

Proceedings of Special Syndicate meeting dated 8th February 2020

PANJAB UNIVERSITY, CHANDIGARH

Minutes of special meeting of the SYNDICATE held on **Saturday, 8th February 2020** at **11.00 a.m.**, in the Syndicate Room, Panjab University, Chandigarh.

PRESENT

1. Professor Raj Kumar ... (in the Chair)
Vice Chancellor
2. Ms. Anu Chatrath
3. Shri Ashok Goyal
4. Dr. Dayal Partap Singh Randhawa alias Dayal Partap Singh
5. Professor Emanuel Nahar
6. Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua
7. Principal (Dr.) Iqbal Singh Sandhu
8. Shri Jarnail Singh
9. Professor Keshav Malhotra
10. Professor Navdeep Goyal
11. Professor Rajinder Bhandari
12. Dr. Rabinder Nath Sharma alias Rabinder Nath
13. Dr. Satish Kumar
14. Ms. Surinder Kaur
15. Professor Karamjeet Singh ... (Secretary)
Registrar

Principal (Dr.) R.S. Jhanji, Principal (Dr.) Sarabjit Kaur Director, Higher Education, Punjab and Director, Higher Education, U.T. Chandigarh, could not attend the meeting.

At the very outset, the Vice Chancellor wished good morning to all the Hon'ble members and welcomed them to the meeting.

Condolence Resolution

The Vice-Chancellor said, "With a deep sense of sorrow, I may inform the honourable members of the Syndicate about the sad demise of Shri K.K. Bandlish father of Shri Sanjeev Kumar Bandlish, Fellow, on 5th February 2020".

The Syndicate expressed its sorrow and grief over the passing away of Shri K.K. Bandlish and observed two minutes silence, all standing, to pay homage to the departed soul.

RESOLVED: That a copy of the above Resolution be sent to the members of the bereaved family.

Vice-Chancellor's Statement

1. The Vice-Chancellor said, "I am very happy to inform that Professor Emanuel Nahar, Syndic, has been appointed as Chairperson of the Punjab State Commission for Minorities". He on his own behalf and on behalf of the University fraternity would like to congratulate him (Professor Emanuel Nahar).

The members congratulated Professor Emanuel Nahar with thumping of desks.

Proceedings of Special Syndicate meeting dated 8th February 2020

Ms. Anu Chatrath suggested that information about this should be sent to the Syndicate and Senate officially.

The Vice Chancellor said "Okay".

RESOLVED: That felicitation of the Syndicate be conveyed to Professor Emanuel Nahar on his having been appointed as Chairperson of Punjab State Commission for Minorities.

2. Considered letter dated 30.01.2020 (**Appendix-I**) received from Ms. Hurbi Shakeel, Under Secretary, Vice-President's Secretariat, New Delhi, with regard to Model Code of Conduct in Panjab University, Chandigarh, Senate Election, 2020.

Initiating the discussion, the Vice Chancellor said that this meeting has been called for a very specific agenda. The agenda item has been prepared on the basis of representation made by someone and directed by the Hon'ble Chancellor regarding the model code of conduct for the coming elections of the Senate.

The Vice Chancellor directed the Registrar to read out the letter received on the subject.

It was stated that the agenda is to consider the letter dated 30th January, 2020 received from Ms. Hurbi Shakeel, Under Secretary, Vice-Presidents' Secretariat, New Delhi, with regard to the Model Code of Conduct in Panjab University, Chandigarh Senate Elections. He further said that the letter which is written by Professor Tarun Ghai, addressed to Hon'ble Chancellor, President of India is follows:

"With all humility at my command, I seek to represent a case belonging to Panjab University, Chandigarh senatorial electoral process.

Sir, as you are the Chancellor of this august academic body, and at the same time you are a great champion of democracy, I humbly submit that the model code of conduct should be operable in this University too as it is there in all the democratic bodies (Parliament of India and the State Assemblies). It is spectacularly missing in the Panjab University Senate Elections. Sir, strangely enough, the model code of conduct is applicable in election of student body of Panjab University.

Sir, your intervention is sought to the effect that model code of conduct be introduced into the Senate election of Panjab University to be held in September, 2020.

It is humbly requested that under the model code of conduct the senators going on flying squad duties, as members of inspection committees and selection panel members should not be allowed to perform such duties because the senate members may also make use of these visits for campaigning, canvassing and influencing the voters who are the Professors in the various Panjab University affiliated Colleges.

