

## **PANJAB UNIVERSITY, CHANDIGARH**

Minutes of meeting of the **SENATE** held on **Saturday, the 15<sup>th</sup> December 2018** at **11.00 a.m.** in the Senate Hall, Panjab University, Chandigarh.

### **PRESENT:**

1. Professor Raj Kumar Vice Chancellor ... (in the chair)
2. Dr. Ameer Sultana
3. Professor Anita Kaushal
4. Shri Ashok Goyal
5. Ms. Anu Chatrath
6. Dr. Akhtar Mahmood
7. Dr. Ajay Ranga
8. Ambassador I.S. Chadha
9. Shri Amanpreet Singh
10. Professor B.S. Ghuman
11. Dr. B.C. Josan
12. Dr. Baljinder Singh
13. Professor Chaman Lal
14. Dr. Dalip Kumar
15. Dr. Dayal Partap Singh Randhawa
16. Shri Deepak Kaushik
17. Dr. Emanuel Nahar
18. Dr. Gurjot Singh Malhi
19. Dr. Gurmit Singh
20. Dr. Gurdip Kumar Sharma
21. Dr. Gurmeet Singh
22. Dr. Harsh Batra
23. Shri H.S. Dua
24. Dr. Harjodh Singh
25. Dr. Inderjit Kaur
26. Dr. Inderpal Singh Sidhu
27. Dr. I.S. Sandhu
28. Professor J.K. Goswamy
29. Dr. Jagdish Chander
30. Shri Jagdeep Kumar
31. Shri Jarnail Singh
32. Dr. Keshav Malhotra
33. Dr. K.K. Sharma
34. Dr. Nisha Bhargava
35. Dr. Narinder Singh Sidhu
36. Shri Naresh Gaur
37. Professor Navdeep Goyal
38. Dr. Neeru Malik
39. Principal N.R. Sharma
40. Dr. Mukesh K. Arora
41. Professor Manoj K. Sharma
42. Dr. Parveen Goyal
43. Shri Pawan Kumar Bansal
44. Professor Pam Rajput
45. Shri Prabhjit Singh

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

46. Dr. Raj Kumar Chabbewal
47. Professor Ronki Ram
48. Dr.(Mrs.) Rajesh Gill
49. Professor Rajat Sandhir
50. Dr. R.S. Jhanji
51. Professor R.P. Bambah
52. Shri Raghbir Dyal
53. Dr. Rajesh Kumar Mahajan
54. Dr. Rabinder Nath Sharma
55. Dr. Raj Kumar Mahajan
56. Dr. S.S. Sangha
57. Dr. Satish Kumar
58. Dr. Sarabjit Kaur
59. Professor Shelley Walia
60. Professor Shankarji Jha
61. Shri Satya Pal Jain
62. Shri Sanjay Tandon
63. Dr. S.K. Sharma
64. Dr. Surinder Kaur
65. Shri Sandeep Singh
66. Dr. Subhash Sharma
67. Dr. Shaminder Singh Sandhu
68. Shri Varinder Singh
69. Dr. Vipul Kumar Narang
70. Professor Karamjeet Singh Registrar ... (Secretary)

The following members could not attend the meeting:

1. Dr. Amit Joshi
2. Dr. Amod Gupta
3. Dr. Amar Singh
4. Capt. Amarinder Singh, Chief Minister
5. Shri Bharat Bhushan Ashu
6. Dr. D.V.S. Jain
7. Professor Deepak Pental
8. S. Gurlovleen Singh Sidhu, IAS
9. Justice Harbans Lal
10. Smt. Kirron Kher
11. Justice Krishan Murari
12. Shri Pramod Kumar
13. Shri Parimal Rai
14. Shri Punam Suri
15. Shri Rashpal Malhotra
16. Shri Rubinderjit Singh Brar, D.H.E., Chandigarh
17. Mrs. Razia Sultana, Education Minister, Punjab
18. Dr. Suresh Chandra Sharma
19. Shri Sanjeev Bandlish
20. Shri Sandeep Kumar
21. Dr. Tarlochan Singh
22. Shri V.K. Sibal

At the outset, the Vice Chancellor said that he, on his behalf as Vice Chancellor of this University as well as on behalf of the entire Panjab University fraternity, would like to wish a very good morning to the esteemed members of the Senate.

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

**I.** With a deep sense of sorrow, I may inform the honourable members of the Senate about the sad demise of –

- i) Shri Chaman Lal, Superintendent, Establishment Branch, on 27.11.2018;
- ii) Shri Ghanshyam Dass, retired Deputy Registrar and father-in-law of Dr. Gurmeet Singh, Fellow, on 30.11.2018;
- iii) Shri Amar Chand Madhar ji, father of Dr. Kirti Vardhan, Department of Evening Studies, on 3.12.2018;
- iv) Smt. Krishna Dhawan mother of Prof. Meenakshi Malhotra, University Business School, on 4.12.2018; and
- v) Shri Ram Sharan Saxena, father of Professor Sudhir Kumar Saxena, Department of Evening Studies, on 04.12.2018.

The Senate expressed its sorrow and grief over the passing away of Shri Chaman Lal, Shri Ghanshyam Dass, Shri Amar Chand Madhar, Smt. Krishna Dhawan and Shri Ram Sharan Saxena, and observed two minutes silence, all standing, to pay homage to the departed souls.

**RESOLVED:** That a copy of the above Resolution be sent to the members of the bereaved families.

**II.** 1. I am pleased to inform the honourable members of the Senate that:

- (i) Panjab University has been ranked as the best University of the country and 3<sup>rd</sup> among best institutions of India, according to the US News and World Report Rankings, 2019. Apart from this Department of Physics has been ranked No.1 in India. I congratulate each faculty member of the University, on his own behalf and on behalf of Panjab University fraternity.
- (ii) Our University has been shortlisted for the Institute of Eminence tag;
- (iii) On the basis of mock interview conducted for a batch of 17 students at the IAS Study Centre for final interview, 10 students have been selected in PCS Judicial;
- (iv) Mr. Sunil Arora, IAS (Retd.), an alumnus of Panjab University, has been appointed as Chief Election Commissioner of India;
- (v) Professor Nishtha Jaswal, Department of Laws, has been appointed as Vice Chancellor of Himachal Pradesh National Law University at Shimla;
- (vi) Hon'ble Sh. Vijay Sampla ji, Union Minister of State for Social Justice and Empowerment, visited the Panjab University on 04.12.2018 in connection with the celebrations of World Disability Day and assured financial help of his Ministry for various projects relating to providing facilities for specially privileged and disabled persons;

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

- (vii) Bhai Gobind Singh ji Longowal, Hon'ble President, Shiromani Gurudwara Parbhandak Committee, visited the Panjab University on 06.12.2018 and released a grant of Rs.5 lac for the seminar;
- (viii) Shri Satyadeo Narain Arya ji, Hon'ble Governor, Haryana, visited the Panjab University on 06.12.2018 and released a grant of Rs.5 lac Dr. B.R. Ambedkar Centre;
- (ix) Shri Pawan Kumar Bansal, former Union Minister and Fellow of Panjab University, has contributed second installment of Rs.2 lac to the Panjab University Voluntary Contribution Fund as his contribution for 2018;
- (x) Professor Jagdeep Kaur, Department of Biotechnology, Dr. Ranvir Singh, National Centre for Human Genome Studies & Research of Panjab University and Dr. Pushpender Kumar Sharma, Department of Biotechnology, Sri Guru Granth Sahib World University, Fatehgarh Sahib, have been granted India patent for inventing a lipase, a kind of protein, which can work even at temperature as high as 60° along with enhanced catalytic power for use in industry;
- (xi) The research project for the development of 5G based UAV Augmented Intelligent Monitoring and Surveillance System prepared by Professor Harish Kumar and other members of UIET, has been accepted by the Ministry of Electronics & IT for which a grant of Rs.2.24 crore has been sanctioned;
- (xii) Dr. Sangeeta Pilkhwal Sah, Associate Professor, UIPS, has been elected as a Member of the prestigious National Academy of Medical Sciences (NAMS);
- (xiii) Professor Preeti Mahajan, Chairperson, Department of Library and Information Science, Panjab University, Chandigarh, has been honoured with Indian Association of Teachers of Library and Information Science (IATLIS) – Professor S. P. Narang Research Promotion Award-2018';
- (xiv) Proposal submitted by Professor Rajat Sandhir for hosting a School at PU titled "Blood, Brain-Barrier: From Basic Physiology to Neurological Disorders" has been selected for funding by the International Brain Research Organization;
- (xv) Professor Praveen Rishi, Department of Microbiology, has been conferred with prestigious Professor S.R. Vyas Memorial Award during the 59<sup>th</sup> Annual Conference of Association of Microbiologists of India;
- (xvi) Dr. Vandita Kakkar, UIPS, has been conferred with Bharat Vikas Award by the organisation of the Institute of Self Reliance (ISR) on World Aids Day on December 1<sup>st</sup> at Press Club of Odisha, Bhubaneswar;
- (xvii) Dr. Vishal Sharma, Institute of Forensic Science & Criminology, has been conferred Dr. P.D. Sethi Memorial National Award 2017;
- (xviii) Under the illustrious leadership of Professor B.S. Bhoop, the team of Research Scholars of UIPS, have developed a cheaper tablet as a substitute for Chemotherapy for the persons suffering from cancer by

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

using nano formula. The experiments conducted on animals have been quite successful;

- (xix) Dr. S.M. Kant, former Director Youth Welfare, has been appointed by the Association of Indian Universities, Ministry of Youth Affairs and Sports, Government of India as Observer (West Zone) of the Inter-University Youth Festival which will be held at Savitri Bai Phule Pune University, from December 19 -23, 2018;
- (xx) Our Kho-Kho (Women) team has secured third position in the All India Inter-university Kho-Kho (Women) Championship held at Dr. Rammanohar Lohia Avadh University, Faizabad from 7<sup>th</sup> to 10<sup>th</sup> December, 2018;
- (xxi) Panjab University Judo (Women) team has won All Over Championship in the All India Inter-university Judo (W) Championship organised by our University from 10<sup>th</sup> to 12<sup>th</sup> December, 2018. The team has won 4 gold and for silver medals in the individual events;
- (xxii) Dr. Prince Sharma, Department of Microbiology, has been selected as Fellow of the Academy of Microbiological Sciences;
- (xxiii) Dr. Ranjan Kumar, Department of Physics, has been invited as Full Professor for a period of one year by the King Abdullah University, Saudi Arabia; and
- (xxiv) Professor R.C. Sobti, former Vice Chancellor of this University, has been appointed as Consultant Higher Education, Governor Secretariat, Bihar, Patna.

The members applauded the achievements by thumping of desks.

**2.** During my recent visit to Italy from 27<sup>th</sup> November to 2<sup>nd</sup> December 2018:

- (i) I was keynote speaker in International conference organised by Pegaso University, UET (Scoula Universteria Per il Turismo) & ITHC on "Global Trends and Innovations in tourism" and they will be sending a letter of intent to our university;
- (ii) I had meeting with President of Regional Sicilian Assembly Hon. Gianfranco Micciche and with Educational Advisor to Govt. of Italy Hon. Prof. Roberto Lagalla. During the meeting various opportunities were explored for mutual cooperation between Panjab University and Govt. of Sicily;
- (iii) I have been honored by Mayor of Cefalu; Rosario Lapunzina; and the account of my visit has been entered in historic book of Cefalu city;
- (iv) During my visit with Prof. Calogero Di Carlo, Head Pegaso University, we had discussions w.r.t. online courses as Pegaso University is a leader in online programs;
- (v) We had discussion regarding research collaboration between University of Palermo & PU. In my meeting with Prof Fabio Mazzola, Vice Rector

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

areas for mutual cooperation were explored and they will be sending a detailed proposal; and

- (vi) I visited Duca Di Salaparuta, a private wine making group in Sicily and they have expressed to have interaction with our Biotechnology and UIHMT department.

**RESOLVED:** That:

- (1) felicitations of the Senate be conveyed to –
- (i) Mr. Sunil Arora, IAS (Retd.), an alumnus of Panjab University, on his having been appointed as Chief Election Commissioner of India;
  - (ii) Professor Nishtha Jaswal, Department of Laws, on her having been appointed Vice Chancellor of Himachal Pradesh National Law University at Shimla;
  - (iii) Professor Jagdeep Kaur, Department of Biotechnology, Dr. Ranvir Singh, National Centre for Human Genome Studies & Research of Panjab University and Dr. Pushpender Kumar Sharma, Department of Biotechnology, Sri Guru Granth Sahib World University, Fatehgarh Sahib, on having been granted India Patent for inventing a Lipase;
  - (iv) Dr. Sangeeta Pilkhwal Sah, Associate Professor, UIPS, on her having been elected as a Member of the prestigious National Academy of Medical Sciences (NAMS);
  - (v) Professor Preeti Mahajan, Chairperson, Department of Library and Information Science, Panjab University, Chandigarh, on her having been honoured with 'Indian Association of Teachers of Library and Information Science (IATLIS)-Prof. S. P. Narang Research Promotion Award-2018';
  - (vi) Professor Praveen Rishi, Department of Microbiology, on having been conferred with prestigious Professor S.R. Vyas Memorial Award during the 59<sup>th</sup> Annual Conference of Association of Microbiologists of India;
  - (vii) Dr. Vandita Kakkar, UIPS, on having been conferred with Bharat Vikas Award by the organisation of the Institute of Self-Reliance (ISR) on World Aids Day on December 1<sup>st</sup> at Press Club of Odisha, Bhubaneswar;
  - (viii) Dr. Vishal Sharma, Institute of Forensic Science & Criminology, on having been conferred Dr. P.D. Sethi Memorial National Award 2017;
  - (ix) Dr. S.M. Kant, former Director Youth Welfare, on having been appointed Observer (West Zone) by the Association of Indian Universities, Ministry of Youth Affairs and Sports, Government of India, for the Inter-University Youth

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

- Festival, which will be held at Savitri Bai Phule Pune University, from December 19-23, 2018;
- (x) Dr. Prince Sharma, Department of Microbiology, on having been selected as Fellow of the Academy of Microbiological Sciences;
  - (xi) Dr. Ranjan Kumar, Department of Physics, on having been invited as Full Professor for a period of one year by the King Abdullah University, Saudi Arabia;
  - (xii) Professor R.C. Sobti, former Vice Chancellor of Panjab University, on having been appointed as Consultant Higher Education, Governor Secretariat, Bihar, Patna;
  - (xiii) Panjab University Kho-Kho (Women) Team on securing third position in the All India Inter-University Kho-Kho (Women) Championship held at Dr. Rammanohar Lohia Avadh University, Faizabad from 7<sup>th</sup> to 10<sup>th</sup> December, 2018; and
  - (xiv) Panjab University Judo (Women) Team on winning All Over Championship in the All India Inter-University Judo (W) Championship organised by our University from 10<sup>th</sup> to 12<sup>th</sup> December, 2018
2. the information contained in Vice Chancellor's Statement at Sr. Nos. (1-(i), (ii), (iii), (vi), (vii), (viii), (ix), (x), (xi), (xiv), (xviii), (xix), (xx), (xxi)), and (2-(i), (ii), (iii), (iv), (v), and (vi)), be noted.
  3. thanks of the Senate be conveyed to Shri Pawan Kumar Bansal for releasing the second instalment of Rs.2 lacs to the Panjab University Voluntary Contribution Fund as his contribution for the year 2018.

**III.**

At this stage, Shri Varinder Singh stood up and stated that several students have gathered outside as their problems have not been sorted out by the University authorities though they have been protesting for the last about 45-46 days. The University authorities, including the Vice Chancellor, have not even bothered to meet them. Since they have gathered outside and sloganeering since morning, it would be proper if they first discuss their issue(s).

Dr. Dayal Partap Singh Randhawa stated that he is posing this question directly to the Vice Chancellor as well as the Dean Student Welfare that they should give a status report to them on the issue as to from how many days' the students are on the agitation and with how much sensitivity they had talked to them and what results have been tried to find. He would like to bring to their kind notice that earlier, when they did not look into such issues with the sensitivity, the resentment of the students came before them as a serious problem. Resultantly, the incident of stone pelting occurred, and more than 60 criminal cases were registered calling the incident "violence". As such, their preference should be that keeping in mind the future/careers of the students, the First Information Reports (FIRs), which have been registered against them before, the Senate itself had given certain directives to the Vice-Chancellor and the Registrar, the status report should be provided to them. They should own the

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

responsibility and take the current agitation seriously so this agitation might not take such a turn. As suggested by Shri Varinder Singh, first of all, they should discuss the memorandum which the Panjab University Students' Council has given to them, which states about the ex-officio members of the students on the Senate, fairness about the entry and exit of the hostel residents in the hostels. The students are agitating for these issues since long and according to him even none of them have such patience with which the students had agitated till now. They should seriously think over the issues on which the Panjab University Students' Council has given the memorandum, decide and only then move ahead. This is his request that before taking up the agenda, they should take up this issue.

Shri Varinder Singh stated that he would like to add certain new points to the issue raised by Dr. Dayal Partap Singh Randhawa. He (Dr. Dayal Partap Singh Randhawa) is right, the students are agitating for the last 45-46 days. So far as his information goes, the Vice Chancellor has not even gone to meet the students. He felt that had the Vice-Chancellor given the freehand to the Officers of the University, the problem would have been sorted out by now. According to him, sometimes even the Committees aggravate the problem as is in the case of Committees appointed to oversee the working of certain Officers, e.g., Controller of Examinations. There are certain members on the Committees, who have never seen work/atmosphere outside their own Departments. It has come to his notice that one day, this issue was nearly sorted out by the Dean Student Welfare, but since a Committee was there, the consensus could not be arrived. He pleaded that instead of appointing Committees, the Officers (Controller of Examinations, Registrar, Dean, College Development Council, etc.) should be given freehand so that they could work in accordance with their capabilities. Whenever there is interference in their work from the outside, the problem deteriorates because in the Committees either the members are very old (retired persons) or those, who have never worked outside their Departments and they are not known outside the Department concerned. If such persons negotiate with the students, they would not be able to solve the problems. The present Dean Student Welfare is almost completing two years and is well familiar with the work as well as with the problems of the students, and he knows how to tackle the problem. Secondly, the issues of the students are minor ones. Since the entire house might not be aware, he would like to inform them that though the hostel residents (girls) could leave the hostel at any time, she is just to remit the fine. It is not that any action is taken against her, only the fine is to be remitted by her; otherwise, she could come at any time. If a girl leaves on the pretext that she is going to her home, but does not reach there even for ten days, none (Warden or any employee of the hostel) enquired about her to ensure whether she has really gone home and reached there. In fact, these small/minor issues have been complicated by the Committees by the Vice-Chancellor. None of the issue is major one. The students are agitating in the open in the cold condition; some of them might fell ill. According to him, this is only a small issue as it only related to fine of Rs.100/- or so. If they only need remove the fine, this issue would be sorted out. He is not saying that consensus should be reached at all the demands of the students, but some of the demands are such/so minor, i.e., if the entry to the Hostels is up to 10.00 p.m., the same should be allowed up to 11.00 p.m. Though the entry to the Hostels is up to 11.00 p.m. in the summer and up to 10.00 p.m. in the winter, if it is allowed up to 11.00 p.m. over the year, there would be no problem. However, there should not be any fine. If any girl wishes to go somewhere, she should make the entry and leave. The issue is being exaggerated by the newspapers and the people, who are not familiar with this, what would happen if the timings of the hostels are made 24×7, though in reality the timings of the hostels are 24×7, only fine is being remitted by the hostel residents. He further suggested that students, especially the girls, should also be made members of PUCASH because the complaints of and against students are also considered by the PUCASH as



Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

they are also part of the democracy. He, therefore, suggested that discussions on the issue(s) in detail should be allowed to be held so that they are able to sort out the issue(s) today itself.

Dr. Ajay Ranga said that he had two requests to make. Firstly as pointed out by Shri Varinder Singh and Dr. Dayal Partap Singh Randhawa that the students are on the agitation since long and they have also to appear in the examinations which are approaching fast and the weather is also not conducive for dharna, etc. Secondly, as is a practice earlier, the Action Taken Report of the previous meeting of the Senate is presented on the issues are raised by the Hon'ble Members and decisions taken thereon. However, this time Action Taken Report has not been provided to them. In the last meeting of the Senate, several issues are raised by the Hon'ble Members and certain decisions were taken on them, but neither any action has been taken on them nor any Action Taken Report has been presented. He urged the Vice-Chancellor to direct the concerned Officers to provide Action Taken Report and at the same time, they should be given the status of the same.

Professor Chaman Lal said that he just wanted to support the issue raised by the Hon'ble Members. Rather than going for on and on discussion, they should make it formal and allow discussion on this issue, so that they could make it a point of proper decision. It is better that the Vice-Chancellor should first invite comments, opinions, etc. from the members.

The Vice-Chancellor stated that he is equally concerned with the issues, and not only he, but also the entire Officers/officials, who are involved in getting the problem(s) solved. He would also like to inform them that they should understand that a PIL has been filed on some of the issues, and thus, the matter is sub-judice. He requested the members to keep this in mind while discussing the issue. Secondly, he is definitely ready to discuss and take their opinion/observations on this issue. How to discuss and in what shape this issue needed to be formalized keeping in mind the direction of the Hon'ble Court? All the members are responsible and fully concerned. He would extend his full cooperation and he has also done a lot on the issue(s). Keeping in view the directive of the Court, it is for them to see as to how much it would be right to discuss the issue.

Dr. Ajay Ranga said that even if this issue is in the Court, this Body could discuss the issue and sort it out and give a reply to the Court. The Court has not barred them to discuss and sort out the issue.

Shri Pawan Kumar Bansal enquired is there any direction of the Court on this issue? He would like to know the direction of the Court, if there is any so far.

Principal Narinder Singh Sidhu enquired have also gone to the Court for imposition of fine on the hostel residents who enter the hostel after the permissible time.

Shri Naresh Gaur stated that he endorses the viewpoints expressed by Shri Varinder Singh and Dr. Dayal Partap Singh Randhawa. Earlier, in this very University, an agitation had taken a serious turn and the incident of stone pelting had occurred. This issue was also discussed in the last meeting of the Senate during zero hour and a decision was taken that the cases filed against the students be withdrawn, but neither any action has been taken nor the Action Taken Report has been present. He requested the Vice-Chancellor to look into the issue as to why the cases have not been withdrawn and what are the reasons for the same. Secondly, it is true that the

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

students are agitating on the roads for the last 45-46 days. However, they did not show much interest. He, therefore, requested that they should discuss the issue and take it to logical end.

Shri Varinder Singh pointed out that so far the Court has not given any direction. Earlier, he and Dr. Dayal Partap Singh Randhawa were on the Committee, which was constituted for the purpose.

The Vice-Chancellor said that he is asking Professor Emanuel Nahar, Dean Student Welfare, to update them on the issue, including whether there is any direction from the Court or not.

Shri Pawan Kumar Bansal said that he wished to point out one thing.

Dr. Dayal Partap Singh Randhawa intervened to say that let the Law Officer of the University say something on this issue.

Continuing, Shri Pawan Kumar Bansal stated that mere fact the some case has been filed in the Court, does not make it sub-judice. As has been said before him, it certainly is the right of the Senate to discuss the matter. He (Vice-Chancellor) and they (members) could not intervene on the issue, on which the Court has passed orders. If there is any direction of the Court, let the same be informed to them; otherwise, they are not agreeing to close the discussion the matter at all. One of the important things involved in the issue is that, though the merit comes later, what the students are saying and what the Vice-Chancellor is thinking and what his response is, the mere fact that they have not had discussion on the issue for all these 48 days, which is major concern for him personally. What initiative has been taken to call them and starting a dialogue with them.

Professor Ronki Ram stated that any issue of the students in the University is an important one because they are one of the most important part of the stakeholders. Secondly, they (students) are at the learning stage and they are their children. Sometimes, when they agitate on certain issues, they also think about them for immediate resolution of their problems. Sometimes, they become impatient also. When they take up any issue, that issue is not only important, but also important for their own understanding at one level, and it might also be important for all of them. However, the point is that since they are at this stage, they should not consider them as if they are becoming a significant party to put before them (Senate) their dues. They are not that way. Secondly, there are many students' outfits, organizations, etc. in this University, and they have their own understanding on issues like this. Once they try to bring them into their fold, okay this is their demand, they have to live here and this they wanted, and that shows their (members) concern. So they have not made any attempt to meet them. They always thought that if the students have sat on the dharna, let them sit there. Since now they have grown up, they have their own wisdom and think about their prestige as none had come to them even though more than one and half months have elapsed, which would create a problem. Definitely, certain sections of the society would be in favour or against them, and unnecessarily that would become an issue. So much so it goes to the Court also. Hon'ble Court is there and they should respect whatever the Court says, but sometimes the Court would also say that since the matter belongs to them, they should solve the same amicably at their own level. There are many cases, wherein the Court had said that they should resolve the issue amicably. So he is saying that certain demands of the students are minor ones, but a phobia has been created that they wanted permission to enter into the hostels and exit from there anytime during the 24 hours. There is still a tradition in the

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

society that the girls should not be given any type of freedom. Whenever the girls demand anything, they become extra conscious. On the one hand, they say that the girls should be saved and educated, and if the girls say that they are in the prison, they should also introspect as to where the problem lay and think as to how they could help them. Rather they should be with them to solve this societal problem. If the University could not provide them any space, from where they would get? Meaning thereby, they should not consider their demands as if they are going to pose a big challenge for them. If they take their problems in this way, they would not be able to solve their problems at all. However, if they consider them sympathetically thinking that they are also human being and part of the society, they would be able to solve most of their problems as they all are capable persons. He requested the Vice-Chancellor to form a Committee, the members of the Committee would talk to them and he is sure that they would be able to solve most of their problems amicably.

Professor Navdeep Goyal said that if they see that when they started the strike, perhaps, that demand was unreasonable. However, the memorandum which they had given today, this is the one on which they should definitely talk to them as there are certain demands, which could be accepted and on certain demand, they could be made to understand. Since they are discussing the issue, they must resolve something today as the issue is being discussed in the supreme body of the University. He suggested that they should authorize 4-5 persons, who should either talk to them right now or during the lunch time to get the issue resolved today itself.

Principal Gurdip Sharma said that it is better that they should go and talk to them right now.

Dr. Ajay Ranga said that there are two issues pending for the last many months. One - a complaint with the Police was filed against sixty students, and the second issue is regarding hostel for which they have given the representation. Many requests have been made to the Vice Chancellor about these issues. He requested the Vice Chancellor to resolve both the issues today itself. He added that they had also requested the former Vice Chancellor in this regard.

The Vice Chancellor said that he has been requested just once and he has been looking into it.

Professor Mukesh Arora said that as has been said by Shri Varinder Singh that the issue has been solved by the Dean Student Welfare to a great extent. He, therefore, requested the Vice Chancellor to authorise the D.S.W. to take care of the issue instead of making a Committee because they would keep on making one Committee on the other and requested that all such Committees should be disbanded. One day, it would be said that a Committee be constituted to oversee the functioning of Senate.

The Vice Chancellor said that as has been stated by the Hon'ble members, he also wants that this issue be solved today itself. Let him take some more update as there is lot of confusion. Many things have been reported in the newspapers.

Shri Satya Pal Jain said that there are three issues involved, the first one is that the students have been sitting on dharna for a long time which is not a good thing and so that issue should be solved immediately. Second issue relate to their demands such as opening of hostel for 24 hours, whether fine is to be imposed or not etc. etc. Third is that a case is pending in the Courts and the fourth one is regarding the withdrawal of the cases. As regards the fourth issues regarding the withdrawal of the cases, a Committee was constituted five-six months back. He was also present in that meeting.

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

It was decided there that the criminal cases against the students be withdrawn. He had pointed out at that time that the procedure for withdrawing the cases is not so simple. They would not do it just on their saying it. They have to write to the Home Secretary for permission to withdraw the cases. After the permission is granted by the Home Secretary, that report would go to the Judge where the case is pending and finally it for the Hon'ble Judge to grant permission or not. He does not know whether this exercise has been done during the last 4-5 months or not, but if this has not been done, it should be started now. Second, it has been said that PIL is lying in the High Court. It may be possible that the PIL might not be maintainable. It is a students' issue and, therefore, it may not be an issue of PIL. The High Court has not given any interim order so far. As Syndicate and Senate of the University, they have the right to take a decision. He remembers that once Shri Jagan Nath Kaushal Ji has replied to a member in the Syndicate meeting that the Legislature will do its own work, the Executive will do its own work and the Court will do its own job. If they do not do their work, only then the Court would involve. The third issue regarding the demand of opening the hostel for 24 hours. There are different opinions on the issue whether the hostels should be opened for 24 hours or not. It has also been published in the Press that some parents have also objected to it. He felt that as they are going ahead towards the open society from a male dominated society, where they are talking about equal rights. He had a talk with the President of the PUCSC and with other students when he was coming to the meeting. She is saying that they could keep a register where they could not when one has gone outside the hostel and when returned back. They could check the record in the CCTV camera. Keeping all this in mind, they should not take a decision in haste. It has been suggested that a Committee should be formed consisting of 8-9 people who should be allowed to take a decision on behalf of the Senate so that tomorrow there is not any ifs and buts by anyone. The Committee should also include boys and girls. The Committee should consist of different types of people. This Committee, after talking to the students, parents of the students, teachers and the Vice Chancellor, should take a final decision so that they could be able to tell the decision of the Senate to the Court. If the Court agrees to it, it is okay, otherwise, they would accept, whatever would be decided by the Court.

Shri Deepak Kaushik said that when the students go on strike, it affects the working of the University. Presently, the examination days are going on and everything like evaluation of answerbooks etc. is also going on. The Vice Chancellor has also given directions that the results should be declared at the earlier. They also want that the results should be declared as early as possible. He further said that they are making Committees on the examination system. He apprehended that they have to make another Committee on the already formed Committee to make it understand about the examination system. Owing to the formation of such Committee, a feeling is developed in the minds of the employees that the authorities do not have trust on them. All the employees and officers of the University are working with great keenness to declare the results. But the shortcomings in the system should also be viewed for which a Committee consisting of the members from within the University system should be formed. Now there is a binding that a teacher would not mark more than four hundred answersheets. If a teacher would not more mark answer sheets beyond this number, how the result could be declared in time. He said that *ad hoc* teachers are not allowed to mark the answer sheets. As Assistant Registrar (Secrecy) he would like to tell that the teachers are not available for marking the answer books because at present there are only *ad hoc* teachers. The new appointment of teachers is not being done. He requested the Vice Chancellor to allow the appointment of teachers and remove the bar of marking of only 400 answer book. Further, the issue of students should also be solved because it is a very small demand. They should be allowed to go outside the

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

hostel by marking their signature. He also requested the fine imposed on the students in this regard be also remitted.

Principal I.S. Sandhu wanted to know whether it is a zero hour or it relate to the issue of the students.

To this, the Vice Chancellor said, this is what he is asking as to where this should be discussed. One option could be that this discussion should be made a part of the general discussion.

Continuing, Principal I.S. Sandhu said his colleagues have already mentioned about the issue. These are examination days and the students are suffering a lot due to the dharna. They have to prepare for the examination whereas they are sitting here. The Committee would solve the problem because Committees give their report very late. They should resolve the issue today itself at lunch time. He, therefore, suggested that 3-4 senior members, D.S.W., some old students' leaders and Ms Kanupriya, President, PUCSC should sit together and the 3-4 demands which could be solved, should be taken care of. If there is any demand which cannot be solved immediately, they could convince the students.

The Vice Chancellor said that he would form a Committee to solve the issue today itself.

Professor Chaman Lal suggested that this issue should be brought as an agenda item for proper discussion and it should not be made free for all. Let the Dean Student Welfare put up the status report and the members should give their formal opinion. This is not proper to say to go to the students sitting on dharna and check it. Let the Senate first have proper discussion and properly resolve it, only then one should go to talk the students.

Professor Ronki Ram said that issue should be taken in the zero as this is not the zero hour. This is special issue and it should be discussed now and then take zero hour later on.

Shri Jarnail Singh suggested that this issue should be discussed after the lunch.

Shri Prabhjit Singh said more than half of the members have spoken on the issue. The consensus was being built on the formation of a Committee to solve the issue. He would like to tell that a Committee with the Dean Student Welfare has already been made for the purpose. It seems to him that first the DSW would not have been able to solve the issue and then the Committee might have failed in his work. He enquired as to what would be the terms and conditions of the proposed Committee. They should be first told as to what has the earlier Committee done in this regard. How many meetings, the said Committee had held to solve this issue? Had the University filed its reply on the PIL pending in the Court? They had been reading in the newspapers that the Committee is holding the meetings daily. They should tell as to where the deadlock is. He had thought that it should come in the Vice Chancellor's statement itself. This is such an issue due to which the reputation has been maligned. It is a very sensitive issue. The DSW is not in a position to explain about it. It would be better if the members of the Committee are requested to explain the House as to what has been done. Perhaps, the members of the Committee may have done more work than the DSW because the Committee is supervising the DSW.

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

Dr. Dalip Kumar said that they have already discussed this issue for fifty minutes. Some members have suggested to discuss the issue after lunch, but he is not in favour of discussing this issue after lunch. He opined that this issue should now be clinched.

Shri Varinder Singh said that four weeks' time was asked for to give some reply to the students, but no reply has been given so far. So it should not be delayed by making committees again and again.

Principal Gurdip Kumar Sharma suggested that four Senators should go and listen to them. In this way, the issue would get solved.

The Vice Chancellor said after having opinion of all the members, he would form a Committee which would resolve this issue and suggest as to what is to be done in this regard. The Vice Chancellor asked the D.S.W. to give update on the issue as to what had been done so far.

Professor Emanuel Nahar said that this issue has been running since 2017. Earlier the students were allowed to go outside only up to 9.00 p.m., but the students demanded that the time should be extended. Accordingly, it was extended up to 11.00 p.m. The Ph.D. and other students who want to go to the Library demanded that they should be allowed to go outside the hostel at any time. Last year, they allowed the students entry up to 11.00 p.m. The other concession was that those students who want to do their dissertation work, they should be allowed 24 hours entry/exit from the hostel. Even, they have allowed 24 hours entry/exit for 24 hours for those who wish to go to the Library. If they view it, they would find that their system is for 24 hours entry/exit. But there are also some problems such as security of the students. Then they got a survey done and received responses from 1500 parents. Out of these 1500 parents, about 95-97 per cent parent said their wards should not go outside the hostel after 11.00 p.m. Secondly, they have been holding meetings from time to time with the students and tried to solve the issues in consultation with them. There are 1-2 issues which they could not solve. They have also held meetings with the Panjab University Campus Student Council from time to time. Most of the Executive Members and the parents of the students are not in this favour. He felt that there were two things where it was difficult for them resolve. The things such as remission of fine is in the hands of DSW or the Committee, it could be done only by the Syndicate or the Senate. The students wanted that they would mark their signature on the Register provided for the purpose, but the DSW office has asked them to take permission from the Warden or whatever system is prevailing there, but they (students) must have to take permission.

Professor Emanuel Nahar, Dean Student Welfare, while giving background of permission to enter and exit hostels for 24x7, said that girls wanted that they would not take permission from anybody and would leave the hostel just by making an entry in the Register placed in the hostel for the purpose. Usually, they allowed them to enter/exit the hostels in case of emergency or for a genuine reason subject to the condition that they (hostel residents) would take prior permission from the authority concerned. However, the hostel residents are adamant that they would not take permission from anybody and go outside the hostel by just making an entry in the Register provided for the purpose. They (University) had agreed to it. Now, the issue is mostly related to three things, i.e. first, the parents of the girl students have written against the 24x7 permission, the second issue relates to the observation given by the PUCSC and the third issue relates to the judgement given by the Court because the writ had been filed by the parents of one of the students that their wards should not be allowed to go outside the hostel beyond 11.00 p.m. keeping in view the security point.

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

The parents of the students have also written that if their wards are allowed to leave the hostels at late hours, who would be responsible in case any mishapening occurred. It is because of all these reasons that the issue got delayed and now the issue has stuck up owing to the imposition of fine for coming late in the hostel, except these there is no other issue.

Shri Varinder Singh said that Prof. Emanuel Nahar has given the status report about the issue to the members but he wants to say that a number of Committees have been formed by the University on the issue. All these Committees are either delaying the matter or saying that they would solve the issue. Sometimes they give security reason for denying 24x7 permission and sometimes say that they would get the lights installed in the University. He further said that at present also, a girl can go outside the hostel at any time and if she comes late or does not come at all she has just to pay a fine of Rs.100/-. He asked whether the University authority takes guarantee of their security when they go outside, have they any security against Rs. 100/-, being charged as fine for late coming? According to him, the University is just adopting delaying tactics and almost 50 days have elapsed since the day, the agitation has started.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that though this issue was not a part of the agenda in the Syndicate, it was discussed there. Since the issue related to relaxation of certain rules, which are already published in the handbook of information, the matter should have been placed before the Syndicate as a regular item. The Syndicate could have taken a note of what the students' demands are. Had there been an agenda item, the decision could have been taken. Now also, though, it is not the formal agenda of the meeting of the Senate, but keeping in view the urgency of the issue (requirements of the students) and the sentiments of the House, he thinks no purpose is going to be solved if a Committee is appointed, especially when the House is meeting and is capable of taking the decision in the meeting itself. He further said that the DSW has explained the issue about opening of hostels for 24x7, but the memorandum given by the students, which is in my hands, does not talk anything about 24x7. It is mainly, as far as hostels are concerned, qua imposition of fine and also marking of attendance in the register. He said that abolition of rule relating to imposition of fine is one of the issues. He further said that they should ponder over the steps, which could be taken in the interest of the students as well as the University i.e. the University is not held responsible tomorrow if something wrong happens. At the same time the students should also be responsible for their act of going out during odd hours, being adults. So, he was just toying with an idea that instead of imposing the fine, if they found ten girls absent, a text message should go to their parents, who are not interested in opening of the hostels for 24x7. After all, they are responsible daughters also. Even if they (students) are not answerable to the University or the Warden, they are definitely answerable to their parents. But to impose any such rule, to which the residents of the hostels are protesting in such a strong manner, he thought that would be going too far. As far as other demands are concerned, there is nothing, which can't be decided in the Senate right now. To say that it should be taken up after lunch or in the zero hour, is probably not the right suggestion because, he felt this issue is more important than any other issue on the agenda. The students are the backbone of the University and they should be concerned about them. So, his submission is that the opinion of all the members present in the House be sought to take a decision on the matter right now and there is no need to form any Committee.

Dr. Chaman Lal said there should not be any gender discrimination, as the Constitution of India also does not make any gender distinction between the citizens of the country. All are citizens of India and students are also part of that. So, no hostel rules, principally, can be different for boys and girls. Whatever hostel rules are framed, by any University, they should be gender free. Whether hostels have to be closed at 11

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

O'Clock or have to be kept open 24 hours or have to be closed at 12 O'Clock, who is to go out and under which conditions one can go out, all conditions/rules have to be implemented equally irrespective of the gender i.e. boys or girls. Hence, their priority should be that boys are not privileged and girls are not be victimized. Resultantly, any hostel rule or any University rule should be gender free. He fully supported the demand of the Panjab University Campus Students' Council that its President should be a member of the Senate. Though, the Council has demanded membership in the Senate for its Secretary too on the pattern of PUTA. Professor Chaman Lal also proposed statutory membership of Senate in respect of Presidents of PUTA, P.U. Campus Students' Council and Panjab University Employees Association. He demanded that Senate should pass resolution and request the Hon'ble Chancellor of the University to nominate P.U. Campus Student's Council's President on the Senate immediately. While citing an example from history, he said that though Panjab University was formed in Lahore, the Calendars of both the Universities (Lahore and Chandigarh) are more or less the same. He also said that when Shri Zulfikar Ali Bhutto was the Prime Minister of Pakistan, Panjab University Lahore made a provision of electing two-three students' representatives to the Syndicate and Senate respectively. Punjab University, Lahore also had reservation for women in all the statutory bodies i.e. in the Senate, etc. and the same is still there, whereas they do not have. It should be done at our University also. He said that if they have to close the doors of the hostels, close it for boys also. He suggested, let the girls have a walk on the campus even at 1 O'Clock and if they want to have Parantha at PGI, let them do so.

Principal R.S. Jhanji said that Senate can discuss these things right now and settle them. He further said that what he has been able to understand with the Status Report given by the DSW and other Hon'ble members, is that there are two important issues. One is the apprehension of the University about students remaining outside the hostels for 24 hours and second related security of students i.e. who will be responsible for their security of they remain outside at late hours – whether the University or the parents or the local administration. Principal Jhanji said that there will be very few girls, who would like to go outside without any genuine reasons. If majority of the parents have given in writing that they do not want their children to be outside for 24 hours, their children will definitely not go outside because they are already in a certain discipline. So, for other reasons, better known to the girls, who want to stay outside for 24 hours, need not to be taken care of. They can suggest three-four measures for this. One is the suggestion given by Ashok Goyal ji, i.e. SMS or some sort of information can be sent to the parents, as and when their daughters go outside or are not in the hostel by a stipulated time i.e. when the attendance is taken, as is being done in some professional institutions. He further said that as the students have agreed to make an entry in the register while going outside, some sort of wording can be framed by the Senate for safer side of the University as well as of the parents. It will be a sort of affidavit or vouch and student going outside will be in the knowhow that she is going outside and her parents also knew it. In case of an emergency that piece of writing in the register, can be sent to the parents. And for going inside the University i.e. library, etc., 24 hours permission is already there for the last so many years for Ph.D. and M.Phil. Scholars. So, the issue is not a big one and constituting a Committee will not lead us to anywhere. The report of the Committee will be tabled in the Syndicate and Senate & again discussions will be held on the report in both the Houses. Some members might be in favour of the report and some against. Instead of constituting a Committee they should narrow on three-four issues, which are controversial or on which deadlock is there and can be resolved by them here in the meeting. Otherwise, three four Officers of the University can be sent outside right now to talk to the students to resolve the issues.



Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

Professor R.P. Bambah said that the main problem on the one side is, students, whether male/ female or adult, want freedom to do what they want to do, live their life the way they want to live. On the other side they are living in a society, which is still conservative and if something goes wrong they hold the University responsible. This is the dilemma, but while they are students in the University, the society also wants University to take steps so that they do not get into trouble. If students get into trouble the University becomes responsible. Whenever, they ask the parents of girls to give their concurrence for something they say, why the University do not ask for the same from the boys too. Professor Bambah further said that even during his tenure, which was more than 30 years ago, there used to be agitations against hostel restrictions. He was of the opinion that if the University takes an assurance from the girls to the effect that she is an adult, responsible for her own actions, does not want the University to interfere, and she would not hold the University responsible for her actions outside the University, that would be enough. He said that they do not bring the parents into the picture in any such matter in case of boys, but due to social situation they have to bring any such matter in the knowledge of the parents of girl students. Otherwise, it is not necessary as has been said by Professor Chaman Lal that there should be no distinction between boys and girls.

Professor S.K. Sharma said there are two components of this issue. One is the responsibility of the University or the Senate and the other is the responsibility of the parents. He said that if Senate accords permission today and tomorrow anything happens, there is a big hue and cry, then what is going to be the role of the Senate? He further said that what Principal Jhanji has said is an excellent idea because if parents are aware of what is happening in the University/Hostels, there will be no responsibility of the Senate as well as of the University. He said that they must keep the parents, to whom they are answerable, in loop, about whatever decision they take because if something wrong happens tomorrow, the University or the Senate is not held responsible.

Professor Akhtar Mahmood said that this issue seems to be unique to this University. The country has thousands of Universities around, but do they have the similar kind of situation there also? Have they tried to find out what are the situations at other places? For example, he remembered that in BITS Pilani, whenever a student goes out for a day or so, a message immediately goes to the parents that their ward is out for such and such period. Hence, before taking any action on the matter, they must look at what is happening in other Universities and get lessons from them and try to do what they should be doing instead of rushing on this issue.

Dr. Mariner Singh Sigh said that the demands in the representation given by the students can be solved immediately with decision on two issues, which have been highlighted by Shri Ashok Goyal ji and Principal R.S. Jhanji. One is maintaining of one register and the other is discontinuation of imposition of fine. Rest of the demands can be discussed later on. So, they should take decision on these two issues today itself.

Prof. Rajesh Gill said that this is unfortunate because the University rests upon students and without them the University is nothing. She further said that the demand of 24x7 permission to go outside is the most contentious amongst the rest of the demands of students. She said that people from media have been asking as to what is their opinion on the matter. She also kept on thinking what should be her opinion and she also talked with the Vice Chancellor, twice. She said she had been also a resident in Hostel 32-33 years ago, but time has changed a lot since then. She discussed the issue of 24x7 permission with her students (Research Scholars) and according to them, there are no restrictions for them and for others too, but they have to pay different types of fines. She had requested the Vice Chancellor to enquire the issue at his own

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

level too i.e. whether it is so? They need to first chalk out what sort of system is going on, as Shri Ashok Goyal said that this has been given in theory in the Handbook and something else is actually going on in practice. Is it so that a student is allowed to go and come at any point of time on the payment of fine? If it is so, then it is wrong. She said that it is a predicament and it is brewing, as Professor Bambah has said. It cannot be suppressed. If they suppress it today, it will crop up again because it is in the mind of every girl that boys make noise, stop their way, drink liquor at night and make noise, whereas the girls are asked to stay indoors. The society is not what it used to be ten years ago and they will have to listen their voice and accept it. The issue can be resolved only with dialogue and there is no other way. They would have to negotiate with the students. The issue will get complicated, the more they delay it. She is a feminist and when boys can enjoy, why she can't? She usually thinks that she will take birth as male in her next birth so that she can do all those things which males do. Why the females are kept indoors? Even after attaining the age of 55 or 60 years, a female has to tell at home where she is at this point of time and when she will come home at this time. Why? On the other hand, when she goes to leave her daughter at hostel, she advises her daughter to take care so that nothing wrong happens. If something wrong happens, the media holds the University authorities responsible and ask where they were? What was the Warden doing? Why did they not do something? It is a reality. It goes well till nothing wrong happens. They will have to keep both these things in mind. Another thing is that they get girl students at the age of 18 years in undergraduate courses and up to the age of 30 years in postgraduate courses. There is a sea difference between them. A girl who comes after 10+2 in undergraduate course, her maturity level is totally different. They will have to discuss these things too. Can they take them homogenous? She said talking of rights is one thing, but they will have to keep in mind the environment and their safety issues too. She asked, if they allow admissions without parents? Of course not, so they will have to involve the parents in the issue. How they can involve them, they have to think about this. While agreeing with Professor Bambah that let the girls give an undertaking that she is responsible for anything that happens to her, but parents should also be involved in this. Lastly, she said they will have to talk to them to move ahead otherwise they will remain stuck.

Dr. Surinder Singh Sangha asked the Vice Chancellor, whether they have to solve the issue or not. If they have to resolve the issue, as other members have said, there is no need of much discussion on the matter as everybody is in the knowhow of things. He urged the Vice Chancellor to make a Committee of 7-8 members, who have already spoken to resolve the issue without wasting any more time, otherwise the discussion will keep going on till 4.00 p.m. and the agenda of the meeting would not be finished. Moreover, exams days are going and the time of students is precious.

Shri Pawan Kumar Bansal said that he thinks there is no need of forming any committee on the issue as lot of views have come from the members and things have become clearer. He was of the opinion that decision should be taken right now in the House itself and forming a Committee on the issue will mean putting it under the carpet. He said the House and the students equally understand the demands, but the community outside the campus thinks that girls, though residents of the hostel, want total freedom for 24 hours i.e. they should be free to go anywhere outside the campus and come back at any time. Shri Bansal said that he thinks that there is no such thing. He said that it has become clear to all of them as to what the practice is and what the students are demanding. But in spite of large coverage by the media, it could not be put across to the media and through it to the public in its right perspective. They should convey from here to the community that there is no such demand of students. The demand of the students is that they should be allowed to go to the library and anywhere within the University Campus. He further said that it is the responsibility of the University to provide them security for their movement within the

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

University Campus and they could not escape from it. According to him, if there is a provision, which allows students to go outside the Campus, then an undertaking can be taken from them but not for their movement within the Campus of the University. The other thing, which is causing problem to the students, is that hostels have multiple registers, which has complicated the issue. It is because of this, the students are demanding one register and the authority may add more column/s to it, as per the need. The rules/practices of the University should be gender neutral. He was of the opinion that girls should also be given the same freedom as is being given to the boys. They should be free to go anywhere, but as they are more vulnerable in society, they have to take care of themselves as Professor Rajesh Gill and other members have said. He got astonished while reading that if a girl went asleep while studying and could not mark her attendance, she is fined for that. He was of the opinion that if fines do not serve any intended objective, these should be withdrawn. Without going into any further discussion, they should resolve the issue which would be appreciated by the students, resultantly the atmosphere of the University would improve and everybody would welcome it.

Dr. Gurmeet Singh said a lot of suggestions have come on the issue. They all are worried about the safety of girls in the society, but as Bansal ji said there is an impression outside the Campus that girls want freedom. Likewise, there is an impression in the Campus too that if 24x7 is allowed, then all the girls will go out, but it is not so. He is against sending of SMS to the parents or obtaining an undertaking from the girls and he may be wrong in saying so. They do not force any girl to go outside. It is her decision to go outside and if the students are willing to go outside after making an entry in the register, then they should be allowed to go. He was of the opinion that there is no need of forming any Committee on the issue. However, they can decide on the issue of waving off fine, representation of girl students in PUCASH, if the law permits so, and withdrawal of FIR lodged against the students, who were alleged to have indulged in violence in the Campus against the fee hike. He said by now the FIR should have been withdrawn, as per the procedure, as explained by Shri Satya Pal Jain ji, as much time has passed and they should look into it as to why it has not been done so far. He said why they are afraid of 24x7 demand when they say that 24x7 is in practice at present too and only fine is charged. If it is so, they should remove the fine, the matter will get resolved. So far whatever opinion has come, is not very clear. Everything has two aspects and some risks may also be involved in it. In support of it, he said, they had proposed to grant permission to write Ph.D. work in Hindi and Punjabi and at that time too people had said that this or that thing will happen. At that time too, they had said that they are not forcing anyone and it is optional i.e. if someone wants to write his/her Ph.D. work in Hindi/Punjabi then he/she can do so. Hence, it should be optional for a girl staying in the hostel. She may not go out after 8.00 p.m. or 11.00 p.m. It is her own decision. So, the question is of freedom and they should ponder over it and he thinks the suggestions, which have come are good.

Professor J.K. Goswamy said roughly there are five issues on which the girl students have represented. He said the history of imposing fine in this University and especially in girls' hostel has not remained good. There were many contentious issues. He does not know that many of the Wardens of girls' hostels know about the problems of the students. So, fine should be immediately removed. He said, as many members have already talked about, security within the campus can be provided in terms of bringing in better facilities, providing more manpower for security and thinking of some technological augmentation, when the students go outside. There are many Apps which can be thought of to have some kind of touch with students (boys or girls), as the parents also want to remain in touch with them. So, they should develop some Apps and wipe off registers because every Warden has his/her own vision and anxiety and more the anxiety more will be the number of registers. The registers do not serve any

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

practical purpose. They just enhance the responsibility of the Gate Attendant, who is on the duty. Therefore, they have to really look for waiving off fines, improving security within the Campus and also for having some technological link between the residents and the Warden office, if the residents go out of the campus. It will serve the purpose.

Ms. Anu Chatrath said that about 48 days have passed and the atmosphere, which is going on in the University Campus, is giving very wrong message in the public. The University has three components i.e. teaching, non-teaching staff and students. The students are sitting on the roads around the campus at midnight and it will affect their future admissions also. As Mr. Bansal has shared, the message in the public has gone that girls want a free hand to move anywhere not only in the Campus but also in Chandigarh. They are supposed to change their thinking with the changing time. They used to tell the girls that this room is your house and they do not have to come out of the room. They do provide security in their residence, campus, etc. and this University Campus in itself is a house for girls residing in hostels. They should make suitable security arrangements within the Campus to solve all the security related problems of the girls. If the girls have to pay fines for going outside, then they are discriminating with them in real sense. While at the same time, the Central Government's is giving the slogan of *Beti Bachao Beti Padhao*. It is a tradition with the University that if an emergent situation arises then an emergency meeting of the local members of the Syndicate is called to find out a solution. If the administration was really concerned, it should have called an emergency meeting of the Syndicate to find out a solution. If they have two children at home, they ask someone person to keep a check on them to ensure whether he/she is doing his/her work rightly or not? It ends with losing of faith and would ultimately affect the functioning of the University. The Vice Chancellor is the authority of all the officials of the University and the Senate is the supreme body of the University including the Vice Chancellor. Addressing the Vice Chancellor she said the Committees, which you are being constituting to look after the functioning of some officers, is giving a very wrong message and creating unrest not only amongst the officers but also the Senators which should not be there.

