

PANJAB UNIVERSITY, CHANDIGARH

Minutes of the meeting of the Syndicate held on **13th July, 2020 at 11.00 a.m.** in the Senate Hall, Panjab University, Chandigarh.

PRESENT

1. Professor Raj Kumar ... (in the Chair)
Vice Chancellor
2. Ms. Anu Chatrath
3. Shri Ashok Goyal
4. Dr. Dayal Partap Singh Randhawa alias Dayal Partap Singh
5. Professor Emanuel Nahar
6. Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua
7. Principal (Dr.) Iqbal Singh Sandhu
8. Shri Jarnail Singh
9. Professor Keshav Malhotra
10. Professor Navdeep Goyal
11. Professor Rajinder Bhandari
12. Dr. Rabinder Nath Sharma alias Rabinder Nath
13. Principal (Dr.) R.S. Jhanji
14. Principal (Dr.) Sarabjit Kaur
15. Dr. Satish Kumar
16. Ms. Surinder Kaur
17. Professor Karamjeet Singh ... (Secretary)
Registrar

Director, Higher Education, Punjab, and Director, Higher Education, U.T., Chandigarh, could not attend the meeting.

At the outset, the Vice Chancellor wished good morning to each one of the esteemed members of the August House and welcomed them to the meeting. He also extended his good wishes for the Sravan month and wished that everything goes well with the blessings of Baba Vishvanath, as at the moment, they are passing through a very difficult period.

Condolence Resolution

The Vice-Chancellor said, "It is painful to share with you all that in the recent days, army personnel lost their lives in the stand-off with the Chinese army in Ladakh area. Further, Shri Kehar Singh, Ex-Fellow, expired on 23.06.2020.

In the memory of the martyred and Shri Kehar Singh, the Syndicate expressed its sorrow and grief and observed two minutes silence, all standing, to pay homage to the departed souls.

RESOLVED: That a copy of the above Resolution be sent to the members of the bereaved families.

Vice-Chancellor's Statement

1. The Vice-Chancellor said, "I am happy to inform the Hon'ble members of the Syndicate that -

"(i) Two research scholars of UIPS, Tinu Sharma & Ranjot Kaur pursuing their research under Professor B.S. Bhoop & Professor O.P. Katare have been awarded the Young Scientist Award. Shri Joga Singh, Research Scholar and Professor

Proceedings of Syndicate meeting dated 13th July, 2020

Indu Pal Kaur, University Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, were felicitated for winning the Tynor Leadership Award for Innovation 2020;

- (ii) Professor Chaman Lal, Fellow and Professor Nahar Singh (Retired Professor of Punjabi Department) have been nominated by the Punjab Government on the State Advisory Board for the selection of Punjabi Sahitya Ratna and Shiromani Awards;
- (iii) Dr. K.K. Sharma, Fellow & Dr. Ram Mehar, University School of Open Learning, have been nominated as members of the Academic Council of HPU by the Governor of HP and Chancellor of the HPU;
- (iv) Dr. D.K. Dhawan, Department of Biophysics, has got the UGC Faculty Scholarship Grant;
- (v) Professor R.C. Sobti, Former Vice Chancellor of our university, has been invited to be part of the Advisory Board of an international journal Molecular and Cellular Biochemistry. for Chemical Biology in Health & Disease;
- (vi) Dr. Parvinder Singh, Controller of Examinations, has been nominated as life time member of Teaching & Education Research Association (TERA);
- (vii) Professor Nishtha Jaswal (Former Chairperson, Department of Laws), who is presently serving as Vice Chancellor of Rajiv Gandhi National Law University, Shimla, has got the International Extraordinary Women Award 2020 from the International Women Club Switzerland Chapter.”

Shifted from General Discussion

Professor Navdeep Goyal, referring to the Action Taken Report of the previous meeting, said that there is a minor modification in Item 7, and the modification has been suggested by him, which should be incorporated in the final minutes, and only then the minutes of Syndicate meeting dated 8.03.2020 be finalized. This line should be added in the resolved part.

RESOLVED: That –

1. felicitation of the Syndicate be conveyed to –
 - (i) Tinu Sharma & Ranjot Kaur (Research Scholars of University Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences) pursuing research under Professor B.S. Bhoop & Professor O.P. Katare, on having been awarded the Young Scientist Award;
 - (ii) Professor Chaman Lal, Fellow and Professor Nahar Singh (Retired Professor of Punjabi Department) on having been nominated by the Punjab Government on the State Advisory Board for the selection of Punjabi Sahitya Ratna and Shiromani Awards;
 - (iii) Dr. K.K. Sharma, Fellow & Dr. Ram Mehar, University School of Open Learning, on having been nominated as members of the Academic Council of HPU by the Governor of HP and Chancellor of the HPU;
 - (iv) Dr. D.K. Dhawan, Department of Biophysics, on getting the UGC Faculty Scholarship Grant;

- (v) Professor R.C. Sobti, Former Vice Chancellor of our university, on having been invited to be part of the Advisory Board of an international journal Molecular and Cellular Biochemistry. for Chemical Biology in Health & Disease;
- (vi) Dr. Parvinder Singh, Controller of Examinations, on having been nominated as life-time member of Teaching & Education Research Association (TERA); and
- (vii) Professor Nishtha Jaswal (Former Chairperson, Department of Laws)), who is presently serving as Vice Chancellor of Rajiv Gandhi National Law University, Shimla, on getting the International Extraordinary Women Award 2020 from the International Women Club Switzerland Chapter.

2. the Action Taken Report on the decisions of the Syndicate meeting dated 08.03.2020, as per **Appendix-I**, be noted along with the observation made by Professor Navdeep Goyal relating to Action Taken Report on Item 7 of the said meeting.

At this stage, Mrs. Anu Chatrath said that he (Vice Chancellor) must be remembering that in the last to last Syndicate meeting, they had requested and authorized him (Vice Chancellor) to finalize the next Academic Calendar. She believed that as a responsible representative of Society and residents of Chandigarh and as an important body of this University, they are giving impression to all the residents that they are not caring for their students, teachers and non-teaching staff members, because at present, they are passing through an unfortunate phase of their life.

The Vice Chancellor asked, "Is she speaking on the agenda item"?

Ms. Anu Chatrath said that the agenda items will be taken up at the end. First of all, they have to discuss certain important issues because they are meeting at a very crucial time, when they have to take certain important decisions.

The Vice Chancellor said that he has got her point.

Ms. Anu Chatrath requested all the members to agree with her and take up the agenda items later on.

The Vice Chancellor said that they should not discuss anything which is not there on the agenda. It would be difficult for the members to understand the discussion and arrive at a logical conclusion. He further said that he understands the concern of the members of the Syndicate on the issue. He would bring an agenda item to deliberate the issue and ample time would be given to discuss the issue. He is equally concerned and sensitive on the academic issue relating to the University.

Shri Jarnail Singh said that they are also equally concerned and responsible for the society.

Ms. Anu Chatrath endorsed the viewpoint expressed by Shri Jarnail Singh. She further said that she had given a call to him (Vice Chancellor) regarding the issue and she was assured that a decision in this regard would be taken within 2-3 days. Though 15 days' time has passed, but no decision has been conveyed as yet. They have to meet students, Society and stakeholders outside, where they have to answer many issues relating to admission, examination, evaluation, etc. In this regard the Delhi, Punjab

Proceedings of Syndicate meeting dated 13th July, 2020

and Haryana Government had taken the decision why not Panjab University also take decision on the issue. Due to laxity of the decision the students of this University are moving towards depression. They should give sympathetic consideration to the students' grievances and adopt parental approach rather than an authoritative approach. She requested all the members to first discuss this issue before taking up any agenda.

The Vice Chancellor said that he would give sufficient time to address the issue, but right now they should take up the agenda of the meeting.

Continuing, Ms. Anu Chatrath said that they should not ignore this as it is a very important issue and should discuss it right now.

The Vice Chancellor said that he is not undermining the importance of this issue. He would give sufficient time to address the issue.

Ms. Anu Chatrath said that she had telephonically reminded him (Vice Chancellor) 15 days back. The faculty of University Institute of Legal Studies had sent the minutes of the meeting for taking the decision on the matter. At present, neither the students nor they are able to give clarification whether they would be losing one year or they would be having exam or they would not be having exam. What type of University authority are they at present? She requested the Hon'ble members to take up the issue first before doing the discussion on the agenda.

The Vice Chancellor said that, as he had already said, he would address the issue by giving sufficient time after the agenda.

Shri Jarnail Singh requested the Vice Chancellor to consider the sentiments of the House because this is a very important issue and needed attention. He said that there is no harm in taking up this issue right now.

Continuing, Ms. Anu Chatrath said that no action has been taken on the general discussion held in the previous meeting of the Syndicate.

The Vice Chancellor said that action would be there, but she should try to listen.

Dr. Dayal Partap Singh Randhawa said that he (Vice Chancellor) should present the status report.

Ms. Anu Chatrath said that they received between 100 and 200 calls from the parents of the students.

The Vice Chancellor said that he is once again telling that they are taking up the agenda items and after the agenda, he would give sufficient time to this issue because they have to take a decision on this issue soon and make the same public.

At this stage, a din prevailed as several members started speaking together.

Shri Jarnail Singh said that he put forward a proposal to the House that the issue raised by Ms. Anu Chatrath be taken first and thereafter, they should take up the agenda. This is his proposal before the Hon'ble members of the House.

Ms. Anu Chatrath seconded the proposal made by Shri Jarnail Singh.

At this stage, a din prevailed as several members started speaking together.

Professor Rajinder Bhandari requested the Vice Chancellor to give input, if any, to the House. No issue could be discussed in such a manner.

Principal R.S. Jhanji requested the members to give at least 10 minutes to the Vice Chancellor to think over the issue.

To this, Dr. Dayal Partap Singh Randhawa said that he should be told as to when the last meeting of the Syndicate was held. In fact, the last meeting of the Syndicate was held on 30th May 2020 and they are meeting again after almost one and half months. Their foremost task as teachers is to teach the students and talk about their assignment, examinations and evaluation. When Principal R.S. Jhanji said that 10 minutes' time should be given to the Vice Chancellor to think, Dr. Randhawa said that already one and half months' time was given. They would give 10 minutes' more time, but he (Principal Jhanji) should give assurance that they would arrive at a decision.

Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua said that the issue, which has been raised by Ms. Anu Chatrath, is such an important that even the agenda items are less important to this.

The Vice Chancellor requested Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua to sit down as the issue is now open for discussion.

Professor Rajinder Bhandari requested the Vice Chancellor to tell as to on which issue they should speak. What is the input? What item has been brought? He requested the members to give at least 15 minutes' time to give the input. Where are they saying that the issue should not be discussed?

Shri Ashok Goyal stated that not restraining himself only to the issues raised by Ms. Anu Chatrath, he thought that everybody would appreciate that unless and until they discussed all the decisions taken in the earlier meeting of the Syndicate are concerned and the Vice Chancellor is capable of throwing the light as to what has been done on the decisions taken earlier, the purpose of holding the meetings of the Syndicate, the purpose of discussing any agenda item, is completely lost. He thought that keeping in mind the sentiments of the member of the House, the Vice Chancellor in the name of agenda should not shy away from discussing the matter, which has already been considered by the Syndicate, which had already been placed before the Syndicate as an agenda item or during discussion it has taken place, Ms. Chatrath has reminded him (Vice Chancellor) that the Vice chancellor was authorised to take a decision, and she called him (Vice Chancellor) 15 days before and he assured that he would do the needful within 2-3 days. Now he would like to add that 2 or 3 of them had met the Vice Chancellor about a month back and at that time also they had expressed their concern about the uneasy conditions prevailing amongst the students, their parents and society in general. They must act as responsible University and they also must act as responsible Syndicate and Senate, but he is sorry to point out that in spite of having been raised those concerns, nothing has been done so far except that more confusion had been created because of the announcement made by the Government of Punjab first and unfortunately he would like to place on record that there is an official statement, on behalf of Panjab University, that they would weight only for the UGC, conveying indirectly as if they do not bother about what Punjab Government says, which is just deplorable as nothing else. Now, the UGC also has come up with certain directions. In spite of that, they are still not sure as to what is to be done? He salutes Delhi Government, which in spite of UGC directions has issued instructions that no examination including the final examinations will be held. Panjab University is also of this opinion, and the society at large is also. With what authority, the Dean of University Instruction of this University has given the statement in the newspaper. The one, who is at all not representing the Colleges, the one, who is looking only after the teaching departments of the University, is giving the statement, they would wait for the UGC instructions. He would have preferred, appreciated and admired, had the Vice Chancellor come on record to give the statement. Unfortunately, for this University, the Vice Chancellor does not come on record anywhere or any issue while

giving the statement to the media, while clarifying the situation to the society. It is also a matter of concern that the members of the Syndicate and the Senate do not know as to what the position is? The Vice Chancellor does not come on record and those, who are not authorized to speak, on behalf of the University, are giving statement(s) creating further confusion. So much so, a parallel administration is running in this University. The Registrar is the administrative head of this University. The Vice Chancellor is only the academic head of this University. There are certain Committees, and someday they read that so and so said. They had discussed the issue of MTS employees. They had discussed and the Vice Chancellor had agreed. In spite of that, one fine morning he read in the newspaper that such and such person has said that they have taken this decision. He is neither a member of the Syndicate nor of the Senate. He is not an officer of the University. He is nowhere involved at all to represent the University. What action has been taken against that official by the Vice Chancellor for giving such kind of statement in the press, which has created a lot of unrest amongst the members of the Syndicate and Senate? These are many issues. Had Vice Chancellor said in the beginning even before taking up the agenda, let them discuss the most important agenda of the examination of the students, about the academic session of the students, about what is to be done for the final year students, about what is to be done for the continuing students, it would have been appreciated by one and all. Instead of that, the Vice Chancellor insisting that let them go ahead with the agenda item and he would give them time later on, as if they are the beggars seeking his time, as if they have no interest in the University, and he (Vice Chancellor) is only the person, who has interest in the University. So Mr. Vice Chancellor, his request to him is, please try to understand the sentiments of the House and have free discussion on every issue, which was discussed in the earlier meeting. Only then, the purpose of this meeting would be served.

Ms. Anu Chatrath said that they had held a meeting of the University Institute of Legal Studies (UILS) and the minutes of the same were sent to him (Vice Chancellor) about 20 to 25 days before. Many students are studying in the final year and they have got placed. It is not only a decision with regard to their one precious year but future also, because they have to produce their final year certificates and degrees to the Institutes, where they have got the placement or got selected. Considering all the Bar Council provisions, UGC letters, they have recommended that in respect of final year not only final year, because 18th March was for theory examinations and 20th March was for assignment. Hence, they recommended to the authorities that 50% of the marks in the final be awarded on the basis 50% of their previous examination and on the basis of that they should be promoted and awarded degrees, so that they are able to join the Institute where they have been placed/selected. When as a parents, they read in the newspapers that certain students of 10th and 12th classes have committed suicide because of the stress, which they were undergoing. Because they were under stress and depression, they were not able to attend the classes properly, they took this extreme step. Hence, they must think over this as the situation, which they are facing today, is not likely to be over by the end of December 2020 as per the Scientists, Doctors and WHO. UGC says that 25% of the next semester examination classes have to be taken online. As such, they must proceed with the next academic session w.e.f. 1st of August. At least, they could proceed with 20% classes online, so that the students do not suffer. Secondly, they must promote their students on the basis of 50% marks secured by them in the previous examination and by giving them online assignments. However, they have to finalize the modalities. They should, at least, take a decision, in principle, in this respect in today's Syndicate meeting and for finalizing the modalities, a Committee could be constituted, so that uncertainty prevailing in the minds of the students is removed. For modalities, they could constitute a small Committee, which should meet and make its recommendations regarding the modalities and what is to be done about the next academic session within 3 days because many students and their parents are calling them saying that their son/daughter has been selected by the previous University/Institute, but is he/she is not able to get the degree of final year before 31st of August, he/she would not be able to avail that opportunity. Thirdly, it is

impossible that neither they could afford the examinations nor conduct the examinations because the University Hostels have been selected by the Chandigarh Administration. If the students come for the examination, where would they stay? Keeping in mind the situation prevailing at this time, according to her, the best is that they should follow the instructions given by Punjab Government, Haryana Government and Delhi University, as they are also bound by the UGC, that there would not be any examination. So instead of creating confusion in the minds of the students, it should be made clear that no examinations would be held and the students would be promoted on the basis of 50% of their previous examination. This is her humble request.

Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu said that he has a suggestion to make because if they continued with discussion, a lot of time would be taken. From the statement of Ms. Anu Chatrath two issues have emerged – (i) starting of academic session; and (ii) conduct of examinations. He thought that they should decide it because if the matter referred to a Committee, the Committee would also take some time. They should decide two things – (i) From when the academic session would be started; and (ii) whether the examinations of final year classes would be conducted or not. His request to his colleagues is that they should ponder over the issue and suggest if at all the examinations are to be conducted, then how or the students are to be promoted on the basis of assessment or previous result, etc. Modalities in this regard are needed to be chalked out because different schemes (streams) had different problems. Citing an example, he said that there could be different problems for Department of Laws, different for Departments of Languages, Sciences, Commerce, etc. Therefore, a Committee should be formed and the Committee should be asked to make its recommendations within a stipulated time. On receipt of the recommendations of the Committee, the same should be implemented. Their today's priority is to decide whether the examinations of final year classes are to be conducted or not, and if not, how the students would be promoted. Secondly, they have also to decide as to when the next academic session is to be started. At the same time, he would like to say that though the Senate election is approaching fast, several approvals for appointment of teachers and Principals of affiliated Colleges are pending in the office of the University. Since a Committee has been constituted to look into the pending cases of approval, the Chairman of the Committee should be requested to convene the meeting of the Committee at the earliest, so that the persons are not deprived of their rights and others, who do not deserve, get the benefits. As such, the meeting of the Committee should be held at the earliest.

Principal R.S. Jhanji stated that both the issues, which have been raised by Ms. Anu Chatrath and Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu are very serious. At the moment, they had two-three very important issues, which needed deliberation and decision taken because the State Government is saying something else and the guidelines from the Centre are saying something else, and because of this, the University is in a dilemma as to whom it should follow – whether to State or the UGC. However, they are bound by the UGC. However, one thing they have to make clear in the Syndicate and have to evolve a mechanism for the exit classes, but there should not be any problem for the on-going classes. They could make a mechanism for promoting the on-going classes and could start their classes after framing/finalizing the Academic Calendar, so that the Colleges could make admissions accordingly because under the existing situation, the Colleges had nothing to pay salaries. The funds of the Colleges have already exhausted. They are sorry to see that the University is giving its own advertisement in the newspapers about the admissions. In fact, there should be coordination between the University and the Colleges so that they could also give their advertisements for admissions for the next session. This had been brought to their notice again and again and it was also discussed in the previous meeting of the Syndicate that the University might have got some funds, but the Colleges have been set aside. It meant, the University has no concern for the affiliated Colleges, though the issue is being raised at different platforms. Keeping in mind these things as also the concerns of the State, UGC, confusion of students as well, the Academic Calendar should be finalized. There

should not be any problem for the on-going classes. If the Academic Calendar is finalized and issued in accordance with the mechanism to be evolved, the confusion as to when would they start admissions, would be removed, irrespective of the fact, whether they make the admissions online or off-line. They have made provision for both the cases, online as well as off-line. It is also true that if they have to conduct classes for certain courses, they would do that as now also they have conducted online classes. The classes, which were supposed to be completed by March, were taken to May and at that time also, they had conducted online classes. If the situation got worse, they could go to online classes. It is true that some part of their infrastructure is weak and they would not be able to deliver in certain affiliated Colleges, but the Colleges would survive only if funds are received by them with the admission of students, and only then, the Colleges would be able to give funds to the University. Otherwise, he had said in the previous meeting and saying now also that to give funds to the University would be beyond their control. As such, they have to think that if the admissions are made, only then the Colleges would function. Secondly, it would also have an effect on Post Graduate classes. If they continued like this, the first year of the courses would not be started this year. Similarly, admissions could also not be made in the University. As such, two-three things needed to be decided – (i) Academic Calendar should be finalized by evolving a mechanism for exit classes, but an immediate decision should be taken for the on-going classes and the concerned quarters be asked to make admissions. Secondly, since the admission guidelines are ready, they should be told as to when they could make online or off-line admissions. Only then, they would be able to obtain funds; otherwise, he is sorry to say that the Colleges are not in a position to pay salaries and the managements, Principals and teachers are a worried lot. He urged the Vice Chancellor to think over these issues. Of course, the safety of the students should be their first priority. He added that if the practical of the students are to be conducted, it needed to be decided as to how the same could be conducted; otherwise, they would be in a much bigger problem.

Dr. Dayal Partap Singh Randhawa stated that he too had to make this request to him (Vice Chancellor) and he was almost accepting his request. First of all, he wanted to divide these issues into two categories. One is of evaluation because semester-wise academic performance and another is relating to admission process for the next academic session. Firstly, he would talk about the evaluation and for evaluation, they should have two methods – one for passing out students; and another for on-going classes. He sought the attention of Dean of University Instruction, Controller of Examinations, Dean, College Development Council that those students, who are going to pass out, even though they have less chances of getting jobs, admission in higher education, etc., especially in view of the difficult situation the world is passing through, they have to take some decision for them. The students, who are in their final semester, should be evaluated on the basis of average of their previous semesters and 50% of their evaluation should be done on the basis of online assignments or on the basis of already given assignments. Secondly, it should be mentioned on the degree to be awarded to such students that marks have been awarded on this basis owing to COVID-19. If still they are not satisfied with this mechanism or they could have performed better in the semester, they should be given a proper chance to appear in the examination at an appropriate time as they had been doing from the very beginning. Thereafter, the chance of improvement, which is given to everybody, should be given to them. This mechanism could also be applied to the students of on-going classes. Or they should simply promote them by amending the rules and whenever time permitted, their examination should be conducted. Or they could decide any other third mechanism, but they must be promoted and allowed to move ahead. Now, he would like to talk about the Academic Calendar or starting of academic session. They should take a decision in today's meeting as to when they could start the academic session, so that a message goes outside and students, their parents and society in general come to know that in spite of COVID-19, the University is functioning. They are maintaining their safety as well as trying to move ahead. For academic session, they had two parameters for the intake of students – (i) promotion of existing students; and (ii) fresh

admission, which could be divided into two parts – (i) admissions, which are made on the basis of merit; and (ii) admissions, which are made on the basis of Entrance Tests. The session for the courses, admission to which are made on basis, could at least be started at the earliest because the calculations are to be done on the computer. This is not a big task and the Department of Computer Science & Applications could be involved in it. They should evolve such a software that the student filled up the online form and submitted the complete form, automatically a slip containing his/her percentile is delivered to him. The same could be forwarded to the concerned Department and the office of Dean of University Instruction as well as parents of the applicants. He is of the considered opinion that they must finalize the date of starting of the academic session. So far as decision with regard to promoting of students is concerned, the same should also be taken immediately because as representatives of the Graduates' Constituency, they are receiving a lot of query calls in a very high volume every day. They are answerable for this irrespective of whether the Governments agree to it or not, but they have to take a decision, which is pragmatic and in accordance with the existing situation. It is not always necessary that they should learn from others. Maybe, they take a good decision and others learn from them. Thinking this, they should move ahead. Whatever decision(s) the Governments are taking, they are taking in accordance to their best mind/thought process. They had a nomenclature of Panjab University and according to that, they had international students as well as students across the country. As such, it is not a local University and the students come only from the nearby areas/states. Their paraphernalia is much extended and the geographical area of the students is also scattered and they have to take the decision keeping in mind these factors and the decision should be taken at the earliest. With these suggestions, he would like to finish his speech on this issue.

Shri Jarnail Singh said that first of all, he would like to thank the Chair for giving the permission to discuss this important issue. This issue is really much more important than the issues, which he (Vice Chancellor) has brought on the agenda. In fact, this issue should have been brought on the agenda. This idea of the It did not find favour with him that the examinations of students of final semesters should be conducted, but the other students should be promoted. Degree of such students would be valid to similar extent as in the case of students, who are to be declared successful on the basis of examinations. The Hon'ble members have given very good suggestions. There should not be any uncertainty in the minds of the students. As such, they should decide the issue today itself and give a message that there would be no examination of the final year students as well; rather they should promote on-going students as well as final year students. For this, whatever modalities are to be evolved, the same should be evolved through a Committee to be constituted. However, they must make an announcement, so that there is no uncertainty. The UGC says that the examinations should be conducted up to September. They have to think about the students for the next session also. As the Hon'ble members have suggested that they could start the study of on-going classes online. Whatever is in their hands, should be done. They should promote the students of on-going classes and start online classes for them. They could also think as to how and when the new admissions are to be made. In fact, this is most important keeping in view the future of the students, who are already enrolled with the University and the agenda could even be discussed in the next meeting. Those, who are to be enrolled for the next session, are also needed to be taken care of by them. Decision(s) in this regard should be taken today itself.

Professor Navdeep Goyal, referring to the point made by Principal R.S. Jhanji that the financial condition of the Colleges is not good, said that he did not think that the financial condition of the University is also very good. If they talk about their finances, more than 50% of the finances come from their own income. They generate more income in comparison to the funds received from the Central and State Governments.

The Vice Chancellor said that more than 60% income/funds are generated by the University from its own sources.

Continuing, Professor Navdeep Goyal said that when they talked about the start of the session, usually their session started from 15th of July. Now, the situation is such that the session could not be started. For this, they could not blame anybody. At the moment, whether they should talk about Central Government or the State Government, they had given explicit instructions for the on-going classes that the students should be promoted either on the basis of past performance or any other mechanism, but the final decision is to be taken by the University at its own level. Hence, they have to take decision on this issue immediately; rather, he would suggest that they must start the new session w.e.f. 1st August so far as on-going classes are concerned, and at the moment, the classes for them could only be conducted in online mode because he did not see any possibility to bring/allow the students to reside in the hostels. Up to now, they have neither taken any decision with regard to taking their classes in online mode nor evolved any mechanism. If they took decision today that the classes of on-going students would be taken online, at least, tomorrow the Departments could be told to make a plan within a week because a time-table is to be prepared. It is not that the teacher could take his class at his/her will. In fact, proper time-table is to be prepared as is being done by the schools and they have to monitor the same also. They have also to see whether they are able to create reasonable infrastructure for the online classes or not. As such, they have to take decision on this issue today itself and plan accordingly and start taking online classes from 1st of August. Secondly, if they talk about final year students, under the existing situation and the way the situation is worsening day-by-day, he did not foresee that the situation would improve what to talk of September 2020, perhaps the situation might not improve by September next year. Till the vaccine comes, uncertainty is bound to remain. It should be their first and foremost priority to think as to how this uncertainty could be removed. Ms. Anu Chatrath has also said that the uncertainty is taking a heavy toll on the students. If the students went under depression, ultimately, the blame would be on them. If they talk about Punjab and Haryana Governments, they had taken decisions that examinations of final year students should not be conducted. UGC has taken decision that the examinations should be conducted, but the mode of examinations has not been suggested, i.e., whether the examinations are to be in online or off-line mode. He did not see any possibility of conducting the off-line mode under any circumstances. So far as conducting the examination in online mode is concerned, the model of Delhi University has already failed. The possibility is very weak that they would be able to conduct the examinations in online mode. Already they are at a big disadvantage that the students, who have already been placed/got placement, are in a dilemma because of non-completion of their degrees. If they took more time in finalizing the things/mechanism, their students would be in a big problem. He would like to agree with that proposal made by Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu that they should decide the things, which are under their control. So far as on-going classes are concerned, they have to take the decision today itself and so far as final year classes are concerned, a Committee should be constituted so that modalities could be evolved within a week or so, but not more than 10 days.

Ms. Anu Chatrath said that she would like to add that as has been said by him (Professor Navdeep Goyal) that the source of the University depended on the admissions also. As per their Handbook of Information, the Department of Laws and University Institute of Legal Studies prepare the merit list by giving 50% weightage to 12th class and 50% to Entrance Test. Now, the Syndicate must take a decision because they are supposed to take a decision keeping in view the provisions of Handbook of Information in the present scenario. Under the present situation, they might not get students in the first year because until the vaccine comes, they could not conduct the physical tests or Entrance Tests, etc., but they have to make the admissions. So such types of modalities should also be referred to the Committee, which is going to be constituted.

Proceedings of Syndicate meeting dated 13th July, 2020

Principal R.S. Jhanji pointed out that this should not only for the Law courses, but for all the courses.

Professor Rajinder Bhandari stated that since the issue has come up for discussion, he is expressing his viewpoints. But had the input been given as to what the University has done so far, it would have been better. And what are the latest instructions/circular issued by the UGC? What is the stand of the State Government or any other authority? Had all these things brought to their notice, they would have been in a better position to take a decision. Whenever they go ahead with emotions, the chances of mistake(s) are on the higher side. This is his viewpoint. They should not forget that the UGC is a regulatory body. Certain students have to appear in terminal examinations and take admissions for higher studies in other Institutions. For the Panjab University, Guru Nanak Dev University, and Delhi University could frame different policies, but when the students would seek admission in other Institutions/Universities, they might say that they are not accepting him/her because he/she has been promoted without any examination. Hence, let it be decided by the UGC, but at the same time, they should make a request to the UGC. The other day, he was reading the letter, though he has not read the same fully. Has the Hon'ble Chief Minister, Punjab, taken the decision at his own level. In fact, he has written to the Hon'ble Prime Minister that such and such instructions/directions given by the UGC are not in the interest of the students, especially in view of COVID-19 and these should be in such and such manner. There is a set procedure/mechanism, and Panjab University is not different; rather, it is a part of the total system. Hence, they have to go according to the set system, and instead they should not evolve their own system and he is not in favour of that. The decision relating to terminal classes should particularly be in accordance with the UGC guidelines. However, if they had any suggestions, let the same be made to the UGC. If they wanted to make the suggestions through delegation, the same should be decided by the Vice Chancellor. If they had any objection to their (UGC) proposal, they should make a request as the Chief Minister, Punjab, did. This is the right way. Yes, he agreed with many members of the House that the students, who are in non-terminal classes, should be promoted.

To this, Shri Jarnail Singh pointed out that when the students would go elsewhere, they might raise objection that they have not appeared in the examinations in such and such semesters/years.

To this, Professor Rajinder Bhandari said that, according to him (Shri Jarnail Singh) terminal and non-terminal classes are same, which however; are not. This type of confusion is there because neither any note has been provided by the Vice Chancellor/office nor any thinking has been done at his (Vice Chancellor) level. Anyhow, he did not want to go into the reasons. Syndicate met once in a month and Senate in/after four months, and the same is a different issue, but the University functioned/worked daily, and it faced such problems. Had they done their homework/job and brought/placed the input before saying that they are facing such and such problems, it would have been better. Now, he could say that he should not be made a member of the Committee constituted to decide/evolve mechanism for promotion of students, etc., because the persons (Ms. Anu Chatrath, Dean, Faculty of Law), who are running the Colleges and the University knew the problems well. If they have to think rationally and arrive at a rational decision, the process also needed to be rational. Whether they consider the agenda first or last, is least important because the decision is to be taken by the Syndicate itself. If the decision is taken at number 1, it did mean that the matter is more serious. Had the decision been taken at number 8, would it have been less serious? In fact, seriousness would be judged from the discussion and not from the number. Therefore, it is his humble submission that he (Vice Chancellor) should prepare the Academic Calendar and he should not take much time in preparing it because it created confusion. As he did not have any information, he did not know as to what the UGC guidelines are in respect of Academic Calendar or what the other authorities are saying and what Punjab Government is saying and what

the Central Government is saying, the Academic Calendar should be prepared at the earliest, so that the confusion of the Colleges as well as of the students is removed. However, they should proceed exactly on the same lines, which are given/suggested by the UGC; otherwise, their University would get isolated in the entire system. They should not say later on that they had imposed such and such condition(s) as the students have not appeared in the examination in such and such class. Therefore, he would like to make a humble request that they (the University Administration) should take decision on these two issues at the earliest, especially when he is saying that he did not fit in the Committee proposed to be constituted. He could make 3-4 good suggestions, but he did not know much about it. As such, they (Vice Chancellor, Dean, College Development, Departments) at their own level should take a decision with regard to Academic Calendar, on-going classes, but about terminal classes, no decision should be taken in isolation. They might not be in the next Syndicate or Senate, but the University is perpetual and would always be there. If any objection is raised to the qualification(s) of the students, what reply they would give.

Ms. Anu Chatrath said that she is sorry to say that he (Professor Rajinder Bhandari) has not read the UGC circular. In fact, the UGC has given power to the University.

Professor Rajinder Bhandari said that since the UGC has not sent the guidelines to him, he is not supposed to go through the same. The University was supposed to send the UGC guidelines to him, but did not send.

Shri Ashok Goyal stated that he fully agreed with Professor Rajinder Bhandari and that is what he had requested also. In fact, it should have been the first priority of the Vice Chancellor that before taking up the agenda, he should have thrown light on these decisions. Only after that they could have discussed the issue(s), but in spite of his request and in spite of very rigorous request of Professor Rajinder Bhandari, he (Vice Chancellor) has not bothered to say anything on the issue, which is very-very worrying and disturbing/painful. He (Vice Chancellor) is a member of the UGC Committee also and is very well aware of the decision(s) of the Punjab Government as well as of the UGC. He did not know as to why is he hesitating in sharing with the House? Maybe, after he shared with the House, the House is able to take an appropriate decision. Professor Bhandari is right that since nobody has been given the UGC guidelines/letter and they have no access to that, how could they know as to what are the UGC guidelines? He did not know as to why the Vice Chancellor is shying away from guiding the House as to what could be done. So his request to him (Vice Chancellor) is that he should inform the House in detail because it is a very important issue.

The Vice Chancellor said that they should have patience.

To this, Shri Ashok Goyal said, "What patience Dr. Sahib". Now, let him tell. What Professor Bhandari has said, the authority was already given to him (Vice Chancellor), he should tell them as to what he has done. The Syndicate had given him (Vice Chancellor) the authority, he should tell them as to what he has done.

The Vice Chancellor said, "Would he listen"?

