) (Page-25)** for allowing the payment of Rs.1.00 crore as application processing fee to apply for the status of “Institution of Eminence” out of UIAMS (Exam) Fund.

NOTE: 1. Panjab University has applied for seeking the status of **“Institution of Eminence”** to University Grants Commission (UGC), New Delhi. In this connection, the University has paid a mandatory application processing fee of Rs. 1.00 crores for the purpose.

2. The processing fee is refundable to the extent of Rs. 75.00 lacs to the University, if it is not selected as an Institution of Eminence.

Item 12

Noted and ratified the decision of the Syndicate dated 10.12.2017 (Para 22) for approving a budget provision of Rs. 73.00 lacs for setting up of **SWAYAM** and **SWAYAM PRABHA** access labs, under National Digital Initiatives of Government of India for the Higher Education, out of UIAMS (Exam) Fund.

NOTE: 1. Department of Higher Education, Government of Punjab vide letter No. 8/43/2017-4C1/1015466/3 dated 4.7.2017 enclosed D.O. No. 7-1/2017-DL dated 12.6.2017 **(Appendix – VIII-a) (Page 25a-e)** of Secretary, MHRD which was addressed to Chief Secretaries of all States regarding formulating a quick draft policy regarding on-line availability of educational resources through various flagships schemes of MHRD in higher education system i.e. INFLIBNET, NPTEL, NMEICT, SWAYAM etc.

2. The Vice Chancellor constituted a committee which recommended setting up of two labs @ Rs. 3637000/- each for accessing SWAYAM and SWAYAM PRABHA modules/ programmes of MHRD under National Digital Initiatives in Higher Education **(Appendix-VIII-b) (Page 25f-g)**. The committee also recommended that one lab shall be set up on the top floor of building of Department of Computer Sciences and Applications and another shall be set up on the top floor of building of UIAMS, South Campus. The entire purchase shall be made through e-tendering.

Item 13

Senate Proceedings dated 3rd November, 2018

Noted and ratified the correction in the nomenclatures of following budget heads under Development Fund at Page 145 at. Sr. No. 166 (v) to (viii) [Budget Estimates 2018-2019]:

Sr. No.	Printed in Budget Estimates 2018-2019		Proposed amendment in Budget estimates 2018-2019	
(v)	Cleaning of overhead water tanks in PUC, Sector-14 & 25, Chandigarh	1500000	Construction of Car Parking at T-II Houses in PUC, South Campus, Sector-25, Chandigarh	1500000
(vi)	Raise the level of entrance door of B Type (97 to 108) and D Type (21 to 40) houses to prevent rain water from entering in houses in PUC Sector-14, Chandigarh	1000000	Cleaning of overhead water tanks in PUC, Sector-14 & 25, Chandigarh	1000000
(vii)	Electrical rewiring, Electric Fixture, Ceiling Fans in USOL, Department in PUC Sector-14, Chandigarh	4500000	Electrical rewiring of various categories houses in PUC, Sector-14, Chandigarh	4500000
(viii)	Replacement, Repair Maintenance of Ceiling Fans at Administration Block in PUC, Sector-14, Chandigarh	5000000	Electrical rewiring, Electric Fixture, Ceiling Fans in USOL & Department in PUC Sector-14, Chandigarh	5000000

Financial Liability : Nil

Item 14

Noted and ratified the decision of the Vice-Chancellor for allowing reimbursement of RS.91,314/- to Mr. Jatin Garg, Student, Department of Anthropology with the modification that payment be recouped out of the Budget Head 'Amalgamated Fund' as a special case, who got injured on 11.4.2017 during an unfortunate incidence that took place in a student agitation, while he was coming from hostel side. The members further suggested that this should not be made precedent.

NOTE: A note in this regard, received from Dean, Student Welfare is placed at **Appendix - IX (Page 26)**.

Item 15

Noted the status of status of Inspection Report of Principal Director Audit (Central) and Local Audit Department, Chandigarh Administration and decided that Special Secretary Finance and F.D.O., P.U. shall meet on periodic basis for taking necessary action to settle the pending paras as soon as possible.

RESOLVED: That the recommendations of the Board of Finance contained in the minutes of its meeting dated 01.03.2018 (Items 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14 and 15) as endorsed by the Syndicate dated 30.03.2018 (Para 5), be approved.

At this stage, Dr. Gurmeet Singh said that Item No. C-45 of the old agenda of Senate meeting should also be taken up for discussion. Either the left out agenda of old meeting should taken up in the next meeting or if somebody wants to speak on 2-3 items, then he (Dr. Gurmeet Singh) should also be allowed to put up Item No. C-45.

The Vice Chancellor said that he would hold the next Senate meeting at the earliest. The meeting would not be held just for the sake of holding the meeting, but they would deliberate the items properly. They have finished the today's agenda.

XXXV. The recommendations of the Syndicate contained in **Item C-45 on the agenda** were read out, viz. –

C-45. That

- (i) the minutes dated 07.12.2017 of the Committee, constituted by the Vice-Chancellor, regarding revision of rent of Auditoria, Seminar Halls, Lawns and other venues at P.U. Sector-14 & South Campus Sector-25 and framing guidelines for booking etc., be approved.
- (ii) Free of cost booking of the Auditoria, Seminar Halls, Lawns and other venues at P.U. Sector-14 & South Campus, Sector-25, be stopped forthwith.