Sir, your seasoned self is expected to look into the matter.

Yours faithfully,

(Prof. Tarun Ghai)"

This is the letter.

Proceedings of Special Syndicate meeting dated 8th February 2020

Ms. Anu Chatrath said, this letter is received from Professor Tarun Ghai and asked the Registrar to read the letter received from the office of the Chancellor, to know as to what action is required to be taken by Panjab University on the same.

It was stated that, the other letter received from the office of the Chancellor, which is addressed to Professor Raj Kumar, Vice Chancellor, Panjab University, is as reproduced below:

“Subject: Model Code of Conduct in Panjab University
Chandigarh Senate Elections reg.

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith the copy of the representation received through e-mail dated 28.01.2020 from Professor Tarun Ghai on the subject cited above and to request you to consider placing the matter given on the representation before the Syndicate/Senate as an agenda item.

The recommendations/observations, if any, may be forwarded to this Secretariat for appropriate action.”

The Vice Chancellor said that in the light of that representation and the letter which has been directed by Hon'ble Chancellor, the agenda item has been placed before the House for giving their valuable recommendations/observations and guidelines to move ahead in completing the task of Senate Elections.

Professor Navdeep Goyal said that, generally when one talks about the election process like Parliament Elections, State Elections and Elections of other bodies, those elections take place in small time duration such as 40 or 60 days, whereas the Senate Elections have already been notified on 24th January, 2020 and will end at the last week of September. And it is not possible to follow the model code of conduct which is used for other Elections. If the model conduct of conduct would be followed for these elections, then the functioning of the Panjab University will come to a standstill. First of all, it is not possible to follow it. Secondly, if they talk about the Act, regulations of the Calendar, these things are not provided for. Until and unless there are certain provisions, how can the model code of conduct, in fact be implemented, particularly once the notification for the Elections has already been made?

Ms. Anu Chatrath endorsed the view point of Professor Navdeep Goyal and said that, so far as the MP elections are concerned, it is completed within 30 to 40 days. So far as University rules, regulations and system of Elections at Panjab University are concerned, it starts in January with the existence of notification and it will be completed in the month of October, 2020. So, for a period of 10 months to stop every appointment, affiliation or inspection or holding of even Syndicate/ Senate meetings and other important activities concerning students, is not feasible over the rules/regulations of the Calendar. As in the past, such code of conduct had never been called up or imposed and practically it is impossible to be implemented. Secondly, there is Lok Sabha, Rajya Sabha, Legislative Councils etc. where there is no such code of conduct. They cannot impose the code of conduct wherever the word election is used. Under the system of Panjab University Elections, the same is held throughout for the four years term. Added Members are there in the faculty and they are added by way of election after two years and at every where such as Academic Council, Board of Studies, there is election. Code of conduct is not a part and parcel of the Election. The rules and regulations of Panjab University do not permit them to have any such system. But simultaneously they also felt that as it is her fourth term and they had never seen any

allegation on the members. If anyone is desirous of campaigning, the period of 4 years is sufficient to make a request. And by these requests only at the time of inspection, it hardly matters. So, practically it is impossible to be implemented.

Dr. Dayal Pratap Singh Randhawa said that, this word of code of conduct should not be considered as it is defined for the General Elections. If any such suggestion or complaint has come, then they should also think and discuss the same very wisely with open mind and open heart. The candidates who are running for the personal constituency should not misuse the authority or by any other ways. He said that these are the specific elections and not the General Elections. Those are the General Elections where electoral roll is prepared in general. In Panjab University, there is a specific electoral roll consisting of students, teachers, Principals and senior Professors. There is need of moral code of conduct, rather than code of conduct which is prevailing in the democratic set up.

Professor Emanuel Nahar said that, according to him, in the letter written by Professor Tarun Ghai, there is no specific complaint or objection to which it would be necessary to implement the code of conduct. There is no procedure in the rules and regulations of the Panjab University Calendar to implement the code of conduct which is to be proposed. The process of elections had already been initiated and at this stage, it is not justified to take decision in the matter. Secondly the point had also been raised relating to students' elections, whereas the entire process of the students' elections is only for 7 days. And the elections of the Students are being conducted on the recommendations of the Lyngdoh Committee. It is difficult to implement the code of conduct by stopping the working/functioning of Panjab University. Therefore, this should not be implemented.