Shri Ashok Goyal said, "What should they listen to because he (Vice Chancellor) is not ready to tell anything".

The Vice Chancellor said that they should listen to others and have patience.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that then let him let him (Vice Chancellor) that he is not going to speak on any of these issues till he (Vice Chancellor) gives his opinion.

The Vice Chancellor said, "Alright".

Dr. Satish Kumar said that much has already been said on this issue by different members. It is a matter of concern of everyone for all of them as they are discussing a very important issue. Whatever information came to them, it is either through a circular or through social media. One thing is missing – whether it is off-line classes or online classes or terminal examinations or other issues relating to the students, a question is posed to them again and again as to what the status of the students, who are placed in the compartment. This matter should also be referred to the Committee whenever it is formed, so that they students could know their fate and to which category they are linked to. He urged the Vice Chancellor to take decision about them at the earliest.

Ms. Anu Chatrath said that they had examined all the angles and minutes have been submitted to him. Professor Rajinder Bhandari has pointed out that the Deans should have done their work.

To this, Professor Rajinder Bhandari said, "No, No, he has not said this". In fact, he had suggested that if any Committee is to be constituted, the Deans should be made members because the Deans knew more than him.

Principal R.S. Jhanji said that he (Professor Rajinder Bhandari) has said that the concerned persons must be made members of the Committee proposed to be constituted.

Ms. Anu Chatrath said that they had spent a lot of time, made recommendations and sent the minutes to him (Vice Chancellor).

Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu said that he is sorry to point out that though Deans are made members of several Committees, but they did know much about the problems faced/issues relating to the Colleges.

Principal Sarabjit Kaur stated that all the Hon'ble members have given valuable views and these are the top-most priority issues and related to the students. She would like to show them the other side also. They are talking about online teaching and learning, but why not online examinations. Scale could be discussed and could be reversed and MCQs could be devised, but the examinations should not be scrapped under any circumstances. Since only the Departments are not involved but the Colleges issues are also involved. She would like to inform that the Colleges of Education have sufficient infrastructure to conduct the examinations online. They had conducted the classes throughout the session and the internal practicals have also been conducted online. Even their students of Diploma in Elementary Education had coordinated with the SCERT and Punjab Government and have been able to enhance the admissions by 23% in schools and that has only been done/possible because of the Colleges of Education. She said that in the state of Punjab the students of Diploma in Elementary Education have promoted the admissions by visiting to each and every house. She gave suggestion to the members that the online examinations could be conducted by the scale down method, very easily. It could not be said that every student has the smart phone. It could not be assumed that every student of the border area of the Pakistan is not having a smart phone. While talking on the issue of schools, the efforts of the Punjab Government had been appreciated. The examinations in the School children are being conducted both in online and offline mode, this is known to them, being the parents. In the offline method, they sent the questions to the students and the students after attempting the questions click the pictures and send the same back to the teachers. The teacher evaluates and result is awarded by the teacher in the group. As per her knowledge, the Panjab University have a good infrastructure and it can work as a model for Universities. It is not that the students would go in a state of depression or stress; the students would go in a state of depression when they did not

get any information. If the University gives the information relating to conduct of examinations today itself then in that state, the students would be very happily ready that at last now their exams are being conducted, they would become mentally and physically ready. She felt that there is no big issue relating to lack of resources, if so then the Colleges of Education in every area could be associated. In every Education College, there is a provision of ICT well equipped labs to provide the network connectivity. In some colleges wifi towers and other connections have been installed which could be used by the University. This facility could be explored. Secondly, if the University wished to promote the students, in this case, she had discussed with many Colleges and the Colleges intimated that they had deposited a huge amount of examination fees in the University account. If the University did not conduct the examinations then this huge amount of money should be saved for the next year as the Colleges would not be in a position to give money in the next year. This aspect can also be linked with it. This should also be decided if the examinations are not conducted then this huge amount of payment could be utilised for the next year and the University will give relaxation to the Colleges to use this amount for the next year. Thirdly she would like to request regarding the admissions for the next year. The admissions of B.Ed. Colleges are made on the basis of entrance test, this time the entrance test would be conducted by the Punjabi University, Patiala. In this connection, she said that if the entrance tests relating to other admissions would not be conducted then a representation from the University relating to Education Colleges be sent to Punjabi University, Patiala to make admissions at their level with complete transparency and allow the Colleges to complete their admission process. The members of the Colleges should be included while taking the decision relating to it. If only the University officials would be taken into consideration in making such decisions, then a majority of practical issues of Colleges remains unattended or unsolved, therefore, the College representatives should also be invited/included.

Principal Surinder Kaur said that as told by Principal Sarabjit Kaur that the online examinations could be conducted in Education Colleges whereas the online examinations could not be held in the Arts Colleges as the students are facing a lot of difficulties in attending online classes. Therefore, the online examinations in their Colleges could not be conducted. She further requested that the syllabus for next year admission should not be changed as the PDF files have been sent to them and students would not face any difficulty in the procurement of books. She also requested that the pending dues/fees of students should be waived off.

Dr. Rabinder Nath Sharma said that after this detailed discussion and keeping in view the national scenario, his total input on the issue is that conduct of examinations at this moment is not advisable. Exams to be conducted for the sake of examinations are not correct. The sanctity/credibility of examinations could not be challenged, it is very much acceptable. But in the current situation if the examinations for the final year courses would be conducted, then its sanctity/credibility would not be in place as only the purpose of conduct of examinations is achieved. In the current situation, as per his view, the conduct of examinations should be avoided. The students should be promoted as per the decisions taken by the Punjab Government, Haryana and Delhi Government. The decision to this effect that the examinations for final year should not be conducted is to be taken.

At this stage, Ms. Anu Chatrath asked to speak on one of the subjects relating to the Colleges.

The Vice Chancellor replied that it is not allowed to speak on every topic, others should also be given a chance.

Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua said that when this meeting was rescheduled on 25th June, at that time the U.G.C. issued the guidelines and the guidelines of Punjab Government were received, these guidelines were received in all the quarters well in

time. These were also informed by the office of Dean College Development Council and the Registrar time and again. All the communications received from any Governing bodies should be included/added in the supplementary agenda for consideration. Their point of concern is only that if this item would be listed even as the last item, then there is no need of saying to the Vice Chancellor to consider this item on number one. As after dealing with more than 20-22 items, less amount of time is left for taking into consideration such important issues. The routine matters relating to promotion cases could also be dealt in less time by constituting the committees or by authorising the Vice Chancellor. But in such matters, they represent the students who have panic in their minds as information relating to it from all the bodies have been released whether it is from the U.G.C., Punjab Government and the D.P.I. It is for the first time that the communication from the D.P.I. is receiving very fast as compared to other bodies. All the bodies are giving information on it but the body which is required to speak is silent on it. The information relating to Panjab University is receiving through WhatsApp rumour messages or from other sources. Everybody is concerned what is going on. This communication had been received from the last 10-12 days regarding the conduct of examinations of last semester. But there is no difficulty relating to the first five semesters, this could be placed as an agenda item in today's meeting as to when and which date the admissions are to be started. All the bodies whether the Punjab School Education Board, ICSE and CBSE had given the dates that the results would be declared by 15th July. All the private Universities are publishing their advertisement of full page for the opening of admissions after 15th July. But in the University and Colleges affiliated to University, there is no information relating to it, is it not justified to be in panic on the part of students, colleges or managements in that situation? Why are the departments of the University sleeping? Therefore, it is only being said that this item/matter is to be discussed, they are not pressurizing them to take decisions. His point of concern is that as all the results of all the Boards would be declared by 15th July, therefore, the Committee is to be constituted to check the modalities. The committee which was constituted before two months or new committee may be constituted to deal with it. They should be allowed to make admissions before 25th of July. The admission of Private Universities has been opened and the students are moving towards these Private Universities. The other Government Universities such as Guru Nanak Dev University had also filled their 80% of seats. Whereas, no notification has been issued from the Panjab University till date. He said that the University should take a decision at the earliest keeping in view either the guidelines of U.G.C. or by following the orders of the Punjab Government. In the colleges, online classes are being conducted from 22nd March covering the period of 4 months and there is no need to change the syllabus and major part of the syllabus has been covered. The policy regarding promotion of students should be made the part of the agenda item. The summary/gist of the discussion is to deal with these two type of modalities first is what is to be done about the end-semester classes and the second is what decision is to be taken for the first five semesters and what is to be done for the admission relating to 1st year classes. The Syndicate should extend only one privilege to the Colleges that students of Colleges should be allowed admissions in the first semester before 25th July on the basis of the admission guidelines of the previous year.

Professor Keshav Malhotra said that major issues have been raised due to this pandemic. What is to be done relating to Admission, Examination, Academic Calendar, what is to be done for the exit and ongoing classes? What decision is to be taken regarding fresh admissions and admissions to be made through Entrance Tests. Such types of cases also exist where only Viva is pending, if the University allows the Viva of these undergraduate classes, their results could be declared. In B.Tech. and Architectural undergraduate classes the project work and viva work is pending, but University could not do anything in these matters. No policy relating to it has been framed till date. While expressing his view point, he said that all the members would also be agreed to him that a Committee should be constituted consisting of the members of the Syndicate to take the decision on the discussion held today. He proposed the Professor Navdeep Goyal as Chairman of the Committee, and Ms. Anu

Chatrath, Dr. Rabinder Nath Sharma, Dr. Dayal Partap Singh Randhawa, Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu, Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua, Professor Emanuel Nahar as members of the Committee or any other Officer to be involved by the Vice Chancellor in the Committee to be constituted. The said Committee should be authorised by the Syndicate to take immediate action in lines of U.G.C. guidelines and other information received from Punjab Government at the earliest. The teachers and non-teaching staff are ready to cooperate in the matter but they are not getting any direction from the authorities. He finally concluded while proposing the above said Committee.

The Vice Chancellor said "Okay".

The Vice Chancellor asked to intimate about the financial issues involved in the matter.

Ms. Anu Chatrath seconded the proposal made by Professor Keshav Malhotra.

The Vice Chancellor said that first discussion should be completed then it should be proposed or seconded.

Principal R.S. Jhanji said that their proposal should be agreed outside the meeting of the Syndicate.

Professor Rajinder Bhandari said that the work is required to be done by the Vice Chancellor or by these members.

Shri Ashok Goyal pointed out that if the work was done by them then there would be no need to do the same by them.

Professor Keshav Malhotra said that if the work was entrusted to them then it would be completed.

Principal R.S. Jhanji said that there is no need to call the meeting of the Syndicate/Senate; the whole work should be done outside the House.

The Vice Chancellor pointed out that before anyone else speaks, he would like to give his observation and these observations would please be treated as wind up.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that in the first instance, the Vice Chancellor did not give any inputs whereas after giving his inputs at a later stage, he stressed these inputs would be treated as "wind up". The Vice Chancellor neither brings the Agenda on the urgent and emergent issues nor any information is being shared with the House. Still the (Vice Chancellor) expect from the House that after speaking by him, nobody should speak on it. They did not know how the Vice Chancellor wished to run the University? Professor Rajinder Bhandari said that work is to be done by the Vice Chancellor, whereas the work was assigned to the Vice Chancellor in the previous two meetings, did that mean that University would not be in functioning mode. The Syndicate is completely responsible for running the University; it is the executive Government of the University there are so many people who say publicly that Syndicate is nobody. It is only the Vice Chancellor who is to run the University, let him clarify and let him reiterate that it is the Syndicate and Syndicate only which is the executive Government of the University which has to see that the Vice Chancellor answers in accordance with the executive decision taken by the Syndicate and not on his own. Now let him tell the Vice Chancellor.

The Vice Chancellor asked him to elaborate.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that he will not speak on the issue till the Vice Chancellor gives the feedback and the input, till he tells them what are the latest positions with the Punjab Government, Government of India and also the U.G.C? How did he expect from them to talk on the issue? He is only saying what is happening in the University.

Syndicate and Senate is really nobody. Non-Syndics and Non-Senators are taking the executive decisions; they are taking the decisions which are otherwise within the jurisdiction of the Registrar, the Finance and Development Officer, the Controller of Examinations, the Dean Students Welfare. He did not know from where these extra-constitutional authorities have been created, and as per his knowledge, these have been created on the directions of the Vice Chancellor does not have the jurisdiction to do such acts. He only is to act as per the University Calendar and Regulations and as per the decisions and directions of the Syndicate. This must be recorded.

The Vice Chancellor said that "Okay". He enquired from the members, wants to speak on this issue.

Continuing, Shri Ashok Goyal said that he would like to speak more on this issue.

Ms. Anu Chatrath said that she would speak after the statement of the Vice Chancellor.

Continuing, Shri Ashok Goyal said that other members would also speak on this issue after the input of the Vice-Chancellor.

Professor Keshav Malhotra said that in front of the Administrative Block at Ground floor, the students were standing to listen to the Vice Chancellor and they were of the view that they are with the Vice Chancellor what he would say but the Vice Chancellor did not say anything.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that the Vice Chancellor should try to lead the University; he is the leader of the University.

The Vice Chancellor said "Okay".

The Vice Chancellor said that all the members gave a lot of inputs in the discussion, which is appreciated by him. He firstly appreciated the inputs given by the Hon'ble members. Secondly, really it is a matter of a great panic which is completely agreed to him just like them. But in this situation of panic, there is a great need of patience. Patience is required because nothing is certain as agreed by the members. The University is receiving notification after notifications from the Government and sometimes the issued notifications are being withdrawn, for which the University is not at fault. It is only due to the situation and at this time only patience is direly needed. He again appreciated the House for their complete and full support. Sometimes the confusion is created, the confusion would continue to be born due to the very reason that all the things are not very much clear, these are not being given in black and white immediately. He further clarified that it is understood and it is also his viewpoint that if the things come in black and white then all the confusion of the Hon'ble members would be removed itself and the stakeholders would also be in a clear picture. He would like to reiterate again that if he make a decision today then this decision needs to be withdrawn/ revised in a week or within 2-3 days. It is again due to the emerging situation prevailing in the country. The other thing which he would like to share is that we should have patience and have to trust each other. He stressed that there should be no confusion, he is doing his best without any biased approach. He further stated that he understands the concern conveyed by Shri Ashok Goyal for the University. He appreciated the concern which is a major cause of unrest/impatience. He further said that he is novice and he is yet to learn a lot from the vast experience of the Hon'ble members, he is the person with very least experience, all the members are very much experienced than him. As stated by Shri Ashok Goyal that he (Vice Chancellor) speaks very less, he had come to listen to them, to seek their guidance and suggestions so that he could take logical decisions in the matter.

Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua appreciated the patience of the Vice Chancellor.

The Vice Chancellor said that this is by way of blessings. He further said that the University is working exactly on the lines of suggestions given by the members. They should take reference from the previous time as nothing is to be given in writing. As stated by Professor Navdeep Goyal and Professor Rajinder Bhandari, the University is working only on the guidelines of the U.G.C. or MHRD. The guidelines of the U.G.C. and MHRD are required to be implemented on top priority. He respected the Syndicate being the Governing body as they had to work according to them, but there are some limitations and regulations beyond which they could not go. They should follow the guidelines of MHRD and U.G.C. He further would like to state that as informed by the members that several bodies had allowed the students to be promoted but these bodies are thinking to revise or withdraw their decisions. The other matter which he would like to bring to their notice is that on this item, the number of writ petitions is being prepared. Being the member of the Central Committee by the blessings of the God, it is only due to which that their University is not in any controversy. The statements regarding announcement of admission dates, examination dates and so on are being issued as a result of which the situation of unrest has arisen. All the things have been informed to them and he is very much in consultation with the various bodies. He only takes the suggestion from them whom he deems fit, it is that the work has been done according to the advice. While concluding he further said that there is no contradiction in it.

Shri Ashok Goyal pointed out to name the persons whom the Vice Chancellor is taking the advice. The Syndicate should know the name of the person.

The Vice Chancellor said that the name of Shri Ashok Goyal is also in the list of advisers. The Vice Chancellor said that he would like to complete the issue if the House permits. They had to inculcate in them the feeling of faith, the creation of faith is equivalent to grow grass on the stone. If they had faith in both the fronts then the issue would be resolved. The suggestion made by the members that all these things should be made public is the same as that of the Vice Chancellor. There is no difference between the opinion of the Vice Chancellor and the members. He would like to complete it at the earliest by updating them so that other items of the Agenda could be taken up in time. But in all these things, the process of revision could be taken at any moment. He only desired that no controversy would be raised, therefore, he is stressing on the patience on the matters relating to admission and examinations. The University would follow the guidelines of U.G.C. it would not go on the pattern of Private Universities. He desired that the highest standard of the University be maintained and the students would not get the degrees stamped "Pass under the Covid-19 Situation". The students of the University would not be treated as pitiable. They would be notified on this matter at the earliest. He would follow only the U.G.C. guidelines to avoid any contradiction. Whereas the suggestions put forth by the members relating to the Colleges would be considered.

Dr Harpreet Singh Dua said that their main concern relating to declaration of result should be dealt on priority basis. There is no issue relating to the examinations of the first five semesters.

The Vice Chancellor said that the statement made by Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua is correct and he appreciates his suggestion, but it could not be done in a hurried manner, they should not work beyond the guidelines of the UGC.

Professor Keshav Malhotra said that when the guidelines of U.G.C. relating to conduct of examinations would be followed, then it would create major problem as the majority of students are from Manipur and U.P. etc. and if the students would come, they have to follow the quarantine policy of 14 days. It is the contradiction of the policy of the State Government. How the examinations could be conducted if the policy of quarantine is to be followed. This would not be done only by making ideas; it would be done by putting in efforts.

Proceedings of Syndicate meeting dated 13th July, 2020

Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua said that in a day more than 30,000 cases of Covid-19 had come, this should be brought to the notice of the governing bodies.

Principal R.S. Jhanji said that they should wait only for the exit classes but regarding the ongoing classes, the decision should immediately be taken as there is no restriction from the U.G.C. relating to it.

The Vice Chancellor said that this would be done.

Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu said that the decision regarding the first five semesters should be taken.

Professor Keshav Malhotra said that the Committee proposed by him would guide and help to take decisions in the matter. This committee had been proposed to be constituted after due consideration.

To this, the Vice Chancellor said that he would see to it.

Principal R.S. Jhanji said that this decision should be taken in consultation with the proposed Committee.

The Vice Chancellor said that "Okay", he would see to it.

Principal R.S. Jhanji said that the decision to this effect should not be taken like this. The constitution of Committee for such an issue cannot be made by taking the names of the members. Let the Vice Chancellor constitute the Committee and the recommendations of the committee should come as agenda item for discussion. And in case if they want these things to be done like this then there is no need to bring such issue inside.

At this point of time again several members started speaking together and din prevailed.

Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu said that he is completing his sixth tenure as fellow of this University, the Committees used to be formed by taking names in the meeting. If it is the prerogative of the Syndicate then Syndicate should make Committee here only.

At this point of time several members started speaking together and din prevailed.

The Vice Chancellor said that this discussion is not to be recorded.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that he felt very happy to know that at least after the repeated requests of the members, the Vice Chancellor tried to share the things what is going on, with the House. The Vice-Chancellor also said that patience must be kept; the difference is only that the Vice-Chancellor is expecting patience without telling them about it. And it is considered these are patient keeping persons who are not worried about anything. And today when all the members showed their concern then it was realised that their patience is about to break then he (Vice-Chancellor) that they should be informed. As told by Professor Kehsav Malhotra, as Syndicate of the Panjab University, they did not have any responsibility that wherever the decisions are taken, the House is also to be informed keeping in view its sentiments and also intimate that what type of practical difficulties are being faced, if the decision regarding conduct of examinations is taken. If the decision is taken that examinations would not be conducted, then what would be its implications, and if the examinations are conducted then what would be its implications whether practically it would be possible or not. Simply because that U.G.C. says something that the examinations are to be conducted but practically it is not possible, let the Vice-Chancellor inform whose responsibility is

this to ensure that U.G.C. guidelines are followed in strict terms in spite of the fact that it is not practically possible.

The Vice-Chancellor, responded to the statement made by Shri Ashok Goyal, and said he thought that he could not communicate to him whereas it is understood that Shri Ashok Goyal could not understand what Vice-Chancellor is saying. He asked whether he studied the report which was submitted by the Vice-Chancellor.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that this is what they are demanding, they are demanding the said report.

The Vice-Chancellor stated that it is in public domain. It is accepted that they are the policy makers and they must see all such these things. However, he has noted the concerns of the members and would ensure that, in future, all such notifications are sent to all the members. Even if these are not be available, the same should be procured and made available to them. Professor Keshav Malhotra was saying that examinations should/could not be conducted, but they must go through the report submitted by them (UGC Committee) as in the national report, the word "assessment" had been written. However, the method of assessment would be decided by the experts of the University. If they read in between the lines, they would appreciate that it is a very good drafted report comprising such an autonomy and interest caring, which they could imagine. They must read it thoroughly so that they got aware of each and every aspect of the matter. At the moment, he is not in a position to answer any of their queries. However, he would be happy to answer to their queries on telephone, and thereafter, he is hundred per cent sure that they would not have any answer. The notifications from AICTE, Dental and Bar Council of India had been received and these are merely the guidelines, which are required to be observed. However, then University would go by the guidelines issued by the Ministry of Human Resource & Development, UGC, etc., but there should not be contradiction between the guidelines issued by the regulatory bodies with the University and this needed to be avoided. Several legal cases are being filed. He has already told them much and would not able to divulge any further details. However, he is open to any query on the issue asked later on.

Ms. Anu Chatrath said that they are trying to stop the students to involve in litigation.

The Vice-Chancellor stated that they are in touch with them, from University to Delhi, they are involved in the litigation process. U.G.C. is the component of MHRD, every legal expert is busy in dealing with the legal aspect.

Ms. Anu Chatrath said in the notification of the U.G.C. they had mentioned in a clear cut manner that they had given discretion to the concerned State Government and this University. He further said that there is no violation in the U.G.C. guidelines.

The Vice-Chancellor said that just now he had given his input, now they are saying again to decide regarding admissions.

In reply to this, Ms. Anu Chatrath said that when it would be done, a time frame should be fixed for it.

The Vice-Chancellor stated that it could not be done in a time bound manner.

Ms. Anu Charath said that they could discuss at least about the ongoing classes.

The Vice-Chancellor stated that they would go only by the U.G.C. guidelines.

Principal R.S. Jhanji while supporting Ms. Anu Chatrath said that they would have to decide about the ongoing classes.

Proceedings of Syndicate meeting dated 13th July, 2020

The Vice-Chancellor said that the word "assessment" written in the report should be thoroughly studied/read. If they want to ask him then he would reply only by constituting the Committee in which Professor Navdeep Goyal and other members would be included wherein the meaning of the word "assessment" would be found.

Principal R.S. Jhanji said that the decision relating to ongoing classes and other matters would require to be discussed separately.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that as per the statement made by the Vice-Chancellor, it is clearly understood that the Vice-Chancellor wishes to get it passed from the Syndicate that what the U.G.C. says that would be implemented.

While responding to it, the Vice-Chancellor said that let the matter to come in place.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that at least Syndicate had the right to give their opinion and also to know what is going on.

The Vice-Chancellor said that Shri Ashok Goyal is making contradiction on his own statement.

On a point of order, Shri Ashok Goyal asked the Vice-Chancellor to intimate what contradictory statement he had made.

The Vice-Chancellor said that he (Shri Ashok Goyal) got angry for the reason that he (Vice-Chancellor) is not giving input to them. In fact, firstly he (Vice-Chancellor) would like to know their viewpoints.

To this, Shri Ashok Goyal said that input is required to be given by the Vice Chancellor at first instance.

The Vice-Chancellor replied that every input is available on the website and news.

While continuing to this, Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua said that on the basis of that, their concern was right, they desired that admission of the first five semesters should be allowed.

The Vice-Chancellor said that the arrangement for the same would be made.

Ms. Anu Chatrath said that they are not concerning regarding the new admission. In India, the Punjab Government had implemented the orders of the curfew in the first instance as per their suggestion. It might be possible that his decisions would become a rising force for other Universities. They are not saying to violate the U.G.C. norms.

While continuing to this, Professor Navdeep Goyal said that they should make their way on the basis of U.G.C. guidelines.

Ms. Anu Chatrath said that nobody is saying to violate the U.G.C. guidelines.

Shri Jarnail Singh said that this should be decided that the University would follow the guidelines the U.G.C.

Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua said that the decision relating to the first five semesters should be taken at the earliest as in that matter the U.G.C. had nothing to do in the matter.

Proceedings of Syndicate meeting dated 13th July, 2020

Ms. Anu Chatrath said that the decision relating to on-going classes and Academic Calendar should be taken today itself. She further said that U.G.C. is only concerned with the exit classes.

Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu said that it is rightly said by his fellow friends to take decision in the matter relating to the first five semesters at the earliest. The University would be in a state of financial loss if the process would not be initiated as the admission process in the Private Universities had already been started. The intelligent students would take admission in Private Colleges. Whereas the Affiliated Colleges would be in a difficult position to fill the seats as the students had already moved towards the Private Universities.

While interrupting in the statement of Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu, Shri Ashok Goyal said that his pointed question to the Vice-Chancellor is if he could throw light as to what the U.G.C. guidelines say for the ongoing/continuing classes, so that the University could take the decision accordingly.

The Vice-Chancellor replied that he is again saying that they would go by the U.G.C. guidelines. In the U.G.C. guidelines, whether the members did not study or they had forgotten, it had been mentioned that the classes would start from 1st August.

Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu interrupted the Vice-Chancellor and said that this had not been notified by the University.

The Vice-Chancellor stated that one weeks' time should be given to notify the same as the Committee had already been constituted.

Principal R.S. Jhanji, Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu, Shri Jarnail Singh and other members said that this notification should be released at the earliest.

Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua said that it is not good to request the Vice-Chancellor several times, the Vice-Chancellor could constitute a large Committee he would like to. But their main concern is that the dominance of University Professors in the Committees would affect the interests of the Colleges.

At this point of time several members started speaking together and din prevailed.

The Vice-Chancellor directed Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua to be seated.

Ms. Anu Chatrath said that in the last part of the discussion, the Vice-Chancellor had given the impression that he is above the Syndicate. In the last meeting of the Syndicate, a Committee was decided to be constituted/finalised and also seconded that the panel of Advocates after seeing their bio-data, resume and their qualitative work, their performance would be considered. The Committee was constituted but she was shocked to know that the Committee constituted by the Syndicate was modified at his level. The Vice-Chancellor considered himself above the Syndicate, but the rules/regulations mentioned in the Calendars of the University did not permit so. This is the difficulty. As such, they were being compelled to constitute each and every Committee in the Syndicate itself.

Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua requested the Vice-Chancellor that the above said Committee which was proposed and seconded should be continued.

To this, the Vice-Chancellor said that they themselves are proposing and seconding the names for the Committee.

Shri Ashok Goyal, Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua and other members asked the Vice-Chancellor to tell then who would propose and second the names.

Proceedings of Syndicate meeting dated 13th July, 2020

Shri Ashok Goyal requested the Vice-Chancellor to guide them as to who would propose the Committee.

The Vice-Chancellor said that he would not be able to guide him (Shri Ashok Goyal); rather, he would consult him.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that they would not like to be consulted. The Vice-Chancellor should say that the decision(s) of the Syndicate is/are not acceptable to him.

While continuing to this, Dr. Dayal Pratap Singh Randhawa said that previously before 1.5 months back the House authorised the Vice-Chancellor to take decision on their behalf. At least the status report from his concerned officers should be produced to him. The most concerned officer is the Controller of Examinations, who had to submit the status report. He should give directions to the concerned Officers like Controller of Examinations, Dean College Development Council, Dean of University Instruction, these are the authorities who are directly concerned to execute these matters. The instructions of the Syndicate, if implemented then at least from their side, the status could be updated, what had been done in the matter, when the decision was taken in the month of May.

Professor Rajinder Bhandari said that first of all he would like to reiterate previous matters and later on some new things would also be taken. It is the pandemic which could not be cured by panic. He agreed with him that in the emergent situation, the major weapons are patience and wisdom. But it did not mean that there is no limit in the patience. It is not good to deal with the patience in an out of control manner. The responsibility of the Vice-Chancellor, his staff and authorities is to think for timely action, to execute, study the guidelines received from different quarters and bring the same in the House. He must be agreed to their view points, Committees were being constituted in the House. But they used to fight in the House on the basis of the majority without keeping in view the interest of the University. They should take the rational approach, discussion is going on, members are speaking, and Hon'ble members are going to the extent of proposing the Committees. It had been reiterated many times that Syndicate is the Executive body. He was not saying that Syndicate is not the Executive body, the Vice-Chancellor is the Executive Head as per the University Calendar. Therefore, it is said that Syndicate owns their responsibility and the Administration had to deal with it according to their responsibility. He agreed with the Vice-Chancellor that there should be no contradiction. Whereas the confusion and controversies were being created in the House on the one hand and on the other hand they were pressing hard to take the decisions immediately. He had not pin-pointed any individual, if they felt so then he would be sorry to them. If the Vice-Chancellor believed that all the members are aware to deal in the current circumstances, then all of them should be included. It should not be like that to nominate one and other.

On a point of order, Dr. Dayal Pratap Singh Randhawa said that in that situation, every week a meeting is required to be conducted. Syndicate meeting is to be scheduled every week only on one agenda item so that the report of the Committees could be placed for discussion.

Professor Rajinder Bhandari said that he is agreed to him.

While continuing to this, Dr. Dayal Pratap Singh Randhawa said that he would cite an example of High Court, wherein to take such type of decisions on 16th March the associations were included. The working of the High Court is running through online which had been stopped earlier. After one week it was re-started, first time only 10 matters/cases were placed, at present 700 and 1000 cases are in line. It is meant that they should evolve with the current system while remaining in the situation of Pandemic, by following the safety measures. High Court is the major source in the system of democracy, if it is functioning, then the University should also be strengthened.

Proceedings of Syndicate meeting dated 13th July, 2020

Professor Rajinder Bhandari said that this issue is very urgent, therefore, it should be dealt on priority basis. This should not be dealt in a lighter way. The agenda item could be brought at the last or first serial number. They accepted that this item should be discussed at first but they did not say that this item is not important. He further said that a Committee should be constituted objectively/subjectively.

Professor Keshav Malhotra said that he proposed the Committee subjectively.

At this point of time, all the members started speaking together and a din prevailed.

While continuing, Professor Rajinder Bhandari said that members when they come to this House talk sky high standards. When the actual discussion takes place some members start recommending the names of the others and some are in favour of having a larger Committee. He requested the Vice Chancellor not to make any Committee on the recommendations. However, the Vice Chancellor might constitute a Committee of persons suitable to him. The Vice Chancellor may nominate members to the Committee representing Colleges, Department and students. He said that he is in agreement with Professor Keshav Malhotra for students' representation on the issue.

Professor Keshav Malhotra intervened to say that Dr. Dayal Partap Singh Randhawa is representing the students.

Principal R.S. Jhanji requested to allow him to speak on the behalf of all members that the confusion is only created as there is no coordination between the D.U.I., Office, DCDC Office, Registrar Office, Controller of Examination and F.D.O. Office. Their coordination is very much required.

Dr. Dayal Pratap Singh Randhawa supported the viewpoint of Principal R.S. Jhanji that there is no coordination between all the above mentioned offices which is required to be done.

Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu said he would like to submit something off the record.

The Vice-Chancellor allowed him to speak and said that it would not be recorded. They could not hijack the issue like this. After taking into account the suggestions put forth by the Hon'ble members and the entire discussion, a Committee would be constituted under the chairmanship of Professor Navdeep Goyal including the representation of the Colleges and would be done in a time bound manner. It is the responsibility of the Chairman to work on all the issues and inform all the members through E.mail.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that the Syndicate had not authorised the Vice-Chancellor to constitute the Committee. How could he constitute the Committee? The proposal had been received from Professor Keshav Malhotra, whatever the Syndicate is saying, it should be acceded to. It is very unfortunate that it had been brought to the notice of the Syndicate that the decisions taken in the Syndicate is totally different from what had been implemented by the Vice-Chancellor. To avoid repetition of these things these Committees should be left and conduct the meetings of the Syndicate every week. He supported the viewpoint expressed by Professor Rajiinder Bhandari that the Committee should be constituted objectively. If he says something, it is subjective and the statement made by others is objective. If the Vice-Chancellor does something, it is subjective and if the Syndicate does something, it is objective. As per the viewpoint of the Vice-Chancellor that he had said that Syndicate is the Executive body. But he did not say that Syndicate is the Executive body, it is the Executive Government. Syndicate is the Executive Government of the University and not the Executive body and he did not want to remind Professor Rajinder Bhandari what is the Parliamentary, legislative council, or council of ministers. He did not need to remind this to anybody and he also

did not want to go into this, but at the same time he is of the very strong opinion that the Vice Chancellor should not disrespect the sentiments of the Syndicate and should not go beyond what the Syndicate wanted. He (Vice Chancellor) should tell them that Dr. Rajinder Bhandari has suggested that only those should be made members of the Committee, who are liked by the Vice Chancellor or looked nice to Vice Chancellor. They should see as to what words have been used, i.e., those who are liked by the Vice Chancellor or looked nice to the Vice Chancellor. If this formula/suggestion is followed, he (Shri Ashok Goyal) shall never become a member of any Committee.

Dr. Rajinder Bhandari said that who had said all that.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that this has very much been said by Dr. Rajinder Bhandari.

Dr. Rajinder Bhandari said that he had said only that whatever the discussion had taken place.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that Dr. Rajinder Bhandari had said that those who are considered good by the Vice Chancellor.

Dr. Rajinder Bhandari said that gentle could be anyone, who does good, could be said to have been good.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that he had said that those who are liked by the Vice Chancellor and if he is not saying any wrong, he is saying only that which has been recorded. He continued saying that if he had said wrong, then he would like to say sorry.

Dr. Rajinder Bhandari said that he knew very well what he had said.

Shri Ashok Goyal said to Dr. Rajinder Bhandari that he had a request that he should not talk in a threatening way.