(Syndicate dated 30.3/21.4/29.4.2018 Para 26)

Dr. Gurmeet Singh, while referring to **Item No. C-45** of the old Senate agenda meeting i.e. 8.7.2018, stated that they used to revise the charges of auditorium from time to time. He has mentioned about it in the morning and he has raised this issue earlier also. He raised the issue in the Senate, but no follow up action is taken afterwards. He hoped that in his (Vice Chancellor) tenure such a thing would not happen. He has been raising this issue for the last two years. This issue is also there in the representation given by the P.U. Students Council. In the University, the auditorium is attached to some departments, they have their control. For example, English Department, Department of Evening Studies and Department of Physics has their own auditoria. But the other nearby departments do not have their own auditorium. When such departments have to organize any function, they have to pay Rs.10,000-15000/- as rent for that auditorium which used to be revised with the passage of time. The departments which have their own auditorium, they can hold the functions throughout the year and it is free for that department. He, therefore, requested that a Committee could be formed to make some provision to allot such auditoria free of cost to the adjoining departments, just for four times in a year.

Dr. Amit Joshi said that it is a demand of the students also so they should consider it.

RESOLVED: That the recommendations of the Syndicate contained in **Item C-45 on the agenda**, be approved.

At this stage, Dr. Gurmeet Singh further said that an SC student from Punjab who takes admission in Panjab University, his education is almost free, but an SC student from Haryana or Himachal Pradesh has not been given this facility. When he enquired into it, he was told that there is a scheme under which the Punjab

Government reimburse the amount. So, he requested that a letter should be sent to the Haryana Government and Himachal Pradesh Government requesting them to provide this facility to the SC students of their State on the pattern of Punjab Government.

XXXVI. Considered the minutes (Item No. 2 & 5) dated 20.02.2018 of the Skill Development Board (SDB) relating to B.Voc. courses **(Item C-50 on the agenda)**.

(Syndicate dated 26.5.2018 Para 37)

RESOLVED: That the recommendations (2 and 5) dated 20.02.2018 of the Skill Development Board (SDB) relating to B.Voc. courses, be approved.

XXXVII. Considered the minutes dated 11.04.2018 of the Committee **(Item C52 on the agenda)**, constituted by the Vice-Chancellor, to examine and recommend the changes, in existing Panjab University Ph.D. Guidelines, 2017 in accordance with the UGC minimum Standards and Procedure for award of M.Phil./Ph.D. degree.

NOTE: The Committee in its meeting dated 6.2.2018 recommend certain changes in the existing Ph.D. guidelines 2017 and subsequently the committee in its meeting dated 11.4.2018 recommended certain other/more changes in existing Ph.D. guidelines 2017.

(Syndicate dated 29.4.2018 Para 11 & 26.5.2018 Para 50)

Dr. Parveen Goyal said that this item related to old agenda of Senate meeting dated 8.7.2018 at page number 1157, relating to Ph.D. guidelines, for Ph.D. enrolment in all over India qualified GATE score is required, it may be any University or IIT. But here in the University, it has been mentioned that for Ph.D. enrolment, the minimum GATE score has been mentioned. He requested that this should be scrapped. For this several teachers have given in writing. Even in the University, a candidate is required to clear NET examination and in Pharmacy there is requirement of clearing GPAT. Qualified GATE score is must.

Professor Rajesh Gill suggested that it should be referred back to the same Committee which is already looking into it.

Professor Pam Rajput said that it should be done after consulting Professor A.K. Bhandari.

The Vice Chancellor said that it is a very important issue and it cannot be done without taking the opinion. It should not be done like this. Some Committee could be made to look into this issue.

Dr. Amit Joshi said there is a Research and Academic Committee of the Department. Let that Committee take a decision.

Professor Rajesh Gill suggested that it should be referred back to the Committee already looking into it.

RESOLVED: That the consideration of the Item, be deferred.

XXXVIII. Considered that the minutes dated 25.01.2018 **(Item C-53 on the agenda)** of the Core Committee (CBCS), constituted by the Vice-Chancellor, to consider recommendations of various Sub-Committees (C.BCS) with regard to structure, Rule &

Regulations, Evaluation etc. for B.A./B.Sc./B.com., courses under CBCS to be implemented in affiliated Colleges, be approved in principal and it be implemented from the session 2019-20.

(Syndicate dated 30.03.2018 Para 18)

RESOLVED: That the recommendations dated 25.01.2018 of the Core Committee (CBCS), constituted by the Vice-Chancellor, to consider recommendations of various Sub-Committees (C.BCS) with regard to structure, Rule & Regulations, Evaluation etc. for B.A./B.Sc./B.com., courses, under CBCS to be implemented in affiliated Colleges, be approved, in principal, and be implemented from the session 2019-20.

XXXIX. Considered the minutes dated 28.02.2018 (**Item C-55 on the agenda**) of the Committee constituted by the Vice-Chancellor, with regard to UG/PG Board of Studies in Laws.

(Syndicate dated 29.04./26.05.2018 Para 18)

RESOLVED: That the recommendations dated 28.02.2018 of the Committee, constituted by the Vice-Chancellor, with regard to UG/PG Board of Studies in Laws, be approved.

XL. General Discussion

The Vice Chancellor announced that now the zero hour would start and all the members who have to speak should get their names noted.