Ambassador I.S. Chadha said they have spent a lot of time on discussing this matter and very important issues involving the Constitution, legal issues, sociological issues and so on, have been raised. Views have been expressed by members and there has been a debate. He also has views but he does not want to enter into the debate because no much useful purpose will be served by entering into the debate. He would talk about only these five demands of the students, which are before them and he could say without too much elaboration that he has no problem with any of these demands, but, they will have to follow the proper procedure. For example, for giving representation to students in Senate, proper procedure have to be followed i.e. a recommendation can be sent to the Chancellor in this regard, which they have done for others also in the past. He further said that imposition of fines does not make any sense as fines do not serve any purpose because collecting fine from a girl does not ensure her security, for which the University is responsible. If a girl student wants to enjoy the freedom, hundred rupees is a small amount to pay, but, he wants to question the wisdom of imposing the fine. What purpose does it serve? He strongly recommended/suggested to withdraw the fine and maintaining multiple registers increases everybody's work. They should maintain a single register and it should have every kind of information that they seek from a girl going outside and let her enter the information into it. It will simplify the job of those also who maintain the registers. Speaking about membership in PUCASH, he said it involves legal issues and they will have to ensure that whatever they do is consistent with the Sexual Harassment of Women at Workplace Prevention, Prohibition and Redressal Act, 2013. Hence, legal opinion should be sought and, subject to there being no legal issues, he is not against the membership of students in PUCASH. About withdrawing of FIR, lodged against the

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

students, he was of the opinion that Shri Satya Pal Jain has very ably elaborated the procedure and they should follow it. The University should use its good offices to expedite the things.

Shri Sanjay Tandon said they are discussing this issue for the last about 1½ hour and he thinks they have wandered themselves from the basic issue. When they were entering the administrative block, the students handed over this paper to them about their demands. Their discussion over here has got mainly centered on 24x7 permission. He believes that issues like this should not be solved in Syndicate, Senate and other forums like this. The requirements of students had come and DSW has very ably explained that as to what they have done to address their requirement, it should have been settled at the level of DSW. Barriers always remain there but the problems should get solved while passing through different barriers. The Senate is the highest body of Panjab University and the problems should have been solved at the lower level, but it is not happening so and thus the problem has reached to the Senate. He thinks they should strengthen the deterrents so that the problem get solved as and when it arises and they have to see that it might not become a political motive of a person or an organization. They will also have to see as to who are the stakeholders, as the students are calling different persons including outsiders, whose children are not studying here, to speak on the issue. He said students are the biggest stakeholders and then comes the Faculty of the University. The third stakeholders are the parents of students. If all these stakeholders want that one process should be followed, then involvement of their wisdom to solve the problem is not required. He told that his son is studying in a University at Sonapat and whenever he wants to go out of University he applies in the System through his phone that he has to go out of the University after 8.00 p.m. or 10.00 p.m. His request goes directly to his Warden through the System. Since they have his phone number with them, they give him a call that his son is asking for permission to go out of University, is it okay with him. Even if his son has already told him about his visit outside the University, what a phone call will come from the University, he would accord his permission. Suppose, his son is going out continuously for three days and asks for permission for fourth day too, then he might not grant permission and advise to concentrate on his studies. It is a choice of the parents and they do exercise this choice. All of them, have children and many talk big that do this or that, but, when it comes to our own daughter even grandparents ask them as to why their daughter has not come, if she remains outside after 10.00 p.m. It is not a question of permission. They should all introspect themselves that in which society they are living. They want to implement the American system, but it has changed its education system totally. On the one hand they are moving towards reviving the education system prevailing in Takshila, but on the other they favour such type of things. They should make use of technology and link the students with it. A large number of students studying in University are staying as Paying Guests in Sector 15, 16 and 18 and he knows the parents of some of them. Even they request the owners of Paying Guests to check that whether their daughters come back by 10.00 p.m., as they are the local guardians. He also said that if parents do not want to keep their wards in the hostel, then they can arrange accommodation for them outside the University where there is total freedom outside. When they start an organization, it has some rules. Some teachers have spoken independently over here, but when he talked to them separately, their views were different for their own family. The teachers want to become revolutionary when they stand in front of students. They need to check, for what kind of a society they are heading towards. He asked if the imposition of fine is a source of income generation or a deterrent. Has the University taken a big benefit from collection of fines in the budget? He thinks that FDO will be able to tell as to how much income, the University has generated by collecting fines from the students or what benefit it has done to the University. He does not think that it is a source of income generation and,

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

had it been a deterrent then it should have been used as a deterrent. To give flexibility, comfort and to bring students out of all this, they should make use of technology, as Professor J.K. Goswamy ji has said. It was an administrative issue and it should have been resolved at administrative level by talking to parents, taking permission on phone, taking help of online systems such as Find My Friend App. Today, parents know beforehand through the help of Apps as to where their children are roaming. The parents track movement of children through Apps i.e. how far the child is from hostel and whether he/she has reached the college premises irrespective of the fact where they are studying i.e. in America or other countries. He said they should also do this. This forum is too big for discussing this issue rather one or two persons should be entrusted the responsibility to solve this issue. Another important matter which came for discussion was that of age group, which Professor Rajesh Gill has raised. They can keep a greater level of monitoring for the students of age group of 18-23 years but beyond the age of 25 years or so, a natural desire of a different open environment comes amongst students. So, this is a matter which should be looked into. He does not think that the matter could be decided, as many members have said, because 70 people sitting over here have different views. He requested the Vice Chancellor to resolve the matter at his end by inviting Dean Student Welfare (DSW), two representatives of students and two representatives of parents. This issue is continuously taking space in the media for the last more than 40 days and they should control it and to save their organization they should take immediate decision on this issue.

Shri Varinder Singh said that all the members, including Shri Tandon Ji have expressed their views very rightly. The issue is not what they are discussing. According to him, the issue is, that if a girl goes out of the hostel at 9 O'clock, then she can come back at any time and they should understand it. They should talk about the security and other things, if something is not allowed at present, only the fine is imposed. A girl goes out at 9 O'clock to library or somewhere else, what arrangements they have made for her security today, he asked. He said whatever Principal Jhanji and Shri Tandon Ji have said was very right and he agrees with them. Even today, 24x7 permission is there and hostels are open for 24 hours. A girl can go out at 9.00 or 10.00 p.m. and can come back at any time, only fine is imposed. As far as security is concerned, there is no security when a girl goes to the Library. So far no untoward incident has happened, that is why they are talking about the issue; otherwise, they would not have discussed this issue. They should close it as 24x7 permission is already there, hence there is no use of discussion.

Professor Rajat Sandhir said that the concern of the parents cannot be ignored. He has been a witness to the admission of a girl in his Department in Panjab University. Her father decided to forego her admission and allowed her to take admission at Lovely Professional University considering that Panjab University is not going to give her hostel accommodation. He was worried about the safety of the girl and that was why the girl was shifted to Jalandhar. Hence, the concern of the parents cannot be ignored at all and parents will always be there and they as a society would remain worried about the girls. He told that he lives in Mohali and there is lot of PGs. Even the PGs have restrictions and they do not allow girls to come to PGs after 10.00 p.m. Coming to equality, he said that they should not charge fines from girls for the reason they are not charging the same from the boys.

Dr. K.K. Sharma said that they are talking about gender equality and asked, do the parents have no concern about their sons, who are studying here? He further said that parents, whose sons are studying here are equally concerned, as they may also get trapped somewhere outside. In Chitkara University, a hostel student, whether boy or girl, has to mark attendance on biometric machine while going out as well as coming back, and a message about it automatically goes immediately to the parents. Through

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

the message, the parents come to know about their wards and in this way they can follow them as far as their safety and security is concerned. They cannot follow the students. He said that undergraduate students of 18/19 years age are followed more by parents and those who are of 25 years age are followed less. He said the onus should lie on parents and their duty is to send message to them that their ward was out at this given time and was back at this particular time. The parents should enquire from their wards as to where they were for this much time.

Dr. Rabinder Nath Sharma said there is realism, idealism and opportunism in the world and idealism in itself is very right. However, in realism they cannot ignore the ground reality. The 24x7 permission is the most controversial issue. On it the debate, which has been held here and is going, has been held in the press, people and families too. This issue has also gone to the Court and it is the result of all these that today students have left their demand of 24x7 permission. He met Ms. Kanupriya in the office and he told her that he has reservation about their demand for 24x7 permission because as Professor Rajesh Gill has said, they will have to see the ground reality in respect of students, who are of the age of 18 years or so. In respect of nomination of Student Council's representative in PUCASH and Senate, he said he/she may be called as Special Invitee with or without voting right and it is necessary in the democratic set up. Talking about the member, who raised the question that why Senate is discussing this issue here, he said that more than 1½ months have passed and because of no decision on the issue, it is the duty of the Senate to discuss it here. Involving the parents in this issue is not right and they should only see that whether the demand of the students has merit or not. If not, it should be rejected at once and there is no need of asking anyone. It is a debatable issue, they should decide it today to save the image of the University and there is no need of forming any Committee. Constituting a committee would mean, knowingly delaying an issue. Here they are having elected students and elected bodies. The students have their own difference of opinion on this issue. Many do not want this to materialize, so that the doers do not get the credit, hence, they try to scuttle the issue. In the interest of the University and as it has become a realistic approach of the students, they should resolve it. He said what Professor Chaman Lal ji has stated is idealism and they should act as per the realism or as per the ground reality.

Professor Pam Rajput said she is very happy that issues of gender equality and gender neutrality are being discussed in this House today. She is hopeful that in times to come the principle of gender equality will also be implemented in other issues, including the representation of women in the Senate and Syndicate of Panjab University. She would like to say three things in short and will not repeat anything, which has already been said in the House. About representation of President of Panjab University Campus Students' Council in Senate, she said that recommendation should certainly go to the Hon'ble Chancellor to include its President in the nomination list. Regarding nomination of Panjab University Campus Students' Council in PUCASH, she said that she would like to share with the House that recently a meeting was convened by the National Commission for Women for bringing changes in the Sexual Harassment of Women at Workplace, Prevention, Prohibition and Redressal Act, 2013. They had raised the question that the Act does not apply to the Universities directly; hence, the representation of students is very essential in Universities. In the meanwhile, they need to review the policy of the University regarding Sexual Harassment of Women at work place, from all angles. Hence, a small Committee to review the policy be constituted, in which representation to the students can be given. Until it is done, the representative of PUCSC can be called to the meeting/s as Special Invitee, for which a proviso is required. Last time, they had recommended a Committee in the Syndicate where it was suggested that people from the administration should be included in it, but the office bearers of Panjab University Campus Students' Council should not be there. Hence,

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

students should be there in the Committee whether the matter related to Administration or Students Council because vested interests will be there. Talking about freedom of students, she said freedom has to be both for boys and girls. She said Wardens should be asked to look into the matter that why the rules, which are there in the Handbook, are not being implemented for boys too. They cannot get away by taking it in writing from a girl and accepting it. Why? She said it is the social responsibility of both University and Chandigarh Administration to provide social security and there should be a safety audit of the Campus at least twice a year. They should not think that nothing is going on in the Campus. What is needed is that they just need to go out at night and see. So, it is duty of the University to provide safety and there should be safety audit for that matter.

Ms. Inderjit Kaur said, they have talked a lot on the issue and the discussion was mainly on two things. One was on the principle of gender equality and she agrees to it too. The other was on society. Though they talk of principle of gender equality in theory, when they talk of it from the angle of ground reality, they will have to see the societies. At the University, they get students from different States having different societies and they cannot compare these with Chandigarh. Therefore, whenever the students come for admission, their parents look for hostel rules, i.e. up to which time a student can go out and come back. Even they are having daughters of 25/26 years. Whenever they go out after 10.00 or 11.00 p.m., they ask their daughters to keep the door bolted or arrange to stay someone with them to ensure their safety. If after reaching at this stage, they are concerned/responsible, then why they do not think about these girls. So, the University needs to think from security point of view and to involve the parents too, because they cannot think at that level as the parents can think for the welfare of their children.

Dr. Sarabjit Kaur said, she thinks this issue must be resolved by keeping parental touch only, since they all are parents. The safety of the girl students is priority and there should not be any kind of freedom without safety. So, if a girl wants to move in the Campus, there should be proper security and safety for that. The University needs to develop a mechanism to provide freedom and safety hand to hand.

Shri Jarnail Singh said first of all he would like to congratulate the House and the students, as this House has taken the demand of the students as a Memorandum and had a lengthy discussion on it. He said he is really happy for this. The issues raised by students are tender age issues and parents worry a lot about their children. When a child attains the age of 16- 17, his/her first enemy is his/her desire to have freedom and those who could stop them from enjoying it are parents. Parents are very much responsible for them. They need to handle the 24x7 permission issue very seriously because if something untoward happens later on from security point of view, it will be very wrong. Shri Sanjay Tandon ji and other members have elaborated them very well. Speaking on 24x7 permission, he said, to roam about in the campus they can keep the hostels open for 24x7 on trial for three months, but not for going outside, as they are responsible parents too.

Ms. Surinder Kaur stated that girls should not go out at night, but she would like to know from all of them, have they ever thought where a hostel girl goes from 5.00 a.m. to 11.00 p.m. However, the parents do think about it – whether it is the day or the night. If a girl has to do something wrong, she can do it in day time too. There should not be any restriction on girls movement at night, only check should be there. There are certain rules for hostel accommodation. As new session and semester are commencing, they should incorporate new requisite rule(s) in these rules. In these rules, a rule that they are going to open the hostel for 24x7 be incorporated and the signatures of the students as well as their parents be obtained, so that the students can



Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

get freedom and parents are also aware as to where their daughter is going at what time.

Dr. Ameer Sultana stated that she was listening to the debate since long to know about the opinion of the members about gender equality. She had talked to students as well as media and it is her opinion that they could not deny gender equality in the Campus, especially in PU, which is a democratic institution, where there is Syndicate and Senate. But at the same time, it becomes their responsibility to give a conducive environment in the Campus. There are two categories of students, who wish to remain out of the hostels. There should not be any problem of security for girl students, who want to study in the library. They need to think only when the girl students go out of the Campus. From new session, they should introduce biometric attendance for both boys and girls, residing in hostels. The suggestion, which has come, that they should take in writing from parents that their daughter could or could not remain out of the Campus, would again lead to discrimination against the girl students. The University should develop a system/software for all the boys and girls, staying in the hostel, through which a message could immediately go to their parents, whenever the student goes out. Nowadays, admissions are made online and; hence, they have the contact numbers, address and ID of parents. Regarding nomination in PUCASH, she said, it should be there, as suggested by Dr. Gurmeet, if the House approves it. Once Ms. Kanupriya, President, Panjab University Campus Students' Council, had come to attend the meeting, but without the consent of the House, they did not allow her to attend the meeting. Regarding imposition of fine, she said, it should go.

Dr. Neeru Malik stated that she had also stayed in hostel for 10½ years and if facilitation is there in hostels, there are certain rules and regulations too. These rules and regulations are not made to harass or to end their freedom. These rules are to develop life skills amongst the students. She is totally in favour of equality. Therefore, equal rules should be framed for boys and girls. She would like to ask the House which Departmental Library, Cafeteria or Canteen is open after the stipulated time. None! So where would the students like to go? They must have a provision wherein if a student goes out of the hostel, there should be an entry at the hostel as well as on reaching to the library. If a student wants to go to the library or to some other hostel for some work, it could be permitted. She quoted, "*azadi hai apni shakhsiyat ko nibhane ki.*" She further said that freedom is to make themselves competent. However, if they are framing guidelines and creating discipline, then she does not consider it wrong from any angle. If she has spent 10½ years in hostel without her parents, it is just because of the rules and regulations, which gave her the right direction to accept the disciplined life. So, equality in rules would lead to solving the problems.

Dr. Parveen Goyal stated that, according to him, children are children, but since they all are mature, they should take a mature decision. For parents, sons and daughters are alike. There should be same rules for both the sons and daughters. He informed that he has two children, a son and a daughter. They are studying in sixth and seventh classes. If they go for a school picnic, he remained worried about them. However, there is an App, 'Find My Device', through which they could remain in contact with them. Nowadays, they are having latest technology and hence, they should not think as to how they could do it. If their infrastructure is properly arranged, only then they could think of according permission for 24x7; otherwise, it would be wrong. He had discussed the matter in his class, where there were more than 40 students comprising both boys and girls. He asked from them, who amongst them wanted permission for 24x7. They should believe him, only 2-3 students raised their hands in favour of 24x7 permission, and one of them was a girl. He asked her as a brother, why she wants this permission, and she replied that one night she had pain in stomach at

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

12 O'clock and the authorities took half an hour to allow her to go and get medical aid. She was not even provided transport facility for the purpose. He told her, then she should ask for the facility and it is their duty to provide facilities. If the issue related to only to go to Library, they could open a Library Reading Room in each hostel. Someone over here said that they could keep the hostels open 24x7 on trial basis, but according to him, it would amount to putting the matter under the carpet. As has been stated by Shri Sanjay Tandon Ji and others, the safety should be their first priority. All parents worry about the whereabouts of their children, whether they are staying in the hostel or elsewhere. If the parents find that the stay in the Hostel or PG is not secure, they would get education for their children at some other Institution. For example, if girls do not get accommodation in hostel at P.U. Regional Centre, Hoshiarpur, parents prefer to get them admitted in Chitkara or Chandigarh University.

Dr. Subhash Sharma stated that he felt that a lengthy discussion had taken place and two-three issues have come up. The agitation is going on for the last so many days and the administration has tried to handle it effectively. He does not agree with the opinion that the administration has not tried to handle the issue. They have themselves seen it in the newspapers on regular basis and meetings/interactions have continuously been held with the students. The DSW has also explained it briefly. The Vice Chancellor had himself taken the initiative and discussed the issue in the last Syndicate meeting. There too, they were unanimous as to how they have to deal with this issue. However, they should keep two-three things in mind. It is true that students, who are agitating, are the stakeholders, but the other students are also the important stakeholders. A particular sect or organization of students is not the only stakeholder. Hence, when they talked about interest of students, they should involve all the stakeholders (student organizations) in the dialogue, because it might be possible that majority of the students want something else, but they are not coming out or are busy with their own work, and the ones, who are agitating/demanding, are in minority. They should see to it. If there is difference of opinion on the matter amongst the members of Students Council, they should listen to the version of others also. As other members have said, the parents are also very important stakeholders and they could not ignore them. If they ignore the opinions/concerns of parents, it might have an impact on their admissions. Hence, they should also listen to them. They should arrive at a decision after taking into consideration the opinion of all the stakeholders. They might not take a decision in haste or under pressure. At the moment, it might seem to be correct, but in the long run, it might result into complications. Hence, it is his request to the Vice Chancellor that 5-6 people, who are having interest in this issue, be given the responsibility to ponder over all these things keeping in mind the opinion/concerns of all stakeholders and come out with a solution to resolve the issue.

Dr. Baljinder Singh stated that he is really surprised why this issue is going on for the last 40-45 days. He thought they have a system in the University, comprising the DSW Office, two women DSWs, and more than 02 dozen Wardens, to handle the students' affairs. Most of the problems/issues raised by the students pertain to the Hostels. So either they do not have the will to sort out the issue or they were not given the requisite freedom to sort out the issue. In fact, the issue should not have come to the Senate. They have already wasted more than half a day on this issue. Now, since they have inputs from various Hon'ble Members, it is time to pronounce the decision, which has been taken by you Mr. Vice Chancellor.

Dr. Dayal Partap Singh Randhawa stated that the basic work of this organization is to generate human resources – whether boys or girls, which is very important for their country, society and future. Today his question is to all those, who are the successors of Mai Bhago or who acknowledge or have faith in the history of Punjab. In today's time, when girls are joining armed forces and the first IPS woman

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

officer, Dr. Kiran Bedi, who is a product of Panjab University, presently is Lt. Governor. Could they produce such distinguished personalities by imposing restrictions? So they should speak and ponder over seriously with an open mind, even if an issue has been raised by a single girl, whether she is the President of the elected Students' Council or not, to reach to a right conclusion. According to him, there should not be any restriction on Research Scholars, M Phil. Students and girls, who are above the age of 21 years. He suggested that a single Register should be maintained for girls, who are below the age of 21 years. They should be allowed to do their genuine work outside after making necessary entry in the Register. In IISER, FTII, JNU, IIM, Ahmedabad, IIS, Bangalore, IIT, Roorkee, TISS, Mumbai and BITS, Pilani, the provision for such things do existed, and no such restrictions are there. So far as nomination of students' representative in PU Senate is concerned, he said that when he was a student over here and was also active in an organization, nomination of non-teaching staff was not there in Senate. Some people are saying, it is a 'Major Component,' but he is saying, 'The Component.' They are here only because of the students, and if the students are not here, they would also not be here. It is 'The Component.' Addressing to the Vice Chancellor, he said that today he (Professor Raj Kumar) is the Vice Chancellor and he has come by way of appointment. Sixty students, who are studying here and against whom a FIR has been lodged, are not even eligible to get a clerical job. Had there been a charge of an offence under Section 751 against someone, he would also not have been able to get the job of a Clerk. So, keeping in mind the future of these students, they should resolve it today that Status Report, containing the steps taken by the administration to get the FIR withdrawn, be produced in next meeting of Syndicate, as the whole Senate had resolved to withdraw the FIR lodged against the students. He requested the Vice Chancellor, DSW and DUI, to inform as to what they have done till date to get the FIR withdrawn. Do they have a reply? In fact, nobody has any reply. If their ward does not get a job, they remained worried, day and night. Here is the question of future of 70 students, and they are playing with their career. About representation of students in PUCASH, he said, if an act of such nature is committed against a student, then the representation of the students must be there. Speaking about the relevant provision of Law, he said, there is restriction regarding minimum number of members in it; however, there is no such restriction regarding maximum number of members. About students' nomination, he proposed that the House should pass a resolution today and send a letter in this regard to the Hon'ble Chancellor through the Registrar and Vice Chancellor for approval. He requested the Vice Chancellor not to follow the policy of divide and rule, on the premise that students are not united. Ms. Kanupriya is an elected representative of students and they must respect the mandate of the students.

Professor Ronki Ram said they have the copy of the memorandum of students with them and they should now move to resolve the issues, as a lengthy discussion has already taken place; otherwise, they would have to negotiate with the students. Two-three demands are such, on which the House should immediately take the decision. First of all, they should write to the Home Secretary to get the FIR withdrawn, as suggested by Shri Satya Pal Jain. He also requested the Vice Chancellor to write a letter to the Hon'ble Chancellor, on behalf of the Senate, requesting for nomination of students' in the Senate.

Dr. Ajay Ranga stated that, in 1999, Professor Ashok Sahni was the Dean of University Instruction of Panjab University and at that time, there was a Committee, and some members might also be aware of it. Professor Ashok Sahni had submitted a report on collection of fines from the hostel residents. As per the report, at least an amount of Rs.50,000/- per month was collected as fine from each Girls' Hostel. He is talking about the report, which was of 20 years old. He felt that at that time, the fine would have been only Rs.10 or Rs.20, and if Rs.50,000/- was collected at that time,

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

what would be the amount now. He felt that hostels have made it a source of income. It should be decided here in the House that there would be no fine, as not even a single member in the House has supported the same.

At this stage, the Vice Chancellor said, Dr. Ranga, if he has to summarize the things, for what he (Vice Chancellor) is here.

Dr. Ajay Ranga said that he is summarizing from his end. However, the Vice Chancellor could do it from his end. If he is not allowed to summarize, what is the use of speaking.

The Vice Chancellor asked him to just tell his concern and be very specific.

Dr. Ajay Ranga said that he is telling the facts.

The Vice Chancellor asked him not to tell the facts, as the administration already have them.

Dr. Ajay Ranga said that had the administration has the facts, this agitation would not have continued for 45 days.

The Vice Chancellor requested Dr. Ranga to leave this issue.

Dr. Ajay Ranga said that as pointed out by Dr. Subhash Sharma, there is conflict of two ideologies. Perhaps, many Hon'ble Members do not want to get involved in this conflict. But the members, including teachers and the society knew as to why the problem is there. The President of Campus Students' Council represents one ideology and the Vice Chancellor and others represent the other ideology. As such, there is conflict of two ideologies.

The Vice Chancellor requested him not to indulge in such things.

Dr. Ajay Ranga said, Dr. Subhash Sharma had pointed out the same thing, but in a very sophisticated language that there are different groups. Further, they should not divide the society. Since they are children, treat them like children. They should not create groupism.

To this, Dr. Subhash Sharma remarked that he has not said so. Secondly, they should not pass remarks on President of the Council as she could not defend herself. If DSW had said so, he should be asked. The DSW has mentioned in his report that there is a division in the Council. He was just referring to DSW report. He requested the DSW to clarify the things.

Dr. Dayal Partap Singh Randhawa, what he has said is that they should ponder over the suggestion, even if it comes from a student. They should accept it, if it is viable.

Dr. Subhash Sharma said that he also is saying the same things that they should take suggestions from all and also listen to all. Hence, there is no question of dividing anyone.

Dr. Dayal Partap Singh Randhawa said that Dr. Subhash Sharma had said that some people are saying this and some are saying that. Hence, they should not talk of dividing.

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

To this, Dr. Subhash Sharma said that he has not said so and question of dividing anyone does not arise. It was the version of the DSW and they should not misquote him like this, and requested that words should not be put in his mouth.

Dr. Dayal Partap Singh Randhawa said that if he is wrong, he felt sorry. However, they should themselves see video recording. One quotes someone, only when he feels it necessary. He (Dr. Subhash Sharma) had emphasized on it and it meant he wanted to support it in his argument.

Professor Ronki Ram stood up and said there is no question of dividing anyone. If an opinion comes from a member, they should listen to him. Dr. Subhash Sharma also seconded it and said they should listen to all.

At this stage, a din prevailed as Dr. Ajay Ranga, Dr. Subhash Sharma and Dr. Dayal Partap Singh Randhawa started speaking simultaneously.

Shri Jarnail Singh requested the Vice Chancellor to intervene.

The Vice Chancellor requested Dr. Subhash Sharma to calm down, to which, Dr. Subhash Sharma said, what he meant was that they should take opinion of all, as suggested by DSW.

Dr. Ajay Ranga said that the students are sitting in the cold for the last about 42 days and they (authority) did not bother to take the opinion. Had the authority taken it seriously, they might have arrived at some decision.

Dr. Subhash Sharma said that he (Dr. Ranga) should ask the DSW as to why it has not happened.

Shri Varinder Singh pointed out that a Committee was appointed to look into the functioning of DSW office, so how could he do anything. Dr. Ajay Ranga seconded him and said that he might have been forbidden by the Committee to take decision independently.

Professor Emanuel Nahar said that he has noted all the suggestions, which have come from Hon'ble Members. The members should be aware of the fact that rules and regulations, concerning hostels, are approved by the Syndicate and Senate. Charging of fine has also been approved by the Syndicate and Senate and thereafter, published in the Hand Book of Hostels. As such, the Wardens are collecting fine in the Hostels as per the rules approved by the Syndicate and Senate. Hence, Wardens are not doing anything wrong, and as such, no objection should be raised. The collection of fine, should not be taken as a source of income. The office of DSW could not change the rules and regulations, as the same is to be done by the Syndicate/ Senate. The issues concerning collection of fine and maintenance of register have almost got resolved. So far as the remission of fine is concerned, it is for the Senate to decide. Whatever is to be decided by the Senate, they would just follow the same. So far as inter-hostels visit is concerned, he said that as told by Ms. Neeru Malik, they have already given many facilities to students and the system is already in 24x7 mode. They do not stop any student from going to Library. They have never imposed any restriction on senior students or students pursuing Ph.D. and M.Phil. They allow students to go out of the hostel even at 11.00 p.m. They just ask the students to make an entry in the register and inform the Warden. The deadlock was on informing the Warden, as the students were adamant that they would not inform the Warden and would only make an entry in the register while going out. As such, there is deadlock on imposition of fine; otherwise, rest of the problems have been resolved.

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

Some of the members requested the Vice Chancellor to wind up the discussion.

The Vice Chancellor said that he is summarizing and requested the members to listen to him. He is here to wind up, but only with the help of the members.

Professor Emanuel Nahar intervened to say that, one important thing, which he forgot, is that he had talked to the Students' Council and the Executive to resolve the issue(s), but had not said anything about the ideology. Dr. Subhash Sharma seconded it and said what he said was that they should listen to all.

Dr. Ajay Ranga said that this is not true.

Dr. Subhash Sharma said that they could check the recording.

Dr. Ameer Sultana said that once a person has been elected, he/she is an elected member, and does not represent any ideology. Referring Ms. Kanupriya, she said she is an elected President and represents the Council. They should not involve themselves in such type of discussions in the Senate.

The Vice Chancellor requested the members to tell if they have anything else to say. Since no one responded, the Vice Chancellor stated that let him summarize the entire discussion. If they go by the opinion of Hon'ble Members, news items which appeared in the newspapers and the opinion of students, there is a lot of confusion on this particular issue. They should not take all these things into consideration, as they are the respectable members of Senate and Syndicate, who are the policy makers for Panjab University. He respects each and every member of this August House. But at the same time, he being the Chief Executive Officer of this University, he has to see as to how the things could be implemented. He has great vision as well as dream for this University. The University has to march forward with a very good image and he had stated on the very first day of his taking charge that he would lead the University by taking all along. Today he has completed more than four months and could say that he is successful to a great extent. He has been able to resolve several issues, which were pending for the last 9 years or more, which were considered impossible by Officers, Professors, Chairpersons and other stakeholders of the University. He has been able to do all this with the blessings and cooperation of all. The students are foremost, but the teaching and non-teaching staff is also the backbone of the University. Had this been not so, who would listen to the grievances of the students? Accordingly, he took the issue and got it resolved with their cooperation. Hence, they should have to have high thinking and ignore small things. He further stated that Panjab University is a great Institution and he has also come from another great institution, i.e. Banaras Hindu University and Panjab University is not less in any manner. He felt honoured in being the Vice Chancellor of this great Institution. He also requested the Hon'ble Members to consider themselves as a part of policy making of this great Institution, and they have to think on these lines. Sharing with the Hon'ble Members, the Vice Chancellor stated that a letter of appreciation, regarding functioning of the University, has been received by his office from the Hon'ble Chancellor. He has also made the Syndicate members aware of it and thus, a very good image of the University is in the making. The Hon'ble Chancellor has desired to have more inputs from the University as also from all of them. He is successful in making this good image of the University and they have to take it forward. He, being the Executive Head and student of the management, strongly believes in the fastest delivery system. He also strongly believes and trusts in them, and that is why, he delegates the authority. However, a Vice Chancellor could not do each and everything on his own. There are different modes, through which a Vice Chancellor could perform and put things on a faster track. As Vice Chancellor, he has got three years at his disposal and he wants to give maximum to the University during

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

this period. He strikes the date every day for the things he does in a day. He requested the members to come to his office and see themselves that not even a single file is pending on his table. He also appreciated the working of non-teaching staff, be it the Registrar, the Controller of Examination or the Finance and Development Officer, or anybody else, and said it is only with their help, that he is able to clear the files in time. He added that no file remains pending in his office for more than a day. Citing an example, he said that if a file comes to him on 15<sup>th</sup> of the month, the same is cleared by 16<sup>th</sup> and it is on record. He has brought this change and no Dak is pending since the day he has joined the University. Even after leaving his office, he remained available for office work in Camp Office, which is located at his official residence. Anyone could come and talk to him there. He believes in the management concept that there should not be any communication gap. He further stated that he always preferred to talk to everyone respectfully. It has been asked whether he (Vice Chancellor) has gone to the students who have been sitting on dharna. In response to that the Vice Chancellor said that every day the report is sought and it is on the record. He constantly remained in touch with the students as it is their concern as they are their students. The students are the first and foremost for them. He would further like to say that the Senate is the supreme body for taking a decision and formulating the policy. He would put this before the Syndicate. He is thankful that the members of the Syndicate have taken into cognizance. He is also thankful to the Senate that they have given this issue first priority.

Professor Ronki Ram intervened to say it was discussed in the Syndicate when he raised this issue.

Shri Jarnail Singh said that as has been stated that the files in the Vice Chancellor's Office are cleared just on the next day of its receipt, on a point of information, he asked the Vice Chancellor, has the file relating to the students not reached to him?

The Vice Chancellor said that in order to exceleerate the things, perhaps they do not know as to how many people he has to meet. He used to meet them not only once, but so many times.

Dr. Rabinder Nath Sharma wanted to know whether the Vice Chancellor has talked to the representatives of the students.

The Vice Chancellor said that he did talk to them. But if they ask about each and everything whether it has been done or not, it would not be possible.

Dr. Rabinder Nath Sharma said that the students' representatives say that the Vice Chancellor Sahib did not listen to them.

To, this, The Vice Chancellor said that it is entirely wrong. He is a responsible person, so they should not say like this. The entire team is there to take care of the issue. The other thing that they (Senate members) are saying that they have reached now at such a situation where they would be able to do something good. It is absolutely right, the rules/regulations which they people would make, he would act accordingly for which they are more concerned than him. As far as the facilities are concerned, he would like to tell that they should visit the hostels and they would definitely find the change. As on today, reading rooms have been created in almost at all the hostels where these were not available earlier. The reading rooms are very fine and they should definitely visit these reading rooms. In order to enhance the facility for reading, he is trying to exceleerate those facilities in the library also. At the same time he has also to

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

see the available resources. As they are aware, there is a ban on the appointment of teaching faculty. The staff is working very arduously. If they go to the Secrecy Branch, they would find that it is working like a factory. So, he meant to say that everybody is doing his best. If they talk about the security, it is again a real concern of the entire fraternity. About 84 positions of security personnel are lying vacant. The former Registrar had also been writing about this. Every month one/two or more security personnel used to retire. They should also think about it. He has talked to the Chandigarh administration about Home Guards, but they should also keep in mind that they are working in the government system, so the things could not be done so early. Being a student of management, he is justifying the demands of the University and the people are listening to it. Earlier, the SAIF laboratory used to close at 6.00 p.m. but now it is running from 9.00 a.m. to 11.00 p.m. The non-teaching technical staff is not being anything extra for it. Now, he is thinking to extend the timing furthermore, if the security is provided to them. He would be justifying the things on the ground that the Panjab University is working in such a mode, so they should be provided more staff. They are thinking to keep open the SAIF for 24 hours after talking to the concerned people as SAIF is one of the important component in this particular area, the Physics people would know it better. They are not sitting silently. He is sure that they would succeed in what they would like to do. The only issue is that of opening the hostel for 24 hours and there is no other issue. The other things are reframed and remodelled and placed before them as the D.S.W. has been apprising him from time to time. On a point raised by a member that this is not here, the Vice Chancellor said that he is talking on all that what has taken place here in the discussion. This is the most appropriate platform. If they go to the Library, they would find the change over there. There is still a problem as some of the students do sit on the ground. He has opened one unit. He has been told that the students do not go there. But he has to trust his library staff or the DSW. He informed that he has made another parallel arrangement for Sector-25 campus where another reading hall has been created for the students of University Institute of Engineering & Technology. They have also demanded Chairs and security. He told them that it is very difficult to provide security persons as they are shortage of security persons. However, he asked the Controller of Examination who provided 25 Chairs in that reading room. Now, the reading room has been working properly for the last one week. So, to say that this has not been done or that has not been done, is not fair. He is the Executive Head and he is available from 9.00 a.m. to 6.00 p.m. To solve such problems is his first priority. So, there should neither be any doubt, nor they should create any doubt.

As regards the operational part for informing the parents of the students, how this system would work. Who will send the SMS? Who has to do it, that should also be made clear. The system might not prove to be a flop system, so they should summarise it properly.

Dr. K.K. Sharma said that the message would automatically be sent while marking attendance biometric attendance.

The Vice Chancellor asked from where the money would come to purchase biometric machines.

Dr. Vipul Kumar Narang said that they have collected Rs. fifty thousands from fine, why to talk about Rs.10,000/-.

Dr. K.K. Sharma said that minimum Rs. fifteen thousand would be required for each machine.



Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

The Vice Chancellor said that he would arrange the money. But what he would like to say was that it would take some time to do.

Some of the members suggested that it could be started from the next session.

The Vice Chancellor said, what would actually happen, people would question that proposal for installing biometric machines was approved, but it was not done. Now, one thing is clear that it would be done from the next session. He requested the members that they should tell him as to when, how and by whom something would be done and from where the funds would come.

Dr. Vipul Narang offered to donate two biometric machines to which the Vice Chancellor said that they should talk on other vertical.

Dr. Subhash Sharma suggested that a Committee, consisting of 4-5 members, should be constituted to work out the things thoroughly.

The Vice Chancellor said that now they should come to the resolve part. However, they have to decide about it. On the basis of discussion, what he could make out, and it would also be the consensus, is that most of the things in the system are already in 24x7 mode? He thinks, would it be right to allow the students, who had joined the University at the undergraduate level, to enter the hostel up to 11.00 p.m. without any fine? So far as Register is concerned, there should be only one Register in each hostel with various columns as suggested by the members. The Vice Chancellor made it clear that these things would be applicable to both boys and girls and there not be any gender discrimination. As regards fine of Rs.100/-, he agreed not to impose this fine to which some members said that not only fine of Rs.100/- but there should not be any other fine. The Vice Chancellor requested them to listen to him first. Only they are the persons who have to decide it. The other contentious issue is regarding entry in the hostel on 24x7 mode. In that regard he was of the opinion that they should make a Committee which would look into the issue from all aspects and then its recommendations would be placed before the Syndicate and Senate.

Dr. Ameer Sultana said that the Committee should be asked to submit its report in a time bound manner and the rules so framed by the Committee would be the same for boys and girls to which the Vice Chancellor said that it would remain time-bound.

Professor Chaman Lal Sharma said first of all that they should talk only on the four issues, on which consensus has been arrived at and not on the discussion.

Dr. Ameer Sultana requested the Vice Chancellor to work on the proposal which he has received for installing biometric machine in the hostels. If that is done, there would not be any question of 24x7.

The Vice Chancellor said that if they deem fit, they could approved the entry into the hostels up to 11.00 p.m. without any fine. The second thing, on which the consensus is, that there should be only one Register to which the members nodded in the affirmative.

Professor Ronki Ram said, it meant that two demands of the students have been met with.

The Vice Chancellor said so far as the issue of 24x7 is concerned, they should form a Committee which should rigorously look into the matter and make

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

recommendations. The Committee would comprise of D.S.W. (Men & Women), Professor Navdeep Goyal (Chairperson), Shri Satya Pal Jain, Professor J.K. Goswamy, Professor Pam Rajput, Dr. Ameer Sultana and Chief of University Security and the Committee would be authorised to invite anyone to the meeting from time to time. The terms of reference of the Committee would be decided in consultation with Professor Navdeep Goyal so that everything is crystal clear and done in a time bound manner.

Professor R.P. Bambah suggested that a couple of persons from the Campus Students Council should also be included in the Committee, and he was supported by some of the members.

Shri Ashok Goyal suggested that the President, Panjab University Campus Students' Council, must be made a member of the proposed Committee.

The Vice Chancellor said that he was just going to tell them this, but he (Shri Ashok Goyal) gave the suggestion before he could make the announcement.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that he (Vice Chancellor) had told the names of the members of the proposed Committee.

The Vice Chancellor stated that, in fact, what he was going to tell them is that in the as and when mode, they (Committee) could invite any person to the meeting.

Dr. Dayal Partap Singh Randhawa intervened to say that the President, Panjab University Campus Students' Council, should be part and parcel of the Committee from day one to last.

Dr. Ajay Ranga suggested that both Dr. Dayal Partap Singh Randhawa and Shri Varinder Singh should be made members of the Committee.

The Vice Chancellor said, "No", they should listen to him. So far as the representatives of students are concerned, they should keep them all.

At this stage, certain members, including Professor Chaman Lal, suggested that only the President, Panjab University Campus Students' Council, should be made member of the Committee as all could not be made members. Thereafter, a din prevailed as several members started speaking together.

Shri Jarnail Singh suggested that both the President and Secretary of Panjab University Campus Students' Council, should be made members of the Committee.

Dr. Dayal Partap Singh Randhawa said that he is speaking on the constitution and in accordance with the constitution of Panjab University Campus Students' Council, they could call President and Secretary and in their absence, they could call Vice-President and Joint Secretary. He also requested the Vice Chancellor to go through the Constitution of Panjab University Campus Students' Council.

Shri Naresh Gaur also suggested that only the President and Secretary of Panjab University Campus Students' Council, should be made members of the Committee.

Dr. Dayal Partap Singh Randhawa said that if they go through the Constitution of Panjab University Campus Students' Council, they would find that in the absence of President, Vice-President works and in the absence of Secretary, Joint Secretary, and if both are absent, either of the two (Vice-President or Joint Secretary) could go to attend

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

the meeting. However, if he (Vice Chancellor) would like to get the issue discussed at a large scale at students' level, he could depute the Dean Student Welfare, who, as the Chairman of Panjab University Campus Students' Council, could get it discussed in the meeting of the entire house and not in the Executive Members' meeting.

The Vice Chancellor said that it would be seen.

Shri Prabhjit Singh said that whatever has been told by the Vice Chancellor, has been approved, and now, they should move ahead.

To this, Professor Chaman Lal said that nothing such has been approved, and he requested the Vice Chancellor to tell the names of the members of the proposed Committee again.

The Vice Chancellor said that members are right, they should again listen the names of the members of the Committee. The Committee would comprise of Dean Student Welfare (both Men & Women), Professor Navdeep Goyal (Chairperson), Shri Satya Pal Jain, Professor J.K. Goswamy, Professor Pam Rajput, Dr. Ameer Sultana, Professor Ashwani Kaul, Chief of University Security and then Executive and Office Bearers of Panjab University Campus Students' Council.

To this, some of the members, including Professor Chaman Lal said that only the President and Secretary of Panjab University Campus Students' Council should be included in the Committee. When the Vice Chancellor said, "No", Professor Chaman Lal said that this could not be done, and if it is to be done, then let the whole Senate be made members of the Committee.

At this stage, a din prevailed as several members started speaking together.

Shri Varinder Singh said that it is entirely wrong. In fact, he (Vice Chancellor) is twisting the issue. The Panjab University Campus Students' Council comprised of several students, who have alliance with different political parties. He alleged that there is politics behind this.

The Vice Chancellor said that there is nothing like this as they are the students first.

Dr. Ajay Ranga said that though the majority of the members are in favour of inclusion of President and Secretary of Panjab University Campus Students' Council in the Committee, the Vice Chancellor himself does not want.

Dr. Dayal Partap Singh Randhawa said that he (Vice Chancellor) should leave aside the wisdom of all and instead get a secret referendum of the girl students conducted through ballot, if he wants to be so much democratic.

Shri Naresh Gaur said that they should act in accordance with the Constitution of Panjab University Campus Students' Council and make both President and Secretary, members of the Committee.

Dr. Rabinder Nath Sharma said that they should accept the suggestion of the members; otherwise, everything would get scuttled.

The Vice Chancellor said that he would ponder over on each aspect, but let them listen to all.

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

Professor Ronki Ram said that if the Committee is to be constituted, it should be as being suggested by the members.

When a couple of members started speaking together, Dr. Subhash Sharma said that let the Vice Chancellor constitute the Committee. If they started accepting the suggestion of each and every member, they would not be able to reach at the consensus to form the Committee. As such, they should authorize the Vice Chancellor to form the Committee. When Shri Varinder Singh remarked that all this is political, Dr. Subhash Sharma said that had he come to the meeting with a spectacle through such things could be seen. When one's eyes get affected, everything looked him green. He urged the member concerned to remove the said spectacle. When Shri Varinder Singh said something, Dr. Subhash Sharma said it does not mean that he could say whatever he wished.

The Vice Chancellor requested both the members to pacify themselves.

Shri Varinder Singh said that two members of the Panjab University Campus Students' Council have an alliance with the A.B.V.P.

To this, Dr. Subhash Sharma said that nothing such thing is there as they are the members of the Students' Council. None of the office bearer is from the A.B.V.P. Thereafter, some heated exchanges took place between Dr. Subhash Sharma and Shri Varinder Singh and certain members requested them to pacify.

Dr. Ajay Ranga enquired as to what has been decided on the issue, which was being discussed before lunch.

The Vice-Chancellor said that said issue has been resolved and a Committee has already been appointed.

Shri Varinder Singh said that it should be clarified as to what has been resolved.

Dr. Ajay Ranga said that he would like to request the Vice Chancellor to inform them that the Committee has been constituted to allow the students leave and re-enter the Hostel during 24×7.

The Vice-Chancellor said that the Committee has been constituted to look into the issue in its entirety and see as to what could be done.

Dr. Ajay Ranga said that it was being discussed in the House since morning that the students are allowed to leave and re-enter the Hostel at any time, but it is to be implemented is to be considered/examined by the Committee. The Vice-Chancellor had talked about the mechanism as to how it could be implemented.

The Vice-Chancellor said that it has one more aspect that they should also see the view/input of the Court. He is equally concerned with their feelings.

Dr. Ajay Ranga said that Bansal Ji had also asked this and he (Dr. Ranga) also wishes to ask that if there is any direction of the Court, the same should be told.

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

The Vice-Chancellor said that they had already taken a couple of decisions, i.e., (i) That the timings of the Hostels would be up to 11.00 p.m.; (ii) That only one entry for both the boys and girls would be required in the register, and there would be no fine; and (iii) How to implement the 24×7 system is to be considered by the Committee.

Shri Varinder Singh enquired as to who are the members of the Committee.

The Vice-Chancellor said that though the matter has been resolved, he would again inform them.

Dr. Ajay Ranga intervened to say that the Committee should be asked to examine and make recommendations within a stipulated time.

The Vice Chancellor said that since the matter is in the Court, it could not be made time-bound. What they could do is that they would try to sort the issue at the earliest possible.

Dr. Ajay Ranga said that with all due regard to him (Vice Chancellor), no result would be there.

The Vice Chancellor said that they should not be pessimistic; rather, they would be optimistic. He is sure that the members, who are on the Committee, would be able to sort out this issue. So far as the Committee is concerned, it comprised of Professor Navdeep Goyal (Chairman of the Committee), Deans Students Welfare (both Men and Women), Shri Satya Pal Jain, Professor J.K. Goswamy, Professor Pam Rajput, Dr. Ameer Sultana, Professor Ashwani Kaul (Chief of University Security) and Office Bearers of Panjab University Campus Students' Council.

Dr. Gurmit Singh pointed out that Dr. Dayal Partap Singh Randhawa had talked about the First Information Report (FIR), the same should also be taken care of.

The Vice-Chancellor said that he would see as to what action taken on the issue of withdrawal of FIR registered against the students.

Dr. Rabinder Nath Sharma said that it was the decision of the whole House to withdraw the FIR registered against the students.

The Vice-Chancellor said that he would see as to what action taken on the issue, and at what level the issue is at the moment.

The Vice-Chancellor sought the cooperation of all the members and said that they would finish all the agenda items (both of the old agenda as well as new agenda) as he believed in totality and integration of the task.

**RESOLVED:** That –

- (i) no fine for late entry and absentee shall be imposed on the hostel residents;
- (ii) only one register shall be maintained for hostel residents to record their entry and exit at the hostel gate after 11.00 p.m.;

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

(iii) the following Committee be constituted to frame guidelines for other issues related to hostel residents:

1. Professor Navdeep Goyal .... Chairperson
2. Shri Satya Pal Jain
3. Professor Pam Rajput
4. Professor J.K. Goswamy
5. Dr. Ameer Sultana
6. Dean Student Welfare (Men)
7. Dean Student Welfare (Women)
8. Chief of University Security
9. Office bearers of PUCSC
10. Registrar (Secretary Syndicate/Senate)

(iv) The Vice Chancellor would look into the cases of F.I.R. filed against the students in connection with incident occurred on 11<sup>th</sup> April, 2017.

**IV.**

When the meeting resumed after lunch, the Vice Chancellor stated that he has taken feedback from the members and majority of them wanted to take up maximum of the agenda items, i.e., both new as well as old agenda items. Though the old agenda items needed discussion, he wanted to get discussed maximum items of the old agenda.

Professor Rajesh Gill said that she would like to thank and convey sincere appreciation, on her own behalf and on behalf of Panjab University Teachers' Association, to Professor Raj Kumar, Vice Chancellor, for making persistent efforts for taking up the issue of grant of Ph.D. increments to teachers at the University Grants Commission (UGC) and Ministry of Human Resource Development (MHRD) levels and get the same resolved, for which they are very grateful to him (Vice Chancellor).

Shri Prabhjit Singh said that they all endorse the sentiments expressed by Professor Rajesh Gill, and the members appreciated the gesture of the Vice Chancellor by thumping of desks.

Dr. Ajay Ranga said that this issue has been resolved with the concerted and personal efforts made by Professor Raj Kumar, Vice Chancellor.

The Vice Chancellor said that with the grace of Lord Shiva and Guru Nanak Dev Ji, he would continue with lot of things.

Dr. Dalip Kumar said that he, on his own behalf and on behalf of College teachers, appreciates the efforts put in by the Vice Chancellor for getting the issue of increments of Ph.D. increments of teachers resolved because in the Colleges situated in Union Territory of Chandigarh 134 cases of the teachers for grant of Ph.D. increments are pending. Now, the Chandigarh Administration had also issued letter to work out the modalities.

Shri Jagdeep Kumar said that he, on his own behalf and on behalf of Punjab and Chandigarh College teachers' Union, thanks the Vice Chancellor for getting the issue of increments of Ph.D. increments of teachers resolved.

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

**V.** **Items C-1** on the agenda was read out, viz. –

**C-1.** To elect (by simple majority vote) Two Fellows (Non-Syndics) as members of Board of Finance for a term of one year i.e. from 1.2.2019 to 31.1.2020, under Regulation 1.1(iv) at page 37 of P.U., Calendar Volume I, 2007.

**NOTE:** 1. The following three valid nominations duly proposed and seconded, have been received:

1. Dr. Dalip Kumar  
Associate Professor  
P.G. Govt. College for Girls  
Sector-42, Chandigarh
2. Dr. Mukesh Arora  
Professor  
S.C.D. Govt. College  
Ludhiana
3. Shri Raghbir Dyal  
Near Dr. Madan Mohan Hospital  
Bathinda Road, Bye Pass Chowk  
Sri Muktsar Sahib  
Punjab

2. The candidature of the above persons is provisional subject to their being **not** elected as members of the Syndicate in the ensuing election on 16.12.2018.

The Vice-Chancellor said that since none of the candidates withdrew his candidature on the floor of the House, and as there were more candidates than the vacancies, the matter be decided by votes.

The following persons were appointed Tellers:

1. Shri Naresh Gaur for Shri Raghbir Dyal
2. Principal N.R. Sharma for Professor Mukesh Arora
3. Dr. Jarnail Singh for Dr. Dalip Kumar.

Thereafter, the Vice-Chancellor requested the members to cast their votes.

After the polling and counting of votes, it was declared that the votes polled, invalid votes, and the votes secured by the candidates are as given below:–

Total votes polled	:	61
Invalid votes	:	00
Valid votes	:	61

Votes secured by the candidates –

1. Dr. Dalip Kumar : 56  
Associate Professor  
P.G. Govt. College for Girls  
Sector-42, Chandigarh

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

- |    |  |   |    |
|----|--|---|----|
| 2. | Dr. Mukesh Arora<br>Professor<br>S.C.D. Govt. College<br>Ludhiana  | : | 13 |
| 3. | Shri Raghbir Dyal<br>Near Dr. Madan Mohan Hospital<br>Bathinda Road, Bye Pass Chowk<br>Sri Muktsar Sahib<br>Punjab | : | 50 |

**RESOLVED:** That the following two Fellows (Non-Syndics), be declared elected as members of Board of Finance, for a term of one year, i.e., from 1.2.2019 to 31.1.2020, under Regulation 1.1(iv) at page 37 of P.U. Calendar, Volume I, 2007:

1. Dr. Dalip Kumar  
Associate Professor  
P.G. Govt. College for Girls  
Sector-42, Chandigarh
2. Shri Raghbir Dyal  
Near Dr. Madan Mohan Hospital  
Bathinda Road, Bye Pass Chowk  
Sri Muktsar Sahib  
Punjab

When the members congratulated Shri Raghbir Dyal on his being elected to the Board of Finance, Shri Raghbir Dyal said that he thanks all the members from the core of his heart for reposing faith in him and giving him an opportunity to serve the University so far as financial matters are concerned.

Professor Mukesh Arora said that he also thanks all the members.

Dr. Dalip Kumar said that he also thanks all the members.

The Vice-Chancellor congratulated Shri Raghbir Dyal and Dr. Dalip Kumar on their being elected on the Board of Finance.



Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

**VI.** Considered the following recommendations of the Board of Finance (**Item 2 on the agenda**) contained in the minutes of its meeting dated 13.11.2018 (Items 1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 8, 10, 13, 14,16,18 & 19) as endorsed by the Syndicate dated 18.11.2018 (Para 2) –

**Item 1**

It be noted that the minutes of the meeting of Board of Finance dated 16.07.2018 were got confirmed through circulation vide email dated 18.7.2018. After confirmation, the same had been notified vide No.4290-4301/FDO dated 24.7.2018/25.7.2018.

**Item 2**

That the Action taken report on the following items considered and approved in the meeting of Board of Finance dated 16.07.2018, be noted:

<b>Item No.</b>	<b>Agenda Item</b>	<b>Decision of BOF</b>	<b>Action taken</b>
1.	Revised Estimates 2018-2019	Approved	The revised estimates have been notified to all Departments/branches for compliance.
2.	Audited Balance Sheet for the Financial Year 2017-2018	The financial statements which stand audited by the local audit department cannot be considered for approval or disapproval. The Board of Finance can only recommend it to the Syndicate/Senate for onward submission to the office of CAG.  After discussion, it was resolved that let the final observation of the Local Audit Department with respect to the comments of University be received, then the financial statement shall be put up before the Board of Finance for onward submission to the office of CAG.	The audited balance sheet with the final comments of the audit has been submitted to the CAG vide No. 4762/FDO dated 29.8.2018. <b>(appended as a separate document).</b>
10.	Sanction of Rs.707000/- for issuance of Commemorative Postage Stamp on Professor Ram Chand Paul	Approved	Stamp is to be released on 28.2.2019

Items 3 to 9 and 11 to 14 were not taken up in the meeting of the Board of Finance dated 16.07.2018.