Principal I.S. Sandhu said that according to his view, if there is no provision in the University, then it should not be implemented.

Shri Jarnail Singh said there is no provision in Panjab University Act and Calendar for the same and he endorsed the view point expressed by the Hon'ble members of the House and utmost care should be taken for not providing any help for the forth coming elections by the members or by the authorities.

Professor Keshav Malhotra said that, as there is no provision of model code of conduct, so it should not be implemented.

Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua endorsed the viewpoints expressed by Professor Keshav Malhotra and Shri Jarnail Singh.

Professor Rajinder Bhandari said that, the election process of the University is very cumbersome and it has its own merits and demerits and it cannot be equated with General Elections. But, the issue raised by Professor Tarun Ghai is somehow valid to the extent that any candidate or anyone who wishes to contest elections, should not misuse any such facility in the University. Some sort of mechanism must be thought of and arrived at/implemented to check such misuse or happening of such incidents.

Dr. Rabinder Nath Sharma endorsed the view point of Professor Rajinder Bhandari and said that the letter of Professor Tarun Ghai has started a debate in the matter. This should not be out rightly said this is a mischief. He had been contesting the Senate Elections from the year 1992 and he is the member of the Syndicate/Senate and this thing is always in his mind that during the elections the misuse of positions is being done. This should not be said that everything is fair and there is also some genuine grouse in it that some people used to promote the elections by using their positions. This is understandable that there is no provision in the University rules and regulations and Calendar as this has come for the first time for discussion before the

Syndicate/Senate. But, this should be made clear as this is the ethical/moral question in which it is to be ensured by the Registrar/Vice Chancellor or any responsible official that efforts would be made to curtail/reduce such type of complaints. In the past it was also pointed out by him that there was a lot of bungling and fakery in the Graduate Constituency and invalid votes were being cast, it should be left as it is a full-fledged issue. But there is a lot of improvement in it as great efforts are being put in some many years and now the credibility of the Graduate Constituency has been made. The videography process has also been initiated in the voting. Similarly, the Vice Chancellor or other authorities should be contributed for the transparency of the system, so that the credibility of the University would be made. Therefore, as per his view, such type of mechanism should be adopted.

Dr. Satish Kumar stated that it is difficult to introduce Model Code of Conduct so far as election to Panjab University Senate is concerned. He personally felt that they all are educated and whatever has been mentioned in the complaint or the letter addressed to the Chancellor or the Vice Chancellor, probably says that the people should not take advantage of their position. They all are very reasonable/matured persons and they would not do or indulge into any of the act, which would bring bad name either to them or to the system or to the Panjab University. He personally felt that they should not march a step ahead in this direction and there is no need to take decision in this regard as the election process had already been initiated by the Panjab University. Probably, it would be a little violation of the guidelines for the Model Code of Conduct for any election to intervene at this point of time. Hence, he personally felt that they should not go ahead in this regard.

Shri Ashok Goyal stated that, actually, if they go into the Act, they could not say that it is a complaint made by one of the teachers of the affiliated Colleges. Let they take it in the form of a suggestion and the suggestion is in two parts – (i) the letter itself stated and he is conscious of the fact that there is no provision of Model Code of Conduct in Panjab University, and that was why, he himself had requested the Chancellor, “Sir, your intervention is sought to the effect that Model Code of Conduct be introduced into the Senate election of Panjab University to be held in September 2020”. Hence, he is conscious of the fact that there is no such provision in Panjab University and he had requested the Hon'ble Chancellor to introduce it, and that too, for the election to be held in September 2020, about which several Hon'ble Members had already stated that it is neither possible nor feasible. In the next para, he had also made the suggestion as to what should include in the Model Code of Conduct, if introduced. Therein he had requested that, under the Model Code of Conduct, the Senators going on flying squad duties, as members of the Inspection Committees and Selection Panel members, should not be allowed to perform such duties because the Senate members may also make use of these visits for campaigning, canvassing and influencing the voters who are the Professors in the various Panjab University affiliated Colleges. So basically, he has summarized this suggestion keeping in view only the teachers of the affiliated Colleges. Meaning thereby, he had touched only the Teachers' Constituency and not Panjab University Senate election as a whole. As had rightly been said, it had never been discussed earlier because no such grievance had ever been expressed and no such complaint had ever come. They thought that everything had been going on very well. However, now such a suggestion has come, which, of course, in view of the legal provisions, could not be brought into place as Model Code of Conduct, but yes, the second part that the apprehension which had been expressed that certain people would go and try to influence the teachers of the University by way of campaigning, canvassing and influencing. It is good that this had been brought to their notice, though he thought that the Syndicate and the Senate of the University, and of course, with the help of the Vice Chancellor had always been trying that no such campaigning, canvassing and influencing be done at the cost of the University, but since it had specifically been mentioned, it is the duty of the Syndicate, the Vice Chancellor and also the Senate to ensure that as they had been ensuring in the