1. Dr. Ameer Sultana informed that there are 4 or 5 transgender in their University. She requested that their fee may be waived off as they come with a great struggle. She has been given to understand that now-a-days their earning is by way of begging. If the University did not waive of their fee, it would be a shame on the University. It was endorsed by many members.
2. Professor Ronki Ram said that they have been taking about the problems of the students, teachers and non-teaching staff. The security personnel in the University are very less in number and they work untiringly day and night, but they are not given leave. They have to come on Saturdays and Sundays. They are just given one Sunday in a month. In case some they have to take leave on account of some urgency, then Rs. 600/- are deducted from their salary. He requested that the security persons be given proper leaves and the overtime which is due to them.
3. Dr. Rabinder Nath Sharma while raising the issue regarding lower quality of construction that a Committee was formed. The building were inspected by that Committee which includes Shri Jarnail Singh , Dr. Subhash Sharma and after doing each and everything, submitted a report to the University. But he has to say it with great dismay that nothing has been done on the recommendations of the Committee. Now the repair of those building have started for which a separate budget would be created. He requested that whatever the Committee has recommended, the responsibility be fixed as to which Contractor has done that work, who were the officials who have supervised that work. It should be done on priority to which the Vice Chancellor said that it has been noted.

4. Shri Varinder Singh said that the President, Panjab University Students Council has given a representation where six issues have been raised. One issue relates to hostel, which the Vice Chancellor knows. The second issue is that the President of the Council should be taken as an ex-officio member of the Senate. Similarly, there is an issue of fees of BDS and MDS. He requested that a Committee of Senate members be constituted to look into all these issues to which the Vice Chancellor said that he would see to it. He said with the increase 5% or 10% for the MDS and BDS Courses, the total increase was to the tune of Rs. one lac. Earlier the fee was 4.5 lacs but with the increase of 5%, it went up to 5 lacs. If they have to pay lacs to the University, then they could get admission anywhere by paying such an amount. The Vice Chancellor said that he should be given some time to look into this issue to which Shri Varinder Singh agreed.
5. Shri Ashok Goyal said that he has come across with a circular which has been sent to all the departments seeking information about allotment of room to those teachers who have crossed the age of 65 years, excluding the Professor Emeritus, FNAs and former Vice Chancellors. He has not been able to understand, what is the logic behind that. As per the decision, the Professor Emeritus are to be allotted room, probably, the spirit is that those who are not entitled and are still holding rooms, the information has been sought about them. If that is the case, then why excluding former Vice Chancellors, where is the provision for allotting rooms to the former Vice Chancellors. He requested that it should be looked into.
6. Shri H.S. Dua said that the cases of promotion of the College teachers from Assistant Professors to Associate Professor are not being taken up deliberately. They do not have any record as to when a teacher is working there because it cannot be checked from the return. He requested that a letter should be sent by the University to all the colleges asking information about the cases of Assistant Professors due for promotion to the post of Associate Professor and why the delay is there. It has come to his knowledge that they are not allowed to attend refresher or orientation courses.

The other point is regarding the Provident Fund of teachers. The P. F. Is deducted at the rate of 10% of the basic salary in the D.P.I. office. But a provision has been made that in order to meet the deficit, the colleges would charge Rs. 2100/- per year from a student. The colleges do charge this amount, but they do not disperse this money further. A letter was written to them and the last date for submission of the information was 15th, but he doubts if any colleges has complied with it. He informed that it has been alienated with the affiliation and interviews. Those conditions may be linked with it and the colleges who do not comply with it, the Committees may not be sent to those colleges.

Third point is regarding the appointment of Principals in B.Ed. Colleges. Due to some reasons, the admission is very less in these colleges. Gradually, those Principals are now coming to the Aided colleges, Arts colleges or the Science colleges. Their constituency was different, their recruitment process was also different, if the required teaching experience was 10 or 15 years, but in these cases even the school experience of 5 years was included. He requested that a Committee be formed and checked that if they are from the same

constituency, then why they are not merged with the existing Principals. Why their two Principals are coming from a different channel.

The next point is that there are many private Universities in the region. In these Universities, the under graduate and post graduate students are less than the Ph.D. students. Here in the Panjab University, the Ph.D. process is very stringent. It needs to be checked for such Universities as to how many seats are allowed to them by the UGC and in which stream. He did not like to point it out, but there are such universities which have nothing to do with the arts subjects. These are technical Universities. Such Universities are getting done Ph.D. in language subjects. There are some Universities who teach languages subjects, but they are getting done Ph.D. in Computer Science. He suggested that a Committee consisting of Dean, or Syndicate members be formed and data from such Universities of the region be collected and found out whether they have got affiliation from the UGC. The status put on the net by these Universities is that it is under process. If the Panjab University charges Rs. 40/- fee from the students, such Universities charge 4 lacs from the students. This is a clear cut loot and it should be checked.

7. Shri Naresh Gaur it is a matter of great happiness that Ms Kanu Priya, President, Panjab University Students Council is sitting here. It is good that she would know that the University is due to the students. If there are no students, no teacher would be recruited and there would be no University. The issues which have been raised in the letter, the most important issue is the increase in fees. When the fee is increased, the students feel pained. In the year 2017, when the was increased, a very unpleasant incident happened. He would not go into the issue as to who is responsible for the unpleasant incident because he has been given only two minutes' time otherwise he would have explained it. It was a very unpleasant incident, but the who onus was put on the students and cases were filed against the students. A very particular decision was taken in the Senate at that time that the University authorities would given in writing to the police authorities that there is no issue now, whatever issue was there, that has been settled and now they withdraw the cases. About one and half year has passes, the cases are still going on and their future is in the dark. The aspirations of their parents that their wards would get jot after completing their studies cannot fulfil as the cases are still pending. This thing has already been discussed. So, he requested that somebody should be deputed for this work and the cases filed against the students be got withdrawn.