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018**Action taken report on other aspects**

Status of Construction of Multipurpose Auditorium	Members desired to put up the complete status of the project	Status report of the XEN is enclosed as <b>Appendix-A (Page-1)</b>
Status of Audit paras	Members desired that a meeting of FDO and Special Secretary Finance U.T. Chandigarh may be convened to settle outstanding paras	A meeting was held on 14.9.2018, in which on the basis of replies submitted by Panjab University, 36 Nos. of audit paras have been settled <b>Appendix -B (Page 2 to 5)</b>

**NOTE:** After deliberations, members expressed satisfaction over the Action Taken Report with following observations:

1. A report may be presented in the next meeting of BoF with respect to the previous decisions of BoF where the final action is pending.
2. Regarding sanction of Rs.7,07,000/- for issuance of commemorative postal stamp, it was desired that a clarification be issued to all the members of the Senate (through e-mail) regarding usage of such expenditure in the light of discussion which had taken place in the last meeting of Senate.
3. With regard to completion of ongoing project of Multipurpose Auditorium, members desired that a mechanism be put in place to ensure timely completion of this prestigious project. Keeping in view the space constraint being faced in the existing Senate Hall, it may also be explored if one of the small halls in such auditorium with seating capacity up to 130 can be designed in a manner so as to use it for the meetings of the Senate.
4. Further efforts be made in coordination with Special Secretary, Finance, U.T, Chandigarh to clear the pending audit paras and an updated status of outstanding paras may be provided.

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018**Item 3**

That the Budget Estimates 2019-20 duly recommended by the Budget Estimate Committee as per **Appendix-I & II**, be approved.

The summarized position of Revised Budget is as follows:

**Revenue Receipts:****(Rupees in lacs)**

Sr. No	Heads of Income	Actuals		Revised	Estimates	% increase/decrease of BE over RE
		2017-18	01.04.18 to 30.10.18			
<b>(A)</b>	<b>REVENUE RECEIPTS</b>					
<b>I</b>	Fee of Examinations	14958.24	7897.02	15354.25	15530.00	<b>1.14%</b>
<b>II</b>	Partially Self-Financed Departments	5319.06	3678.82	5990.98	6550.00	<b>9.33%</b>
<b>III</b>	University Teaching Departments (Traditional)	907.41	631.06	973.82	1450.00	<b>48.90%</b>
<b>IV</b>	Registration Certificate/CET fee, etc.	2127.37	1808.94	2161.05	2210.10	<b>2.27%</b>
<b>V</b>	University School of Open Learning	1456.13	1187.94	1648.06	1950.00	<b>18.32%</b>
<b>VI</b>	Income from Hostels	1231.91	646.96	1349.00	1429.94	<b>6.00%</b>
<b>VII</b>	Income from Sports Fee (PUSC)	420.66	227.30	435.00	440.00	<b>1.15%</b>
<b>VIII</b>	Pub. Bureau, Lib. Fee & Research Journals	25.15	15.03	31.05	40.00	<b>28.82%</b>
<b>IX</b>	Other Income (i.e. Interest, Affiliation fee, Late fee, Sale of Admission forms, Rent of Guest Houses & Sale of Scraps etc.)	790.37	428.34	876.50	900.00	<b>2.68%</b>
<b>X</b>	Non-recurring receipts such as Lapsed Securities, Rotational Entrance Test, prior period Income etc.	1039.09	454.76	500.00	250.00	<b>-50.00%</b>
	Total (Revenue Receipts)	28275.39	16976.17	29319.71	30750.04	<b>4.88%</b>

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

<b>(B)</b>	<b>ANNUAL MAINTENANCE GRANTS</b>					
	(a) UGC/MHRD	20780.00	11000.00	22027.00	23348.62	<b>6.00%</b>
	(b) Govt. of Punjab	2700.00	3539.07	3462.00	3633.72	<b>4.96%</b>
	Total (Annual Maintenance Grants)	23480.00	14539.07	25489.00	26982.34	<b>5.86%</b>
	Grand Total (A+B)	51755.39	31515.24	54808.71	57732.38	<b>5.33%</b>

**NOTE:** The estimated income includes additional revenue to the tune of Rs.9-10 crore, which has been projected in view of various measures proposed by the University, such as enhancement in the enrolment of students in USOL, initiation of MOOCs courses, enhancement of seats in certain courses, admission of Foreign/NRI students and fee structure of Foreign/NRI students, rationalization of fee structure of existing courses, if required. The above projections shall be reviewed at the time of formation of Revised Estimates 2019-20.

**B) Revenue Expenditure:****(Rupees in lacs)**

<b>Sr. No</b>	<b>Heads of Expenditure</b>	<b>Actuals 2017-18</b>	<b>01.4.2018 to 25.10.2018</b>	<b>Revised Estimate 2018-19</b>	<b>Estimate 2019-20</b>	<b>% increase /decrease of BE over RE</b>
<b>1</b>	Salaries	*32457.31	19010.87	^34069.41	^36152.63	<b>6.11%</b>
<b>2</b>	i) Retirement Benefit (Leave-encashment/ Gratuity etc.)	*2969.81	876.18	1766.50	2038.44	<b>15.39%</b>
	ii) Provision for Pension	7388.07	4672.29	¥8009.64	8344.72	<b>4.18%</b>
<b>3</b>	Medical Assistance/ medicines	454.58	282.87	509.00	509.00	<b>0.00%</b>
<b>4</b>	LTC/HTC	165.85	27.68	70.00	91.50	<b>30.71%</b>
<b>5</b>	Books & Journals, Publications etc.	419.02	262.31	1062.59	992.59	<b>-6.59%</b>

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

<b>6</b>	Teaching & Research Aids and Other outreach activities	240.08	57.41	348.02	318.93	<b>-8.36%</b>
<b>7</b>	Scholarships/Fellowship/ Subsidy/ Contribution etc.	292.09	94.99	473.06	459.51	<b>-2.86%</b>
<b>8</b>	New Academic Programme, NAAC Fee, Registration Fee etc.	7.35	5.10	52.24	24.13	<b>-53.81%</b>
<b>9</b>	Conducting Examinations (except Salary Components)	3469.74	1381.29	3768.65	3856.45	<b>2.33%</b>
<b>10</b>	Office & Other General Administration expenditure	606.62	239.26	806.31	834.26	<b>3.47%</b>
<b>11</b>	Electricity & Water Charges	929.55	532.87	1187.21	1190.73	<b>0.30%</b>
<b>12</b>	Running, Repair & Maintenance of equipments and vehicles etc.	187.54	83.61	285.72	322.33	<b>12.81%</b>
<b>13</b>	Annual Repair, Maintenance & Minor Improvements (Civil, Electrical, Public health etc.)	553.75	316.62	₹942.27	1084.70	<b>15.12%</b>
<b>14</b>	Refund of fee & Other Non-recurring expenditure <sup>β</sup>	-236.60	67.85	29.61	27.00	<b>-8.81%</b>
<b>15</b>	Hostel Expenditure (excluding Salaries of regular employees)	755.05	347.72	925.53	971.09	<b>4.92%</b>
<b>16</b>	Expenditure on Sports Activities (PUSC)	472.59	89.02	531.50	559.90	<b>5.34%</b>
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>51132.40</b>	<b>28347.94</b>	<b>54837.26</b>	<b>57777.91</b>	<b>5.36%</b>

\* The actual expenditure of 2017-18 also includes the accrued expenditure including the provision for gratuity & leave encashment with respect to teachers who have been allowed to continue beyond the age of 60 years as per the interim direction of Hon'ble High Court. The actual disbursement shall be made against the liabilities as reflected in the Balance Sheet.

^ Salary provision includes estimated liability for filling up of 27 teaching positions (Assistant Professors), 1 Dean College Development Council, 1 Chief Security Officer and Deputy Registrar as approved by the MHRD.

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

- ₹ The provision for pension has been projected keeping in view various factors such as expected enhancement in the rate of DA, addition of new pensioners, number of pensioners attaining the age of 70 years, 75 years, 80 years and so on, as on reaching such age limit(s), there are quantum jump(s) in the amount of pension on account of grant of old age pension.
- £ The provision under this head has been enhanced to meet the emergent need of repair for various old buildings.
- B The expenditure under the head "Refund of Fee" varies unevenly depending upon the actual number of fee refund cases. Because of adjustment of provision created in 2016-17, the expenditure of 2017-18 turn out to be negative. Till financial year 2016-17 the refund of fee was reflected as expenditure. However from financial year 2017-18 the refund of fee has been reflected as reduction in income.

**NOTE:** The total revenue expenditure shall be restricted to the extent of actual income of a given financial year. develop

**Item 5**

That the enhancement of emoluments of Medical Officers be approved as follows:

Sr. No	Category of posts	Last revision/fixation	Emoluments	
			Current	Proposed
1.	Medical Officers (full time) on contract basis	Revised vide BOF/ Syndicate/Senate dated	Rs. 45000/-	Rs.62738/-
2.	Medical Specialists (2 hours duty)	27.05.2014/ 17.08.2014/ 28.09.2014	Rs. 20000/-	Rs 27883/-
3.	Visiting Consultant (4 hours duty)		Rs. 25000/-	Rs.34854/-
4.	Part Time Specialists in various fields i.e. Gynae, Radiology & Eye etc.(2 hours duty)		Rs. 20000/-	Rs.27883/-
5.	Dr. B.S. Lal (continuing on re-employed basis)	April, 2012 (at the time of attaining the superannuation)	Rs. 51851/-	Rs.72289/-

(Mrs. Garima Singh, Special Secretary Finance, Govt. of Punjab recorded her dissent)

- NOTE:**
1. The Chief Medical Officer (CMO) initiated a note highlighting the need to revise the emoluments of Medical Officers. The note of CMO is attached **Appendix-V (Page 24)**.
  2. A Committee was constituted by the Vice-Chancellor to look into the matter. The Committee proposed an increase of 39.42% for enhancement in emoluments of Medical Officers (Full time on contract basis), considering the minimum pay

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

of a Medical Officer in the pay band of Rs. 15600-39100 + GP 5400 **Appendix – VI (Page 25 to 26)** as follows:

Basic Pay	: Rs. 15600/-
GP	: Rs. 5400/-
NPA (@ 25% of BP + GP)	: Rs. 5250/-
DA (@ 139% of BP +GP+NPA)	: Rs. 36488/-
<b>Total</b>	<b>: Rs. 62738/-</b>

Present emoluments : Rs. 45000/-

Percentage increase required : 39.42% i.e.  $\left[ \frac{62738-45000 \times 100}{45000} \right]$

The Committee recommended the increase in emoluments of all the other doctors (falling under various categories) in the same proportions i.e. 39.42%.

3. The above agenda item was placed before the Board of Finance in its meeting dated 16.7.2018 vide Agenda Item No. 5. However, the same could not be discussed in the said meeting. The comments of MHRD which were received in respect to the above item are reproduced here below:

*“This may be met out of internal revenue of the University and no claims by the University will be entertained by UGC/MHRD”.*

**Financial Liability : Rs. 12,62,196/- p.a. (approx.)**

#### **Item 7**

That the following recommendation of the Committee dated 01.12.2017 Appendix-VIII (Page-28) constituted by the Joint Consultative Machinery (JCM), duly approved by the Vice Chancellor be approved:

*“That their (Library Assistants) initial consolidated salary of Rs.20,900/- p.m. (fixed in 2013-2014) be increased notionally @ 3% p.a. w.e.f. the dates, they rejoined the Panjab University upto the year 2017 and accordingly their pay also be fixed notionally upto the year 2017. The financial benefits shall accrue to them from the year 2017 onwards. They may further be granted enhancement @3% annually every year. Accordingly establishment section will compile the data along with office note and financial implications and will place the same before the next meeting of Board of Finance.*

*Further Committee also decided that to avoid discrimination amongst the non-teaching employees in different categories who are neither covered under D.C. rates as they are already drawing higher salary than D.C. rates and nor any other type of annual revision, may also be granted 3% annual increase on their fixed salary. Establishment section will compile the data of all such employee along with office note and financial implications and will place the same before the next meeting of Board of Finance.”*

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

**NOTE: 1.** The Library Assistant working on contract basis in the University has filed a Writ Petition No. 2575 of 2015 in Hon'ble Punjab and Haryana High Court for granting them benefit of full scale. The Hon'ble Court in the case issued an interim order directing the University to take decision on the issue.

**2.** This issue was considered by the JCM in the meeting on 17.7.2017 which authorized a Committee of following members to make suitable recommendations:

- (i) Dr. Gurdip Kumar Sharma (Chairman)
- (ii) Registrar, P.U.
- (iii) Finance & Development Officer, P.U.
- (iv) Office Supdt. (Estt-IV) (Convener)  
(on behalf of AR (Estt.))

The Committee gave above recommendation for Library Assistants and also for other similarly situated contractual employees. The recommendation of the committee duly approved by the Vice Chancellor is annexed as Appendix as above. The last pay was fixed/revised in FY 2013-14.

**3.** The above recommendations of the Committee has also been placed before the Court in one of hearing dated 8.2.2018. Now, the case is fixed for 12.11.2018 in which final decision of Competent Authority i.e. BOF/Syndicate/Senate is to be placed before the Hon'ble Court.

**4.** The above agenda item was placed before the Board of Finance in its meeting dated 16.7.2018 vide Agenda Item No. 7. However, the same could not be discussed in the said meeting. The comments of MHRD which were received in respect to the above item are reproduced here below:

*“MHRD is of a view that University may have to appeal against the decision of Hon'ble High Court. This proposal should be discussed in the FC meeting and appropriate decision be taken. No funds can be given for this purpose from the funds of UGC/ MHRD”.*

**5.** The financial liability complied by Establishment Branch is as follows:

**Library Assistants (29)**

01.01.2018 to 31.03.2018 : Rs.2,91,850/-  
(approx.)

2018-19 (01.04.2018 to 31.03.2019) : Rs.13,35,160/-p.a.  
(approx.)



**Junior Engineers (03)**

01.01.2018 to 31.03.2018 : Rs.34,020/-  
(approx.)

2018-19(01.04.2018 to 31.03.2019) : Rs.1,60,560/-p.a.  
(approx.)

**Item 8**

That the following Teaching & Non-Teaching positions be sanctioned for newly established Panjab University Constituent Colleges at Dharamkot and Ferozepur from the session 2018-19, the entire funding of which is being/shall be met by the Govt. of Punjab:

<b>Faculty/Non-Faculty Positions</b>		<b>P.U.C.C</b>	<b>P.U.C.C</b>	<b>Total</b>
<b>Category</b>	<b>Details/ Designation/ Subject</b>	<b>Dharamkot Moga</b>	<b>Ferozepur Mokham Khan Wala</b>	
<b>Teaching</b>	<b>Principal</b> (Rs.37400-67000 + GP 10000)	1	1	<b>2</b>
	<b>Assistant Professors</b> (Rs.15600 -39100 + GP 6000/7000/8000)	7	7	<b>14</b>
	<b>Sub-Total (Teaching)</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>Non-Teaching</b>	<b>Superintendent</b> (Rs.15600-39100 + GP 5400)	1	1	<b>2</b>
	<b>Clerk-cum-Data Entry Operator</b> (Rs.10300-34800 + GP 3200/3600)	1	1	<b>2</b>
	<b>Library Assistant</b> (Rs.10300-34800 + GP 4400)	1	1	<b>2</b>
	Outsource of Services for each college i.e. Security, Sanitation/ Cleanliness and Horticulture etc. <b>Security Guards</b> (4 each), <b>Peons</b> (3 each) <b>Mali</b> (3 each) & <b>Cleaner</b> (3 each)			
	<b>Sub-Total (Non-Teaching)</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>Grand Total (Teaching + Non-Teaching)</b>				<b>22</b>

**NOTE: 1.** The Syndicate and Senate in its meetings dated 19.08.2016 and 03.09.2016 respectively approved to run these colleges as P.U. Constituent Colleges w.e.f. the session 2016-2017.

**2.** The above issue was placed before the Board of Finance in its meeting held on 15.11.2016, Agenda Item No.1 but the same was deferred as the Govt. of Punjab was yet to sanction the additional grant for these colleges.

**3.** It has been agreed that the full liability of these two constituent colleges shall be borne by the Punjab Government in the same manner as in the case of other four Constituent colleges. No liabilities shall be passed on to Central Government on this account.

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

The teaching and non-teaching positions should be filled strictly as per the UGC/ State Government norms.

4. The Govt. of Punjab has allocated additional grant of Rs.2.00 crores for those two Constituent colleges in addition to the grant of Rs. 6.00 crores sanctioned earlier for four constituent colleges.
5. The above agenda item was placed before the Board of Finance in its meeting dated 16.7.2018 vide Agenda Item No. 11. However, the same could not be discussed in the said meeting. The comments of MHRD which were received in respect to the above item are reproduced here below:

*“MHRD has no objection on this proposal if the financial expenditure is fully met by the Govt. of Punjab as indicated by the University in the agenda. In the resolution, it is clearly indicated that these teaching and non teaching positions will be fully funded by Govt. of Punjab at present and in future also. In no case UGC/ MHRD will take these positions for funding and this shall be solo responsibility of the University/ Govt. of Punjab.”*

*Financial Liability : Rs.105.52 lacs p.a. approx. (for each College)*

**Item 10**

That the recommendation of the Vice-Chancellor regarding revision in monthly Sumptuary expenses for the following senior functionaries of the University out of the budget Head “General Administration” sub Head “Sumptuary Expenses” w.e.f the financial year 2019-2020 be approved:

<b>Designation</b>	<b>Existing limit</b>	<b>Rates last revised (BOF)</b>	<b>Proposed Revision</b>
Vice-Chancellor	Rs.15000/- p.m.	5.9.2014	Rs. 20000/-
DUI	Rs. 7500/- p.m.	11.2.2013	Rs. 9000/-
Registrar	Rs. 7000/- p.m.	11.2.2013	Rs. 8500/-
COE	Rs. 6000/- p.m.	15.2.2016	Rs. 6500/-
Dean Research	Rs. 3000/- p.m.	11.2.2013	Rs. 3500/-
DCDC	Rs. 3000/- p.m.	11.2.2013	Rs. 3500/-
FDO	Rs. 3000/- p.m.	15.2.2016	Rs. 3500/-

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

Chief Vigilance Officer	Rs. 3000/-p.m.	15.2.2016	Rs. 3500/-
Chief of University Security	Rs. 700/- p.m.	11.2.2013	Rs. 1000/-
Deputy Registrars (Administrative Offices)	Rs. 700/- p.m.	11.2.2013	Rs. 1000/-
Manager Press	Rs. 700/- p.m.	11.2.2013	Rs. 1000/-
Senior Law Officer	Rs. 1000/- p.m.	27.5.2014	Rs. 1200/-

**Financial Liability : Rs. 1,39,200 /- p.a. approx**

**Item 13**

That the Pay Scale of following Draftsman Cadre posts existing in the Panjab University be re-revised in terms of Punjab Govt. notification No.7/33/2011-3 FP1/593 dated 30.12.2016 Appendix -X (Page 31 to 32) issued by the Department of Finance, w.e.f. 01.01.2017 as under:

Name of the Post	Existing						Proposed		
	Pay scales as on 1.1.2006			Pay scales applicable w.e.f. 1.12.2011			Pay scales re-revised w.e.f. 1.1.2017		
	Pay Band	Grade Pay	Initial Pay	Pay Band	Grade Pay	Initial Pay	Pay Band	Grade Pay	Initial Pay
<b>Works Department (Drawing Section)</b>									
Head Draftsman-1	10300-34800	4200	16290	....	....	....	10300-34800	5000	18450
Draftsman-1	10300-34800	3800 (in PU GP 4200)	14590	10300-34800	4200	16290	10300-34800	4600	18030
<b>Architect Unit</b>									
Sr. Draftsman-(Architectural)/Architectural Assistant)-1*	10300-34800	4400/5000	17420/18450	....	....	....	10300-34800	5400/5400	2030/21000
Draftsman/Architectural Head Draftsman-1	10300-34800	4200/4400	16290/17420	....	....	....	10300-34800	5000/5400	18450/20300
<b>Construction Unit</b>									
Draftsmen/Architectural Sr.Draftsmen-3	10300-34800	4200	16290	....	....	....	10300-34800	5000	18450

The scale of post of Sr. Draftsman (Architectural) P.U. is equivalent to that of circle Head Draftsman in Panjab Government.

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

- NOTE:**
1. Panjab University follows pay scales of Punjab Government.
  2. Punjab Government has re-revised the pay scale of Draftsman/Head Draftsman/Circle Head Draftsman/Chief Draftsman as per Appendix 'A' with condition that these pay scales would be 'interim' in nature and would require ratification by the next Pay Commission i.e. 6th Punjab Pay Commission constituted by the Government of Punjab.
  3. The above matter was placed in the meeting of the Board of Finance dated 01.08.2017 vide Agenda Item No.6 wherein it was apprehended that the scales of draftsmen of engineering wing is different from Architectural wing and thus it was resolved that a clarification be sought from the Punjab Government in this regard.
  4. In view of the above decision, the University sought clarification vide letter No.10414/Estt. dated 23.08.2018 from Govt. of Punjab. The Panjab Govt. supplied the copy of Notification No.7/33/2011-3FPI/593 dated 30.12.2016. The notification of Punjab Govt. does not differentiate in the scales of draftsman of Engineering Wing & Architect Wing.

**Financial liability : Rs.2.53 lac p.a. (approx.)**

#### **Item 14**

That the proposal of setting up of 66 KVA electricity sub-station in Panjab University Campus, Sector-14, having capital cost of Rs.22.90 crore and annual recurring operational & Maintenance cost of Rs.2.21 crores be approved and prescribed procedure shall be followed for procurement, installation and commissioning.

- NOTE:**
1. The current electricity load of Sector-14 Campus of Panjab University is more than 5 mega watt. As per the Joint Electricity Regulatory Commissions Guidelines the University is required to set up its own 66 KVA Substation. In the absence of such substation no new electricity connection or extension of load is being allowed by the electricity Department of U.T., Chandigarh.
  2. Panjab University, being one of the Premier Research University of the country, has been recognized by various research funding bodies of the country for grant of research support under various research funding schemes. To pursue the research agenda of the University, the faculty members have been pursuing various research projects and schemes, which are more than 200 in numbers. Most of these research projects require various sophisticated

equipments, which are being procured out of the grants released by the research funding bodies.

Needless to mention that to make these equipments functional, the University has to seek extension in power load from the Electricity Department of U.T. Administration, Chandigarh. However, the electricity Department of U.T. Administration, Chandigarh has refused to grant any further extension of load in Sector-14 Campus due to the reason as explained above.

3. In this regard, the University has approached Power Grid Corporation of India (Public Sector Undertaking of Government of India) to set up the proposed 66 KVA substation. Necessary spade work in this regard has already been undertaken by the University and a detailed report for setting up of 66 KVA substation has been formulated **Appendix-XI (Page 33 to 38)**. The total expenditure involved for setting up of 66 KVA substation is as follows:

Estimated One time capital : Rs.22.90 crores  
cost

Annual operation and cost : Rs.02.21 crores  
maintenance

4. Presently an amount of Rs.21.25 crore is available out of special grant of Rs. 80.00 crores (sanctioned in 2009-10) including interest earned thereon.
5. The University vide letter dated 19.09.2017 has proposed to transfer the available amount to U.T. Chandigarh with request to take up this project on behalf of Panjab University **Appendix-XII (Page 39 to 40)**. However, in one of the meeting with the then Vice Chancellor, it was conveyed that this project cannot be undertaken by the U.T. Administration and it was advised that this project be undertaken by the University at its own level.

#### **Item 16**

1. That the revised pay scale as per 7<sup>th</sup> CPC in pursuance of UGC notification dated 02/11/2017 and 8/11/2017, be implemented and additional grant /budget allocation from MHRD/UGC, be sought for the above implementation as below:
  - One time additional grant of Rs. 77.87 crore for payment of arrears of pay revision for the period 1.1.2016 to 31.3.2019.
  - Addition in annual recurring 'Salary Grant' of Rs. 30.52 crore over and above the amount of Rs. 233.49 crore

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

which stands determined for 2019-20 as per the formula of 6% annual growth.

2. A communication be made to Punjab Govt. for implementation of revised pay scales for non-teaching staff and pensioners as early as possible.

**NOTE:** The above issue was put up before the Board of Finance in its meeting dated 28.11.2017 wherein it was observed by the representative of the Government of Punjab as well as UGC that pay scale as per 7<sup>th</sup> CPC for teachers and other cadres drawing pay in UGC scale should be implemented only after the notification of the same by the Government of Punjab.

In the above context, a committee was constituted by the Vice-Chancellor which deliberated the above issue and recommended that the adoption and implementation of 7<sup>th</sup> CPC for teaching faculty and all other cadres drawing pay in UGC scale may not be linked with the Government of Punjab as the grant for the same is being released directly by the UGC. The copy of the minutes of the meeting of the committee is enclosed as **Appendix- XXIV (Page-74 to 76)**.

**Item 18**

That the following decision of the Vice-Chancellor be ratified:

- (i) For sanctioning honorarium @2500/- p.m. to the wardens working in Sarvadaman Chowla Hall (International Hostel), Amrita Shergil Girls Hostel, P.U. Regional Centre, Ludhiana, Boys Hostel Swami Sarvanand Giri P.U. Regional Centre, Hoshiarpur and Girls Hostel, Swami Sarvanand Giri, P.U. Regional Centre, Hoshiarpur w.e.f July, 2017.

**NOTE: 1.** The Proposed payment of honorarium to all the four wardens shall be made out of the Budget Head "**Honorarium to the Warden @ 2500/- p.m. fixed**".

2. The above agenda item was placed before the Board of Finance in its meeting dated 16.7.2018 vide Agenda Item No. 13. However, the same could not be discussed in the said meeting. The comments of MHRD which were received in respect to the above item are reproduced here below:

*"University in the first instance must have to take the exercise for the payment of*

*honorarium in other central universities and state university and prepare a comparative statement and then take a considered view. These funds cannot be met from the UGC/ MHRD grants”.*

3. Besides above 4 hostels, there are 19 hostels for which BOF has already approved the rate of honorarium @ Rs. 2500 p.m. to the respective Hostel wardens.

- (ii) To note the following action which was taken in pursuance of the notification of Govt. of Punjab:

Release of arrears of Interim Relief w.e.f 1.1.2017 to 30.9.2017 in terms of circular of Punjab Government issued vide No. 6/1/1995-1FP1/86 dated 16.2.2017, the office orders of which have been circulated vide No.1835-2034/A dated 8.5.2018 **Appendix- XXVI (Page 79 to 80).**

- (iii) To note the adoption of following circular of Punjab Government No. 23/6/2016-4 FP. 2/01 dated 1.1.2008 w.r.t Assured Career Progression (ACP) Scheme of 4, 9 and 14. **Appendix- XXVII (Page 81 to 82).**

- (iv) The Board of Finance noted the following decision of the Syndicate:

To enhance the subsidies for organizing Youth and Heritage Festivals being organized by Department of Youth Welfare and increase in daily allowances & accommodation for students/ Honorarium for Judges, Experts and Resource Persons w.e.f. financial year 2018-2019:

<b>Festival</b>	<b>Existing subsidy (in Rs.)</b>	<b>Proposed subsidy (in Rs.)</b>
Zonal Youth Festival	180000	200000
Inter Zonal Youth Festival	800000	900000
Zonal Heritage festival	80000	100000
Inter Zonal Heritage festival	350000	400000

Proposed expenditure for Daily allowances/ accommodation for students during Youth campus, tours, Workshops, Inter University and other functions

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

<b>Allowance</b>	<b>Existing</b>	<b>Proposed</b>
Daily Allowance	Rs. 190/- per day	Rs. 200/- per day
Hiring for accommodation	Rs. 75/- per day	Rs. 100/- per day
Sundry Expenses	Rs. 25/- per day	Rs. 30/- per day

**Proposed honorarium for judges/ Experts/ Resource person**

<b>Allowance</b>	<b>Existing</b>	<b>Proposed</b>
For one session	Rs. 500/- per day for 6 to 7 hour and if the session continued beyond 7 hours	Rs. 1000/- for one session
Maximum	excess Rs. 500/- will be paid	Rs. 1500/- for more than one session

**NOTE:** The subsidy is being provided for conducting Zonal Youth and Heritage Festivals at the affiliated colleges. It is being met out of fee collected from the students and hence does not involve additional financial liability. The last enhancement for conducting these festivals were approved by BOF vide Agenda Item No. 4 dated 1.8.2016.

**Item 19**

It be noted that the Vice-Chancellor has been authorized to take decisions on the following issues on behalf of the BoF:

**(i) Enhancement in the amount of subsidy for Inter Zonal Youth Festival/Zonal Heritage festival.**

It was informed to the members that for the current academic session, the Inter Zonal Youth Festival/Zonal Heritage festival was organized in Dashmesh Girls College, Badal which falls in a border belt. Because of the location of the College, this time the full contingent of participants (more than 1000) stayed in such college for all the days of the festival. Whereas in the past when such events used to be organized in a college located in Urban area, the participants used to return back to their respective places. Because of this reason the expenditure for conduct of such event has enhanced from the sanctioned amount of Rs.13 lakhs to Rs. 25 lakhs.

It was proposed that a suitable enhancement in the amount of subsidy may be approved keeping in view the special circumstances as explained above. It was also discussed that the additional expenditure is not going to burden the University budget as such expenditure shall be met out of the Youth Welfare fee collected from the colleges.



**(ii) Additional Allowance/incentive to Security Staff for extraneous duties.**

Members were informed that a large number of security staff posts are lying vacant in the University. Because of which the existing staff have to perform double duties quite often and that too on continuous basis. In order to compensate such staff, there is a need to devise a mechanism to grant some reasonable allowance/incentive to such staff.

The Vice-Chancellor stated that recently we have extended the working timings of Laboratories and libraries and we are planning to extend it further to utilize the existing infrastructure optimally. In such a scenario the Security subject becomes very vital and important to safeguard the interest of the students and University.

The representative of Govt. of Punjab stated that such policy may be framed in consonance with the applicable norms of the Government and concerned department be consulted in this regard.

**(iii) Facility of Video Conferencing at the Constituent Colleges and Regional Centres.**

The Vice-Chancellor stated that in order to have an effective communication with all the constituent colleges and regional centres which are remotely located and also to put in place a better coordination amongst such centres/ colleges and campus, there is need to provide a facility of video conferencing in such colleges/centres. It was also discussed that estimated expenditure on one college would be around Rs.3 lakhs.

**(Syndicate dated 18.11.2018 Para 2)**

Dr. Gurmeet Singh stated that he would like to have a little bit clarification as he did not know much about the Budget. In the Revenue Receipts, Sub-Head "Annual Maintenance Grants", the share of Punjab Government from 01.04.2018 to 30.10.2018 has been mentioned as Rs.35 crore and the revised is Rs.34 crore. How could it be possible as the Actuals means from 1<sup>st</sup> April to 30<sup>th</sup> October? The revised figure could not be less than Actuals. He wanted to have clarification on this. Secondly, he has gone through the minutes of the meeting of Board of Finance, and there is an important subject as told by the Vice Chancellor in the morning that he (Vice Chancellor) has been able to sort out the issue of grant of Ph.D. increments to the teachers. Thirdly, there is an issue regarding recommendations of 7<sup>th</sup> Pay Commission.

The Vice Chancellor said that Dr. Gurmeet Singh should not mix that issue with one another. Updating the members, he said that so far as Ph.D. increments are concerned, a huge amount is involved.

Dr. Gurmeet Singh stated that there is an important issue, but he would not take much time of the House. He drew the attention of the House towards Sub-Item 16, for which the PUTA has also thanked the Vice Chancellor for delinking it, but the UGC and MHRD have also given their comments there and they are still maintaining their

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

stand that “the University shall consider the revision of pay-scales of teachers after the same is notified by the Government of Punjab”. Thereafter, the representative of Punjab Government, Mrs. Garima Singh, has also said the same thing. In the case of enhancement of emoluments of Medical Officers also, Mrs. Garima Singh has recorded her dissent. When they met him (Vice Chancellor) for the first time in the meeting of PUTA Executive, he (Vice Chancellor) has said that if they raised the issue of Central University, they would get nothing else but disappointment. They are continuously saying that they are not among those, who got easily disappointed. They could delink as many as issues as possible, but until they find a permanent solution to the problem, the problem is not going to be solved. Panjab University is facing the problem/difficulty only owing to the linkage with the Punjab Government. Whether they demand the status of Heritage University or Institute of National Importance, it does not matter, but this University deserves such type of status and if the Vice Chancellor is able to achieve this, people would give him (Vice Chancellor) good wishes. This is a very important issue and same is pending for the last so many years. After all, it is not for going away from Punjab; rather, for finding a permanent solution to the problem. For that, they must continue their efforts that keeping in view the heritage status of Panjab University, it should be converted into a Central University; otherwise, dissents like this would continue, they would remain in the lurch.

On a point of order, Professor Rajesh Gill stated that on his (Vice Chancellor) suggestion, at the level of PUTA, they studied the issue relating to proposal/scheme of Institute of Eminence, and they concluded that if Panjab University could sustain, it could only sustain as a Central University. Even when they go to the UGC, they (UGC) say that they gave whole of the salary component to them (Panjab University), why does not the University become a Central University. As such, they have to work for that.

The Vice Chancellor said that they should not suggest as to how they should categorize the University. How that would be done, should be left to him (Vice Chancellor).

Dr. Parveen Goyal stated that in the Budget Estimates 2019-20 at page 13, they have given the budget for uniforms for the Class ‘C’ employees. If a sum of Rs.28 lac has been allocated for the purpose, it should be properly utilized and the concerned employees should wear their uniforms. Secondly, as per Budget Estimates for 2019-20, the cost of salary is Rs.361 crore. Recently, the Vice Chancellor has suggested a very good system that the faculty members should bring/get sanctioned maximum projects from the funding agencies. While writing the projects, the faculty members do mention the components like equipments, contingencies, traveling cost, etc., as is being done in the foreign countries, they should include that per day hours, which they devote for the completion of the project, they should be allowed to charge from the project. The faculty members have their own teaching workload, i.e., Assistant Professor: 16 hours, Associate Professor: 14 hours and Professor: 12 hours. If they devote two hours per day for the completion of the project, they should be paid salary of two hours per day from the project, and in this way, they would be able to save some funds from the University Budget, which would ultimate reduce the burden of the University.

The Vice Chancellor said that he got it and as it is a good idea; however, he (Dr. Parveen Goyal) should give the same to him in writing.

Continuing, Dr. Parveen Goyal stated that whenever any appointment is made, it is always made against the sanctioned post and they claim budget for the same from the Government. From day one, two types of contributions are to be made towards the Provident Fund, i.e., one by the subscriber and another by the University. As per Regulations 14.3 to 14.7 appearing at pages 128-129 of Panjab University Calendar,

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

Volume I, 2007, only the subscriber's contribution is deducted during probation period, and the University contribution is given only after the confirmation. Usually, confirmation is made between 1½ years and 2 years and the second contribution, i.e., of the University, is given after 1½ or 2 years. However, the interest on that is neither earned by the University nor the employee concerned because the University gives the contribution from the Current Account, where no interest is earned. He, therefore, suggested that a separate Budget Head should be created and the money should be kept there, so that the University could make the contribution towards the Provident Fund of the employee from there. In this way, the University could earn some interest, which if the University deems fit, might pay to the subscriber. Even in the New Pension Scheme also, two contributions are made. In the Central Government also, two contributions are deposited in the account of the employee from the day one. He further said that in the Budget Estimates, a provision of Rs.12 lac has been made to give service charges to the State Bank of India for pension disbursement. He does not know whether it is right or wrong. He urged the Vice Chancellor to look into this matter.

The Vice Chancellor said that it is a very good input. He directed the Finance and Development Officer to get it noted. At the same time, he requested Dr. Parveen Goyal to provide the related documents either to him (Vice Chancellor) or the Finance and Development Officer.

Continuing further, Dr. Parveen Goyal stated that he had got the details about the vehicles, and found that huge expenditure is being incurred on the maintenance, repair and insurance of the vehicles. For example, he has seen in these very Budget Estimates that on the official car of the Director of P.U. Regional Centre, Hoshiarpur, a provision of Rs.3 lac has been made for maintenance and repair and for someone else a provision of Rs.55,000/- has been made. What he meant to say is that if the staff cars are to be allotted, it should be given to all; otherwise, the staff cars should be given by following a system.

Shri Ashok Goyal enquired as to what decision is taken on the issue which was being discussed here since morning.

The Vice Chancellor said that the issue has been clinched and the members have been informed about the resolved part not once but twice.

Principal I.S. Sandhu suggested that Professor Emanuel Nahar, who is the Dean Students Welfare, should be asked to inform the students about the decision of the Senate, who have gathered outside.

The Vice Chancellor requested Professor Emanuel Nahar, Dean Student Welfare, to inform the students about the decision taken by the Senate.

Professor S.K. Sharma, regarding charging the salary of the teachers from the project(s), said that they have to get the concurrence of the funding agencies and the same has to be incorporated in the project itself that this much money be paid to the Principal Investigator (PI); otherwise, there would be an audit objection. There is a technical point in this as they could not pay the salary from the project unless and until the funding agency cleared the same and it is specifically mentioned there that this much is the honorarium of the PI.

**The Vice Chancellor said that the matter would be looked into.**

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

Professor J.K. Goswamy said that while writing project(s), it is very difficult to get anything beyond research. The funding agencies might not entertain this type of provision. If it happens, it would create a new dimension to the problem.

Dr. Ajay Ranga stated that certain funds have been allocated in the Budget for repair of buildings. Problem is that two Committees have been formed for the last 2-3 years to identify and find out reasons as to why there are defects in the buildings. One of the Committees has submitted its report, which had also been placed before the Syndicate, and other Committee is yet to submit its report. Besides, another Committee has also been constituted to check the work relating to renovation of building(s). He suggested that this money, which has been allocated in the Budget, should be approved, but it should not be spent unless and until the report(s) of those Committees are received because the defects, which were already there, would be got repaired with this money.

Dr. Rabinder Nath Sharma said that he has continuously worked with the Committee about which Dr. Ranga has referred to. It is good that the report of the Committee has been accepted by the Syndicate, but before starting the repair of the buildings, it should be got examined from an Expert Committee as to where and what defects are there because if everything is got repaired with this money, then what would be purpose of appointing so many Committees, wasting of manpower, energy, etc.

Professor J.K. Goswamy said that a sum of Rs.12 lac has been earmarked in Budget Estimates for the year 2018-19 for making payment to the State Bank of India for disbursement of Pension. This much money is being paid to the Bank for the first time as it was not given in the year 2017-18. What is the reason for given this money to the Bank? Secondly, if the State Bank of India is being paid for disbursement of Pension, whether any money is being paid to the Bank for disbursement of salary to the teaching and non-teaching staff of the University.

To this, Dr. Ajay Ranga said that, in fact, some amount should be taken from the State Bank of India for depositing the amount of salary of the teaching and non-teaching staff which comes to crores of rupees. They are compelled to open and maintain their accounts only in State Bank of India. Why should they not charge something from the Bank for that? He said that Rs.12 lac is a big amount. Why they are giving it to the Bank?

Shri Jagdeep Kumar enquired as to how could they give a sum of Rs.3 lac to Director, P.U. Regional Centre, Hoshiarpur, for repair/ maintenance of car?

Continuing, Dr. Ajay Ranga said that since he (Vice Chancellor) is a Professor of Management, he might ponder over that on the one hand, they are giving business to the State Bank of India and on the other hand, they are making payment to the Bank for disbursement of Pension.

Professor Rajesh Gill said that the Finance and Development Officer should be asked to explain as to how it is happening.

The Vice Chancellor said that let him take input from the members and the Finance and Development Officer would explain the points, wherever required.

Shri Prabhjit Singh stated that he would like to raise only those points, which he had raised in the Syndicate. The proceedings of the Syndicate as well as Board of Finance have been appended with the agenda. Perhaps, he (Vice Chancellor) does not know as to what was resolved there. Regarding Sub-Item 7, which related to Library Assistants, he had said that the office did not give full facts and he had brought the

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

details of the Court case and he had informed him (Vice Chancellor) about the Court case in detail. He had told that when the employees were removed, they filed a writ petition in the Court, and the University had told the Court that they are ready to take them back. The University appointed them again, but on reduced salary, i.e., Rs.20,900/- p.m. The Vice Chancellor had himself said (page 44) "The Vice Chancellor said that, in fact, the Committee would be constituted comprising of Shri Prabhjit Singh (Chairman), Dr. Ameer Sultana, Finance and Development Officer, and one legal person....". This has been resolved by the Syndicate in its meeting dated 18<sup>th</sup> November 2018. The members of the Syndicate are present here, and though about one month has elapsed, none has informed them about the decision of formation of the Committee. Now, they want to get it passed by bringing it to the Senate. What was the use of that Syndicate meeting? In spite of discussing the issue in the Syndicate, the matter has been placed before Senate. Had he not seen this or suppose he was unable to attend the meeting, the item would have been approved by the Senate, and what would have been the advantage of discussing the issue in the Syndicate meeting. The office has not acted in accordance with the discussion and decision of the Syndicate. Today, again they are going to approve the item as such. If it is yet to be seen, why the item has been placed before the Senate? What would they see, after approving the item? He wanted to tell the House about the entire issue. In fact, this issue is going on for the last so many years. Recruitment of Library Assistants was made and in addition to the outsiders, certain persons, who were already working in the University on *ad hoc*/temporary/contract basis, were also got selected. The remaining persons, who had been working in the University on *ad hoc*/ temporary/contract basis for the last 10 or more years, were thrown out. They filed a writ petition in the High Court and instead of defending the writ petition, the University said in the Court that they are ready to take them back. They were appointed again, but on reduced salary (Rs.20,900/- p.m.), i.e., without Dearness Allowance, Dearness Pay, etc. They are getting Rs.20,900/- p.m. since 2013-14 and the staff members (clerks, peon, etc.), who are working under them, are getting more salary than them, which is very-very unfortunate. They had discussed the issue in the meeting of the Syndicate in detail (with facts and figures) and wasted lot of their valuable time. Despite resolving the matter, the position is the same. If it is still to be seen, what are they going to approve. In fact, the item should have been placed before the Senate after looking into the whole matter. The persons, who were appointed again, should have been appointed on the salary, which they were drawing before their removal, and the persons who are working against the budgeted posts, should also be paid Dearness Allowance and Dearness Pay. He enquired as to what is the problem in doing so.

Dr. Ameer Sultana said that already one month has passed as this issue was discussed in the meeting of the Syndicate dated 18<sup>th</sup> November 2018, i.e., much before the last meeting of the Syndicate, i.e., 8<sup>th</sup> December 2018. They had not got any information about the formation of the Committee of which they are the members.

Shri Deepak Kaushik said that these persons (Library Assistants) were earlier also getting Dearness Allowance and Dearness Pay. However, when the Court asked the University to appoint them again, they were appointed on DC rates. In other Universities, the Library Assistants, who are working on *ad hoc*/temporary/contract basis, are getting a salary of Rs.42,000/- p.m., but here they are giving them just a sum of Rs.20,900/- p.m. The lower staff, who is working under them, are getting more salary than them. He requested that until Sub-Item 7 is decided, it should be deferred. If it is approved today, then nothing would be left to think over it. He, therefore, again suggested that the consideration of the item should be deferred.

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

Principal I.S. Sandhu said that for the last so many years, a great injustice is being done to these persons (Library Assistants). Very less new appointments have been made, but new appointments of Library Assistants have been made in P.U. Constituent Colleges. And those, who have been appointed as Library Assistant much later than these persons, are getting almost Rs.43,000/- p.m., but these persons are getting only Rs.20,900/- p.m., which is a great injustice. At least, they should be at par with the newly appointed Library Assistants, who have been appointed much later than them. First of all, they deserved the pay-scale of the Library Assistant for which a cut-off date needed to be fixed in consultation with President, PUSA.

Dr. Dayal Partap Singh Randhawa, endorsing the viewpoints expressed by Shri Deepak Kaushik, stated that if they see the data of the Library Assistant, who are working on *ad hoc*/temporary/contract basis in the University for the last so many years, they would find that majority of them are of the age of 40-45 years or more. They are getting work from them on appointing them on *ad hoc*/temporary/contract basis and paying them less salary, which is even less than Class-IV employees. It is nothing but sheer exploitation, which should immediately be stopped, and a mechanism should be evolved for those, who could not seek employment anywhere, at least they should be absorbed through a policy, so that those, who have served the Institution, could respectfully retire, and such person are very less (handful). He urged the Vice Chancellor to keep this in mind that their salary is to be increased and a policy is to be framed for regularizing the services of those, who are working for the last 10 years or more.

Shri Pawan Kumar Bansal stated that so far as keeping the people on *ad hoc* basis is concerned, one basic principle, which has to be kept in mind, is that there has to be equal pay for equal work and the same is not being followed. What is happening in the Government, is also happening here in the Universities. One system has been evolved that, in future, no recruitments should be made even though a number of sanctioned posts are lying vacant at all places. Whether it is in the case of teaching staff or non-teaching staff, they should try to fill up the vacant sanctioned positions and for that money should be obtained from the Government. Secondly, if they have a look at page 4, he did not know, but perhaps it was not the position earlier. When they open the Budget, Actuals are always mentioned as had been mentioned here for the year 2017-18, and thereafter they should have given the Budget Estimates for the year 2018-19, which is not given here. They had given only the Actuals from 1<sup>st</sup> April to 30<sup>th</sup> October. In fact, there should have been column of Budget Estimates for the current year, then the revised estimates for the current year, and thereafter, the Budget Estimates for the next year. That is how, it should have been given, and only then they could compare the same.

It was clarified that, earlier, they used to approve the Budget Estimates for the subsequent year and the revised estimates for the current year in one meeting. However, for the last 2-3 years, because they have to submit the revised estimates and the Budget Estimates of subsequent year at different dates to Minister of Human Resource & Development, Government of India, for their consideration, that was why, the revised estimates of 2018-19, i.e., for current year, and these revised estimates have already been approved by the Senate. Now, only the Budget Estimates for the year 2019-20 are under consideration. When they had already approved the revised estimates of 2018-19, there is no need to consider the original estimates.

Shri Pawan Kumar Bansal said that Actuals have also been given along with the year of 2017-18, that should have altogether been a different thing. On what basis, the estimates have been revised. He understood that a little clarification has been given

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

that after the Budget Estimates, they had approved the revised estimates. After the revised estimates, when was the revised estimates taken up?

It was clarified that, at the moment, the Budget Estimates for the year 2019-20 are under consideration and the estimates for the year 2018-19 already stand approved.

The Vice Chancellor said that it is a good point that even if it has been approved, what is the harm in adding one more column?

Shri Pawan Kumar Bansal said that a column should have been incorporated after 2018-19. As such, that column is in any case missing. Even if it is approved and even it has no value now, it should be incorporated here. He suggested that they should look into the system where the Budget Estimates are prepared and see for how many years they show them.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that, this time, they should approve it, but from the next time, both actuals and revised columns should be given and there should not be any difficulty in doing so.

Shri Pawan Kumar Bansal suggested that first column should be the actuals of 2017-18, then 2018-19 Budget Estimates, and then actuals of 2018-19 and thereafter, approved revised estimates and the Budget Estimates for the next year.

It was said that they could add the column. So far as pension service charges being paid to the State Bank of India is concerned, it was clarified that they had already signed a Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) with the State Bank of India (SBI). Presently, all the pension cases are being processed in the University, i.e., firstly they make the pension cases and then they disburse the pension. Now, they have outsourced this activity and have saved the manpower. With this, at least two persons from the accounts department could be spared. It is not that they are paying to the SBI without any service. They would only be issuing Pension Payment Order (PPO), thereafter for each month pension would be prepared, processed and paid by the SBI. Even the activities like Form 16, live certificate, etc. would be performed by the SBI and this is being done on the basis of agreement which the State Government as well as Government of Punjab already have with SBI. On a query made by Professor Keshav Malhotra, it was clarified that it would facilitate the pensioners sitting in any part of the country as they could approach the nearest SBI branch for such type of activities. The MoU has been approved by this Senate itself.

Professor J.K. Goswamy enquired from where this amount of Rs.12 lac has been deducted.

It was clarified that it has not been deducted from anywhere; rather, a budgetary provision has been made. So far as making of payment to the teachers from the projects is concerned, it was submitted at that time also that the issue of Library Assistants (Item 17 of the Board of Finance), which was neither the agenda of the Syndicate nor of the Senate. When it was pointed out that Item 17 was also not for consideration in the Syndicate, it was informed that it is true because in Item 17 the case of Library Assistants is there, whose case has been discussed here by the Hon'ble members that the persons, who are working in the University as Library Assistants on *ad hoc* basis against the budgeted posts, should be given the minimum of the pay-scale. Taking into cognizance the Board of Finance had said that since huge financial liability is involved in it, it should be got examined from the legal angle, but the Board has approved it, in principle. Now, the matter is to be examined from the legal point of view, and that process is on. If that is approved, the case of Library Assistants would

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

automatically be solved as the same is covered in that. So far as Item 7 is concerned, it was also considered in the Joint Consultative Machinery and all these issues, including removal of these persons, legal issues, etc., were discussed over there. Why they had not been given the old pay because there is a cut-off date of giving the old pay. After their removal, when these persons were appointed again, their appointment was treated afresh as their past services could not be counted. Moreover, these persons are being charged against the higher posts, i.e., Assistant Librarian, as they did not have vacant posts of Library Assistants. Now, they have recommended that at least 3% hike should be given to them and if Item 17 is approved, their problem would automatically be over. They have kept it separate because there are certain other categories besides Library Assistants, which are not covered under DC rates as they are paid some contractual amount and there should be some enhancement in the contractual amount also.

Shri Prabhjit Singh said that all this has been discussed in the meeting of the Syndicate also. Neither Item 17 of the Board of Finance was under consideration of the Syndicate on that day nor of the Senate today. When Item 17 would come, they would discuss the same. Today, Item 7 of the Board of Finance is under their consideration. How could they reduce the salary of a person? When they threw these persons out of the University service, the concerned persons filed a writ petition in the Court, but they did not file the writ petition, and instead they told in the Court that they are ready to take them back. What is this? If they remove somebody from the University service today and the person concerned approach the Court, and the University say in the Court that they are ready to take them back, but they appoint them on less salary. This is a joke. They should give them the salary which they were drawing earlier before their removal as earlier also they were working against the budgeted posts and now also they are working against the budgeted posts though he agrees that they are working against the higher posts. Earlier also, they were drawing salary against the higher posts. They are here to do right work and not for wrong doings. Is there any employee in the University, whose salary has not been increased since 2013? In the end, he said that whatever they were getting earlier, should be given to them. When Item 17 would come, they would discuss the same. However, at the moment, they are concerned with the Library Assistants, and they would be given whatever they were getting earlier.

The Vice Chancellor said that he has got the point.

Professor Ronki Ram stated that, in fact, there were two items. He was the Chairman of the last Committee which considered the issue of daily wage employee as well as of the representation of Library Assistants. The representation of the Library Assistant states that their category is not there, and then he talked to the Finance and Development Officer that the item regarding increasing the salary of Library Assistants by 3% was before the JCM, but the same has not been placed before the Committee. Thereafter, they considered the said item and thought that when they are enhancing the emoluments of all the categories working on DC rates, why they are not enhancing the emoluments of Library Assistants. They calculated the expenditure on account of increase and it became about Rs.6 crore. When they recruited the Library Assistants, these persons also gave the test along with the new applicants. Certain persons along with the new persons, qualified the test and they were appointed as Library Assistants. The appointments were made only against available number of posts of Library Assistants. The persons, who were working in the University since long, pleaded that the condition of test is not applicable on them, and they approached the Court. The Court asked the University to think about them, and the University took them back on a fixed salary of Rs.20,900/- p.m. and created a new designation (Library Assistant) for them. However, the demand of these persons was that they should be paid the salary, which they were drawing earlier, i.e., before their removal. The question before them was that if they want to put them at par with others, they could not do so as they did



Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

not have sanctioned posts of Library Assistants. The only way was that they should be appointed on DC rate.

Shri Deepak Kaushik intervened to say that now the sanctioned posts of Library Assistants are available as certain persons have retired during the intervening period. As such, now they could be given basic plus DA+DP.

On a point of order, Shri Prabhjit Singh said that could any Chairman of the JCM tell from where the figure of Rs.20900/- has come. However, he could tell as to how the figure of Rs.20900/- has arrived. In the year 2013-14, when the meeting of the JCM was held the total amount of salary including Basic+DA+DP was calculated and the figure arrived at Rs.20900/-. After every six month, increase was to be given as is in the case of other employees because the Dearness Allowance increases in their case after every six month. He failed to understand why increase has not been effected in their case.

Shri Raghbir Dyal stated that when he came to the Senate for the first time in the year of 2012, the Budget used to be presented in the March meeting of the Senate. It has also been mentioned in the Calendar that the March meeting of the Senate is exclusively meant for the Budget, which was the main component. During the last 2-3years, they have changed it as the University was supposed to be in some sort of financial mess and they were told that since they have to submit the Revised Estimates to the UGC/MHRD, the budget meetings were preponed to September and sometime in the month of December and the trend is continuing till today. That was why, as the Finance and Development Officer has said that the Budget has been bifurcated into many segments. Though they have entered in the month of December, the audit reports have not been provided to them. He has also not been able to see the balance sheet of some of the departments. Basically, the whole budget process needed to be rectified as it is in some sort of mess (bifurcation, trifurcation, etc.). He proposed that from next year onwards the Budget should be presented in the March meeting of the Senate so that all the papers are appended.