past, that this is not done. Otherwise also, at the cost of repetition, he would like to say that unless and until there is a provision of a body or an organization at par with the Election Commission of India for General Election in this University set up, no such Model Code of Conduct could be introduced. However, it should always be their endeavour in a continuous manner to introduce the Model Code of Conduct, which, of course, had been stated by everybody, which include ethics, morality, responsibility, self-restraint, discipline, etc. All these things could be done, but since the letter had been referred by the Hon'ble Chancellor, he thought that they needed to tell them that the University is functioning strictly in terms of the statutes of Panjab University and they had been strictly following all the statutes of the University in the past and in future also, including for the election of September 2020. It would be their endeavour to see that the apprehensions mentioned in the complaint are not proved to be true. He thought that, that is probably the views of the whole House also, which he had tried to translate.

The Vice Chancellor said that on the basis of the observations made by the esteemed Members, the last part would be converted into the Resolution and the same would be sent to Hon'ble Chancellor.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that in this he has a small suggestion to make and if he (Vice Chancellor) permits, he would make the suggestion.

The Vice Chancellor said that he is allowed to make the suggestion.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that whatever is to be recorded as the part of the Resolution, they had got Ms. Anu Chatrath and Dr. Dayal Partap Singh Randhawa, the two Advocates, and with the help of these two persons, appropriate Resolution could be drafted. If at all, it is to be sent to the Chancellor, the same should be sent to him.

Dr. Dayal Partap Singh Randhawa said that they have to form the Resolution in the light of the views expressed by the Hon'ble Members.

Ms. Anu Chatrath said that they have to frame the Resolution on the basis of the observations made by the Members.

The Vice Chancellor said that he had observed two-three things, which had emerged out of the discussion held so far. Firstly, there is no provision in the statutes and everything is going on smoothly earlier and now also. Secondly, it had never been the practice of adopting Model Code of Conduct formally. Thirdly, it could not be implemented in view of whatever Statutes and Act, they had. Therefore, the Senate would ensure that there should not be any such type of practice, if at all it is there. The finalized Resolution would be sent to the Hon'ble Chancellor and the same would also be communicated to the Senate in its forthcoming meeting.

Professor Rajinder Bhandari suggested that they must evolve a grievance redressal-cum-mechanism to sort out the issue raised by Professor Tarun Ghai. Either the mechanism should be in the form of a Committee or something else.

The Vice Chancellor said, "Alright".

Professor Keshav Malhotra remarked that the Committee is always formed by the Syndicate.

Professor Navdeep Goyal said that a provision is already there.

Professor Rajinder Bhandari said that if the Committee is always constituted, it is fine, but if not, the Committee should be formed.

The Vice Chancellor said that the decision of the Syndicate would be communicated to the Senate as an Information Item.

This was agreed to.

RESOLVED: That, as the election process has already been initiated by way of announcement of election schedule on January 24, 2020 and in the absence of provision of Model Code of Conduct in Panjab University statutes, it is not possible to introduce any such code. However, the apprehension of Professor Tarun Ghai in his representation about campaigning, canvassing and influencing of teachers of affiliated Colleges, it be ensured by all that, as had been done in the past also, nobody is allowed to misuse his/her position as Senator in influencing the teachers of affiliated Colleges in any manner.