The second issue is regarding the retirement benefits of teachers. It was decided in 2012 that Rs. 1800/- would be charged from each students and out of that amount, retirement benefits to the teachers would be given. He is saying with utmost pain that even after taking Rs. 1800/- from the students, the retirement benefit is not given to the teachers. This issue was raised again and again. But when another letter was issued to the Colleges, the word 'PF' was intentionally removed from the letter. He immediately raised the question and sent a mail to the Dean College Development Council. Though he added that word again, but still, as per his information, benefit is not given to the teachers.

Third point is regarding the increase in BDS and MDS fee. There students belonging to the poor families. He does not say that the fee should not be increased, but it should be increased in a rational way.

The Vice Chancellor said that he would see to it.

8. Dr. Gurmit Singh said that there is a writ Petition No.15773 filed by self-financing Colleges of Education. According to the NCTE norms, NET/Ph.D. was made compulsory by the UGC and MHRD, but these have been got exempted, the stay is not being vacated. This issue was raised many times. Its long-term consequences have started coming. The panel which was given by the University, it has made the selection and the letters of approval have been issued by the University. The case is pending in the Court. The stay is required to be vacated. He requested that it should be got done on priority basis.
9. Professor Keshav Malhotra talked about the problem of Class-IV employees. He said these employees work very hard either they are sweepers, gardeners or helpers. They have been working for the last 7-8 years. They work on Saturdays and Sundays and also on leave days. They are paid as per the D.C. rate. A policy was made to regularise their services, but that is a very lengthy process. But he would like to say that till the time they are not regularised, they should be given D.A./D.P so that they could be able to run their family.

The Vice Chancellor said that he is taking that issue to the Board of Finance Meeting. On this Professor Malhotra thanked the Vice Chancellor.
10. Dr. Harjodh Singh thanked the Vice Chancellor for giving an assurance that he would consider the demands of the students very sympathetically. He understands that now an excellent Vice Chancellor has come to head this University. While thanking the Vice Chancellor, he said that he would find a solution to the atrocity done to the students. A head of the Institution, who was like a father, but he was getting lathi-charge on the students and sending them to jails. If the students are not there, they would also not be there. University is running because of the students. He hoped that the Vice Chancellor would very sympathetically look into the demands of the students, particularly to withdraw the cases of filed against them.
11. Dr. Shaminder Singh Sidhu said that he would like to talk on the issues of colleges. A special meeting of the Senate has also been held to consider these issues, but nothing could come out though the meeting held for the whole day. He would like to bring some issues to the knowledge of the Vice Chancellor. In Punjab, three types of colleges are running, one Government Colleges, second Government Aided Colleges, and the third Unaided Colleges. Unaided colleges are those colleges to which are paid grant by the management and they do not get any grant from the government. There are 920 unaided colleges who are not given proper pay. Though they are paid full pay in papers, but the managements take back their pay from them. Just after transferring the pay to their accounts, it is withdrawn by the managements as the ATM for that account remains with three Management. They are paid in the range of 15-18 thousands. There are some colleges where salary is not being given from the last 6-7 months. They say that the University is a regulatory body, but he could not understand that the teacher who is not being paid salary for the last 6-7 months, how he could give attention to teach the students. He cannot provide quality education. They did nothing towards this. This is a very serious issue. DAV is a very branded management and is one of the biggest management, but there are 5-6 such colleges under the DAV management to whom the salary is not being paid for the last 6-7 months. Then they can well understand the plight of other small managements.

The other issue is regarding P.F. of teachers. As regards the deduction of P.F. at the rate of 10% or 12% is a distant matter but only a fixed amount of Rs. 1200/- or 1400/- or 1500/- is being deducted and not as per rules. The University has issued a letter, but he does not how much compliance has been received in response to that and how much data has been collected. When he was in the Syndicate last year, a letter was issued to the colleges asking them the amount of P.F. deducted, number of earned leave being granted etc. The amount collected from the students in this regard is not being passed on the teachers and the money is pocketed by the managements. Last year he has said in the Senate meeting that if the authorities cannot process this case, he takes the responsibility and he would process the data in 10-15 days, but the University did not do that even in one year. He requested the Vice Chancellor that this is a very serious issue which should be looked into on priority. He further said that the teachers are neither being given summer vacations nor winter vacations. No teacher was promoted as Associate Professor. No increment is being given to the teachers. He requested that the issue regarding filling up of 1925 posts may also be taken up with the Punjab Government.

12. Shri Deepk Kaushik said that he has to talk about 2-3 issue for which he would like to request and give suggestions. Through the Vice Chancellor and also the Honourable members he would like to say that they are tied with a system. The non-teaching staff has been doing the administrative work. They work for the students and the University is there because of students. They are feeding their families from the fee collected from the students. He requested the Vice Chancellor as well as the whole House that they are tied with the human values. They should not talk to a teaching or non-teaching by pointing a finger towards him though they are sitting in the Senate or in the Administrative office. The Registrar, Controller of Examinations or the Finance & Development Officer, they are all are their heads, they are non-teachers. If someone talks by pointing a finger towards him, it is not good. He would like to talk about the honourable members, who has now gone. He would like to request that they should behave in a modest manner. He said that they should not talk to anyone by pointing a finger. If there is any deficiency in the system, they can sit together and find a solution to that. At this point of time some interaction took place between Shri Varinder Singh Gill and Shri Deepak Kaushik. Continuing, Shri Deepak Kaushik said that as has been stated by Shri Varinder Singh, he also endorses it that the result of students are being declared late. He said that they commit it that they would try to get the results declared as early as possible. But there are some deficiencies which should also come in the knowledge of the House. Explaining as to why the delay takes place, he said that sometimes the answer books are not sent by the teachers after evaluating the same well in time and they have to send a person to collect these answer books. He, therefore, suggested that if the answer sheet is sent for evaluation, it should be made time bound either the examiner is from outside or from within the city, so that the result of the students is declared as early as possible.