Continuing, Shri Raghbir Dyal stated that he would like to draw the attention of the House towards the minutes of the meeting of the Board of Finance held on 13<sup>th</sup> November 2018 wherein at one place it has been mentioned "the members also stressed that the need to enhance income from University Estate and to find ways and means to recover rental of telecom towers/arrears of rental, if any". His question is how much amount is pending and how much arrear they have to recover as far as rental of telecom towers/arrears of rental is concerned. The language suggests that the amount is pending and they are finding it difficult to recover the amount. He would like to know as to how much amount is pending so far as the telephone towers are concerned. He further stated that so far as main Budget is concerned, he felt that whenever the Budget Estimates, including revised estimates, are prepared, a lot of vision/thought process is involved in it. There is a Budget Head "University Teaching Departments – Traditional" to which he would like to draw their attention, and he is not talking about the self-financed courses or partially self-financed. In the original Budget Estimates, the amount has been mentioned as Rs.14.57 crore, but in the revised, which actually they are going to get/receive is Rs.9.73 crore. As such, there is a difference of about 5.45 crore so far as traditional fee structure is concerned. In the Budget Estimates for the year 2019-20, this amount has again been enhanced to Rs.14.57 crore. He would like to know as to what were the shortcomings owing to which they were unable to generate the estimated revenue and what would they do that they are able to achieve the target. How would they be able to fulfil the deficit of Rs.5 crore. If they suppose that there are 10000 students, who study in the traditional courses and pay the fees, excluding the students of self-financed courses and others who have been given fee

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

concession, etc., they would be increasing more than 50% fees to achieve the target/fulfil the deficit of Rs.5 crore. Is this their proposal that they would collect the revenue or is it only for the sake of writing? He meant to say how they fix their targets. Once they set the target at Rs.15 crore but failed to meet the target and get only Rs.10 crore, and next year they again enhance the target to Rs.15 crore, and they are never achieving the target. He felt that this is just *ad hocism*, which is going on so far as setting of targets is concerned, and there is no thought process or vision involved. The reasons, which have been written for enhancing the revenue, are very old and they are listening these reasons for the last 4-5 years. It has also been written they would increase the income of University School of Open Learning (USOL), whereas the income of USOL is stagnant for the last 5 years. He had pointed it out in the last Senate and said that there is imperative to overhaul the system of USOL. They are talking about the admission of NRI for the last five years, whereas their website is absolutely silent about the NRIs admission, how much revenue they are generating from the NRIs admission and what facilities/incentives they are giving them. Similarly, they are also earning crores/lacs of rupees through consultancy. How would they enhance their revenue through consultancy needed to be looked into? It needed to be seen that their targets should be realistic. He should be informed as to what are the reasons owing to which they are unable to achieve their targets and how would they achieve them in the next year? He further stated that he has found only one balance sheet, which related to the Constituent Colleges to which he was concerned. It has been shown in the balance sheet (financial statement for the year 2017-18) that their investments are about Rs.14 crore. Four Constituent Colleges were already functioning and two Constituent Colleges, i.e., one in District Ferozepur and another in District Moga near Dharmkot, they established during the last two years. He is talking about the Constituent Colleges because the balance sheet is only about the Constituent Colleges. He has the appointment letters of persons, whom they appointed in the Constituent Colleges during the last three years. In the year 2016, i.e., first year, they appointed teachers on temporary basis or only for one year, and they were paid Rs.1,000/- per lecture and maximum Rs.25,000/- p.m. So it related to revenue of the University so far as Constituent Colleges are concerned. In the year 2018, they reduced the term of appointment of teachers (guest faculty) to one semester and gave a salary of Rs.25,000/- even to the qualified teachers, whereas in the old Constituent Colleges, they have appointed teachers on temporary basis and they are given salary accordingly. As such, it is a clear distinction between the old Constituent Colleges and two new Constituent Colleges. Secondly, it is surprising that nowadays the staff of the Constituent Colleges is being relieved because holidays are there, so that they are able to save some money. He is unable to understand that once they relieved the teachers, which meant they are not on the rolls of that particular College, and if a teacher is not on the rolls of a particular College, how and in what capacity they are deputed on examination duty in that particular College. From this, it seems their desire is that they are least concerned with the quality of teaching. Their only concern is that they should pay less to less money and for the minimum of the time. It is very strange that during this period all the teachers of the Constituent Colleges had been relieved and at the same time they have been deputed on the examination duty. Meaning thereby, the things are very terrible in these Constituent Colleges. The Panjab University is giving a salary of Rs.25,000/- p.m. to the NET qualified teachers and that too for few months, whereas Guru Nanak Dev University, Amritsar, is giving Rs.30,000/- p.m. to the guest faculty. He submitted that in Government Colleges of Punjab, the guest faculty is given salary for 12 months. When they talk about the Government Colleges, there are several members in the House, who criticize the Government Colleges a lot. However, their own University is also following them. His only submission is that the qualified teachers of the Constituent Colleges, i.e., either NET qualified or Ph.D. in accordance with UGC Regulations 2009, they should not be relieved and they should be paid salary in

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

accordance with the temporary appointment. He further said that in this Budget as well as in the Budget of previous several years and he also invites the Vice Chancellor to P.U. Regional Centre, Sri Muktsar Sahib, to himself see as to how and under what conditions it is functioning. He had also asked a question in the last meeting of the Senate that he should be informed as to how many regular appointments have been made at P.U. Regional Centre, Sri Muktsar Sahib, during the last four years. That question is still there, and again requests the Vice Chancellor that reply to his question must be given in the next meeting of the Senate that how many posts of P.U. Regional Centre, Sri Muktsar Sahib, have been filled up on regular basis during the last five years. In this Budget also, a mention has been made that they had a land of 5 acres at Sri Muktsar Sahib and he has been raising his voice again and again in a democratic way in the Senate. Even a few members have not opposed it, but their prime land of 5 acres is being wasted even though they had a provision of Rs.2.5 crore. He would once again like to request the Vice Chancellor that the construction of the new building for P.U. Regional Centre, Sri Muktsar Sahib, should immediately be started; otherwise, though he is not threatening, have to sit on a dharna in a democratic way. They are waiting for it for the last long five years.

Professor Keshav Malhotra said that though the Vice Chancellor tries to associate everyone and visit as many places as possible, but the Vice Chancellor could not go everywhere. Hence, if the Vice Chancellor deems fit and associate Shri Raghbir Dyal, he could collect even donations from there.

The Vice Chancellor said that it is an executive issue, which would be looked into.

Dr. Dalip Kumar said that Shri Raghbir Dyal was speaking on sub-item 3 which is available at page 4 of the agenda. The revenue receipt of university teaching departments (traditional) has been shown as 48.90%. He enquired as to what they are going to increase in the year 2019-2020 with which they would be able to achieve the hike of 48.90%. He would like to know the action plan about the same.

Dr. Dayal Partap Singh Randhawa, endorsing the viewpoints expressed by Shri Raghbir Dyal, stated that there is a lot of exploitation of the teachers in the name of guest faculty. The nomenclature of the guest faculty meant that the person should deliver a lecture and leave, for which he is to be given a sum of Rs.1000/- per lecture and maximum Rs.25000/- in a month. However, an order has been issued that the guest faculty should be present in the College from 9.00 a.m. to 3.00 p.m. during the entire month and not even a single medical leave is not credited to their leave account. They should themselves see under what conditions, they are asking them to work. The salary of the teachers working on temporary basis is Rs.53,000/-, contract basis Rs.30,400/- and Guest faculty Rs.25,000/-. He requested that the teachers, who are qualified, at least should be paid a minimum of the pay-scale plus allowances, i.e., Rs.53000/- per month. Secondly, those who are not qualified, should at least be appointed for one year though on less salary.

Principal I.S. Sandhu stated that though whatever has been said by Shri Raghbir Dyal and Dr. Dayal Partap Singh Randhawa is true, the provision of guest faculty was meant for Universities only where a few lectures were required to be given and a maximum of 20-28 lectures were to be given in a month, and that was why the maximum salary of Rs.25,000/- has been fixed. Now, they have appointed the teachers in the Colleges with the nomenclature of guest faculty, but they have assigned them full teaching load as per the UGC norms. Earlier on his suggestion, a Committee was formed which changed the nomenclature of guest faculty to contract basis, but the recommendations of the Committee have not seen the light of the day as the same have

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

neither been placed before the Syndicate nor the Senate. In view of these recommendations, they had not advertised the posts during the odd semester as they were waiting for the approval of the recommendations. The Committee has recommended that the teachers who are appointed on contract basis should be paid a consolidated salary of Rs.30,400/- per month as is being given to the contractual faculty members in the University. Whatever Dr. Dayal Partap Singh Randhawa has said is not a rule, but is the representation of the teachers working on the contract basis. Though there is no such order in his College that the guest faculty should be present in the College from 9.00 a.m. to 3.00 p.m., if the teacher concerned took four periods a day, he/she has to remain present in the College up to 3.00 p.m. His College, which is situated in a rural area, starts at 10.00 a.m. as the students could not come to the College by 9.00 a.m. because the bus service is not available there. He, therefore, suggested that such teachers should be given a salary of at least of Rs.30,400/-. According to him, the system of guest faculty could only work in the Universities and not in the Colleges. There is a student (his own student), whom he (Vice Chancellor) does not know, whose mother is suffering from cancer, but he could not deny him. He was assigned three lectures a day and he used to come to the College for eight days, and he (Principal I.S. Sandhu) has no alternative but to give him Rs.24,000/- a month ( $1000 \times 3 \times 8 = 24000$ ). After seeing him, other five teachers also started advancing similar reasons and they used to get Rs.20,000/- to Rs.25,000/- in a month just by attending the College for 14-18 days. Though there are good candidates, but some candidates are misusing this. His plea is why the interviews for appointing guest faculty be not conducted every year as several new good candidates are coming up. Even if classes are held 25 days a month, the persons appointed as guest faculty just delivered the lectures only for 12-15 days. In the end, he suggested that contractual or guest faculty appointments should be made every year as several new good candidates are coming up. The position is going to deteriorate if they continue with the same persons for years together.

Shri Sandeep Singh said that he agrees with the viewpoints expressed by Principal I.S. Sandhu, but he would like to request that the guest faculty should not be relieved at all. In fact, they should be appointed only once and not every year as there is no guarantee that they would get better persons next year. There is a popular saying, "The new fades and the old endures". Secondly, there is no injection with which the work culture could be improved.

Professor Mukesh Arora said that if a member of guest faculty went on leave, the other guest faculty members are asked to shoulder his/her workload even though they had already much teaching load despite they are only paid a sum of Rs.25,000/- a month. In fact, the regular faculty members should share that teaching load as they get a salary of more than Rs.2 lac. Owing to this, several teachers are feeling harassed.

Principal N.R. Sharma said that, in fact, this issue is not only of the Constituent Colleges, but also of the University and the Government Colleges. There is three types of faculty, i.e., temporary, contract and guest faculty. His Fellow colleagues are saying that there is a lot of exploitation, but such thing is not happening anywhere. Actually, no uniform norms/policy is being adopted by their Establishment. The teachers, who have been appointed on contract basis since 2011-12 in the University Institute of Engineering & Technology, University Business School and Constituent Colleges, though he had requested to Registrar not to do this, an appointment of teacher is being converted to temporary from contract basis as and when he/she, and he had also sent an e-mail to the Vice Chancellor in this regard and the process goes on. The teachers, who are appointed on temporary basis in the University, are being given a salary between Rs.52,000/- and Rs.53,000/- p.m. Meaning there, they are getting all the allowances except the annual increment. Later on, it came that they could not convert

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

the contract basis appointment into temporary basis, and this is happening in this very University. He has also written a letter to the Vice Chancellor and a copy has been sent to the Registrar. Though the teachers are working on the contract basis since 2011 and getting salary, they did not know whether they would continue to be in service the next day or not. However, their interviews are not being conducted so that they have not to convert their appointment into temporary and pay them accordingly. If the problem persisted, the person concerned would definitely go to the Court because the University is not following a uniform policy. His request to the Vice Chancellor is that they should resolve the issue before these persons approached the Court.

It was clarified that so far as the Budget Calendar (that the Budget should be presented in the month of March) is concerned, it is not possible to do so in the present scenario as they have to submit their Budget Estimates to the MHRD well in advance preferably in the month of December. This time, they have prepared the Budget Estimates for the current year and the Budget Estimates of subsequent year in the month of July itself, but somehow they could approve only the revised estimates and the Budget Estimates were postponed. So they have to prepare to Budget Estimates and revised estimates much before the fall of next financial year so that they could submit the same to the MHRD. So far as audited balance sheet is concerned, the same is already appended with the agenda, and not only relating to the Constituent Colleges, but this is also the consolidated audited balance sheet of the University. These are only the last 3-4 pages which have read. Regarding the mechanism or the plan as to how to increase fee to the tune of four to five crores, a footnote has been appended which they have read also. They have NRI seats in all departments, despite putting best efforts and making modifications in NRI rules, even in the last year they could not achieve their target so far as the admission of NRI students is concerned. Recently, they have re-visited the definition of NRI and NRI wards. They are now hopeful that they will be having good admission under NRI category. There are around 1400 NRI seats in the University, but presently not more than 200 seats have been filled. Even if they could be able to fill 200 seats, they would be able to achieve their target. They are also planning to enhance certain seats in some courses. There are also issues that in the 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> years, some seats fall vacant. That is why they have projected this much of revenue in the budget estimates. Regarding other issues relating to estate with regard to pending amount, these pending amounts are disputed ones. The matter relating to the rent is handled by the Estate Branch. The amounts are pending because of disputes as the tenants are saying something else whereas the University is claiming some other amount. So, there is a need to come out with some policy in order to resolve those disputes. So far as the queries relating to the appointment of guest faculty is concerned, it is an administrative matter.

Dr. Parveen Goyal said that the Finance & Development Officer has not answered his query relating to the estimates of Rs.3 lacs which has been proposed for the repair of the car. The other issue was regarding purchase of medicines to the tune of Rs.1.5 lacs. While referring to Budget Estimates 2019-20 at page 71, he said that the expenditure on manpower is also shown as Rs.85 lacs. On being asked as to what is his specific question, he said that he wanted to know whether this expenditure was the same earlier also.

It was clarified that the expenditure of Rs.3 lacs is not only for the repair of the car, but it also includes the expenditure on petrol for running of the vehicle.

Shri Jagdeep Kumar asked if the Director is not having the diesel car. This is a very huge expenditure as this much petrol cannot be usually used.

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

It was clarified that the expenditure for 2016-17 and 2017-18 is given. They have to make provisions.

Shri Jagdeep Kumar said that the Director, SSGPURC, Hoshiarpur, has been allotted a house in the Campus, why he travels to Jalandhar every day.

Dr. Parveen Goyal said that Professor J.K. Goswamy had been the Director of that Centre and he also used to go from Chandigarh. He asked as to what was the expenditure at that time.

Principal Gurdip Kumar Sharma said that they should bifurcate the expenditure on repair and petrol. He informed that the car provided to the Director of SSGPURC, Hoshiarpur, is in a very bad condition. The major part from Rs.3 lacs was spent on repair of the car.

Dr. Mukesh Arora suggested that then the Director should be given another car.

The Vice Chancellor said that it is an administrative work so everything cannot be given here.

Dr. Subhash Sharma said that he would like to raise another issue which also relates to Hoshiarpur. The Director, SSGPURC, Hoshiarpur should have to take station leave, if he has to go to Jalandhar. He requested the Vice Chancellor to enquire how he could leave the station without the station leave.

Principal Gurdip Kumar Sharma while objecting to it said that this is not the correct statement.

Both Dr. Subhash Sharma and Principal Gurdip Kumar Sharma said that they stand by their words.

Dr. Subhash Sharma said that the money of the University is being looted.

The Vice Chancellor said that though there are many disparities, he is putting his best efforts to resolve these issues. How to do these things, he has taken their observations and he would work out on that. Secondly, they are having deficit of Rs.30 crore and how to manage it, it is only for the Vice Chancellor to see.

Professor Navdeep Goyal said that earlier also this problem had come and there was difference of one hundred crores. They had resolved that issue and somehow enhanced the income.

The Vice Chancellor stated that every time they cannot think of enhancement. There are some issues for which they have to make rigorous efforts. Secondly, they have to see the mode of generating the funds. The Department of Higher Education has given a very clear mandate to go in for a self-sustained mode, generate the funds and get all the works done with it. They have many things to do. The Vice Chancellor informed that as regards the issue of NRI students, he has constituted a Committee which is doing a very good job. It is hardly a matter of some months and they would come with a concrete plan. Only after that they would be able to resolve the issues, but at this juncture they are not in a position to resolve. He has noted all the issues and with the help of the faculty, he would be able to sort out these issues. All of them knew as to where appointments are needed to be made. Before giving any suggestion, they should think over, as it is not so easy, but even then he is trying to find out some via-media and then take the things further. Until and unless they are extending the University horizontally and vertically, only then something could be. Otherwise, in the

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

present set up they would not be able to fill even a single post, whether it is a teaching or non-teaching. It is not practical to give something to one or the other. The practical is that, let them be very pro-active. When they would receive the money, the issues would be solved. So, they should have some patience, let them see what could be done. Now they should talk on the next agenda items.

Shri Raghbir Dyal said that if they could not meet the targets, what is the problem in admitting? They should accept it. Secondly, the issues relating to Dental Institute and towers are very old. But he is not sure whether the Senate members knew as to how much money the University has to take from the company which has installed the towers.

The Vice Chancellor said that he would get it done and circulate it.

Continuing, Shri Raghbir Dyal said that it is alright, but they should also be told as to how much amount has to be taken from them. He requested the Vice Chancellor to bring the details of these issues in the next Senate as to with whom a dispute is there and how much amount has to be taken from them.

The Vice Chancellor said that he has noted it and he (Shri Raghbir Dyal) would get it on his email before the next Senate meeting.

Shri Raghbir Dyal said that they had appointed about 200 Assistant Professors/Associate Professor in the University two years ago, but in the PURC, Sri Muktsar Sahib, no teacher was appointed. They are talking of inclusive education and merging of subsidiary subjects. If recruitment could not be made for PURC, Sri Muktsar Sahib, they could depute 4-5 teachers from the University campus after consulting the PUTA.

Professor Rajesh Gill said that as has been the observation of the Board of Finance that they should try to generate income from the estate. The Finance & Development Officer has rightly said that there are disputes in various properties and mobile towers. The towers were installed in the year 2012 and now 2018 is already over and they are approaching the year 2019. She wanted to know whether they have foregone the income during all these years. Who is responsible? If they are not clear about the rent, how the rent would be recovered. There is financial crunch in the University. Why did they not fix the rent at the initial stage? Responsibility should be fixed.

Professor Keshav Malhotra said that there is very much manipulation in the estate matters. Dr. Parveen Goyal, a young member of this Senate, when raises such issues, nobody takes him seriously.

**RESOLVED:** That the recommendations of the Board of Finance dated 13.11.2018 (Items 1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 8, 10, 13, 14, 16, 18 & 19) as endorsed by the Syndicate dated 18.11.2018 (Para 2), be approved.

**RESOLVED FURTHER:** That –

- (1) the funds allocated in the Budget for repair of buildings be not spent unless and until the report(s) of the Committees constituted to identify the defects, etc. are received;

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

- (2) from next year onwards, the revenue receipts and expenditure be given in the following format:

Sr. No.	Heads of Income	Actuals of previous years	Estimates for current year	Actuals of current year	Revised Estimates of current year	Estimates for next year

- (3) so far as Estate Fund is concerned, information with regard to the amount of arrear of rent to be recovered and from whom (which company), be provided in the next meeting of the Senate.

**VII.** The recommendation of the Syndicate contained in **Items C-3** on the agenda was read out and unanimously approved, i.e. –

**C-3.** That the request dated 20.9.2018 of Dr. Darshan Singh, Assistant Professor, P.U. Regional Centre, Sri Muktsar Sahib, for grant of voluntary retirement w.e.f. 19.12.2018 (A.N.), be accepted, under Regulations 17.5 at page 133 of P.U. Calendar, Volume I, 2007 and he be sanctioned following retrial benefits:

1. **Gratuity:** as admissible under Regulation 3.6 and 4.4 at page 183, 186 respectively of P.U. Cal., Vol. I, 2007, weightage of up to five years be given in additional to the qualifying service actually rendered by him for calculating gratuity in view of Regulation 17.8 at page 133 P.U. Calendar, Volume I, 2007.
2. **Encashment of Earned Leave:** as may be due as admissible under Rule 17.3 at page 98 of the P.U. Calendar, Vol. III, 2016. In terms of decision of the Syndicate dated 08.10.2013, the payment of leave encashment will be made only for the number of days Earned leave as due to him but not exceeding 180 days, pending final clearance for accumulation and encashment of Earned leave of 300 days by the Government of India

**(Syndicate dated 18.11.2018 Para 5)**

**VIII.** The recommendation of the Syndicate contained in **Item C-4** on the agenda was read out, viz. –

**C-4.** Considered letter No. F. 5-1/2018 (HRDC) dated 4.6.2018 received from Joint Secretary, University Grants Commission stating that the regular teachers from the Colleges/Universities participating in the Refresher Courses/ Orientation Programs etc. organized by UGC-HRDCs be treated 'ON DUTY' and not as on duty leave, be adopted.



Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

**NOTE:** 1. That a communication in this regard be also sent to all the affiliated colleges.

2. & 3.     xxx                             xxx                             xxx

**(Syndicate dated 18.11.2018 Para 6)**

Dr. D.P.S. Randhawa said that the refresher courses are being delayed owing to shortage of funds. He has talked to the In-charge, HRDC. A refresher course was to start from 11<sup>th</sup>, but the In-charge HRDC told him that due to lack of funds they are postponing the conduct of the course for a month. There are some teachers who promotion has been held up of as there is a condition for attending the refresher course/s.

The Vice Chancellor said that this problem has been solved as they have got a good amount of money for the HRDC. Therefore, there would not be any problem now and they would continue holding the refresher courses.

Dr. Dalip Kumar said they have got Rs.7 crores for this purpose and requested to make a timeline. He also talked to the Registrar. That money is not being spent.

Shri Prabhjit Singh said that that refresher courses are also necessary for the college teachers, but the teachers of un-aided private colleges are not being sent to attend the refresher courses as the College managements have to then pay higher grade to the teachers. They have visited 2-3 colleges and also mentioned the same in their report. He requested the vice Chancellor to ensure that the un-aided private college teachers are not denied this opportunity.

The Vice Chancellor said that the University refund them the amount and they would now be invited for attending the refresher courses.

Shri Prabhjit Singh said that the un-aided private colleges do not relieve the teachers to attend the refresher courses. He informed that the teachers of aided colleges who are working on un-aided posts, those teachers are not being relieved to attend the refresher courses. On being asked by the vice Chancellor as to what is the remedy for it, Shri Prabhjit Singh said that the new Dean College Development Council should be asked to visit the colleges such as Ferozepur, Fazilka and Moga to see how many teachers are being relieved to attend such courses. Such colleges do not pay them salary more than 21600/- saying that they do not fulfil the condition. All the teachers are being paid grade pay of Rs.6000/- only. There is not any teacher who is getting grade pay of Rs.7000/-. Even in some of the colleges at Ludhiana, the managements are not ready to relieve the teachers for attending the refresher courses. He requested the Vice Chancellor to ensure that they are allowed to attend the refresher courses and for the purpose the Dean College Development Council should visit such Colleges.

Professor Mukesh Arora said that Shri Prabhjit Singh has pleaded to send the teachers for attending the refresher, but, he asked, who would teach the classes in their absence.

Dr. K.K. Sharma suggested that the refresher courses should be conducted in summer/winter vacations to which the Vice Chancellor said that it is a very good idea.

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

Dr. Shaminder Singh said that the HRDC has postponed the four refresher courses which it has to conduct in the month of November and December. Now only one refresher course has been restored which is now being held from 28<sup>th</sup> December. Even the DAV College, Chandigarh refused to relieve the teachers, then what to talk of un-aided private colleges. The DAV College has not relieved for attending these courses in the month of November and December. So, if it is so in a college like DAV, then such a situation is imminent in the rural area colleges. He requested that all those teachers of affiliated colleges who have applied for attending the refresher courses being conducted on 28<sup>th</sup> December, should be accommodated. If they would not accommodate them, then which University would do so? He further said that he would like to talk on another issue relating to the colleges. He had talked about this in the last meeting of the Senate. Last year they have collected some data from the colleges. He had met him (Vice Chancellor) also twice in this connection. Now the new Dean College Development Council has been appointed. The Vice Chancellor had told him that he would take action on this and a Committee would be constituted. But as far as he knows, no action has been taken so far. He requested the Vice Chancellor take action on this issue at the earliest. If there is need to take data from the colleges, it should be taken as soon as possible. If they did not take any action, the situation would remain the same.

Dr. Gurmit Singh said that Shri Prabhjit Singh and some other members have talked about relieving the teachers of private colleges for attending refresher courses. It has to be seen whether, as per the UGC norms, the teachers of some of the private colleges are allowed or not to attend the refresher courses. So, they have also to see as to who could apply. If those teachers are not allowed to attend the courses, they how the colleges could relieve them.

The Vice Chancellor said that they have to see to it as they are allowed or not.

Shri Naresh Gaur said that the Refresher Courses/Orientation Courses should be conducted at Regional Centres as it is very difficult for the lady teacher to come to Panjab University Campus to attend these courses.

The Vice Chancellor said that he has noted it.

Principal R.S. Jhanji said that sometimes the refresher courses are conducted in the month of November/December when the semester has been at the peak and the syllabus are to be completed and due to this some reservation is there. But the solution of this problem is that they could conduct the refresher courses in the vacations.

Shri H.S. Dua said that on college issues serious discussion does not take place. In the last Senate, in the zero hour they mentioned about all the issues and their solution, but so far there is no action taken report. They have been sitting here since morning, but this is first item relating to colleges where discussion is taking place. But here also they are trying to finish the discussion at the earliest. The backbone of this University is not only the students of Panjab University campus, but also the 2 to 2.5 lac students of 190 affiliated colleges of this University are also its backbone. They were discussing the Board of Finance, but the major part of income i.e. about Rs.200 crores is coming from the colleges only. About Rs.1.25 is coming from the examination fee collected from the college students. Their lackadaisical attitude towards the colleges from where they get a revenue of Rs.150 crores, is very damaging. He informed that login of all the college teachers have been created and he could apply directly to the University. If a college faces any problem of relieving the teachers, it should give reason

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

for that to which the Vice Chancellor said that it is a very good suggestion. He requested while framing the agenda, all the college issues should be included and proper time be given for discussing those issues.

**RESOLVED:** That the recommendation of the Syndicate contained in **Item C-4 on the agenda**, be approved.

**IX.** The recommendation of the Syndicate contained in **Item C-5** on the agenda was read out and unanimously approved, i.e. –

**C-5.** That the date of promotion of Dr. Nishi Sharma Associate Professor, University Institute of Applied Management Sciences, from Assistant Professor (Stage-2) to Assistant Professor (Stage-3), under CAS), be preponed from 28.08.2013 to **12.05.2013**, as recommended by the Committee dated 27.9.2018.

**(Syndicate dated 18.11.2018 Para 10)**

**X.** The recommendation of the Syndicate contained in **Item C-6** on the agenda was read out, viz. –

**C-6.** That Smt. Razia Sultana, Higher Education and Water Supply & Sanitation Minister, Punjab, be assigned to the faculties mentioned below:

1. Languages
2. Arts
3. Education
4. Design & Fine Arts

**(Syndicate dated 7.7.2018/18.11.2018 Para 32)**

Professor Navdeep Goyal said that they are approving this item, but at the same time some more such items along with item relating to assignment of faculties to D.P.I., have been approved in the Syndicate. There is another Item **C-54** on the agenda of Syndicate meeting of July 2018 which also related to assignment of Fellows to the Faculties namely Dr. Rajesh Kumar Mahajan, Principal, DAV College, Abohar; and Professor Shankarji Jha, Dean, University Instruction, Panjab University, Chandigarh. The Fellows, who are assigned to the Faculties for the first time, it is necessary to get the same approved from the Senate. They are approving this Item as well as Item C-54 of Syndicate meeting of July 2018, but at the same time, if there is any other such item(s), they should authorize the Vice Chancellor to approve the same, on behalf of the Senate, so that the Fellows concerned could vote in the ensuing election of the Syndicate for the year 2019, which is scheduled for tomorrow.

**RESOLVED:** That the following Fellows be assigned to the Faculties as mentioned against their names –

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Faculties</b>
1.	Smt. Razia Sultana Higher Education and Water Supply & Sanitation Minister, Punjab	1. Languages 2. Arts 3. Education 4. Design & Fine Arts

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

2.	Dr. Rajesh Kumar Mahajan Principal DAV College Abohar	1. Medical Sciences 2. Laws 3. Education 4. Business Management & Commerce
3.	Professor Shankarji Jha Dean, University Instruction Panjab University Chandigarh	1. Languages 2. Medical Sciences 3. Design & Fine Arts 4. Business Management & Commerce

**RESOLVED FURTHER:** That the Vice Chancellor, be authorized to approve the requests for assignment of Fellows to the Faculties, if any, on behalf of the Senate.

**XI.** The recommendation of the Syndicate contained in **Item C-7** on the agenda was read out, viz. –

**C-7.** That –

1. The proposal of the Department of Evening Studies-Multi-Disciplinary Research Centre dated 22.3.2018 for change of name to that of Department of Multi Disciplinary Studies and Research is accepted in principle.
2. the academic timings of the Department shall remain the same.
3. the department would explore the possibility of reserving certain seats to the Panjab University Employees.

**(Syndicate dated 14.10.2018 Para 5).**

Shri Jarnail Singh said that they are not in favour of changing the nomenclature of Department of Evening Studies.

Dr. Gurmeet Singh said that this item relates to change of name of Department of Evening Studies – MDRC. Now they are saying to remove the word ‘Evening Studies’. He did try to study the Syndicate the proceedings of the Syndicate, but frankly speaking, he could not find as to what would be the advantage of changing the name. He requested the Vice Chancellor to give a brief of the issue which would help them in understanding the issue properly. However, if allowed, he could first give its disadvantages. He further said that if they remove the word ‘Evening Studies’, one of the components which they have given on the website would be vanished. In that, they have given the option that the students, who are not able to come during the day time, they could join the courses in the Evening Department. The R.D.C. meetings are being held by the department itself. As and when the NAAC team comes to visit the University, they could tell them that they have the facility to impart education to the students in the evening hours. He is unable to understand as to why they are discontinuing this facility themselves. It would not be worthwhile to remove the words ‘Evening Studies’. There may be some sentiments of the faculty attached to it. In the previous days there were some unfortunate incidents and there had been a rumour that

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

the department is being closed, but he was of the opinion that they should not remove the words 'Evening Studies' because the University School of Open Learning might also ask for change of nomenclature on this analogy. He said that he could not understand whether there is any logic in it. Though the Syndicate has approved it, but he requested that they should have a rethinking on this issue.

Shri Jarnail Singh said, going back by the history, this department used to be Evening College. Moreover, the faculty of this College was included in the voter list of colleges. Thereafter, it was made Department of Evening Studies. Even at that time, he fails to understand, what is meant by evening studies. Evening College, by the name, is a good name where evening classes are held for those who are employed somewhere else. Therefore, this item should be withdrawn. They can change the name such as Department of Evening College or Studies or something like that, but it should not look like Evening Studies. It gives the impression as if the Evening Studies is a subject. This is the facility which they have provided to the employees working in private organisations. He, therefore, requested that this item should be withdrawn.

Professor Mukesh Arora said that he remembered when they used to travel in a bus, there the hawkers used to say '*khillan le lao*', but nobody taken it. But now when the hawkers say '*popcorn le lao*' the kids fondly used to eat it. When it was Department of Evening Studies, there was neither any research nor any Ph.D. was done. Now they have started Ph.D. and added the word 'research'. Many other departments have also changed their names, if this department is also allowed to change its name, he thinks, would not make any difference.

Shri Jarnail Singh said as to why the word 'Evening' is omitted.

Dr. Rabinder Nath Sharma said that this department has remained in controversy since so many years. As has been stated by Shri Jarnail Singh, perhaps in the sixty's, it was the vision of S. Partap Singh Kairon, that Chandigarh which has been developed as a new city, the employees who have been working here should also improve their educational qualifications. This department has contributed a lot and produced personalities like Dr. G.K. Chadha, who had been the Chairperson of UGC and Professor S.K. Gupta who remained Vice Chancellor of H.P. University Shimla. Besides this, the Department of Evening Studies had also produced many IAS officers. Even many employees of Panjab University who joined this University after passing 10<sup>th</sup> examination improved their qualifications and became Deputy Registrars. He had seen some persons who were working as Mali, but now by improving their qualifications from this department, have become Clerks. He has himself taught for eight years in the Department of Evening Studies, so he could understand all the things. When it was an Evening College, as stated by Shri Jarnail Singh ji, this department was in the College Constituency. In nut shell he would just say one thing that it is a very big issue, so in order to resolve the issue, they have got the recommendation of the Departmental Committee which was placed before the Syndicate and after that this whole development took place. He requested that whichever proposal has been sent by the department, it should be got examined by constituting a Committee where the Dean of University might also be included and brought it again to the Syndicate. Thereafter, the discussion in the Senate could be held and a decision be taken. This was endorsed by Shri Jarnail Singh.

Professor Keshav Malhotra said that now it is not an emotional issue. As the time passes, things do evolve. If they remain stagnated at one place, it would generate foul smell. To say this that it would not remain of any for employees, is wrong, because in point number (ii) of the proposal dated 22.3.2018, it has been clearly mentioned that

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

academic timings of the department shall remain the same. When the timings of the department are from 3.00 p.m. to 9.00 p.m., there is no logic in projecting it by the name 'Evening'. Why it has happened? He told, when the NAAC team visited, he was the Chairperson of the Department. They showed them the courses taught by them, the sports where they take part, the gold medals won by them, the number of Vice Chancellors produced by them, the faculty members who remained the members of the Syndicate and Senate etc. etc. The NAAC team advised to scrap this department. But the faculty of the department said that as is the saying, it should be made like 'old wine in the new bottle'. So, everything would remain the same. This department would work as an Evening Department, the classes would be held in the evening, the students would be the same, but when the NAAC team would visit again, they would ask whether the recommendations made by them have been met with. They have just changed the name. With the change of name, they would be able to get good students. He asked, how an employee could come at 3.00 p.m., so why they should ask for the certificate from them. He has also said in the Syndicate that his grandfather was the founder of this department. Had he been here today, he would have stood by the younger faculty and younger generation in this endeavour as the name of this department has lost its relevance. Now they have the opportunity to do this, lest they have to face embarrassment before the NAAC team.

Shri Gurjot Singh Malhi said that when two people shout, it becomes less convincing. He had spoken against the change of name even in the Syndicate meeting. He thinks that this is a long standing name and just owing to modernity or if they want to change the name of Panjab University just to become modern, it would not look fine. The name of this department has a long standing and the Multidisciplinary Studies & Research is too confusing. They should not change the name so easily. They should not have inferiority complex, rather one should be proud of the fact that one is part of the Department of Evening Studies. The word 'Evening Studies' should be part of the name of this department. He had spoken quite convincingly even in the Syndicate in its favour.

Dr. Dalip Kumar read out point No. (i) at page 89 of the agenda, which reads as under –

“The existing nomenclature of the Department “Department of Evening Studies – Multi Disciplinary Research Centre” be considered for the proposed new nomenclature – “Department of Multi Disciplinary Studies and Research” in the context of the emerging trends and challenges in the domain of Higher Education in India”.

He said that the last two lines are very important. He does not know whether they have taken into consideration the challenges in the domain of Higher Education, the biggest challenge even for the Government of India is, how to increase the Gross Enrolment Ratio across the country, which is 25 per cent at present. In Chandigarh, there are 25 Institutes of Higher Education, 3 Institutes are that of University level and 3 are that of National Importance. Today, the Gross Enrolment Ratio of Chandigarh is 56.4% which is highest in the country which means that 43.6% children are still deprived of education. To his mind, if this proposal is approved, the University should initiate its efforts with the Chandigarh Administration to create and establish Cluster Evening College so that they could give this type of facilities as the Cluster Evening College is a unique intervention in the field of higher education. He thinks that Chandigarh Administration would also agree on this proposal because they also want to impart education to its 43.6% students who are still not getting education.

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

Professor Chaman Lal said that he would say only that which he feels, is right by logic. The Evening College and University School of Open Learning are some of such titles which are considered as second grade in the University system. This is the psychological problem of the teachers. They feel that if they teach in some department, they have much dignity, but if they teach the same subject in the Department of Evening Studies or USOL, they would have less dignity. So, every teacher wants to come to a department. So, first of all they should tackle this psychology. All teachers of the University should have same respect, same status. Though they have same status as they are getting same grade etc. but there is a psychological impression in their mind that the teachers teaching in the Departments have a bit higher status than those working in the Department of Evening Studies and USOL. He suggested that the representation of teachers working in DES and USOL should be allowed in Academic Council, Board of Studies, Research Board, etc. to which Professor Keshav Malhotra said that it is already there. They should be a bit justified with themselves. Multi Disciplinary Research Centre has been a very high sounding name.

The Vice Chancellor requested Professor Chaman Lal to conclude his version as some other members have to speak on the issue.

Continuing, Professor Chaman Lal said Evening College or Department of Evening Studies are equally respectable names and further said that they should not use the high sounding name such Multi Disciplinary Research Centre. He requested not to play over it and do the things on academic basis.

Professor Shankerji Jha said that he would like to tell that it is an old system. The Department of Evening Studies was established keeping in view the problem of those who work in day time and are fond of enhancing their educational qualifications. This system is prevalent almost in whole of India. Such a system is running in Delhi University also. Since it is for those who want to study in the evening hours while doing job, so the word 'Evening' must be retained in the name.

Professor Rajesh Gill said that all the faculty members of the Department of Evening Studies has made this proposal, so there must be some logic in it. It would not be fair if they just to say at once that it is not right. They have to respect the faculty decision also as this is the joint decision of the department.

Dr. R.K. Mahajan said that this department is neither a morning department nor an evening department, rather it should be named as 'afternoon department' as it starts working from 3.00 p.m. How it could be called an evening department. If 1200 students have been enrolled in that department, they should check how many of them are the employees? He himself said that there is no employee enrolled and only the students of the colleges take admission in the Department of Evening Studies. So, what is the problem in changing the name? He further said that the UGC did not give grant for this department and if they remove the word 'evening' from its name, they would start getting grant. He has discussed this issue with the teachers of the department. They said if the word 'evening' is removed and the other things such as timings etc. even if remain the same, they would be benefited a lot.

Professor Ronki Ram said that there are many evening colleges, i.e., one at Panjab University and some were at some other places. The problem was that the teachers were neither allotted houses nor they were members of the PUTA. After a lot of struggle, they were able to get houses as well as membership of PUTA. However, there came a problem that in whole of India or even in the world, there is no such thing which could be called evening studies. The studies could be that of Sociology,

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

Philosophy, Economics, Physics or Mathematics, but there is nothing with the name of Evening Studies. Many people did question what did they mean by evening studies and what is morning studies. That was the difficulty. Professor Bhatnagar Sahib has also said, what is this evening studies, this should be closed. So, if the department people apprehend that the NAAC team might not recommend for the closer of the department, then its name should be changed. With the change of name, the employees and the students studying there would remain intact. So, this could be thought if any other name could be found suitable.

Shri Raghbir Dyal said that he has no objection with the name of the department, whatever they have suggested, they must have suggested it for its benefit. He is quoting the report published in a newspaper where it is mentioned that there are many departments of the University which do have the record of their students, their contribution at the national such as in the NET examination, Engineering Service Examinations etc. The name of University School of Learning is at the top of the list. Through the Vice Chancellor, he would like to request all the Chairpersons of the departments that they should have at least kept the record of their students and also those who have contributed at the national level. He informed that this is given in the report of IQAC Cell. He requested the Vice Chancellor to take this thing into consideration.

Shri Deepak Kaushik said that the Department of Evening Studies was earlier known with the name Evening College. As stated by Professor Keshav Malhotra, half of the non-teaching employees of the University had been the students of Department of Evening Studies. Later on the Evening College was named as Department of Evening Studies. The evening classes of law in the Department of Laws were stopped. Now the department is being named as Multi Disciplinary Research Centre. Evening College was opened for those employees who had been working somewhere or could not complete their education. Basically, it was helpful for the weaker section. If this department is made a Multi Disciplinary Research Centre, no poor person would know about it whether there was an Evening Studies Department. It seems to him as if they are planning to finish this department. He requested that the department may be given any name but the word "Evening" must not be removed.

Dr. K.K. Sharma suggested it could be named as Panjab University Evening Department of Multi Disciplinary Studies.

Professor Shelley Walia said that when they talk of Department of Evening Studies, the other things connected with this department are the Adult Education, Continuing Education etc. When the UGC team visited the department, it said that the department could be integrated with other departments because if they see the constitution of this department, it shows that this department would be for those students and adults who wish to study further, but could not do so due to their family problems. This department is a multi-disciplinary department where there is continuing education, adult education, M.A., B.A. Sociology, Economics etc. When a student comes to the University to study, he should know about it that it is a multi-disciplinary department. That is why, he would join the department. He further said that they never say morning English Department or morning Sociology Department. If the faculty of DES has named it a Multi Disciplinary Department, they should gain from it.

Professor Emanuel Nahar said that to his mind, there is a technical problem as pointed out by the UGC and they have to keep that in mind also. He said that many academic activities have been started in the department and even the students of this



Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

department have been taking first positions in the University. The Research Centre is running very smoothly. He requested that now there is need to change its name and whatever the Committee has recommended that should be accepted.

At this point of time some members said that the item is approved and they should go ahead.

Principal Gurdip Kumar Sharma said that such an evening college had been running in Hoshiarpur also and so this college is very useful. Some college from Ludhiana had also requested to permit them to hold evening classes. Evening College is very useful for the employees and they must consider if they receive such a request from Hoshiarpur or Ludhiana also. If these colleges have infrastructure and faculty, they should permit them to hold the evening classes.

Professor Keshav Malhotra said that with the change of name, it would not become a deterrent for the employees because they have clearly written in the proposal that the timings of the Department would remain the same. He informed that about 467 seats are lying vacant.

Professor Rajesh Gill intervened to say that according to her, more students would join the department with the change of name as they feel it a stigma.

Professor Keshav Malhotra said that they are running their Economics and Commerce programme very smoothly. When they join their department, the deterrent for them is that they feel that they are doing a part-time course.

A din prevailed as several members started speaking together. However, a members said that it is not written anywhere in the degree that it is a part-time course.

Shri Deepak Kaushik said that many persons who have studied in this department are now working as faculty members.

Professor Keshav Malhotra said that it is also necessary because they have to satisfy the NAAC team and also to enhance the quality of education.

Dr. Gurmeet Singh said that the observations of the NAAC team should also be attached with the agenda so that they could know as to what the NAAC team had desired.

Professor Keshav Malhotra said that if they have to make it employee friendly, they could revert to the old timings of 5.40 p.m. to 8.40 p.m.

The Vice Chancellor said that since no consensus is being arrived at, therefore, he (Vice Chancellor) wants that it should be referred back to the Committee to examine it in an integrated and consolidated way. The Committee would revisit and see to it.

On being asked by Professor Keshav Malhotra as to how it would be seen, the Vice Chancellor said he should submit his input to the Committee.

Dr. Rabinder Nath Sharma said that both he and Professor Keshav Malhotra would appear before the Committee and submit their input.

**RESOLVED:** That the matter be, referred back to the Department of Evening Studies – Multi Disciplinary Research Centre, to re-examine the issue in an integrated and consolidated way.

**XII.** The recommendation of the Syndicate contained in **Item C-8** on the agenda was read out, viz. –

**C-8.** Considered that the amendment/addition/deletions of the following Regulations (Items 1, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 25, 28, 29, 30, 32, 33, 34, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40 and 41), be approved:

**ITEM 1**

That addition in Regulation 11.1 (h) appearing at page 92 of Panjab University Calendar, Volume II, 2007 (effective from the session 2017-18) with respect to eligibility criteria for M.A. in Human Rights and Duties, be made as under and be given effect to, in anticipation of approval of the various University bodies/Government of India/publication in the Government of India Gazette:

<b><u>PRESENT REGULATION</u></b>	<b><u>PROPOSED REGULATION</u></b>
<p>11.1 A person who has passed one of the following examinations from the Panjab University or an examination recognized by the Syndicate as equivalent thereto, shall be eligible to join the M.A. degree course, other than in Physical Education :-</p> <p>(i) A Bachelor's degree obtaining at least 45 per cent marks in the subject of Postgraduate course, or 50 per cent marks in the aggregate.</p> <p>(ii) B.A. with Honours in the subject of the Postgraduate course or B.Sc. Hons. School course.</p> <p>(iii) Master's degree examination in any other subject.</p> <p><b>Provided that-</b></p> <p>(a) to (g) xxx      xxx      xxx</p>	<p>11.1 No Change</p> <p>(i) to (iii) No Change</p> <p><b>Provided that-</b></p> <p>(a) to (g) xxx      xxx      xxx</p> <p>(h) A Postgraduate Diploma in the subject of Human Rights with 50% marks shall also be eligible.</p>

**ITEM 5**

That amendment in the eligibility criteria for M.A. Defence & Strategic Studies (effective from the session 2017-18), be made as under and be given

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

effect to, in anticipation of approval of the various University bodies/ Government of India/publication in the Government of India Gazette:

<b><u>PRESENT REGULATION</u></b>	<b><u>PROPOSED REGULATION</u></b>
<p><b><u>For M.A. Defence &amp; Strategic Studies</u></b></p> <p>(i) Candidate shall offer Defence &amp; Strategic Studies who had passed Defence &amp; Strategic Studies/ Military Science at graduate level;</p> <p>(ii) had passed Bachelor's Degree in allied subjects History, Political Science, Economics, Sociology, Psychology, Geography, Geology, Public Administration in Faculty of Arts/Social Sciences;</p> <p>(iii) had passed their qualifying examination in other subjects/Faculties; and</p> <p>(iv) had Candidates belonging to the Armed Forces (i.e. Army, Navy &amp; Air Force) after having put in five years of regular service provided they have passed the graduation examination.</p>	<p><b><u>For M.A. Defence &amp; Strategic Studies</u></b></p> <p>(i) <b><u>A Bachelor's degree obtaining at least 45 per cent marks in the subject of Postgraduate course (Defence and Strategic Studies), or 50 per cent marks in the aggregate.</u></b></p> <p>(ii) <b><u>B.A. with Honours in the subject of the Postgraduate course (Defence and Strategic Studies).</u></b></p> <p>(iii) <b><u>Bachelor's degree in any discipline with 50 percent marks in the aggregate.</u></b></p> <p>(iv) <b><u>Master's degree examination in any other subject.</u></b></p> <p>(v) <b><u>Candidates belonging to Armed Forces i.e. Army, Navy, Air Force &amp; Central Armed Police Forces (CAPF) after having put in five years of regular service provided they have passed the graduation examination.</u></b></p>

**ITEM 6**

That addition in Regulation 2 for B.A. (Honours) Economics (effective from the session 2017-18), be made as under and be given effect to, in anticipation of approval of the various University bodies/Government of India/publication in the Government of India Gazette:

<b><u>PRESENT REGULATIONS</u></b>	<b><u>PROPOSED REGULATIONS</u></b>
<p>2. A person who has passed one of the following examinations shall be eligible for admission to the first semester of B.A. (Honours) Economics.</p> <p>(i) +2 examination under 10+2+3 system of education conducted by a</p>	<p>2. No Change</p> <p>(i) No Change</p>

<p>recognized Board/ University/ Council with at least 60 per cent (55 per cent in case of SC/ST candidates) marks in the +2 examination.</p> <p>(ii) Any other examination recognized by the Syndicate as equivalent to (i) above.</p> <p>(iii) Given the quantitative requirements of the Programme, only students who have passed Mathematics at the class XII level are eligible for admission as per UGC Guidelines under the CBCS system.</p>	<p><b><u>The candidate must not be above 20 years of age as on 1<sup>st</sup> August of the year in which admission is sought to the First semester (22 years in the case of SC/ST).</u></b></p> <p>(ii) Any other examination recognized by the Syndicate as equivalent to (i) above.</p> <p>(iii) No Change</p>
---	---

**ITEM 8**

That amendment in Regulation 1.2 for Master of Arts (Education) (Semester System) (effective from the session 2017-18), **be made as under and be given effect to**, in anticipation of approval of the various University bodies/ Government of India/publication in the Government of India Gazette:

<b><u>PRESENT REGUALTION</u></b>	<b><u>PROPOSED REGUALTION</u></b>
<p>1.2 A person who possesses one of the following qualifications shall be eligible to join the course:-</p> <p><b>(I) For Indian Nationals:</b></p> <p>A graduate in any discipline/stream with 50% marks from recognized Indian Universities with B.Ed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">OR</p> <p>The candidates who have studied Education or Philosophy; or Psychology or Sociology as an elective subject or Honors course at first or second degree level with 50% Marks.</p> <p><b>(II) For Foreign Nationals:</b></p> <p>A candidate having 50% marks in the qualifying examination with Education/ Philosophy/Psychology/Sociology as elective subject/Honours or equivalent</p>	<p>1.2 A person who has passed one of the following examinations from the Panjab University or an examination recognized by the Syndicate as equivalent thereto, shall be eligible to join the M.A. degree course, other than in Physical Education:-</p> <p>(i) A Bachelor's degree obtaining at least 45 per cent marks in the subject of Postgraduate course, or 50 per cent marks in the aggregate.</p> <p>(ii) B.A. with Honours in the subject of the Postgraduate course or B.Sc. Hons. School course.</p> <p>(iii) Master's degree examination in any other subject.</p>

<p>grade from Foreign University having equivalent graduate degree certified by the Association of Indian Universities (AIU), and; Proficiency in English language (TOFEL)</p>	<p>Provided that:</p> <p>(a) <b><u>Bachelor's degree in any discipline/stream with 50% marks from only recognized Indian University with B.Ed.</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>OR</b></p> <p><b><u>A student who has passed B.A./B.Sc. examination with Education or Philosophy or Psychology or Sociology or Public Administration or History or Economics or Geography or Political Science or Anthropology with 50% marks.</u></b></p> <p>(b) <b><u>For Foreign National students who have 50% marks in the qualifying examination or equivalent grade from Foreign University having the equivalent graduate degree certificate by the Association of Indian University (AIU).</u></b></p>
--	--

**ITEM 9**

That addition of Regulation 2(b) for B.A./B.Com. LL.B. (Honours) 5-years Integrated course (effective from the session 2017-18), be made as under and be given effect to, in anticipation of approval of the various University bodies/Government of India/publication in the Government of India Gazette:

<b>PRESENT REGULATIONS</b>	<b>PROPOSED REGULATIONS</b>
<p>2. Minimum qualifications for admission to B.A./B.Com. LL.B. (Hons) first semester of the course shall be-</p> <p>(a) 10+2 examination with at least 50% marks (45% marks in case of SC/ST/BC) from any recognized University /Board.</p>	<p>2. Minimum qualifications for admission to B.A./B.Com. LL.B. (Hons) first semester of the course shall be-</p> <p>(a) No Change</p> <p><b><u>(b)The candidate must not be above 20 years of age as on the date fixed for submission of application form of Entrance Test (22 years in case of SC/ST).</u></b></p>

(c) The admission shall be on such criteria (academic merit or Entrance Test or both etc.) as may be prescribed by the Syndicate from time to time.	(c) ( No change )
---	-------------------

**ITEM 10**

That amendment in Regulation 2.1 and 2.2 for Bachelor of Engineering courses (effective from the session 2017-18), be made as under and be given effect to, in anticipation of approval of the various University bodies/Government of India/publication in the Government of India Gazette:

<b>PRESENT REGULATION</b>	<b>PROPOSED REGULATION</b>
<p>2.1 The mode of admission to the Second year course (lateral entry) in any branch will be decided by the Syndicate from time to time. It will be open to a candidate who has passed 3-year Diploma from the State Board of Technical Education in India with 60% marks in the aggregate. The admission will only be made in the corresponding or equivalent branches of degree courses.</p>	<p>2.1 The lateral entry from Diploma to 2<sup>nd</sup> year of 4-year B.E. degree level will subject to the following conditions:-</p> <p><b>(i) The candidate must have passed Diploma in Engineering of minimum three year duration after Matriculation examination with Physics and Mathematics from Polytechnic College/ Institute affiliated with State Board of Technical Education/ University, or recognized by University Grants Commission/ AICTE. In addition, the candidate must have obtained 60% (55% for SC/ST/BC/PWD) marks in the aggregate in Diploma course as required by AICTE.</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>OR</b></p> <p><b>The candidate must have passed Diploma in Engineering of minimum two year duration after 10+2 from Polytechnic College/Institute affiliated with State Board of Technical Education/ University, or recognized by University Grants Commission/ AICTE. In addition the candidate must have obtained 60% (55% for SC/ST/BC/PWD) marks in the aggregate in diploma course as required by AICTE.</b></p> <p><b>(ii) Candidate must have passed their qualifying examination i.e. Diploma in Engineering two years prior from the year of admission.</b></p> <p><b>(iii) Admission would be made on the basis of merit obtained in the Entrance Test</b></p>

<p>2.2 Admission in the affiliated Colleges and Swami Sarvanand Giri P.U. Regional Centre, Bawara, Hoshiarpur be made on the basis of merit obtained in an Entrance Examination to be conducted by the Panjab University.</p>	<p>examination to be conducted by the Panjab University, Chandigarh.</p> <p><b>(iv)</b> Candidate having re-appear or compartment in Diploma in Engineering is not eligible for admission in the course even through he/she clears the reappear or compartment by the time of counseling.</p> <p>2.2. ( No change )</p>
---	---

**ITEM 11**

That addition in Regulation 2 for Postgraduate Diploma in Nutrition and Dietetics (effective from the session 2017-18), be made as under and be given effect to, in anticipation of approval of the various University bodies/Government of India/publication in the Government of India Gazette:

<b><u>PRESENT REGULATIONS</u></b>	<b><u>PROPOSED REGULATIONS</u></b>
<p>2. A person who possesses one of the following qualifications shall be eligible to join the course:</p> <p>(i) B.Sc. Home Science from Panjab University/any other examination equivalent to B.Sc. Home Science, recognized by Panjab University.</p> <p>(ii) B.A. with Home Science/ B.Sc./B.Sc. Microbial and Food Technology/B.Sc. Food Science/ B.Sc. Clinical Nutrition and Dietetics/B.Sc. Homeopathy/ B.Sc. Physiotherapy/B.Sc. Nursing/ BAMS/MBBS/allied fields with atleast 50% of the aggregate marks.</p>	<p>2. A person who possesses one of the following qualifications shall be eligible to join the course:</p> <p>(i) No Change.</p> <p>(ii) B.A. with Home Science/B.Sc./ B.Sc. Microbial and Food Technology/B.Sc. Food Science/B.Sc. Clinical Nutrition and Dietetics/B.Sc. Homeopathy/ B.Sc. Physiotherapy/ B.Sc. Nursing/ BAMS/MBBS/<b>B.Sc. Biotechnology/ Bachelor of Dental Science (BDS), B.A., B.Sc. Sports Nutrition, under allied fields except B.Sc. in Medical Lab Technology</b> with at least 50% of the aggregate marks.</p>

**ITEM 12**

That addition of Regulation 27 for M.Sc. (Microbial Biotechnology) (effective from the session 2017-18), be made as under and be given effect to, in

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

anticipation of approval of the various University bodies/Government of India/publication in the Government of India Gazette:

27. A candidate who has passed the M.Sc. (Microbial Biotechnology) examination may reappear as a private candidate in a course/s in which he/she wishes to, with a view to improving his/her performance.