GENERAL DISCUSSION

Shri Ashok Goyal stated that though it is an emergent meeting, not a special meeting, there is another emergency, of course, it is not part of the agenda. In the last meeting also, it was discussed that the date of enrolment of voters for the Registered Graduate Constituency be extended, wherein it was decided that a Committee of two-three Syndics be constituted and he had been informed that the Committee had already been constituted comprising Professor Rajinder Bhandari, Dr. Rabinder Nath Sharma and Dr. Dayal Partap Singh Randhawa. However, the meeting of the Committee is probably taking place on Monday (10th February 2020) and he thought that the Syndicate could extend the date, which perhaps is 24th February 2020.

Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua and Principal I.S. Sandhu enquired as to what was the last date for enrolment in the Senate election held in the year 2016.

It was informed that the last date for enrolment in the previous Senate election was 31st March.

Ms. Anu Chatrath pointed out that even after 31st March, the date for enrolment as Registered Graduate(s) was extended.

Dr. Dayal Partap Singh Randhawa pointed out that once the last date for enrolment as Registered Graduate(s) was 30th April and once it was 31st May.

It was clarified that earlier the last date was 28th February, and later on the same was extended to 31st March. However, the last date for changing the address was taken to the month of July.

Dr. Dayal Partap Singh Randhawa said that the last date for changing the address is always up to the month of July. In the Senate elections of 2008 and 2012, the last date for enrolment as Registered Graduate(s) was 31st May. Last time, perhaps it was end of April, i.e., 30th April 2016. He, therefore, suggested that the date should be extended up to sometime in April or May.

It was said that they would check the last date for enrolment as Registered Graduate(s) once again. According to them, last time, the last date was 31st March 2016.

Dr. Dayal Partap Singh Randhawa suggested that they should check the last date(s) of Senate elections of the year 2008 and 2012.

Proceedings of Special Syndicate meeting dated 8th February 2020

Dr. Satish Kumar said that it was somewhere in the month of July.

It was said that one date for making fresh enrolment and another is for changing the address, which was fixed in the month of July.

The Vice Chancellor asked as to what they are proposing.

Shri Ashok Goyal stated that his suggestion in this regard is that since this time the election from Registered Graduate Constituency is scheduled a week before than the election held last time in the year 2016, so whatever date had been fixed, the same should be extended to a date which fell a week before the date fixed/extended last time. However, Dr. Dayal Partap Singh Randhawa is very sure that last time also the date was extended.

Dr. Satish Kumar intervened to say that every time they extend the date for enrolment.

Dr. Rabinder Nath Sharma suggested that the last date for enrolment as Registered Graduate(s) should be extended up to 31st March 2020.

The Vice Chancellor said that he would just like to say that since they are not sure about the date, which was ultimately fixed last time, let they be allowed to check/verify the same.

Shri Ashok Goyal suggested that they should check the date from the records.

The Vice Chancellor said, "Correct".

Shri Ashok Goyal pointed out that the Committee is also meeting on Monday (10th February 2020) and in the meantime, they should also check the date from the records. In fact, they were discussing this day before informally and he had suggested that he would also come to the meeting. Maybe, he would also be able to give some input.

The Vice Chancellor said that they should meet on Monday, the 10th February 2020 and they should ensure that they should not deviate much from the past practice; rather, they should extend the date to one week before the date, which was finally fixed during the last Senate election (Senate election 2016).

Shri Ashok Goyal pointed out that whenever they extended the last date for enrolment as Registered Graduates in the past keeping in view the difficulty of not getting the form(s) in time and large constituency and students are scattered all over the country and abroad, they had started giving this facility for enrolment in the voter list of teachers and Principals also. There also, they had started the practice of extending the date, which is not proper and that should not be done.

Dr. Dayal Partap Singh Randhawa said that he has a suggestion in this regard and the suggestion is that the forms come in bulk and the staff has to scrutinize the same manually. Instead of this, the technical team of the University could provide them anything through enrolment could be done online by giving the mobile number, e-mail, password, etc. If such a mechanism is developed, the process could be completed within 5-7 days. He suggested that enrolment could be done through both the processes, i.e., manually as well as online. The process would be so quick that the persons would be able to get themselves enrolled from the places and there would not be any need to submit the forms in the University.

Proceedings of Special Syndicate meeting dated 8th February 2020

Shri Ashok Goyal suggested that possibility for getting the enrolment done online should be explored.

Dr. Dayal Partap Singh Randhawa remarked that if his suggestion is put into practice, the work of the staff would be lessened by 50% or more and by the next election, the work might be lessened by 90%.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that it could be done next time, but this time, it could not be done in such a short span of time.