At this point of time Shri Varinder Singh said that he has not recited the name of any person. He said it is not the reason that they work hard but the results are not declared. He can tell about 20-25 students who results have not been declared and they have spoiled the life of these students to which Shri Deepak Kaushik said that their own children are also studying here and they try their best to get their results declared as early as possible.

Continuing, Shri Deepak Kaushik said that the next point he would like to say that new recruitment is not being done and many persons are working for

the last 15-20 years. A policy has also been made and the Committee has also recommended. He requested that whatever is needed to be done in this matter, that should be done and those persons should be regularised at the earliest.

The Vice Chancellor said that he would see to it.

13. Shri K.K. Sharma, first of all thanked the Vice Chancellor for conducting the meeting in a nice way. He said that three teachers of the Aurobindo College of Commerce, Ludhiana were suspended because they have talked about the compliance of the Panjab University Calendar. A Committee was constituted in that regard. He would like to know as what is the status of that issue.

The Vice Chancellor said that the Committee is doing its job and the issue is in his eyes.

Shri Jadeep Singh wanted to know whether they have served a notice to the college regarding disaffiliation as per the decision of the Syndicate.

The Vice Chancellor said that still it has not been done, he will get update on this.

14. Dr. Jagdish Chander Mehta said many issues have already been raised by his colleagues. He pointed out that in the constituent colleges, from the last four years all the teachers are on contractual basis. No teacher in any of the constituent colleges has been appointed on permanent basis in spite of the fact that the advertisement has been done thrice. He requested that since the constituent colleges are the part of the University, the posts be filled on regular basis. The University imposes conditions on other affiliated colleges, but in the constituent colleges only the Principals are appointed on regular basis and the teachers are on contractual basis. He requested that regular appointments should be done at the earliest.

He further said that they used to talk in every meeting of the Syndicate about the examination system. When they talk about examination reforms, there used to be a conflict and start putting allegations on one another. It has been stated by Shri Deepak Kaushik that sometimes the answer books are not sent by the teachers after evaluation due to which the results get delayed. He said that one student applied for re-evaluation in the month of May and the answer book was sent to the teacher for evaluation in the month of November. Though he admitted that sometimes the evaluation is delayed by the teachers also. The students have to suffer on this account as they are not able to take admission in the next class. Sometimes the re-evaluation result is declared after the regular result. He requested that this issue be taken care of.

Continuing, he said that a representation has been given by the students about which the members have already talked about. A case is running against 50-60 students. He requested that it may be withdrawn at the earliest. It is a most important issue as their career is suffering, their parents are suffering.

15. Dr. Gurmeet Singh said that there is a private University with the name Chandigarh University. With such a name, the Panjab University is suffering a lot. He had raised this issue earlier also and he has also talked to an Advocate about it. They have to do something in this respect because the University is known outside by the place where it is located. Though that University is not in Chandigarh, but it is gaining a lot with this name. In these days, most of the admissions do take place through emails and thus the students who have to

take admission in Panjab University, this University admit those students due to resemblance of the name.

16. Dr. Ajay Ranga that there is an all weather swimming pool which was made two years back and it is ready for use. He requested that the said swimming pool be opened at least for the University employees.

At this point of time, the Vice Chancellor requested the members to give their suggestion and address the Chair. He is very serious about it. He requested them to meet and give him in writing their suggestions. They could also send him an email or and SMS. He would be more than happy.

17. Dr. D.P.S. Randhawa said that those ten people who have shown their serious concern over the FIR lodged against the students, he was also one of them. There are more than 60 students against whom an FIR had been lodged in 2017 and a period of 18 months has elapsed. If any students got appointment during this time and if he could not join his job due to this FIR, if they all would like to help that candidate together, they will not be able to compensate the loss. He requested the Vice Chancellor to take it seriously. The Registrar, D.S.W., the person deputed for legal cases, should hold week to week meetings. The simple formula for this is that on the basis of compromise, they could get the FIR quashed in the High Court.

He further said that earlier there no representation of PUSA and PUTA in the Senate when he was also had this office. Now representation has been given to PUTA and PUSA. At that time all of them do struggle for giving representation to teachers, non-teaching staff and students. But the students have not been given representation. There are lacs of students. So, he requested that the students should also be given representation in the Senate.

18. Dr. D.P.S. Randhawa pointed out towards another issue regarding Dr. H.S.J. Institute of Dental Sciences & Hospital. He has talked to the Director of the Institute, Dean University Instruction and also to the Controller of Examinations. Out of the 100 candidates of First year, 49 students have been put under reappear. Is there some problem in paper setting or evaluation or in result making. Their examination is going to be held on 13th of November. He said if they do not take a policy decision to allow the students to get their answer sheets re-evaluated without filling the form, then there is complete chance that they would lose their one precious year. He would, therefore, like to request the Vice Chancellor, Dean University Instruction and Controller of Examination not to take one year back the future of these 49 students. It should be discussed as a policy matter to find out as to where is the problem.