Dr. Rajinder Bhandari said that what was the threat in it? He said that he is aware of what he had spoken.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that he knew what Dr. Rajinder Bhandari had spoken. On this, Dr. Rajinder Bhandari said that was it that Shri Ashok Goyal knew more than what he had spoken.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that it is likely that Dr. Rajinder Bhandari forgets what has been said. On this, Dr. Rajinder Bhandari said that now this is the limit of the things.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that let the recordings be replayed and checked if found incorrect he will instantly resign from the Senate and Syndicate. He further said that he has been talking to the Vice Chancellor and he has never addressed any other member. He further said that if he is found incorrect at any moment, then he could be interrupted.

Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu said that he has never interfered in their prerogative but today he wants to bring it on record that it is the prerogative of the Syndicate to form a Committee. He said that during this term which started from November, 2016 the record could be checked to see that as to how many times, the name of Principal R.S. Jhanji, who himself had the objection over the composition of the Committee, exists as a Vice Chancellor nominee in various Committees. He further said that he is one of the senior most members of the Syndicate and he has been the most experienced person of Syndicate except one or two persons. He said that he is

only the member of only the Committee of B.Ed. College Raikot. He is not the member of any Committee as the nominee of the Vice Chancellor. He said that despite that he has never objected to it. It is the prerogative of the Vice Chancellor, it was either Professor Grover or it is Professor Raj Kumar. He said that he never challenges their prerogative. If they realise that he cannot be suitable to be assigned the job, then there is no problem to him. The prerogative of the Syndicate is that the Syndicate would constitute the Committee and no other one can constitute the Committee.

Principal R.S. Jhanji said that he did want to respond to this. He said that today they are giving the reflection. Let them check the records of the past six years.

Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu said that he has been talking of the records of the past four years. He himself has been proposing that the records be seen.

Principal R.S. Jhanji said that the records of the Vice Chancellor Committee could be dug out. He further said that the wrong charges are being levelled upon him. He said that if the things are so, then the records of the flying squads of the past six years should be checked so as to see when and where the flying squads went. He reiterated that the record of the past six years would reveal the names of persons who went on flying, in affiliation, in selection Committees.

Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu said that he himself says that his own records could be seen. He further said that he is still firm on his stand that it is the prerogative of the Vice Chancellor to form a Committee.

Principal R.S. Jhanji said that records of the past six years would reveal that the particular persons happened to be on the Committee for seventy-seventy times. He said that the records of the inspection, affiliation, flying and also of the Vice Chancellor nominees should be seen.

Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu said that it is the prerogative of the Syndicate and the Syndicate would form a Committee.

Principal R.S. Jhanji said that before pinpointing toward others one should see in his own periphery. He further said that before carting reflection on others, one should think.

Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu said that he wants to see as to who is there who will challenge the prerogative of the Syndicate. He further said that where the name of Principal R.S. Jhanji falls, then it was okay. If it happens someone others name, then it becomes the question of prerogative. He said that his issue is not against anyone, his concern is about the prerogative of the Syndicate.

Principal R.S. Jhanji said that personal attacks are being made on him. He had never dragged anyone by name.

Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu said that he had addressed Principal R.S. Jhanji as Jhanji Sahib.

At this stage, pandemonium prevailed.

Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua said that Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu had also been saying that the records should be checked. He has not been naming Principal R.S. Jhanji in the matter.

Principal R.S. Jhanji said that he also have not taken the name of Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu. He had suggested only to check the records of the past six years. He

Proceedings of Syndicate meeting dated 13th July, 2020

further said that Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu is well aware of the fact that he has no interest in enquiries or otherwise.

Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu said that he was talking of the prerogative of the Vice Chancellor.

Principal R.S. Jhanji said that he has been dragged in the case by his name to have been the nominee of the Vice Chancellor.

Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu said that Principal R.S. Jhanji should tell about his being in the Principal expert Committee.

Principal R.S. Jhanji said that he does not go anywhere. He is not in the habit of such things. He has never gone and never said to anyone for favour.

Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu said that it has been said by Dr. R.S. Jhanji that the Committee composition was wrong.

Principal R.S. Jhanji said that he had only said that if any person could contribute, then he should have been re-placed in the Committee.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that his request is that the six seven names which have been described here by Principal R.S. Jhanji, out of which, anyone who cannot contribute as per Principal R.S. Jhanji could be removed.

Principal R.S. Jhanji said that repeatedly the same things has been coming to fore. He did not mean that. The meaning of his saying was that if anyone could contribute, then he could be added on the Committee.

Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu said that while sitting in the House, he has been feeling insulted that what is the prerogative of the Syndicate, that was not being honoured.

Shri Jarnail Singh said that it has been proposed and the Syndicate have to watch the interest of the students. The matter is of promotion of the students. If they have said about a Committee, it is the prerogative of the Syndicate. He further said that the Committee be made through. He further said that if they want to add any new member, then ask Professor Navdeep Goyal to add the name of that member.

Dr. Rabinder Nath Sharma said that he has to say something fundamentally. He said that he has been in the Senate since the year 1992. The point as stated by Professor Rajinder Bhandari, he does not agree to that at all. He said that it is a very simple matter that the Syndicate is the executive government and the Vice Chancellor is the Chief ,Head or Chairman of that but the Vice Chancellor have no veto power anywhere. It has been in the records as per legal opinion that the Vice Chancellor is just one of the members of the Syndicate. He further said that that is why he did want to substantiate the records that this should not be understood that the Vice Chancellor is all in all and he keeps any veto power, it cannot be such. Secondly, if the House has constituted the Committee, then it is the prerogative of the House either to reject the Committee or resize the Committee by adding or reducing the number of members. But it can never happen that by ignoring that Committee, a new Committee be formed. The Committee should be rejected or adopted. These were two fundamental issues which he did want to put forth because it was a question of constitutional position.

The Vice Chancellor requested the members to proceed further, Dr. Rabinder Nath Sharma questions as to what is the status of that Committee.

Proceedings of Syndicate meeting dated 13th July, 2020

Shri Ashok Goyal enquired as to what is the decision of the Syndicate on the issue. The further movement will only be after the decision on the issue. Let it be told.

The Vice Chancellor said that proper nomination would take place and after consultation with Professor Naveep Goyal, if there would be any shortcoming, he would talk to him and issue would get resolved.

Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu said that on this issue, he would boycott the Syndicate.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that the Vice Chancellor should not force him to refer to the relevant regulation because he (Vice Chancellor) has some people who are habitual of misguiding the Vice Chancellor at the House. He further said that Dr. Rabinder Nath Sharma has very rightly said that it is the prerogative of the Syndicate to form a Committee. He further said that it has already been told that they have to discuss those issues which has already been discussed in the Syndicate and but has not been implemented as yet. To proceed with the agenda carries no reference, if non-implemented things of the past are not taken into consideration. It is only in that context that Professor Navdeep Goyal has been raising the issue of ATR.

Professor Navdeep Goyal said that it is the matter of item No. 7. He said that it is the resolve part of the earlier proceedings.

Shri Ashok Goyal enquired that he did not want to ask because a pertinent question has been Dr. Iqbal Singh Sandhu and he thought that the Vice Chancellor is the most appropriate person to answer that question but unfortunately he has not bothered to reply the question. Rather he has tried to ignore it. He said that in this very Syndicate, a decision was taken to constitute a need-based Affiliation Committee and Approval Committee. Why a Committee already constituted by the Syndicate, he just want to repeat the question Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu had asked. The Syndicate has suggested that Shri Ashok Goyal would be the Chairman and he has posed a question to the Chairman that why the meeting of the Committee has not been held. As a Chairman he is asking the Vice Chancellor that why the Committee has not been notified till date, what to talk of convening the meeting, even the he has not been sent any letter to the effect that a Committee has been constituted. Please reply to him.

The Dean, College Development Council said that so far as the question of the Affiliation Committee is concerned, he had discussed it with the Vice Chancellor and he thought that should they request the Syndicate i they can grant sufficient time to the office.

Shri Ashok Goyal asked where is that request.

The Dean, College Development Council said that it was just a thought.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that you people would keep on thinking and the time is on. The people are awaiting their approval, people are awaiting their affiliation, they as the Dean, College Development Council do not know as to what was the sanctity of the time. They are still thinking that they would request.

Professor Navdeep Goyal said that there has been discussion on the issue.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that as Dean, College Development Council and the Vice Chancellor still thinking that they have the prerogative to go beyond the dictate of the Syndicate.

Proceedings of Syndicate meeting dated 13th July, 2020

The Dean, College Development Council said that he had accounts with Professor Navdeep Goyal.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that No, Professor Navdeep Goyal has been writing everything to him. He said that he just wanted to know what happened to that. He further stated that people were abusing them because the people unofficially know that they were the members of the Committee and they say that they are not doing anything. He said that they are at a loss to share anything with them that the University is not moving in any direction. He said that secondly, a formal decision was taken and the Vice Chancellor had given the assurance in the meeting that they would call a special meeting in the context of model code of conduct on a letter which was written by a teacher of the affiliated college, the special meeting was called only on that agenda. He further said that he just wanted to revive as to what assurance the Vice Chancellor had given. He just wanted to revive as to what decision was taken informally by the Vice Chancellor in consultation with all the members of the Syndicate that nobody who will be contesting election from any constituency, will be selected for any selection/affiliation Committee. It was the decision taken by the Syndicate. It was the decision taken by the Vice Chancellor. This was the assurance and this was recorded that they have to ensure that no model of code of conduct is violated. He said that he just want to know from the Vice Chancellor or officially wants to confirm. He just wants that confirmation as to if the decisions are being followed or not. If not followed, then he just wants to know who has got the authority to violate. He said that what was decided here in a special meeting and if it has been violated, under what circumstances the violation has taken place. He continued saying that they have made the Syndicate a toy in the hands of a person just as it would have been a toy in the hands of a child. He said that a decision was taken in consultation as has been stated by him and he would like the Vice Chancellor to answer on this question.

The Vice Chancellor asked the officers to respond.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that the Vice Chancellor should not try to hijack the Syndicate in the manner. It would cause discomfort if he started raising the issue by denoting the name of the Vice Chancellor. He said that he had been seeking queries from the Vice Chancellor and he has been passing it to the others. He said that as to whom the Vice Chancellor has been pointing to give reply to the queries.

The Dean, College Development Council said that whatever model code of conduct has been asking, probably they have tried to follow.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that it means that they have not been able to follow.

The Dean, College Development Council said that was what they had been following but somewhere, he did not know about any particular instance.

Shri Ashok Goyal raised a query asking then as to who knows.

The Dean, College Development Council said that that was what they had been doing it. He does not know whether there was any particular instance.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that if they had been doing, then how can there be any particular instance. He asked the Dean, College Development Council to confirm as to whether they were following. He further stated that should he take the statement of the Dean, College Development Council as a confirmation that they have not been violating the code.

The Vice Chancellor said that that whatever the Dean, College Development Council has been telling needs to be checked.

Proceedings of Syndicate meeting dated 13th July, 2020

Shri Ashok Goyal said that they were only to see as to if the Committee was appointed by the Vice Chancellor or the Dean, College Development Council. He further said that he was asking in the context of decision which was taken in February, 2020 while discussing the model code of conduct after they had received a letter and directed the office of Dean, College Development Council, he said that he simply wants a statement that the decision whatever was taken is being followed or not. If the answer is Yes, then there is no problem. But if there is any deviation then it must be placed to the Syndicate as to why under what circumstances that deviation has taken place.

The Dean, College Development Council said that they had tried to follow it to the maximum extent so that the things remain as they do not do anything wrong and as far as those people who are contesting election from any constituency, they actually do not know that they have sent anyone for any selection or anything else or he or she is still contesting election. They do not have any data of that. So right now it was very difficult to have any information about it, because he or she has been still contesting election, they have sent him on some selection.

Ms. Anu Chatrath said that in the meeting of Syndicate February 2020 it was decided that a person who will be sent for selection, they will be asked to submit an affidavit that they will not be contesting the election.

The Dean, College Development Council said that he is sorry for that he has no knowledge of it. It was not in his knowledge.

Shri Ashok Goyal stated that it was why he was putting the question to the Vice Chancellor because he is not supposed to admit. If you want you can deny everything, he cannot stop him from this. But he said that he knows the questions which are in my mind. He said that he simply wants as to whether the decision has been violated. Is it the statement that to maximum extent they have tried to follow? He could understand that there was COVID situation, they had to follow exemptions. He said that there has to some extent the information. He stated that to say that as of today, they do not know who is contesting the election or who is not contesting the election because they do not have the data. When all the newspaper are reporting that these are the candidates who are contesting the election, campaigns are on and a person belong to a college who is contesting an election or a prospective candidate for the election, the Dean, College Development Council have been saying that they have not been having any data. It is something very surprising in the University. He said that he just want to tell the Vice Chancellor that the decisions of the Syndicate are rolled to devalue again at the cost of, he was saying, not as the decision of the government at all. He put a query as to who would tell if the Syndicate has been performing it duties, who fix the accountability or not, for violating those rules and unfortunately, the violation has been done by the Vice Chancellor himself and it was conveyed to the office of the Chancellor also that violation has been done by the Vice Chancellor himself. He said that unless and until the Vice Chancellor is ready to fix the responsibility of the teacher concerned who actually held responsible the Vice Chancellor or let the Vice Chancellor take upon himself that he intentionally knowing actually has violated. He asked if he (the Vice Chancellor) do not have the data, the Dean, College Development Council concerned does not have the data, then where from he would get the information about the issue which has been violated. He has to gather it from here and there. The person who is holding the official position, knowing everything, he can escape the responsibility by saying that he has not got the data and he cannot tell who is contesting or who is not contesting. He further said that as for as Principal R.S. Jhanji who has raised the question, he said that he remember that six months back the Vice Chancellor had stated that they have already got the excel sheet prepared as to who are those who have left out and who will be sent and the excel sheet he had seen nothing has been shared. So if data is shared, there is nothing wrong in it. It would actually help the Vice Chancellor in knowing the real situation. The real picture will come in front of him only when the bunch of files or bunch of data

compiled by the offices is supplied to the Vice Chancellor. He said that he had already raised because his question has not been answered and he is still waiting. He said that he knew that the Vice Chancellor will say that he will talk to me later on. But since it is the question of the credibility of the Syndicate, the question of the prerogative of the Syndicate has been violated and the Committee which was sent there as again despite inspections stopped by this office and violated another decision of the Syndicate, wilfully, knowingly. He questioned as to who was responsible for that. In last to last Syndicate an issue was raised as to a Committee which has gone and made the selections beyond the prerogative and the decision of the Syndicate. The Vice Chancellor had said that he would look into it and after two and a half month, he just wanted to know what has been done to that, he said nothing. He said that so much so that a selection made in violation of the decision of the Syndicate, selection made at the dictate of the Vice Chancellor, to his knowledge, has not even been declined for approval. He questioned as to what they were doing. He said all these things he is not interested in asking the Dean, College Development Council, you (Vice Chancellor) may please ask him, you may see the files, and they want the decision to be taken in post-lunch session because it is nothing, it relates to the Syndicate. He further said that he would prefer and he would propose, that anything which has been done in violation of the Syndicate, should be declared null and void and should be nullified today in this meeting because they cannot accept that the mistakes which has already been committed, now they cannot do anything, done is done. He said that No, it cannot be tolerated under any circumstances because earlier they were of the impression as if something is being done due to an oversight, but now they are convinced that it was being done as a matter of design. He said that then he remember Professor Navdeep Goyal was with him, the Registrar was also present there and the issue was discussed threadbare with the Vice Chancellor about the MTS employees, it was decided that they cannot afford to close down the University. But at the same time, they cannot afford to continue with all the persons employed under MTS, it was the shortage of funds. So it was decided that keeping in mind the needs of the departments or the establishment, let them try to prune the number of MTS and the Vice Chancellor was in complete agreement to that. Now after few days, he read in the newspaper that overnight all the MTS were relieved. A lot of agitation was there, a lot of slogganning was there and the next day, he read in the newspapers that some Professors of the University was giving statement that they had well informed, well in advance the contractor that the contract is over. He said that he do not know, who was that. He is neither the Registrar, nor the FDO, nor the Controller, nor any officer of the University, nor the Vice Chancellor. He questioned as to who has given him the authority to speak on behalf of the University. Who has given him the authority to discontinue the contract? He said that he does not know. Now there are the people who are saying that they know about the staff to run the University, and Syndicate and Senate have no role. He said that if it is believed for a minute, whether the Committees which are extra constitutional for which there is no provision in the Calendars, are they in a position to act on behalf of the University. Has the Syndicate not to take serious note of all these things? That how many people have been created, somebody parallel to Registrar, somebody parallel to Controller of Examination, somebody parallel to Dean, College Development Council, all parallel authorities have been created. He said that he was worried about it and still more worried about that there are some people who have been created as parallel authority of the Syndicate and Senate also. That what was decided by the Syndicate and Senate finds no consequence, but go to the people that is implemented, declared, announced and published in the newspaper. When they ask if they have done it, then nobody knows. Then the Vice Chancellor goes for verification. He said that if they take it as in wrong perspective, please try to look into it. If some paucity is seen, if some wrong has been done, how the correction can be made. He said that his question about Affiliation Committee and Approval Committee, things remain unanswered because they have talked only about the model code of conduct. He said that it has been said that they all have been requesting the Syndicate. Have the Dean, College Development Council thought or the Vice Chancellor have thought that they want the earlier decision review not as an agenda. So he wanted the statement of the Dean, College Development

Council has approved statement. He said that he feels that the Vice Chancellor to take this on priority of non-processing the applications in Vice Chancellor office and non-processing of approval cases of the Affiliation Committee whatever the case may be. He said that why he has been asked to have patience. He said that he had been raising this issue in the Syndicate of 2019 and in the Syndicate of 2020 also and he had requested that the individual cases which are pending since long, which creates frustration among the teachers, those should be taken up. It was decided in one of the meeting of the Syndicate that a Committee already constituted to look into the cases of promotion, and it was specifically mentioned that the case of Dr. Bhupinder Singh Pali be sent to the same Committee. He said that he did not know as to why it was not noted in the minutes, why it has never come and he has just want to share with the House that it was now two years since interview has already taken place, to his knowledge all the members of the Selection Committee have signed the proceedings also but for the reasons best known to the Vice Chancellor, the file, the Selection Committee proceedings, have never been put to Syndicate for consideration. He said that at least he has never seen during his tenure as member of the Senate that Selection Committee proceedings are not to be shown to the Syndicate and they we say we should have patience. He said that you (Vice Chancellor) tell him as Vice Chancellor to guide the Syndicate if a person concerned approaches, what answer he would have. If he is not selected, convey him and if he has not been selected, convey him, if there are some shortcomings, convey him but who have given us the right to keep him at a chase us for not informing him many days. He continued saying that to his knowledge the office took a turn that the selection committee proceedings file is not traceable. The proceedings which are supposed to be in the custody of Vice Chancellor office, not in the custody at the administrative block, the Vice Chancellor office has been asking various branches and also to the concerned department that where the file has gone. He wondered as to what was this. He said that as a member of the Senate and the Syndicate, he had no answer. He said that his request again was that whatever had or has happened, but please put that case before the Committee which is already looking into the cases. When another letter was written, then why a member of the Senate, why a sitting member of the Senate who actually is victim of the decision which was taken by the Syndicate in violation of the regulations in 2017 had no information being supplied to the members of the Senate, whatsoever. The Syndicate took decision in its wisdom. He said that as he has earlier said the Vice Chancellor does not have prerogative to violate the regulations. Similarly the Syndicate does not have the prerogative of violating the regulations. If some decisions were taken in 2017 in violation of the regulations, into letter to that effect has been addressed and given to the Vice Chancellor with a copy to all the members of the Syndicate, he wonder why that matter has been postponed to the agenda. He said that he wonder why that matter has not been brought to the agenda. Why that matter has not been brought to agenda, without agenda, they cannot discuss. To bring an item as agenda is the prerogative of the Vice Chancellor, he should tell them where they were wrong. He continued saying that in this very Syndicate, last Syndicate, though they have discussed, it was very specifically discussed that there are cases in which the University prestige is involved, the Syndicate prestige is involved in which the rules and regulations and the statute of the University are involved. He said that while recommending the cases, please ensure that such and such senior advocate is engaged to argue the cases. The Vice Chancellor had agreed in the Syndicate but he is sorry to point out that they have given to importance whatever the Syndicate had said. The Vice Chancellor went ahead to appoint a lawyer of his choice. He does not know under what circumstances, knowingly well how prestigious was that case? Now one by one in his start of count of item, there are the cases in which the Vice Chancellor has not bothered to follow what has been decided by the Syndicate. He said that only last six months, in which two months period is already gone out of coverage, with four or give meeting denial, he should be told that even if he does not want, what kind of impression I will give, the impression will be that Vice Chancellor does not bother, he holds the meeting of the Syndicate just for formality. Now coming to that even members of the Syndicate has now been raising the issues, that in a particular meeting, a Committee was constituted by the Syndicate and the Vice Chancellor on his own

extends the Committee. He said that he could understand that all the members which were named by the Syndicate are there and the Vice Chancellor in his wisdom has added some names. But not that the Syndicate had decided, have been excluded but the Vice Chancellor made the committee on his own. He said that there is a specific regulation which gives the right to the Syndicate to give any power to Vice Chancellor, to give any powers to the Committee to be constituted from amongst the members of the Syndicate or outside the Syndicate. But the Vice Chancellor to say that he will make the Committee. Yes the Syndicate has been given the power but the Syndicate does not give the power to the Vice Chancellor to snatch the authority from the Syndicate. He said that why he was saying all these things, because he had tried to convey him in person in a number of meetings, unfortunately that are not yielding results. So he thought that you (the Vice Chancellor) must bring to the knowledge of the House that how much care and how much importance is being given to the Syndicate by the Vice Chancellor. After having said all these things, he still hope, may we hope against hope, that the Vice Chancellor will look into all aspects and see that the Syndicate is not reflected in the media as an outside and as that the Syndicate and the Vice Chancellor are on opposite sides. He said that you are on our side and they are on his side that will be slogan before today, that is not a slogan, that is to be proved also. He said that the Vice Chancellor should become a part to prove that they are all one and they are against each other.

He continued saying and sought from the Dean, College Development Council as to why the Affiliation and Approval Committee, which was constituted has not been notified. He said that he has been told what the Dean, College Development Council was unable to do that the decision of the Syndicate of which the minutes were approved, after the approval of the minutes, Dean, College Development Council had not sent that Committee for approval of the Vice Chancellor. It means that Syndicate carry no value. All other Committee which were constituted by the Syndicate, has been notified by the concerned branches. He said that this was the only Committee which was not notified and awaiting the approval of the Vice Chancellor and now it has been said that they were thinking that they would request the Syndicate.

About another point, Shri Ashok Goyal said that new inventions' are being thought of by the office of Vice Chancellor. That individual cases, which has been sent to the office of the Vice Chancellor for approval whether the case of Lecturer or the Principal, they have not been approved on one ground or the other. There are instances where they had discussed last time, that brought to the notice of Dean, College Development Council that there was another case wherein a male has been appointed as Principal in a Women college and the approval has also gone from the month of October 2019. He questioned who was answerable for that and that is the case which has been quoted by the individual in the Punjab & Haryana High court. He asked as to who was responsible for that. That in violations of all the rules and regulations, they were doing, who are the people doing it? The affected people have themselves gone as member of the selection Committee experts. They have done it, they have got the approval then, with the help of the office of college branch and Dean, College Development Council office and now same are the people who are reaching in the High court. He said that his proposal in that also is that whatever has been done, in violation of the provisions of the calendar, that should be nullified and approval if already has been granted, should be withdrawn or they will see as an everything has been done as a matter of design and intentionally to wash that impression, please look into all the cases raised by him along with the cases which have been discussed.

The Vice Chancellor said that some points as raised by Shri Ashok Goyal have remained un- noted otherwise he (Vice Chancellor) would like to know from some points which has been raised by Shri Ashok Goyal which are very pertinent questions that he has raised. The Vice Chancellor said that the official will give the detail to answer the query and if there is any doubt, he would talk to him.

Proceedings of Syndicate meeting dated 13th July, 2020

Shri Ashok Goyal said that he has to make it to the Vice Chancellor to give him just five minutes to tell the officials as to what is the case, what wrong has happened, and how it could be corrected. The Vice Chancellor said that this would be seen later on. Shri Ashok Goyal said he too has been saying so. He said that the Affiliation and Approval Committee be got notified.

Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu said that the appointment of 2017 if has happened now, it would be a matter of thankfulness.

Principal R.S. Jhanji said that the Shri Ashok Goyal has raised very good issues. He said that this all is the result of that there is no coordination. He would like to add to the issues as raised by Shri Ashok Goyal. He said that the issues of Affiliation Committee have been discussed, the affiliation committee for the colleges have been discussed. He wants to remind one more thing that whatever the Committee will be constituted by the Vice Chancellor with whatever composition, there are two issues concerning it. He said that the Committee which will be constituted for the old courses, there would be an online inspection or that data which would be sought from them, the affiliation which prevailed last time, the permission should be given for this year seeing the situation, for one time, to carry it over. He said that affiliation should be given so that admissions could be done, otherwise there would be a problem because they are already facing hardships. He further stated that if anyone has applied for a new course, then online data should be sought from the concerned college. In case the course comes under the parameters as prescribed by the affixation committee, then the permission may be granted, otherwise not. He also opined that it could be such that the new course can be denied to be granted. About another points, he said that there occurs a problem after every four years when the election of the Senate/Syndicate happens and they are likely contest the election. He said that the matter was also discussed earlier that things remain uncertain and unclear as to who will contest the election or not. He said that there has been sitting many persons who are likely to be nominated. By this time, it is not clear as to if they would come into nomination or not, it is not reasonable to stop them by now that he cannot go into the election. One thing which he must like to say that as soon as the election notification is issued, an affidavit should be taken from the person who is likely to be sent on any committee, that he is not contesting the election.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that they cannot go out of what they have already conveyed to the office of the Chancellor in the month of February, 2020. The notification was already done and in view of the notification, he said that he is just raising the point of order that a decision is not going to be reviewed after five months what was taken already and was conveyed to the office of the Chancellor. He said that the letter was issued only after the notification of the election.

Principal R.S. Jhanji said that it was never late to mend.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that in the meantime, whatever has been done in violation of the decision of February 2020, be nullified. It should be resolved that any such affiliation or selection, the proceedings are non asset. They do not exist. After lunch a decision can be taken.

Principal R.S. Jhanji said that so far as the matters of approval of the cases are concerned, whether this is of any teacher, or of any other kind, they should be released immediately. For this they could spare more time, it could be Saturday, Sunday, or any other time, but the cases should be made clear.

Professor Rajinder Bhandari said that he has been in the Syndicate/Senate of this University for the last sixteen years. But he does not want to say or repeat that strange type of decisions, which were never seen or heard, the affiliation Committee, approval committee, is unable to understand what type of ambiguity has been taking

place. He said that these are not the statutory committee which are necessarily to be constituted by the Syndicate. It must be seen that this does not bring any defame on the image of the University.

Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu said that the people, who have been running the shops in the name of the education, do not want that there must be affiliation committees. He said that the colleges have been giving only eight thousand salary to the regular teachers. How can they wish that they are screened by the affiliation Committee of the University. He said that they are doing wrong and Dr. Rajinder Bhandari should not take side of them.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that Dr. Rajinder Bhandari the saying that the Vice Chancellor should not come into the sayings of the members. He said that the Vice Chancellor should ask Dr. Rajinder Bhandari not to talk in such a language.

Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu said that the unregulated people wish that they are not checked by anyone. He further said that it is their duty to keep a watch over them.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that he would show them what these people have done. The people who raised the finger against the integrity of others, he will show what these people are doing. He questioned as to if one can see, as to who is the man of integrity or who is not the man of integrity. He asked the Vice Chancellor could he see what type of message they have been sending across society.

Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua said that he has been having the record of the affiliation Committee which is in his car and the same is not with the Vice Chancellor. He said that the Committees constituted by the Vice Chancellor and the records thereof is with him and not with the Vice Chancellor. Those people have done differently to one college and the other. He said that he is firm on his wordings even of today, that this movement has been to halt the work. He said that the names of those black sheeps should be painted on the front wall who are always inclined to defame the name of the University. Their names should be brought to fore.

Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu said that firstly the college has been got started and now the unauthentic support was being provided.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that last time, the decision was taken in the the Syndicate, in the presence of the honourable members and today too, they have been sitting opening it. He said that they have said that there was not need of forming Affiliation Committee. Let the Syndicate take place every day for what is required in the interest of the colleges, teachers and the students. He further stated that it is the impractical situation and the Vice Chancellor was of the opinion that they were not to constitute such Committees. He ultimately they had to revise it in view of the COVID situation has warranted and he only suggested that they would not go for a Committee. Now Professor Rajinder Bhandari says that the Vice Chancellor should not come into their saying. He said that he is the first to suggest that there should not be any Committee, no Committee by the Syndicate and no Affiliation, no Approval and no Sub-Committee and everything, even if they have to hold the meeting of the Syndicate daily.

Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu said that he had a proposal to make in case the University could dare. He said that whole of the Syndicate members should be in the Affiliation Committee. They have no objection. He said that things would come clear as to who advocates the interests of the colleges and who not.

Principal R.S. Jhanji said that all the fifteen members of the syndicate could be placed in the affiliation Committee.

Proceedings of Syndicate meeting dated 13th July, 2020

Shri Ashok Goyal said that he undertakes that they should take a decision only and only in the presence of man of integrity and there will be no wrong decision taken. Let the man of integrity sit amongst them, he is sure that more of the decision of the Syndicate. There would be allegation free decisions.

Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu said that under the Chairmanship Principal R.S. Jhanji, two colleges has been inspected and have come after making records in the favour of the teachers, despite of recommending in favour of the teachers, the teachers have been terminated and afterwards what is being done here is that Dean, College Development Council and the college branch deletes the name of the teacher from their records. This is the dual layered punishment to the teachers. He said that that is why these Committees are necessary to exist. He said that it is their responsibility to see that no one is made to suffer at the hand of the college administration. He said that Dr. Rajinder Bhandari should be asked to go there and ask the management of the college/s to reinstate the sacked teachers.

Professor Rajinder Bhandari said that he would like to speak with selective words. He said that it is said that the truth is always bitter.

Shri Ashok Goyal sarcastically said that the Vice Chancellor should allow all the members to speak truth wholeheartedly. He said whether it is sweet or the bitter, the truth is always truth.

Professor Rajinder Bhandari said that he is not the claimant of the fact that what has been said by him is of ultimate nature and the sayings of the others also are not the exhaustive ones. He said that it does not mean that if some ideas have come to mind and it should be kept only inside. It should not flow out irrespective of its vocal effects. He said that if all say that Syndicate is all in all, then it might be so.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that on the point of order, he said on account of this case, you say that do not come into their vocals. It means he concluded that whatever these people say, say right.

Professor Rajinder Bhandari urged the Vice Chancellor not to come to their vocals. The things remain ineffective because the case is not such that the Vice Chancellor has come into my (Professor Rajinder Bhandari) sayings.

Professor Rajinder Bhandari continued saying that the responsibility of the Syndicate members is most important. He said that it should be thoroughly checked as to if the Syndicate has the right to constitute the Committee of the kind of affiliation or approval or otherwise. If there has been coming any problem into Syndicate affiliation, the University has been here for the last so many years, the problem that surfaced has turned into such type of problem and the talks have started to have been made today that the Syndicate should sit every day, this should not be such. He further said that if the unnecessary and unwanted Committees would be formed, so many untoward things would be made to come to fore.

Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu said that it is the prerogative of the Syndicate and the Syndicate for its accountability and answerability constitutes the Committees because the affiliation and approvals are to be given by the Syndicate. He said that Dr. Rajinder Bhandari is more senior to him and he should have more knowledge of it.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that if the Syndicate has no prerogative to constitute the Committees, then the Syndicate cannot constitute the Committees. He said that it is not his fault that Professor Rajinder Bhandari is not aware of the fact despite of being so senior to them, that it is the prerogative of the Syndicate. It is not the matter of today, the Syndicate had been since long in the process of forming the Committees and the times of which Dr. Rajinder Bhandari has been talking of, it should be checked that

from a particular place what was the number of applications in old times and to what numbers the affiliation application are poured today from that particular area. He said that due to this, the no inspection report is made to be put up before the Syndicate because it is not feasible. But nevertheless, he said that he endorsed if there is no power vested in the Syndicate to make any Committee and let it not be made. He said that Professor Rajinder Bhandari is in a better position to guide them to show that they did not have any grudge.

The Vice Chancellor said that the members should decide as to what is to be done.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that the decision had earlier been taken.

Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu said that endorsement be made and the Committee be got notified.

Professor Rajinder Bhandari said that the matter be got examined.

The members said that what is to be examined. On one man's saying examining cannot be done.

The Vice Chancellor said that he should be told what is to be examined.

Professor Navdeep Goyal said that that the matter is that either the Syndicate be called every day, they would make it doing here and he further said that the Syndicate makes the Committees and that Committee makes Sub-Committee. These Committees which are under reference are those Sub-Committees.

The Vice Chancellor said that he had got the point. He said that as has been said by Professor Navdeep Goyal, the matter would be resolved by making the sub-committees.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that they had taken the decision in the Syndicate that need based Committees would be constituted.

The Vice Chancellor said that then come and make the need based Committees.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that when the notification will happen, only then the need based Committees could be formed.

The Vice Chancellor asked the Dean, College Development Council to get it notified.

Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu said that the matter should not come again in the Syndicate.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that several items had been taken in the last meeting and decisions have been taken thereon and their implementation has not taken place. They want to see it.

The Vice Chancellor said that let now they take up the agenda items.

Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua said that the Vice Chancellor must be remindful of the meeting of the Syndicate of 8th March, 2020. He had all the agenda of the year 2019 which were placed on the table. He said that if any issue relating to colleges have been resolved, it should be done in the meeting of the Syndicate otherwise it would be of no use. He said that from 8th of March 2020 till July 13, 2020 the things are as it is. He said that what was happening is that there is a SDP College Ludhiana. There is a order of the High Court of 6.8.2019. The Vice Chancellor interrupted in between and

asked Dr. Harpreet Singh that this will be taken up in zero hour. On this Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua said that the agenda would be taken later on, by now the zero hour will persist. The Vice Chancellor said that then, let them make it zero hour.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that the agenda could be taken tomorrow. He said that the decisions taken earlier have not been implemented. He further said that the ATRs are brought at the will of the Vice Chancellor. He said that his queries have not been addressed and he hopes that neither the reply would come. He further enquired as to why the letter written by the Senate members have not been brought here while the fresh matter of today has come and the matter which is of fifteen days past, has not come. He asked as to if it was not a matter of design.