For this purpose, he/she may be given two chances, within a period of seven years from the date of his/her passing the degree course. The candidate in the first instance shall be required to intimate all the courses in which he/she would like to improve his/her performance. He/she shall then appear in the respective course/s at the main semester examination i.e. for the course offered for first and Third semesters in the November/December examination and for the second and fourth semesters in April/May examination. If he/she does not improve his/her performance in any course/s, he/she shall be eligible to do so in again in the following years in the semester examination concerned which would be treated as a second chance. The candidate shall be charged fee as prescribed by the Syndicate from time to time for each course, subject to the maximum admission fee prescribed for the semester concerned.

The result of the candidate shall be declared only if he/she improves his/her performance.

**ITEM 13**

That Regulation 2(iv) for M.Sc. (Honours) Bio-Technology (effective from the session 2017-18), be added as under and be **given effect to**, in anticipation of approval of the various University bodies/Government of India/publication in the Government of India Gazette:

<b><u>PRESENT REGULATIONS</u></b>	<b><u>PROPOSED REGULATIONS</u></b>
<p>2. A person who has passed one of the following examinations shall be eligible to join M.Sc. (Honours School) Semester System:</p> <p>(i) B.Sc. (Honours School) examination of the Panjab University in the subject of M.Sc. (Honours School) course provided that BCA/B.Tech./B.E. (Computer Science/Engineering) with 50% marks or any other examination recognized as equivalent thereto shall also be eligible for M.Sc. (Honours School) Computer Science.</p> <p>(ii) B.A. or B.Sc. examination of the Panjab University or any other examination recognized by the Panjab University as equivalent thereto, for admission to M.Sc. (Honours School) in Anthropology.</p>	<p>2. No Change</p> <p>(i) No Change</p> <p>(ii) No Change</p>



<p>Provided that admission of the eligible students other than B.Sc. (Honours School) from Panjab University will be based on their merit in the Entrance Test (OCET) for B.Sc. (Pass or Honours) examination with 50% marks from Panjab University or any other University recognized as equivalent thereto/the fulfillments of such other requirements as may be laid down by the Syndicate.</p>	<p><b>(iii) The students who have passed B.Sc. Bio-Technology (50% marks)/B.Sc. with 50% marks with Biotechnology as elective/vocational subject (studied for 3 years) are eligible for admission to M.Sc. (Hons.) in Biotechnology.</b></p> <p>No Change</p>
--	---

**ITEM 14**

That amendment in Regulation 2 (a) for Master of Science (Semester System) examination (Revised) appearing at page 132 of Panjab University Calendar Volume II, 2007, be made as under and be given effect to, in anticipation of approval of the various University bodies/Govt. of India/publication in the Govt. of India Gazette:

<b>PRESENT REUGLATION</b>	<b>PROPOSED REGULATION</b>
<p>1. A person who has passed one of the following examinations shall be eligible to join M.Sc. (Semester System):-</p> <p><b>Anthropology</b></p> <p>xxx            xxx            xxx</p> <p><b>Botany</b></p> <p>xxx            xxx            xxx</p> <p><b>Physics</b></p> <p>xxx            xxx            xxx</p> <p><b>Chemistry</b></p> <p>(a) B.Sc. (Medical/Non-Medical) candidates who have passed the said examination securing 50% marks in the aggregate as</p>	<p>2. No Change</p> <p><b>Anthropology</b></p> <p>xxx            xxx            xxx</p> <p><b>Botany</b></p> <p>xxx            xxx            xxx</p> <p><b>Physics</b></p> <p>xxx            xxx            xxx</p> <p><b>Chemistry</b></p> <p>(a) B.Sc. examination of the Panjab University or any other University recognized by the Syndicate</p>

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

<p>also 50% marks in the subject of Chemistry separately. The candidates, who have passed B.Sc. (Medical Group) examination, shall be required to study Mathematics in First and Second Semesters and those who have passed B.Sc. (Non-Medical) examination shall be required to study Biology for First and Second Semesters.</p> <p>(b) B.Sc. Pass Degree under the old B.Sc. (Honours School) regulations awarded by the Syndicate on the recommendation of the Board of Control in the subject of M.Sc. course.</p> <p>(c) B.Sc. examination with 50 percent marks in aggregate from any other University recognized by the Syndicate as equivalent to (a).</p> <p>(d) B.Sc. (Honours) examination of Panjab University or of any other University (recognized as equivalent thereto) in the subject of M.Sc. course.</p>	<p>securing at least 50% marks in aggregate <b><u>with Chemistry for M.Sc. (Chemistry) course along with any Science Subject.</u></b></p> <p>Provided that the student who had not taken mathematics as one of the subjects in B.Sc. examination may be admitted to M.Sc. (Two Year Course) in Chemistry on the condition that he/she passes and additional paper in Mathematics in the first year examination securing at least 40% marks and the student who studied Mathematics as one of the subjects in B.Sc. examination should pass additional paper in Biology in the first year examination securing at least 40% marks.</p> <p>(b) No Change</p> <p>(c) No Change</p> <p>(d) No Change</p>
---	--

**ITEM 15**

That amendment in the Regulations 1.1, 2.1, 2.4, 3.1, 3.2, 3.3 and 4.2 for Advanced Diploma in Labour Laws appearing at pages 390-392 of Panjab University Calendar Volume II, 2007 (effective from the session 2016-2017), be made as under and be given effect to, in anticipation of approval of the various University bodies/Govt. of India/publication in the Govt. of India Gazette:

<b>PRESENT REGULATIONS</b>	<b>PROPOSED REGULATIONS</b>
<p><b>1.1</b> The duration of the Course for Advanced Diploma in Labour Laws shall be one years. It shall be a part-time Course, open to in-service candidates associated with appropriate organizations as may be approved by the Head of the Department of Laws in consultation with</p>	<p><b>1.1</b> <b>The duration of the course for Advanced Diploma in Labour Laws shall be one year. It shall be a full time course, as may be approved by the Head of the Department/ Institute/Center/Principal of the Law College in consultation with</b></p>

Board of Control and others eligible under the Regulations.	<b>Board of Control and other eligible under the Regulations.</b>
<p><b>2.1</b> The minimum qualifications for admission to the Course shall be :-</p> <p>(a) A Post-Graduate degree in Business Administration, Commerce, Psychology, Sociology, Economics or Public Administration of the Panjab University in second class (50 per cent marks); Or</p> <p>(b) A B.L. (Bachelor of Laws: Non-Professional) or LL.B. (Bachelor of Laws) degree of the Panjab University in second class (50 per cent marks); or</p> <p>(c) A Bachelor's degree or a Post-Graduate degree in any Faculty of Panjab University in second class (50 per cent marks) and having at least 2 years relevant work experience; or</p> <p>(d) A degree of any other University in second class (50 per cent marks) recognized by the Syndicate as equivalent to (a) or (b) or (c). Provided that in cases falling under I, the candidate must fulfil the requirement of 2 years relevant work-experience; or</p> <p>(e) A Post-Graduate degree in Social work in second class (50 per cent marks); 91recognized by the Panjab University.</p>	<p><b>2.1</b> No Change</p> <p>(a) No Change  or</p> <p>(b) No Change  or</p> <p><b>(c) A Bachelor's degree or a Post-Graduate degree in any Faculty of Panjab University in second class (50 per cent marks).</b>  or</p> <p><b>(d) A degree of any other University in second class (50 per cent marks) recognized by the Syndicate as equivalent to (a) or (b) or (c).</b>  Or</p> <p>(e) No Change</p>
<p><b>2.4</b> Three type-written copies of the Dissertation/Project Report/ Term Paper shall be submitted by a candidate to the Chairperson/Head of the Department along with a certificate by the Supervisor/s (a) either one month before the commencement of the examination or (b) up to the date of the commencement of the examination, with the permission of the Chairperson/Head of the Department or (c) within three months after the commencement of the examination with the prior permission of the D.U.I. on the</p>	<p><b>2.4</b> Three type-written copies of the Dissertation/Project Report/ Term Paper shall be submitted by a candidate to the Head of the Department/<b>Institute/Centre/ Principal of the Law College</b> along with a certificate by the Super Supervisor/s (a) either one month before the commencement of the examination or (b) upto the date of the commencement of the examination, with the permission of the Head of the Department/<b>Institute/Centre/</b></p>

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

<p>recommendation of the Chairperson/Head of the Department.</p>	<p><b>Principal of the Law College</b> or (c) within three months after the commencement of the examination with the prior permission of the D.U.I. on the recommendation of the Head of the Department/<b>Institute/ Centre/ Principal of the Law College.</b></p>
<p><b>3.1</b> A person who possesses the qualifications laid down in Regulation 2.1. and produces the following certificates signed by the Head of the Department of Laws shall be eligible to appear in the examination :-</p> <p>(i) of good character;</p> <p>(ii) of having remained on the rolls of the Department of Laws for the academic year preceding the examination;</p> <p>(iii) of having attended not less than 66 per cent in the aggregate of lectures/seminars/case-discussions/field topics;</p> <p>(iv) of having satisfactorily done his class assignments.</p>	<p><b>3.1</b> A person who possesses the qualifications laid down in Regulation 2.1. and produces the following certificates signed by the Head of the Department/ Institute/Centre/Principal of the Law College shall be eligible to appear in the examination:-</p> <p>(i) No Change</p> <p>(ii) of having remained on the rolls of the Department/ <b>Institute/ Centre/Law College</b> for the academic year preceding the examination;</p> <p>(iii) of having attended not less than 66 per cent in the aggregate of lectures/seminars/case-discussions/ field topics;</p> <p>(iv) of having satisfactorily done his/her class assignments.</p>
<p><b>3.2</b> A deficiency in the required number of lectures/seminars/case-discussions/ fieldtrips may be condoned :-</p> <p>(a) up to 15 by the Head of the Department;</p> <p>(b) up to 25 by the Dean of University Instruction on recommendation of the Head of the Department.</p>	<p><b>3.2</b> A deficiency in the required number of lectures/seminars/ case-discussions/ fieldtrips may be condoned :-</p> <p>(a) up to 15 by the Head of the Department/<b>Institute/Centre/ Principal of the Law College;</b></p> <p>(b) up to 25 by the Dean of University Instruction on recommendation of the Head of the Department/<b>Institute/Centre/Principal of the Law College.</b></p>
<p><b>3.3</b> A candidate who has attended the prescribed number of lectures but does not appear in the examination and has not submitted his Dissertation/Project Report/ Term Paper or having appeared in examination and having submitted his Dissertation/Project Report/ Term Paper has failed, may be permitted on recommendation of the Chairperson/Head of the Department of Laws to appear in the examination and submit the</p>	<p><b>3.3</b> A candidate who has attended the prescribed number of lectures but does not appear in the examination and has not submitted his Dissertation/Project Report/Term Paper or having appeared in examination and having submitted his Dissertation/ Project Report/Term Paper has failed, may be permitted on recommendation of the <b>Head of the Department/Institute/ Centre/ Principal of the Law College</b> to appear</p>

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

<p>Dissertation/Project Report/Term Paper as a private candidate before the next two consecutive examinations. A candidate who having attended the prescribed number of lectures does not appear in the examination or appears but fails shall not be permitted to re-join the course.</p>	<p>in the examination and submit the Dissertation/Project Report/Term Paper as a private candidate before the next two consecutive examinations. A candidate who having attended the prescribed number of lectures does not appear in the examination or appears but fails shall not be permitted to re-join the course.</p>
<p><b>4.2.</b> (a) Every candidate shall apply for approval of the subject of his Dissertation/Project Report to the Chairperson/ Head of the Department of Laws within four weeks of his admission.</p> <p>(b) The Head of the Department shall nominate a teacher/s to supervise and guide the Dissertation/Project.</p> <p>(c) Three type-written copies of the Dissertation/Project Report shall be submitted by a candidate to the Controller of Examinations through Chairperson/Head of the Department Along with a certificate by the Supervisor/s that Dissertation/ Project Report has been written by the candidate under the guidance of the Supervisor/s (a) either one month before the commencement of the examination, or (b) up to the date of commencement of the examination with the permission of the Chairperson/Head of the Department, or (c) within three months after the commencement of the examination with the prior permission of the D.U.I. on the recommendation of the Chairperson/Head of the Department.</p>	<p><b>4.2</b> (a) Every candidate shall apply for approval of the subject of his/her Dissertation/ Project Report to the Head of the Department/ <b>Institute/Centre/ Principal of the Law College</b> within four weeks of his/her admission.</p> <p>(b) The Head of the Department/<b>Institute/Centre/Principal of the Law College</b> shall nominate a teacher/s to supervise and guide the Dissertation/Project.</p> <p>(c) Three type-written copies of the Dissertation/Project Report shall be submitted by a candidate to the Controller of Examinations through Head of the Department/<b>Institute/Centre/Principal of the Law College</b> along with a certificate by the Supervisor/s that Dissertation/Project Report has been written by the candidate under the guidance of the Supervisor/s (a) either one month before the commencement of the examination, or (b) up to the date of commencement of the examination with the permission of the Head of the Department/<b>Institute/ Centre/Principal of the Law College</b>, or (c) within three months after the commencement of the examination with the prior permission of the D.U.I. on the recommendation of the Head of the Department/<b>Institute/ Centre/Principal of the Law College</b>.</p>
<p>A candidate who does not submit his dissertation within the above prescribed period, his result will be declared as 'fail'.</p>	<p>A candidate who does not submit his dissertation within the above prescribed period, his result will be declared as 'fail'.</p>

**ITEM 16**

That amendments in the Regulations 1.1, 2.1, 2.4, 3.1, 3.2, 3.3 and 4.2 for Advanced Diploma in Taxation appearing at pages 393-395 of Panjab University Calendar Volume II, 2007 (effective from the session 2016-2017), be made as under and given effect to, in anticipation of approval of the various University bodies/Govt. of India/publication in the Govt. of India Gazette.

<b>PRESENT REGULATIONS</b>	<b>PROPOSED REGULATIONS</b>
<p><b>1.1</b> The duration of the Course for Advanced Diploma Course in Taxation shall be one year. It shall be a part-time Course, open to in-service candidates associated with appropriate organizations as may be approved by the Head of the Department of Laws in consultation with the Board of Control and others, eligible under the regulations.</p>	<p><b>1.1</b> The duration of the Course for Advanced Diploma in Taxation shall be one year. <b>It shall be a full time Course</b>, as may be approved by the Head of the Department/<b>Institute/ Centre/Principal of the Law College</b> in consultation with the Board of Control and others eligible under the Regulations.</p>
<p><b>2.1.</b> The minimum qualifications for admission to the Course shall be :-</p> <p>(a) A Bachelor's degree in Commerce of the Panjab University in second class (50 per cent marks);</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Or</p> <p>(b) B.L. (Bachelor of Law: Non-Professional) or LL.B. (Bachelor of Laws) degree of the Panjab University in second class (50 per cent marks);</p> <p style="text-align: center;">or</p> <p>(c) A Bachelor's degree of the Panjab University in second class (50 per cent marks) and having at least 2 years relevant work experience or at least two years experience as officer of a Scheduled Bank;</p> <p style="text-align: center;">or</p> <p>(d) A degree of any other University in second class (50 per cent marks) recognised by the Syndicate as equivalent to (a) or (b) or (c). Provided that in cases falling under (c), the candidate must fulfil the requirement regarding experience as specified;</p> <p style="text-align: center;">or</p> <p>(e) An Accountancy examination as is recognised by the Central Board of Director Taxes and which is also recognised by the Panjab University;</p> <p style="text-align: center;">or</p> <p>(f) A Chartered Accountant.</p>	<p><b>2.1.</b> The minimum qualifications for admission to the course shall be :-</p> <p>(a) No Change</p> <p style="text-align: center;">or</p> <p>(b) No Change</p> <p style="text-align: center;">or</p> <p><b>(c) A Bachelor's degree of the Panjab University in second class (50 per cent marks).</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">or</p> <p><b>(d) A degree of any other University in second class (50 per cent marks) recognized by the Syndicate as equivalent to (a) or (b) or (c).</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">or</p> <p>(e) No Change</p> <p style="text-align: center;">or</p> <p>(f) No Change</p>

<p><b>2.4.</b> Three type-written copies of the Dissertation/Project Report/Term Paper shall be submitted by a candidate to the Chairperson/Head of the Department along with a certificate by the Supervisor/s (a) either one month before the commencement of the examination or (b) up to the date of the commencement of the examination, with the permission of the Chairperson/Head of the Department or (c) within three months after the commencement of the examination with the prior permission of the D.U.I. on the recommendation of the Chairperson/Head of the Department.</p>	<p><b>2.4.</b> Three type-written copies of the Dissertation/Project Report/Term Paper shall be submitted by a candidate to the Head of the Department/ <b>Institute/Centre/Principal of the Law College</b> along with a certificate by the Supervisor/s (a) either one month before the commencement of the examination or (b) upto the date of the commencement of the examination, with the permission of the Head of the Department/<b>Institute/ Centre/ Principal of the Law College</b> or (c) within three months after the commencement of the examination with the prior permission of the D.U.I. on the recommendation of the Head of the Department/<b>Institute/ Centre/ Principal of the Law College</b>.</p>
<p><b>3.1.</b> A person who possesses the qualifications laid down in Regulation 2.1 and produces the following certificates signed by the Head of the Department of Laws shall be eligible to appear in the examination :-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) of good character;</li> <li>(ii) of having remained on the rolls of the Department of Laws for the academic year preceding the examination;</li> <li>(iii) of having attended not less than 66 per cent in the aggregate of lectures/seminars/case-discussions/ field topics;</li> <li>(iv) of having satisfactorily done his class assignment.</li> </ul>	<p><b>3.1.</b> A person who possesses the qualifications laid down in Regulation 2.1 and produces the following certificates signed by the Head of the Department/<b>Institute/Centre/Principal of the Law College</b> shall be eligible to appear in the examination :-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) of good character;</li> <li>(ii) of having remained on the rolls of the Department/ <b>Institute/Centre/Law College</b> for the academic year preceding the examination;</li> <li>(iii) of having attended not less than 66 per cent in the aggregate of lectures/seminars/case-discussions/ field topics;</li> <li>(iv) of having satisfactorily done his/her class assignments.</li> </ul>
<p><b>3.2.</b> A deficiency in the required number of lectures/seminars/case discussions/Fieldtrips may be condoned :-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) up to 15 by the Head of the Department; and</li> <li>(b) up to 25 by the Dean of University Instruction on recommendation of the Head of the Department.</li> </ul>	<p><b>3.2.</b> A deficiency in the required number of lectures/ seminars/case discussions/ fieldtrips may be condoned:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) upto 15 by the Head of the Department/<b>Institute/Centre/Principal of the Law College</b>; and</li> <li>(b) upto 25 by the Dean of University Instruction on recommendation of the Head of the Department/<b>Institute/ Centre/Principal of the Law College</b>.</li> </ul>

<p><b>3.3</b> A candidate who has attended the prescribed number of lectures but does not appear in the examination and has not submitted his Dissertation/Project Report/Term Paper or having appeared in examination and having submitted his Dissertation/Project Report/Term Paper has failed, may be permitted on recommendation of the Chairperson/ Head of the Department of Laws to appear in the examination and submit the Dissertation/Project Report/Term Paper as a private candidate before the next two consecutive examinations. A candidate who having attended the prescribed number of lectures does not appear in the examination or appears but fails shall not be permitted to rejoin the course.</p>	<p><b>3.3</b> A candidate who has attended the prescribed number of lectures but does not appear in the examination and has not submitted his/her Dissertation/ Project Report/Term Paper or having appeared in examination and having submitted his/her Dissertation/ Project Report/Term Paper has failed, may be permitted on recommendation of the Head of the Department/<b>Institute/Centre/ Principal of the Law College</b>;to appear in the examination and submit the Dissertation/Project Report/Term Paper as a private candidate before the next two consecutive examinations. A candidate who having attended the prescribed number of lectures does not appear in the examination or appears but fails shall not be permitted to re-join the course.</p>
<p><b>4.2.</b> (a) Every candidate shall apply for approval of the subject of his Dissertation/Project Report to the Chairperson/Head of the Department of Laws within four week of his admission.</p> <p>(b) The Head of the Department, shall nominate a teacher/s to supervise and guide the Dissertation/Project.</p> <p>(c) Three type-written copies of the Dissertation/Project Report shall be submitted by a candidate to the Controller of Examinations through Chairperson/Head of the Department along with a certificate by the Supervisor/s that Dissertation/Project Report has been written by the candidate under the guidance of the Supervisor/s (a) either one month before the commencement of the examination, or (b) up to the date of commencement of the examination with the permission of the Chairperson/Head of the Department, or (c) within three months after the commencement of the examination with the prior permission of the D.U.I. on the</p>	<p><b>4.2.</b> (a) Every candidate shall apply for approval of the subject of his/her Dissertation/Project Report to the Head of the Department/<b>Institute/ Centre/Principal of the Law College</b> within four weeks of his/her admission.</p> <p>(b) The Head of the Department/<b>Institute/ Centre/ Principal of the Law College</b> shall nominate a teacher/s to supervise and guide the Dissertation/ Project.</p> <p>(c) Three type-written copies of the Dissertation/Project Report shall be submitted by a candidate to the Controller of Examinations through Head of the Department <b>Institute/ Centre/Principal of the Law College</b> along with a certificate by the Supervisor/s that Dissertation/ Project Report has been written by the candidate under the guidance of the Supervisor/s (a) either one month before the commencement of the examination, or (b) up to the date of commencement of the examination with the permission of Head of the Department/<b>Institute/Centre/Principal of the Law College</b> or (c) within three months after the commencement of the examination with the prior</p>



Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

<p>recommendation of the Chairperson/Head of the Department.</p> <p>A candidate who does not submit his dissertation within the above prescribed period, his result will be declared as 'fail'.</p>	<p>permission of the D.U.I. on the recommendation of the Head of the Department <b>Institute/ Centre/ Principal of the Law College.</b></p> <p>A candidate who does not submit his dissertation within the above prescribed period, his result will be declared as 'fail'.</p>
---	--

**ITEM 17**

That Regulations for the Postgraduate Diploma in Disaster Management & Corporate Security newly introduced at University School of Open Learning (effective from the session 2016-17), **be approved**, as per Appendix and be given effect to, in anticipation of approval of the various University bodies/ Government of India/publication in the Government of India Gazette.

**ITEM 18**

That Regulations for B.A. (Honours) Economics under Choice Based Credit System (effective from the session 2016-17), **be approved**, as per Appendix, and given effect to, in anticipation of approval of the various University bodies/Government of India/publication in the Government of India Gazette.

**ITEM 20**

That Regulations for the Bachelor of Commerce (Semester System) (effective from the session 2014-15), **be approved**, as per Appendix with following stipulation, and be given effect to, in anticipation of approval of the various University bodies/Government of India/publication in the Government of India Gazette:

1. The Regulation 2 shall not be part of the Regulations.
2. The clause C mentioned under regulation 3.1(A) be changed with respect to the marks from **50% to 55%**.
3. The word '**compartment**' mentioned under Regulation 23 be replaced with '**re-appear**'.

**ITEM 21**

That Regulations for the Bachelor of Fine Arts (Four Year Course) (Semester System) (effective from the session 2014-15), **be approved**, as per Appendix, and be given effect to, in anticipation of approval of the various University bodies/Government of India/publication in the Government of India Gazette.

**ITEM 22**

That Regulations for Bachelor of Computer Applications (BCA) (Semester System) (effective from the session 2014-15), **be approved**, as per Appendix, and be given effect to, in anticipation of approval of the various University bodies/Government of India/publication in the Government of India Gazette.

**ITEM 23**

That nomenclature and eligibility conditions for LL.M. (Evening Shift) (Self-financed) newly introduced at University Institute of Legal Studies (effective from the session 2015-2016) be amended as under and be given effect to, in anticipation approval of the various University bodies/Government of India/publication in the Government of India Gazette.

**Addition of the Nomenclature**

<b><u>PRESENT NOMENCLATURE</u></b>	<b><u>PROPOSED NOMENCLATURE</u></b>
(i) Master of Laws (LL.M.) (Semester System) (effective from the session 2009-10)	(i) No change  (ii) <b>Master of Laws (LL.M.) Two-Year Course (Four Semesters) (Evening Shift) (Self-financed) newly introduced from the session 2015-16 at University Institute of Legal Studies.</b>

**Addition in the Eligibility Conditions:**

<b><u>PRESENT REGULATIONS</u></b>	<b><u>PROPOSED REGULATIONS</u></b>
2.1 A person who has passed one of the following examinations shall be eligible after qualifying the entrance test to join the first semester class of the LL.M. Course.  (a) LL.B. degree examination of this University; or  (b) Any equivalent examination of another University recognized by the Syndicate for this purpose.	2.1 A person who has passed one of the following examinations shall be eligible after qualifying the entrance test to join the first semester class of the LL.M. Course.  (a) No change  (b) No change.  <b>Special Weightage for LL.M. (Evening Shift) (Self financed)</b>  <b>The special weightage shall be given to Advocates/ Judicial officers/Civil servants/ employees of Panjab University. The weightage will be up to a maximum of 10 marks with ½ marks for every completed year of practice/ employment.</b>

**ITEM 25**

That Regulation for Prak Shastri (Semester System) (effective from the session 2016-17), be made as under and be given effect to **as per Appendix**, and

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

in anticipation of approval of the various University bodies/Government of India/publication in the Government of India Gazette.

**ITEM 28**

That Regulations for Five-Year Integrated Programme (Honours School) in Social Sciences (effective from the session 2015-2016) **be approved**, as per appendix and be given effect to, in anticipation approval of the various University bodies/ Government of India/publication in the Government of India.

**ITEM 29**

That an **addition** in nomenclature for Master of Commerce (Accounting and Finance) an Innovative Course at page 345 of Panjab University Calendar Volume II, 2007), be made as under and be given effect to in anticipation approval of the various University bodies/Government of India/publication in the Government of India Gazette.

<b>PRESENT NOMENCLATURE</b>	<b>Approved by the Senate dated 25.5.2014</b>	<b>PROPOSED NOMENCLATURE</b>
(i) M.Com. (Semester System) (To take effect from the admissions of 1975) <i>and</i> (ii)M.Com.(E-Commerce) (effective from the session 2002-03)	(i) No Change  (ii) M.Com. (Honours) course has been introduced from the academic session 2011-12 in placed of M.Com. (E-Commerce) and there is separate Regulations for the said course.	(i) No Change  <b>(ii)M.Com. (Accounting and Finance)</b>

**ITEM 30**

That amendment in Regulation 2 for Diploma/Advanced Diploma at Page 229 & 235 and addition of clause (J) for M.A. in French at Page 92 of Panjab University Calendar Volume II, 2007 (effective from the session 2017-18), be made as under and be given effect to, in anticipation of approval of the various University bodies/Government of India/publication in the Government of India Gazette.

<b>Name of the Courses</b>	<b>Present eligibility conditions</b>	<b>Proposed eligibility conditions</b>
Diploma course in French ( <b>appearing at page 229 of P.U. Calendar Volume II, 2007</b> )	<b>Certificates in (i) French, (ii) German, (iii) Russian and (iv) Chinese</b>  2. A person who has passed one of the following examination shall be eligible to join these courses:-	<b>For admission to Diploma Course in French (effective from 2017-18)</b>

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

	<p>(a)Certificate in French/ German/Russian of the Panjab University;</p> <p>(b)B.A. Part I examination with French/German/Russian as an elective subject of the Panjab University;</p> <p>(c)For admission to Diploma Course in Russian, Elementary Technical Translation Certificate in Russian;</p> <p>*<i>(d)</i>For admission to Diploma Course in German, the Certificate in German for Science Students with 50% marks;</p> <p><i>(e)</i>An examination of another University/Board recognized by the Syndicate as equivalent to (a), (b), (c) or (d).</p> <p>*To take effect from the admission of 1977.</p>	<p>(a)Certificate Course in French.</p> <p>(b)B.A. Part I examination with French as an elective subject of the Panjab University.</p> <p>c) An examination of another University/Board recognized by the Syndicate as equivalent to (a) and (b) above.</p> <p>Provided that:-  For admission to Diploma course in French, a candidate who has obtained A2 de 1' Alliance Francaise shall also be eligible.</p>
<p><b>Advanced Diploma course in French (appearing at page 235 of P.U. Calendar Volume II, 2007)</b></p>	<p><b>Advanced Diploma course in (i) German (ii) Russian (iii) French (iv) Chinese and (iv) Tamil</b></p> <p>2. A person who has passed one of the following examinations shall be eligible to join these courses:-</p> <p>(a) Diploma of the Panjab University in the language concerned.</p> <p>(b) For admission to Advanced Diploma course in Russian, B.A./B.Sc. examination with Russian as an elective subject from this University.</p> <p>(c) For admission to Advanced Diploma Course in French B.A./B.Sc. examination with French as an elective subject from this University.</p>	<p><b>For admission to Advanced Diploma Course in French (effective from 2017-18)</b></p> <p>(a) Diploma in French</p> <p>(b) B.A. Part-II examination with French as an elective subject of Panjab University.</p> <p>(c) An examination of another University/ Board recognized by the Syndicate as equivalent to (a) above (b) above.</p>

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

	(d) An examination of another University/ Board recognized by the Syndicate as equivalent to (a), (b) or (c) above.	Provided that:  (i) For admission to <b>Advanced Diploma course</b> in French, a candidate who has obtained B1 de 1 Alliance Francaise shall also be eligible.
<b>M.A. (French)</b>	<p>(i) B.A./B.Sc./B.Com./B.B.A./B.C.A. or Honours (under 10+2+3 system of education) and Advanced Diploma Course in French with at least 45% marks from the Panjab University or any other University.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">OR</p> <p>(ii) B.A./B.Sc./B.Com./B.B.A./B.C.A. (under 10+2+3 system of education) with at least 45% in French elective or Honours (under 10+2+3 system of education) from the Panjab University or any other University.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">OR</p> <p>(iii) B.A./B.Sc./B.Com./B.B.A./B.C.A. or Honours (under 10+2+3 system of education) and have cleared Add-On Advanced Diploma Course in French (3 years Course) with at least 45% marks will have to clear a department level entrance examination.</p> <p>In addition, this be also noted under 2.1.</p> <p>Provided that:-</p> <p>A candidate shall apply for M.A. in French only if he/she has the knowledge of the Language as clarified in 3.1(i).</p>	<p><b>For M.A. in French (effective from the session 2017-18)</b></p> <p>(i) A Bachelor's degree with at least 45 per cent marks in the subject of Postgraduate course, or 50 per cent marks in the aggregate.</p> <p>(ii) B.A. with Honours in the subject of Postgraduate course or B.Sc. Hons. School course.</p> <p>(iii) Master's degree examination in any other subject.</p> <p>Provided that:</p> <p>(i) For the M.A. in French, a candidate who has a Bachelor's degree under 10+2+3 system of</p>

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

		<p>education and Advanced Diploma in French with at least 45 per cent marks from Panjab University or any other University recognized by Panjab University shall also be eligible.</p> <p>(ii) A candidate who has Master's degree in any other subject must have the knowledge of French equivalent to that of Graduation level/ Advanced Diploma to be eligible to apply for M.A. in French.</p> <p>(iii) A candidate who has 50 per cent marks in the aggregate in Bachelors' degree must have the knowledge of French equivalent to that of Graduation level/ Advanced Diploma to be eligible to apply for M.A. in French.</p>
--	--	--

**ITEM 32**

That an addition in Regulation 3 (g) and 3.2 for Master of Commerce (Semester System) at page 345 of Panjab University Calendar Volume II, 2007 (effective from the session 2017-18), be made as under and be given effect to, in anticipation of approval of the various University bodies/Govt. of India/publication in the Govt. of India Gazette.

<b>PRESENT REGULATIONS</b>	<b>PROPOSED REGULATIONS</b>
<p>3.1 The minimum qualification for admission to the first semester of the course shall be:</p> <p>(a) A Bachelor's degree in Commerce or Business Administration with not less than 45% marks in the aggregate; OR</p> <p>(b) B.Com. (Hon.) Degree with not less than 45% marks in the aggregate; OR</p> <p>(c) A graduate with Honours in Economics OR Mathematics OR Statistics OR Commerce with not less than 45%</p>	<p>3.1 The minimum qualification for admission to the first semester of the course shall be:</p> <p>(a) No Change.</p> <p>(b) No Change.</p> <p>(c) No Change.</p>

<p>marks in the aggregate; OR</p> <p>(d) A graduate with 50% marks in the aggregate having offered either Economics, Mathematics, Statistics or Commerce as a subject in the examination:</p> <p>Provided that in case of candidates having Bachelor's degree of the University through Modern Indian Languages [Hindi/Urdu/Punjabi (Gurmukhi Script)] and/or in a Classical Language (Sanskrit/Persian/Arabic) or degree of any other University obtained in the same manner recognized by the Syndicate; 50% marks in the aggregate shall be calculated by taking into account full percentage of marks in all the papers in Language excluding the additional optional per, English and the elective subject taken together;</p> <p>OR</p> <p>(e) An associate of the (i) Institute of Chartered Accountants of India or England or (ii) Institute of Cost and Works Accounts of India or England;</p> <p>OR</p> <p>(f) A pass in the final examination conducted by the Institute of Company Secretaries of India; OR</p> <p>(g) Any other qualification recognized by the Syndicate for this purpose.</p> <p>xxx      xxx      xxx</p>	<p>(d) No Change.</p> <p>(e) <b><u>A candidate who has passed B. Voc. (Banking, Insurance &amp; Retailing) and B.Voc. (Retail Management) shall be eligible to get admission in the course with not less than 45% marks .</u></b></p> <p>OR</p> <p>(f) No Change</p> <p>(g) Change.</p> <p>(h) No Change</p> <p>xxx      xxx      xxx</p>
---	---

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

**ITEM 33**

That an addition in Regulation 4.4 (ii) for Bachelor of Arts/Science (General and Honours) examinations (Semester System) effective from the session 2017-18, be made as under and be given effect to, in anticipation of approval of the various University bodies/Govt. of India/publication in the Govt. of India Gazette.

<b>PRESENT REGULATIONS</b>	<b>PROPOSED REGULATIONS</b>
<p>4.4. A candidate who has passed B.A./B.Sc. First Year (1<sup>st</sup> &amp; 2<sup>nd</sup> Semester)/Second Year (3<sup>rd</sup> &amp; 4<sup>th</sup> Semester) Examination may be allowed to change the subject/s in the same Stream –</p> <p>(a) If the combinations of subjects in that college is not available, or</p> <p>(b) Instruction is not being imparted at the college in the subject/s studied by him in the earlier classes subject to the condition that he shall have to clear the deficient subject/s of the examination concerned as the case may be, at the next two consecutive examinations. If he fails to clear the deficient subject/s his result of B.A./B.Sc./B.Com. Second Year (3<sup>rd</sup> &amp; 4<sup>th</sup> Semester)/Third Year (5<sup>th</sup> &amp; 6<sup>th</sup> Semester) class as the case may be, shall stand cancelled.</p>	<p>4.4(i) No Change</p> <p>(ii) <b><u>The candidate shall change the subject in the 3<sup>rd</sup> semester in the B.A./B.Sc. if he/she is unable to clear the paper with the condition that he/she has to clear the subject to deficient of 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> Semester which he/she has changed in the 3<sup>rd</sup> Semester.</u></b></p>

**ITEM 34**

That an addition in Regulation 11.10 w.r.t. eligibility conditions for M.A. Sanskrit Course at page 92 of Panjab University Calendar Volume II, 2007 (effective from the session 2017-18), be made as under and be given effect to, in



Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

anticipation of approval of the various University bodies/Government of India/publication in the Government of India Gazette.

<b>PRESENT REGULATION</b>	<b>PROPOSED REGULATION</b>
<p>11.1. A person who has passed one of the following examinations from the Panjab University or an examination recognized by the Syndicate as equivalent thereto, shall be eligible to join the M.A. degree course, other than in Physical Education –</p> <p>(i) to (iii) xxx xxx xxx</p> <p>Provided that-</p> <p>(1) (a) to (f) xxx xxx xxx</p> <p><b>11.2 For the Physical Education course</b></p> <p>11.2 For Women Studies course</p> <p>11.3 For M.A. Human Rights</p> <p>11.4 For Masters in Public Health</p> <p>11.5 For M.A. Social work</p> <p>11.6 For M.A. Police Administration</p> <p>11.8 For M.A. in the Language Departments</p> <p>11.9 For M.A. (Buddhist and Tibetan Studies) (for private candidates)</p>	<p>11.1 No Change</p> <p>(i) to (iii) xxx xxx xxx</p> <p>Provided that-</p> <p>(1) (a) to (f) xxx xxx xxx</p> <p><b>11.2 to 11.9 No Change</b></p> <p><b>11.10 For Sanskrit Course:-</b></p> <p>(i) A Bachelor's degree obtaining at least 45 percent marks in the subject of Postgraduate course.</p> <p>(ii) A Bachelor's degree obtaining 50 percent marks in the aggregate provided the candidate has passed Sanskrit as on elective or literature subject.</p> <p>(iii) B.A. with Honours in the subject of the Postgraduate Course.</p> <p>(iv) B.Sc. Honour's School course.</p>

	<p>(v) Master's degree examination in any other subject provided the candidate has studies Sanskrit at graduation level.</p> <p>(vi) A person who has passed "Shastri" examination either under 3 year (10+2+3) Degree course New Scheme or under the old scheme (10+2+3) Degree course.</p>
--	--

**ITEM 36**

That amendment/addition in Regulation 1.2 for M.Sc. Medical Physics at Page 132 of Panjab University Calendar Volume II, 2007 (effective from the session 2016-17), be made as under and be given effect to, in anticipation of approval of the various University bodies/Government of India/publication in the Government of India Gazette.

<b>PRESENT REGULATION</b>	<b>PROPOSED REGULATION</b>
<p>1.2 The duration of the course shall be two years. There will be two semesters for each year and four semesters for the whole course.</p> <p><b>M.Sc. Medical Physics course</b></p> <p><b>Eligibility conditions:-</b></p> <p>A candidate who has passed B.Sc. with 1<sup>st</sup> class from a recognized University/Institution with Physics as an elective subject during all the three years of the B.Sc. candidates having recognized B.Sc. degree in Biophysics and Medical Physics will also be eligible.</p>	<p>1.2 The duration of the course shall be two years. There will be two semesters for each year and four semesters for the whole course.</p> <p><b>For M.Sc. Medical Physics</b></p> <p><b>Eligibility conditions:-</b></p> <p>Admission to M.Sc. Course in Medical Physics will be B.Sc. (Regular course) first class with Physics as core subject (studied for three years) and Mathematics as one of the subjects (studied for minimum two years) from a recognized University. The candidates who studied B.Sc. through correspondence and open University stream are not eligible.</p> <p><b>Admission shall be made on the basis of P.U.-CET (P.G.). The entrance test will be same as that for the admission to M.Sc. (Honours School) in Physics. While deciding the final merit of the entrance test, a weightage shall be given to the B.Sc. marks obtained by the candidate as per University Rules. However, the interested candidates have to apply separately for this course.</b></p>

<p>The duration of M.Sc. Medical Physics course shall be two years followed by one year Internship Programme. The students shall undergo one year internship in the Radiation Therapy, Department of PGIMER (Chandigarh) <b>and the dissertation should be submitted in that year instead of second year.</b></p>	<p><b><u>The duration of M.Sc. Medical Physics course shall be of three years which includes one year internship programme in the final year of the course. The students shall undergo one year internship in Radiotherapy, Department of PGIMER (Chandigarh) or any other hospital as per AERB Regulations. Dissertation on project work after its completion shall be submitted in the final year of the course.</u></b></p> <p><b><u>The dissertation as partial fulfilment for the award of M.Sc. degree in Medical Physics shall be submitted by the end of 3<sup>rd</sup> year. The dissertation shall carry 300 marks and marks shall be awarded after conducting the viva-voce. M.Sc. degree shall be awarded after the completion of three years (3 years) and having scored 50% of the aggregate marks.</u></b></p>
---	---

**ITEM 37**

That amendment in the eligibility conditions for M.Sc. (Instrumentation) (effective from the session 2018-19), be made as under and be given effect to, in anticipation of approval of the various University bodies/Govt. of India/ publication in the Govt. of India Gazette:-

<b>ELIGIBILITY CONDITION (effective from the session 2010)</b>	<b>ELIGIBILITY CONDITION</b>
B.Sc. with Physics/Electronics/ Instrumentation Science/Computer Science/Vocational Physics/Electronics OR B.E. (E&TC)/ Instrumentation/ Electrical & Electronics/ Electronics & Electrical Communication Engineering with minimum 50% marks in the aggregate.	B.Sc. <b>(Medical/Non-Medical)</b> / Physics/ Electronics/Instrumentation Science/ Computer Science/ Vocational Physics/ Electronics OR B.E.(E&TC)/Instrumentation/ Electrical & Electronics/ Electronics & Electrical Communication Engineering) with minimum 50% marks in the aggregate.

**ITEM 38**

That amendment in Regulation 2.1 for M.Sc. (Information Technology) (effective from the session 2016-17), be made as under and be given effect to, in anticipation of approval of the various University bodies/Govt. of India/publication in the Govt. of India Gazette:-

<b>PRESENT REGULATION</b>	<b>PROPOSED REGULATION</b>
<b>2.1</b> The minimum qualification for admission to the first year of the course shall be –	2.1 A person who has passed one of the following examinations shall be eligible to join First Semester of M.Sc. (IT):-

<p>BCA/B.Tech/B.E. in Computer Science examination from Panjab University or any other University recognized by the Syndicate as equivalent thereto.</p>	<p>(i) B.C.A. examination from the Panjab University.</p> <p>(ii) B.E./B.Tech. in Computer Science/ Information Technology from the Panjab University.</p> <p>(iii) B.C.A./B.Sc. (Honours) in computer Science/B.Sc. (Honours School) in Mathematics &amp; Computing or any other graduation with Computer Science/Information Technology/ Computer Applications and Mathematics in all three years of graduation.</p> <p>(iv) Any examination of another University recognized by Panjab University Chandigarh as equivalent to any of the above examination.</p>
--	--

### **ITEM 39**

That amendment/addition in Regulation 1.2 for Master of Science (Semester System) examination at page 132-136 of Panjab University Calendar Volume II, 2007, be made as under and be given effect to, in anticipation of approval of the various University bodies/Government of India/publication in the Government of India Gazette.

<b>PRESENT REGULATIONS</b>	<b>PROPOSED REGULATIONS</b>
<p>1.2 The duration of M.Sc. Medical Physics course shall be three years which includes one year internship programme in the final year of the course. The students shall undergo one year internship in Radiotherapy, Department of PGIMER (Chandigarh) or any other hospital as per AERB Regulations. Dissertation on project work after its completion shall be submitted in the final year of the course.</p> <p>The dissertation as partial fulfillment for the award of M.Sc. degree in Medical Physics shall be submitted by the end of 3<sup>rd</sup> year. The dissertation shall carry 300</p>	<p>1.2 The duration of M.Sc. Medical Physics course shall be three years which includes one year Internship Programme in the final year of the course. The students shall undergo one year internship in Radiotherapy, Department of PGIMER (Chandigarh) or any other hospital as per AERB Regulations.</p> <p><b>The student shall start his/her internship only after passing of all theory and practical papers of M.Sc. I and II year. Special examination chance shall be given in July/August to the students for clearing their papers so that they can continue their internship.</b></p> <p><b>The dissertation as partial fulfilment for the award of M.Sc. degree in Medical Physics shall be submitted by the end of Internship year. The dissertation 200</b></p>

marks and marks shall be awarded after conducting the viva-voce. M.Sc. degree shall be awarded after the completion of three years (3 years) course and having scored 50% of the aggregate marks.	marks and the viva voce examination shall carry 100 marks. M.Sc. degree shall be awarded after completion of three years (3 years) course and having secured 50% of the aggregate marks.
---	--

**ITEM 40**

That addition of eligibility conditions for following courses in M.E. course (for the session 2018-19), be approved **as per Appendix**, and be given effect to, in anticipation of approval of the various University bodies/Govt. of India/publication in the Govt. of India Gazette:

1. M.E. (Information Technology)
2. M.E. (Computer Science & Engineering)
3. M.E. (Electronics and Communication Engineering )
4. M.Tech. (Microelectronics)
5. M.E. (Mechanical Engineering)

**ITEM 41**

That Regulations for grant of Child Care Leave to University Women employees (Teaching and Non-Teaching), **be approved**, as per Appendix and be given effect to, in anticipation of approval of the various University bodies/Govt. of India/publication in the Govt. of India Gazette.

**(Syndicate dated 7.7.2018/18.11.2018 Para 24)**

Dr. Dalip Kumar pointed out that there is problem in **Sub-Items 6 and 9**. In Sub-item 6, the age limit has been mentioned as 20 years. How is it possible? The government is stressing to educate the people, so how could they debar them? He requested that it should be reviewed.

Shri Jarnail Singh said that rather it should be withdrawn.

Dr. Dalip Kumar said that the same problem of age is also there in Sub-Item No.9.

Shri Sandeep Singh said that there is no need to review it. It should be withdrawn as there could not be any age limit for study. The age limit is not accepted and age limit should be deleted.

Dr. Dalip Kumar said that the Sub-items 6 and 9 where age limit has been proposed, should be withdrawn as there should not be age limit.

Ms Anu Chatrath while referring to Sub Item 9 at page 99 of the agenda said that there is a condition which says "Minimum qualifications for admission to B.A./B.Com. LL.B. (Hons.) first semester of the course shall be - ..... and the proposed addition states, "(b)The candidate must not be above 20 years of age as on the date fixed for submission of application form of Entrance Test (22 years in case of SC/ST)". At one point of time the Bar Council of India enforced the condition. This was challenged in the Hon'ble Supreme Court and the Supreme Court has granted status quo. Secondly, the Bar Council has closed over the evening classes in Law. So, if they put this condition that a candidate should not be above 20 years of age, then the

purpose of doing LL.B by those candidates who are 20 plus and studying in the evening classes would be defeated and they would feel frustrated. At present the matter is pending for adjudication in the Supreme Court. The Bar Council has imposed the condition and the Supreme Court granted status quo. So, this condition, at present cannot be imposed and it should be withdrawn. If this condition is withdrawn, then the point (c) would become (b) as they would be withdrawing point (b).

Shri Jarnail Singh requested the Vice Chancellor to withdraw proposed amendment relating to age in Sub items 6 and 9.

Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu, while referring to **Sub-Item 33** at page 119 of the agenda papers, said that there are many problems in their examination system and they could not come out from the Annual System. They are implementing the rules/regulations of annual system on the semester system. He has been a member of that Committee. They have decided that B.A. should be completed in 5 years and M.A. in 3 years. What is happening is that if a student of B.A. 1<sup>st</sup> year changes the subject in the 2<sup>nd</sup> year. It is said that a student has to clear the re-appear in two consecutive chances, otherwise he would be considered in the failure students, which is totally wrong. When they have fixed that a candidate could pass the B.A. degree in 5 years, then he should be given such chances for which he is eligible in five years. Actually, they are keeping in mind the two chances which were available in the annual system. According to them, if a candidate could not clear the re-appear in the consecutive two chances, then he would be reverted back to his previous classes, but this could not happen. In the same they say that a candidate could clear his M.A. Examination in three years and it has been passed by the Committee and also approved by the Syndicate and Senate. He said that there was a student who was given only one chance. Suppose, in the 1<sup>st</sup> year he has compartment and cleared the compartment in the odd semester and he is then told that he is not eligible in the 3<sup>rd</sup> year. But how could he not be eligible? When they have given him 3 years to clear the M.A. examination, he could appear at any time to clear his re-appear. Secondly, the students who have passed M.A. 1<sup>st</sup> year, they have declared the candidates eligible with two compartments to take admission in M.A.-I, he requested the Controller of Examinations that they should call a meeting to consider as to why they are not admitting such students in M.A. 2<sup>nd</sup> year. Then the meeting was called by the Controller of Examinations. If a student has studied for three years in his B.A. examination and could not qualify it, he has two more years to clear his compartments as they have given him 5 years to clear the B.A. degree. He wanted to know why he is not given admission in M.A. 2<sup>nd</sup> year because when he would be in 1<sup>st</sup> year M.A., he is consuming 4<sup>th</sup> year available to him to qualify the B.A. examination and in the M.A. 2<sup>nd</sup> Year he would be using the 5<sup>th</sup> Year available to him to clear the B.A. examination. Suppose a student does not clear his B.A. degree during the 5 years available to him, then his M.A. degree would be cancelled. In this way, they are spoiling the future of hundreds of students. It is a great injustice to such students. So, they have to come out from the annual to semester system. They have to provide the rights to the students available to them under the semester system. There is another case where a student got compartment. Since the inception of the Semester system, the time-table do clash every year. This year too, there was a clash in one paper. Why they do not take care of such things. The candidate has to complete his B.A. in the year 2017 and he has to go abroad. The student could not take the examination of that paper where there was clash. With his involvement and also with the involvement of Deputy Registrar and Assistant Registrar, the paper could be fixed. The said paper was fixed to be held today at 9.30 a.m. and yesterday some person with the name Mr. Bhupinder Singh, dealing hand, rang up the students to inform the student to collect his roll number and appear in the examination at any of the centres at Chandigarh where as students has been putting up at Jiwan Nagar. So, due to their system, the students were compelled to stay here till 2019. He, therefore, requested

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

that in case they have to run the semester system, they have to follow all the rules and regulations meant for semester system. The rules of annual system have to be amended. The Examination Branch has also to be made aware of the rules of semester system as several students are suffering owing to all these things.

Professor Mukesh Arora said that the University has allowed the students to complete their M.A. in three years, but for M.Com. it is said that since the Departments give two years for completing M.Com. degree, so only two years would be given in the colleges. As two chances were given under the annual system, but now only one chance is given. Earlier M.Com course was either in his college or in the University, but now M.Com. is running in at least fifty Colleges. He requested that in M.Com. also, two chances should be given as are being given in M.A., for this they might have to change the regulations. He further requested the Vice Chancellor to get it noted as several students are suffering on this account.

Principal Gurdip Kumar Sharma while endorsing the view point of Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu, he said that though they have introduced semester system, but they are still stuck up with the annual system. He informed that a Standing Committee is there for implementation of Semester System and for its smooth conduct. He suggested that a meeting of the Standing Committee should be got convened as there are other issues also. If they have to run the semester system smoothly, there must be some flexibility; otherwise, it would have no meaning. They are applying annual rules on the semester system. He further requested either a new Committee be constituted or the meeting of the Standing Committee may be convened and the issues be got solved.

Shri Jarnail Singh said that his colleagues have already very well elaborated the issues which should be got examined by convening the meeting of the Standing Committee. Rather he would say that the semester system should be got reviewed as it is failure. The Himachal Pradesh University, Shimla, has already discontinued this system. So, they have also to review it. If the students are suffering due to semester system, then what is the used of introducing it. Secondly, in view of whatever has been discussed regarding this item, the age bar should be withdrawn from the two items i.e. (sub-items 6 & 9 and rest of the item should be passed).

Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu requested the Vice Chancellor to withdraw Sub-Item No. 33 and brought back again.

Professor Navdeep Goyal said that whatever observations have been given by the members, these seem to be correct. He was the Chairman of the Committee. As has been stated by Ms. Anu Chatrath the item was sent to the Committee by the Department keeping in view the directions of Bar Council of India. So, if it is stayed by the Supreme Court, then has to be withdrawn. Similarly, the issue which has been raised by Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu, that is also right. Sometimes the regulations framed by the department are received and they just take much attention on the wording, but some of the problem do not come to fore at that time. Whatever problems have been raised by the members, seems to be correct and the items wherein the problems have been pointed out by the members, should be withdrawn.

Dr. Sarabjit Kaur said that there is another problem related to this issue. In the masters degree, the marks of the students on account of improvement in performance in M.A. I, are changed only in first year and not in the aggregate owing to which his score in the 2<sup>nd</sup> year remained the same.

Dr. Parveen Goyal while referring to **Sub-Item 10**, said they make admission of the candidates in B.E. 2<sup>nd</sup> Year of the students of Diploma Course through lateral entry.

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

The admission is made only in the affiliated colleges and SSGPURC, Hoshiarpur. Last year the admission in the diploma course in CCET College, Sector-26 and diploma in CSIO, Sector-30, Chandigarh, was made. The last year data shows that admission from these two institutes through lateral entry, in B.E. 2<sup>nd</sup> year at SSGPURC, Hoshiarpur, was very less. There are total of 240 seats and 85 seats were filled through Engineering Entrance Examination (EEE) and the rest of the seats remained vacant. In the 2<sup>nd</sup> year more seats got vacant and at the end only 60-65 students remain in the Centre. One of the reasons for low student admissions is lack of faculty and hostel facility. The students, instead of going to SSGPURC, Hoshiarpur, they took admission at Chandigarh University and Chitkara University. He requested that this needs to be looked into. Referring to **Sub-Item 41**, he said that for Child Care Leave a new Committee has been constituted. He requested that Sub-Item 41 should be deferred as there needs to be some discussion.

Professor J.K. Goswamy said that what has been said by Dr. Parveen Goyal regarding SSG P.U. Regional Centre, Hoshiarpur, he would like to add that in the regulations the operational part is not shown. When the students take admission through lateral entry, at that time, they do not see as to in which branch he has done his diploma. A student who has done diploma in Civil and Mechanical could take admission in Electronics. There is no harm in it because the specific branch is decided in the second year only. So, this should also be shown in the operational part of the regulations. As has been pointed out by Dr. Parveen Goyal that for the last 3-4 years, very low admission is taking place at SSGPURC, Hoshiarpur, it is a matter of serious concern. Since the AICTE has taken a very serious note of getting every college affiliated to AICTE, they have to do this before 2019. As such, they were left with only 365 days to do that, otherwise summons from the AICTE are sure to come.

The Vice Chancellor said that they will take all these things into account. He requested that they should conclude it now. They should approve Sub-Items 6, Sub item 9 and Sub item 33 where the members have expressed their views. These items would be re-examined. On being asked by Dr. Parveen Goyal to defer the Sub-item 41 regarding Child Care Leave, the Vice Chancellor informed that work on this item is going on.

Shri Jarnail Singh said that Sub-Items 6 and 9 should be passed.

Professor Navdeep Goyal said that they should face a problem if the sub item regarding Child Care Leave is not approved, because payment to some of the people is held up as it has not been approved by the appropriate authority. He opined that there should not be any problem in approving this item now. Further, amendments would also come in this item, they would approve it then again. The persons who have been granted Child Care Leave, they have not got the payment so far.

Shri Jarnail Singh asked if they are approving the Sub-Items 6 and 9 after withdrawing the age bar conditions or these items are being re-examined to which the Vice Chancellor said that they are approving these items after withdrawing condition of age bar.

Professor J.K. Goswamy requested that Sub Item 10 should also be withdrawn because the operational part needs to be typed in it.

Dr. Parveen Goyal requested to defer **Sub-Item 10**.



Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

Shri Ashok Goyal said that he is talking about Sub Item 6 and 9 which have been withdrawn. It should be kept in mind that it has already been implemented, so it should be noted that this should not become a part of Hand Book of Information for the session 2018-19 to which the Vice Chancellor said okay.

**RESOLVED:** That –

1. the amendments/additions/deletions in the Regulations (Sub-Items 1, 5, 8, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 25, 28, 29, 30, 32, 34, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40 and 41) as mentioned above, be approved;
2. the amendments/additions/deletions in the Regulations (Sub-Items 6 and 9), be approved with the stipulation that the addition under (i) (Sub-Item 6) and clause (b) under Sub-Item 9, relating to age bar, be deleted;
3. Sub-Items 10 and 33, be re-examined.

**XIII.** The recommendation of the Syndicate contained in **Item C-9 on the agenda** was read out viz. –

**C-9.** That the recommendations dated 17.05.2018 of the Committee, constituted by the Vice-Chancellor to examine and give its recommendations on the issues pointed out by Dr. Karamjeet Singh, Professor, UBS, to examine the API Score for Director Recruitment of Associate Professor/Professor and recast the template, be approved.

**(Syndicate dated 7.7.2018/18.11.2018 Para 25**

Professor Rajat Sandhir said that they all know that new UGC guidelines have come on 18<sup>th</sup> July, 2018 and there is new set of guidelines for direct recruitment. So, this proposal is about consolidating marks from Category-II and Category-III, which has become infructuous because there is no Category-II and there is only one Category i.e. Category-III and the marks are also different. So, they cannot consolidate the marks and moreover, when they say that Category-III marks have to be counted, they are giving equal opportunity to people from academics and research institutes. So, they would only get marks from Category-III which is research output. So, since the new guidelines have come, this proposal was given when old guidelines were existing. They are not going to do any direct recruitment with old guidelines. So, this becomes infructuous.

Professor Rajesh Gill said that Professor Rajat Sandhir is right and a Committee has already worked on these new guidelines/regulations because the old guidelines have become infructuous.

**RESOLVED:** That, since the new guidelines for direct recruitment have come on 18<sup>th</sup> July, 2018 and the old guidelines have become infructuous, Item 9 on the agenda, be treated as withdrawn.

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

**XIV.** The information contained in **Items R-1 to R-5** on the agenda was read out and ratified, i.e. –

**R-1.** That the Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation of approval of the Syndicate, has approved the introduction of following three new B.voc courses to be started from the academic session 2018-2019:

1. B.Voc. (Tax, Laws and Management)
2. B.Voc. (Banking & Insurance)
3. B.Voc. (Fashion Designing)

**(Syndicate dated 18.11.2018 Para 17(ii))**

**R-2.** That the Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation of the approval of the Syndicate/Senate, has approved the promotion of Shri Dharam Chand, Senior Technician G-II as Senior Technical Assistant (G-I), University Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, P.U., in the pay scale of Rs.15600-39100+GP of Rs.5400/- with initial pay of Rs.21000/- plus allowances, as per University rules, w.e.f. the date he reports for duty, against the vacant post of Senior Technical Assistant G-I in the UIPS.

**(Syndicate dated 18.11.2018 Para 17(ii))**

**R-3.** That the Vice-Chancellor, subject to and in anticipation of the approval of the Syndicate, has granted further extension in term of appointment of Mrs. Renuka B. Salwan, Director Public Relations-cum-Editor, P.U. News (appointed on temporary basis against the leave vacancy of Shri Vineet Punia) for further period w.e.f. 23.07.2018 to 31.12.2019 (i.e. the date upto which the date upto which the Extra Ordinary Leave (without pay) of Shri Punia has been extended by the Syndicate in its meeting dated 26.05.2018) or until the person (Shri Punia) holding lien joins back in University, whichever is earlier, on the previous terms and conditions.

**(Syndicate dated 18.11.2018 Para 17(iii))**

**R-4.** That the Vice-Chancellor in anticipation of the approval of the Syndicate/Senate has extended the term of the appointment of the following Lab. Instructors, University Institute of Engineering & Technology, w.e.f. 01.08.2018 to 01.06.2019, purely on temporary basis, in the pay-scale of Rs.10300-34800+GP of Rs.5000/- plus allowances as admissible under University rules and their salary be charged/paid against the vacant post as mentioned against each:

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Post against which salary to be charged</b>
1.	Mr. Nand Kishore (IT)	Technical Officer
2.	Mr. Sandeep Trehan (M.E.)	Technical Officer
3.	Ms. Seema (Biotechnology)	Workshop Instructor
4.	Mr. Lokesh (C.S.E.)	Senior Workshop Superintendent
5.	Ms. Sunaina Gulati (C.S.E.)	Deputy Librarian

**(Syndicate dated 18.11.2018 Para 17(iv))**

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

**R-5.** That the Vice-Chancellor in anticipation of the approval of the Syndicate/Senate, has approved the following recommendation of the Committee dated 16.01.2018, constituted by Science Research Board in its meeting dated 27.09.2017, to determine the term of Pre-Ph.D. course work in Science Departments as per the UGC guidelines:

1. if any Department/Research Centre wants to run the Pre-Ph.D. course beyond one semester, they can offer course as an option in the Second semester.
2. the students can apply continuously in the nodal departments. The list of teachers, availability of slots will be available on the department/s website and it will be updated twice a year.
3. the attendance would be 75% in the Pre-Ph.D. course work.
4. if a student fails in any of the papers of the Pre-Ph.D. course work, he/she shall be re-examined after 3-4 months.
5. there shall be a Research Advisory Committee of minimum of three members, to be formed by the respective departments/research centre for each Ph.D. scholar and the concerned Supervisor will be the convener of the Committee. The research proposal and the topic of research will be finalized by this Committee followed by approval of the Research Degree Committee of the Synopsis and the name of the Supervisor/Co-supervisor and thereafter by the concerned Research Board.
6. In inter-disciplinary topics, a Research Scholar could be given option to take up specialized course in Department of his/her choice, in consultation with supervisor and Chairperson (s)/Dean of Faculty.

**Syndicate dated 18.11.2018 Para 17(vi)**

**XV.** The information contained in **Items I-1 to I-17** on the agenda was read out and noted –

**I-1.** That the Syndicate has felicitated to the following:

- (i) Lt Gen K.J. Singh, Maharaja Ranjit Singh Chair Professor, Panjab University, on having been appointed as Advisor to Chief Minister, Haryana, Sainik Kalyan Vibhag and Ardh-Sainik Kalyan Vibhag.
- ii) Professor Sukhbir Kaur, Department of Zoology, on having been awarded for her contributions in the field of Parasitology from the Indian Society for Parasitology.
- iii) Professor Archana R. Singh, Chairperson, School of Communication Studies, Panjab University on having been selected as a Member of the Governing Council and

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

the Academic Council of Film and Television Institute of India (FTII), Pune by Ministry of Information and Broadcasting.

- iv) Professor Vijayta D. Chadha, Chairperson, Centre for Nuclear Medicine on having been awarded a project by the ICMR amounting Rs.25 lakh for her work on prostate cancer imaging.
- v) Professor Kashmir Singh, Associate Professor, Department of Biotechnology on having been awarded a research project on Genome Editing of Potato by ICAR-NASF New Delhi amounting Rs.1.65 crore.

**(Syndicate dated 18.11.2018 Para 1(1))**

- vi) Appreciation of the Syndicate be conveyed to the Dr. Parvinder Singh, Former DCDC and Dr. Suveera Gill, Former CVO, for the good work done by them.

**(Syndicate dated 18.11.2018 Para 1(5))**

**I-2.** That the Syndicate has noted the following:

- (i) A two day National Vedic Seminar on the theme 'The Tradition of Vedic Studies in Punjab and Social Value' has been held at the campus and Hon'ble Governors of Punjab & Himachal Pardesh have graced the occasion.
- (ii) The following appointments have been made on a temporary basis till further orders:-
  - i) Professor Sanjay Kaushik as DCDC
  - ii) Professor Rattan Singh as CVO
  - iii) Dr. Nandita Singh as Dean International Students
- (iii) Dr. Inderpal Singh Sidhu, honourable member of this Syndicate has been elected unopposed as Dean, Faculty of Design and Fine Arts.
- (iv) I got the privilege to interact with the Hon'ble Shri V.P. Singh Badnore ji, Governor, Punjab and Hon'ble Sh. Acharya Devvrat ji, Governor, Himachal Pardesh and discussed strategies for future development of this pristine university. Besides, they have also assured all possible support for preservation and digitization of manuscripts of Vishveshvaranand Vishwa Bandhu Institute of Sanskrit and Indological Studies, Hoshiarpur.

**(Syndicate dated 18.11.2018 Para 1(3, 4, 5 & 6))**

**I-3.** That the Vice-Chancellor in anticipation of the approval of the Syndicate has re-appointed afresh Dr. Manoj Kumar as Assistant Professor, Centre for Public Health, IEAST, Panjab University, Chandigarh, purely on temporary basis w.e.f. the date of start of classes

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

for the academic session 2018-19 i.e. 09.07.2018, on the first opening day after the summer vacation or till the posts are filled in on regular basis, through proper selection, whichever is earlier in the pay-scale of Rs.15600-39100+AGP of Rs. 6000/- + two increments, under Regulation 5 at page 111 of P.U. Calendar Volume-I, 2007, on the same terms and conditions on which he is working earlier.

**(Syndicate dated 18.11.2018 Para 17(vii))**

- I-4.** That the Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation of approval of the Syndicate, has accepted the resignation of Mr. Inder Bhagat, Assistant Professor in Computer Science (Temporary), Baba Balraj P.U. Constituent College Balachaur, District S.B.S. Nagar w.e.f. 12.11.2018 as he has given one month notice from 12.10.2018 to 11.11.2018, under Rule 16.2 at page 85 of P.U. Cal. Vol. III, 2016.

**(Syndicate dated 18.11.2018 Para 17(viii))**

- I-5.** That the Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation of the approval of the Syndicate/Senate, has:-

- (i) re-appointed afresh the following faculty at Dr. Harvansh Singh Judge Institute of Dental Sciences & Hospital, purely on temporary/Contractual basis w.e.f. 14.11.2018 for 11 months i.e. up to 13.10.2019 with break on 13.11.2018 (Break Day) or till the posts are filled up through regular selection, whichever is earlier, under Regulation 5 at Page 111, of P.U. Calendar, Vol.-I, 2007, on the same terms and conditions on which they were working earlier:

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Designation &amp; Nature of Appointment</b>
1.	Dr. Satya Narain	Associate Professor (Temporary)
2.	Dr. Maninder Pal Singh Gill	Associate Professor (Temporary)
3.	Dr. Rajdeep Brar	Assistant Professor (Contract)
4.	Dr. Prabhjot Cheema	Sr. Lecturer (Contract)

- (ii) re-appointed afresh the following faculty at Dr. Harvansh Singh Judge Institute of Dental Sciences & Hospital purely on temporary/ Contractual basis w.e.f. 12.12.2018 for 11 months i.e. upto 11.11.2019 with break on 11.12.2018 (Break day) or till the posts are filled up through regular selection, whichever is earlier, under Regulation 5 at Page 111, of P.U. Cal. Vol.-I, 2007, on the same terms and conditions on which they were working earlier:-

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Designation &amp; Nature of Appointment</b>
1.	Dr. Shally Gupta	Professor (contract)
2.	Dr. Neeraj Sharma	Associate Professor (Temporary)
3.	Dr. Ikreet Singh Bal	Associate Professor (Temporary)
4.	Dr. Simranjit Singh	Sr. Assistant Professor (Temporary)

- (iii) re-appointed afresh Dr. Vandana Chhabra, Associate Professor, at Dr. Harvansh Singh Judge Institute of Dental Sciences & Hospital on temporary basis w.e.f. 21.12.2018 for 11 months i.e. upto 20.11.2019 with break on 20.12.2018 (Break Day) or till the posts are filled up through regular selection, whichever is earlier, under Regulation 5 at Page 111, of P.U. Cal.Vol.-I, 2007, on the same terms and conditions on which she was working earlier.

**(Syndicate dated 18.11.2018 Para 17(x))**

- I-6.** In partial modification to this office order No. 6161-6162/Estt.I dated 12.09.2018, the Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation of approval of the Syndicate, has allowed the re-appointment of Mohd. Samshad Alam and Mohd. Taukir Alam Assistant Professor (purely on temporary basis) in the Department of Community Education & Disability Studies, P.U. w.e.f. 11.7.2018 i.e. the date they actually started working for the session 2018-2019, against the vacant post or till the posts are filled in, on regular basis, through proper selection, whichever is earlier, on the same terms and conditions on which they have worked previously during the session 2017-2018, under Regulation 5 page 111 of P.U. Calendar, Volume-I, 2007.

**(Syndicate dated 18.11.2018 Para 17(xi))**

- I-7.** That the Vice-Chancellor in anticipation of the approval of the Syndicate, has re-appointed (afresh) Dr. Kamlesh Narwana, Assistant Professor in History, P.U. Rural Centre Kauni, Sri Muktsar Sahib, purely on temporary basis w.e.f. 28.11.2018 to 30.04.2019 (with one day break on 27.11.2018) i.e. for the academic session 2018-19, against the sanctioned post or till the post is filled in, on regular basis, through proper selection, whichever is earlier, in the pay-scale of Rs.15600-39100+ AGP of Rs.6000/- plus allowances admissible as per University Rules, under Regulation 5 (a)(i) of P.U. Calendar, Volume-I, 2017.

**(Syndicate dated 18.11.2018 Para 17(xii))**

- I-8.** In pursuance of orders dated 03.10.2018 passed by the Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court in CWP No. 25365 of 2018 (Anil Kishore Sinha Vs Panjab University & Ors.) tagged with LPA 1505 of 2016, wherein the petitioner has been given the benefit to continue in service, in view of the similarly projected cases in the said case. The LPA No.1505 of 2016 (Dr. Amrik Singh Ahluwalia & Anr. Vs. Panjab University & Others) entire connected bunch of matters relating to the age of retirement (60 to 65 years) is pending, the Vice-Chancellor, has ordered that:

- (i) Dr. Anil Kishore Sinha, Professor, Department of Anthropology, be considered to continue in service w.e.f. 01.11.2018 as applicable in such other cases of teachers which is subject matter of CWP No. 25365 of 2018 & others similar cases and salary be paid which he was drawing on attaining the age of 60 years without break in the service,

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

excluding HRA (HRA not to be paid to anyone), as an interim measure subject to the final outcome of the case filed by him. The payment to him will be adjustable against the final dues to him for which he should submit the undertaking as per performa.

- (ii) he be allowed to retain the residential accommodation(s) allotted to him by the University on the same terms and conditions, subject to adjustment as per orders of the Hon'ble High Court on the next date of hearing, as in respect of all those the teachers residing in the University Campus (who have got stay to retain residential accommodation).

**(Syndicate dated 18.11.2018 Para 18(ii))**

- I-9.** That Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) between National Technology & Engineering Solutions of Sandia, LLC and Panjab University, Chandigarh, be executed.

**(Syndicate dated 7.7.2018/18.11.2018 Para 28)**

- I-10.** In pursuance of the orders dated 16.08.2018 passed by the Hon'ble Punjab and Haryana High Court in CWP No.18751 of 2018 (Arun Prabha Vs P.U. and others), the Vice-Chancellor, subject to and in anticipation of the approval of the Syndicate, has allowed:

- (i) Mrs. Arun Prabha, Deputy Librarian, A.C. Joshi Library, P.U. to continue in service on re-employment basis after 30.09.2018 (i.e. the date on which she attained the age of superannuation) till she attains the age of 62 years, without prejudice to her legal right to monetary claims in the event of acceptance of these appeals to comply with the court orders in her CWP No.18751 of 2018 (Arun Prabha Vs P.U. and others) or till the final outcome of the CWP filed by her, whichever is earlier.
- (ii) her to retain the residential accommodation, if any, allotted to her by the University on the same terms and conditions.
- (iii) that she be paid salary on the same terms and conditions as already ordered by the Vice Chancellor in the court case (LPA No.1505 of 2016 Amrik Singh Ahluwalia Vs PU and others and connected LPAs) i.e. the salary which they were drawing immediately before the pronouncement of the order dated 16.08.2016 passed by the Hon'ble court in CWP No.11988 of 2014 Bhura Singh Ghuman Vs. P.U. and others excluding HRA (HRA not to be paid to anyone) as an interim measure subject to the final outcome of the LPA filed by them for which they should submit the prescribed undertaking.

**(Syndicate dated 18.11.2018 Para 17(ix))**

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

**I-11.** That the donation of Rs.1,00,000/- made by Shri S.L. Nasa, Managing Trustee, Registrar, Delhi Pharmacy Council, New Delhi, be accepted for institution of an Endowment to be named as 'Dr. Bhagwan Dass Miglani Gold Medal'. The investment of Rs. 1,00,000/- be made in the shape of TDR in the State Bank of India, Sector-14, Chandigarh @ maximum prevailing rate of interest for one year and the interest so accrued there on be credited annually in the Special Endowment Trust Fund (S.E.T.) A/c No. 10444978140. The Gold Medal will be awarded to the topper of M.Pharm. in Pharmaceutical course every year during the Panjab University Convocation on receipt of the interest from the amount, on the following terms and conditions:

- a) The Endowment will be named as (Late) Dr. Bhagwan Dass Miglani Gold Medal.
- b) Gold Medal be awarded to the topper of M.Pharm. in Pharmaceutical course every year during the Panjab University Convocation.

**(Syndicate dated 18.11.2018 Para 8)**

**I-12.** That the donation of Rs.5,00,000/- made by Shri Vikas Garg S/o Late Ch. Satya Parkash Ji, Director, Punjab Hammers Pvt. Ltd., P.O. Box 46, G.T. Road, Sirhind Side, Mandi Gobindgarh-147301, be accepted for institution of an Endowment to be named as 'Ch. Satya Parkash Ji Scholarship'. The investment of Rs. 5,00,000/- be made in the shape of TDR in the State Bank of India, Sector-14, Chandigarh @ maximum prevailing rate of interest for one year and the interest so accrued there on be credited annually in the Special Endowment Trust Fund (S.E.T.) A/c No. 10444978140. The scholarship will be awarded to the topper of 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup> & 3<sup>rd</sup> position of ISSER course on receipt of the interest from the amount, on the following terms and conditions:

- a) The Endowment will be named as 'Ch. Satya Parkash Ji Scholarship'.
- b) The Scholarship should be awarded to the topper students of ISSER Course as per bifurcation given below:

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>ISSER Course</b>	<b>Position</b>	<b>Amount &amp; Period</b>	<b>Total Amount</b>
1.	B.A. (H.S.) 5 yrs.	1 <sup>st</sup>	1200/- (p.m.) for 10 months	12,000/-
2.	Integrated	2 <sup>nd</sup>	1000/- (p.m.) for 10 months	10,000/-
3.		3 <sup>rd</sup>	800/-(p.m.) for 10 months	8,000/-
<b>Total</b>				<b>30,000/-</b>

**(Syndicate dated 18.11.2018 Para 9)**



**I-13.** That the donation of Rs.1,00,000/- made by Mrs. Urmila Das, W/o Late Professor B.K. Das, # 602, Tower 7, Orchid Petals, Sector 49, Gurugram (Haryana) 122018, be accepted for institution of an Endowment in the memory of his revered husband "Professor B.K. Das Memorial Gold Medal". The investment of Rs. 1,00,000/- be made in the shape of TDR in the State Bank of India, Sector-14, Chandigarh @ maximum prevailing rate of interest for one year and the interest so accrued there on be credited annually in the Special Endowment Trust Fund (S.E.T.) A/c No. 10444978140. The Gold Medal will be awarded, to the most deserving student who secures highest marks in M.Sc. (Final) class, every year during the Panjab University Convocation, on receipt of the interest from the amount, on the following terms and conditions.

- a) An endowment will be named as Professor B.K. Das Memorial Gold Medal.
- b) Gold Medal to be awarded to the most deserving student who secures highest marks in M.Sc. (Final) of Geology Department, every year during the Panjab University Convocation

**(Syndicate dated 7.7.2018 Para 45)**

**I-14.** That the reservation policy for employment and increasing the reservation from 3% to 4% for the persons with benchmark disability, be adopted, as per DoPT directives dated 15.01.2018 issued by the Government of India, Ministry of Personnel, Public Grievances & Pension, Department of Personnel & Training, New Delhi.

**(Syndicate dated 7.7.2018/18.11.2018 Para 61)**

**I-15.** That Dr. A.K. Bhati (Re-employed) Professor, Department of Physics, be re-employed upto 16.09.2018 instead of 02.09.2018.

**(Syndicate dated 18.11.2018 Para 4)**

**I-16.** That –

- (i) Shri Pritpal Singh and Smt. Satinder Kaur, Superintendents (Retd. on 31.03.2016 and 31.03.2018, respectively), be granted the pension benefit w.e.f. **01.04.2016** and **01.04.2018** respectively, and;
- (ii) the Regulation 1.8 (e) appearing at page 181 of P.U. Calendar, Volume-I, 2007 is applicable to those employees who retired on or before 24.10.2005.

**(Syndicate dated 18.11.2018 Para 11)**

**I-17.** Pursuant to decision of the Syndicate meeting dated 30.03/21/29.4.2018 (Para 50), the Affiliation Committee in its various meetings, has granted temporary extension of affiliation to the following

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

Colleges for certain courses/ subjects for the session 2018-2019, as under:

Sr. No.	Date of the meeting of Committee	Name of the College	Name of the Courses/ subjects
1.	29.10.2018	D.M. College, Moga (Pb)	(i)B.A./B.Sc.-I, II & III (Computer Science)-one unit each and (ii) B.A.-I, II & III (Sociology)-one unit each, subject to the condition that the College must fill the vacant post of Assistant Professor in Sociology on regular basis as per UGC/PU norms and send the authentic proof of the same latest by 15.11.2018.
2.	25.10.2018	Arya College, Rishi Dayanand Marg, Civil Lines, Ludhiana-141001	Add-On-Course (i) P.G. Diploma in Travel and Tourism-40 seats (ii) P.G. Diploma in Marketing Management-40 seats
3.	25.10.2018	Dev Samaj College for Women, Sector-45, Chandigarh	(i)M.Com. I & II & (ii) B.Sc.-I & II (Non-Medical) <b>New Courses</b> (iii) PG Diploma in Marketing & Management (iv) PG Diploma in Mass Communication
4.	29.10.2018	Guru Nanak College, Killianwali Distt. Sri Muktsar Sahib (Pb.)	(1)M.A.-I & II (Hindi)-one unit each (2) M.A.-I & II (History)-one unit each (3) M.A.-I & II (Punjabi)-one unit each (4) PGDCA-40 seats (5) M.Com. I & II (one unit each), subject to the condition that the College must re-advertise the vacant post of Assistant Professors on regular basis as UGC/PU norms latest by 05.11.2018.  Further, the College should apply for panel within 25 days and interview be held with 30 days receipt the panel for the selection of Assistant Professors from the University.
5.	29.10.2018	Saytam College for Gilrs Village Sayadwala, Abohar, Distt. Fazilka (Punjab)	(i)B.A. Part I, II & III English ( C &E), Punjabi (C &E), Elective Subjects Philosophy, Political Science, Economics, History, Mathematics, History, Mathematics, Sociology, Computer Science, Hindi, Physical Education (ii) B.Com. Part I, II III (iii) M.A. (Hindi) and (iv) History. However, the Affiliation Committee resolved not to grant affiliation for the first year of all the courses except M.A. (Hindi).
6.	25.10.2018	A.S. College of Education, Kalal Majra, Khanna-Samrala Road,	B.Ed. Course (2 unit i.e.-100 seats). However, the Affiliation Committee decided that the College must fill up seven vacant posts as recommended by the Inspection

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

Sr. No.	Date of the meeting of Committee	Name of the College	Name of the Courses/ subjects
		Distt. Ludhiana-141402	Committee positively within 25 days of the receipt of the panel, failing which the request for grant of extension of affiliation for the session 2019-2020 will not be entertained. Further, the College must apply for the panel before 5.11.2018.
7.	25.10.2018	Shri Guru Ram Das College of Education, V & PO Halwara (Pakhowal Road), Ludhiana	B.Ed.-50 seats. However, the affiliation Committee decided that the College must fill up the vacant posts as recommended by the Inspection Committee positively within 25 days of the receipt of the panel, failing which the request for grant of extension of affiliation for the session 2019-20 will not be entertained. Further, the College must apply for the panel before 5.11.2018.
8.	29.10.2018	Bawa Nihal Singh B.Ed. College, Kotakpura Road Sri Muktsar Sahib (Pb.)	(i)B.Ed. course (three units-150 seats) & (ii) New Course M.Ed.-1 <sup>st</sup> year course (one unit-50 seats)
9.	25.10.2018	Sadbhavna College of Education for Women, Jalaldiwal Raikot, Ludhiana	B.Ed.-200 seats (4 units) and M.Ed. one unit-50 seats. However, the Affiliation Committee decided that the College must fill up the vacant posts as recommended by the Inspection Committee. Copy of advertisement must also be sent to the University Office within 5 days of receipt of this letter. Further, the college should apply for panel within 25 days of the advertisement and interview be held within 30 days of the receipt of the panel. Further, the College is directed to open the EPF accounts of the teaching and non-teaching staff <b>individually</b> and send the requisite proof of deducting EPF.
10.	25.10.2018	G.M.T. College of Education Jalandhar Bypass Chownk, G.T. Road, Ludhiana (Punjab)-141008	B.Ed.-I & II-100 seats. However, the Affiliation Committee decided that the College must fill up the vacant posts as recommended by the Inspection Committee positively within 25 days of the receipt of the panel, failing which the request for grant of extension of affiliation for the session 2019-20 will not be entertained. Further, the College must apply for the panel before 5.11.2018.

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

Sr. No.	Date of the meeting of the Committee	Name of the College	Name of the Courses/ subjects
11.	25.10.2018	Post Graduate Govt. College, Sector-46, Chandigarh	The Affiliation Committee has decided that in the absence of any application for affiliation within the stipulated time, the extension of affiliation for BBA & BCA 1 <sup>st</sup> year cannot be acceded at this stage as it is only after thought by the college authorities as there are no such provisions to entertain any such request. Hence the earlier decision of declining the affiliation for BBA and BCA as mentioned in Letter No. Misc./A-5/9856-9871 dated 1.10.2018 is final. Hence the Students 'Return cannot be accepted.
12.	29.10.2018	Mata Baljinder Kaur Memorial Kaler, International College Samdh Bhai Distt. Moga (Pb)	The Affiliation Committee has <b>not</b> granted temporary extension of affiliation for (1)B.Sc.- Agriculture-I, II & III-one unit each (2) B.Com. I, II & III-one unit each (3) B.A.I, II & III (i)English (C & E), (ii) Punjabi (C & E) (iii) Physical Education (iv) Mathematics (v) Economics (vi) Political Science (vii) Sociology (viii) History. The College has not submitted the compliance of the conditions as imposed by the Inspection Committee in its report dated 04.04.2018, in spite of sending many reminders.
13.	25.10.2018	Sri Guru Gobind Singh College, Sector-26, Chandigarh	(i)B.Com. I, II & III (4 <sup>th</sup> unit) (ii) M.Com. I & II (2 <sup>nd</sup> unit). However, the college has been advised to take step to fill the vacant posts of Assistant Professor.
14.	25.10.2018	G.H.G. Khalsa College Gurusar Sadhar, Distt. Ludhiana-141104	(i)B.A. B.Ed (4 <sup>th</sup> year integrated Course)-50 seats (ii) B.P.Ed. (Course)-100 students M.P.Ed. (Two year course)-40 students, subject to fill up vacant posts as imposed by the Inspection Committee.
15.	29.10.2018	Guru Gobind Singh College of Education, Giddarbaha Distt. Sri Muktsar Sahib (Pb.)	(i)B.Ed. Course (three units-150 seats) & (ii) M.Ed. course (one unit-50 seats), subject to the condition that the College shall appoint a Principal, one Professor, One Associate Professor and One Instructor in Music on regular basis as per NCTE/PU norms and send the authentic proof of the same latest by 15.11.2018.
16.	25.10.2018	Post Graduate Govt. College, Sector-11, Chandigarh	(i) MA(History) I & II - The Affiliation Committee granted extension of affiliation in the course of M.A. (History) I & II year.

Sr. No.	Date of the meeting of the Committee	Name of the College	Name of the Courses/ subjects
			<p>(ii)M.Com. I &amp; II Year- The Affiliation has declined the extension of affiliation of M.Com. I &amp; II Year as the college has not fulfilled the conditions raised by the Inspection Committee listed below: The College is required to purchase books Rs.20,000/-.</p> <p>The College is required appoint three faculty members.</p> <p>(iii)M.Sc.(IT) I &amp; II year &amp; (iv) BCA-I, II &amp; III Year-The Affiliation Committee has declined the extension of affiliation of M.Sc.(IT) I &amp; II year &amp; BCA-I, II &amp; III Year as the College has not fulfilled the conditions raised by the Inspection committee listed below:</p> <p>The College is required to purchase two laser printer, LCD Projector, renovation of computer labs, purchase of books of Rs. one lac. The College is required to appoint one more faculty members.</p> <p>(v)B.Sc.-Microbiology I,II &amp; III Year- The Affiliation Committee has declined the extension of affiliation of B.Sc. Microbiology-I, II &amp; III Year as the Inspection Committee had raised the objection that there since 2009 there is no permanent staff in this course so the Affiliation Committee declined the temporary extension of affiliation to this course.</p> <p>(vi)B.Sc.-Biotechnology-I, II &amp; III Year- The affiliation Committee has declined the extension of affiliation of B.Sc.-Biotechnology-I, II &amp; III Year as the Inspection Committee had raised the objection that there is need of Permanent faculty to be appointed by the College so the Affiliation Committee declined the temporary extension of affiliation to this course.</p> <p>(vii)M.A.-I &amp; II English- The Affiliation Committee has declined the extension of affiliation of M.A.-I, II English as the Inspection Committee had raised the objection that the College is required to</p>

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

Sr. No.	Date of the meeting of the Committee	Name of the College	Name of the Courses/ subjects
			<p>purchase books of Rs.20,000/- but the college did not submit the proof of purchase the books so the Affiliation Committee declined the temporary extension of affiliation to this course.</p> <p>The College has earlier confirmed about the deficiencies pointed out by the Inspection Committee raised to courses not had applied to the letters sent to the Colleges.</p>
17.	29.10.2018	J.D. College of Education, Bathinda Road, Sri Muktsar Sahib (Pb.)	(i)B.Ed. Course (two units-100 seats). Further the Principal of the College has been advised to submit the compliance latest by 15.11.2018.
18.	29.10.2018	Tagore College of Education, Fatehgarh Korotana, Jalandhar Road Distt. Moga (Pb.)	B.Ed. Course (two units-100 seats)
19.	25.10.2018	Guru Hargobind College of Education, Opp. Reliance Petrol Pump, Gondwal, Raikot, Ludhiana-141109	B.Ed. Course 1 <sup>st</sup> , year & 2 <sup>nd</sup> year (Two year Course)-100 seats. However, the Affiliation Committee was of the view that the College must has advertised the vacant post in the subject of Sociology as recommended by the Inspection Committee and hence decided that the college must advertise the said post positively by 5.11.2018. Copy of advertisement must also be sent to the University Office immediately. Further the College should apply for panel within 25 days of the advertisement and interview be held within 30 days of the receipt of the panel.
20.	25.10.2018	Nightingale College of Education, Pakhowal Road, Narangwal-141203, Ludhiana	B.Ed., 1 <sup>st</sup> & 2 <sup>nd</sup> year-100 seats
21.	25.10.2018	D.D. Jain College of Education, Kidwai Nagar, Behind Mini Rose Garden, Ludhiana	B.Ed. 1 <sup>st</sup> & 2 <sup>nd</sup> year-100 seats, subject to the condition that the College will make the appointments of the requisite number of regular teachers as recommended by the Inspection Committee latest 31.12.2018. The College must advertise the post positively by 5.11.2018 and a copy of advertisement must be sent to the University Office immediately. Further the

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

Sr. No.	Date of the meeting of the Committee	Name of the College	Name of the Courses/ subjects
			College should apply for panel within 30 days of the receipt of the panel. If the College fails to make the appointments as above, their request for extension of affiliation for 2019-20 will not be entertained.
22.	29.10.2018	Baba Mangal Singh Institute of Education, Barnala Road, Bughipura Distt. Moga (Pb.)	B.Ed. Course (two units-100 seats)
23.	29.10.2018	R.S.D. College Ferozepur City-152002	(i)B.A. Part II Music (Vocal €), (ii)M.A. English Part II and (iii) M.A. English Part II and (iii) M.Sc. (Chemistry) Part II classes/courses. With the condition that the College must re-advertise the vacant teaching post/s positively by 5.11.2018. Copy of advertisement must also be sent to the University Office immediately. Further the College should apply for panel within 25 days of the advertisement and interview be held on within 30 days of the receipt of the panel.
24.	29.10.2018	Sant Hari Singh Memorial College for Women, Chella Makhsuspur Distt. Hoshiaarpur	(i)B.A. I, II and III (English (General & Elective), Hindi, Economics, Pol. Science, History, Punjabi (General and Elective), Home Science, Computer, Physical Education (ii) BCA-I, II and III (one unit), (iii) B.Com. I, II and III (one unit) and (iv)PG Diploma in Fashion Designing, subject to the condition that the College must re-advertise the vacant posts of Asstt. Professors on regular basis as per UGC/PU norms latest by 05.11.2018. Further, the College should apply for panel within 25 days and interview be held within 30 days after receipt the panel for the selection of Assistant Professors from the University.
25.	29.10.2018	S.G.G.S. Khalsa College, Mahilpur Distt. Hoshiarpur	(i)B.C.A. I, II and III (one unit for each year) (ii) M.Sc.-I and II (IT)-40 seats for each year (iii) D.P.Ed. (2 <sup>nd</sup> year)-50 seats (iv) M.P.Ed.-2 <sup>nd</sup> year (one unit), (v) M.A. I (Music Vocal)-One Unit, and (vi) M.Sc. I (Fashion Designing), subject to the condition that the College must re-advertise the vacant posts of Assistant Professors on regular basis as per UGC/PU norms latest by 05.11.2018. Further, the College should

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

Sr. No.	Date of the meeting of Committee	Name of the College	Name of the Courses/ subjects
			apply for panel within 25 days and interview be held within 30 days after receipt the panel for the selection of Assistant Professors from the University.
26.	29.10.2018	Rayat Bahra College of Education, Bohan Distt. Hoshiarpur	The Affiliation Committee <b>has not granted</b> temporary extension of affiliation for B.Ed.-1 <sup>st</sup> and 2 <sup>nd</sup> year (100 seats for each year)
27.	29.10.2018	Khalsa College, Garhdiwala, Distt. Hoshiarpur	(i)B.Sc. 3 <sup>rd</sup> year (Agriculture)-One unit (ii) M.Sc. (Chemistry)-2 <sup>nd</sup> year –One unit (iii) B.Sc. I (Fashion Designing) and (iv) PG Diploma in Nutrition and Dietics, subject to the condition that the College must re-advertise the vacant posts of Assistant Professors on regular basis as per UGC/PU norms latest by 05.11.2018. Further, the College should apply for panel within 25 days and interview be held within 30 days after receipt the panel for the selection of Assistant Professors from the University.
28.	29.10.2018	Guru Nanak College of Education, Dalewal Distt. Hoshiarpur	B.Ed.-1 <sup>st</sup> and 2 <sup>nd</sup> year (200 seats for each year) and M.Ed.-1 <sup>st</sup> and 2 <sup>nd</sup> year (50 seats for each year), subject to the condition that the College must fill the vacant teaching posts as pointed out by the Inspection Committee and send the authentic proof of the same latest by 30.11.2018.
29.	29.10.2018	G.T.B. Khalsa College for Women, Dasuya Distt. Hoshiarpur	(i)B.A.-III (Fashion Designing) (ii)M.A.-II (Political Science) and (iii) B.A. II (Sociology), subject to the condition that the College must fill the vacant teaching posts as pointed out by the Inspection Committee and send the authentic proof of the same latest by 15.11.2018.
30.	14.11.2018	Institute of Management C/o DAV College, Sector-10, Chandigarh	The Affiliation Committee after looking into the Inspection report dated 30.10.2018 has decided that the college is required to fulfil all the deficiencies pointed out by the Inspection Committee within three months from the issuance of this letter and submit the compliance in the office. In the meantime the registration return for the session 2018-2019 be accepted and the exam for MBA-1 <sup>st</sup> year be conducted for the session 2018-2019.



Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

Sr. No.	Date of the meeting of Committee	Name of the College	Name of the Courses/ subjects
31.	29.10.2018	Jyoti B.Ed. College Fazilka-152123 (Punjab)	B.Ed. Course (Two units-100 seats), subject to the fulfilment of condition as recommended by the Inspection Committee and sending compliance accordingly.
32.	25.10.2018	G.T.B. National College, Dakha, Ludhiana-141102	(i)B.A.-1 <sup>st</sup> year (Functional English)-E (30 seats) (ii) B.A.-1 <sup>st</sup> year (Advertising, Sales Promotion & Sales Management)-E (One unit) (iii) B.A.-1 <sup>st</sup> year (Computer Application)-E (one unit)
33.	25.10.2018	Mata Ganga Khalsa College For Girls, G.T. Road, Gurudwara Manji Sahib Kottan, Ludhiana	(i)B.Sc. 1 <sup>st</sup> & 2 <sup>nd</sup> year (Medical) (one unit) (ii) M.Com. 1 <sup>st</sup> & 2 <sup>nd</sup> year (one unit) (iii) M.Sc. 2 <sup>nd</sup> year (Fashion Designing) (one unit). However, the Affiliation Committee resolved that the College must advertise the said post positively by 15.11.2018. Copy of advertisement must also be sent to the University Office immediately. Further, the College should apply for panel within 25 days of the advertisement and interview be held within 30 days of the receipt of the panel.
34.	29.10.2018	M.D. College of Education Abohar-152116 (Punjab)	B.Ed. course (Three units-150 seats)
35.	29.10.2018	Surjeet Memorial College of Education Malwal, Ferozepur-142052	B.Ed. course (Two Units-100 seats)
35.	29.10.2018	Shaheed Ganj College for Women, Mudki, Distt. Ferozepur-142060	(i)B.A. Semester I to VI English (C &E), Mathematics (E), Economics (E), Sociology (E), Sociology (E), History (E), Political Science (E), Computer Science (E), Physical Education (E), (ii) B.C.A. Semesters I to VI courses, with the condition that the college must re-advertise the vacant teaching posts positively by 5.11.2018. Copy of advertisement must also be sent to the University Office immediately. Further, the College should apply for panel within 25 days of the advertisement and interview be held within 30 days of the receipt of the panel.
36.	29.10.2018	GGS D.A.V. Centenary College, Jalalabad (W), Distt. Fazilka-152024 (Punjab)	B.Com. Part I & II, subject to the fulfilment of conditions as recommended by the Inspection Committee and the college be asked to send compliance accordingly.

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

Sr. No.	Date of the meeting of Committee	Name of the College	Name of the Courses/ subjects
37.	29.10.2018	Waheguru College Abohar-152116 (Punjab)	(i)B.A. Part Part I, II & III English (C &E), Punjabi (C &E), Elective Subject Hindi, Public Administration, Pol. Science, Economics, Physical Education, Mathematics, Sociology, Fine Arts, Computer Science, History, Music (ii)B.Com. Part I, II & III (iii) B.Sc. I, II & III (Agriculture) courses, with the condition that the College must re-advertise the vacant teaching post/s positively by 5.11.2018, Copy of advertisement must also be sent to the University Office immediately. Further, the College should apply for panel within 25 days of the advertisement and interview be held within 30 days of the receipt of the panel.
38.	29.10.2018	Saini Barr College, Buthowal, Distt. Hoshiarpur	M.A.-1 <sup>st</sup> (Punjabi)-30 seats, subject to the condition that the College must fill the vacant teaching posts as pointed out by the Inspection Committee and send the authentic proof of the same latest by 15.11.2018.
39.	25.10.2018	Guru Gobind Singh Khalsa College of Education for Women, Kamalpura Tehsil: Jagraon, Distt. Ludhiana	B.Ed. Course-1 <sup>st</sup> & 2 <sup>nd</sup> year (50 seats for each year)
40.	29.10.2018	Guru Nanak College Ferozepur Cantt-152002 (Punjab)	(i)B.A. Part I, II & III (Sociology E), (ii) M.A. I & II (Sociology), (iii) B.Com. I, II & III (iv) M.Com. I & II (v) B.C.A. I, II & III (vi) PGDCA (vii) B.Sc. (Agriculture) 2 <sup>nd</sup> year, (viii) M.A. I & II (Punjabi) (ix) M.A. I & II (History) and (x) M.Sc. I & II (Mathematics) course, with the condition that the college should apply for panel immediately so that all the formalities regarding appointments are completed by 30.11.2018.
41.	29.10.2018	Khalsa College, Garhdiwala Distt. Hoshiarpur	(i)B.Sc. 3 <sup>rd</sup> year (Agriculture)-One unit, (ii) M.Sc. (Chemistry)-2 <sup>nd</sup> year one unit (iii) B.Sc.-I (Fashion Designing) and (iv) PG Diploma in Nutrition and Dietics, subject to the condition that the college must re-advertise the vacant posts of Assistant Professors on regular basis as per UGC/PU norms latest by 05.11.2018. Further, the College should apply for panel within 25 days and interview be held within 30 days

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

Sr. No.	Date of the meeting of Committee	Name of the College	Name of the Courses/ subjects
			after receipt the panel for the selection of Assistant Professors from the University.
42.	29.10.2018	B.A.M. Khalsa College, Garhshankar, Distt. Hoshiarpur	(i)B.A.-II (Music Vocal)-E (ii) B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed.-II (4 years integrated course), (iii) B.A. I (Fine Arts)-E, (iv)B.A./B.Sc.-I (Functional English) and (v) B.A./B.Sc.-I (Retail Marketing), subject to the condition that the College must fill the vacant teaching posts as pointed out by the Inspection Committee and send the authentic proof of the same latest by 30.11.2018.
43.	29.10.2018	S.M.S. Karamjot College for Women, Miani Distt. Hoshiarpur	The Affiliation Committee <b>has not granted temporary extension</b> of affiliation (i) B.com. I, II & III (one unit) (ii) B.C.A. I, II & III (one unit) (iii) M.A. I & II (Punjabi) one unit (iv) M.A. I & II (Music Vocal)
44.	25.10.2018	Bajaj College, Vill. Chowkimann, Ferozepur Road, Distt. Ludhiana-142024	(i)BBA I (2 <sup>nd</sup> unit), BBA II & III (1 unit) and B.Com. I (2 <sup>nd</sup> unit), B.Com. II & III (1 unit) (ii) B.C.A.-1 <sup>st</sup> , 2 <sup>nd</sup> & 3 <sup>rd</sup> year (one unit) (iii) B.Sc. (Fashion Designing) 1 <sup>st</sup> , 2 <sup>nd</sup> & 3 <sup>rd</sup> year (30 student)
45.	14.11.2018	Post Graduate Govt. College, Sector-11, Chandigarh	(i)M.A. (History) I & II year (ii) M.A. (English) I & II year (iii) M.Com. I & II Year (iv) M.Sc. (IT) I & II Year, (v) BCA I, II & (vi) B.Sc.-Microbiology-I, II & III Year (vii) B.Sc.- Biotechnology- I, II & III Year
46.		Devki Devi Jain Memorial College for Women, Near Mini Rose Garden, Ludhiana-141008 (Punjab)	(i)Beauty Aesthetics & Wellness (ii) Hospital Administration and Management (iii) Fashion Desinging-50 students per course under NDQF
47	1.12.2018	Gopichand Arya Mahila College Abohar-152116 (Punjab)	(i)M.Com. II, (ii) M.A. II (Punjabi)
48	1.12.2018	S.M.S. Karamjot College for Women, Miani Distt. Hoshiarpur	(i)B.Com. I, II & III (one unit) (ii) B.C.A. I, II & III (one unit) (iii) M.A. I & II (Punjabi) one unit (iv) M.A. I & II (Music Vocal), subject to the condition that the College shall appoint the faculty members as per recommendations of the inspection committee in the subjects of B.Com. and B.C.A. within three months from the date of dispatch of this letter.

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

Sr. No.	Date of the meeting of the Committee	Name of the College	Name of the Courses/ subjects
49	1.12.2018	Rayat Bahra College of Education, Bohan Distt. Hoshiarpur	B.Ed. 1 <sup>st</sup> and 2 <sup>nd</sup> year (100 seats for each year), subject to the condition that the college shall appoint the faculty members as per recommendations of the inspection committee in the subject of Education within three months from the date of dispatch of this letter.
50	1.12.2018	Post Graduate Govt. College, Sector-46, Chandigarh	BBA-1 <sup>st</sup> year-1 unit and BCA-1 <sup>st</sup> year- 2 <sup>nd</sup> unit. Further the students admitted by the College in BCA-1 <sup>st</sup> year-2 <sup>nd</sup> unit be shifted to Post Graduate Govt. College, Sector-11, Chandigarh & students of BBA-1 <sup>st</sup> year 1 unit) year shifted to Govt. College of Commerce and Management, Sector-50, Chahdigarh
51	1.12.2018	National Degree College, Chowarianwali, Distt. Fazilka (Punjab)	(i)B.A. Part I, II & III (English (C &E), Punjabi (C &E), Elective Subjects Mathematics, History, Political Science, Physical Education, Sociology, Hindi, Computer Applications, Economics, (ii) B.C.A. Part I, II & III (iii) B.Com. Part I, II & III courses be granted to the college both in respect of boys and girls students and both boys and girls are allowed to sit in the University Examination commencing w.e.f. 3.12.2018 at the college, subject to decision of the syndicate meeting.
52	1.12.2018	Khalsa College, Garhdiwala Distt. Hoshiarpur	(i)B.Sc. 3 <sup>rd</sup> year (Agriculture)-one unit (ii) M.Sc. (Chemistry)-2 <sup>nd</sup> year-one unit (iii) B.Sc.-I (Fashion Designing) and (iv) PG Diploma in Nutrition and Dietics. Further the affiliation committee has also granted temporary extension of affiliation for B.Sc. I (Agriculture) for the session 2018-2019, subject to the condition that the college shall appoint the faculty members as per recommendations of the inspection committee in the subject of Agriculture within three months from the date of dispatch of this letter.
53	1.12.2018	Satyam College for Girls Village Sayadwala, Distt. Fazilka (Punjab)	(i)B.A. Part I, II & III English, (C &E), Elective Subjects Philosophy, Political Science, Economics, History, Mathematics, Sociology, Computer Science, Hindi, Physical Education (ii) B.Com Part I, II & III (iii) M.A. Hindi courses, subject to fulfilment of conditions/ requirement of the inspection committee.

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

Sr. No.	Date of the meeting of Committee	Name of the College	Name of the Courses/ subjects
54.	1.12.2018	H.K.L. College of Education, Guru Har Sahai, Distt. Ferozepur-152022 (Punjab)	B.Ed. (Two Units-100 seats), subject to fulfilment of conditions/requirement of the Inspection Committee within three months.
55.	14.11.2018	M.R. Govt. College Fazilka (Punjab)	(i)B.A. Part I, II & III (Computer Science E) (ii) B.C.A. Part I, II & III courses, subject to fulfilment of remaining conditions/requirement of Inspection Committees.
56.		Dev Samaj College for Women Ferozepur City-152002 (Punjab)	(i)B.Voc in Journalism & Mass Communication (ii) B.Voc. in Nutrition & Dietetics (iii) B.Voc. in Food Processing & Preservation (iv)B.Voc. in Hospitality and Tourism Management Courses
57.	29.10.2018	Maharaja Ranjit Singh College, Burjan bye pass Malout Distt. Sri Muktsar Sahib (Punjab)	(i)B.A. I, II & III-English (C) & (E), Punjabi (C), Economics, Public Administration, Political Science, Physical Education, Mathematics and History (ii) B.A. I, II & III (Computer Application)-40 seats each (iii) B.Com. I, II & III- one unit each (iv) B.C.A. I, II & III-one unit each (v) PGDCA-40 seats and (vi) M.A. I & II-History-one unit each, subject to the condition that the College must fill the Professor in Political Science, Two Assistant Professors in Physical Education, Three Assistant Professors in Mathematics, Two Assistant Professor in History, Seven Assistant Professors in Computer Science & Three Assistant Professors in Commerce on regular basis as per UGC/PU norms and also comply with the other conditions as imposed by the Inspection Committee in its report and send authentic proof of the same immediately, failing which the Registration Return of the students will be sent back.
58.	14.11.2018	Dev Samaj College for Women, Ferozepur City-152002 (Punjab)	(i)M.Sc. Part I (Fashion Designing) (ii) B.Sc.I (Agriculture), subject to fulfilment of conditions/ requirement of Inspection Committee.
59.	14.11.2018	D.A.V. College of Education, Fazilka-152123 (Punjab)	B.Ed. (Two units-100 seats)
60.		Govind National College, Govind Nagar, Narangwal (Distt. Ludhiana)-141203	B.Voc. courses (i)Automobiles (ii)Green House Technology (iii) Retail Management-50 seats each per course under NSQF

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

Sr. No.	Date of the meeting of Committee	Name of the College	Name of the Courses/ subjects
61.	14.11.2018	Ramgarhia Girls College, Miller Ganj Ludhiana	B.A. 2nd year (Human Rights & Duties) and M.Com. 2nd
62.	14.11.2018	Mai Bhago College for Women, Vill. & P.O. Ramgarh, Chandigarh Road Ludhiana-141123	The affiliation of the college i.e. B.Com. 1st, 2nd & 3rd year (one unit) courses is pending in the Court, the Court decision will be the final. However, the student's Returns be accepted with the condition that ultimately decision of the court will prevail.
63.		Gopichand Arya Mahila College, Abohar-152116 (Punjab)	(i)Food Preservation and Processing (ii)Beauty and Aesthetics Courses. The Dean College Development Council has further asked the college to fulfil conditions imposed by the Inspection Committee within two months from the date of dispatch of this decision.
64.		D.A.V. College, Abohar-152116 (Punjab)	(i)Conservation of Solar Energy (ii) Organic Farming Courses
65.		G.H.G. Khalsa College, Gurusar Sadhar, Distt. Ludhiana (Punjab)-141104	B.Voc. courses (i)Degree in Food Processing & Quality Management (Part-III) (ii) Degree in Medical Lab Technology (Part III)-50 seats each per course under NSQF Scheme of UGC, subject to fulfilment of the condition imposed by the Inspection Committee.
66.		Gopichand Arya Mahila College, Abohar-152116 (Punjab)	The Vice-Chancellor has allowed the students of M.Com. I and M.A. I (Punjabi) to sit in the Examination, in anticipation approval and subject to decision of affiliation case for the session 2018-2019 of these courses/subjects by the competent authority (Affiliation Committee/ Syndicate). Further as per office circular No. Misc. 89583-89783 dated 06.09.2017, the college was required to apply for grant of temporary extension of affiliation for M.A.I (Punjabi) and M.Com. I for the session 2018-2019, but the college has not applied for the same within the stipulated period. Thus, the college is required to submit the requisite affiliation fee of Rs. 4000/- alongwith late fee of Rs.1 lac and any other charges as to be decided by competent authority.