Dr. Dayal Partap Singh Randhawa said that how much time, it would take. It is just a form, which is to be uploaded. They had a technical set up in house, which is already in functional mode. They just have to do two things – (i) get five things required, i.e., name, father's name, registration number, degree obtained and year of passing. It could be done, but they are simply not thinking with open minds. If they implement this during this election, 50% of the work of the employees would be lessened and in the coming years, 90% to 99% of their headache would be reduced.

The Vice Chancellor cautioned that his only apprehension is that there should not be any mistake.

Dr. Dayal Partap Singh Randhawa said that there is no chance of mistake in it.

Professor Navdeep Goyal pointed out that there would be charges for getting enrolled online.

Dr. Dayal Partap Singh Randhawa said that his request to him (Vice Chancellor) is that they should associate the technical team with them for technical assistance. Once it is done, people would be able to get themselves enrolled from home and the one time password (otp) could be provided to them either on mobile or through e-mail.

Shri Jarnail Singh said that the eligibility of the candidates, who are to be enrolled for the forthcoming Senate election, is on the basis of passing the examination (graduation or postgraduation) in the last April. As such, the eligible candidates are already there. Hence, he did not think that there is any need to extend the last date for enrolment beyond 31st of March 2020.

Dr. Dayal Partap Singh Randhawa said that he has another point to make and the point is that a person is enrolled in the year 1950 and he had taken the life membership as a voter and there is no revision of electoral rolls. He suggested that a voter should be enrolled only for the duration of five Senate terms even if they called it life term membership. The tenure of the Senate is for four years and they could fix the life membership for five terms. Presently, whatever votes are existing and those, which would be made from this term onwards, they could write before them '2020'. By the time, they reached 2040, all these voters would be automatically get eliminated; otherwise, those who have died even 30 years before, their votes did exist in the voters list.

Shri Jarnail Singh pointed out that existing name in the voters list is something else, but several such votes are even cast even though those are fake votes.

Dr. Dayal Partap Singh Randhawa pointed out that last time cameras were installed in all the Polling Booths. Resultantly, very less fake votes were cast.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that this is not the solution for it. It has rightly been said by Dr. Dayal Pratap Singh Randhawa that the registration of the Car/vehicle is for life time, but this could be done where enrolment is to be made from the current date.

Whereas the enrolment of those candidates which were done 50 years before, their limit could not be fixed. For the same he had already given his suggestion. The Registration number of all the candidates is available with the University and they can take a decision to demand for a life certificate from the old voters as the same process is also being adopted for the pensioners. Those who submit the life certificate within the stipulated date should be continued in the voters list; otherwise, their names would be deducted from the electoral roll.

Dr. Dayal Pratap Singh Randhawa intervened and said the life certificates will not come from their side.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that if the life certificates are not received then their votes would be deducted automatically.

Dr. D.P.S. Randhawa said that the people only go for voting after reading the newspaper regarding the day of voting and they would know after reaching the Polling booth that their vote does not exist.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that then their votes will be deducted. As in the case of pension when the life certificate is not being given in the month of November, then their pension would be automatically stopped.

The Vice Chancellor said that this case is not similar with pension.

Dr. Dayal Pratap Singh Randhawa said that this is a democratic process and they should respect the democratic practice.

Shri Ashok Goyal said that, on the one side, they are saying that the process of revision is not there and on the other side, if the process of revision is adopted, they are saying that, they cannot deduct/cut the votes.

Dr. Dayal Pratap Singh Randhawa said that their view is that the life term should be fixed to 20 years.

Shri Ashok Goyal intervened said that this limit should be fixed only for new enrolment and not for the ones who had already adopted the life membership.

Professor Keshav Malhotra said that he would like to make a request to deal with the positions of the teachers.

The Vice Chancellor said that this would be taken care of.

Shri Jarnail Singh asked the Vice Chancellor whether the date of enrolment has been extended or not.

RESOLVED: That the matter regarding extension of date of enrolment of voters for the upcoming Senate election in September, along with other issues relating to election, will be decided by the Committee already constituted for the purpose, which includes Professor Rajinder Bhandari, Dr. Rabinder Nath Sharma and Dr. Dayal Partap Singh Randhawa.

Karamjeet Singh
Registrar

Confirmed

RAJ KUMAR
VICE-CHANCELLOR