The Vice Chancellor said that this should not be said so. He has just now come to know about it.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that then the accountability be fixed. He said that the Registrar is accountable for not bringing it and the responsibility be fixed.

Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua said that there is a judgment of 8.7.2019 and he will read the relevant para 2(i). Meanwhile the petitioner is at liberty to admission for at its own risk. However in case any provisional document is required by the respondent University, the same supplied by the petitioner college is also directed to cooperate with the respondent University. He said that one year has lapsed, but no enquiry committee has been set up. He said that happened but, they retrenched all the teachers. He said that he had made at least fifty phone calls to the Dean, College Development Council to look into the matter

Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua said that he had raised the issue of two Colleges. The SGPC College has not any dearth of money, there is a Kota College on main road and there is a pendency of fifteen months salary. It has no concern with under present situation COVID. Another College is a Garhshankar. The eight months salary is also pending there. It was decided in the Syndicate that a Committee would be sent but that Committee has not seen the light of the day till today.

Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua said that the third issue relates to Killianwali College. He said that this is a confirmed matter that the college in which the Vice Chancellor, Registrar or Dean, College Development Council visits, it has been written on the walls, that is why they have been stopping them. They are given letter in the evening and told not to come tomorrow. He had made so many calls that someone should be sent there to see what was happening there and decision be made what is proper as per rule. He further said that regarding so many teachers, either these are teachers from Government Girls College, Ludhiana, or the Aurobindo College or it was the SGPC college, they have been reinstating the suspended teachers. He said that they have been fighting in the court that they have wrongly been suspended. He said that whatever the Colleges have been doing, the University has been endorsing all that.

Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu said that on one hand the colleges are being issued letter and on the other hand their action has been validated.

Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua said that the Vice Chancellor wants that the agenda be got passed and they see, even after that there seems to be nothing. No priority is given to them.

Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu said that he is sorry to intervene that the agenda is mostly consisting of the issue relating to the University and the colleges issues are not discussed and situation has been of the kind of deterioration.

Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua said that there are two other Colleges in Ludhiana, one is Kamla Lotia College Atam Ballabhbhai Jain College. They has been imposed Regulation 11.2. Principal R.S. Jhanji have gone there and the report has been with them for the last six months, he had gone to near about December, and the report might have been given, only one meeting took place, it was written that every month, the meeting and visit be organized but the report of the committee have not reached as yet. He said that the Syndicate had imposed Regulation 11.2 there and what is the outcome of that. He said that they have fixed deposits of crores of rupees but the salary of four, six months have not been paid. He also talked about the DAV College Jagraon.

The Vice Chancellor said that he thinks that a Committee of the colleges people after taking the members from amongst them be constituted and two three Committee be made. The Dean, College Development Council will assist them and whatever would be required by the Committee, that would be provided to them. He said that he has been listening for the last so many times, that the issues are overdue and things have not been getting done, he said that it appear to be the problem of coordination. He said that he wants that the issues be resolved on priority basis and they should suggest three four names for the Committee so that the issue is sorted out.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that on a serious note he could just pass that means they accept that the office is complete failure in following the dictates of the Syndicate. Should they accept it.

The Vice Chancellor said that rather than passing such a comments, they should facilitate.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that the Vice Chancellor should tell as to in which manner they should facilitate. He further said that all the inputs are given but ultimately happens what the office wants. He said that whatever the dealing Clerks writes, that is considered final.

Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu said that he wants to tell one thing. He said that there are so many colleges, and many a teachers have told him that they have been having appointment in 2017. The case was sent to the Dean, College Development Council to take affiliation. The cases of those teachers were not sent for approval. After two years the affiliation reaches, the teachers are relieved. He said that he has been telling the Dean, College Development Council by taking the name of the college, and the chairman of the college is his relative, the complaint of the students was brought to the notice of the Dean, College Development Council but nobody listened. Here no persons hold himself responsible.

The Vice Chancellor said that the speakers should conclude so that others could get their turn.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that the Vice Chancellor would be astonished to know that the appointments of pass outs of CMJ University has been started in the affiliated colleges and from here from the Dean, College Development Council office not even a single letter has been sent that they do not approve it. He said that it the very CMJ University whose circular had been issued since 2013 that their degree are not valid even for admission, but the teachers have been getting appointments. He further said that approval have not gone from here and but even this letter has also not been going that the approval is not being granted. Tomorrow they will say that they have been confirmed. He asked who will get these things done.

The Vice Chancellor said that it will have to made time bound. He said to Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua that just by raising the issue would happen nothing, the point is that as to how to get it done, focus should be on that.

Proceedings of Syndicate meeting dated 13th July, 2020

Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua said that when Professor A.K. Bhandari was the Registrar, there was a precedent that whatever decisions are taken were here, immediate action was taken and no wait for the arrival of the minutes happened to be there. He said that the following day of the meeting, at 9 a.m. Professor used to call the concerned Deputy Registrar, Controller of Examination and Dean, College Development Council and they were apprised the decision of emergent nature discussed in the Syndicate the Syndicate and for action no wait of the minutes was done. But now if they stress on some issue it is understood that they had some specific interest.

He further asked the Vice Chancellor what was his stand on the issue of whose votes have been deleted.

The Vice Chancellor said that they are coming with that Committee in which all the college issues will be handled.

Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu said that they do nothing except seeing the return of the College.

Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua said that whatever the decision are to be made, the Committee is to be sent to the Kilianwali and SD College, the Committee should be authorized. He further said that whatever the works are to be done, that should be done immediately for which authorization be given. He continued saying that what could be done by making a Committee.

Professor Navdeep Goyal said that one who has been reinstated by the University, the University have no right to cut his/her vote. He said that at least this clear decision is to be taken today that those votes would remain as it is.

Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu said that they are talking differently. The approval will go from here, the Registrar is a very wise officer, they know all that. He said that if the approval of suspension have not gone, then the approval of the termination shall also not go. He enquired from the Dean, College Development Council that while approval from here had not gone, how the name has been deleted. He questioned was it at the will of the college to whatsoever, they would keep and remove to others.

Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua said that there is a LRM DAV college in Jagraon, the charge of which has been with the Principal of DAV College, Jullundur. How can it be? He said that that is the college of our University. There is no principal in Jagraon College. He said that every saying of the colleges are being given adherence, their assessment their approval are being given consideration.

Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu said that he would like to have excuse from Dr. Dua for interfering. He said that Professor Satish who have been sitting over here and they are the Director of the Management. He said that he has worked with that Management. He said that teachers issue of DAVs have been stopped for the last four years. The Principal which have come from the Guru Nanak Dev University, not at any penal and without any appointment authority of University, as to how they have been given approval. He question as to in which capacity the approval has been given as no penal from the University have gone. He further said that the DPI has not been making demands of the Principals. The people who are not being backed by anyone, their approval are being kept pending.

Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua said that Shri Ashok Goyal had proposed that they have not promoted the college teachers from Assistant Professor to that of Associate Professor. Shri Dayal Partap Randhawa has posed a query that before the Syndicate the documents will be provided that as to how many teachers fall under this category. He said that last meeting of the Syndicate happened and now this meeting had come, but yet the records have not reached. He further said that he would have to say

something from this stage that they put value to the dedication and honesty of Professor Sanjay Kaushik. He said that these qualities should be made a weapon. The chair of Dean, College Development Council is not a chair of additional charge. He said that leaving all other obligations aside, he should work for round the clock.

It was informed that the issues which has been raised by Shri Ashok Goyal and other colleagues, the issue is of a particular teacher. He said that what the issues of the teachers, for the example the votes of the teachers, the names which have been deleted, he said that still the last date exists. His request to the honourable members is that sometime it is not know clearly about the things. He said that the information if brought in his knowledge, would be considered. He said that as per rules, all the eligible cases would be approved irrespective of the college saying because they are dependent on the College, they are dependent on University Regulations. If the Regulations/Rule approves the name, bring it to the notice of the University that these are the teachers, and he takes surety, it would be done.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that the question is that as to why the names had been struck off. He said that he would thank the Registrar for including the names but who is responsible for deleting the names. The things should have been done after verification and matching with the records. He question as to then, what working was being done here.

Professor Navdeep Goyal said that he has one more problem. They say that as per University rules. This is about the one of the problem. He further said that the approvals about which Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu has talked about, three years approval has not been sent.

Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu said that the college has not required for pendency in approvals. He further said that when there are conditions then the colleges should have been enquired about it.

Professor Navdeep Goyal said that in this way, they have to do something, the disaffiliation of the college shall have to be done.

Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu said that the talks which are being made of Killaianwali College, there were so many Punjabis besides him. One teacher left for Jalandhar and the course was discontinued. He asked as to from whom the approval has been taken to discontinue the course. If the approval of affiliation has been taken from us, then the approval of disaffiliation should have been taken from us.

Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua said that there are set procedure in their calendars and this case has been quoted by them. At some places, it was being followed while on the others it is not. The case becomes particularly of the person who notes it.

Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu said that the Guru Nanak College had asked for discontinuation of eight to ten courses. He enquired where the approval to discontinue the course was. He said that such courses which have been discontinued, the past records reveal that there were seventy to eight students in the course.

Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua while pointing towards the Registrar said that the resolution which had been moved by Shri Ashok Goyal, it is of immense relevance that people were being debarred from promoting as Associate Professors for the last twenty five years. He said that for this no Committee was being constituted. As per him, there is very simple solution to it. He said that this was not the case of a single person, the cases are from whole of the Punjab.

Proceedings of Syndicate meeting dated 13th July, 2020

Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu said that it is not personal issue of his or that of Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua that the work is to be got done for a specific person. Their concern is that the teachers work should be given his due attention.

Shri Ashok Goyal asked as to why the resolution has not come into the Syndicate.

Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua questioned the quality of the agenda of the Syndicate. He said that they are made to deal with the Syndicate agenda of the type of that someone's promotion and someone's increment be stopped. The Vice Chancellor says that the agenda is to be done. He asked as to if this was the kind of the agenda to be discussed by them. He further said that this type of agenda could be handled while sitting at home. They have been made to feel insulted while dealing with such things.

He continued saying there are two three things to be done on priority. One of which is that the Committee be dispatched to Killianwali and two Colleges of SGPC, one at Kota and another at Garhshankar. He said that it is a matter of worth thinking that what they were doing. It is strange to see that the salary of fifteen months have been going pending and this should be seen that the SGPC has been having the annual budget of seven to eight hundred crores.

Principal Jarnail Singh said that whatever has been said by Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua, about all the colleges.

Principal Jarnail Singh said that a Committee was constituted on the issue raised by someone, in the Senate meeting about the college of Nihalsingh Wala. The Committee did not go there. The Committee very much exists. He said that the Committee be sent there. He said that the wherever the issue of salary in colleges involves, the Committee should be sent there.

On the point of order of Principal Jarnail Singh, Shri Ashok Goyal said he just want the Vice Chancellor to sense the level of frustration amongst the member of the Syndicate and they have reached a stage where they have requested that a Committee constituted in the month of January, is just requesting him again. This is just where they have reached. Instead of requesting for an enquiry as to why the Committee constituted in the month of January, has not visited the colleges till date, because they know nothing was going to come out, now simply say, send it. He said that the Vice Chancellor should just try to see what the Syndicate members think. That is why as he has said that the Vice Chancellor first discuss the decisions which were taken or deliberated in the earlier meetings have served any purpose and only then proceed further.

Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua said that it should be told to the concerned that they have no need to wait for any minutes for taking action in the matter.

Secondly, he said that the Registrar should respond, about the refresher and orientation courses, which have taken place on-line, have they any validity or not. The teachers are being heavily charged for these courses. He asked as to if there exists some guidelines on the part of the UGC in this respect. In the Webinar, the e-certification which is given as against the payment of rupees twenty five hundred as registration fee, he questioned as to if there will be granted any points of those e certificates which are given in those Webinars.

Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu asked the Registrar as to if they have any answer to these questions. Are they giving any reply to this. If they themselves know nothing, then who will tell them? He said that he had sent a message that one of their teacher had taken information from the UGC and it was informed that there was not any such scheme and this reply should have been given by the Panjab University authorities.

Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua said that the Vice Chancellor should give statement on this.

The Vice Chancellor said how can give any reply.

On this Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua said that the Vice Chancellor shall have to give the reply.

The Vice Chancellor said that all these things are on the website. How can they respond to it.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that it has been said by the Vice Chancellor that all this has been on the website, then the University have no knowledge about it.

Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua said that daily one speech is given by the Vice Chancellor in the Webinar. Have he not seen the guidelines of the Webinar.

The Vice Chancellor said that to his knowledge goes, there is no matter of written guidelines.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that that was what, they were asking for.

The Vice Chancellor said but these are not in black and white. He said that how a statement can be given that there is any such notification.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that if the Vice Chancellor knows nothings, what kind of clarification he could give that conduct the Webinars and run these shops. He further said that the Vice Chancellor says that discuss the issue, then say sort it out and keeps on doing this again and again and the zero hour goes in this manner.

Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua said that the Vice Chancellor should note that the college from which the representation comes, the team should be sent there.

Dean, College Development Council said that he had noted down all the issues which he has raised and hopefully, they are working on it. Earlier they have not been able to reach any conclusion. Soon within the time frame, they will try to conclude it.

Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua said that already one year has passed.

The Vice Chancellor said that the Committee of the people from amongst them, would be constituted and all the issue will be resolved in a time bound manner. He said that he has been authorizing it.

Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu said that there are a plenty of issues, there is no need of any Committee, Dean, College Development Council at his own could do it.

It was informed that about the college issues, the Committee would be constituted by the Vice Chancellor of the senior members, which would work in a time bound way. About the minutes, he told to the House that they would not wait for the approval of the Vice Chancellor, we would not wait for the approval of the minutes. The immediate approval of the Vice Chancellor would be taken and would clear the issues as soon as possible.

Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua said that two different things are being made. It is said that the Committee would be constituted and his wording is that the Committee be sent.

Proceedings of Syndicate meeting dated 13th July, 2020

It was responded to Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua's query that whatever he has been made to understand is that Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua has got so many issues noted, all are important and all are concerned about them. It might be possible that the Dean, College Development Council needs some guidance and for guidance a Committee would be got constituted. He further said that they are bound to follow a certain system.

Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua said that the cases which have got represented, for that, the Committee should be sent.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that the things should not be mixed. He said that there are so many issues which he has raised and the Syndicate is of the unanimous opinion that wherever any violation has taken place, whatever action has been taken in violation of the decision of the Syndicate or Senate, all the statutes of the University, they are to be declare null and void and *non asset*. He asked the Vice Chancellor to tell him, Yes or No. Say No, that means they endorse the illegal acts by the University. He further said that the Vice Chancellor has to look into it that whatever has been done in violation of the rules.

The Vice Chancellor said that the members should decide as to what is to be done.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that the decision had earlier been taken.

Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu said that endorsement be made and the Committee be got notified.

Dr. Rajinder Bhandari said that the matter be got examined.

The members said that what is to be examined. On one man's saying examining cannot be done.

The Vice Chancellor said that he should be told what is to be examined.

Professor Navdeep Goyal said that that the matter is that either the Syndicate be called every day, they would make it doing here and he further said that the Syndicate makes the Committees and those Committee makes Sub-Committee. These Committees which are under reference are those Sub-Committees.

The Vice Chancellor said that he had got the point. He said that as has been said by Professor Navdeep Goyal, the matter would be resolved by making the sub-committees.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that they had taken the decision in the Syndicate that need based Committees would be constituted.

The Vice Chancellor said that then come and make the need based Committees.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that when the notification will happen, only then the need based Committees could be formed.

The Vice Chancellor asked the Dean, College Development Council to get it notified.

Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu said that the matter should not come again in the Syndicate.

Proceedings of Syndicate meeting dated 13th July, 2020

Shri Ashok Goyal said that several items had been taken in the last meeting and decisions have been taken thereon and their implementation has not taken place. They want to see it.

The Vice Chancellor said that let now they take up the agenda items.

Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua said that the Vice Chancellor must be remindful of the meeting of the Syndicate of 8th March, 2020. He had all the agenda of the year 2019 which were placed on the table. He said that if any issue relating to colleges have been resolved, it should be done in the meeting of the Syndicate otherwise it would be of no use. He said that from 8th of March 2020 till July 13, 2020 the things are as it is. He said that what was happening is that there is a SDP College Ludhiana. There is a order of the High Court of 6.8.2019. The Vice Chancellor interrupted in between and asked Dr. Harpreet Singh that this will be taken up in zero hour. On this Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua said that the agenda would be taken later on, by now the zero hour will persist. The Vice Chancellor said that then, let they make it zero hour.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that the agenda could be taken tomorrow. He said that the decision taken earlier have not been implemented. He further said that the ATRs are brought at the will of the Vice Chancellor. He said that his queries has not been addressed and he hopes that neither the reply would come. He further enquired as to why the letter written by the Senate members have not been brought here while the fresh matter of today have come and the matter which is of fifteen days past, have not come. He asked as to if it was not a matter of design.

The Vice Chancellor said that this should not be said so. He has just now came to know about it.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that then the accountability be fixed. He said that the Registrar is accountable for not bringing it and the responsibility be fixed.

Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua said that there is a judgment of 8.7.2019 and he will read the relevant para 2(i). Meanwhile the petitioner is at liberty to admission for at its own risk. However in case any provisional document is required by the respondent University, the same supplied by the petitioner college is also directed to cooperate with the respondent University. He said that one year has lapsed, but no enquiry committee has been set up. He said that happened but, they retrenched all the teachers. He said that he had made at least fifty phone calls to the Dean, College Development Council to look into the matter

Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua said that he had raised the issue of two colleges. The SGPC College has not any dearth of money, there is a Kota College on main road and there is a pendency of fifteen months salary. It has no concern with under present situation COVID. Another College is a Garhshankar. The eight months salary is also pending there. It was decided in the Syndicate that a Committee would be sent but that Committee has not seen the light of the day till today.

Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua said that the third issue relates to Killianwali College. He said that this is a confirmed matter that the college in which the Vice Chancellor, Registrar or Dean, College Development Council visits, it has been written on the walls, that is why they have been stopping them. They are given letter in the evening and told not to come tomorrow. He had made so many calls that someone should be sent there to see what was happening there and decision be made what is proper as per rule. He further said that regarding so many teachers, either these are teachers from Government Girls College, Ludhiana, or the Aurobindo College or it was the SGPC college, they have been reinstating the suspended teachers. He said that they have been fighting in the court that they have wrongly been suspended. He said that whatever the colleges have been doing, the University has been endorsing all that.

Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu said that on one hand the colleges are being issued letter and on the other hand their action has been validated.

Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua said that the Vice Chancellor wants that the agenda be got passed and they see, even after that there seems to be nothing. No priority is given to them.

Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu said that he is sorry to intervene that the agenda is mostly consisting of the issue relating to the University and the Colleges issues are not discussed and situation has been of the kind of deterioration.

Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua said that there are two other College in Ludhiana, one is Kamla Lotia College Atam Ballabhbai Jain College. They has been imposed Regulation 11.2. Principal R.S. Jhanji have gone there and the report has been with them for the last six months, he had gone to near about December, and the report might have been given, only one meeting took place, it was written that every month, the meeting and visit be organized but the report of the committee have not reached as yet. He said that the Syndicate had imposed Regulation 11.2 there and what is the outcome of that. He said that they have fixed deposits of crores of rupees but the salary of four, six months have not been paid. He also talked about the DAV College Jagraon.

The Vice Chancellor said that he thinks that a Committee of the colleges people after taking the members from amongst them be constituted and two three Committee be made. The Dean, College Development Council will assist them and whatever would be required by the Committee, that would be provided to them. He said that he has been listening for the last so many times, that the issues are overdue and things have not been getting done, he said that it appear to be the problem of coordination. He said that he wants that the issues be resolved on priority basis and they should suggest three four names for the Committee so that the issue is sorted out.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that on a serious note he could just pass that means they accept that the office is complete failure in following the dictates of the Syndicate. Should they accept it.

The Vice Chancellor said that rather than passing such comments, they should facilitate.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that Vice Chancellor should tell as to in which manner they should facilitate. He further said that all the inputs are given but ultimately happens what the office wants. He said that whatever the dealing Clerks writes, that is considered final.

Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu said that he wants to tell one thing. He said that there are so many colleges, and many a teachers have told him that they have been having appointment in 2017. The case was sent to the Dean, College Development Council to take affiliation. The case of those teachers were not sent for approval. After two years the affiliation reaches, the teachers are relieved. He said that he has been telling the Dean, College Development Council by taking the name of the college, and the chairman of the college is his relative, the complaint of the students was brought to the notice of the Dean, College Development Council but nobody listened. Here no persons is held responsible.

The Vice Chancellor said that the speakers should conclude so that others could get their turn.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that the Vice Chancellor would be astonished to know that the appointments of pass outs of CMJ University has been started in the affiliated colleges and from here from the Dean, College Development Council office not even a single letter has been sent that they do not approve it. He said that it the very CMJ University whose circular had been issued since 2013 that their degree are not valid even for admission, but the teachers have been getting appointments. He further said that approval have not gone from here and but even this letter has also not been going that the approval is not being granted. Tomorrow they will say that they have been confirmed. He asked who will get these things done.

The Vice Chancellor said that it will have to made time bound. He said to Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua that just by raising the issue would happen nothing, the point is that as to how to get it done, focus should be on that.

Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua said that when Professor A.K. Bhandari was the Registrar, there was a precedent that whatever decisions are taken were here, immediate action was taken and no wait for the arrival of the minutes happened to be there. He said that the following day of the meeting, at 9 a.m. Professor used to call the concerned Deputy Registrar, Controller of Examination and Dean, College Development Council and they were appraised the decision of emergent nature discussed in the Syndicate the Syndicate and for action no wait of the minutes was done. But now if they stress on some issue it is understood that they had some specific interest. He further asked that the Vice Chancellor what was his stand on the issue of whose votes have been deleted.

The Vice Chancellor said that they are coming with that Committee in which all the college issues will be handled. He further said a Committee of the Syndics will be constituted by the Vice Chancellor to address the issue of Colleges.

Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu said that they do nothing except seeing the return of the College.

Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua said that whatever the decisions are to be made, the Committee is to be sent to the Kilianwali and SD college, the Committee should be authorized. He further said that whatever the works are to be done, that should be done immediately for which authorization be given. He continued saying that what could be done by making a Committee.

Professor Navdeep Goyal said that one who has been reinstated by the University, the University have no right to cut his/her vote. He said that at least this clear decision is to be taken today that those votes would remain as it is.

Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu said that they are talking differently. The approval will go from here, the Registrar is a very wise officer, they know all that. He said that if the approval of suspension have not gone, then the approval of the termination shall also not go. He enquired from the Dean, College Development Council that while approval from here have not gone, how the name has been deleted. He questioned was it at the will of the college to whatsoever, they would keep and remove to others.

Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua said that there is a LRM DAV college in Jagraon, the charge of which has been with the Principal of DAV College, Jullundur. How can it be. He said that that is the college of our University. There is no principal in Jagraon college. He said that every saying of the colleges are being given adherence, their assessment their approval are being given consideration.

Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu said that he would like to have excuse from Dr. Dua for interfering. He said that Professor Satish who have been sitting over here

and they are the Director of the Management. He said that he has worked with that Management. He said that teachers issues of DAVs have been stopped for the last four years. The Principal which have come from the Guru Nanak Dev University and not at any penal, and without any appointment authority of University, as to how they have been given approval. He question as to in which capacity the approval has been given as no penal from the University have gone. He further said that the DPI has not been making demands of the Principals. The people who are not being backed by anyone, their approval are being kept pending.

Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua said that Shri Ashok Goyal had proposed that they have not promoted the college teachers from Assistant Professor to that of Associate Professor. Shri Dayal Partap Randhawa has posed a query that before the Syndicate the documents will be provided that as to how many teachers fall under this category. He said that last meeting of the Syndicate happened and now this meeting had come, but yet the record have not reached. He further said that he would have to say something from this stage that they put value to the dedication and honesty of Professor Sanjay Kaushik. He said that these qualities should be made a weapon. The chair of Dean, College Development Council is not a chair of additional charge. He said that leaving all other obligations aside, he should work for round the clock.

It was informed that the issues which has been raised by Shri Ashok Goyal and other colleagues, the issue is of a particular teacher. He said that what the issue of the teaches, for the example the votes of the teachers, the names which have been deleted, he said that still the last date exists. His request to the honourable members is that sometime it is not know clearly about the things. He said that the information if brought in his knowledge, would be considered. He said that as per rules, all the eligible cases would be approved irrespective of the college saying because they are dependent on the College, they are dependent on University Regulations. If the Regulations/Rule approves the name, bring it to the notice of the University that these are the teachers, and he takes surety, it would be done.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that the question is that as to why the names has been struck off. He said that he would thank the Registrar for including the names but who is responsible for deleting the names. The things should have been done after verification and matching with the records. He question as to then, what working was being done here.

Professor Navdeep Goyal said that he has one more problem. They say that as per University rules. This is about the one of the problem. He further said that the approvals about which Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu has talked about, three years approval has not been sent.

Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu said that the college has not required for pendency in approvals. He further said that when there are conditions then the colleges should have been enquired about it.

Professor Navdeep Goyal said that in this way, they have to do something, the disaffiliation of the college shall have to be done.

Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu said that the talks which are being made of Killaianwali College, there were so many Punjabis besides him. One teacher left for jalandhar and the course was discontinued. He asked as to from whom the approval has been taken to discontinue the course. If the approval of affiliation has been taken from us, then the approval of disaffiliation should have been taken from us.

Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua said that there are set procedures in our calendars and this case has been quoted by them. At some places, it was being followed while on the others it is not. The case becomes particularly of the person who notes it.

Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu said that the Guru Nanak College had asked for discontinuation of eight to ten courses. He enquired where the approval to discontinue the course was. He said that the such courses which have been discontinued, the past records reveal that there were seventy to eight students in the course.

Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua while pointing towards the Registrar said that the resolution which had been moved by Shri Ashok Goyal, it is of immense relevance that people were being debarred from promoting as Associate Professors for the last twenty five years. He said that for this no Committee was being constituted. As per him, there is very simple solution to it. He said that this was not the case of a single person, the cases are from whole of the Punjab.

Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu said that it is not personal issue of his or that of Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua that the work is to be got done for a specific person. Their concern is that the teachers work should be given his due attention.

Shri Ashok Goyal asked as to why the resolution has not come into the Syndicate.

Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua questioned the quality of the agenda of the Syndicate. He said that they are made to deal with the Syndicate agenda of the type of that someone's promotion and someone's increment be stopped. The Vice Chancellor says that the agenda is to be done. He asked as to if this was the kind of the agenda to be discussed by them. He further said that this type of agenda could be handled while sitting at home. They have been made to feel insulted while dealing with such things.

He continued saying there are two three things to be done on priority. One of which is that the Committee be dispatched to Killianwali and two Colleges of SGPC, one at Kota and another at Garhshankar. He said that it is a matter of worth thinking that what they were doing. It is strange to see that the salary of fifteen months have been going pending and this should be seen that the SGPC has been having the annual budget of seven to eight hundred crores.

Principal Jarnail Singh said that whatever has been said by Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua, about all the colleges.

Principal Jarnail Singh said that a Committee was constituted on the issue raised by someone, in the Senate meeting about the college of Nihalsingh Wala. The Committee did not go there. The Committee very much exists. He said that the Committee be sent there. He said that the wherever the issue of salary in colleges involves, the Committee should be sent there.

On the point of order of Principal Jarnail Singh, Shri Ashok Goyal said he just want the Vice Chancellor to sense the level of frustration amongst the member of the Syndicate and they have reached a stage where they have requested that a Committee constituted in the month of January, is just requesting him again. This is just where they have reached. Instead of requesting for an enquiry as to why the Committee constituted in the month of January, has not visited the colleges till date, because they know nothing was going to come out, now simply say, send it. He said that the Vice Chancellor should just try to see what the Syndicate members think. That is why as he has said that the Vice Chancellor first discuss the decisions which were taken or deliberated in the earlier meetings have served any purpose and only then proceed further.

Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua said that it should be told to the concerned that they have no need to wait for any minutes for taking action in the matter.

Secondly, he said that the Registrar should respond, about the refresher and orientation courses, which have taken place on-line, have they any validity or not. The teachers are being heavily charged for these courses. He asked as to if there exists some guidelines on the part of the UGC in this respect. In the Webinar, the e-certification which are given as against the payment of rupees twenty five hundred as registration fee, he questioned as to if there will be granted any points of those e-certificates which are given in those Webinars.

Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu asked the Registrar as to if they have any answer to these questions. Are they giving any reply to this. If they themselves know nothing, then who will tell them? He said that he had sent a message that one of their teachers had taken information from the UGC and it was informed that there was not any such scheme and this reply should have been given by the Panjab University authorities.

Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua said that the Vice Chancellor should give statement on this.

The Vice Chancellor said how can given any reply.

On this Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua said that the Vice Chancellor shall have to give the reply.

The Vice Chancellor said that all these things are on the website. How can they respond to it.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that it has been said by the Vice Chancellor that all this has been on the website, then the University have no knowledge about it.

Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua said that daily one speech is given by the Vice Chancellor in the Webinar. Have he not seen the guidelines of the Webinar.

The Vice Chancellor said that to his knowledge goes, there is no matter of written guidelines.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that that was what, they were asking for.

The Vice Chancellor said but these are not in black and white. He said that how a statement can be given that there is any such notification.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that if the Vice Chancellor knows nothings, what kind of clarification he could give that conduct the Webinars and run these shops. He further said that the Vice Chancellor says that discuss the issue, then say sort it out and keeps on doing this again and again and the zero hour goes in this manner.

Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua said that the Vice Chancellor should note that the college from which the representation comes, the team should be sent there.

Dean, College Development Council said that he had noted down all the issues which he has raised and hopefully, they are working on it. Earlier they have not been able to reach any conclusion. Soon within the time frame, they will try to conclude it.

Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua said that already one year has passed.

The Vice Chancellor said that the Committee of the people from amongst them, would be constituted and all the issue will be resolved in a time bound manner. He said that he has been authorizing it.

Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu said that there are a plenty of issues, there is no need of any Committee, Dean, College Development Council at his own could do it.

It was informed that about the college issues, the Committee would be constituted by the Vice Chancellor of the senior members, which would work in a time bound way. About the minutes, he told to the House that they would not wait for the approval of the Vice Chancellor, we would not wait for the approval of the minutes. The immediate approval of the Vice Chancellor would be taken and would clear the issues as soon as possible.

Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua said that two different things are being made. It is said that the Committee would be constituted and his wording is that the Committee be sent.

It was responded to Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua's query that whatever he has been made to understand is that Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua has got so many issues noted, all are important and all are concerned about them. It might be possible that the Dean, College Development Council needs some guidance and for guidance a Committee would be got constituted. He further said that they are bound to follow a certain system.

Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua said that the cases which have got represented, for that, the Committee should be sent.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that the things should not be mixed. He said that there are so many issues which he has raised and the Syndicate is of the unanimous opinion that wherever any violation has taken place, whatever action has been taken in violation of the decision of the Syndicate or Senate, all the statutes of the University, they are to be declare null and void and *non asset*. He asked the Vice Chancellor to tell him, Yes or No. Say No, that means they endorse the illegal acts by the University. He further said that the Vice Chancellor has to look into it that whatever has been done in violation of the rules. The Committee has done the same in violation of the terms of reference of the Syndicate, everything has to be declared null and void. He asked the Registrar that this should be recorded.

At this stage, several members started speaking together and the din prevailed.

RESOLVED: That the issues relating to Academic Calendar, examination, evaluation and starting of Academic session 2020-21 for Panjab University Teaching Departments and Affiliated Colleges, in the light of UGC Guidelines, be dealt by a Committee to be constituted under the Chairmanship of Professor Navdeep Goyal.

General Discussion

1. Principal Surinder Kaur said she had earlier requested in the previous meetings of the Syndicate regarding non-payment of salaries as most of the Colleges come under the management of S.G.P.C. and D.A.V. In some cases both the husband and wife are in the same College under the management of D.A.V. and S.G.P.C. and they are not getting the salaries. This should be looked into by the Vice-Chancellor.
2. Principal Surinder Kaur said that because of minor issues, the approval of the teachers was being kept in abeyance. The approval of the teachers are kept pending due to the minor issues or to typographical mistakes. This should be considered.
3. Professor Emanuel Nahar said that he would like to thank the Hon'ble members of the House for raising the issues of the students. The students at present are in the state of ignorance and a clear cut message from the Syndicate

Proceedings of Syndicate meeting dated 13th July, 2020

should be sent to them regarding the starting of ongoing classes, online classes and admission process. He submitted that as far as the issue relating to the students of final year examinations are concerned, they should not ignore and underestimate the directions of the State Government. Whatever decision is taken by the Syndicate today it should be disseminated to all concerned stakeholders.

4. Professor Emanuel Nahar said that CAS promotion of Dr. Bhupinder Singh Pali be also be examined and cleared. He further stressed that all the major decisions be taken today itself.
5. Ms. Anu Chatrath said that the decision relating to P.G. L.L.M. courses (Correspondence) had been taken some time back, but the same has not been implemented.
6. Ms. Anu Chatrath said that the Regional Centres should be authorised to deal with the work relating to Ph.D. and selection of the teachers, they had to be dependent on the Department of Laws. These centres should be allowed to deal with these minor issues rather than being dependent on the Department of Laws.
7. Dr. Dayal Partap Singh Randhawa said that it is the most relevant issue in the current scenario, they have to increase the online and correspondence courses in this situation with limited number of resources. Fees should be charged so that the income of the University could be generated.
8. Dr. Dayal Partap Singh Randhawa said that the L.L.M course should be launched with the admission process of Department of Correspondence Studies (USOL).

The Vice Chancellor said that he would be looked into.