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

Sr. No.	Date of the meeting of the Committee	Name of the College	Name of the Courses/ subjects
67.	29.09.2018	SGGS Khalsa College, Mahilpur Distt. Hoshiarpur	As per authorization given by the affiliation committee (constituted by the Syndicate), the Dean College Development Council has granted temporary extension of affiliation for B.Voc. Course Horticulture Science.
68.		Khasla College for Women, Civil Lines, Ludhiana-141001	The Dean College Development Council has granted temporary affiliation/ extension of affiliation for Diploma in Beauty and Wellness-50 seats under NSQF Scheme of UGC Community Colleges, and the College should fulfilment of the condition imposed by the Inspection Committee within two months from the date of dispatch of this letter.
69.		D.A.V. College, Hoshiarpur	As per authorization given by the affiliation committee (constituted by the Syndicate), the Dean College Development Council has granted temporary extension of affiliation for B.Voc. Course Retail Management.
70.	29.09.2018	J.C.DAV College, Dasuya Distt. Hoshiaarpur	As per authorization given by the affiliation committee (constituted by the Syndicate), the Dean College Development Council has granted temporary extension of affiliation for Advance Diploma in Multimedia (Graphics & Animation) under Community College scheme. Further advised to comply with the conditions as imposed by the Inspection Committee in its report dated 06.10.2018 within two months from the date of dispatch of this letter under intimation to this office.

**NOTE:** The Syndicate in its meeting dated 30.3/21/29.4.2018 (Para 50) has constituted the following affiliation Committee for the session 2018-2019 regarding affiliation of Colleges pursuant to decision of the Syndicate dated 21.1.2017 (Para 7, 8, & 9):

1. Dr. Satish Sharma, Fellow ....Chairperson
2. DCDC
3. Shri Subhash Sharma
4. Principal Anita Kaushal
5. Principal S.S. Sangha
6. Dr. Raj Kumar Mahajan
7. Professor Ameer Sultana
8. Dr. Inderpal Singh Sidhu
9. Dr. Amit Joshi
10. Shri Ashok Goyal
11. D.R. (Colleges) .....Convener

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

At this state, the Vice Chancellor thanked the members for passing the items. He informed that they have made two categories of the old agenda items. In the first category, there would be a need of very less discussion. The Vice Chancellor requested that they should see to it.

Shri Jagdeep Kumar intervened to say that whatever is discussed here, action is not taken on that. If no action has to be taken, he is unable to understand why they are coming to the meeting.

The Vice Chancellor said that all the members are sitting here just to take action.

Shri Jagdeep Kumar said that the action is to be taken by the Vice Chancellor.

The Vice Chancellor said that he has noted it.

Shri Jagdeep Kumar said that in the last meeting of the Senate, he has raised the issue of retiral benefits to the college teachers. He requested the Vice Chancellor that they should take an undertaking from all the affiliated colleges of Punjab as to whether they are giving the retiral benefits or not and whether they have opened a separate account for this purpose.

The Vice Chancellor said that this is not the issue related to any item. They would discuss such issues in the zero hour, provided they would agree to it. First the items should be discussed. The Vice Chancellor said that the Secretary of the Senate meeting on his behalf has chalked out the list of items where much discussion is not required and requested the members to listen to him.

### **Items Senate meeting dated 8.7.2018**

**XVI.** The recommendation of the Syndicate contained in **Item C-26 on the agenda** was read out, viz. –

**C-26.** That the minutes dated 25.10.2017 of the Committee constituted by the Syndicate in its meeting dated 23.09.2017 (Para 12), to examine the issue envisaged in the letter dated 17.08.2017 of Principal Secretary, Welfare, Department of Welfare of SCs, BCs and Minorities (Reservation Cell), Government of Punjab, regarding increase in the percentage of reservation for backward classes in Educational, Technical and Professional Institutions for admission in affiliated/ constituent Colleges of Panjab University, be accepted.

**(Syndicate dated 10.12.2017 Para 16)**

It was informed that in **Item C-26** of 8<sup>th</sup> July 2018 meeting of the Senate, there is a regulation of Punjab Government whereby they have increased reservation from 5% to 10% for members of backward classes for admission in educational, Technical and Professional Institution. This is applicable to Punjab Colleges only.

Dr. D.P.S. Randhawa enquired as to how would they equate the colleges of Chandigarh and Punjab?



Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

It was informed that three reservation policies are running in the University. In the colleges of Punjab, the Punjab Government reservation policy is applicable, in the University the policy of Central Government is applicable and in Chandigarh, the reservation policy of Chandigarh Administration is applicable. These policies are for admission only and the examination system is the same.

**RESOLVED:** That the recommendation of the Syndicate dated 10.12.2017, Para 16 (Item C-26 on the agenda of Senate meeting dated 8.7.2018), be approved.

**XVII.** The recommendation of the Syndicate contained in **Item C-29 on the agenda** was read out and unanimously approved, i.e. –

**C-29.** That the minutes dated 27.10.2017 of Punjab University Youth Welfare Committee, be approved.

**(Syndicate dated 10.12.2017 Para 20)**

**XVIII.** The recommendation of the Syndicate contained in **Item C-30 on the agenda** was read out, viz. –

**C-30** To consider that an estimated expenditure of Rs.73.00 lacs, for furnishing of two laboratories, for accessing SWAYAM and SWAYAM PRABHA modules programme of MHRD, under National Digital Initiatives in Higher Education from the saving of UIAMS Examination wing out of UIAMS Examination fund be approved.

**(Syndicate dated 10.12.2017 Para-22)**

At this stage, Shri H.S. Dua enquired whether they have sent these items to them.

Dr. Parveen Goyal said that there is another Item C-24 in the old agenda.

The Vice Chancellor said these items are which have been listed in Category-1 where no discussion is required.

At this stage, a din prevailed and nothing was clearly audible, however, the members said that this item is okay and it is passed.

**RESOLVED:** That the recommendation of the Syndicate dated 10.12.2017, Para 22 (Item C-30 on the agenda of Senate meeting dated 8.7.2018), be approved.

**XIX.** The recommendation of the Syndicate contained in **Item C-33 on the agenda** was read out, viz. –

**C-33.** That the following item in the Department of Physics, be written off as the same is beyond economical repair:

Particulars	PHS No.	Date of Purchase	Purchase Value
63 KVA Genset (Kirloskar make)	PHS/5	06.03.2008	Rs.5,42,000/- + Rs.63,000/- installation charges

**(Syndicate dated 23.09.2017 Para 17)**

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

Professor J.K. Goswamy said that it has been mentioned that technically, it is not possible to repair it, but there is no certificate attached to this effect. The Administrative Committee of the Department has taken this decision. In such a case, he was of the opinion that a Vice Chancellor's nominee and a technical expert should be there who could assess it whether it is economical to repair it or not.

Professor Navdeep Goyal said that the Genset in question had burnt completely. They have also talked to the company. But if they stop it at this stage, the issue would remain pending for another one year.

It was informed that the proceedings of the Committee have been attached where Vice Chancellor's nominee is already there. He said that the Committee consists of the following members:-

1. Prof. Ranjan Kumar, Nominee of the Vice Chancellor
2. Prof. Navdeep Goyal, Chairperson, Department of Physics, P.U. Chandigarh.
3. Prof. Devinder Mehta, Department of Physics, P.U., Chandigarh.
4. Prof. S.K. Tripathi, Department of Physics, P.U., Chandigarh.
5. Prof. Vipin Bhatnagar, Department of Physics, P.U., Chandigarh.
6. Dr. Samarjit Sihotra, Secretary, Technical/Purchase Committee

Professor J.K. Goswamy said that they should attach a certificate to the effect that it cannot be repaired.

**RESOLVED:** That Item C-33, be referred back to the Department of Physics, Panjab University, Chandigarh, with the direction to attach a certificate to the effect that the said Generator is beyond repair.

**XX.** Considered (**Item C-34**) amendments, additions and deletions in the following Regulations circulated to the Fellow vide ST No. 56303-388 dated 21.8.2017.

**(Syndicate dated 30.4.2017 Para 3)**

**RESOLVED:** That amendment, additions and deletions in the following Regulations, be approved:

1. Amendment/addition in Regulation 3.9 under Chapter-X 'Panjab University Employees (Pension)' appearing at page 184 Panjab University Calendar Volume I, 2007, in anticipation of approval of the Senate/Government of India/ publication in the Government of India Gazette.
2. Amendment/addition in Regulations 11 (J) appearing at pages 143-144 of Panjab University Calendar, Volume I, 2007, in anticipation of approval of the Senate/Government of India/publication in the Government of India Gazette:
3. Addition of clause (v) in Regulation 10 appearing at page 149 of Panjab University Calendar Volume I, 2007 (effective from the decision of the Senate dated 27.3.2016), in anticipation of approval of the Senate/Govt. of India/publication in the Govt. of India Gazette.

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

4. Change in nomenclature for Master in Social Work to Master of Social Work (effective from the session 2016-17), in anticipation of approval of the Senate/Government of India/publication in the Government of India Gazette.
5. Amendment in Regulation 2.1 for LL.M. (Two year course) at page 396 of Panjab University Calendar Volume II, 2007 (effective from the session 2016-17, in anticipation of approval of the Senate/Government of India/publication in the Government of India Gazette.
6. Addition in Regulation 4 meant for LL.B. (Three-Year Course) appearing at page 388 of Panjab University Calendar, Volume II, 2007 (effective from the session 2016-17), in anticipation of approval of the Senate/Government of India/publication in the Government of India Gazette.
7. Addition of Regulation 13 for Bachelor of Laws appearing at page 389 of Panjab University Calendar, Volume II, 2007 from the session 2016-17 (i.e. those who have cleared/ passed LL.B. degree in session 2013-14, 2014-15 and 2015-16 shall also be eligible), in anticipation of approval of the Senate/Government of India/publication in the Government of India Gazette.
8. Addition of nomenclature of M.A. Women & Gender Studies in Regulation 11.3 meant for Master of Arts/Regulations (Semester System) (effective from the session 2010-11), in anticipation of approval of the Senate/Govt. of India/ publication in the Govt. of India Gazette.
9. Amendment in Regulation 2.5(b) at page 53 of Panjab University Calendar Volume I, 2009 (effective from the session 2015-16), in anticipation of approval of the Senate/ Government of India/publication in the Government of India Gazette.
10. Change in nomenclature of B.A. Hons.(Education) B.Ed.-Four Year Integrated Course (Semester System) to **Four-Year Integrated Programme B.A. B.Ed.** (Semester System) (effective from the session 2015-16), in anticipation of approval of the Senate/Government of India/publication in the Government of India Gazette.
11. Regulations for Bachelor of Library & Information Sciences (One-Year Course) (Semester System) (effective from the academic session 2016-17), in anticipation of approval of the Senate/Government of India/publication in the Government of India Gazette.
12. Regulations for Master of Library & Information Sciences (One-Year Course) (Semester System) (effective from the academic session 2017-18), in anticipation of approval of the Senate/Government of India/publication in the Government of India Gazette.
13. Regulations for Postgraduate Diploma in Statistics (Semester System) (effective from the session 2014-15), in anticipation of approval of the Senate/Govt. of India/publication in the Govt. of India Gazette.
14. Regulations for Certificate Course in Sri Guru Granth Sahib Studies (effective from the session 2016-17), in anticipation of approval of the

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

Senate/Government of India/publication in the Government of India Gazette.

15. Regulations for Master of Business Administration for Executives (MBAfEX) restarted from the session 2015-16 at University Business School, in anticipation of approval of the Senate/Government of India/publication in the Government of India Gazette.
16. (i) Change in nomenclature of Master of Business Economics **to that of MBA (Business Economics) and M.Com. (Business Economics)** (effective from the session 2015), in anticipation of approval of the Senate/Govt. of India/publication in the Govt. of India Gazette.

and

- (ii) **Regulations for MBA (Business Economics) at UIAMS (for the session 2015-17), as per appendix**, in implementation of approval of the Senate/Govt. of India/publication in the Govt. of India Gazette.

**XXI.** The recommendation of the Syndicate contained in **Item C-44 on the agenda** was read out and unanimously approved, i.e., –

- C-44.** That the proposal dated 23.01.2018 along with the minutes of the Estimate Committee dated 20/21.9.2017 of President PUTA that an allocation of Rs.5 crore out of UIAMS Examination Fund be sanctioned for the infrastructure development (i.e. Computers, Laptops, Printers and Computer Accessories, apart from other electronic and other equipments) of Social Sciences, Humanities and Languages Department including USOL and DES for promotion of Academic activities, be approved.

**(Syndicate dated 30.3/21.4/29.4.2018 Para 49)**

**XXII.** The recommendation of the Syndicate contained in **Item C-46 on the agenda** was read out, viz. –

- C-46.** That recommendation (No.1) of the Committee dated 27.03.2018, constituted by the Vice-Chancellor, to discuss the various representations made by students of Integrated-BE (Chemical)-MBA course for reducing the course period from five and half years to five years for students already admitted for the session 2018-19, be approved.

**(Syndicate dated 29.4.2018 Para 3)**

Shri Prabhjit Singh said that in meeting notice, the Item C-46 has not been mentioned. When it was informed that it is a very simple item, he said that they are not concerned whether the item is simple or complicated. They are concerned only that the item is list in the agenda.

Professor Ronki Ram clarified that the item has been mentioned in the meeting notice where it has been written Item C-46 to C-49.

**RESOLVED:** That the recommendation of the Syndicate contained in **Item C-46** on the agenda of Senate meeting dated 8.7.2018, be approved.

**Item C-54 on the agenda** has been taken up along with Item **C-6**.

Shri Ashok Goyal remarked that if they have to take up the items in this way, then all the items are passed. He asked as to what are they doing?

On being asked by Professor Rajesh Gill about the other items, the Vice Chancellor said that all the other items enlisted in the meeting notices stood deferred.

**XXIII.** The information contained in **Items R-1 to R-4** on the agenda was read out and unanimously approved, i.e., –

**R-1.** That the Vice-Chancellor in anticipation of the approval of the Senate, has allowed Professor A.S. Walia (Retd.) and Professor R.K. Wanchoo (Retd.) from Dr. S.S. Bhatnagar University Institute of Chemical Engineering & Technology to take extra classes on honorarium of Rs.30,000/- per month, w.e.f. 15.03.2018 to first week of May, as a special case, under Chapter V(A) Regulation 8 appearing at page 113 of P.U. Calendar Volume I, 2007, against the vacant posts of the Department.

**NOTE:** Regulation 8 of Chapter V(A) appearing at page 113 of P.U. Calendar Volume I, 2007, reads as under:

“Nothing in these Regulations prevents Senate from appointing in special cases, short term or temporary teachers with special terms and conditions of service.”

**R-2.** That in accordance with the decision of the Senate dated 22.12.2012 (Para XXI), the Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation of the approval of the Syndicate/Senate, has approved the re-employment of Dr. K. Sherry Sabbarwal, Professor, Department of Sociology, Panjab University on contract basis up to 07.03.2023 (i.e. the date of her attaining age of 65 years) w.e.f. the date she joins as such with one day break as usual, as per rules/regulation of P.U. & Syndicate decision dated 28.06.2008 and 29.02.2012 on fixed emoluments equivalent to last pay drawn minus pension to be worked out on the full service of 33 years both in case of teacher opting for pension or CPF. Salary for this purpose means pay plus allowances excluding House Rent Allowance.

**NOTE:** 1. Academically active report should be submitted by her after completion of every year of re-employment through the HOD with the advance copy to DUI. Thus, usual one-day break will be there at the completion of every year during the period of re-employment. All other rules as mentioned at page 132 of Panjab University Calendar, Vol. III, 2016 will be applicable.

2. The Senate decision dated 29.03.2015, Item 8 (C-20) circulated vide No. 3947-4027/Estt.I

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

dated 11.05.2015 is also applicable in the case of re-employment.

3. Rule 3.1 appearing at page 132 of P.U. Calendar, Vol. III, 2016 reads as under:

“The re-employed teacher will not be entitled to any residential accommodation on the Campus. If a teacher was already living on the Campus, he/she shall not be allowed to retain the same for more than 2 months after the date of superannuation. The failure to vacate the University residential accommodation after the stipulated period shall entail automatic termination of re-employment.”

**(Syndicate dated 29.4./26.5.2018 Para 25(i))**

- R-3.** That the Vice-Chancellor, on the recommendations of the Academic/Administrative Committee dated 27.02.2018 and anticipation of the approval of the Syndicate, has allowed the following modification/change in Regulation 6.2 with regard to evaluation of answer booklets of M.Sc. (Systems Biology & Bioinformatics) Semester System:

<b>Present Regulation</b>	<b>Proposed Regulation</b>
<p><b>6.2:</b> The evaluated answer booklets would be shown to the students, according to a schedule announced by the concerned department, within 15 days of the completion of examination. Answers would be discussed and the rationale of assessment explained to the students. After discussing the answer with the students, the teacher would finally submit the award list to the Head of Department/Coordinator.</p> <p>Answer booklets would be retained by the concerned teacher for one year after the declaration of the result. There would be no provision for re-evaluation.</p>	<p><b>6.2:</b> The evaluated answer booklets would be shown to the students, according to a schedule <u>announced by the Centre</u>, within 15 days of the completion of examination. Answers would be discussed and the rationale of assessment explained to the students. After discussing the answer with the students, the teacher would finally submit the award list to the Head of Department/ Coordinator.</p> <p>Answer booklets would be retained by the concerned teacher for one year after the declaration of the result. <u>In case the students are not satisfied by the evaluation process, an independent panel of examiners with prior permission of DUI/VC should be appointed. The average marks given by two evaluators</u></p>

Present Regulation	Proposed Regulation
	<u>and the concerned teacher will be counted towards final marks.</u>

- NOTE:**
1. The Co-ordinator vide letter dated 14.03.2018 has written that Rule 6.2 may be modified as above, but in fact it a part of Regulations and not of rules.
  2. The approval of the Govt. of India for Regulations is awaited, hence the regulations of the above course are not available in P.U. Cal. Vol. II. 2007.

**(Syndicate dated 29.4./26.5.2018 Para 25(vi))**

- R-4.** That the Vice-Chancellor, subject to and in anticipation of the approval of the Syndicate/Senate, has granted Extra-Ordinary Leave (without pay) to Dr. Ajay Kumar Arora, Assistant Librarian, University Business School, P.U. for the period of one year i.e. w.e.f. 16.04.2018 to 15.04.2019, to enable him to join new assignment as 'Librarian' at Markanda National College, Shahabad Markanda and also allowed him to retain lien in his substantive post of Assistant Librarian.

**(Syndicate dated 29.4./26.5.2018 Para 25(x))**

**XXIV.** The information contained in **Items I-1 to I-53** on the agenda was read out and noted, i.e. –

**I-1.** That the Syndicate has felicitated to the following:

- (i) Professor Suman Bala Beri, Professor Vipin Bhatnagar & Professor Manjit Kaur from the Department Physics, Professor O.P. Katare (UIPS) and Professor Kewal Krishan (Chairperson of Anthropology Deptt.), on having been honoured by the Union Minister of Human Resource Development with Faculty Research Awards, 2018.
- (ii) Professor Kewal Krishan Tewari, USA, on being augmenting Smt. Prem Lata & Prof. D.V.S. Jain Research Foundation Fund by sending a cheque for ten lakhs rupees.
- (iii) Professor Darshan Singh, Professor Emeritus. Chair of Guru Nanak Sikh Studies, PU, for being donating a Cheque amounting to Rs.4 Lakhs (Rupees Four Lakhs only) for the creation of an endowment in the memory of his daughter Shishu who was Professor in the University Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences (UIPS).
- (iv) Professor R.K. Pathak of Department of Anthropology on being awarded with Dr. Panchanan Mitra Memorial

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

Lectureship for the year 2016 for outstanding contribution in field of Anthropology by the Asiatic Society, Kolkata.

- (v) Dr. Prashant Jindal, Assistant Professor, University, Institute of Engineering & Technology, PU, on being awarded Commonwealth Rutherford Fellowship 2017-2018.
- (vi) Dr. Monica Munjial Singh, Assistant Professor, Centre for Social Work (U.I.E.A.S.S.) on being honoured with 'Bharat Ratna Indira Gandhi Gold Medal Award' for her outstanding individual achievement in education.
- (vii) Ms. Jasnoor, a final year student of B. Pharmacy of the University Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, on being selected as one of the ten International students to pursue the NANOMED Erasmus Mundus Joint Master's Degree Program.

**(Syndicate dated 30.3.2018 Para 1)**

- (viii) Professor A.S. Ahluwalia on having been elected as President of the Punjab Academy of Sciences for a term of 3 years w.e.f. 1<sup>st</sup> April 2018.
- (ix) Professor Manoj Kumar, University Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, on having been appointed as Honorary Dean of Maharaja Agrasen School of Pharmacy, Maharaja Agrasen University, Baddi (HP) up to June 30,2019.
- (x) Shri Bharat Bhushan Ashu, MLA, on having been inducted in the Punjab Cabinet.

**(Syndicate dated 29.4.2018 Para 1)**

**I-2.** That the Syndicate has noted the following:

- i) Professor Manmohan Singh, former Prime Minister of India and PU alumnus, will visit Panjab University along with Mrs. Gursharan Kaur to deliver the First Dr. S.B. Rangnekar Memorial Oration, mooted by Department of Economics of PU, on April 11, 2018 at 10 am. Dr. Rangnekar has taught Dr. Manmohan Singh at Hoshiarpur and they both worked as colleagues later at Panjab University, Campus.
- ii) Ambassador (Retd.) Paramjit S. Sahai, retired IFS officer, Chandigarh, in his communication of March 28, 2018, has informed the University that he would like to make a token contribution of Rs.1,00,000/- (Rupees One Lakh only) to Panjab University by way of seed money to be used for organizing next two International Conferences on 'India's Foreign Policy in Contemporary Era' (Rs.50,000/- for each conference). A conference was organized this year



and he was so moved that he said that they should do it every year. This time it was funded by the ICSSR.

- iii) Professor Jitendra Mohan, Professor Emeritus of Psychology has been invited to deliver keynote address on 'Mindfulness, Yoga and Health' at the 29<sup>th</sup> International Congress of Applied Psychology at Montreal, Canada from 26-30 June, 2018. He will also chair a Scientific Session there.
- iv) Panjab University, Punjab Engineering College and CRIKC institutions have received a proposal from Prof. Hardev Singh, Chair Trustee of Haydn Green Foundation, to create Haydn Green Institute International Innovation and Entrepreneurship Corridor (HGIIIEC) linking CRIKC Institutions in India with University of Nottingham (UoN), UK and UoN, China Campus at Ningbo in China.
- v) With reference to Panjab University's proposal submitted in 2017 for the consideration of Institution of Eminence status, this is an all India competition, University Grants Commission vide its communication received on March 28, 2018, has invited PU to make a presentation before the Empowered Expert Committee (EEC) constituted for the selection of Institutions of Eminence, on April 3, 2018 in New Delhi. Ten institutes in the State sector are to be considered for this status and they would be provided upto Rs. 100 Crore every year for a period of 10 years in a project mode, 5 years at a time. I, just received last evening a confirmation that Rakesh Bharti Mittal of Bharti Foundation which had once given us an endowment of Rs. 50 lakhs to create a Professorship at U.I.E.T. and also hosts a lecture in memory of Satpal Mittal. He has consented to be the Chief Guest for the U.I.E.T. Convocation this year on May 5, 2018. This Chair had been lying dormant. With great difficulty it had been revived and they had sought a report from us as to what all has happened over the years. The report was submitted, today they have one crore rupees in that endowment and we have a Professor appointed who is Honorary/Visiting Professor Smt. Pomila Kumar who has been now visiting from Bangalore frequently. So, there was something which was lying dormant has commenced. The donors have accepted the lapses on our part that for many years it was lying dormant and he is coming to be the Chief Guest at the UIET Convocation. I am hopeful that the involvement of Mittals' in the Panjab University as well as the involvement of Munjals' in Panjab University would enhance in the years to come. Both these are international names, they belong to Panjab and their association with the Panjab University would do us good.

**(Syndicate dated 30.3.2018 Para 1 (i), (v), (vi), (xi) and (xii))**

- (vi) University Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences (UIPS), PU, secured third rank among pharmacy institutions with an overall score of 76.50, in the 2018 National Institutional Ranking Framework (NIRF), released by the Shri Prakash Javadekar, Union HRD Minister at Vigyan Bhawan, New Delhi, on April 3, 2018. Professor Kanwaljit Chopra, Chairperson, UIPS, received a Certificate from Union HRD Minister. In the overall rankings, where institutes like the Indian Institute of Science (IISc) and Indian Institute of Technology (IIT) competed, Panjab University has improved its ranking from 54<sup>th</sup> last year to 33<sup>rd</sup> with overall score of 50.94 this year. Further I would like to share that in the NIRF Rankings PU has been ranked 17<sup>th</sup> in Medical Category, 20<sup>th</sup> in University Category, 42<sup>nd</sup> in Management Category and 59<sup>th</sup> in Engineering Category. The Post Graduate Government College for Girls, Sector-11 and G.G.D.S.D. College, Sector-32 affiliated with PU, also are ranked at 68 and 82, respectively.
- (vii) Panjab University, Chandigarh has been selected amongst the 11-member Subject Expert Groups (SEGs) for pan India implementation of Unnat Bharat Abhiyan (UBAS) new Scheme of Government of India, Ministry of Human Resource Development. Other SEG Institution Members include 6 IITs (Kanpur, Delhi, Kharagpur, Madras, Roorkee and Bombay), IARI (New Delhi), NIRD (Hyderabad), AICTE and UGC. Panjab University is the only university which has been selected in this 11 members group. The SEGs will function as think tank and monitor progress of the scheme. PU has been assigned subject area 'Innovation and Design Education'. Professor Rakesh Tuli, Sri Research Advisor & J.C. Bose National Fellow and Coordinator, DIC at UIET, has been appointed as Coordinator for the SEG at Panjab University, Chandigarh. His job is to evaluate all the proposals which are related to research and innovation. Each Subject Expert Group (SEG) will have technical and non-technical members and representatives from field and administration to facilities effective implementation of UBA 2.0.
- (viii) Professor Nirmala Chongtham in the Department of Botany, PU, has been invited to give a keynote lecture titled "The Healing touch of Bamboo" in the World Bamboo Congress to be held in Mexico from 14-18 August, 2018. Professor Nirmala under her stewardship as Coordinator of the National Team, will organize 3<sup>rd</sup> World Bamboo Workshop at Imphal from 4-8 February 2019 in Collaboration with World Bamboo Organization, USA and DICMA Trade from Mexico.
- (ix) Research article titled 'Immunoprotective potential of BamA, the outer membrane protein assembly factor, against MDR Acinetobacter baumannii' authored by

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

Professor Prince Sharma, has been selected as one of the top 100 Microbiology papers published in a Nature Publication 'Scientific Reports' in 2017.

**(Syndicate dated 29.4.2018 Para 1 (i), (iii), (v) and (vi))**

- I-3.** That the Vice-Chancellor has appointed Professor A.S. Ahluwalia, Department of Botany, Panjab University as Secretary to the Vice-Chancellor w.e.f. 02.04.2018 onwards.

**(Syndicate dated 26.5.2018 Para 56 (i))**

- I-4.** That the Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation of the approval of the Syndicate has re-appointed afresh Dr. Ramandeep Kaur Saluja, Associate Professor, Dr. Harvansh Singh Judge Institute of Dental Sciences & Hospital purely on temporary basis, w.e.f. 05.02.2018 for 11 months i.e. upto 04.01.2019 with break on 03.02.2018 (Break Day) and 04.02.2018 (Sunday) or till the posts are filled in, on regular basis through proper selection whichever is earlier, under Regulation 5 at page 111 of P.U. Calendar Volume I, 2016, on the same terms and conditions on which she was working earlier.

**(Syndicate dated 30.3/21.4/2 9.4.2018 Para 51(ii))**

- I-5.** That Vice-Chancellor, subject to and in anticipation of the approval of the Syndicate has appointed Professor Paramjit Kaur, University Business School, Panjab University as Honorary Director of Centre for IAS & other competitive Examinations, P.U. (additional charge) for a period of two years w.e.f. 01.04.2018.

**(Syndicate dated 30.3/21.4/2 9.4.2018 Para 51(iv))**

- I-6.** That the Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation of the approval of the Syndicate has accepted the resignation of Mrs. Harpreet Kaur, Assistant Professor in Commerce (temporary), Baba Balraj P.U. Constituent College, Balachaur, Distt. Nawanshehar, w.e.f. 9.01.2018 (A.N.) and due amount of summer vacation salary paid to her after deduction of one month salary in lieu of one month notice period, under Rule 16.2 appearing at page 85 of P.U. Calendar Volume-III, 2016.

**(Syndicate dated 30.3/21.4/2 9.4.2018 Para 51(iii))**

- I-7.** That in pursuance of the orders dated 28.02.2018 passed by Hon'ble Punjab and Haryana High Court in CWP No.28777 of 2017 (Dr. (Ms.) Paramjit Kaur and Ors. Vs. Panjab University & Ors.) in the same terms as CWP No.26006 of 2017, wherein the petitioners have been given the benefit to continue in service, in view of the similarity with the said case. The CWP No.26006 of 2017 (Dr. (Ms.) Sukhmani Bal Riar Vs. Panjab University and others), entire bunch of matters relation to the age of retirement (60 to 65 years) is pending. The Vice-Chancellor has ordered that:

- (i) the following faculty members (who have attained the age of superannuation i.e. 60 years on the date mentioned below against each) be considered to continue in service w.e.f. the date mentioned against each, as applicable in such other

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

cases of teachers which is subject matter of CWP No.26006 of 2017 & other similar cases and salary be paid which they were drawing on the date of attaining the age of 60 years without break in the services, excluding HRA (HRA not to be paid to any one), as an interim measure subject to final outcome of the case filed by them. The payment to them will be adjustable against the final dues to them for which they should submit an undertaking as per Performa:

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Name of faculty member and Department</b>	<b>Date of superannuation</b>	<b>To continue in service w.e.f.</b>
1.	Dr. Harpreet Pruthi, Professor, Department of English & Cultural Studies	31.01.2018	01.02.2018
2.	Dr. Paramjit Kaur, Professor, Department of Law	31.01.2018	01.02.2018
3.	Dr. Asha Maudgil, Professor, Department of Philosophy	28.02.2018	01.03.2018

- (ii) the teachers residing in the University campus (who have got stay to retain residential accommodation) shall be allowed to retain the residential accommodation (s) allotted to them by the University on the same terms and conditions, subject to adjustment as per orders of the Hon'ble Punjab and Haryana High Court on the next date of hearing.

**(Syndicate dated 29.4.2018 Para 26(i))**

- I-8.** That Mr. Gagan Madaan, be appointed as Assistant Professor in Computer Science (temporary basis), in the pay-scale of Rs.15600-39100+GP 6000/-, under Regulation 5(a), page 111 of P.U. Cal. Vol. I, 2007 at P.U. Regional Centre, Sri Muktsar Sahib from the start of the academic session 2018-2019.

**(Syndicate dated 26.5.2018 Para 15)**

- I-9.** That the term of appointment of the following Assistant Professors (temporary) of U I H T & M, P.U., be extended up to June 2018 on the same term and conditions, with one day break as usual, as recommended by the Director/Academic and Administrative Committee dated 28.03.2018:

1. Mr. Gaurav Kashyap
2. Mr. Abhishek Ghai
3. Ms. Lipika Guliani
4. Mr. Amit Katoch
5. Mr. Manoj Senwal

**(Syndicate dated 26.5.2018 Para 16)**

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

**I-10.** That the term of appointment (purely on temporary basis) of the following Assistant Professors, P.U. Rural Centre, Kauni, Sri Muktsar be extended for one month i.e. upto 31.5.2018 (with one day break) on the same terms and conditions on which they were working earlier as per letter No. 4274-4276/Estt. I dated 9.6.2017 & 5183-85/Estt. I dated 28.7.2017, under Regulation 5 given at page 111 of P.U. Cal. Vol. I, 2007:

- |    |                    |   |
|----|--------------------|---|
| 1. | Dr. Gurjit Singh   | Assistant Professor in Punjabi              |
| 2. | Mr. Surinder Singh | Assistant Professor in Political Science    |
| 3. | Ms. Seema          | Assistant Professor in Physical Education   |
| 4. | Mr. Saumyadeep     | Assistant Professor in Bhattacharya English |

**(Syndicate dated 26.5.2018 Para 17)**

**I-11.** That –

- (i) the term of appointment of Dr. Manoj Kumar, Assistant Professor at Centre for Public Health, IEAST, Panjab University, Chandigarh, be extended till 29.06.2018 (with one day break) i.e. on 01.05.2018, purely on temporary basis, in the pay-scale of Rs. 15600-39100+AGP of Rs. 6000/- + two increments, under Regulation 5 at page 111 of P.U. Calendar Volume-I, 2007, on the same terms and conditions on which he is working earlier; and
- (ii) to consider the re-appointment purely on temporary basis for the academic session 2018-19, the case be again placed before the Syndicate with the whole information.

**(Syndicate dated 26.5.2018 Para 18)**

**I-12.** That the resignation of Dr. Gurpreet Singh, Assistant Professor (Part-Time), Department of Laws, P.U., be accepted w.e.f. 01.02.2018, with the condition that he will have to deposit amount in lieu of notice of one month, as he has tendered his resignation without giving one month notice, under Rule 2.5 appearing at page 59 of P.U. Calendar, Volume-III, 2016.

**(Syndicate dated 26.5.2018 Para 19)**

**I-13.** That in pursuance of orders dated 16.02.2018 passed by the Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court in CWP No. 3576 of 2018 (Dr. Parveen Rishi & Ors. Vs Panjab University & Ors.) tagged with LPA No. 1505 of 2016, wherein the petitioner has been given the benefit of continue in service, in view of the similarly projected in the said case. The LPA No.1505 of 2016 (Dr. Amrik Singh Ahluwalia & Anr. Vs. Panjab University & Others) entire connected bunch of matters relating to the age of retirement (60 to 65 years) was fixed for hearing on 05.03.2018, the Vice-Chancellor, has ordered that:

- (i) Ms. Renu Gandhi, Assistant Professor, Department of Life Long Learning & Extension, be considered to continue in

service w.e.f. 01.03.2018 as applicable in such other cases of teachers which is subject matter of LPA No.1505 of 2016 & others similar cases and salary be paid which she was drawing as on 28.02.2018 without break in the service, excluding HRA (HRA not to be paid to anyone), as an interim measure subject to the final outcome of the case filed by her. The payment to her shall be adjustable against the final dues to her for which she should submit the undertaking as per performa.

- (ii) she be allowed to retain the residential accommodation (s) allotted to her by the University on the same terms and conditions, subject to adjustment as per orders of the Hon'ble High Court on the next date of hearing, as in respect of all those the teachers residing in the University Campus (who have got stay to retain residential accommodation).

**(Syndicate dated 30.3/21.4/2 9.4.2018 Para 52(vii))**

**I-14.** That in pursuance of orders dated 16.02.2018 passed by the Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court in CWP No. 3576 of 2018 (Dr. Parveen Rishi & Ors. Vs Panjab University & Ors.) tagged with LPA No. 1505 of 2016, wherein the petitioner has been given the benefit of continue in service, in view of the similarly projected in the said case. The LPA No.1505 of 2016 (Dr. Amrik Singh Ahluwalia & Anr. Vs. Panjab University & Others) entire connected bunch of matters relating to the age of retirement (60 to 65 years) was fixed for hearing on 05.03.2018, the Vice-Chancellor, has ordered that:

- (i) Dr. (Ms.) Meena Dutta, Professor, Defense Studies, University School of Open Learning, be considered to continue in service w.e.f. 01.03.2018 as applicable in such other cases of teachers which is subject matter of LPA No.1505 of 2016 & others similar cases and salary be paid which she was drawing as on 28.02.2018 without break in the service, excluding HRA (HRA not to be paid to anyone), as an interim measure subject to the final outcome of the case filed by her. The payment to her shall be adjustable against the final dues to her for which she should submit the undertaking as per Performa.
- (ii) she be allowed to retain the residential accommodation (s) allotted to her by the University on the same terms and conditions, subject to adjustment as per orders of the Hon'ble High Court on the next date of hearing, as in respect of all those the teachers residing in the University Campus (who have got stay to retain residential accommodation).

**(Syndicate dated 30.3/21.4/2 9.4.2018 Para 52(viii))**

**I-15.** In pursuance of orders dated 26.04.2018 passed by the Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court in CWP No. 10222 of 2018 (Dr. (Ms.)

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

Meenakshi Malhotra and Ans. Vs Panjab University & others) in the same term as CWP No. 26006 of 2017 and tagged with LPA NO. 1505 of 2016, wherein, the petitioner has been given the benefit of continue in service in view of the similarly projected cases in the above said case. The CWP No. 26006 of 2017 (Dr. (Ms.) Sukhmani Bal Riar Vs. Panjab University and others, entire connected bunch of matters relating to the age of retirement (60 to 65 years) is pending, in this regard, the Vice Chancellor has ordered that:

- (i) Dr. Meenakshi Malhotra, Professor, University Business School, be considered to continue in service w.e.f. 01.05.2018 as applicable in such other cases of teachers which is subject matter of CWP No.26006 of 2017 & others similar cases and salary be paid which she was drawing on the date of attaining the age of 60 years without break in the service, excluding HRA (HRA not to be paid to anyone), as an interim measure subject to the final outcome of the case filed by her. The payment to her shall be adjustable against the final dues to her for which she should submit the undertaking as per performa.
- (ii) she be allowed to retain the residential accommodation (s) allotted to her by the University on the same terms and conditions, subject to adjustment as per orders of the Hon'ble High Court on the next date of hearing, as in respect of all those the teachers residing in the University Campus (who have got stay to retain residential accommodation).

**(Syndicate dated 26.5.2018 Para 56 (ii))**

**I-16.** In pursuance of orders dated 26.04.2018 passed by the Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court in CWP No. 10222 of 2018 (Dr. (Ms.) Meenakshi Malhotra and Anr. Vs Panjab University & others) in the same term as CWP No. 26006 of 2017 and tagged with LPA NO. 1505 of 2016, wherein, the petitioner has been given the benefit of continue in service in view of the similarly projected cases in the above said case. The CWP No. 26006 of 2017 (Dr. (Ms.) Sukhmani Bal Riar Vs. Panjab University and others, entire connected bunch of matters relating to the age of retirement (60 to 65 years) is pending, in this regard, the Vice Chancellor has ordered that:

- (i) Dr. Mohd. Khalid, Professor, Department of Political Science, DES-MDRC, Panjab University, Chandigarh be considered to continue in service w.e.f. 01.06.2018 as applicable in such other cases of teachers which is subject matter of CWP No.26006 of 2017 & others similar cases and salary be paid which he was drawing on the date of attaining the age of 60 years without break in the service, excluding HRA (HRA not to be paid to anyone), as an interim measure subject to the final outcome of the case filed by him. The payment to him will be adjustable against the final dues to him for which he should submit the undertaking as per performa.

- (ii) he be allowed to retain the residential accommodation (s) allotted to him by the University on the same terms and conditions, subject to adjustment as per orders of the Hon'ble High Court on the next date of hearing, as in respect of all those the teachers residing in the University Campus (who have got stay to retain residential accommodation).

**(Syndicate dated 26.5.2018 Para 56 (vi))**

- I-17.** That Professor B.B. Goyal be given 15 days' time to submit his apology. If he fails to do so, the Vice-Chancellor be authorised to initiate further action as deemed appropriate.

**(Syndicate dated 29.4./26.5.2018 Para 24)**

- I-18.** That the Vice-Chancellor, subject to and in anticipation of the approval of the Syndicate has accepted the resignation of Shri Harsimran Singh Dhanju, Programmer (on contract), MIS/IAQC, P.U. w.e.f. 12.01.2018 (A.N.) by waiving off the condition to deposit a sum-equal to Pay & Allowances for the period falling short i.e. 15 days, in lieu of prior notice.

**(Syndicate dated 29.4./26.5.2018 Para 25(ii))**

- I-19.** That the Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation of the approval of the Syndicate/Senate, has re-appointed afresh the following faculty at Dr. Harvansh Singh Judge Institute of Dental Sciences & Hospital, purely on temporary basis w.e.f. 05.04.2018 for 11 months i.e. upto 04.03.2019 with break on 04.04.2018 (Break Day) or till the posts are filled in, on regular basis through proper selection, whichever is earlier, under Regulation 5 at page 111 of P.U. Calendar, Volume-I, 2007, on the same terms and conditions on which they were working earlier:

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Designation</b>
1.	Dr. Lalit Kumar	Associate Professor
2.	Dr. Shipra Gupta	Associate Professor
3.	Dr. Vishakha Grover	Associate Professor
4.	Dr. Puneet	Assistant Professor
5.	Dr. Poonam Sood	Assistant Professor
6.	Dr. Gurparkash Singh Chahal	Assistant Professor
7.	Dr. Sunint Singh	Assistant Professor
8.	Dr. Neha Bansal	Assistant Professor
9.	Dr. Rose Kanwal Jeet Kaur	Assistant Professor

**(Syndicate dated 29.4.2018 Para 25(iii))**

- I-20.** That the Vice-Chancellor on the recommendation of the Committee dated 09.03.2017 constituted pursuant to Syndicate decision dated 21.01.2017 (Para 10), has constituted a new Post Graduate Board of Studies in Architecture and Planning for introduction of M. Architecture in the Chandigarh College of Architecture.

**(Syndicate dated 29.4./26.5.2018 Para 26(vii))**



**I-21.** That –

- (i) Pursuant to orders dated 15.03.2018 passed by the Hon'ble Punjab and Haryana High Court in CWP No. 6401 of 2018, Shri Shiv Kumar Verma, Deputy Librarian, VVBIS & IS, Hoshiarpur, be allowed to continue in service on re-employment basis till he attain the age of 62 years, without prejudice to his legal right to monetary claims in the event of acceptance of these appeals to comply the court orders in CWP No.6401 of 2018 (Dr. Shiv Kumar Verma Vs. Panjab University and Ors.) or till the final outcome of the CWP filed by him, whichever is earlier.
- (ii) he be paid salary on the same conditions as the Vice-Chancellor has already ordered in the court case (LPA No.1505 of 2016 Amrik Singh Ahluwalia Vs. P.U. and others and connected LPAs) which they were drawing immediately before the pronouncement of the order dated 16.08.2016 passed by the Hon'ble Court in CWP No.11988 of 2014 Bhura Singh Ghuman Vs. P.U. and other excluding HRA (HRA not to be paid to anyone) as an interim measure subject to the final outcome of the LPA filed by them. The final payment to all such appellants shall be adjustable against the final dues to them for which they should submit the prescribed undertaking.
- (iii) he be allowed to retain residential accommodation, if any allotted to him by the University on the same terms and conditions.

**(Syndicate dated 29.4.2018 Para 13)**

**I-22.** That the Vice-Chancellor has appointed Dr. Devinder Preet Singh as Associate Professor in Orthodontics & Dentofacial Orthopaedics at Dr. Harvansh Singh Judge Institute of Dental Sciences & Hospital, P.U., purely on temporary basis, against the vacant post, for the period of one year in the pay scale of Rs.37400-67000 + GP of Rs.8600/- + NPA and allowances as admissible as per University rules, under Regulation 5 (a) (i) at page 111 of P.U. Calendar, Volume-I, 2007 and has also been permitted to retain the lien for a period of one year, against his substantive post of Senior Lecturer in Dr. H.S.J. Institute at Dental Sciences & Hospital.

**NOTE:** 1. The competent authority could assign teaching duties to him/her in the same subject in other teaching departments of the University in order to utilize his/her subject expertise/specialization and to meet the needs of the allied departments at a given point of time, within the limits of the workload as prescribed in the U.G.C. norms.

**(Syndicate dated 19.11.2017 Para 42(ii))**

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

2. The above item was placed before the Senate in its meeting held on 6.5.2018 as an item I-8, and it was resolved that the above item on the agenda, be kept pending.

**I-23.** That as recommended by the Academic and Administrative Committee of the Department of Public Administrative, Dr. Jagmohan Singh Raju, IAS Additional Chief Secretary, Government of Tamilnadu, be appointed as Visiting Professor in the Department of Public Administration.

**(Syndicate dated 19.12.2017 Para 44)**

**NOTE:** The above item was placed before the Senate in its meeting held on 6.5.2018 as an item I-15, and it was resolved that the above item on the agenda, be kept pending.

**I-24.** That minutes dated 13.03.2018 of the Committee, constituted by the Vice-Chancellor, regarding proposal for appointment of Adjunct Faculty at the University Teaching Departments that the regular faculty be appointed as Adjunct Faculty from the respective Department that needs services of the faculty members, be approved.

**(Syndicate dated 26.5.2018 Para 11)**

**I-25.** That minutes dated 07.02.2018, 10.04.2018 and 24.04.2018 of the Committee, constituted by the Vice-Chancellor, to consider and review the rules for migration (Lateral Entry) for Undergraduate and Postgraduate Courses of Panjab University, be approved.

**(Syndicate dated 26.5.2018 Para 27)**

**I-26.** That officiating/additional charge (with administrative & financial powers) of the post of 'Librarian' (after completing the age of 62 years by Dr. Raj Kumar on 30.04.2018), be given to Dr. Rashmi Yadav, Deputy Librarian, A.C. Joshi Library, who had been allowed to continue to work as such after attaining the age of 60 years on 31.01.2017, w.e.f. 01.05.2018 till further orders or till the final outcome of the Hon'ble High Court in the CWP No. 25990 of 2016.

**(Syndicate dated 26.5.2018 Para 40)**

**I-27.** That –

- (i) proposal dated 30.4.2018 of D.U.I. regarding additional seats for Rural and Border Area students, pursuant to Syndicate decision dated 25.06.2017 (Para 23) for the session 2018-2019, be approved.
- (ii) the candidates belonging to rural areas should have passed the 10<sup>th</sup> and 12<sup>th</sup> class examination from the rural area to become eligible for additional seats meant for rural area students; and
- (iii) the candidates belonging to border areas should have passed the 10<sup>th</sup> class from a school situated in border

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

area and 12<sup>th</sup> class from a school situated in any other area to become eligible for additional seats meant for border area students.

**(Syndicate dated 26.5.2018 Para 48)**

**I-28.** That the following concessions to the wards of Kashmiri Migrants for admission to various courses in Education Institutions, be approved for the academic session 2018-2019:

- (i) Relaxation in cut-off percentage upto 10% subjects to minimum eligibility requirement.
- (ii) Increase in intake capacity upto 5% course-wise.
- (iii) Reservation of at least one seat in merit quota in technical/professional institutions.
- (iv) Waiving Off domicile requirements.

**(Syndicate dated 26.5.2018 Para 49)**

**I-29.** That –

- (i) the minutes dated 27.02.2018 of the committee constituted by the Vice-Chancellor to provide financial assistance to SC/ST students of Teaching Departments, be approved.
- (ii) The enhancement of the annual family income from Rs.2.5 lacs to Rs. 3 lacs for financial assistance be mentioned in the Handbook of Information, 2018 and be made applicable from the financial year 2018-2019.

**(Syndicate dated 30.3/21.4/29.4.2018 Para 40)**

**I-30.** That the request of students of MBA, University Business School, P.U., regarding generation of resources to improve academic facilities at UBS, be approved in principal to be implemented from the session 2019-20.

**NOTE:** The chairperson, University Business School in consultation with the faculty be requested to prepare a detailed proposal in this regard by 1<sup>st</sup> August, 2018 so that the same could be placed before the Board of Finance.

**(Syndicate dated 30.3/21.4/29.4.2018 Para 40)**

**I-31.** That donation of Rs.4,00,000/- made by Dr. Darshan Singh, Emeritus Professor of P.U., Chandigarh, be accepted for institution of Endowment named as “Shishu Memorial Lecture” in the Department of UIPS and Guru Nanak Sikh Studies. The Investment of Rs.4,00,000/- be made in the shape of TDR for institution of an Endowment and the interest of the amount be utilized to organize a ‘Shishu Memorial Lecture’ in the memory of his revered daughter Late Prof. Shishu, in both departments every year on alternate basis.

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

**NOTE:** That the appreciation of the Syndicate be conveyed to the donor.

**(Syndicate dated 29.4.2018 Para 16)**

- I-32.** That the Vice-Chancellor has allowed to invest an additional donation of Rs.7,00,000/- made by Professor DVS Jain for existing endowment namely 'Smt. Prem Lata and Professor D.V.S Jain Research Foundation', in the shape of FDR in the State Bank of India, Sector-14, Chandigarh @ maximum prevailing rate of interest for one year in the Special Endowment Trust Fund A/c No.10444978140.

**(Syndicate dated 29.4.2018 Para 26(viii))**

- I-33.** That grandsons and granddaughters be excluded from the provision made in Syndicate decision dated 18.10.2015, with regard to admission for sons/grandsons/daughters/granddaughters/husband/wife/brothers/sisters of persons killed/incapacitated in November, 1984 riot and of persons killed/incapacitated in terrorist violence in Punjab & Chandigarh w.e.f. the session 2018-19.

**(Syndicate dated 29.4.2018 Para 17)**

- I-34.** That the Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation of the approval of the Syndicate, has executed TIGR2ESS Collaboration Agreement (at Sr. No.5) between Panjab University, Chandigarh, and University of Cambridge for the project titled "Transforming India's Green Revolution by Research and Empowerment for Sustainable Food Supplies".

**(Syndicate dated 29.4.2018 Para 25(vii))**

- I-35.** To note letter No. 5032 Estt./NIG-I/A-4 dated 20.02.2018 of Punjabi University, Patiala, that the post held by Dr. Amardeep Singh Marwaha, Assistant Professor, School of Management Studies, Punjabi University, Patiala, (presently working as Training and Placement Officer at UIAMS, Panjab University), has been declared vacant w.e.f. 20.10.2015 as per Regulation 23 at page 71 of Punjabi University Calendar Volume-I, as he had not joined his duty on 20.09.2017.

**(Syndicate dated 29.4.2018 Para 26(iv))**

- I-36.** That exemption from University Entrance test for Ph.D. to AYUSH-NET qualified candidates be granted in view of the letter received from Vaidya Rajesh Kotecha, Secretary, Government of India, Ministry of Ayurveda, Yoga & Naturopathy Unani, Siddha and Homoeopathy (Ayush), New Delhi.

**(Syndicate dated 26.5.2018 Para 7)**

- I-37.** The Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation of the approval of the Syndicate has approved:

- (i) the minutes dated 08.01.2018 of the Committee with regard to review the eligibility criteria for admission to Undergraduate and Postgraduate courses under NRI quota for the academic session 2018-2019.

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

- (ii) the minutes dated 04.01.2018 of the Committee to formulate a policy for re-appear/failure of ICCR students.
- (iii) the request dated 24.01.2018 of Chairperson, Department of Anthropology, to name the Museum in the Department of Anthropology, P.U. as "S.R.K. Chopra Museum of Man".
- (iv) the minutes dated 16.01.2018 of the Committee to consider various issues regarding on line admissions for the academic session 2018-2019.
- (v) the minutes dated 16.11.2017 of the Board of Control in Physics, regarding admission in M.Tech. (Nanoscience & Nanotechnology) course.

**(Syndicate dated 30.3/21.4/29.4.2018 Para 51(v))**

**I-38.** That Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) between Panjab University, Chandigarh & Homi Bhabha National Institute has been executed to enhance collaborative research in the areas of mutual interest, both in extent and scope by using the medium of research students enrolled in the Partner Institute.