19. Dr. D.P.S. Randhawa also talked about the problem of Library Assistants who are being paid a salary of Rs. 20900/- p.m., but the Library Assistants of P.U. Constituent Colleges are taking a salary of Rs. 42000/- So the persons who are working for the last 5-6 years, they are being paid Rs. 42000/- and the persons who working for the last 15-20 years, they are being paid Rs. 20900/-. He asked if they could take an immediate action on this and give them a diwali gift.

The Vice Chancellor said that he would look into it.

20. Dr. D.P.S. Randhawa pointed out that in the name of cleanliness of the swimming pool, it has been kept close for months together. He was in constant touch with the Director and XEN Office. They should be held responsible for

this. The persons who have given Rs. 5-6 thousands for using the swimming pool, they are told that the swimming pool is closed.

21. Professor Rajesh Gill said that it has happened after a long time that all the important items have been completed. She would like to talk about two things. She said that earlier the Dean Research was appointed on the pattern of Dean University Instruction. It had been a very respectable position and the next person in seniority used to take over the charge. She does not know on what basis a Research Promotion Cell was made and the appointment of Dean Research was started in arbitrary manner. The teaching community has strong objection to it and also has strong demand that the old system of appoint of Dean Research be brought and the senior most Professor be appointed on this post. She requested that it be implemented very urgently. This was endorsed by Professor Keshav Malhotra and Dr. Ajay Ranga.

22. Professor Rajesh Gill further said that during the last span of time, some wrong things were done. The financial crunch did not happen without any reason. She has sent a complaint regarding e-rickshaw to the P.M.O. which was forwarded to the Chancellor and then to the University. She requested to hold an enquiry into the issue.

The Vice Chancellor said that they would be revisiting the issue though it is very much structured. He thinks that the University has surrendered.

Professor Rajesh Gill said that they have surrendered all their rights to which the Vice Chancellor said that this is what he is saying.

23. Dr. Parveen Goyal said that in order to strengthen the Panjab University system, he would like to give some suggestions:

- (a) They have very high power equipments in different departments and also in projects. They should be made available online. They should be put on P.U. Webpage. This has so many advantages which he would give him (Vice Chancellor) in writing.
- (b) The University Calendar Volume-I, 2007 should be got updated. The person who is taking study leave with pay or without pay, relating to the Fellowship. The problem arises there whether they should give this leave with pay or without pay. The guidelines of 2013 have come, but the Calendar has not been updated.
- (c) There are five regional centres of Panjab University and there is great problem in sending the dak to the University or vice-versa. If someone comes from these centres to the University to deliver the dak on Friday, then he returns to that Centre on Monday. At least one person remains on this duty only.

The Vice Chancellor suggested that he (Dr. Parveen Goyal) can write an email to him for all these things.

- (d) He has got details of vehicles from the F.D.O. From that he saw that more than Rs. 40 lacs are being spent on the vehicles. There is need to see into this issue. He further informed that two vehicles have been provided to two Regional Centres and the three Regional centres have not been provided the vehicles. He

asked, whether these three Centres should be given vehicles or not?

Professor Keshav Malhotra intervened to say that six years have passed, but the pool of drivers has not been made.

- (e) Dr. Parveen Goyal said that the minutes of the meetings are prepared in 3 to 5 months to which the Vice Chancellor said that these would be prepared soon. He requested that the resolved part of the minutes should be displayed here on the spot.
- (f) He requested that in the pre-screening committee of CAS promotion, the stakeholders should also be included.

The Vice Chancellor requested the members to give their suggestions. Had they given such suggestions earlier, he would have started the work earlier.

24. Dr. N.R. Sharma said that in the Constituent Colleges, barring the Principals, there is no other person who is on regular basis. Since morning they are talking about the examinations and results, he suggested that feedback should be asked from the Principals to know about the problem. He also said that there should be some code of conduct in the House so that no embarrassing situation should be there.

The Vice Chancellor said that it is a very good suggestion. They are doing work at the same place and they have to work together. He would like to give some input. He has held a Conference of the Principals which was organised by the Dean College Development when he joined here. The presence of the Principals was very encouraging, he would like to say that out of 200 Principals, only 60 or 70 Principals came to attend the Conference. Though many people appreciated his effort

The Vice Chancellor said that the presence of the Principals was very less. The Principals are the strong component of a College. He requested that they should keep meeting him together or in small groups. They should evolve such type of system to meet him. If they just meet him after 3-4 months, the system would not vibrate in such a way. He is Professor of Management and he strongly believes in continuous interfacing. Now it is era of SMS and Information technology, they could send email or give in writing so that he may be aware of many such things which he is not aware of, such as there are 98 court cases of the students. He is telling them very honestly. He has been here in the University for more than 3 months, but he is not aware of these important issues. He requested them to equip him about these things so that he could take his own decision. He is trying to control the monetary problem, let they should see what could be done.

Principal R.S. Jhanji said that for future, the e-governance which the University is thinking, for their offices, a manual should be prepared because most of their problems are relating to offices. There is need of a Grievances Cell for speedy redressal of the problems. The most common problem is that nobody in the offices is having complete information it may be Colleges Branch, Accounts Branch or General Branch. So a grievances cell should be made which should monitor all these things. It should come on record as to whom they have to talk. If they want to minimize the problems, it is must.

The Vice Chancellor said that he is doing all these things shortly.

Professor Manoj Kumar said that he has been seeing since morning that he (Vice Chancellor) has done a wonderful job in managing the whole show. One question which he would like to raise relate to the colleges. He has strong feeling that in the last couple of years the quality of management and commerce education in colleges as well as in the University is going down. He feels that they have reached a maturity stage. He requested the Vice Chancellor to look into this so that some steps should be taken so that this downfall of the B.Com and BBA education is controlled and this University should touch new heights in the coming years.