Ms. Anu Chatrath said that it was being felt due to the reason that there are 50% i.e., 5000-10000 of their practicing Advocates who are paying to Private Universities for pursuing L.L.M. by introduction of L.L.M. in Panjab University itself, its revenue would be generated.

The Vice Chancellor replied that perhaps the matter relating to L.L.M. has been allowed, he would see to it.

Professor Navdeep Goyal said that it was allowed but not implemented.

Professor Keshav Malhotra said that the decision relating to introduction of L.L.M. in USOL is pending from the last two years.

Dr. Dayal Partap Singh Randhawa said that the request for introduction of L.L.M. course was initiated and the entire procedure has been completed.

9. Shri Jarnail Singh said that there are 7-8 contractual Lecturers in the Regional Centre, Hoshiarpur. Their salary after 30th April has not been paid. This has been done in all the categories of employees whether contractual or temporary, their payment of salaries should be made at the earliest in these very conditions of hardship whereas fees are being fully received from the Centre. Two Lecturers are from Laws, 3 in Computer Centre and 3 in IT departments whose salary is pending, they are approaching one person to another for payment of salary. If some clarification is required from their end, the same should be obtained. If the contract is to be renewed then it should be renewed. Moreover, these teachers are ready to work in vacations also during the next

year. If the salary for vacation period is to be paid, then they should ask to work even in vacation period.

Continuing, Shri Jarnail Singh further said that what has been done in the matter of renewal of contract and release of the salary of the teachers mentioned above.

The Vice Chancellor said that it has been noted.

10. Shri Jarnail Singh said that the survey of Shri Guru Gobind Singh College, Mahilpur, Hoshiarpur had been conducted by the Dean College Development Council and the Registrar but the matter has not been placed in Syndicate for the constitution of the Inspection Committee. This should be expedited at the earliest.

Some of the members requested to initiate the discussion on the matter relating to admission/examinations.

Ms. Anu Chatrath said that the result of Class XII of CBSE had been announced today, i.e., 13.07.2020, if they would not take decision within next 2-3 days, then there would be problem of admission in the self-financing courses.

11. Professor Keshav Malhotra said that there are some issues relating to the University teachers, one is regarding the Career Advancement Scheme which is still existing. The working of the Courts has started through online, so the interviews of teachers should be done through skype as approximately 40 teachers had been affected due to this. It should be considered at the earliest. Secondly in some of the issues, the meetings of the committees are not being convened, out of them; one of the meetings of the Committee relating to Past Service is also pending, which could not be convened. These meetings should be called at the earliest. He further said that the approval/affiliation Committee are still to be notified due to which Colleges are suffering losses. Therefore, these Committees should be notified today itself as 20th July is the last date, if these were delayed then the whole system would collapse. He requested that these Committees should be notified at the earliest.
12. Professor Navdeep Goyal said that in the Viva-voce of B.Tech and M.Tech and other P.G. courses, it had not been notified/mentioned anywhere that it could be conducted through online system. The online system has also not been barred in any of the regulations. This should be approved today as major portion of work is standstill owing to this very reason.

The Vice Chancellor agreed to this.

Statement of Professor Navdeep Goyal relating to ATR shifted under the Vice Chancellor's statement

13. Dr. Rabinder Nath Sharma said that it was informed to him by the security personnel that the weekly offs are not being given to them. It is human nature to get one weekly off, overtime is one separate thing, but one day off in a week/fortnight is very much required. Their problem should be looked into on priority basis as security is the major component in the current situation.

The Vice Chancellor said that he is also very much concerned about the problems being faced by the security staff. As per his opinion, a Committee of 3-4 members including Professor Navdeep Goyal should be constituted so that they could be given more facilities.

Proceedings of Syndicate meeting dated 13th July, 2020

Shri Jarnail Singh that the security staff should be directed to be vigilant on their respective duties.

14. Dr. Rabinder Nath Sharma said that the retired employees are pressing hard for opening of the pension scheme, who were not covered earlier. In the previous meeting of the Syndicate, it was decided to obtain legal opinion on it. He asked the Vice Chancellor whether the legal opinion has been received or not.

The Vice Chancellor replied that the legal opinion is not received yet.

15. Dr. Rabinder Nath Sharma further said that the Faculty house in Panjab University is in a deserted look from the last many years. It has come to their notice that Rs.60 Lacs has been earmarked by the Vice Chancellor in the name of Faculty before 3 years ago. There is a great loss of revenue in it. They had to pay Rs.150/- as rent charges but its occupancy is very low due to its deserted look. The Faculty House is a major facility which should be taken care of on priority basis.

16. Dr. Rabinder Nath Sharma said that in Maharaja Ranjit Singh College, Malout, teachers are exploited very much as they did not get salary for a period of 8 months. The application of one teacher of the said College had also been forwarded to him, the said teacher had joined in the Aided College but his salary for a period of 8 months is still pending which should be released at the earliest.

17. Dr. Rabinder Nath Sharma said in the Mahilpur College, a lady Lecturer in the subject of History had been dismissed who was working from a very long period. It is requested to look into the matter by conducting an enquiry or by constituting the Committee.

The Vice Chancellor said that the Dean College Development Council would do the needful.

18. Dr. Rabinder Nath Sharma said that the arrears/retirement benefits of Professor Dharam Pal who has retired from Guru Nanak College, Abohar should be released, as till date he had not been paid.

19. Dr. Rabinder Nath Sharma said in the G.G.N College, Ludhiana, 28 teachers who had retired, did not get their full retirement benefits. These should be released. They were not being paid according to the rules and regulations of the University Calendar. They had also moved in the Courts for the purpose. In private colleges, pension and other benefits are not allowed, even the retirement benefits which are allowed, are not being paid, then the intervention of the authorities is very much required.

20. Dr. Rabinder Nath Sharma said while referring to the unanimous resolution of the Senate in 2017 relating to the cases were registered against the students. It was passed by the Senate as a whole that the cases registered against them be taken back. But till date these cases had not been deferred. This should also be looked into.

The Vice Chancellor intervened Dr. Rabinder Nath Sharma and said firstly the above said cases should be looked into. Otherwise, it would be said that nothing is done in all the cases.

21. Dr. Rabinder Nath Sharma said that the last case, which he would like to bring to the notice, is that a Construction Committee was constituted after putting in a lot of efforts. In the year 2018, it was passed in the Syndicate that Expert Committee would review the lapses in its report. The report of the Expert

Committee has not been received till date. The report should be made at the earliest as it involves the construction of crores of rupees. There are some other buildings such Dental College etc, where the complaints relating to seepage are also pending.

The Vice Chancellor responded that he had taken a note on the matter relating to the Construction Committee. He would be updated very soon in the matter as the technical committee was not constituted at that time.

22. Principal Sarabjit Kaur said that there are some issues relating to the Guest faculty of Constituent Colleges that they are being appointed on fixed salary whereas the Punjabi University had enhanced the salaries after following the new guidelines. The Panjab University should also revise their salaries with annual increment according to the pattern of the Punjabi University. Their representation had also been forwarded to the Vice Chancellor for consideration.
23. Principal Sarabjit Kaur said that in the Education Colleges, approval of the teachers in some colleges has been received and in some of the Colleges, the approval of teachers are pending in spite of the fact that the selection of teachers were made on the basis of the same procedure. The Colleges who had sought the approval of the teachers should at least be granted. If a Committee is required to be constituted on it, then it should also be looked into.
24. Principal Sarabjit Kaur said that she would like to bring to notice the dismissal of Ms. Manreet, Assistant Professor, History of S.G.G.S. College, Mahilpur, Hoshiarpur. For the same, disciplinary action is required to be taken.
25. Principal Sarabjit Kaur said that in the portals the list of voters of Principals and Assistant Professors which had been published, should be extended as the approval of number of teachers are pending, panels are in pipeline wherein the selection have not been made as voting is the legal right of everyone which could not be deprived. The last date should also be extended as has been allowed in other constituencies.
26. Principal Sarabjit Kaur said that in spite of the fact that the University has a great deal of infrastructure, first class Faculty, the ranking of the University is deteriorating day by day. The Vice Chancellor should look into it and the research culture should be promoted in the Campus as well as in the Colleges. The team of the IQAC should be strengthened. When the NAAC team visits, the Colleges were asked about the freedom which the University had given to update the syllabus and to upgrade the courses at the College level. This is required to be taken care of. It should be done in a time bound manner.
27. Principal Sarabjit Kaur said that to deal with the minor issues/problems of the Colleges, Online regulatory committee should be constituted so that the problems/issues could be conveyed to the Vice Chancellor through online not through her or any other member of the House so that the University could take strict and time bound action on it.

At this stage, several members started speaking together regarding extension of date.

The Vice Chancellor said that he would be looked into it.

28. Shri Ashok Goyal stated that they do not come here for lung exercise. They should not be treated like this. They should be told one by one as to what

decisions have been taken on the issues, which have been discussed before lunch. Not that, they should move ahead without disclosing the decisions. He had asked pointed questions from him (Vice Chancellor) as to how MTS had been removed, and that too, by a Committee constituted by the Vice Chancellor. Such a Committee had no authority to take such a decision, and for that matter, it is a policy decision; it could not have been taken without Syndicate having been taken into confidence. Under what circumstances that decision has been taken and under what authority the Committee had exceeded its own authority. He had been given to understand that there are so many such Committees. He had also asked as to why all this had been done and what is the guarantee that it would not be repeated again. It has also come to his knowledge that the transfers/ postings are being done by constituting the Committee(s). He remembered that in 2018, he (Vice Chancellor) started with a view to create a parallel authority, i.e., parallel to Controller of Examinations, and the same had been objected to by them. The impression has come as if the Controller of Examinations, Finance & Development Officer, Dean College Development Council, Registrar, Dean of Student Welfare, are the people, who are monitoring the Committees and the Monitoring Committee are to take care of the members of the Syndicate and the Senate also. And the decisions of the Syndicate and Senate are unconstitutionally/unauthorisedly got examined by such Committee or such individuals, who finally decide whether this is to be implemented or not. This is a very serious issue and the Vice Chancellor must tell them about this and should not say that they had pointed out that they (University authorities) have noted it down. Citing an example, he said that the Vice Chancellor has said that it would be seen tomorrow. They have not hitherto taken any decision on the issues relating to conduct of examinations and Academic Calendar. So they should not send a signal that the members raised the issue(s) and the issue(s) ended. They have to take certain conscious decisions, but not in violation of the U.G.C. but it should be resolved in the Syndicate to recommend to the U.G.C. that keeping in view the present situation, it is requested that the decision of the U.G.C. should be reviewed, whether they review or do not review, it is their decision and University would not go beyond that. Keeping in view the position above, as also has been explained by the Punjab Government, as they are equally responsible. The information recorded should be informed to them. The decision should be taken on the issues which had been discussed/deliberated in the House. In the minutes, only his statements are being recorded but the matter has not been resolved as informed by the Registrar. Is this the way to find out the resolved?

Dr. Rabinder Nath Sharma said that he seconded the resolution proposed by Shri Ashok Goyal.

Shri Jarnail Singh said that they should request the U.G.C. to review the decision.

The Vice Chancellor said that all these issues are not fully matured. So far as the issue of Academic Calendar is concerned, as he has told a Committee would be constituted and he is hopeful that the Committee would make its recommendations within a week's time keeping in view the input given by the Hon'ble members. As regard to other issue relating to the financial crunch is concerned, the matter had also discussed with them and he would see as to what could be done. He expected their whole hearted cooperation of all the members.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that they had spent 2.5 hours and all the matters were discussed, it was said by them that keeping in view the financial crunch, such type of statement, which is not compromising with the day to day functioning of the University, should be avoided. The contract should be

Proceedings of Syndicate meeting dated 13th July, 2020

renewed and all the MTS employees should not be removed from service. The contract should not be cancelled; it should be examined by the committee to be constituted to remove the extra employees. He could not understand, a Committee was constituted and it was decided by the Committee without the knowledge of any one, the MTS employees were removed. What was happened, the MTS employees who were not on the rolls of the University, they were on the rolls of the contractor, started aggravating.

The Vice Chancellor intervened and said he got one point relating to it. He asked Shri Ashok Goyal to discuss what is to be done in the matter now.

Shri Ashok Goyal asked why it was done. It should not be treated like, what is happened, let it be.

The Vice Chancellor said that this would be discussed in consultation with Shri Ashok Goyal day after tomorrow.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that this would be discussed with the Vice Chancellor on any day but is it not their right to know why this has happened?

The Vice Chancellor said that it would be discussed with Shri Ashok Goyal and other members on day after tomorrow; there everything would be cleared to them.

29. Professor Emanuel Nahar said that the issue relating to removal of 8-10 employees of Hostel of International Hostel who had served for more than 5 years, should also be discussed. Moreover their salary for 2-3 months are still not being paid.

The Vice Chancellor assured that he would see to it.

Ms. Anu Chatrath said moreover as per the law, the employees of the outsourcing are to be continued even in the case when the contract is to be changed, then the new Contractor is supposed to hire the same employees. These are the judgements of the High Court and the Supreme Court that even in the summer vacation these employees could not be relieved. Whereas the purpose of removal has been stated as COVID-19. This is contrary to the guidelines of Government of India and law. Therefore, the decision should be taken while keeping in view the above things.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that he would like to bring to the notice of the Vice Chancellor some more matters relating to it.

The Vice Chancellor replied that it would be discussed in the meeting at that time.

Shri Ashok Goyal pointed out that whatever illegal decisions had been taken, it is in their hands to undo those decisions. Who would undo those illegal decisions? Whatever illegal actions had been taken, those had been done by the Vice Chancellor and those could only be undone by the Syndicate.

At this stage, all the members started speaking together and the din prevailed.

30. Shri Ashok Goyal asked the Vice Chancellor whether the Affiliation and Approval Committee would be notified today or not.

The Vice Chancellor said that it should not be said in such a manner.

Shri Ashok Goyal and Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua said that in that way, they are unable to move forward.

The Vice Chancellor said that he would examine the matter. It is okay.

After repeated requests by some of the members to take the decision in the matter, the Vice Chancellor said, it would be done.

31. Dr. Rabinder Nath Sharma said that a number of representations have been sent to the Vice Chancellor the 68 employees under Guest Faculty working in the Constituent Colleges that they are facing very hardship as they are being paid Rs.25000/-. Their problems and difficulties should be addressed.

The Vice Chancellor said that "it is okay".

32. Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu said that he reminded in each and every meeting of the Syndicate and Senate that the nomenclature of the Guest Faculty should be changed. They could not be compared with the University, in the University they conduct one lectures whereas in the Colleges they took 4 lectures at a time. They completed the 25 lectures in a week and after they did not attend the college. Its nomenclature is required to be changed on priority basis.

33. Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu said that there are two Colleges in which there are no temporary teachers. One is in Dharamkot which has been with him as an additional charge and the second with Shri Gurdip Singh. Whereas it has been said to conduct the webinars and online classes, all such work is being done but the salary they are not being paid salary from 8th May. If they were allotted the work then they should be paid for the same. They could not be removed from the service as per the instructions of the Centre Government. In some cases salary was released up to 8th May whereas the classes were continued up to 16th May. Their payment of salary of the remaining period of 14 days should be made. The nomenclature of these teachers should be changed by constituting a Committee whether with or without his involvement so that they would not suffer and they are pressing hard. They should be allowed to pay some incentive as they had completed more than 15 years of service.

The Vice Chancellor assured that he would look into the matter.

34. Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu said that the approval cases of some the Colleges are still pending due to minor issues. For example he visited in some Colleges as Vice Chancellor's Nominee, in the proceedings it is to be mentioned as "15600+6000+39100", the same were also initialled by him, it has been wrongly mentioned as 15000+6000 etc., The approval in such cases should not be made pending and their approval should be accorded with the pay scale. So these approvals should not be kept pending due to the minor mistakes.

The Vice Chancellor said that it would be looked into by Dean College Development Council

35. Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu said in some of the Colleges, he did not want to name, the courses have been allowed and being run, conditions had been imposed and some members e.g. Principal R.S. Jhanji, Dr. Rabinder Nath Sharma and Professor Karamjeet Singh visited, they were given appointment orders and were made to join. But their cases for approval have been sent till date. In one case which is also being referred by Dr. Rabinder Nath Sharma he himself appointed one teacher of Punjabi

It was informed that they had noted the cases where the approval of teachers are pending and it would be done at the earliest without waiting for the minutes.

36. Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu said for running the courses, the approval from Affiliation Committees, Syndicate and Senate is obtained. Whereas in contrary to it, if some particular course is to be disaffiliated/stopped, it should not be stopped till the approval/consent is received from the College. In some of the self financing Colleges especially in B.C.A. or P.G.D.C.A. courses, when there would be downfall in the Commerce and such courses, they would expel the teachers. He cited the issue of Guru Nanak College relating to it. The Dean College Development Council and College Branch should keep this very thing in mind that if one course is running in one year then why it is not being continued in the second year. This course should be stopped firstly in the first year, then in second year, third year and so on and so forth. But what has been done is that they stopped the courses in the middle of the session and expelled the teachers. If this course is to be discontinued in between the session, in that case, the teachers could not be expelled in the middle of the session.
37. Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua informed that the result of Class XII CBSE has been announced. Therefore, the decision relating to the admission should be taken at the earliest.

The Vice Chancellor assured him that this would be done.

38. Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua said that when it would be done, please intimate as they have to give the statement outside this House. He further said that the date of 25th July be fixed for the admission of the Colleges.

The Vice Chancellor said that they would discuss and decide on the same after the meeting of the Syndicate.

Professor Navdeep Goyal said that the last date for admission of Colleges for continuing classes could be fixed as 25th July in the House today itself.

The Vice-Chancellor said that this has to be decided by Professor Navdeep Goyal being the Chairman of the Committee keeping in view the guidelines of the U.G.C.

Professor Navdeep Goyal said that these admissions are for first year classes and U.G.C. has no concern for its admission.

The Vice-Chancellor this would be finalised by the members in its meeting scheduled to be held tomorrow.

At this stage all the members started speaking together, and the din prevailed.

Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua said that whatever dates the U.G.C. had given to him, the same would be intimated.

The Vice-Chancellor said that he did not have the guidelines of U.G.C. at present with him. It would be intimated to them tomorrow.

Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua said that whatever the date the Vice-Chancellor decides, the admissions in the Colleges would be started immediately.

Proceedings of Syndicate meeting dated 13th July, 2020

The Vice-Chancellor replied that he would see to it.

While continuing Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua said that he is forcing them to do so.

Professor Keshav Malhotra said that a request from the Vice-Chancellor should be sent to the U.G.C. that it is not possible to conduct the examinations, why the U.G.C. is compelling them to conduct the examinations.

Ms. Anu Chatrath interrupted and informed that the Punjab Government had written a letter to the U.G.C. and at least the decision should be taken in the Syndicate or it should be resolved in the meeting that on the basis of practical suggestions, a letter would be sent to request to U.G.C. to reconsider their guidelines.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that let them formally be proposed that Syndicate resolved to request the U.G.C. to review its decision of holding the terminal examinations as declared by them in view of the unprecedented situation arising out of COVID-19. This matter should be sent from the Syndicate as the Punjab Government and even the Chief Minister had requested the same, there is no harm in it.

Principal R.S. Jhanji said that the admission relating to Colleges should firstly be notified.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that the letter on the above said lines should be sent to U.G.C. without waiting for the minutes of the meeting.

39. Dr. Dayal Pratap Singh Randhawa said that first of all he would like to bring this subject to the notice of the Vice-Chancellor which is relating to the extension of the faculty of Dental Sciences working on contractual basis. Their period of service is extended after 11 months.

It has been informed that this matter had been decided.

Dr. Dayal Pratap Singh Randhawa said that he believed that the decision relating to the faculty of Dental College had been taken.

40. Dr. Dayal Pratap Singh Randhawa said that it had also been informed by the Dr. Rabindra Nath Sharma and Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu that first of all the nomenclature of "Guest faculty" of constituent Colleges should be changed to "Contract or temporary". On the basis of that, they should be allowed to pay Rs.40,000/- as given in the Punjabi University, Patiala, at present they are being paid Rs.25,000/-. This should also be done on priority basis. Secondly, their salaries for the previous period should be released and their services should be extended.

41. Dr. Dayal Pratap Singh Randhawa further would like to bring to the notice of Controller of Examinations that in the Architecture College, a paper had been scheduled in the month of July, whereas all the services are locked down till 31st July in Panjab University. Could the Architecture College conduct the paper, if it is in knowledge, then correction should be made in it after checking.

42. Dr. Dayal Pratap Singh Randhawa further would like to submit the representation of the Students Council to the Vice-Chancellor for forwarding the same to the concerned quarters. The term of the Students Council should be extended till the next election so that the issues related to the students should be taken. The last election was conducted in the year 1983-84 and it was again

re-started in the year 1997 after putting in more efforts when he was in the Students Council at that time. It is very prestigious region established for the students and such type of platform is very much required especially in these circumstances which would also be helpful for the University so that students should not remain unrepresented.

43. Dr. Dayal Pratap Singh Randhawa said that the selection process which has been initiated in some of the Colleges wherein the deadlines had been fixed for the same. These dates should be extended due to the situation of COVID-19. The last date for the conduct of interview schedule should be relaxed in the terms of selection and appointments.

44. Dr. Dayal Pratap Singh Randhawa said that the next matter in which Professor Navdeep Goyal had been nominated as Chairman of the Committee wherein Professor Rajinder Bhandari and any other members did not feel neglected, for the same, special meetings should be convened either weekly or after every five days. These decisions are required to be taken urgently. The dates for the Academic sessions, the decision relating to promotion of students to next higher class are required to be taken on priority basis.

45. Dr. Dayal Pratap Singh Randhawa brought to the notice of the case relating to Shri Ravinder Mohan Trikha which had also been raised by him and Ms. Anu Chatrath in the previous meeting. This issue is still pending till date.

Ms. Anu Chatrath said that the issue relating to Shri Ravinder Mohan Trikha is relating to the annual increment of 2019-20.

46. Dr. Dayal Pratap Singh Randhawa said that there is some representation relating to Pension which had been received from the non-teaching which is also being forwarded to the Vice-Chancellor. He requested to please consider the same, this issue is relating to the pension of the retired employees. This should please be done on priority basis as they are in dire need of money in the current situation.

47. Shri Ashok Goyal asked the Vice-Chancellor what decision had been taken on the term of the Students Council. Let the Syndicate take the decision.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that on the points raised in the discussion, instead of noting, the decision to this effect should be taken so that it did not give the impression in the Syndicate that it is only the discussion which was held. It is not to be considered that it is only the discussion relating to Students Council, nothing has been resolved.

Professor Navdeep Goyal said that other than Students Council all the associations e.g. PUSA, PUTA have to be continued till the new constitution is formed.

The Vice Chancellor asked whether this could be done like that.

Professor Navdeep Goyal replied that it is the normal practice till the new constitution is formed; previous constitution of the association would be continued.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that there is some practical difficulty in it, it had been written that its constitution is up to 31st May.

Professor Navdeep Goyal replied that it is in Volume-III.

Proceedings of Syndicate meeting dated 13th July, 2020

Shri Ashok Goyal said that let him completely read as to what appeared in Rule VII(f) page 330 P.U. Calendar, Volume III, 2019, it is as per the rules framed by the Syndicate, all foreseen the condition which they are going to face now so keeping in view the unprecedented situation which have not been foreseen by anybody.

Ms. Anu Chatrath said that it is accepted as an exceptional case and not to be treated as a precedent keeping in view the Pandemic.

The Vice Chancellor said that it is a serious issue, he is not against anyone, but its legal aspect should be examined and then decision should be taken so that it might not create problem at a later stage.

Professor Rajinder Bhandari said that it is the responsibility of the Vice Chancellor to check its legal implications.

Professor Navdeep Goyal said that there is no legal issue in it. As intimated by Shri Ashok Goyal it is the rule, which has been framed by the Syndicate. Secondly, in the constitution of Students Council in the first instance, majority of interaction of Dean Students Welfare is involved in it and later on the Vice Chancellor is involved. The University gets the platform of interaction with the students through the medium of Students Council. When the term of the Students Council is over, the problem being faced by the Dean Students Welfare is that all the parties started coming to his office for doing such and such things. Therefore, it is much better that this should be continued.

The Vice Chancellor reiterated again that he is not against anyone but it should be got examined while taking care of all aspects and it should be approved subject to the legal opinion of the legal expert so that no complication would arise. The Vice Chancellor said that 2-3 persons should sit together and examine it in detail.

Ms. Anu Chatrath said that 31st May has been fixed by the Syndicate so Syndicate in the present situation could extend it.

The Vice Chancellor said that it could be extended, but he is of the opinion that he is not running away.

Shri Jarnail Singh said that both the formers Deans of Student Welfare are present in the House, two former DSWs and one legal expert Dr. Dayal Pratap Singh Randhawa should be included to examine the matter.

Shri Ashok Goyal objected to the viewpoint expressed by Shri Jarnail Singh and said that let the Syndicate decide/resolve. The Committee to be constituted would be authorized to take decision, on behalf of the Syndicate that term of Students Council in terms of extending the term of Students Council.

The Vice Chancellor said that it should be done with the permission of the rules and regulations of the University.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that actually it is to be seen by the Vice Chancellor but the Syndicate would try to help the Vice Chancellor so that his responsibility could be shared to avoid any complication.

Shri Ashok Goyal stated that the date of 31st May, which is fixed, is fixed by none other than the Syndicate. It is not regulation, it is not anywhere else.

It is not Government of India, it is not MHRD and it is not MHA. It is only and only the Syndicate. And in view of the unprecedented situation, the Syndicate is resolving now. If it is felt that it is the Vice Chancellor, who is to take a decision, let the Vice Chancellor take the decision in view of what the Syndicate says. If the Vice Chancellor wanted to take the decision through the Committee of the Syndicate, on behalf of the Syndicate, let him do that. They are not against anything, but the only thing, which they wanted to say, is that their academic session has not come to an end and it is still going on; and the next academic session has not started. Students are in the University and everyday several bad news are being shown in the media, e.g., that hostels should be got vacated and this and that. So, at least he (Vice Chancellor) should give a message that the representation(s) of the students is there, the authorities are also very co-operative and they have to talk to the students. And for the continuity, they wanted to help the Vice Chancellor. If the Vice Chancellor does not want to be helped, there is no problem.

Professor Rajinder Bhandari said that he did not say that their term should not be extended. He said that it should be extended. He only said that due to the spontaneous proposals, the complication would arise at the later stage, therefore, this should be got examined. If the rules are framed by the Syndicate then firstly it should be amended, but it should not be like that Syndicate had extended the term. A proper procedure with notice is required to be placed in the Agenda for discussion and decision. It should not be done in such a manner.

Shri Ashok Goyal while continuing to this on the note of repetition said that Professor Rajinder Bhandari had raised a very particular issue in the last meeting of the Syndicate how the University had taken the consent on a particular decision on WhatsApp group. He said that he only believed in Calendar, there is no provision of WhatsApp in the Calendar. Notwithstanding the fact that in the unprecedented situation, nothing could have been mentioned in the Calendar, he had asked also at that time that the same person who was referring to the Calendar and referring to the constitution of Syndicate and Senate, he just want to ask him where is the Calendar where there is a provision that the consent could be taken by way of e.mail. Email where he himself was giving consent, e.mail. which he was using for the official purpose, there is no such provision in the Calendar.

Professor Rajinder Bhandari asked why he is being concerned by giving personal comments.

Shri Ashok Goyal replied that he is not making personal comments. He (Professor Rajinder Bhandari) was trying to teach them the method.

Professor Rajinder Bhandari said that he was addressing to the Vice Chancellor.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that he is also addressing the Vice Chancellor, he is not responding to him. While continuing he said that if he (Professor Rajinder Bhandari) said that Syndicate is to sit only as silent spectators even in such kind of situation, at least he would not go by that. He said that he had to move with the situation by simply giving an example that if without provision they could accept it, where is the provision of the Webinar, Zoom and online bills? There is nothing like that even in the whole Calendar even in Volume-II relating to examinations. There is no mention regarding adoption of technology. In spite of non-availability of such provisions in the Calendar, Syndicate keeping in view the present situation is taking the decision by these ways. Would the Syndicate is barred from taking these decisions due to the reason that Syndicate had

Proceedings of Syndicate meeting dated 13th July, 2020

framed these rules, and these could not be changed. He further said that Ms. Anu Chatrath had rightly said this should be allowed for one time only. But as per his view it should be remained as it is as this type of situation might arise again. They are amending the rules, what else is being by done by them. For the amendment of rules for their information, it is said that there is no such procedure to bring as an agenda and send the notice, so on and so forth. This procedure is only for the amendment of regulations and not for the rules.

The Vice Chancellor said that he has listened to him (Shri Ashok Goyal). He (Shri Ashok Goyal) has given a very good suggestion. His submission and concern is that he is constituting a Committee comprising some of them to study thoroughly and come out with best solution.

Professor Rajinder Bhandari said that what is the need for constituting the Committee and what has to be seen by the Committee? The orders from the office of Vice Chancellor should be released and share these orders with the members of the House.

Shri Jarnail Singh asked whether the Vice Chancellor could change the rules arbitrarily? He could not.

Professor Rajinder Bhandari asked "Which rules are there?"

Ms Anu Chatrath said that as per law the proposal of Shri Ashok Goyal is perfect because as per the rules framed by the Syndicate the last date had been fixed as 31st May and the Syndicate is only the competent authority to change.

Principal R.S. Jhanji said that there should be no problem in giving the opinion. Whatever Committee was constituted for the purpose, if they felt there are some norms from the State Governments, in that condition, they could involve the State Governments also. The University could not take the decision unilaterally.

Shri Ashok Goyal interrupted that they are discussing the representation of the Students Council and not the representation of the State Government.

Principal R.S. Jhanji said that the members of the Council should be called personally for discussion.

At this moment, all the members started speaking together and din prevailed.

The Vice Chancellor requested the members to conclude.

It was informed that as per the viewpoint of different members of the House considering the present COVID-19 situation, the extension in the term of the Students Council be considered. Some members Professor Rajinder Bhandari and others had expressed to obtain legal opinion on the same, Ms. Anu Chatrath and few members expressed extension in the term of the Students Council. The Vice Chancellor be authorised to constitute a Committee to take decision based on the deliberation and viewpoints expressed by the members of this House.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that he is not in agreement with the statement given, on the one side, it has been said that members are in agreement for extension in the term of Students Council, on the contrary, a Committee is being constituted.

It was clarified that the Vice Chancellor be authorised to constitute the committee of the Syndics to make recommendations on the issue in a time bound manner.

Shri Ashok Goyal said, What is the purpose of constituting a Committee? Let the Committee be constituted by the Syndicate and not by the Vice Chancellor.

Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu said that if the Vice Chancellor is in problem for constituting the Committee, then the Syndicate could constitute the Committee.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that, this is what, which is being said by him.

Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu said if the Vice Chancellor desires, then the Committee should be constituted.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that as per their statement, they desired that a Committee is to be constituted but the Vice Chancellor should be authorised to do so. They had no difficulty/problem in it, as per their view this decision should be left to the Committee as authorised by the Syndicate.

Principal R.S. Jhanji said that it should be done in a time bound manner.

Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu said that if the Vice Chancellor did not want to take responsibility, let the Syndicate own the responsibility.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that the let the committee be left and it should be decided, let the Vice Chancellor be authorised to extend the term as has been resolved in the Syndicate.

Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu said that the Vice Chancellor does not want to own the responsibility.

Shri Ashok Goyal replied that it is not that he (Vice Chancellor) wanted to own the responsibility.

It has been informed that the committee had been constituted consisting of the members of the Syndicate, only one line is added in it that keeping in view the present situation, the Committee has to decide on it.

Some members expressed their viewpoint to constitute the Committee in the Syndicate itself.

The Vice Chancellor directed the members to conclude at the earliest and inform what is to be done in the matter, so that other items could be taken up.

2. Withdrawn

3. Considered following recommendation of the Committee dated 06.03.2020 (Appendix-II), constituted by the DUI to discuss the representation of the students of UIET for Improvement of Examinations in B.E. Courses session 2019-2020 (Pass-out):

Proceedings of Syndicate meeting dated 13th July, 2020

1. Improvement in B.E. Courses shall be allowed after completion of Degree only for those students, who obtained less than 6.00 CGPA.
2. A candidate shall be allowed to take two chances to appear as a private candidate for improvement within a period of 8 years. This period shall be w.e.f. the admission of the candidate in B.E. First Year. The candidate shall have to choose subjects for his examination as per current scheme & syllabus prevailing at the time of filling of his examination form.
3. No improvement shall be allowed in Internal Assessment.
4. The examinations of Improvement of B.E. shall be conducted along with regular theory examinations of B.E.
5. The above decision shall be applicable prospectively w.e.f. the date of its implementation as ordered by the Syndicate and Senate of Panjab University, Chandigarh.

Explanatory Note: Improvement of performance by a candidate shall not affect the inter-se-merit position determined on the basis of original examination.

Information contained in the office note **(Appendix-II)** was also taken into consideration.

It was informed that the members may pay their kind attention to at page 14 of the Appendix, wherein they would find the queries as to “What was old Regulation and what was the change”? Thereafter, the Dean, Faculty of Engineering & Technology had written that the students are facing problem.

Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua said that the assessment should not be allowed to be changed.

At this stage, Principal R.S. Jhanji staged a walkout saying that if they functioned like this, there is no need for him to stay here. If they wanted to continue like this, there is no need to invite them to the meetings of the Syndicate. Need to invite arises only when the decisions are taken collectively, whereas he (Vice Chancellor) is taking the decision(s) only after listening to one section. Last time also, they had said this and now also they are saying the same thing.

Shri Ashok Goyal requested Principal R.S. Jhanji to take the mike and say whatever he wished to.

Principal R.S. Jhanji said that if they continued like this, there is no need to call meeting of the Syndicate and instead they invite the same panel whose suggestions/recommendations are accepted. With these remarks, he left the House.

Professor Keshav Malhotra drew the attention of the Hon'ble members towards page 20 of the Appendix, wherein only “his, his” has been mentioned. He suggested that the word “her” should also be inserted.

Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua pointed out that on page 16 of the Appendix, it has been written, “Assistant Registrar (General) on behalf of Deputy Registrar (General), Office Superintendent (DUI) on behalf of Convener and O.S. (College Branch) on behalf of Deputy Registrar (Colleges)”. Is it allowed? Could anybody else attend the meeting on

Proceedings of Syndicate meeting dated 13th July, 2020

behalf of somebody else? Citing an example, he said that if he is a member of a Committee, could he send somebody else to attend the meeting on his behalf.

It was clarified that sometimes 2-3 meetings took place simultaneously.

Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu said that officials could attend on behalf of other officials.

It was again clarified that sometimes 2-3 meetings took place simultaneously and instead of none representation, it is better that somebody represents in the meeting.

RESOLVED: That following provisions (for improvement) be incorporated in the Regulations for Bachelor of Engineering Courses, under the Faculty of Engineering & Technology with the stipulation that words “she/her” be inserted wherever he/his existed:

1. Improvement in B.E. Courses shall be allowed after completion of Degree only for those students, who obtained less than 6.00 CGPA.
2. A candidate shall be allowed to take two chances to appear as a private candidate for improvement within a period of 8 years. This period shall be w.e.f. the admission of the candidate in B.E. 1st year. The candidate shall have to choose subjects for his/her examination as per current scheme & syllabus prevailing at the time of filling of his/her examination form.
3. No improvement shall be allowed in Internal Assessment.
4. The examinations of Improvement of B.E. shall be conducted along with regular theory examinations of B.E.
5. The above decision shall be applicable prospectively w.e.f. the date of its implementation as ordered by the Syndicate and Senate of Panjab University, Chandigarh..

Explanatory Note: Improvement of performance by a candidate shall not affect the inter-se-merit position determined on the basis of original examination

4. Considered recommendations at Sr. No. (1 & 2) of the Admission Facilitation Committee dated 04.03.2020 (**Appendix-III**):

1. NRI guidelines for the academic session 2020-21 and fee structure (**Appendix-III**), be approved.
2. Circular No. F.No. 3-4/2017-NERR-Government of India, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Economic Administration Division dated 5.11.2019 (**Appendix-III**) received from Sr. Economic Adviser (Higher Education) regarding concession for the wards of Kashmiri Migrants and Kashmiri Pandits/Kashmiri Hindu Families (Non-Migrants) living in Kashmir Valley for admission in higher Educational Institutions, be adopted.

NOTE: Legal vetting of NRI guidelines has been done by Professor Devinder Singh, Department of Laws and Professor Rattan Singh, Director, UIIS.

Initiating discussion, Dr. Dayal Partap Singh Randhawa stated that they are facing problem relating to admission of students under NRI quota. He would like to bring to the notice of the Controller of Examinations that certain persons of Canada have applied for admission to 5-Year Law course, but the date of Entrance Test has been extended for several times. How would they materialize the admission of such candidates? They have to take a decision on this issue at the earliest because there (in Canada), they are opening the Educational Institutions in Canada now. Educational Institutions have already been opened in Australia and New Zealand. Perhaps, in Canada also, the Educational Institutions would be opened shortly. It should not happen that the students take admission in Educational Institutions of Canada, though their interest is in taking admission in Panjab University. If it happened, they would lose a hefty amount, which they receive as a fee from NRI students. Hence, they have to take a decision in this regard at the earliest. Could they exempt them from the Entrance Test? Or could they devise an online test, etc. for them?

Shri Ashok Goyal enquired have all these things been cleared by the Court?

It was clarified that just to attract more students, they have extended their scope. They have slightly changed the definition of NRIs. Attention of the Hon'ble members was drawn to page 20 of the Appendix.

Ms. Anu Chatrath said that they have to ensure whether the definition of NRI is in accordance with the judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. They could not make it a backdoor entry and put it into a sale category.

Professor Emanuel Nahar suggested that everything relating to border area, single girl child, etc., should be made crystal clear in the Handbook of Information.

It was informed that the definition of NRI has been made in accordance with the provisions of Income Tax Act. If still there is any doubt/legal complication, it would be got checked from legal point of view.

Ms. Anu Chatrath said that her only concern is that it could be challenged at any point of time. Therefore, it should be got legally examined.

Dr. Dayal Partap Singh Randhawa said that earlier, there were three categories – (i) Foreign Nationals themselves or their wards; (ii) NRIs themselves or their wards; and (iii) NRI sponsored or foreign national sponsored. The 3rd category was banned by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, but the other two categories still existed.

It was said that they are conscious of this fact that the NRI and Foreign National sponsored are not allowed.

Dr. Dayal Partap Singh Randhawa said that only exchange programme has been added in this. He enquired would there be common list of these or category-wise merit-wise lists would be prepared.

It was informed that the guidelines for NRIs, admission criteria, definition of NRIs, under which category the NRI would be counted/accepted, etc. were framed by the Committee comprising Professor Rattan Singh, Professor Ronki Ram, and the same had already been approved.

Ms. Anu Chatrath pointed out that the definitions from (a) to (g) mentioned at page 20 of the Appendix, are not in consonance with the Supreme Court judgement.

Proceedings of Syndicate meeting dated 13th July, 2020

It was clarified that the definition of NRIs' wards has been given a wider scope. Whatever decision has been taken by the Committee, has been taken on the judgements of various Courts. Moreover, it has also been legally got vetted from Department of Laws and University Institute of Legal Studies. As such, it has been done after a lot of deliberations. On asking by Shri Ashok Goyal, it was informed that the main guidelines had already been approved. However, certain specific issues might have come again.

Shri Ashok Goyal enquired as to what issue have arisen. It could be true that they might have approved the main guidelines, but what for this Committee had been constituted. In fact, the term of reference is also the same.

It was informed that, in fact, the fees of one course was left to be decided.

Shri Ashok Goyal suggested that then the item relating to fixation of fees of that course should be brought and the matter ends.

Continuing, Shri Ashok Goyal pointed out that here only 'single girl child' has been written, but nobody has paid attention that single girl child provides as "one of the only two girls child". Who would write that?

It was informed that the matter does not relate to NRI guidelines, but only to NRIs fees. Earlier, an Item had come relating to the fee structure of NRIs and it was decided that a comprehensive item should be placed before the Syndicate. Now, a comprehensive item has been placed before the Syndicate.

Shri Ashok Goyal enquired as to which is the Facilitation Committee.

It was informed that the Committee has been constituted by the former Dean of University Instruction.

Shri Ashok Goyal pointed out that in the case of single girl child, especially belonging to Punjab, the High Court has observed as to why there is discrimination. What has been done to that observation of the Court? In fact, there was just single girl child and additional seats for single girl child could be created only in those courses, which are governed by the UGC, but in the courses, which are governed by other regulatory bodies, i.e., AICTE, DCI, MCI, etc., there is no provision for additional seats, and the High Court has ordered that they could not discriminate. The University could not ignore the dictate of the Court, even if they wanted. In this regard, he had given a suggestion that in the case of courses offered in Dental Institute or other courses wherein they could not create this provision, the Handbook of Information of such courses should be made separate, so that there is no question of discrimination.

Ms. Anu Chatrath said that the Bar Council has also given its decision in this regard that they could distribute the seats within the sanctioned strength.

It was said that the point(s) raised by the Hon'ble member(s) has/have been noted. However, in the Item under consideration, only two issues are involved, i.e., NRI guidelines and another concession for the wards of Kashmiri Migrants, etc.

Shri Ashok Goyal remarked that, after reading the English mentioned at page 16 of the Appendix, what he has understood is that the issue relating to single girl child is also involved. At page 16 (No.2), it has been mentioned, "Guidelines for Additional seats (i.e., Border area students, Rural area students, Single girl child, Cancer/AIDS/Thalassemia, Kashmiri Migrants) and reserved seat of Riot or Terrorist victim".

Proceedings of Syndicate meeting dated 13th July, 2020

It was clarified that the agenda item only related to NRIs and Kashmiri Migrants, etc., though by mistake the complete minutes have been appended with the item. Hence, the Item, which has been placed for consideration, should be approved.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that then what would they approve? He suggested that it should be clarified that additional seats for single girl child should not be created in the courses governed by the regulatory bodies such as AICTE, DCI, MCI, etc., so that they might not face the problem, which they had faced from the High Court earlier; otherwise, there would again be contempt of Court Proceedings (COCP).

RESOLVED: That–

1. NRI Guidelines for the academic session 2020-21 and fee structure, as per **Appendix**, be approved; and
2. Circular No. F.No. 3-4/2017-NERR-Government of India, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Economic Administration Division dated 5.11.2019 received from Senior Economic Adviser (Higher Education) regarding concession for the wards of Kashmiri Migrants and Kashmiri Pandits/Kashmiri Hindu Families (Non-Migrants) living in Kashmir Valley for admission in higher Educational Institutions, be adopted.

5. Considered the recommendation of the Committee dated 02.12.2019 (**Appendix-IV**) constituted by the Vice-Chancellor, in pursuance of the Syndicate decision dated 24.02.2018 vide Para 10 (**Appendix-IV**):

1. that SC/ST Ph.D. fellows receiving any fellowship from UGC or any other funding agencies, claiming H.R.A. be charged room rent. However, students pursuing Ph.D. without any funding assistance be exempted from paying room rent.
2. that SC/ST/BC students of Punjab state, pursuing Graduation, Post-Graduation or any other equivalent course and receiving Post-Matric Scholarship or any other fellowship, be exempted from paying the room rent if limit of annual income should be such as prescribed by the Government and adopted by the Syndicate from time to time. Documentary proofs will be same as required from “Merit-cum-Means Scholarship”

NOTE: An office note enclosed (**Appendix-IV**).

RESOLVED: That–

1. SC/ST Ph.D. fellows receiving any fellowship from UGC or any other funding agencies, claiming H.R.A., be charged room rent. However, students pursuing Ph.D. without any funding assistance, be exempted from paying room rent; and
2. SC/ST/BC students of Punjab State, pursuing Graduation, Post-Graduation or any other equivalent course and receiving Post-Matric Scholarship or any other fellowship, be exempted from paying the room rent if limit of annual income is such as prescribed by the Government and adopted by the Syndicate from time to time. Documentary proofs be the same as required from “Merit-cum-Means Scholarship”.

6. Considered request dated 27.05.2020 (**Appendix-V**) of the Director, Panjab University Swami Sarvanand Giri Regional Centre, Una Road, Bajwara, Hoshiarpur, that:

- (i) No. of intake seats for NRI and foreign National Seats for B.E. Courses, be rectified as 54 (5 NRI + 3 Foreign National) instead of 65 (7 NRI +3 Foreign National) in the Hand Book of information 2020
- (ii) Seat Matrix 2020 (**Appendix-V**) of UIET, PUSSGRC, Hoshiarpur, for the session 2020-2021, be also approved.

NOTE: The actual number of intake seats for B.E. Course as per Handbook of Information 2019 was mentioned as 65 instead of 54. Accordingly, number of seats for NRI and Foreign Nationals were mentioned as (7+3) instead of (5+3).

It was informed that the number of intake seats for NRIs and Foreign Nationals for B.E. course being offered at P.U. Swami Sarvanand Giri Regional Centre, Hoshiarpur, has been reduced from 65 to 54. Earlier, there used to be 7 NRI seats and now the same is 5.

Shri Jarnail Singh said that it has been written that 65 number of intake seats had been mentioned wrongly.

It was clarified that the number of intake of seats for B.E. Course had been mentioned as 65 in the Handbook of Information for the year 2019-20 and according to that 7 seats + 3 seats for NRI and Foreign Nationals were calculated. Now when the number of seats had been corrected as 54, the calculation of NRI and Foreign Nationals seats come to 5 and 3 seats respectively. Only this correction has been made.

Ms. Anu Chatrath pointed out that though the admissions were made 2 years ago, correction is being made now. Could they do this?

It was clarified that the correction is being made for future.

Continuing, Ms. Anu Chatrath said that unless and until there is no hitch, the matter is never placed before the Syndicate. Now they should be told as to what is the real problem.

Shri Ashok Goyal enquired is it such a simple issue that it had been printed in the Handbook of Information inadvertently. They could not repeat the mistake again and again. Is it a small mistake that the number of seats had been mentioned in the Handbook of Information as '65' by mistake instead of '54'? It could be understood that 65 seats had been mentioned by mistake instead of 54 but how the calculation of the seats for NRIs and Foreign Nationals had been calculated by mistake. He remarked that it had been done deliberately. Have they themselves written or it has been pointed out by somebody else. At what stage, it has been pointed out and how many admissions of NRIs and Foreign Nationals had been made in accordance with wrong number of seats. No such information has been provided. Under which provision the seats for NRIs had been reserved? When Professor Navdeep Goyal said that the NRIs seats have not been reserved, Shri Ashok Goyal said that these are reserved, and that was why, the same had been mentioned. When Professor Keshav Malhotra said that the NRIs never got filled, Shri Ashok Goyal said that if the NRIs seats did not get filled, then where is the problem?

Proceedings of Syndicate meeting dated 13th July, 2020

Ms. Anu Chatrath said that the objection might have been raised by the AICTE.

It was said that Ms. Anu Chatrath has raised a relevant point, which would be got checked. On the suggestion of Professor Keshav Malhotra and Professor Navdeep Goyal, it was said that the item could be approved, but the important points made by the members would be got examined.

Ms. Anu Chatrath suggested that it should be checked as to at what level, who committed the mistake, how many wrong admissions had been made and what problem could be faced because it amounts to cheating AICTE also.

It was said that this would be checked.

Ms. Anu Chatrath suggested that the report relating to this should be placed before the Syndicate in its next meeting.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that if it had been done deliberately, accountability/responsibility should be fixed.

RESOLVED: That –

1. the intake of seats for NRI and Foreign National for B.E. Course, be rectified as 54 (5 NRI + 3 Foreign National) instead of 65 (7 NRI +3 Foreign National) in the Hand Book of information 2020, and
2. Seat Matrix 2020 of UIET, PUSSGRC, Hoshiarpur, for the session 2020-2021, as per **Appendix**, be approved.

RESOLVED FURTHER: That it be got checked why the seats had been wrongly mentioned in the session 2019-20. If it had been, accountability/responsibility be fixed and the report be placed to Dean of University Instruction.

7. Considered request (**Appendix-VI**) of Shri Ajay Guleria, System Administrator, Computer Centre (on EOL) to:

- (i) extend his Extra Ordinary Leave with lien for another year starting from 07.03.2020 i.e., from 07.03.2020 to 06.03.2021.
- (ii) request I.I.T. Delhi for sending his CPF contribution to the Panjab University for the period 07.03.2020 to 06.03.2021.

NOTE: 1. Shri Ajay Guleria, System Administrator, Computer Centre had already availed EOL 3 years w.e.f. 07.03.2017 to 06.03.2020.

2. Regulation 12.2 (C) at page 125 of PU Calendar, Volume-I, 2007, reads as under:

(C) EXTRAORDINARY LEAVE

The competent authority, may in its discretion for any special reason, grant an employee extraordinary leave of absence but such leave –

- (a) shall be without pay;
- (b) shall not ordinarily exceed 3 years at a time; and

(c) shall be without pay and shall not count for increment except in the following cases:-

(i) to (iii) ~~xxx xxx xxx~~

(iv) **Leave granted to accept a post outside the University.**

Provided that the maximum period for which such leave may be availed of shall not exceed 5 years during entire service.

3. Regulation 11.1 (i) at page 119 of P.U. Calendar Volume-I, 2007 reads as under:

“11.1 Unless otherwise laid down in these Regulations, the authorities competent to grant leave (other than casual) shall be –

(i) Syndicate - for employee of Class A for leave of more than six months.

~~xx xx xxx~~

4. An office note enclosed (**Appendix-VI**).

RESOLVED: That-

- (i) Dr. Ajay Guleria, System Administrator, Computer Unit be granted extension in Extra Ordinary Leave (without pay) for one year more w.e.f. 07.03.2020 to 06.03.2021 and also allow him to retain lien in his substantive post of System Administrator, Computer Centre; and
- (ii) Dr. Guleria be informed that he may request to his present employer at I.I.T., Delhi for sending his CPF contribution to Panjab University during his above said leave period

8.

Considered minutes dated 28.05.2020 (**Appendix-VII**) of the Committee constituted by the Dean Student Welfare, to suggest modification in the Panjab University Handbook of Hostel Rules to be printed for the session 2020-21. Information contained in the office note (**Appendix-VII**) was also taken into consideration.

NOTE: The Syndicate in its meeting dated 12.07.2014 (Para-21) (**Appendix-VII**) considered and approved the recommendations of the Committee dated 28.04.2014 for addition/ deletion in P.U. Handbook of Hostel rules for the session 2014-15 and authorized the Vice-Chancellor to take decision, on behalf of the Syndicate, in consultation with the Dean of Student Welfare.

RESOLVED: That, as recommended by the Committee dated 10.02.2020, 19.02.2020, 13.03.2020 and 28.05.2020 (**Appendix-VII**), the changes in the existing Panjab University Handbook of Hostel Rules for the session 2020-21, be approved.

9. Item 9 on the agenda was read out, viz. –

9. To appoint Dean of Student Welfare w.e.f. 28.06.2020, under Regulation 1 at page 107 of P.U. Calendar, Volume I, 2007.

NOTE: 1. In accordance with the decision of the Syndicate dated 30.05.2020, the Vice Chancellor has assigned the charge of Dean Student Welfare to Professor S.K. Tomar, Department of Mathematics for the interim period w.e.f. 01.06.2020 to 27.06.2020 vide office order No.2894-2994/Estt.I dtd 01.06.2020 (**Appendix-VIII**).

2. Regulation 1 appearing at page 107 of P.U. Calendar, Volume I, 2007 reads as under:

1. “The Senate may, on the recommendations of the Vice-Chancellor and the Syndicate, appoint a Dean of Student Welfare for such a period and on such terms and conditions as may be determined by them”.
3. The Syndicate in its meeting dated 30.05.2020 while discussing Item C-25 had request the Vice-Chancellor to bring another name for the post of Dean Student Welfare in the next meeting of the Syndicate. The name of Professor Devinder Singh is not acceptable as Dean of Student Welfare
4. The Recommendations of the Vice Chancellor for the post of Dean Student Welfare is Professor S.K. Tomar, Department of Mathematics.

Shri Ashok Goyal stated that as they had said in the last meeting, it is very-very unfortunate, the kind of honour they gave to the Vice Chancellor and people also. But they requested the Vice Chancellor to bring his recommendation because in the earlier litigation in the High Court, the Vice Chancellor through the Registrar had filed an affidavit that the Vice Chancellor’s recommendation has not been taken into consideration. Keeping in view the order of the Hon’ble High Court, they requested the Vice Chancellor to bring his recommendation, and that decision was taken on 30th May 2020. He thought that they expected the recommendation of the Vice Chancellor to come to them along with the agenda a week earlier. Not that they are objecting to it, but they again wanted to ensure that they do not want to create any kind of hurdle in the functioning of the Vice Chancellor. They have no problem, but the Vice Chancellor should also reciprocate by saying that he (Vice Chancellor) is not against the Syndicate. However, a better picture of perfect harmony between the Vice Chancellor and the Syndicate should be projected outside, so that no bad message could go out and instead an impression should be that the Vice Chancellor and the Syndicate are two sides of the same coin.

Professor Navdeep Goyal intervened to say that the term of appointment of Professor S.K. Tomar should be up to 31st May 2021.

Continuing, Shri Ashok Goyal said that the Syndicate recommends that the appointment of Professor S.K. Tomar as Dean of Student Welfare, as recommended by

Proceedings of Syndicate meeting dated 13th July, 2020

the Vice Chancellor, till 31st May 2021, but with a rider that they expect in return from the Vice Chancellor and not from anybody else that he would campaign that the Syndicate is on his (Vice Chancellor) side and he is on Syndicate's side. This is the minimum, which is required.

Dr. Rabinder Nath Sharma suggested that the term of Professor S.K. Tomar as Dean of Student Welfare should be up to 23rd July 2021.

The Vice Chancellor said that he was just going through the proceedings of a few years back as he wanted to see as to who spoke how much and he found that only a few persons participated in the discussion. Though it is good, there is another aspect of it and he did not know whether the same could be called painful or merely a wastage of time. If they go through the proceedings of previous years, they would be surprised to see that certain things had been repeated again and again and nothing new had been said.

Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu remarked that they would not be surprised as they all knew it very well. In fact, they all are supposed to get their attendance marked.

Shri Ashok Goyal said, "Principal Sandhu Sahib, let they not take it to that side". Let him tell them that Ms. Anu Chatrath has raised a very pertinent question that he (Vice Chancellor) has read the proceedings of three years. Today also, he (Vice Chancellor) repeated the same thing again and again. He would like to share with him (Vice Chancellor) that they were speaking from so many years and today also have said so many times, but there is no effect on anybody. That was why, they are hammering again and again. Maybe, at some point of time, a little affect is there on somebody. Hence, they had an expectation from him (Vice Chancellor) that he would treat the Syndicate his own.

The Vice Chancellor said that he treats the Syndicate his own.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that, perhaps, he (Vice Chancellor) did not know as to how much pressure his (Vice Chancellor) officials exert on them. In fact, the Vice Chancellor felt as if he is against them and they told them (officials) that the Vice Chancellor says how could he tear his chest to clear the doubt. Similarly, they (members of the Syndicate) also say the same thing.

The Vice Chancellor said that again he could repeat the same thing.

RESOLVED: That it be recommended to the Senate that Professor S.K. Tomar, Department of Mathematics, be appointed as Dean of Student Welfare for a period of one year, i.e., from 01.06.2020 to 31.05.2021, under Regulation 1 at page 107 of P.U. Calendar, Volume I, 2007.

The Vice Chancellor said, "Thank you for the support and gesture, which they have shown".

10.

Considered the following Resolution proposed by Dr. Dalip Kumar, Fellow:

"Regulation 3 (pages 46-48) of the Panjab University Calendar, Volume I, 2007, be amended as per details below:

Existing	Proposed
Regulation 3 (pages 46-48) of the Panjab University Calendar, Volume I,	Regulation 3 (pages 46-48) of the Panjab University Calendar, Volume I,

2007 Fellows assigned to each Faculty may add to their number, according to the procedure laid down in the Regulations persons residing within the territorial jurisdiction of the University, who fulfil the following qualifications as per details mentioned under (a) to (f) of Section 3	2007 Fellows assigned to each Faculty may add to their number, according to the procedure laid down in the Regulations persons residing within the territorial jurisdiction of the University and in Tri-city (Mohali and Panchkula) who fulfil the following qualifications as per details mentioned under (a) to (f) of Section 3
Page 48 9iv) An added member of a Faculty who ceased to possess the qualification laid down in Regulation 3, or leaves the territorial jurisdiction of the University, shall cease to be an Added member for the remaining period of his term.	Page 48 9iv) An added member of a Faculty who ceased to possess the qualification laid down in Regulation 3, or leaves the territorial jurisdiction of the University, and in Tri-city (Mohali and Panchkula) shall cease to be an Added member for the remaining period of his term.

EXPLANATION:

It is proposed that Regulation 3 (pages 46-48) of the Panjab University Calendar, Volume-I, 2007 Fellows assigned to each Faculty may add to their number, according to the procedure laid down in the Regulations persons residing within the territorial jurisdiction of the University, who fulfil the following qualifications as per details mentioned under (a) to (f) of Section 3.

Panjab University Campus is situated in Chandigarh and it not only caters the colleges situated in Punjab but also of UT, Chandigarh.

During initial phase of the development of city Chandigarh the student enrolment and faculty undergo exponentially increased. The faculty members and other staff not able to fetch the residing accommodation in Chandigarh on account of no availability of accommodation and high cost of the land.

In due course of time the area adjoining to Chandigarh undergo further expansion keeping in view the need of the persons working in Chandigarh offices.

Accordingly, to meet reasonable cost and availability of accommodation even faculty members working in Panjab University and other affiliated colleges got settled in Tri-City, i.e., Mohali and Panchkula.

The total population of Tri-city at present is about 18 Lac as per census of 2011 which is many fold increased in comparison with the census of 1961.

Ms. Anu Chatrath said that the Resolution is okay, but the words, "Greater Mohali" needed to be inserted.

Shri Jarnail Singh said that it should not look as if the entire Mohali District is covered under it or the entire Panchkula District came under its jurisdiction.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that several persons have now started living in Eco City also.

Proceedings of Syndicate meeting dated 13th July, 2020

Shri Jarnail Singh said, "Okay, I have understood". He is only saying that Greater Mohali should be done so that the persons living in the periphery of Mohali are covered, but the whole Mohali District should not be covered under it.

Professor Keshav Malhotra suggested that Eco City, Aero City should be covered under it.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that Greater Mohali also includes the areas falling under Omax, DLF, Eco City, Aero City.

It was enquired whether Greater Mohali includes everything.

Professor Keshav Malhotra clarified that Mohali City and Greater Mohali include Aero City, DLF, etc.

It was also enquired whether Greater Mohali name existed.

Ms. Anu Chatrath and Professor Keshav Malhotra jointly said, "Yes Greater Mohali name is there".

Dr. Dayal Partap Singh Randhawa said that Greater Mohali is an Urban Development Authority.

Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu enquired as to what issue is being discussed. Nothing should get approved without his knowledge.

RESOLVED: That the above Resolution proposed by Dr. Dalip Kumar, Fellow, be forwarded to the Senate with the recommendation that it be accepted with the modification that the word '**Mohali**' wherever it existed in the proposed Regulation, be substituted with the words "**Mohali City and Greater Mohali**".

11. Considered if the decision of Syndicate dated 19.11.2017 (Para 31) with regard to treat Shri Charanjit Singh, Junior Mechanical Engineer, Dr. Harvansh Singh Judge Institute of Dental Sciences & Hospital, P.U., on duty except for the period (27.02.2017 to 24.01.2018) he remained absent instead of treating leave without pay, pursuant to legal opinion of Dr. Anmol Rattan Sidhu, Senior Advocate, Punjab & Haryana High Court.

NOTE: 1. The Syndicate in its meeting dated 19.11.2017 (Para 31) has resolved that:

- (i) the enquiry report dated 12.09.2017 submitted by Shri S.S. Lamba, Enquiry Officer with regard to charges levelled against Shri Charanjit Singh, Junior Mechanical Engineer, Dr. Harvansh Singh Judge Institute of Dental Sciences & Hospital, P.U., as per Appendix, be accepted.
- (ii) the minor penalty of 'censure' be imposed on Shri Charanjit Singh
- (iii) he be allowed to join duty after he tenders apology for his unauthorised absence;
- (iv) he be treated on duty except for the period he remained absent without sanction of leave and he be granted leave without pay for the period he remained absent.

2. An affidavit submitted by Shri Charanjit Singh, J.M.E, Dr. Harvansh Singh Judge Institute of Dental Sciences & Hospital, P.U., during the period i.e. 27.02.2017 to 24.01.2018 (332 days) enclosed.
3. Enquiry Report dated 12.09.2019 submitted by Shri Lamba enclosed.
4. An office note enclosed.

Shri Jarnail Singh said that this item seems to be a controversial one.

Professor Navdeep Goyal said that it is not controversial. A problem has occurred. So far as he knew, this item had been approved earlier, i.e., in 19.11.2017. The issue is that other than that period, he (Shri Charanjit Singh) used to come to office, but his attendance has not been marked. However, the period, during which he did not come, should be without pay, but for the remaining period, pay should be given to him.

Shri Ashok Goyal enquired as to what the case is.

It was clarified that Shri Charanjit Singh, Junior Mechanical Engineering, had gone abroad, and he applied for leave, but the same was not sanctioned. Thereafter, he returned. He was supposed to join, but his attendance continued to be marked in the Establishment Branch. This is the issue. As such, there is a gap. The Audit Department has also been raising objection. Earlier, they had approved, but the Audit raised objection.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that this issue seemed to be ticklish because legal opinion has also been sought. On the surface of it, it looked as if he had been wilfully absenting himself on one pretext on the other.

Shri Jarnail Singh said, "Yes, it appears".

It was said, "No, Sir". Last time, a penalty was imposed on him after having discussion on the item. They could see the proceedings of the Syndicate, which had been appended with the item. In fact, penalty of censure was imposed on him. It has been written "The minor penalty of 'censure' be imposed on Shri Charanjit Singh".

Shri Jarnail Singh said that at the same time, the period of absence was taken as "leave without pay".

Shri Ashok Goyal suggested that this Item be placed before the Syndicate in its next meeting.

Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu said that if he (Shri Charanjit Singh) had not proceeded on leave by getting the same sanctioned, naturally the period of absence is to be treated "Leave Without Pay".

Ms. Anu Chatrath said that it is matter of giving salary for two to two and half years as well as seniority to him (Shri Charanjit Singh).

Shri Jarnail Singh said that the decision of the Syndicate on the issue is already there.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that this issue has not been placed before the Syndicate this time alone, but has come for so many times. About this, so many remarks had also come. This man, he thought was relieved from the Dental College if he is not mistaken

and went to Establishment Branch and the Establishment Branch did not allow him to join.

Shri Jarnail Singh said that this person had gone abroad twice.

It was informed/clarified that legal opinion in the matter was obtained from Dr. Anmol Rattan Sidhu. After examining everything, he has written at page 9 of the Appendix that “But in this specific case where the employee had not remained absent after 27.02.2017 but had made his intent clear, which was kept in abeyance by the University the same period may be treated as ‘On Duty’. As such, the Item has been brought to the Syndicate after taking legal opinion.

To this, Shri Ashok Goyal said that legal opinion is not a Supreme Court judgement. He suggested that the Item should be kept pending and they could consider it in the next meeting.

Shri Jarnail Singh suggested that if they wanted, earned leave could be given to him, but not any other kind of benefit(s).

Permission was sought from the House whether they could appoint a Committee to examine the issue after keeping the matter pending.

To this, Shri Ashok Goyal said, “No, the matter should be kept pending till the next meeting”.

Professor Keshav Malhotra said that the past conduct of the employee is deceptive.

The Vice Chancellor enquired are they keeping it pending?

Shri Ashok Goyal said that the Item is being kept pending because nothing is clear in the Item/paper provided to them. Or the office should clarify as to what the real issue is. At one of the places, it has been written that the said employee was charge-sheeted for wilful absence from duty/overstaying the leave even after repeated attempts by the management to obtain the same, clearly reflective of his misdemeanour of ignoring important communication and non-compliance. In fact, he had been charge-sheeted under various misconduct, and of course, minor penalty was imposed. Now, after having been imposed the penalty, as it has been rightly said that since he had been coming and he had intent to join, salary for that period should be given to him, which is not tenable.

The Vice Chancellor said, “Alright, okay”.

RESOLVED: That since complete information/facts have not been provided, the consideration of **Item 11 on the agenda**, be deferred.

12. Considered that Faculties opted by Professor Ravinder Kumar Singla, Dean of University Instruction, be assigned to him, as mentioned below:

1. Medical Science
2. Languages
3. Business Management & Commerce
4. Pharmaceutical Sciences.

Shifted from Item 15.

Proceedings of Syndicate meeting dated 13th July, 2020

Shri Ashok Goyal suggested that the name of Professor Ravinder Kumar Singla should be incorporated in the list of Faculties, to which he shall be assigned.

The Vice Chancellor asked the Registrar to include the name of Professor Ravinder Kumar Singla, in the list of Faculties, to which he would be assigned to.

RESOLVED: That Professor Ravinder Kumar Singla, Dean of University Instruction, be assigned to the Faculties mentioned below, in anticipation of approval of the Senate:

1. Medical Science
2. Languages
3. Business Management & Commerce
4. Pharmaceutical Sciences.

13. Considered if, Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) (**Appendix-IX**), be executed, between Panjab University, Chandigarh and National Institute of Technical Teachers Training and Research (NITTTR) for sharing academic and infrastructural excellence of both the organizations to generate manpower which will contribute to the National Development in the areas of Nanophotonics.

Initiating discussion, Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua pointed out that whichever MoUs had been executed so far, had been executed by the Registrar, and that too, by designation and not by name. Had it been decided as to what level the MoU would be executed, i.e., whether at Department level, or Registrar level or Vice Chancellor level, etc.? Is there any set procedure?

It was said that correction is needed in the format, which would be made.

Shri Ashok Goyal enquired as to what they have approved now.

Professor Navdeep Goyal said that they have approved the MoU with corrections.

RESOLVED: That Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) (Appendix), be executed, between Registrar, Panjab University, Chandigarh and National Institute of Technical Teachers Training and Research (NITTTR) for sharing academic and infrastructural excellence of both the organizations to generate manpower which will contribute to the National Development in the areas of Nanophotonics.

14. Considered minutes dated 16.06.2020 (**Appendix-X**) of the Committee constituted by the Vice-Chancellor pursuant to decision of the Syndicate dated 08.03.2020 (Para 6) (**Appendix-X**) to examine the proposal holistically regarding the grant of one additional unit (comprising 60 seats) in B.A. LL.B. (Hons.) w.e.f. academic session 2020-21 and start of LL.M. one Year Course morning shift with an intake of 20 students at PUSGRC, Hoshiarpur, w.e.f. session 2020-21.

RESOLVED: That the recommendations of the Committee dated 16.06.2020, as per **Appendix**, be approved.

15. Considered letter No. 1304 dated 29.08.2019 & 11.09.2019 received from the President, Guru Nanak Educational Trust, Model Town, Ludhiana that Instructions of course/s, i.e., (i) Sociology (B.A. and M.A.) (ii) Political Science (B.A. and M.A.) (iii) History (B.A. and M.A.) (iv) Zoology (B.Sc.) (v) Microbiology (B.Sc.) (vi) Public Administration (B.A.) (vii) Punjabi Elective (B.A.) and (viii) Punjabi (M.A.), be discontinued w.e.f. the session 2020-21. Information contained in the office note was also taken into consideration.

Shri Ashok Goyal enquired has the office given the input?

Shri Jarnail Singh remarked that this is a College, the history of which is to discontinue the subject like Political Science. He suggested that this request should not be acceded to.

Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu pointed out that it is not Guru Nanak Educational Trust, Model Town, Ludhiana, because the Trust had many Colleges. In fact, it is Guru Nanak Girls College, Model Town, Ludhiana.