**(Syndicate dated 30.3/21.4/29.4.2018 Para 51(xv))**

**I-39.** That Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) between BioNEST, Panjab University, Chandigarh and PHD Chamber of Commerce & Industry (PHDCCI) for jointly supporting Indian Startups and Innovations Ecosystem, be executed.

**(Syndicate dated 26.5.2018 Para 44)**

**I-40.** To note letter dated 27.03.2018 received from Special Secretary, Department of Higher Education (Education-I Branch), Government of Punjab, with regard to constitution of the Committee by the Governor of Punjab, to consider the issue of including the vocational subject, as one of the optional subject, as one of the optional subject, out of three optional subjects, already being run by the Universities at Graduation level.

**(Syndicate dated 30.3/21.4/29.4.2018 Para 52(ix))**

**I-41** That the recommendations of the Committee dated 04.04.2018, be approved and the scheme be named as 'Earn While You Learn' with provision of experience certificates to the students.

**(Syndicate dated 26.5.2018 Para 9)**

**I-42** That minutes dated 10.4.2018 of the Student Aid Fund Administrative Committee constituted by the Vice-Chancellor to consider the applications of eligible students of teaching department and U.S.O.L. for Financial Assistance out of Students Aid Fund for the session 2017-2018, be approved.

**(Syndicate dated 26.5.2018 Para 39)**

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

**I-43.** That the deputation period of Dr. S.S. Sangha, Principal, at Dashmesh Girls College, Badal, Sri Muktsar Sahib, be extended further for a term of another two years w.e.f. 04.05.2018 and during the period of deputation his lien as Principal at Dashmesh Girls College of Education, Badal, Sri Muktsar Sahib be retained as requested by S. Parkash Singh Badal, Chairman, Dashmesh Girls College Local Management Committee, VPO Badal, Distt. Sri Muktsar Sahib vide letter dated 10.4.2018.

**(Syndicate dated 26.5.2018 Para 43)**

**I-44.** That –

- (1) proposal dated 30.4.2018 of D.U.I. regarding additional seats for Rural and Border Area students, pursuant to Syndicate decision dated 25.06.2017 (Para 23) for the session 2018-2019, be approved.
- (2) the candidates belonging to rural areas should have passed the 10<sup>th</sup> and 12<sup>th</sup> class examination from the rural area to become eligible for additional seats meant for rural area students; and
- (3) the candidates belonging to border areas should have passed the 10<sup>th</sup> class from a school situated in border area and 12<sup>th</sup> class from a school situated in any other area to become eligible for additional seats meant for border area students.

**(Syndicate dated 26.5.2018 Para 48)**

**I-45.** That minutes dated 04.04.2018 of the Hostel Committee, regarding revision of rates for the Handbook of Hostel Rules for Amrita Shergil Girl's Hostel, P.U. Regional Centre, Ludhiana for the session 2018-19 to be incorporated in the concerned Handbook, be approved.

**(Syndicate dated 26.5.2018 Para 35)**

**I-46.** That minutes dated 15.03.2018 of the Committee constituted, pursuant to the letter No.391/DSW dated 09.02.2018 regarding amendments/rate revision for the Hostel Rules for PUSSGRC, Hoshiarpur to be incorporated in the concerned Handbook for the session 2018-19, be approved.

**(Syndicate dated 29.4.2018 Para 15)**

**I-47.** That approval for the transfer of Dr. (Mrs.) Savita Uppal, Principal, from Swami Ganga Giri Janta Girls College, Raikot to Arya College, Ludhiana w.e.f. 01.08.2017, be granted.

**(Syndicate dated 24.02.2018 Para 30)**

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

**NOTE:** The above item was placed before the Senate in its meeting held on 6.5.2018 as an item I-39, and it was resolved that the above item on the agenda, be kept pending.

- I-48.** That the fee structure of two M.Pharm. self finance courses, i.e. Pharmaceutical Analysis and Pharmaceutical Quality Assurance as requested by the Chairperson, University Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences vide letter dated 14.12.2017, be reduced from Rs. 2,92,237/- to Rs. 1,50,000/- per annum (i.e. Tuition fee Rs. 1,40,540/- Maintenance Users Charges Rs.4735/- and contribution Funds Rs.4725/-).

**(Syndicate dated 30./03/21/29.04.2018 Para 23)**

- I-49.** That the Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation of the approval of the Syndicate has authorised each head of the Department/ Institute/Centre of the University to issue certificate at the time of supply of goods to the concerned supplier certifying that the goods under supply are required for research purpose only, on behalf of Registrar, Panjab University, for the purposes of notification No. 47/2017 dated 14.11.2017 under GST Act 2017.

**(Syndicate dated 30./03/21/29.04.2018 Para 51(iv))**

- I-50.** To note that –

- (i) the Report of Fact Finding Committee, to conduct an on the spot enquiry in the light of a complaint dated 16.01.2013, received from Ms. Anu Verma, Assistant Professor in English, G.T.B. Khalsa College for Women, Dasuya, District Hoshiarpur, be accepted.
- (ii) the Action taken by the Vice-Chancellor in debarring Shri Balwinder Singh, Government College, Tanda Urmar, for all kinds of University work, for future be ratified and information about this be sent to Director, Higher Education, Punjab.

**NOTE:** The Syndicate had also resolved that a show cause notice be issued to Management and Principal G.T.B. Khalsa College for Women, Dasuya, District Hoshiarpur, to explain as to why action be not initiated against the College, under Regulation 11.1 at page 160 of P.U. Calendar Volume I, 2007.

**(Syndicate dated 15.4/25.4.2013 Para 21)**

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

- I-51.** That the Vice-Chancellor in anticipation of the approval of the Syndicate has approved the following eligibility criteria for admission to M.A. Psychology 2018 as recommended by Board of Control dated 15.12.2017 to be incorporated in the Handbook of Information:

<b>Existing Eligibility</b>	<b>Proposed Eligibility</b>
Passed one of the following examinations from a recognized University: Bachelor's Degree obtaining 45% marks in Psychology or 50% marks in aggregate from a recognized University OR B.A. (Hons.) with Psychology OR B.Sc. (Hons. School) OR Master's degree in any other discipline.	Passed one of the following examinations from a recognized University: Bachelor's Degree obtaining 45% marks in Psychology or 50% marks in aggregate from a recognized University OR B.A. (Hons.) with Psychology.

**(Syndicate dated 30./03/21/29.04.2018 Para 51(viii))**

- I-52.** That the Syndicate has noted additional information pertaining to CMJ University and has resolved that a committee consisting of Shri Ashok Goyal, Shri Prabhjit Singh and Controller of Examinations be requested to visit the Department of Higher and Technical Education, Government of Meghalaya to verify the validity of the degrees in question.

**(Syndicate dated 30./03/21/29.04.2018 Para 52(i))**

**NOTE:** The Senate at its meeting dated 21.1/17.2.2018 (Para III) has resolved that the Dean College Development Council has been requested to personally visit Department of Education and Technical Education of Government of Meghalaya to collect information regarding the validity of Degrees issued by CMJ University and its status.

- I-53.** Pursuant to decision of the Syndicate meeting dated 21.01.2017 (Para 7, 8 & 9), the Committee in its various meetings, has granted temporary extension of affiliation to the following Colleges for certain courses/subjects for the session 2018-2019, as under:

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Date of the meeting of Committee</b>	<b>Name of the College</b>	<b>Name of the Courses/ subjects</b>
1.	18.05.2018	Brahmrishi Yoga Training College, Sector 19, Chandigarh	B.Ed. Yoga 1 <sup>st</sup> year & 2 <sup>nd</sup> year -20 seats
2.	18.05.2018	Guru Hargobind Institution of Law for Women, Distt. Ludhiana (Punjab)	(i) LL.B. 3 years course and (ii) B.A. LL.B. (Hons.) 5 year Integrated course-60 seats
3.	18.05.2018	Government Rehabilitation Institute for Intellectual Disabilities (GRID), Sector-31, Chandigarh	1. B.Ed. Special Education (Mental Retardation)-1 <sup>st</sup> year & 2 <sup>nd</sup> year (30 seats each) & 2. M.Ed. Special Education (Mental Retardation)-1 <sup>st</sup> year & 2 <sup>nd</sup> (10 seats each)



Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

4.	18.05.2018	Govt. Home Science College, Sector-10, Chandigarh	Post Graduate Diploma in Child Guidance & Family Counseling
5.	18.05.2018	R.S.D. College, Ferozpur City-152002 (Punjab)	B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. 1 <sup>st</sup> year course (one unit)
6.	18.05.2018	Dasmesh Girls College Chak Alla Baksh, Mukderian, Distt. Hoshiarpur	(i) B.Sc.-II (Fashion Designing, (ii) B.A.B.Ed.-3 <sup>rd</sup> Year (4 years Integrated course), (iii)B.A.-III (Fashion Designing) (iv) M.A.-II (Punjabi)-60 seats and (v)M.A. II (Political Science)-60 seats, subject to the condition that the college shall appoint one. Assistant Professor in the subject of Fashion Designing by 30.6.2018 and send the authentic proof of the same i.e. joining report and appointment letter etc.
7.	18.05.2018	Post Graduate Govt. College for Girls, Sector-11, Chandigarh	Add-on-course in Event Management (Self-Financing Scheme)
8.	18.05.2018	A.S. College, Khanna, Ludhiana	Starting New Course M.Sc. 1 <sup>st</sup> year (Chemistry)-40 seats
9.	18.05.2018	S.C.D. Govt. College, Distt. Ludhiana (Punjab)	(i) M.Sc. 1 <sup>st</sup> & 2 <sup>nd</sup> year (Physics)-one unit each)(ii) M.Sc. 1 <sup>st</sup> year (Chemistry)-40 seats
10.	18.05.2018	Dev Samaj College of Education, Sector 36-B, Chandigarh	M.Ed. Course-1 <sup>st</sup> Year & 2 <sup>nd</sup> year (one Unit i.e. 50 seats) New Courses: 1 Basic certificate course in yoga Education 2. Advance certificate course in yoga Education & 3. P.G. Diploma in Child Guidance and Family counseling
11.	18.05.2018	A.S. College of Education, Kalal Majra, Khanna-Samrala Road Distt.-Ludhiana (Punjab)	B.Ed. course (2 <sup>nd</sup> Units-100 seats), subject to the condition that the college shall appoint the 8 faculty members as per recommendations of the Inspection Committee by 30.06.2018 and will send the authentic proof regarding the appointment of the faculty i.e. appointment letter and proceedings of the selection committee in respect of appointed teachers.
12.	18.05.2018	D.A.V. College, Sector-10, Chandigarh	1. B.Voc. 3 <sup>rd</sup> year Food Science & Technology 2. Advance Diploma in Medical Lab Technology 3. Diploma in Cosmetology & Beauty Care (2017-2018)
13.	18.05.2018	Govt. College of Education, Sector-20, Chandigarh	New Courses i.e. (1) P.G. Diploma in Guidance and Counseling (2) P.G. Diploma in Education Technology & (3)P.G. Diploma in Life Skills and Education for Human Excellence
14.	18.05.2018	Government Medical College & Hospital, Sector-32, Chandigarh	M.Phil. Clinical Psychology getting the mandatory approval from MCI/INC/NMHAS

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

15.	18.05.2018	D.A.V. College, Sector-10, Chandigarh	1. Diploma in Cosmetology & Beauty Care 2. B.Voc.-III MLT (additional Unit) 3. Advance Diploma in MLT
16.	18.05.2018	Post Graduate Govt. College for Girls, Sector-11, Chandigarh	(i) M.A. (Psychology)-1 <sup>st</sup> Year (New Course) (ii) M.Sc. (IT)-I & II Year (One Unit), (iii) M.Com. (I & II Year)-I Unit, (iv) M.A.- I & II (Fine Arts)- one Unit
17.	18.06.2018	Arya College Civil Lines, Ludhiana (Punjab)	B.A. I (Tax Procedure & Practice)-40 seats (Vocational subject)
18.	18.06.2018	Ramgarhia Girls College, Miller Ganj Ludhiana	B.A. 1 <sup>st</sup> year (Human Rights & Duties)-E 40 students and M.Com. 1 <sup>st</sup> (one unit)
19.	18.06.2018	Khalsa College for Women, Sidhwan Khurd, Ludhiana	M.Sc. Mathematics and Physics
20.	18.06.2018	Mata Gurdev Kaur Memorial Shahi Sports College of Physical Education, Jhankroudi Samrala, Distt. Ludhiana	(i) B.P.Ed. 1 <sup>st</sup> year & 2 <sup>nd</sup> year (100 seats each class) (ii) Post Graduate Diploma in Yoga Therapy. The college shall appoint the Associate Professor in Physical Education.
21.	18.06.2018	Master Tara Singh Memorial College for Women, Old Sabzi Mandi, Ludhiana	B.A.-I (Early childhood care and Education)-Vocational subject (40 students)
22.	18.06.2018	Govind National College, Govind Nagar, Narangwal, Ludhiana	B.Sc. 2 <sup>nd</sup> year (Agriculture). The affiliation for the M.P.Ed 1 <sup>st</sup> & 2 <sup>nd</sup> year (one additional unit) and B.A. 1 <sup>st</sup> & 2 <sup>nd</sup> year (Sociology)-1 unit be granted for the session 2018-19, subject to the condition that the college should also deposit the late fee of Rs. 50,000/- and affiliation fee Rs. 2,000/- for M.P.Ed. course.
23.	18.06.2018	J.C.D.A.V. College Dasuya Distt. Hoshiarpur	(i) B.A.-I, II & III (Gandhian Studies) (ii) M.A.-I & II (History)-60 seats, and (iii) M.A. I & II (Punjabi)-60 seats, subject to the College shall appoint one Assistant Professor in the subject of History on regular basis as per UGC/PU norms.
24.	18.06.2018	S.M.S. Karamjot College for Wome, Miani Distt. Hoshiarpur	(i)M.A.-I & II (History)-60 seats and (ii) M.A.-I & II (Music Vocal)-60 seats. The Principal of the college has been requested to comply with the conditions imposed by the Inspection committee for B.Com. I, II & III (one unit) and B.C.A. I, II & III (one unit) latest by 30.06.2018 enabling the university to proceed further in the matter.
25.	18.06.2018	MCM DAV College for Women, Sector-36, Chandigarh	M.A.-II (Hindi)

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

26.	18.06.2018	MBBGRGC Girls College of Education, Mansowal, Distt. Hoshiarpur	B.Ed. Course-1 <sup>st</sup> and 2 <sup>nd</sup> year( 50 seats for each year)
27.	18.06.2018	G.K.S.M. Govt. Collge Tanda Urmar Distt. Hoshiarpur	(i) B.Sc. (Agriculture-4 years course)-1 <sup>st</sup> 2 <sup>nd</sup> and 3 <sup>rd</sup> year (ii) B.C.A.-II (one unit) and (iii) B.A./B.Sc. I, II & III (Computer Science)-one unit. The temporary extension of affiliation for B.C.A. I (one unit) and PGDCA (one unit) has been withdrawn from the session 2018-2019.
28.	18.06.2018	Post Graduate Govt. College for Girls, Sector-42, Chandigarh	Advance Diploma in Cosmetology (UGC sponsored) and Diploma in Music Vocal & Music instrument (Self-Finance)
29.	18.06.2018	Govt. College, Hoshiarpur	B.Sc. (Agriculture)-4 years integrated course. The Principal of the College has been requested to comply with the conditions imposed by the Inspection committee for B.C.A. I II & III (one unit), and PGDCA (one unit) latest by 30.06.2018 enabling the University to proceed further in the matter.
30.	18.05.2018	Post Graduate Govt. College, Sector-11, Chandigarh	(i) M.Phil.-Physical Education (ii) M.P.Ed. I & II year (iii) M.A. Punjabi I & II year and (iv) BBA-I, II & III (Year)

**NOTE:** A Committee comprising, Professor Navdeep Goyal, Professor Parvinder Singh, DCDC, Professor Mukesh Arora, Principal H.S. Gosal, Principal Gurdip K. Sharma, Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu, Dr. Dalip Kumar and D.R. Colleges (Convener) constituted by the Syndicate at its meeting dated 21.01.2017 (Para 7, 8 & 9) to check the inspection report/s thoroughly and verify their compliance/s and take decision, on behalf of the Syndicate, has granted/not granted affiliation/extension of affiliation to the above colleges.

**XXV.****ZERO HOUR**

1. Professor Mukesh Arora said that all the departments have approved that for evaluation of answer books, a UGC NET qualified teacher should have three years of teaching experience, but this has not been passed by the Mathematics Department. But in the Government Colleges, the teachers, even with 16 years of teaching experience, are not allowed to evaluate the answerbooks. They say that the word "part-time" is written in their experience document. These teachers teach for four periods a day and take sixty thousand salary per month. He requested that the Mathematics teachers may also be allowed to evaluate the answer sheet as has been done by other departments to which the Vice Chancellor said, right.

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

2. Shri Jagdeep Kumar said that one thing he has already said that an undertaking be sought from all the colleges for retiral benefits. A notification had been sent by the University to all the colleges for opening a separate account for retiral benefits because the money is collected for this from the students.

Principal I.S. Sandhu said that he would like to tell the Vice Chancellor for his information that the colleges take Rs.2240/- from each student for this fund.

Continuing, Shri Jagdeep Kumar said that they have already requested 2-3 times on this issue, but no undertaking has been taken from any college so far.

3. Shri Jagdeep Kumar further said that in all the un-aided colleges, no teacher is given the UGC scale whereas the University has given them approvals. The issue was raised earlier also in the Senate by naming the colleges. A University Committee has visited the SDP College, Ludhiana recently, but they are not aware of its report. In that College, there are two teachers who are teaching BBA classes. These teachers have been served a notice that they should be removed from the post by giving three months salary. However, a decision was taken in 1972 that if there are other classes running in the same subject, the surplus teacher/s should be adjusted in that course. So, they should send a resolution from here that if the college has to close the course, both the affected teachers should be adjusted. These teachers fulfil as per the UGC qualification. The action of the college to close the course is also wrong because it could not be closed at once, it should be closed in a phased manner within three years. He informed that these teachers would be relieved either in the month of January or February. So, there is need to take immediate action. The decision of the Senate should be sent to them that both the teachers should be adjusted in other course and they should not be relieved.

The Vice Chancellor said that now zero hour is in progress. They should give their observations and then he (Vice Chancellor) would see as to what has to be done.

Shri Jagdeep Kumar said that whatever they discuss here, no action is taken on it to which the Vice Chancellor said that he would see to it. He further said that the University should take action urgently on this issue, other their teachers would be on the road. If something happened to those teachers, they should sit on dharna in front of the Vice Chancellor's Office.

He further said that in the last meeting he and some other members had requested that the 1925 grant-in-aid posts which were filled, the teachers who were appointed have completed their three years of service. The Vice Chancellor was requested that he should send a copy of the resolution to the Chief Minister, Punjab requesting him to regularise the services of these teachers and they should be given full scale. He wanted to know whether such a resolution has been sent to the Chief Minister, Punjab or not, to which the Vice Chancellor said that they are in process.

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

The Vice Chancellor said that zero hour is running and he is taking the observation of the esteemed members and also noting it.

Shri Harpreet Singh Dua said that in the zero hour of the last meeting, there is zero output and requested that they should take some action this time.

The Vice Chancellor said that he has to go ahead along with all of them.

Shri Ashok Goyal, on a point of order said, please try to listen what he (Shri Harpreet Singh Dua) is saying. What he is saying is that the Vice Chancellor should tell in the next meeting to the House about the action taken on the observations of the members. What action has been taken on the decisions taken in the last meeting as nothing has been told about it? Shri Dua is apprehending that it should not happen this time.

Shri Prabhjit Singh said that the Fellows who are from the Colleges or dealing with the colleges, their concerns are very genuine. The Professors of the University or the Vice Chancellor or the officers of the university could know about the problems of the colleges only when they visit the colleges. He had been given the opportunity to visit 3-4 colleges and also submitted a report. Shocking happenings are taking place in the colleges. On being asked by the Vice Chancellor about the names of such colleges, he said that three such colleges i.e. SDP Colleges, D.B. Jain College and one other, are situated only in Ludhiana and one is at Chowarianwali. In these colleges, the female staff is not being granted maternity leave. Teachers are not permitted to attend the refresher courses. The concept of Punjab Government to give salary of Rs. 21600/- p.m. was only for the aided posts or for grant-in-aid posts. But for other posts, even if the college is situated at Chandigarh which have not shortage of funds, they also give a salary of Rs.21600/- p.m. to the teachers. The Inspection Committees which visit the colleges, they ignore this fact, either it might be due to lack of knowledge or those Committee are working under pressure. He is saying it on oath. When the posts are advertised, they mention full grade there as per the UGC norms. On the basis of that advertisement, they provide them Vice Chancellor's nominee and giving them other selection Committee members, but when it comes for approval, the pay is mentioned as Rs. 21600/- per month. The U.T. Government also pays them Rs. 21600/- per month during the probation period of two years, but no letter from the University is sent to the U.T. colleges. The probation period in Punjab is three years. There is no shortage of funds with the UT Administration. There may be financial crunch for the Punjab Government, but it is for the aided posts, he is time and again saying that there is need to differentiate it. Even the big managements such as SGPC and DAV Management are giving Rs. 21600/- p.m. to the faculty appointed on regular basis as also in the self-financing courses. How they could do it? It should be resolved hundred per cent. Everything is happening due to it.

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

Dr. I.P.S. Sidhu said that he has raised this issue four months back in the Syndicate. Whatever has been stated by Shri Jagdeep Kumar, it is an extension of the same. What Shri Prabhjit Singh has said about the enquiry report of the three colleges, he was also a member of the Committee which visited those colleges. A decision was taken about the three teachers of Aurobindo College of Business Management who were dismissed from the service. He does not know why the officers of the University did not take it seriously. Shri H.S. Dua has also spoken about the colleges. He requested the Vice Chancellor to take the issues relating to the colleges seriously. Otherwise, all the eight representatives would sit on dharna in front of his (Vice Chancellor) office. It is too much. They are the elected representatives and they are answerable to the society, that also to a society of mature teachers and mature voters. Three months have elapsed. They have resolved to serve a notice of disaffiliation to the Aurobindo college. When he sent a mail asking about the status, the office replied that they have not received anything from the Legal Counsel in this regard. Can the Legal Counsel stop the case for three months together? This is quite serious. The Counsel is taking these things seriously. The University does not take the issues relating to the colleges seriously. In the same way, a similar thing has happened in the S.D.P. College. After this very serious things would take place in the Shri Atam Ballabh Jain College, Ludhiana and D.D. Jain College. Then they would not be able to do anything. He re-iterated to take these things seriously, especially which had been decided with regard to the Aurobindo College. The legal opinion be taken in this case at the earliest and a notice of disaffiliation be served on them.

The Vice Chancellor asked the Registrar to update the hon'ble members about the information they are having in respect of the Aurobindo College.

It was informed that some Senate members visited the Aurobindo College and submitted a report. The Aurobindo College had filed a case in the Court. The University submitted the said report to Shri Anupam Gupta, Legal Retainer. Shri Gupta said that this report is not comprehensive one as many aspects have been left out. If this report reached to the Hon'ble Judge, the University would be at a loss and its implication would be on all the affiliated private colleges. On being asked, then what they should do, he said that a Committee be reconstituted. The Vice Chancellor then constituted a Committee which has held three meetings so far. The Committee is doing its work under the guidance of the Legal Retainer and it would submit its report. As regards the action on the decision taken with regard to Aurobindo College, the Court has granted a stay in the case. So they cannot take action for its disaffiliation.

Dr. I.P.S. Sidhu said that three things were submitted in the Court. After the suspension of the teachers, the College Management has gone to the Court. In spite of being the case subjudice, they dismissed the teachers. When the College Management could dismiss the teacher in spite of the being the case sub-judice, they could also serve the notice of disaffiliation to the College. They should also set some mark. Though it is a very big party, but they should not go into that thing.

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

The Vice Chancellor asked the Registrar to make aware about this update to the Legal Retainer.

Dr. I.P.S. Sidhu said that if they have crossed the line, they could also do so.

Shri Jarnail Singh said that this punishment cannot be awarded on minor issues. How can this penalty be imposed on them?

4. Shri Sandeep Singh said that in the last meeting he has asked as to how much amount was spent for repair of rooms of Gorden Jubilee Guest House, but so far he has not received any information about that. Secondly, the room is got booked for a day or two, but they keep the keys with them as if they are owner of the room. He requested to look into this. Secondly, the Rajiv Gandhi College Bhawan has been made with the amount given by the teachers, but the rooms in the College Bhawan are booked for marriage. The teachers are denied room, but 10-15 rooms are booked for marriages. This should be taken care of seriously and the system should be improved.
5. Dr. Raj Kumar Chabbewal (MLA) that he wanted to have an update. The concession in the examination fee of SC students of Punjab was refused. A few days back, the Syndicate has taken a decision in this regard.

To this, Shri Prabhjit Singh told him (Dr. Raj Kumar Chabbewal) that it has been done.

Continuing, Dr. Raj Kumar Chabbewal said that there are various issues of SC students from Punjab State regarding Post-Matric Scholarships, for example, they have to first pay the fee and after that it is deposited in their account. He wanted information on such like issues.

Shri Prabhjit Singh said that it has been done by the Syndicate, but at the same time he would like to request Dr. Raj Kumar Chabbewal ji that Rs.10 Crore are due to Punjab University on account of Post Matric Scholarship. He requested that this amount be got released from the Punjab Government to which Dr. Raj Kumar Chabbewal replied in the affirmative.

Principal Gurdip Kumar Sharma said that the letter issued by the University in this regard, they have perhaps skipped to mention the colleges in that letter. He requested that it should be checked.

6. Dr. Subhash Sharma said that, as a Syndicate member, he would like to bring a very important issue in the knowledge of the Vice Chancellor. He has been a member of the Affiliation Committee. He (Vice Chancellor) being the Executive Head of the University, to maintain the sanctity of the University Act, is his prime responsibility so that it is not violated. The way, in which the DAV Institute of Management was given affiliation by the Affiliation Committee, by setting aside all the rules and regulations, it needs the interference of the Vice Chancellor. He

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

would like to tell the House that this issue was being placed before the Affiliated Committee continuously for five times. There are so many violations in this case. A Committee of the University visited this Institute and gave a negative report. In spite of that, the Affiliation Committee granted time to the Institute to meet the deficiencies, but nothing was done. The Affiliation Committee had refused to the Institute to make admissions and the same was conveyed to the Institute. But in spite of being denied the affiliation by the Affiliation Committee, the admissions were made by giving an advertisement in the newspapers. This was a cruel joke with the University and sanctity of the Affiliation Committee as well as the Syndicate. A representation was made. They (members) should themselves see as to how the facts have been concealed. A Director was appointed. Two officials of DAV Institute gave two different statements. He is bringing a very serious issue to their notice. The Principal has given in writing that they had allowed the Director to join, but the person concerned has resigned and everything is in the record. However, the Director, DAV Institution, has given in writing that the Director has not joined. Not only the facts have been concealed, but intentionally wrong information has been given. Despite this, they again suggested that the Committee be again sent to the College. The Committee of the University again inspected the College and again submitted a negative report stating that whatever shortcomings they had pointed out, have not been met/fulfilled. Even the faculty members of DAV College met the members of the Committee and informed them about the gross violations made by the College. Moreover, the students are also against it. Nevertheless, a meeting of the Affiliation Committee was convened wherein affiliation has been given to the College. The meeting of the Affiliation Committee was convened on an urgent basis, i.e., within 24 hours, so that the remaining members could not attend the meeting. They have told the office that they could not come for the meeting and despite this, the meeting was held. He has high regard for Dr. Satish Sharma as he has been his (Dr. Subhash Sharma) Principal and is a fatherly figure for him. On the one hand, he is the Chairman of the Affiliation Committee, which considered the affiliation case of DAV College, Chandigarh, and on the other hand, he is also a Director of DAV Institution. In accordance with the tradition, he should not have chaired the meeting of the Affiliation Committee while considering the case of DAV College as there is clash of interest. Nonetheless, he (Dr. Satish Sharma) chaired the said meeting of the Affiliation Committee and given affiliation to the College through backdoor, which is none else but a deceit to the teachers and future of students of the Colleges. If he (Vice Chancellor) as the custodian of the University, does not take action against it in accordance with the University Act, he would be compelled to go to the Chancellor. Besides, two of the Hon'ble Members have shown him another way that even the Senators could also sit on dharna. He urged the Vice-Chancellor to settle this issue within a period of 7 days; otherwise, he would be left with no option but to stage a dharna in front of his office. He added that, in fact, they have made a mockery of the University system by opening a shop in the premises of the College.

7. Principal R.S Jhanji stated that he is only talking about the system. One of the students has got a reappear in 4<sup>th</sup> or 5<sup>th</sup> Semester of the course, and the student concerned applied for re-evaluation. Though



Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

his result of re-evaluation is not declared within the stipulated time, he got admission in the higher course at a different Institution. Thereafter, the student concerned sought information under the RTI Act that the copy of the answerbook of which he had applied for re-evaluation be provided to him. After getting the copy of re-evaluated answerbook, the student comes to know that the answers to the questions, on which cross marks have been put, are correct. The student concerned is disappointed for the last six months. When he approached the Officers of the University, they told him that now his work would not be done. Then the student concerned had no option but to appear in the examination under the Golden Chance as he is compelled to do so even though the answers given by him in the examination are correct as he has shown the photocopy of his answerbook to the teachers of Mathematics and the teachers have confirmed that the answers given by him are correct. The student is visiting the University office time and again, but not satisfactory reply is given to him. What are they doing about such students? Now, the student has made a representation, and perhaps email of the same has also been sent. He requested that they should not play with the careers of such students. In fact, they should get the answerbook of the student concerned immediately re-evaluated and it should be done within a stipulated time. Since there are several teachers of Mathematics, who are members of the Senate, the said answerbook of the student could be got evaluated from any one of them.

**The Vice-Chancellor said that the matter would be looked into within a week.**

8. Dr. Jagdish Chander said that he had talked to the Controller of Examinations and nowadays the evaluation is going on. On the one hand, declaration of their results is always late, and on the other hand, they had imposed a restriction of evaluation of only 400 answerbooks. He requested that the restriction should be done away with and the teachers should be allowed to evaluation more than 400 answerbooks.

**The Vice-Chancellor said that the matter would be looked into.**

9. Dr. Gurmeet Singh stated that first of all, he would like to thank the Vice-Chancellor for giving so much importance to the Zero Hour. Even though the members are trying to go, the Vice-Chancellor is not allowing them to do so. He suggested that as there is a provision for Question Hour in every good House, if the Vice-Chancellor wished, in future, Question Hour should be introduced, so the members could ask questions, which could be replied by the Vice-Chancellor, and discussion on them could also take place. If this practice was in place earlier, the same should be re-introduced. Secondly, the Hon'ble Member of Legislative Assembly has also given a suggestion relating to Punjab and he had also said earlier, and he is not saying that nothing has been done. Though action has been taken on certain things, he would like to reiterate on certain things, which are related to this. In fact, he had suggested last time that at least two Governments, i.e., Himachal Pradesh and Haryana Governments should write letter that Punjab Government awards Post-Matric Scholarship to the Scheduled Caste students under which they are getting education at Panjab University almost free. He suggested that University must write a letter to these

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

Governments and he is sure that they would positively get response from the Governments and with this the students of those States belonging to Scheduled Caste categories would also be benefited.

10. Dr. Dayal Partap Singh Randhawa stated that he would like to state on the subject of academic freedom. They usually use this for external pressure, but he would like to use this for internal pressure. This relates to a meeting held in the last week and an office order has been issued on 13.12.2018 about the decision of the Syndicate meeting. It is about the Monitoring Committee by the Vice-Chancellor which would oversee the functioning of the offices of Controller of Examinations and Dean Research and give suggestions for innovation, research, revamp of examination system, etc. The purpose of his raising this issue is that he would like to know under which regulation/rule/provision, the Monitoring Committee has been appointed. If the Monitoring Committee has been constituted to strengthen or develop these offices, why the persons, who are heading these Departments, have not been made part of the said Committee. A message should not be given as if somebody has been appointed by the Vice-Chancellor to monitor the working of certain Officers. Since the examination system is a crucial one, even if a single mistake occurred, they would be in a deep trouble. He requested the Vice-Chancellor to rethink over this issue.
11. Shri Deepak Kaushik stated that he would like to invite the attention of the House that they have changed the system of appointing persons on daily wage basis from the temporary establishment. Earlier, Panjab University used to appoint persons on daily wage basis from temporary establishment directly for a period of three months, and thereafter, the person(s) concerned were relieved. Then they introduced the practice of not to relieving such persons and they were allowed to continue as such; otherwise, the system of relieving the daily wage employees after every three months was continuing since long. However, from the last two-three sessions, the tender system has been adopted. Nowadays marking of answerbooks is under process and the Multi-Task Staff, which has been appointed, includes Bouncers, who are criminals. They told the Superintendents in his presence that they would not sit in the office after 5.00 p.m. under any circumstances. When he asked them as to why they would not sit in the office after 5.00 p.m., they told that they worked as Bouncers. If the University continued to get the work of examination done by appointing the Bouncers, who would protect the Officers of the University, who reside outside the Campus. Meaning thereby, they are afraid of these Bouncers. He urged the Vice-Chancellor to change this system and revert to back to the previous system of directly appointing the persons on daily wage basis for a period of three months.
12. Principal I.S. Sandhu stated that through him (Vice Chancellor) he would like to bring it to the notice of the House that Dr. Subhash Sharma has pointed out that affiliation has been granted to a College at an inappropriate time, Shri Prabhjit Singh has said that salary is not being paid to the teachers and permanent teachers have been appointed at monthly salary of Rs.10,000/- p.m. , and Shri Jagdeep Kumar has pointed out that retiral benefits are not being given to the teachers in the affiliated Colleges, especially privately managed Colleges. Out of them, two Colleges are those, which had made a complaint against him. For

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

their kind information, he would like to tell them that he has been members of the Senate for the last about 10-12 years. He urged the Vice-Chancellor to listen to him as only he knows under what circumstances/situation he is undergoing. He is senior to majority of his Fellow colleagues and he had been coming to the Senate as representative of the teachers by obtaining maximum votes. His class has given him respect and he had an image in the society. Besides, only at the age of 43 years he was given an opportunity to lead as he was appointed President of Punjab & Chandigarh College Teachers' Union, especially when there were hundreds of teachers in each College. He joined as Principal on 1<sup>st</sup> June 2016 and contested election from the Principal's Constituency within a period of two years and his colleagues were of the view that since he has been fighting with the Principals for the rights of the College teachers, who would vote for him. Still he got maximum votes 15 out of 16 as one vote was declared invalid, which is a record. The Principals have elected him to the Senate. Though he would not say anything about those two Principals, so many shops are functioning in the jurisdiction of Panjab University, which on record that. Those colleagues have made a complaint against him to the Vice Chancellor as well as to the Chancellor and they have tried to tarnish his image. They have levelled critical charges against him so that his image is spoiled in the society. He urged the House to get investigation completed at the earliest even if he is guilty so that he get out of this mental harassment. He has full faith in this House and that was why, he has never approached any members of the Syndicate, Senate or the high ups of the University. He has not even sought the copy of the complaint so far. He reiterated that he has full faith in this House and his only wish is that the enquiry should be completed earliest to earliest. The complaint is of 24<sup>th</sup> August 2018 and about 6 months have already elapsed. They could be well aware under what circumstances he is passing through and this either he knew or his family. He is related to those families, on whose name oaths are taken. His only request is that the investigation should be completed at the earliest possible. As told by Dr. Subhash Sharma, he is astonished that an affiliation has been granted, which could not be granted under any circumstances. However, his friends have granted the affiliation on an urgent basis just a couple of days before the start of the semester examinations. This is what happening in the University. The day he is proved guilty, he would not come to attend the meeting. In fact, he should not have come to attend the meeting of the Senate as he is not the one, who wants to remain in the system forcibly. In fact, he has been forcibly sent to attend the meeting of the Senate by the people, who have elected him. He did not wish to remain in the system as he belongs to a well-settled family and he does not need this. He is making the request again and again that the investigation should be completed earliest to earliest. The day he is proved to be guilty, he would not only resign from the Senate from that day, but also from his job. He, therefore, requested that the investigation should not be lingered on under any circumstances, and whichever Committee has been constituted, should be asked to complete the investigation and submit the report so that the decision is taken at the earliest whether it is in his favour or against and he would gladly accept the decision.

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

The Vice Chancellor said that major work has been done and he would place the same before the Syndicate.

Shri Prabhjit Singh stated that the Vice Chancellor had sent a Committee under the Chairmanship of Principal R.S. Jhanji (comprising senior persons) to the College, which has been referred to by Principal I.S. Sandhu, and he had played the recording the information of the members in the meeting of the Syndicate, wherein the management of the College had admitted in front of the camera that the College had 40% non-attending students.

Dr. K.K. Sharma requested the Vice Chancellor to ask the members of the Enquiry Committee to conduct the enquiry/investigation honestly and fairly, and thereafter, if he (Principal I.S. Sandhu) proved to be innocent, strict action should be taken against the persons, who have/Colleges, which have made the complaint against him should be disaffiliated.

At this stage, a din prevailed as several members started speaking together.

13. Shri Raghbir Dyal stated that in the year 2016, for facilitating the candidates, they had created Examination Centres at P.U. Regional Centres for Entrance Tests (CET), which are conducted for admission to postgraduate courses, so that the candidates and their parents do not have to come to Chandigarh for appearing in the Entrance Test, have to incur less to less expenditure, and have not any pressure of reservation of rooms, etc. For the last two years, the above-said experiment has proved to be successful. He suggested that the other papers – whether they are of CET Undergraduate or Law Entrance or any other Entrance Tests, the Centre for those Entrance Tests should also be created at the Regional Centres. According to his personal opinion, Dr. Rajesh Mishra, who is working as Director in the Panjab University Regional Centre, Kauni, is working very efficiently. He has emphasised on cleanliness, discipline and academics and he is doing a wonderful job. He does not know on what reasons he had been attacked, but he condemned the said attack. He requested that the enquiry of the said attack should be completed at the earliest. He also requested that the charge of the new building, which is being constructed, should be given to him so that he is able to expedite the movement of files.

The Vice Chancellor said, “Okay”.

14. Shri Harpreet Singh Dua stated that the most important issue related to advertisement of posts of teachers and Principals of the Colleges. Earlier, the University did not obtain the copy of the news clippings relating to the post(s) advertised by the College, and the Colleges used to seek the panel by quoting fake circular/advertisement. Obviously, the Selection Committee did not find any candidate for the advertised post(s). To overcome the difficulty, the University started obtaining the copy of the advertisement given in the newspapers from the Colleges. However, the Colleges have not started a new practice of giving advertisement in the local editions instead of specified National dailies, and that too, in those local editions, which has very less circulation. Resultantly, the Selection Committee meets, they still do not find

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

applicant(s) for the advertised post(s). Since both the Vice Chancellor and the Dean, College Development Council, are involved in the whole process, he requested them to see and ensure that advertisement of the post(s) must be in the National Daily.

The Vice Chancellor said, "Okay".

15. Shri Harpreet Singh Dua further stated that the checking of papers in itself is a big task. What is happening is same persons are going to same places again and again since long. There are certain subjects, whose Paper-Setters/Examiners are genuinely very less. The problem which they are facing is that the Secrecy Branch does not send the papers in accordance with the number of evaluators available in that particular city/area. In fact, they send thousands of answerbooks to an Evaluation Centre where only a few number of evaluators (in that particular medium) is available. Resultantly, though the answerbooks could not be taken out of the Evaluation Centre, the persons who are getting the evaluation done, have now starting supplying the answerbooks in big bags at the homes of the evaluators.

The Vice Chancellor requested Shri Harpreet Singh Dua to come to the next issue, to which Shri Dua said, is it not an issue?

Shri Raghbir Dyal said that, in fact, it is very serious issue.

Shri Harpreet Singh Dua stated that when the Vice Chancellor reacts, whatever might happen to them, but the image of the University would be tarnished. He has ignored to mention the names of the persons as well as of the Colleges, but he would like to know whether the Principal of the College, where the evaluation is being done, has been appointed Coordinator and payment is being made to him/her. Have they ever bothered to check as to how many answerbooks had been sent for evaluation, how many evaluators HAD come for evaluation and how many answerbooks had been evaluated by them on that particular day? Have they ever seen during the last 15 years that though the number of evaluators was 10, they had sent 50,000 answerbooks? Who would check those answerbooks? They raised the issues only to ensure that the persons/Committee, which is looking into this job, should take care of this matter. Whichever Colleges they had visited, the teaching and non-teaching staff of those Colleges have requested them to go back as they would not make any statement to the persons deputed by Panjab University. They (people outside) termed Panjab University as a bogus University, which is not able to do anything. They told them that the Chancellor of the University had sought comments and they have been deputed by the Syndicate, still they did not respond. Even if the University had informed them that the Chancellor had sought the comments, nonetheless they had issued notice to two teachers of the College. What does it mean? If they proceed hurriedly, they would finish the agenda at the earliest, but what would they achieve? At least, they should be provided a forum, where they could lodge their complaint(s).

16. Shri Harpreet Singh Dua suggested that a Committee should be formed to ensure that the Principals of the Colleges of Education might not shift to the degrees as their selection criteria are different. At the moment, majority of the Principals of Colleges of Education are shifting

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

to degree Colleges. Even their election to the Senate is entirely different. Whosoever has shifted, has shifted, but in future none should be allowed to do so. However, a Committee should be appointed to define as to how it would be done in future.

Shri Deepak Kaushik said that Shri Harpreet Singh Dua has pointed out that the number of answerbooks sent to the Evaluation Centres is not in accordance with the number of Evaluators available, but he would like to clarify that this year, the answerbooks have been sent to the Evaluation Centres in accordance with the availability of Evaluators.

17. Professor Shelley Walia stated that he would like to draw the attention of the House towards three major issues connected with Panjab University, and one of them is – there are 19 Professorships and Chairs in the University. Professor Navdeep Goyal and he himself (Professor Shelley Walia) were the members of the Committee and they had distributed the Professorships and Chairs faculty members on the basis of seniority. Are those merely the gifts or have any purpose? In fact, by the distributing the Professorships and Chairs, they had demoted the Chairs and Professorships and these have just been given to the seniors and the seniors have put these titles before their names. In one of the meetings of the Committee decided that besides these Chairs, those who have been given the Chairs, the persons concerned must give an open lecture within first 2-3 months as being done in other Universities. He had also written a letter to him (Vice Chancellor) in this regard. Had they have learnt that a person has been offered the Chair, and the person sit idle after taking the Chair? In the end, the holder of the Chair/Professorship must give a Seminar, etc. Secondly, the M.Phil. students write dissertation in the 2<sup>nd</sup> Semester, which goes to the External Examiner, but the report did not come during the year or more. When the report did not come for a year, the student concerned has no option, but to wait and during that period he/she did not get membership of A.C. Joshi Library. He urged that such M.Phil. students of this University should be allowed to provisionally enrol/register for Ph.D. if their proposed supervisors are recommending that they are good students, so that they could get the membership of A.C. Joshi Library and their one precious year is not get wasted. The third issue which he would like to raise is related to the residents of the Campus. In fact, in this matter the Registrar and others, including the security personnel, have cooperated him a lot, but they could not succeed. Recently, the High Court has passed orders that the music system played during the marriage ceremonies should not disturb the people residing in the nearby places, especially the students, teachers, who prepare lectures for the next day, researchers and the old people. Even the Hon'ble Supreme Court has given a ruling that the volume of the music should be less than the fixed/permisible decibel. He requested the Vice Chancellor to issue an ordinance that holding of marriage ceremonies in the residential areas are prohibited and the same should be held in the Community Centre, which has been constructed for such purposes. Even if a Professor has to hold the marriage ceremony of his son/daughter, he/she should also arrange the same in the Community Centre.

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

The Vice Chancellor said that a Committee has been constituted to look into this matter and the Committee is meeting day after tomorrow.

Professor Shelley Walia requested the Vice Chancellor to make him a member of the said Committee.

To this, the Vice Chancellor requested Professor Shelley Walia to give his input in writing to the Committee as every person could not be made a member of the Committee.

18. Dr. Sarabjit Kaur pointed out that Refresher and Orientation Courses are being organized by the Academic Staff College for the Education Faculty separately, as they are always clubbed with the teachers of Social Sciences, which did not benefit much to the Faculty of Education. She requested that HRD should be requested to arrange a separate Refresher/Orientation Course for the Faculty of Education. Moreover, their teachers faced a lot of problems in getting accommodation at the Campus while attending Refresher/Orientation courses. She, therefore, requested that their teachers should be provided accommodation for the duration of the course.
19. Ms. Anu Chatrath stated that few days a matter was before the High Court and it had come to her notice that a candidate had 10+2 and thereafter, a two-year course, but M.A. degree has been awarded to him the concerned Institute. The Equivalence Certificate issued by Panjab University was produced in the High Court that M.A. degree of that Institute is equivalent to M.A. degree of Panjab University. The question before the Court was that the candidate had done a two-year diploma after 10+2 and the candidate had applied for the post of Punjab Civil Services (PCS). The requisite qualification for the post of PCS under the statutory rules is that the candidate must be a graduate. The said matter is still pending in the Court. However, it is a serious matter that they had just seen the nomenclature of the course and issued the Equivalence Certificate. In fact, they should have seen and ensured whether the candidate concerned fulfils the basic qualification which is necessary for taking admission to M.A. course in this University. She would also hand over the documents, which have been produced in the Court, to the Controller of Examinations. At least in future, they should rectify this.

The Vice Chancellor said that the matters relating to equivalence are taken care of by AIU, where an Equivalence Committee is appointed for the purpose. In Panjab University also, there must also be an Equivalence Committee.

Ms. Anu Chatrath stated that, in fact, the Panjab University has published a list of equivalence of qualifications. The Equivalence Certificate has been issued by the Panjab University has been produced in the High Court stating that the M.A. degree obtained by the candidate, and the same is equivalent to M.A. (History or Hindi) of Panjab University, and it is presumed that the candidate concerned has done graduation. On the basis of that M.A. degree, which had been done for a period of two years after 10+2, the Panjab University has given him/her admission to M.A. course.

**The Vice Chancellor said that the matter would be looked into.**

20. Dr. Dalip Kumar said that, in the morning, the Vice Chancellor in his statement had said that when he visited the Secrecy Branch of the University, it looked as if it a Factory.

The Vice Chancellor said that the staff working in the Secrecy Branch toil hard.

Dr. Dalip Kumar said that, in fact, it is not a Factory, but a fireball as about 20 lacs answerbooks have been kept there. What would happen there, nobody knows. He requested the Vice Chancellor to arrange a visit of the new Syndicate to the Secrecy Branch.

21. Dr. Dalip Kumar said that a lot of discussions have taken place with regard to Affiliation Committee. As per the norms, the University receives applications from the Colleges up to 1<sup>st</sup> November. At the most, the Colleges could submit their applications for affiliation/extension of affiliation up to 30<sup>th</sup> November with the late fee of Rs.25,000/-. That meant, they have already received the applications for affiliation/extension of affiliation and about one and a half months have already passed. They would be astonished to know that the last meeting of the Affiliation Committee to consider affiliation for the session 2018-19 was held on 1<sup>st</sup> December 2018. What is involved in it? In fact, affiliation is a very big issue. Nobody (No College) put asterisks in the Prospectus that such and such courses are available with it. Perhaps, this time media gave so much coverage to the issue of affiliation, which had never happened before either it was Government Colleges or privately managed Colleges. He urged the Vice Chancellor to direct the new Dean, College Development Council to prepare an abstract and that abstract/summary report should be provided to the Affiliation Committees and the entire process should be bound up by the 31<sup>st</sup> March. What benefit would they get with this is that when the Committee would go on the request of the College to fill up three posts, and if the Committee imposed the condition of filling up three posts in the month of November, when would the College do so? Since the entire system is deteriorating, it is necessary to strengthen the affiliation system.

22. Dr. Dalip Kumar said that Dr. Dayal Partap Singh Randhawa has also spoke regarding the orders issued by his (Vice Chancellor's) office on 13<sup>th</sup> December. He urged the Vice Chancellor to review all the monitoring mechanisms as there is no need for such mechanisms.

23. Ms. Surinder Kaur, agreeing with the viewpoints expressed by Principal I.S. Sandhu, said that the case which is filed against Principal I.S. Sandhu, should be investigated and settled at the earliest.

24. Ms. Surinder Kaur said that the restriction, which has been imposed on the evaluation of maximum number of answerbooks (400) by an Evaluator, should be removed. She also agreed with the viewpoint expressed by Shri Harpreet Singh Dua that since for majority of the papers, the teachers are not available, the restriction of 400 answerbooks



Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

should not be imposed owing to which the results might be delayed and the students would suffer.

25. Professor Chaman Lal stated that with a great disappointment he would like to say that when he came to the Senate for the first time about two years ago, he had given certain suggestions, which were appreciated/welcomed by majority of the members. At that, it was suggested that all these should immediately be implemented. One of the issues on which he had spoken was that during the partition riots, the Registrar Examinations of this University was assassinated. He was, in fact, assassinated in Lahore when he had gone there for the University work. Along with him, Professor Brij Narayan, who was a renowned economist, was also murdered in his office. A fellow of Shaheed Bhagat Singh, who was also sentenced in the Lahore conspiracy, namely Mr. Prem Dutt ji had also been teaching in the Department of History and later on he got settled in America. In fact, at that time he had spoken on three issues related to partition and freedom struggle. It was their moral duty to name one of the buildings of the University in the name of Madan Gopal ji, especially the Examination Building as none else than Madan Gopal ji is suitable for the same. There was another Research Scholar (Gurcharan Singh Brar), who had recently died, had written a book "Roots of Panjab University and Its Sports Archives (1882-1982)". Even his family got frustrated as so far none of the buildings in the University has been named after him though he had suggested in December 2016.

The Vice Chancellor enquired was Shri Madan Gopal ji residing in Chandigarh.

Professor Chaman Lal stated that Shri Madan Gopal ji resided in Lahore. In the year 1947, it was decided that the examinations of April 1948 would be conducted by the Punjab University, Lahore and Panjab University (India) and he (Shri Madan Gopal ji) had gone there for that purpose and he was assassinated there owing to which the shifting of this University was advanced; otherwise, the University was not to be shifted/created at that time. As such, this was created in emergency, and the examinations, which were to be conducted jointly, had to be conducted separately. So far as Professor Brij Narayan is concerned, a lecture in his memory is organized in the Department of Economics for which usually Dr. Manmohan Singh is invited, but neither the same is organized on regular basis nor his portrait has been fixed nor any record relating to him, is there. He had also suggested that since Prem Dutt ji had taught in the Department of History, memorial lecture in his memory should be started and his portrait also fixed there as he was a companion of Shaheed Bhagat Singh and he was sentenced for Lahore Conspiracy. In fact, they should feel proud in respecting such persons as they have taught in this University, but they are not bothered about this. They are least concerned with the freedom fighters. Thereafter, he had suggested that a gallery of eminent persons should be made by the University. The persons like Hargobind Khurana, who had got Nobel Prize, belonged to Panjab University, but they did not know much about him.

The Vice Chancellor said that it is a good idea.

Senate Proceedings dated 15<sup>th</sup> December, 2018

Professor Chaman Lal suggested that the gallery of eminent persons should immediately be made. He has recently read in the newspapers that a museum is being prepared by the University, but a gallery of eminent persons must be there in the Panjab University for which they would easily get 100 or more portraits. Even the historian like Romila Thapar is the product of this University. Balraj Sahni is also the product of this University. So many internationally known people were part of this University.

26. Professor Mukesh Arora said that, since morning, he is watching the Registrar is smiling. He suggested that such good persons should be appointed at different positions which are lying vacant. However, Monitoring Committee should not be appointed to oversee his functioning.

Ms. Anu Chatrath said that Monitoring Committee should not be appointed to oversee the functioning of any of the Officers.

Professor Ronki Ram said that, as told by Professor Chaman Lal ji, when Panjab University was shifted from Lahore, it was firstly stationed at Government College, Hoshiarpur, thereafter at Solan. Certain such Colleges had remained affiliated to Panjab University, the role of which was not only in the education sector, but they were also at the forefront in the freedom movement. Now, it is a golden opportunity for him (Vice Chancellor), as he had come from Banaras Hindu University, which is also a renowned University, to reward these Colleges, where the people have contributed for each brick. There are several Colleges, including, SGGGS Khalsa College, Mahilpur, and if he see the buildings of these Colleges, he (Vice Chancellor) would himself know as to the people have contributed. Professor R.P. Bambah, who is present in the House, and Dr. Manmohan Singh had taught at Government College, Hoshiarpur. Professor Ronki Ram suggested that the Vice Chancellor should prepare a proposal to send the same to the UGC for the revival of those Colleges which are in dilapidated condition. They should see what role those colleges have played for the University, it would be a yeoman's service for them.

27. Professor Parveen Goyal appreciated the efforts of the Vice Chancellor in solving the problem regarding Ph.D. increments which was pending since the last nine year. He further requested that the CAS promotion policy for Dr. H.S.J. Institute of Dental Sciences is hanging fire for the last last twelve years which should be expedited. Due to lack of promotion policy research is also suffering to which the Vice Chancellor said that he got his point and he would look into it.

The Vice Chancellor thanked the members and said that the observations given by them are really par excellence. As an Executive Head of this University, he would go through all the observations, and if there is a need of any idea, he would come back to them in the next meeting.

( Karamjeet Singh )  
Registrar

CONFIRMED

( RAJ KUMAR )  
VICE-CHANCELLOR