Dr. Neeru Malik while thanking the Vice Chancellor to give her time to speak said that he (Vice Chancellor) has been promoting research a lot. She said that she has submitted a proposal which was pending with the Ethical Committee. It almost took nine months. As soon as she appeared before the Ethical Committee as a candidate, first of all her name was recited wrongly which she corrected. The Chairman of the Committee was almost above 85 years of age. India is growing as a capital of diabetes. She has made a research proposal to see the wave of Log Diabetes Protocol on the Biochemical and Molecular Changes and other Visual Profiles. They said that she has to take clearance from the PGI. She told the Committee that there is a circular dated July 2017 from the PGI, it has been passed in the Ethical Committee that if there is MoU and if the Principal Investigator is from outside the PGI, they have to get the clearance from the parental institution which is the Panjab University. Now it is clear that the Principal Investigator has to get the clearance from the parental institute first, but they say that MoU has nothing to do with Collaborative Research Committee, MoU has nothing to do with the Ethical Committee. She requested that they should give this to her in writing. If it is happening with a teacher who was asked to come at 2.30 p.m. and actually she was called at 7.30 p.m. and after that she was told to get clearance. So, there was no such mind which promotes the collaborative research. So, she would like to request that if it is the Ethical Committee for Panjab University, then there should representation in that Committee from different departments of Panjab University. The most unfortunate thing was that the Ethical Committee did not ask her any ethical question.

Dr. Jagdeep Kumar and some of the members requested the Vice Chancellor to form a new Committee as the earlier Committee is almost 6-7 years old to which the Vice Chancellor said that he would see to it.

Dr. Amit Joshi informed that the Chairman of Ethical Committee has been a person from outside the institution.

Dr. Subhash Sharma said that some new person from the PGI should be made the Chairman.

25. Dr. R.K. Mahajan said that he would like to congratulate the Vice Chancellor that on the first request of the Association of Indian College Principals, he has permitted them to organize Executive Development Programme for Principals which is going to held from 18th November to 22nd November, 2018 where they are inviting experts from Pune even to deliver lectures. He said that on 18th of November, the meeting of the Syndicate has been fixed and requested to change the date of the meeting.
26. Dr. R.K. Mahajan further talked about the issue of the examination fee of the students. When the University was in crises, it was said by the then Vice

Chancellor that the fees would be increased only for one year. Earlier the fee was Rs. 1200/- which was enhanced to Rs. 2500/- per semester meaning thereby that the fee was increased four times. Though it was said that the fee is increased only for one year, but after that it was not decreased even once. They should calculate the expenditure incurred on examination and then decide as to how much burden should be put on one student, that should be charged from the students. It should be less as the examination system has been digitalized. But the fee is not being reduced. The fee should not be more than Rs. 2400/- for two semesters.

27. Professor Emanuel Nahar said that there are some issues relating to students on which he would like to speak. It is nice to see that the members have raised the issues relating to the students. He informed that the transgender were exempted from paying fee last year and they have been exempted this year too. Secondly, as regards the cases on the students, he has made some efforts, but the case was then reached the court, so the legal head should be asked to take up the issue. Thirdly, as regards the problems of the students, they have submitted a memorandum to him which he would like to submit to the Vice Chancellor.

The Vice Chancellor said that a Committee has already been formed and it would be taken care of by that Committee.

28. Shri Sandeep Singh said that there is a scheme run by the Government of Punjab to reimburse the fee of the SC students. The Government says that the University should not charge fee from the students, but the University do ask for the fee from them. Due to this the certificates of this category candidates are held up and they are facing a problem. These are the issues of the governments, so the students should not be harassed on this account. This is not happening in one college, but it is happening in all the colleges. The dealing persons say that their almirahs are full of these certificates and they do have space to keep them. When they are asked to give certificates to the students, they say, first they should get their fee adjusted. It is a very serious issues which should be looked into.

As regards the delay in examination result, it should also be taken care of and efforts be made to remove this delay.

Thirdly, there is no money to purchase tickets to post letters. He has experienced this himself. The letter was ready five days ago, but it was posted on 15th of that month, the reason was that they were not having tickets to paste on it.

He also requested that the fee which the students deposit in the post office, that should also be made online.

29. Professor Navdeep Goyal said that they should make optimal use of the University resources. He said that they use the roof tops of the building to harness solar energy. If they do that, to his mind their electricity bill would become zero.

The Vice Chancellor said that work on this has been started.

30. Dr. Subhash Sharma said that in the last meeting this issue of budget deficit was being discussed. The only remedy available with them to overcome the deficit is to increase the fee. But if they increase the fee, it affects lacs of

students. He had already talked about this that they should raise some alternative revenue model. There are many examples in the world that the Universities earn more from consultancy than fee. They have so many departments like Biotechnology, Law Engineering, so they should start good consultancy services. A Think Tank was also made to discuss this issue, but no meeting of that Think Tank could be held. He suggested the Vice Chancellor that he should make such a group which may consists some Fellows or experts to devise an alternative revenue model for the University so that the deficit could also be covered and there would not be any burden on the students.

The other important issue relates to the Chief of University Security. This issue was being discussed in this house and it has been his considered opinion that such positions should not be run on adhocism. They have got approved this post from the Board of Finance with great efforts. He, therefore, requested the Vice Chancellor that recruitment for the post of Chief of University be done immediately. Professor Kaul has been doing this job very efficiently, but he has to take care of his duty in the department so he should also look to that duty. He requested that the charge of Chief of University Security be given to the senior-most person and the process for recruitment be started immediately. The issue of University security is very important issue.