Professor Navdeep Goyal stated that this College has done several astonishing things. They (Management) are slowly and steadily discontinuing the courses there (in Guru Nanak Girls College) and opening a Hospital. And they had terminated the services of several teachers, who were serving in the College from so many years. One case has come to their notice, in which the services of the person were terminated by the College or was forcefully asked to submit resignation, but since the person had long experience, he has been appointed by the University as guest faculty. There are several such cases. The College has discontinued several courses. He said that though the College is offering M.Sc. (Physics) courses, not even a single teacher on regular basis is there. It is such a College, against whom an enquiry needed to be conducted.

Professor Emanuel Nahar remarked that Political Science is not such a subject, for which students' intake is not there. It is next to impossible.

Principal (Mrs.) Surinder Kaur said that the College had the subjects of Punjabi and Physical Education, which had been opted by a large number of students. A couple of teachers had met her and certain other Fellows and told that they are being paid very less salary. They have told them (teachers) not to take less salary. Only in those subjects, e.g. Computer, etc. where the seats have been full, full salaries are being paid to the teachers. It is true that the Management has opened a Hospital and they are spending/diverting their funds towards the Hospital, so that they might not pay salaries to the teachers. Secondly, the teachers, who have been appointed against grant-in-aid posts, are being shifted to non-grant-in-aid posts, and are being paid less salary, so that they are easily able to run the Hospital. This is a Management, which could pay full salaries to the teachers.

It was pointed out that it is a serious issue and all of them are saying that the College has indulged into malpractice.

The Vice Chancellor asked the Dean, College Development Council to update the House.

It was explained that the College has written for discontinuation of certain courses. On this, they have given a note that "this may not be considered" because they do not know the fate of the teachers after discontinuation of these courses. Secondly, they are also continuously in touch with the College and asking them as to what would be the fate of the faculty members. However, no satisfactory reply has been received from the College. They have again written a letter to the College and the College has also approached the High Court. In view of this, the matter has been brought to the Syndicate.

Shri Jarnail Singh said that, in fact, it was a very small College. They had introduced courses like BBA, BCA, etc. and earned huge amount of money out of them. Though the College had appointed teachers for quite some time, not against the aided posts. Secondly, they thought it better to dispense with the services of teachers as they are a Lala's Shop. According to him, a Committee comprising 2-3 persons, who might

not listen to anybody, should be appointed to observe and submit a report as to what is the real position. At the moment, this item should not be approved.

A part of statement made by Shri Ashok Goyal shifted to Item 12.

Shri Ashok Goyal stated that he thought that Dean, College Development Council was reading from page 47 of the Appendix. First of all, there is no request sent by Guru Nanak Girls College, Model Town, Ludhiana, as has been pointed out by one of his colleagues. In fact, the request has been sent by the Trust, whereas there is no provision that the Trust has to request for discontinuation of courses. In fact, it is the College, which has to make the request. To the office of Deputy Registrar (Colleges), there is no distinction between the Management and Principal of the College. They are simultaneously entertaining the President of the Management also, General Secretary also, Principal also and Officiating Principal also. They are not bothered as to who is signing the document(s). They did not know as to who is the competent person, under whose signatures they are to accept the letter. As has been pointed out that somebody from Jalandhar has been given the charge of Jagraon College, that was why, they did not know as to who is signing the documents. In the end, the office wrote, "Therefore, the applications in the light of above may not be considered as such at this point of time at least for the session 2020-21. The decision can be taken only after complete information is made available so as to enable the University to examine its impact on the different stakeholders and the desirability of the proposal of the College". Meaning thereby, they are considering the Trust also as a College. It has been further written, "As a College is a granted institution...". The Vice Chancellor could himself see as to what kind of language has been written. Instead of writing "grant-in-aid College", it is written "As a College is a granted institution".

The Vice Chancellor said that as he (Shri Ashok Goyal) was talking about the Trust and the College, a little bit of correction needed to be carried out. A letter has also been received from the College.

Continuing, Shri Ashok Goyal said that the said letter is of 2019.

Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu pointed out that even if the letter has been written on the letterhead of the College, but the signatures are of the Chairman.

Continuing, Shri Ashok Goyal enquired as to why they are entertaining the letters written by the management. Is there any such provision? He is asking this because the letters contained the signatures of persons of Management as well as the Principal. In the note, it has also been written, "...discussion and consultation with the Punjab Government may also be required" and it has been signed by the office superintendent and Deputy Registrar (Colleges). The Dean, College Development Council has written, "The case may be allowed to be put before Syndicate, please". He said that how is the Syndicate expected to reach a decision without any kind of proper documentation and case which should have been prepared by the College Branch. What work the office is doing then? Only once the Syndicate receives the complete information from the office can this matter be taken up. As per his understanding, the office itself is saying that the information is incomplete and lacking and no communication has been carried out to gather this information. The actual process demands that the office should send a D.O. letter and get a Committee constituted that may go and look into the situation and give its recommendations and the fact that they have opened a hospital also on the same premises. Is Panjab University as affiliating body not conscious of the fact that the college is running a hospital on the premises of the College Building? Is it because they are owners of the land that they can do anything on the land? Are we not supposed to initiate proceedings against them for this lapse? Are we not in a position to ask them with due authority that with whose permission they are doing this? Can we not tell the Punjab Government also that this is

what they are doing? With their NOC the college was allotted affiliation and this is how they are violating the rules of the University.

The Vice Chancellor said that he (Shri Ashok Goyal) is right that it (College) has taken the NOC without taking permission from the University. Now, they should tell as to what is to be done in the matter. Let they summarize.

Shri Ashok Goyal continued and said that there are certain pressing issues involved. It should be taken into cognizance that some teachers have also been terminated from the College on the plea that they do not have enough students and money to pay the teachers. Can the College Branch certify that they had been receiving a Balance Sheet of income and expenditure from the college so that the University is able to determine what the situation is?

The Vice Chancellor said that in addition to Shri Ashok Goyal, if any other member wanted to give opinion on the matter, he/she could do so.

Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua stood up to add and requested that he may please be listened to carefully. He informed the Vice Chancellor that in the months of September 2019, he advised the Dean, College Development Council that a committee should be formed to look into the matter. He further said that a Committee under the Chairmanship of Prof. Rattan Singh and having Prof. Nandita, Dr. Jagwant and Dr. Mukesh Arora as members was formed to look into the matter. However, it is shocking that even after a period of eleven months the Committee has still not submitted its report to the Syndicate. Shri Dua was of the opinion that it is the Chairman of the Committee who should be asked as to why there is such a delay in the submission of the report. In addition, he also said that looking at the return of students sent by the College and attached at page No. 33, it was obvious that there was no shortfall of students with the College. Moreover, all the employees listed on page No. 38, Annexure-B, were eligible for the grade of Associate Professor which has not been granted to them. Further, the subjects whose discontinuation had been sought were those which had permanent teachers recruited to teach them. Subjects like, Biotechnology where there was not even a single permanent faculty were not considered for discontinuation. The present faculty who are working there have workloads of up to 36 hours each and quite shockingly, the faculty teaching Political Science also teaches Hindi or English. He again reiterated that in such circumstances, the failure of the Committee to submit a report needed to be considered seriously. He went on to say that if the College claims that without paying a salary of Associate Professor to any teacher, they still have an expenditure of more than 12 crores in the year 2018-19 as stated on page No. 43, they are lying. The other important thing to be considered is that this College is an aided college and it had been granted land by the Punjab Government on the occasion of 500th Birth Anniversary of Shri Guru Nanak Dev Ji to start a College. It was clearly mentioned that this land would only be used to open a Girls College. He also added that in the past few years 15 permanent teachers under grant-in-aid had retired from this College and the College had not filled up even a single vacant post. It is clear that their intention is to slowly close down the college and use the prime land for some other purpose. He also added that a Committee had been constituted to look into the affairs of the College in 2013 as well. However, even then no productive resolution of the issue had been obtained. As the Vice Chancellor tried to intervene, Dr. H.S. Dua requested that it was a matter of great concern that involved the livelihoods of the people and, hence, such matters should not be dealt with in a hurry.

Shri Ashok Goyal also interjected saying that the Syndicate should be told about what the University is doing with regard to the report of the Committee. He also said that he was not even aware that a Committee had been formed to look into the matter and neither has it been made a part of official record.

Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua continued and said that it was to be noted that the Committee which was constituted to look into the discontinuation of the courses, its meeting was scheduled in October, 2019 was postponed and has still not taken place. It means that even if the University constitutes a Committee to look into the matter the Management cannot be controlled or handled by the University. Dr. Dua said that he wanted to propose two things. The first was that the discontinuation of various subjects should be rejected and the case should be sent to the Punjab Government with the request to appoint a representative of the Government in the College as had been done in other colleges of Punjab such as, Sarhali and Mastuana Sahib. If this was not done, Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua said, showing the prospectus of the College that the teachers who had been suspended arbitrarily by the Management, their names had been mentioned in the prospectus as suspended teachers. Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua said that this was a heinous crime. In addition, they had already withdrawn the subjects whose discontinuation was still under consideration at the University from their website. He said that they are such daredevils that even if we do not allow the discontinuation of the subjects, they will still not allow admission in those subjects. The Management has the power to come to our Campus and threaten even buy the members of the Committees constituted. A joint resolution passed by the Syndicate should be sent to the Punjab Government requesting them to appoint an administrator in this College. Secondly, he said that the financial records of the College also need to be looked into since the college, he reported, had converted into hostels into paying guest accommodation. Even when they had opened a hospital on that land, the members of the Syndicate had received various letters against this step and even to talk about such an act is below the dignity of the Syndicate. He said that the Syndicate should recommend that a CBI enquiry be conducted into the financial management of the College. It was his view that the College was in a very strong position, financially and there was danger that the land of the College which was worth hundreds of crores could be misutilized by the College authorities. Hence, Punjab Government should be requested to mark a CBI enquiry and appoint an Administrator in the College.

Shri Jarnail Singh was of the view that another Committee having influential and powerful members who are above reproach should be constituted and it should visit the College along with an official from the office of the Controller of Examinations carrying full record with regard to the number of students who had appeared in examinations in the last 3-4 years.

Shri Ashok Goyal, however, said that the matter should not be taken lightly and as Dr. Dua has reported, a Committee had been constituted which the Vice Chancellor or the DCDC would be knowing if it had visited the College and given a report. He further said that discontinuation of regular courses at Guru Nanak Girls College, Ludhiana which was to be held on dated 1.10.2019 but the meeting was postponed and in the meanwhile the College also filed a contempt of Courts proceedings against the University and the competent authority allowed this office to keep the case pending till the next meeting. Sequal to the COCP some members withdrew their names from the Committee and the new committee has not been constituted so far. Where has it been mentioned that the Committee went there? They have written that the Committee was constituted. They have filed COCP. Some of them withdrew their names. He said that now on the basis of what facts can the Syndicate take a decision. The records do not say that the Committee visited. If the Committee visited, then where is the report and if the Committee did not visit then how can they say that the Committee visited. Everything should be known. It appears under the prevailing circumstances, there will be no benefit of the Committee going there. They will not share any information with the Committee and, in fact, they are likely to get FIR registered against the members of the Committee like they had done against the members who visited in 2013. In 2013, ultimately what happened with those who had gone to visit the College as Committee members. The University started taking them to task. The complaint was filed by the College that the Committee members misbehaved with them. He said that he was not in favour of any member of the Senate or the Syndicate being exposed to harassment by

sending them to visit that College. He is 100% agree with Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua that this Syndicate should resolve to write to the Government of Punjab as to under what circumstances and for what purpose the land was allotted to them and under what conditions the NOC was given to them and under what kind of service conditions of teachers and employees the University has given affiliation to them and now in violation of all the conditions they want to close down the College and run a hospital on that land. If we do not do it, then litigation will be there in Court and Panjab University will also become a party to it as a conspirator since everything was happening under the University's nose and the University is also responsible for the same. Therefore, he suggested, that it should be resolved that the University should write to the Punjab Government to order a probe and resume the land allotted to them in case they do not want to continue the Girls College. He further said that in case any member has any objection to it, let him come forward or else let the above be resolved.

Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua was of the opinion that the resolution should be passed immediately and communication to the Punjab Government so that required action is taken and admissions are not carried out.

It was informed that he was in agreement with the points made by Dr. Dua and Shri Ashok Goyal that no member of the Senate or the Syndicate should be subjected to harassment by sending them to the College. At the same time, the intentions of the Colleges were also very clear. Regarding writing to the Punjab Government and initiating a CBI enquiry into the financial management at the College, he suggested that a Committee may be formed at the earliest to look into the matter and to advise as to what action has to be taken.

Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu said that the College should be intimated that they should not initiate the admission process in the meanwhile. They should also be told that neither are they allowed to discontinue the courses running in the College and nor can they suspend the teachers who have been served notices.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that one letter to this effect must immediately be sent to the College and a copy of the same may be sent to the Punjab Government for intimation. Further, a letter may be written to the Punjab Government apprising them of the position. He further said that a letter to the College declining their request for discontinuation, may however, be despatched immediately without waiting for the minutes of the meeting to be prepared.

RESOLVED: That –

- (i) the request of Guru Nanak Girls College, Model Town, Ludhiana, regarding discontinuation of courses (i) Sociology (B.A. and M.A.) (ii) Political Science (B.A. and M.A.) (iii) History (B.A. and M.A.) (iv) Zoology (B.Sc.) (v) Microbiology (B.Sc.) (vi) Public Administration (B.A.) (vii) Punjabi Elective (B.A.) and (viii) Punjabi (M.A.), w.e.f. the session 2020-21, be **not acceded** to and intimation in this regard be sent to the College immediately; and
- (ii) on account of gross violation of P.U. Calendar, and to safeguard the interest of the students and teachers, Punjab Government be approached to intervene and take appropriate action including appointment of Administrator, during the discussion, it was pointed out that the land has been allowed by Government of Punjab for running Girls College whereas Hospital is running at that place.

Proceedings of Syndicate meeting dated 13th July, 2020

- 16.** Considered the following rates for Data Entry and Eligibility for Registered Graduate Constituency for the Senate Election-2020 to be held in the month of September 2020, be approved:

Item	Rates in the Senate Election, 2016	Proposed rates for 2020
Data Entry	Rs.2.50/- per form	Rs.3.50/- per form
Eligibility for Registered Graduate Constituency	On overtime basis, Rs.4.14/- approx. per form	Rs.4/- per form for two persons, i.e., Rs.2/- per form per person.

Information contained in the office note (**Appendix-XI**) was also taken into consideration.

RESOLVED: That the following rates for Data Entry and Eligibility for Registered Graduate Constituency for the Senate Election-2020 to be held in the month of September 2020, be approved:

Item	Rates in the Senate Election, 2016	Proposed rates for 2020
Data Entry	Rs.2.50/- per form	Rs.3.50/- per form
Eligibility for Registered Graduate Constituency	On overtime basis, Rs.4.14/- approx. per form	Rs.4/- per form for two persons, i.e., Rs.2/- per form per person.

- 17.** Item 17 on the agenda was read out, viz. –

- 17.** To appoint the following House Allotment Committees I and II as proposed by the Vice-Chancellor, for the term from 01.04.2020 to 31.03.2022, under Rule 1 at page 52 of P.U. Calendar, Volume III, 2016:

HAC-I (for the Houses of higher than 'D' type categories)	HAC-II (for the Houses up to 'D' type categories)
1. D.U.I - Chairman	1. D.U.I - Chairman
2. Shri Ashok Goyal, Fellow	2. Shri Ashok Goyal, Fellow
3. Dr. Rajinder Bhandari, Fellow	3. Registrar
4. Dr. Subhash Sharma, Fellow	4. Professor Daizy Rani Batish, Dept. of Botany
5. Registrar	5. Professor Devinder Singh, Dept. of Laws
6. Professor Ashok Kumar, Dept. of Hindi	6. Professor Desh Deepak Singh, Dept. of Biotechnology
7. Professor Dazy Zarabi, Dept. of Community Education & Disability Studies	7. Professor G.C. Chauhan, DES-MDRC
8. Professor Emanuel Nahar, USOL	8. Professor Krishan Mohan, Dept. of Geography
9. Professor Jagtar Singh, Dept. of Biotechnology	9. Professor M.C. Sidhu, Dept. of Botany
10. Professor Kirandeep Singh, Dept. of Education	10. Professor Sukesh Chander Sharma, Dept. of Biochemistry
11. Professor Rohit Sharma, Dept. of Microbial Biotechnology	
12. Professor S.K. Tomar, Dept. of Mathematics	11. Dr. Vijay Pal Singh, Dept. of Chemistry
13. Professor S.K. Tripathi, Dept. of Physics	12. F.D.O.
14. Professor Vinay Kanwar, UIET	13. X.E.N.
15. Dr. L.S. Baghel, Dept. of Philosophy	14. S.D.O. (Electrical)
	15. President. PUSA

Proceedings of Syndicate meeting dated 13th July, 2020

16. Dr. Shruti Bedi, UILS	16. President, PULTA
17. F.D.O.	17. President, PUCCSA
18. President, PUTA	18. President, Press Worker's Union
19. X.E.N.	19. President, PUSTA
20. S.D.O. (Electrical)	20. President, Driver's Union
21. Deputy Registrar (Estate) - Convener	21. Deputy Registrar (Estate) - Convener

- NOTE:**
1. The term of both the Committees was completed on 31.3.2020.
 2. Rule 1 at page 52 of P.U. Calendar, Volume III, 2016 reads as under:

“The Syndicate may appoint two House Allotment Committees, i.e.

one for houses up to ‘D’ type categories and the other for houses above ‘D’ type categories i.e. ‘E’ and above categories. The term of the Committee shall be for 2 years, beginning from April 1.”

3. An office note is enclosed (**Appendix-XII**).

Shri Ashok Goyal, while speaking on Item No. 17, requested the Vice Chancellor to remove his name from HAC-I and HAC-II. He further said that Professor Navdeep Goyal and Professor Keshav Malhotra names be added as they are available for contribution to above.

The Vice Chancellor acceded to his request.

RESOLVED: That the following House Allotment Committees I and II for the term from 01.04.2020 to 31.03.2022, under Rule 1 at page 52 of P.U. Calendar, Volume III, 2016, be constituted:

HAC-I (for the Houses of higher than ‘D’ type categories)	HAC-II (for the Houses up to ‘D’ type categories)
1. D.U.I - Chairman	1. D.U.I - Chairman
2. Professor Navdeep Goyal, Fellow	2. Professor Navdeep Goyal, Fellow
3. Professor Keshav Malhotra, Fellow	3. Professor Keshav Malhotra, Fellow
4. Dr. Rajinder Bhandari, Fellow	4. Registrar
5. Dr. Subhash Sharma, Fellow	5. Professor Daizy Rani Batish, Dept. of Botany
6. Registrar	6. Professor Devinder Singh, Dept. of Laws
7. Professor Ashok Kumar, Dept. of Hindi	7. Professor Desh Deepak Singh, Dept. of Biotechnology
8. Professor Dazy Zarabi, Dept. of Community Education & Disability Studies	8. Professor G.C. Chauhan, DES-MDRC
9. Professor Emanuel Nahar, USOL	9. Professor Krishan Mohan, Dept. of Geography
10. Professor Jagtar Singh, Dept. of Biotechnology	10. Professor M.C. Sidhu, Dept. of Botany
11. Professor Kirandeep Singh, Dept. of Education	11. Professor Sukesh Chander Sharma, Dept. of Biochemistry
12. Professor Rohit Sharma, Dept. of Microbial Biotechnology	12. Dr. Vijay Pal Singh, Dept. of Chemistry
	13. F.D.O.
	14. X.E.N.

13. Professor S.K. Tomar, Dept. of Mathematics	15. S.D.O. (Electrical)
14. Professor S.K. Tripathi, Dept. of Physics	16. President. PUSA
15. Professor Vinay Kanwar, UIET	17. President, PULTA
16. Dr. L.S. Baghel, Dept. of Philosophy	18. President, PUCCSA
17. Dr. Shruti Bedi, UILS	19. President, Press Workers' Union
18. F.D.O.	20. President, PUSTA
19. President, PUTA	21. President, Driver's Union
20. X.E.N.	22. Deputy Registrar (Estate) - Convener
21. S.D.O. (Electrical)	
22. Deputy Registrar (Estate) - Convener	

18. Considered if, Rule appearing at pages 38-39 of P.U. Calendar, Volume-III, 2019 (**Appendix-XIII**) regarding University Extension Library, be relaxed, as a special case to those members who neither apply for the renewal of their membership nor apply for their refund of security amount, due to outbreak of COVID-19, curfew/complete lockdown. Information contained in the office note (**Appendix-XIII**) was also taken into consideration.

RESOLVED: That Rule appearing at pages 38-39 of P.U. Calendar, Volume-III, 2019 (**Appendix-XIII**) regarding University Extension Library, be relaxed, as a special case to those members, who neither apply for the renewal of their membership nor apply for their refund of security amount, due to outbreak of COVID-19, curfew/complete lockdown period.

19. Considered minutes dated 10.06.2020 (**Appendix-XIV**) of the Committee, constituted by the Vice-Chancellor, to finalize the Admission Guidelines (for affiliated Colleges) for the session 2020-21.

Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua said that it may be clarified whether OCET (PG) is to be held or not as 27000 students have applied, press release has been issued and schedule has been prepared and centres have been established in Punjab also which is a big exercise in the prevailing circumstances.

Principal Sarbjit Kaur pointed out that point No. 3 on page 14 referred only to affiliated Colleges in Chandigarh and asked why the affiliated Colleges of Punjab have been left out. The recommendation of any Committee should be at par for Chandigarh and Punjab. There should not be partiality. She also pointed out that as per NCTE norms admission cannot be carried out without entrance test.

Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua said at in Point No. 3 on page No. 14 of Agenda Item, it has been suggested that admission for B.Ed. course may be made without conducting entrance test and on basis of merit in the affiliated colleges of Chandigarh.

Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu said that the University cannot make admissions of B.Ed. without holding the entrance test as it is a mandatory requirement of NCTE. He further said that if the admissions in the colleges of Chandigarh can be made without entrance test, the same criteria may be adopted for the colleges of Punjab.

The Vice Chancellor asked the Controller of Examinations to clarify.

The Controller of Examinations clarified that holding entrance test is mandatory and that it has always been held. When in the year 2017-18, Chandigarh did not hold an entrance test for its Colleges, it was pulled by the High Court. As per the

instructions of the High Court no admissions may be conducted in B.Ed. and B.P.Ed. without any entrance test.

RESOLVED: That the recommendations dated 10.06.2020 (**Appendix-XIV**) of the Committee, constituted by the Vice-Chancellor, to finalize the Admission Guidelines (for affiliated Colleges) for the session 2020-21, be approved with the modification that admission to B.Ed. and B.P.Ed. be made, as per NCTE norms.

20. Considered the request dated 06.02.2020 of Dr. S.S. Sangha, Principal, Dashmesh Girls College, Badal (Sri Muktsar Sahib) with regard to admission of Ms. Kirandeep Kaur in B.C.A. 3rd Semester on sympathetic ground. Information contained in the office note was also taken into consideration.

- NOTE:**
1. The candidate was admitted in B.C.A. 1st Semester for the session 2019-20 under Student's registration type 'Migration' on the basis of having lower examination passed from Punjabi University, Patiala, therefore a letter vide no. RS1/19/679 dated 26.11.2019 was sent to the Principal with request to submit her DMC & Migration certificate.
 2. The College submitted her Migration Certification vide letter No.16490 dated 24.01.2020 and on checking the Migration, it was observed that the candidate has passed B.C.A. 2nd Semester in the examination held in May, 2019 vide Roll No.3216.
 3. The candidate was declared 'Not-Eligible' for admission to B.C.A. 1st Semester in accordance to PU Regulation, Chapter-III General Regulations for Examinations (Admission to examinations), Para 10, Page 18 of P.U. Calendar, Volume-II, 2007 which says "Unless otherwise provided, a person who has already passed an examination of this or any other University shall not be permitted to re-appear in the examination or a corresponding examination".

It was informed that as per the rules of Panjab University in case a student has passed a lower exam from this or any other University, he cannot be granted admission again in that lower class.

Shri Ashok Goyal pointed out that the case bears the date of February, 2020 and asked why it had been brought to the Syndicate as late as in July.

It was clarified that the case had been received in the office on 19th March, 2020. Thereafter lockdown had been imposed. Hence and the University was closed.

In the meanwhile, Ms. Anu Chatrath pointed out that the Syndicate is not competent to take a decision on the matter.

It was clarified that when the student sought admission in B.C.A 3rd semester last year at Dashmesh Girls College, Badal, her result for B.C.A. 2nd semester for which she had appeared at Punjabi University, Patiala was still awaited and the result was not declared till the last date of admission and the child was granted admission in Semester-I on the basis of her class XII result. As per file, the College sent the case on 6th February, 2020. The concerned office of the University on 9th March, 2020 wrote that the admission is cancelled or the result of second semester not received. He put his note on the file to give specific recommendations on 11.3.2020. The office recommended that the case may be put before the Syndicate for its kind consideration.

In the meantime, the lockdown was imposed. Hence, this case has been brought to the Syndicate in July.

Shri Ashok Goyal pointed out that it is fault on the part of the student who knowingly got admitted in the BCA 1st year and the second thing is that College is at wrong position who have admitted ineligible student. When the College knew that, it was a migration why they have admitted the student in BCA 1st year on the basis of 12th class whereas the student has not submitted the migration certificate at the time of admission it was known to the College that it is a migration case. If the student had submitted an affidavit to the effect that he had not appeared/joined anywhere in the gap year and then the candidate is at fault and he has given the false statement. Whereas the College has written that there is no migration case in the Registration return of the College When they asked for the certificate, the candidate was found 12th pass. Now they are saying there is no fault of the candidate. The item has placed in the Syndicate that "keeping in view the precious future of the candidate, it is submitted that the matter may be placed before the Syndicate for kind consideration as no such case has been reported in past". The University administration has stated that the student is ineligible as per the cited regulation and has brought the case to the Syndicate with the plea that the admission may be allowed. This is tantamount to asking the Syndicate to violate a regulation of the University Calendar.

Ms. Anu Chatrath said that if the Syndicate violates the regulation, it will be wrong on the part of Syndicate. Without amendment of the regulation, the Syndicate cannot do anything.

It was informed that why he had brought this item of Academic issue in Vol-II, because it has a lot of major academic issues which has been approved in anticipation of the approval.

Shri Ashok Goyal intervened the regulations have been amended in anticipation of the approval of the Government but it is not the Agenda item for to amend the regulations.

It was clarified that Syndicate would decide what regulation is to be amended.

Ms. Anu Chatrath Chatrath said that amendment in the regulation is not the agenda item. It means that in the regulation it has mentioned as it is including the fee. The question today is that without making any amendment and without the proposal of amendment, could they do it.

It was replied that they felt that regulation is wrong and it should be done by amending the same.

Ms. Anu Chatrath Chatrath said that the regulation is holding its significance as it is. She further said they could recommend the change in the regulation but they could not considered as wrong.

It was asked what should be done in the case?

Shri Ashok Goyal asked them to intimate what is to be done?

Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua said that the Vice-Chancellor should be authorized by the Syndicate.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that he is saying on behalf of the entire Syndicate that they authorized the Vice-Chancellor to take decision. He should at least own the responsibility and authority.

Proceedings of Syndicate meeting dated 13th July, 2020

The Vice-Chancellor asked him to tell what is to be done.

Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu said that let the matter be discussed on day after tomorrow.

Ms. Anu Chatrath said on that day, they would not meet on the behalf of the Syndicate.

The Vice-Chancellor asked all the members to recommend at the earliest in the interest of the students.

Professor Navdeep Goyal said that regulation is violating in accepting the case so, it should be deferred.

The Vice-Chancellor said "Okay".

Shri Ashok Goyal said that he admired and appreciated his honesty to bring the item in the Agenda.

RESOLVED: That the consideration of Item 20 on the agenda, be deferred.

- 21.** Considered recommendations (Sr. No. 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9) (**Appendix-XV**) of the Committee constituted by the Vice-Chancellor to examine the cases for appointment on compassionate grounds.

RESOLVED: That the recommendations at (Sr. No. 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9) of the Committee dated 23.06.2020 as per **Appendix**, be approved subject to the condition that it be verified that the laid down procedure had been followed for these appointments and necessary affidavit(s), etc. had been obtained and the same are on record.

- 22.** Considered the Report of Committee (**Appendix-XVI**) regarding promotion policy of faculty of Dr. Harvansh Singh Judge Institute of Dental Sciences & Hospital pursuant to decision of the Syndicate meeting dated 11.05.2019 (**Appendix-XVI**) as also CWP No. 15165 of 2019 (**Appendix-XVI**) and the orders passed therein.

Shifted from discussion taken placed under information item.

It was pointed out that a notice for contempt has been issued by the Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court. The Vice Chancellor should be permitted to appoint a Senior Advocate beyond the panel approved by the Syndicate/Senate to pursue the case.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that whosoever Advocate the Vice Chancellor/University wanted to engage, they are free to engage/appoint because the prestige of office of Vice Chancellor as well as Registrar is at stake.

Dr. Rabinder Nath Sharma said that it is being suggested that the Vice Chancellor should be allowed to appoint Advocate beyond the panel approved by the Syndicate/Senate, to which he has an objection/ reservation. If they are to appoint an Advocate beyond the panel, there should be proper justification.

It was informed that the University had three Legal Retainers. Out of three, one has been appointed as Judge, another Legal Retainer (Shri Anupam Gupta) was approached/requested, but he refused.

Proceedings of Syndicate meeting dated 13th July, 2020

Ms. Anu Chatrath said that this is a question of respect of chairs of Vice Chancellor and Registrar and the request be acceded to.

RESOLVED: That the recommendations of the Committee regarding promotion policy for faculty of Dr. Harvansh Singh Judge Institute of Dental Sciences & Hospital, pursuant to decision of the Syndicate meeting dated 11.05.2019, as per **Appendix**, be approved.

RESOLVED FURTHER: That the Vice Chancellor be authorized to appoint Advocates beyond the approved panel of Advocates of the University, to pursue this case in the Court.

23. Information contained in **Items R-1 to R-2** was read out and ratified, i.e. –

R-1. The Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation of approval of the Syndicate, has approved the Board of Control (**Appendix-XVII**) of certain Departments/Centres/Institutes/Regional Centres, Panjab University, Chandigarh, for the year 2020.

NOTE: Regulations 4.1 and 4.2 at page 64, P.U. Calendar Volume II, 2007 reads as under:

“4.1 A Board of Control shall be appointed by the Syndicate in January every year and shall consist of:

1. The Chairman/Head of the Department in the subject of the School as Chairman;
2. The University Professors and Readers in the subject of the School;
3. Two University Lecturers on the recommendation of the Chairman/Head of the Department in the subject of the School by rotation according to seniority;
4. One teacher from the Department in each subsidiary subject of the School.

4.2. Subject to the approval of the Dean of University Instruction, the Board of Control shall be authority to admit students and the remove students from the Honours School or class in accordance with the Rules laid down by the Academic Council”.

R-2. The Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation of the approval of the Syndicate/Senate, has accepted the request of Shri Hans Raj, Senior Assistant, Boys Hostel, P.U., Chandigarh, for voluntary retirement w.e.f. 31.08.2020 (A.N.) from the University service and accordingly sanctioned the following benefits:

Proceedings of Syndicate meeting dated 13th July, 2020

1. Gratuity as admissible under Regulation 15.1 at page 131 of Panjab University Calendar, Volume-I, 2007.
2. Encashment of Earned Leave as may be due but not exceeding 300 days or as admissible under Rule 17.3 at page 96 of Panjab University Calendar, Volume-III, 2016.

NOTE: An office note is enclosed
(**Appendix-XVIII**).

24.

Information contained in **Items I-1 to I-8** was read out, viz. –

- I-1.** To note revised office order No. 8246-92/Estt.-1 dated 13.09.2019 (**Appendix-XIX**) and in terms of Senate decision dated 14.12.2019 (Para 4), the Vice-Chancellor has approved the promotion of Dr. Suman Mor from Assistant Professor (Selection Grade/Academic Level 12) to Associate Professor (Academic Level 13A), in Department of Environment Studies, w.e.f. 30.08.2018, in the pay scale of Rs.37400-67000/-+AGP of Rs.9000/-, under UGC Career Advancement Scheme (as per UGC Regulations 18.7.2018) at a starting pay to be fixed under the rules of the Panjab University. The post would be personal to the incumbent and he would perform the duties as assigned to her.

NOTE: An office note is enclosed (**Appendix-XIX**).

- I-2.** In pursuance of orders dated 11.05.2020 passed by the Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court in CWP No. 7306 of 2020 (Dr. Vinod Kumar Vs Panjab University & others has been tagged with LPA No.1505 of 2016 and the petitioner has been granted the benefit of continuance in service beyond 60 years on the same terms.

The LPA No.1505 of 2016 (Dr. Amrik Singh Ahluwalia & Anr. Vs. Panjab University & Others) and the connected bunch of cases relating to the age of retirement (60 to 65 years) and now fixed for hearing on 20.07.2020 wherein the appellants/petitioners have been allowed to continue in service regardless of their turning 60 years and attaining the age of superannuation, CWP No. 7306 of 2020 Dr. Vinod Kumar Vs. Panjab University and others is listed for hearing on 20.07.2020, the Vice-Chancellor, has ordered that Dr. Vinod Kumar, Professor, Panjab University Regional Centre, Sri Muktsar Sahib be considered to continue in service w.e.f. 01.07.2020 in compliance of the order dated 11.05.2020 passed in CWP No. 7306 of 2020 and he be paid the salary which he was drawing on the date of attaining the age of 60 years without break in the service, excluding HRA (HRA not be paid to anyone), as an interim measure subject to the final outcome of the case filed by him. The payment to him will be adjustable against the final dues to him for which he should submit the undertaking as per Performa.

- I-3.** The Vice-Chancellor has sanctioned the following terminal benefits to Smt. Ranjana Jha W/o Late Dr. Shankarji Jha, Professor, Department of Sanskrit, Panjab University, who expired on 10.05.2020, while in service:

1. Gratuity (in event of death while in service): Regulation 3.6 and 4.4 at pages 183-186 of P.U., Calendar, Volume-I, 2007.