31. Professor Shankar Ji Jha, Dean University instruction said that the Department of Sanskrit is sinking and there is no teacher. Secondly, since he has become Dean of Instruction, he cannot give any time to the department. So, he requested that the posts of the Sanskrit department be filled on permanent basis and not on adhoc basis. Professor Jha spoke a *Sanskrit shaloka* which he explained that who is liable to be punished should be punished and who is not liable to be punished, he should not be punished.

At this point of time, Dr. Subhash Sharma said that he would like to add one more thing in the issue of University security. He informed that they have got approved many posts from the MHRD with great efforts. If they do not fill up those approved posts and send another request for approving more posts, they would say that the University has not filled those posts which have already been approved. So, he requested that the posts which have already been got approved, the recruitment process for those posts should be started.

32. Dr. Inderpal Singh Sidhu said that his colleagues have put different problems of teachers before the House. He said that the new chapter which has started with his (Vice Chancellor) assuming the charge and hoped that the issues of the teachers would be solved. If the problems are not solved, then it would give them the impression that the old system is running. They hope that the he (Vice Chancellor) would help all their problems.

He would also like to talk on the issue of Aurobindo College. Two Senators have already asked about its status. He, with the help of other Syndicate members got it resolved that after having legal opinion, the notice of disaffiliation would be served to the College. About 1½ month have passed, two teachers who have been dismissed are still standing outside. What face they would show to them. At least they should be told as to what they are doing. They are with the teachers. The teachers used to come here daily, but they are not able to tell them anything as to what they are doing. He pleaded that all the members may be told as to what is being done on this issue.

Dr. Neeru Malik said that they are award winning and excellent teachers.

33. Shri Jagdeep Kumar on behalf of the teachers thanked the Vice Chancellor for taking back the letter of 22nd. The issue of retiral benefits has been raised many times here in the meeting. He again requested that a letter from the Dean College Development Council be sent to every college asking the colleges to give a certificate that they have opened a separate account for retiral benefits of teachers and that the money is being deposited in it. Any college which does not give this undertaking, action should be taken against it and that college should not be given a new course.

Dr. Jagdeep Kumar also requested the Vice Chancellor to give some reply on the issue of Aurobindo College. The teaching community is also suffering on this account. The prestige of the University is also appended to it.

Dr. Inderpal Singh Singh Sidhu again requested the Vice Chancellor to give reply on the issue of Aurobindo College.

34. Professor Rajat Sandhir said that they had a referendum on the use of four wheelers on the campus and the students voted that four wheelers should be off the roads. Now, again the Students Council is saying that they should ban four wheelers on the campus. He thinks that this is an opportunity with them and they would work with the students and try to decongest the campus.

He would like to say one thing more that they have got Rs.12 lacs in 2017 under Corporate Social Responsibility for having cycles on the campus. They have not still used it. If they do not use this, nobody would give money to them under CSR.

Professor Keshav Malhotra said that he used to go on cycle in the campus. He has a very narrow escape two times. He has reached on this conclusion that if cars would ply on the campus, the cycles could not run on the campus.

Professor Rajesh Gill said that this issue was being discussed in various meetings last year. It was passed to have vehicle free campus. It was said that it would be implemented from the session 2017-18, but it was not implemented at all. But after that it was said that only cycles would be purchased with this 12 lacs and these would be distributed among the students. But can they put their safety on stake? First they should make the campus vehicle free and after that the bicycles would be run.

Dr. D.P.S. Randhawa said that he has already discussed the plan and he would email it to him (Vice Chancellor).

Professor Rajesh Gill said that their focus was just to purchase the bicycles and spend the money only.

The Vice Chancellor while giving in input regarding the issue of Aurobindo College said that they have full sympathy with the teachers. They have taken the opinion on this, but since the matter is in the Court and they would see the feasibility very soon. He could say only that much.

At the end of the meeting, the Vice Chancellor thanked all the members from the core of his heart. He has no words to convey his thanks to them. Really, he is very very satisfied with the deliberations and discussions. Everybody has maintained the decorum. Without the support of Syndicate and Senate, the Vice Chancellor could just pass time, but he alone cannot do

anything properly. As they have helped today, he would be able to clear the whole agenda in this way or that way. As regards the vacant positions, they would listen about it. He has the habit of first doing something and then to tell about it. He has met about one dozen ministers and submitted some documents to them. So, they would see the results very shortly. Let something favourable come to his hands then he will tell them about it. He has started action on CAS cases. He wants to deal with the cases properly and does not want that any case should go to the Court. A lot of money is being spent on legal issues. They have found a via-media regarding Tribunal. By taking the help of the concerned people, he would how it could be solved at the earliest.

He further requested the members to meet him regularly. He is easily accessible to them. If they come by surprise, he may not be able to spare time, but if they would send a mail, then he would definitely meet them. The members are most respectful for him. They are part and parcel of the system. They are the policy makers. They are the Highest Body of the University. If they would be more participative, then he would be able to run the system in a proper way and would do better for the University.

The Vice Chancellor requested all the members that whatever has happened here, please leave it here. Nobody should take it on his heart. The Vice Chancellor thanked all the members and conveyed Diwali greetings to all of them and their families.

(Karamjeet Singh)
Registrar

CONFIRMED

(RAJ KUMAR)
VICE-CHANCELLOR