Proceedings of Syndicate meeting dated 13th July, 2020

2. Ex-gratia Grant: Rule 1.1 at page 141 of P.U. Calendar, Volume-III, 2016.
3. Payment of leave encashment due to him in view of judgement dated 23.01.2020 in CWP No. 1196 of 2020 titled S.S. Bari & Ors. Vs. P.U. and Ors. but not exceeding maximum of 300 days.

I-4. The Vice-Chancellor, as authorized by the Syndicate (Para 5, dated 31.10.1984), has sanctioned retirement benefits to the following University employees:

Sr. No.	Name of the employee and post held	Date of Appointment	Date of Retirement	Benefits
1.	Shri Mohinder Singh Asstt. Technical Officer (G-II) Department of CIL/USIC/ SAIF/UCIM, P.U.	22.08.1978	30.06.2020	Gratuity and Furlough as admissible under the University Regulations with permission to do business or serve elsewhere during the period of Furlough.
2.	Dr. Devinder Dhawan Chief Medical Officer BGJ Institute of Health, P.U.	01.01.1985	31.05.2020	Gratuity as admissible under the University Regulations.
3.	Mrs. Indu Anand Assistant Registrar DSW Office, P.U.	26.11.1986	30.04.2020	
4.	Shri Ved Parkash Sharma Senior Scientific Officer (G-I) Department of Zoology, P.U.	17.09.1987	31.05.2020	
5.	Mrs. Jyotsana Superintendent Examination Branch-I, P.U.	18.01.1990	30.04.2020	
6.	Mrs. Parshan Kaur Superintendent Fee-Checking Section Account Branch, P.U.	05.04.1991	30.06.2020	
7.	Mrs. Bhupinder Kaur Superintendent R&S Branch, P.U.	04.04.1983	30.06.2020	
8.	Shri Ashok Kumar Security Officer O/o Chief of University Security, P.U.	04.01.2005	30.04.2020	
9.	Shri Parkash Singh Superintendent Publication Bureau, P.U.	09.06.1978	30.04.2020	
10.	Shri Anil Dutta Superintendent Single Window Enquiry, P.U.	15.01.1990	31.05.2020	

Proceedings of Syndicate meeting dated 13th July, 2020

11.	Shri Dilbag Singh Superintendent R&S Branch, P.U.	19.01.1990	30.06.2020	Gratuity as admissible under the University Regulations.
12.	Shri Vijay Kumar Sharma Sr. Technician G-II Department of Microbiology P.U.	14.12.1981	30.06.2020	
13.	Shri Sudershan Kumar Laboratory Superintendent (G-I), Dept. of Anthropology P.U.	12.05.1987	31.05.2020	
14.	Shri Yadu Nath Work-Inspector (re-designated as Chargeman G-I) Construction Office, P.U.	12.10.1985	31.05.2020	
15.	Shri Laxmi Narain Painter (Re-designated as Technician G-II) Construction Office, P.U.	02.04.1993	31.05.2020	
16.	Shri Rameshwar Dass Work-Inspector (re-designated as Chargeman G-I) Construction Office, P.U.	01.10.1986	31.05.2020	
17.	Shri Ashni Kumar Janitor VVBIS & IS, Hoshiarpur	10.09.1979	30.06.2020	
18.	Smt. Saroj Kala Mai Girls Hostel No.2, P.U.	17.07.1987	30.06.2020	

NOTE: The above is being reported to the Syndicate in terms of its decision dated 16.3.1991 (Para 16).

I-5. To note the following pursuant to letter No. 13/11/2010-3(E)/1017 dated 6.5.2020 (**Appendix-XX**) of Punjab Government, Department of Higher Education (Education-I):

- (i) Mata Gurdev Kaur Memorial Shahi Sports College of Physical Education, Jhakroudi, Samrala, Ludhiana be disaffiliated and transferred to Maharaja Bhupinder Singh Punjab Sports University, Patiala, w.e.f. the session 2020-21; and
- (ii) Request of grant of temporary extension of affiliation received from Mata Gurdev Kaur Memorial Shahi Sports College of Physical Education, Jhakroudi, Samrala, Ludhiana for the session 2020-21 and Endowment Fund of Rs. 5.00 lac FDR lying with the Panjab University, Chandigarh be also sent to Registrar, Maharaja Bhupinder Singh Punjab Sports University, Patiala.

NOTE: An office note is enclosed (**Appendix-XX**)

Proceedings of Syndicate meeting dated 13th July, 2020

I-6. To note letter No. F.2-2/2019-U.II dated 09.03.2020 (**Appendix-XXI**) of Under Secretary to the Government of India, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Department of Higher Education regarding filling up of the post of Registrar, on regular basis, Panjab University, Chandigarh.

I-7. In pursuance of orders dated 11.05.2020 passed by the Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court in CWP No. 7306 of 2020 (titled Dr. Vinod Kumar & anrs Vs Panjab University & others) vide which the following faculty member has been granted same relief as in LPA No. 1505 of 2016 to continue in service, in view of the similarly projected cases:-

Name of faculty member	Department	Date of Superannuation	w.e.f. the date he is continue in service as per interim orders
Dr. Emanuel Nahar, Professor of Political Science	USOL	31.05.2020	01.06.2020

The Vice-Chancellor has ordered that:

- (i) the above faculty member be considered to continue in service w.e.f. the date mentioned against his name, as applicable in such other cases of teachers which is subject matter of CWP No. 1505 of 2016 & other similar cases and salary be paid which he was drawing on the date of attaining the age of 60 years without break in the service, excluding HRA (HRA not be paid to anyone), as an interim measure subject to the final outcome of the case filed by him. The payment to him will be adjustable against the final dues to him for which he should submit the undertaking as per Proforma.
- (ii) the teacher residing in the University Campus (who has got stay to retain residential accommodation) shall be allowed to retain the residential accommodation(s) allotted him by the University on the same terms and conditions, subject to adjustment as per orders of the Hon'ble High Court on the next date of hearing.

I-8. To note that Dr. Girish Sahni, Honorary Professor, Director General, CSIR and Secretary, DSIR, New Delhi, be given station in the Department of Microbiology and his valuable sessions be accessed by Departments of Microbiology, Biotechnology, Biochemistry, Microbial Biotechnology & Biophysics, proposed by the Dean, Faculty of Science.

NOTE: 1. The Syndicate in its meeting dated 27.08.2018 (Para 14) had considered the recommendations of the Vice Chancellor with regard to conferment of the designation of Honorary Professor on Dr. Girish Sahni and it was resolved:

that the syndicate in principle has agreed to confer the designation of honorary professor at Panjab University, on Dr. Girish Sahni, Director general, CSIR and Secretary, DSIR, New Delhi. It further recommends that Dr. Sahni be requested to give his consent to accept the Honorary Professorship in accordance with the section 18 of Panjab University act. After the receipt of the consent from him, the case be again placed before the Syndicate.

2. The Syndicate in its meeting dated 18.11.2018 (Para 18-i(i)) had noted the consent received from Dr. Girish Sahni, with regard to conferment of designation of Honorary Professor.
3. A copy of letter dated 22.02.2019 vide which Professor Girish Sahni has given the offer of Honorary Professor is enclosed (**Appendix-XXII**).
4. A copy of e-mail dated 27.07.2019 vide which professor Girish Sahni has given his consent is enclosed (**Appendix-XXII**).
5. An office note is enclosed (**Appendix-XXII**).

Referring to Sub-Item I-5, Professor Navdeep Goyal said that one thing which could not be understood is that, College would shift, which is alright, but what would be the fate of the existing students to higher classes.

Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu said that suppose it is the three year course, how this course would be shifted. Would it be shift in three stages.

It was informed that it would shift in three stages.

Shri Ashok Goyal said to intimate them also what is in Information (5) item.

Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu intimated that this course would be shifted in three stages/years, firstly for the first year students, later for second and third year students.

Shri Ashok Goyal asked if the College is shifting, whether all the classes of colleges are being shifted or not.

It was informed that only first year classes would be shifted.

Shri Ashok Goyal stated that where it has been written that.

It was informed that the students had been admitted in 1st year under the Panjab University, for 2nd year they have to move there.

Shri Ashok Goyal said when Baba Farid University Health Sciences was established, the Christian Medical College and Dayanand Medical Colleges were shifted to that University. Even all the Ayurvedic Colleges were also shifted. Even the

Engineering colleges were also shifted to Punjab Technical University. The other issue is that if a College is affiliated with Panjab University and wants affiliation from any other University, then the shifting would be considered only from the 1st year of the course. He cited the example of Ayurvedic College of Chandigarh which got disaffiliation from Panjab University and got affiliation from another University, the fresh student would study from 1st year in that University and the continuing students of 2nd, 3rd and 4th year would be from Panjab University. So they should be made aware as to what would be the status of students in the present case.

It was said that it would be got checked.

Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu asked what the directions of the State Government.

It was informed that it would be examined as per the statement made by Shri Ashok Goyal.

Referring to Sub-Item I-6, Shri Ashok Goyal said that it is matter of concern for the Syndicate that this approval from the Ministry of Human Resource Development has been sought in the month of March. On 13th May, there was also a meeting. It was not brought to the notice of the Syndicate. Before that also when the letter was written to the MHRD to take approval, the Syndicate was not taken into confidence as was done in the case of 26 posts of teachers and the post of DCDC. Can the House be informed as to under what circumstances in the lack of the Syndicate such a permission was sought when we have not been able to fill the posts of DCDC and Chief of University Security till date and why this permission was sought in view of the specific statement issued by the Vice Chancellor in 2018 itself that he (Vice Chancellor) is not going to make regular appointments in view of the financial crunch of the University since it would have an additional burden of Rs.2,50,000 per month on the University. If you can throw the light on this issue only then we will discuss it (though it is only for information) whether at this point we should appoint a regular Registrar or not.

The Vice Chancellor said in response that we have just initiated the process of filling up regular posts in the university since the lack of regular incumbents was telling upon the performance of the university against various parameters certified under NAAC and other similar rankings. This also had sent a very poor signal to the officials at the MHRD and UGC who were of the opinion that the University was running on adhocism. He further said that his earlier statement had been made in response to the information provided to him by the FDO as soon as he joined the University that we do not have the funds to disburse salaries to our staff. Since then the financial situation of the university has considerably improved we have received some expected grants including the first instalment of Rs.50 crores grant approved under RUSA. He again reiterated that this was just the initiation of the process and in the interest of the university the Syndicate should take a positive view and let the process take due course.

Ms. Anu Chatrath interrupted the Vice Chancellor and said that in the light of this discussion, she was reminded of another important issue which pertained to a senior Professor of a science department of the University who had been assigned the duties of Chief of University Security. This was detrimental to the interest of research and she sought a clarification as to why the post of Chief of University Security which should be filled by an ex-serviceman was not being filled.

The Vice Chancellor said that he was making all efforts to fill up the posts and the Hon'ble Members of the Syndicate were not appreciating his good intentions.

On hearing this, Shri Ashok Goyal again intervened to say that this was not the case. The Syndicate was taking cognizance of the all efforts on the part of the Vice Chancellor whether said or unsaid and that is why the Syndicate was showing concern over the matter that why posts for which permission had been granted already had still not been filled. He again said that it was the opinion of the Syndicate that first those posts should be filled up and only then the process for filling up the post of Registrar by a regular incumbent should be initiated. He said that the University should and would proceed with all requisite caution in this matter.

The Vice Chancellor objected at the aspersions cast on his intentions and actions by the Hon'ble Member of the Syndicate following which Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua heatedly interrupted him and said that the Syndicate had full right to question the Vice Chancellor in case no action had been taken on a matter which had been pending for several months.

Ms. Anu Chatrath said that it was a simple matter of filling up the already advertised posts including those of the DCDC and CUS and then proceed about the post of Registrar.

Shri Ashok Goyal then said that if he had hurt the sentiments of the Vice Chancellor he apologized for it but even so the Syndicate had a right to know why and how the Vice Chancellor was proceeding with such important decisions and leaving the Syndicate completely in the dark. He said that the Vice Chancellor had still not responded to this query that had been raised by him that why the Syndicate had not been taken into confidence or informed regarding the letter written to the MHRD more than eight months ago.

The Vice Chancellor's said that he has initiated this process thinking it as a routine matter, but he had no *mala fide* intention. The other day when he came to him, he (Vice Chancellor) expressed his surprise that he was going ahead with the process and it is only a matter of cooperation and information. He told them that he was going to advertise the post and they requested him to put a/certain condition(s) and he told them that he would bring the same to them. This was his wording and it was so plain.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that he (Vice Chancellor) had told them that he would bring it for consideration, but he has brought it for information.

The Vice Chancellor said that he had been told that it is to be adopted only.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that they had already adopted the 7th Pay Commission. In fact, he had told them that the Item would be brought for consideration of the Syndicate, but he has brought it for information.

The Vice Chancellor said, "No, No, it is also needed to be corrected because the University"

Shri Ashok Goyal said that he (Vice Chancellor) should try to comprehend it. From when this University has been established? This University had been established 138 years ago. After his (Vice Chancellor) joining, for the first time, a letter had been written, which had been written in routine, as is being thought of in this case. He had written the letter in routine that these six Fellows did not attend the meeting(s), their names should be deleted and other persons be nominated in their place. Has he (Vice Chancellor) ever informed the Syndicate and the Senate? That was also taken as a routine matter for the first time in the history of the University.

The Vice Chancellor said that it was taken as a routine matter.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that he (Vice Chancellor) took that as a routine matter, which was so unprecedented, and the Chancellor's Office asked had it ever happened in the past, the reply from here went. He (Vice Chancellor) should tell them whether it is a routine matter. The Government had imposed ban on them that they (University) should not fill up any post without their prior permission. It has also happened for the first time. They had sought permission to fill up certain posts after obtaining approval from the Board of Finance, Syndicate and Senate, but thereafter, he (Vice Chancellor) sought approval for filling up a post thinking it as a routine matter. Anyhow, it is good that permission has been sought, but why were they not told after obtaining the approval.

The Vice Chancellor requested Shri Ashok Goyal to listen to him. Had they not come on that day, the post would have been got advertised by him? Now, he had understood, and that was why, he had brought it to the Syndicate.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that, therefore, it is a request with folded hands that the posts, for which the permission for filling up had already been obtained, should be filled up first, and thereafter, they should think about this post.

The Vice Chancellor said, "No, No". The process for filling up this post should be allowed to be initiated.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that there is no need to initiate the process. They have noted the information and that's all. No further action should be taken.

The Vice Chancellor said that what he is saying is that the post would be advertised as they are saying. He would start the further course of action of the same. He should be allowed to initiate this, and that's all.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that first he should fill up the earlier posts. He said that he (Vice Chancellor) is not accepting any reasonable suggestion.

The Vice Chancellor said, "No, why it should not be accepted.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that he had told him (Vice Chancellor) much, which he did not want to say now. Did they not know as to what is behind it? He did not want to say to him (Vice Chancellor) here, but he had told him (Vice Chancellor) everything the other day. If he (Vice Chancellor) wished, he could share that with this House as well.

The Vice Chancellor said, "No, should not be shared with the House as everything is not shared with the House".

Ms. Anu Chatrath remarked that they also wanted to know as to what is there.

The Vice Chancellor said that everything could not be shared with the House, and then they would go to extreme.

Ms. Anu Chatrath said that, that was why, they did not want to know. The Vice Chancellor did not know that the Professor(s) of the University....

Shri Ashok Goyal said that though he (Vice Chancellor) provoked him, he did not want to tell most of the things.

Ms. Anu Chatrath said that a Professor of the University, that too, of a Science Department, comes to check before the Vice Chancellor. Did it look nice? In fact, the Professor of Science should work on Science

Proceedings of Syndicate meeting dated 13th July, 2020

Shri Ashok Goyal said that he (Vice Chancellor) is levelling allegation against them that they are running the University. He should tell them as to how many times, he (Vice Chancellor) had been asked by the Syndicate and Senate that the charge should be taken back from the Chief of University Security. Has he taken back?

The Vice Chancellor said that he had urged that it would be discussed next time.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that how many times, he (Vice Chancellor) has been told that a Professor on deputation could not be given administrative assignment as per the decision of the Syndicate of 2017, duly circulated to all the Departments.

The Vice Chancellor said that he has not done anything new.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that this decision has been taken in the Senate, but still it is not being implemented.

The Vice Chancellor said that several such decisions had been taken in the Syndicate and Senate. Not only 1-2 decisions had been taken, which is known to Shri Ashok Goyal as well as to him. They should not digout old cases.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that it should be brought outside the facts of the old cases. He is hopeful that by bringing so the things would improve. He (Vice Chancellor) should not challenge them in this manner saying that he (Vice Chancellor) also knew as he (Shri Ashok Goyal). If they had committed any mistake, they are ready to rectify the same, but he (Vice Chancellor) has become obstinate that he would not do.

The Vice Chancellor said that whatever request he makes, they never accept.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that he (Vice Chancellor) says that he does not accept the decision of the Syndicate and Senate.

The Vice Chancellor said that he has never said that.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that the Vice Chancellor did not honour the decision of the Syndicate and Senate. They are ready to be corrected if any wrong decision is taken by them. They expect the same from him (Vice Chancellor).

The Vice Chancellor said that let him conclude. They should consider this in a broader sense and broader mind. It is his request to all of them through him (Shri Ashok Goyal) that they should consider it with a broader mind. He has written many times to UGC/Ministry of Human Resource & Development for projects, schemes, facilities, posts, etc. The letter regarding Registrar's appointment was also written in routine matter. Now, he is feeling that, that too, also should not have been done by him, which they are objecting today. Secondly, so far as ranking is concerned, the position of the University is very bad. If this situation continued to prevail, they would not get any grant from RUSA and would not get any grant.

Professor Keshav Malhotra remarked that as to why the ranking is to be downgraded. Who is responsible for the below par ranking? He clarified that the Chairpersons of Departments are blamed for low ranking.

The Vice Chancellor said, "No, it is not like that".

Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua suggested that feedback should also be taken, which would be helpful to him.

The Vice Chancellor said that so far as ranking is concerned, they are continuously doing the internal assessment.

At this stage, a din prevailed as a couple of members started speaking together.

Ms. Anu Chatrath said that this is very disrespectful to the Professors. She was shocked when all the Advocates were sitting, he (Chief of University Security) came before his (Vice Chancellor) entry to check the sitting arrangement. It was very shocking. Are Professors there to do this job? Professor should be allowed to do the teaching work.

Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua proposed that the Professor, who has been given the additional charge of Chief of University Security, should be removed today.

Ms. Anu Chatrath said that she seconded the proposal made by Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua. She added that the duty of a Professor is to teach the students.

Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua said that he again proposed the removal of Professor Ashwani Koul from the post of Chief of University Security with immediate effect.

Ms. Anu Chatrath said that they all seconded it.

Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua stood up and said that this is Resolution. Please note it down.

Ms. Anu Chatrath suggested that the charge of Chief of University Security should be given to the senior-most Security Officer.

The Vice Chancellor said, "One minute".

Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua said that this has been decided now.

The Vice Chancellor said that they should not get provoked to such an extent.

Ms. Anu Chatrath said that he (Vice Chancellor) provoked them.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that he (Vice Chancellor) should tell him as to what interest he (Vice Chancellor) has to keep that Professor as Chief of University Security.

The Vice Chancellor said that he is initiating the process now.

Ms. Anu Chatrath said that first, he should bring the process.

Shri Ashok Goyal remarked that when they reacted, he (Vice Chancellor) felt pain, whereas he more often than not said so many things, and did not feel anything.

The Vice Chancellor said, "No, No".

Ms. Anu Chatrath said that the process for appointment Chief of University Security should be initiated.

The Vice Chancellor requested the members to hold down for one minute. He should be informed as to what should be done about the posts, so that he is able to understand up to some extent.

Ms. Anu Chatrath said that whatever has been recommended by the Board of Finance, Syndicate and approved by the Senate, the same should be followed.

The Vice Chancellor enquired would they not allow him to initiate the process?

Proceedings of Syndicate meeting dated 13th July, 2020

Shri Ashok Goyal said that nothing is to be done about this post.

The Vice Chancellor said that it should be recorded.

Shri Ashok Goyal suggested that it should be written that in view of the specific direction(s) of the Ministry of Human Resource & Development (MHRD) that no additional finances would be provided for the appointment of Registrar.

The Vice Chancellor said, "No, No, he is talking about the initiating of the process".

Shri Ashok Goyal said that nothing is to be done in this regard. Whatever has already been done, is sufficient.

The Vice Chancellor said that it should be recorded that the House is not in favour of initiating the process for appointment of Registrar.

Ms. Anu Chatrath remarked that they also knew as to where he (Vice Chancellor) has to give reply. They knew everything, but they did not want to tell.

The Vice Chancellor said that he has told there that he is starting the process.

To this, Shri Ashok Goyal said that they are not outside him (Vice Chancellor). The decision should be taken in consultation with them. At least, they should be informed that such and such thing is going to be done. They were told that he would not appoint any Officer and he would save a sum of Rs.2.50 lac per month.

The Vice Chancellor said that it was said at that particular point of time.

Shri Ashok Goyal requested the Vice Chancellor to tell them as to what change has taken place thereafter. Has he done anything? If yes, tell them. Has he appointed Dean, College Development Council or the Chief of University Security?

The Vice Chancellor said that he has started the process.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that none has been appointed. Now, a new permission has been obtained.

The Vice Chancellor said that at least the process has been started.

At this stage, Shri Ashok Goyal said that the decision to remove the Chief of University Security from the additional charge has been taken by the Syndicate.

The Vice Chancellor said that they should not do like this as he has full respect for them.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that either he (Vice Chancellor) should explain as to why they should not do this.

The Vice Chancellor said that he is starting the process.

At this stage, a din prevailed as a couple of members started speaking together.

At this stage, some discussion took place with regard to Item 22 on the agenda and the same has been shifted to Item 22.

Proceedings of Syndicate meeting dated 13th July, 2020

Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu requested the Vice Chancellor to remove Professor Ashwani Kaul from the additional charge of Chief of University Security himself instead of getting him removed by the Syndicate.

The Vice Chancellor said that he would see to it.

Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua said that Professor Ashwani Kaul should be sent/repatriated to his parent Department as he has nothing to do with the post of Chief of University Security.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that, that decision has been taken, and if did not record it, they would go to the Court.

The Vice Chancellor said that he would discuss the matter with him (Shri Ashok Goyal) day after tomorrow. Let they proceed.

Shri Ashok Goyal said, "This is not done". It is to be recorded that it is an insult to the Syndicate.

The Vice Chancellor said, "No, no. Nothing like that".

Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua said that they could not leave the issue in this manner because the Resolution had been proposed and seconded, and the Vice Chancellor should stand on it. He said that wrong is being done. He requested the other members to sit down and the members sat down.

Ms. Anu Chatrath said that this is the reason for the failure of the system. If he did like this, they would not keep quiet. This is not the right way.

The Vice Chancellor requested the members not to do like this.

Ms. Anu Chatrath requested the Vice Chancellor to relieve the Professor from the additional charge immediately and then talk to them.

The Vice Chancellor said, "No, No. They should not do like this". He would see.

Ms. Anu Chatrath said that first he (Vice Chancellor) should relieve the Professor.

The Vice Chancellor requested Ms. Anu Chatrath to listen for a minute. He has already agreed that he would put the process on hold.

Ms. Anu Chatrath said that the Chief of University Security should be relieved from the charge today itself.

The Vice Chancellor said, "Madam, they should not do like, please". They should also accept his advice. It was not a good happening. He further said that he was holding it and the members should make a courtesy towards him and see as to of what of the kind it should be, so that he could see it.

Ms. Anu Chatrath said that they were expecting from the Vice Chancellor that he himself would give assurance to the House that the sentiments of the members of any kind would be looked into.

The Vice Chancellor said that he also has been saying so. He is going to start the process.

Proceedings of Syndicate meeting dated 13th July, 2020

Ms. Anu Chatrath said that it is not a matter of processing, she said that the charge should be given to the person relating to security.

Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua said that those things are over now. Now they should come to the next point.

The Vice Chancellor said that the members should not remove anybody in this manner. The person in reference is a Professor.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that as to where is point of removing. What was the point of removal in it.

The Vice Chancellor said that on their saying he has been putting it on hold.

Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua said that the senior Professor, he who is a man of research, has been put on to chase the cars. He has been put to act ahead of the cars. He further said that let them honour the dignity of the Professors.

Mrs. Anu Chatrath said that he is not a security personnel. She did not know as to why the Vice Chancellor has not been feeling, she has been rather feeling that a Professor has been doing such a low rated work.

Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu said that it is no matter for him if the Vice Chancellor does not give him the appointment.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that the Vice Chancellor should decide in the matter. He further said that for the last two years, the matter remains in the Senate and Syndicate meetings.

Mrs. Anu Chatrath said that she has not been able to tell them as to what range of repercussion had been there in the advocate community about it.

Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua said that if the Vice Chancellor wants to know then the things are very clear that today they have been sitting here and would leave only after removing him and beseeching someone other in his place. He further said that from the time onwards, he will do the Professorship and shall not run after his cars.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that Vice Chancellor should take decision.

The Vice Chancellor said that the decision could not be taken like this.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that the Vice Chancellor is not respecting the sentiments of the House. Whatever his personal thinking might be, but he would go by the sentiments of the House.

Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua said that what the Vice Chancellor was doing, does the others have no value. He further said that they are not to revisit here, the resolution is proposed, seconded and passed. He (Chief of University Security) should be immediately removed.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that he is sorry on the part of the Professors who are sitting here and are not able to speak a single word. He further said what about PUTA and what about all the other teaching community of the University who are silent spectators of how that man is being misused.

Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua said that it had passed two years. One could say that it was a matter of a month or two. He said that it has been a strange thing.

The Vice Chancellor said that the members should not behave in this insisted manner. He said that some more time be given to him. He said that the members have cooperated with him to great extent and now has raised another issue. He further said that he has gauged the sentiments of the House that they should do something in the matter.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that their stand is very clear and it is for him to obey the orders of the Syndicate or not. He could do at his own level what he wants, but this is the proposal of the Syndicate. It was the Vice Chancellor who has to see what is to be done.

He continued saying that being the Vice Chancellor of the University, has he ever realized to what kind of things they are made to listen. Have he ever seen in what gesture that Professor runs after the Car of the Vice Chancellor. He said that the outsiders make a controversial conversations and he does not know as to why the feedback is not given to him. When someone is told that he is not from the Army, he is the Professor of the University, the things go strange.

Ms. Anu Chatrath said that this is not discussed in the University campus only, it has become the talk of town. Everybody questions why the Professor has been acting as security personnel.

The Vice Chancellor said that let he be given time to respond.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that they are all about to listen to him provided the Vice Chancellor listens to them equally and act upon it.

The Vice Chancellor said that he has been agreeing to their every decision and in the time to come, this process will follow. He said that this was a collective decision. He further said that there has been some type of confusion and misunderstanding. He shared that in certain Universities, including BHU, Ram Manohar Lohia University and Jamia Milia Islamia, the post of Chief Security Officer has been offered to Professors.

Ms. Anu Chatrath said that the post of the Chief Security Officer which has been advertised, they should see that always the candidates remains to be from the army background and never happens to be of a Professor level. She asked that Vice Chancellor as to if the post was advertised and if the selection was made.

The Vice Chancellor said that the post has been advertised and the selection is under process.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that it has passed the period of three years.

The Vice Chancellor said that in most of the Universities, this type of system is in existence.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that if in any University, there happens a Professor to be a Chief Security Officer, he does not behave like NSG commando, he behaves like a Professor.

The Vice Chancellor said that how he could explain and tell to them.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that the Chief Security Officer does not walk before the Car of the Vice Chancellor like a pilot.

The Vice Chancellor said that let they see.

Proceedings of Syndicate meeting dated 13th July, 2020

Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua said that they just have been watching in what postures he runs after the car of the Vice Chancellor. It cannot be shared how he run after the car.

The Vice Chancellor said that if the Chief Security Officer does not remain active, then they would say that he is not active.

Mrs. Anu Chatrath he should be active in doing the research work. He is a professor of Science Department.

Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua said that he is removed.

The Vice Chancellor said that such a wording should be used.

Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu said that he has been requesting the Vice Chancellor that he should take the one weeks time and the person be deputed.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that this is right suggestion that there should be no removal. But his additional charge be handed over to someone senior most,

Mrs. Anu Chatrath said that his services are more required in the science department.

The Vice Chancellor said that it is okay. They are meeting the day after tomorrow, either of the kind, decision would be taken.

Shri Ashok Goyal asked what he is meant by either of the kind decision.

Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu said that Vice Chancellor might be saying that the Professor will not remain the Security Officer, some other alternative arrangement would be done.

The Vice Chancellor said that they are going to meet the day after tomorrow.

Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu said that the Vice Chancellor would meet to them on the day after tomorrow but they would be at their own homes. He further said that in this very month, the meeting shall have to be convened necessarily, there are so many important matters to discuss.

Ms. Anu Chatrath said that the next meeting of the Syndicate be fixed on 27th of July.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that the meeting be fixed either on 24th or 27th of July.

Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu said that one of the very important meeting of the Vice Chancellor is going to take place on 16th of July, 2020 and they have to discuss all the things and it is likely that all the lists are released by 3rd of August, 2020. He said that he has been saying since morning that before that, one meeting of the Syndicate is a must. He said that it could be either 26th or 27th, one meeting be convened urgently.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that there has happened two three decisions. The rest would be discussed in the meeting to be held the day after tomorrow. But by this time, it could be done that whatever acts has been done in violation of the regulations and decisions of the Syndicate, those are to be treated as *non asset*.

The Vice Chancellor said that they are sitting by the day after tomorrow.

Proceedings of Syndicate meeting dated 13th July, 2020

Shri Ashok Goyal asked as to if it has been decided in principle that whatever decision has been done, those would be withdrawn.

The Vice Chancellor said he will see it.

Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu said as to why the Vice Chancellor says that we will see. He said that whatever the Syndicate decided, now what remains to be seen after that.

The Vice Chancellor said that he has been telling to them as to what is to be done and in what manner.

Shri Ashok Goyal said anything which has been done in violation of the rule and regulations of the University, why anybody including the Vice Chancellor has been arguing not to be withdrawn. He said that there was no other remedy.

Professor Keshav Malhotra said that they need date of the meeting of the Syndicate and further said that this is the prerogative of the Syndicate to fix the date.

Shri Ashok Goyal asked about the date of the next meeting and further wanted to know if not exact, yet about the tentative date of the meeting.

The Vice Chancellor said that as it usually happens in the last week of the month, around that, the date of the meeting would stand.

Ms. Anu Chatrath asked for the meeting before the 27th of July, 2020.

The Vice Chancellor said that they why are insisting.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that the Vice Chancellor is not aware of the situation they are made to face.

Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu said that he has to make a request that firstly he would like to have regret because it may be absurd for the Vice Chancellor to listen but the Syndicate has the power that even in the absence of the Vice Chancellor, the meeting could be held. He further asked the Vice Chancellor as to why he has been unnecessarily becoming so unrealistic. He should have the notion of taking all together with him and all want that let the Vice Chancellor be cooperated. But this should not happen that the meeting be not held even with a period of three months.

The Vice Chancellor said that things would not be allowed to happen in this way.

Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu said that after the 30th May, the meeting of today was being held today. He said that it was the seventh and a total of three meetings had been held. He said that it could either be 26th or 27th, the things would not work without meeting.

The Vice Chancellor said that he wants that the members also complete their own obligations and he was thinking that they should think of convening the meeting in the first week of August.

Principal Iqbal Singh Sandhu said that No, all the lists would be released by 3rd of August and this is very important meeting. He further said it is none of their concern as to whether the meeting for the whole of the August is not conducted but this meeting shall have to be convened.

Proceedings of Syndicate meeting dated 13th July, 2020

The Vice Chancellor said that what he wanted to tell them is that he was little afraid that what the amount of volume they have given, whether his office would be able to handle.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that the office has not been given much of the task. He said that there is nothing of the type of the work which is to be executed. It is for the committee to sit and the office has nothing to do. Usually office does not do anything, what the office would do then.

Professor Navdeep Goyal said there would remain some issues because of the admissions. Now they have talking of last date of 3rd August, it there comes that issue, that would be done.

The Vice Chancellor said that when they would meet the day after tomorrows, a lot of things would become clear. He said that by the end of the month, the things be got done by any way. He further said that why he was telling that the admissions are on.

Shri Ashok Goyal asked the Vice Chancellor that he has not seen the ATR what has been brought by the Vice Chancellor. He further said what decisions especially been taken in the last meeting of the Syndicate, what has been written in ATR about them.

The Vice Chancellor replied as they are meeting the day after tomorrow, they could see in that meeting.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that this is not the issue of the Syndicate to be held day after tomorrow.

The Vice Chancellor said that the ATR are yet to come.

Shri Ashok Goyal asked as to why the ATRs have not come.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that he was saying this because he was wondering that the University has not been handling its meeting in the way, it should be, it should be handled in a very professional manner because it was not the question of individual, it was the prestige of the University which was hold in odd situation, the prestige of Syndicate and Senate and they were very much concerned about the prestige of the Vice Chancellor and the Registrar. Let they be equally concerned about the prestige of the University. Unfortunately it was being handled in a very careless manner. He said that the Vice Chancellor should be very careful about it.

Ms. Anu Chatrath said that in the last meeting it was decided that the admission which were based on medical ground, the Director of UILS should be given his share. In the next meeting, the complete details about it should be there.

Professor Keshav Malhotra asked as to what next date of the meeting has been fixed.

The Vice Chancellor said that it would be intimated.

RESOLVED: That –

1. the information contained in Item 24-I-1 to I-4 and I-7 & I-8, be noted; and

Proceedings of Syndicate meeting dated 13th July, 2020

2. the information contained in Item 24-I-5, be noted and the matter with regard to shifting of students to Maharaja Bhupinder Singh Punjab Sports University, be examined in the light of discussion held; and
3. the information contained in Item 24-I-6, be noted and further action regarding advertisement and filling up the post of Registrar, be taken only after initiating the process of filling up of other vacant posts.

Karamjeet Singh
Registrar

Confirmed

RAJ KUMAR
VICE-CHANCELLOR