

PANJAB UNIVERSITY, CHANDIGARH

Minutes of the meeting of the **SENATE** held on **Tuesday, 26th April 2022 at 02.00 p.m.**
through hybrid mode, at Panjab University, Chandigarh.

PRESENT:

1. Professor Raj Kumar ... (in the chair)
Vice Chancellor
2. Professor Akhtar Mahmood
3. Dr. Amit Joshi
4. Professor Arun Grover
5. Dr. Aruna Goel
6. Dr. Arvinder Singh Bhalla
7. Professor Ashok Kumar
8. Dr. Balbir Chand Josan
9. Dr. Dayal Partap Singh Randhawa
10. Shri Davesh Moudgil
11. Professor Devinder Singh
12. Dr. Dinesh Kumar
13. Professor Gaurav Gaur
14. Shri Gurjot Singh Malhi
15. Dr. Gurmeet Singh
16. Dr. Gurmit Singh
17. Dr. Harjodh Singh
18. Professor Harmohinder Singh Bedi
19. Shri Harpreet Singh Dua
20. Professor Hemant Batra
21. Shri Honey Thakur
22. Dr. Inderpal Singh Sidhu
23. Professor Jagat Bhushan
24. Shri Jagdeep Kumar
25. Dr. Jagdish Chander
26. Dr. Jagtar Singh
27. Dr. Jagwant Singh
28. Professor Jatinder Grover
29. Dr. Jatinder Kaur
30. Dr. Jayanti Dutta
31. Dr. K.K. Sharma
32. Shri Kapil Sharma
33. Dr. Kirandeep Kaur
34. Dr. Kuldeep Agnihotri
35. Dr. Kuldip Kaur Dhaliwal
36. Dr. Latika
37. Shri Manish Wayyer
38. Dr. Mritunjay Kumar
39. Professor Mukesh Kumar Arora
40. Dr. N.R. Sharma
41. Shri Naresh Gaur
42. Dr. Neeru Malik
43. Dr. Neetu Ohri
44. Dr. Nidhi Gautam
45. Dr. Nisha Bhargava
46. Dr. Parveen Goyal
47. Shri Prabhjit Singh
48. Professor Prashant Gautam

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

49. Dr. Priyatosh Sharma
50. Ms. Purva Garg on behalf of Adviser CHD-UT
51. Professor Rajat Sandhir
52. Dr. Rajesh Kumar Mahajan
53. Shri Ravinder Singh
54. Professor Ravi Inder Singh
55. Dr. R.S. Jhanji
56. Professor Renu Vij
57. Dr. Rupinder Kaur
58. Professor S.K. Tomar
59. Dr. Sandeep Kataria
60. Shri Sandeep Singh
61. Shri Sanjeev Kumar Bandlish
62. Shri Satya Pal Jain
63. Professor Savita Gupta
64. Dr. Shaminder Singh Sandhu
65. Dr. Shiv Kumar Dogra
66. Shri Som Parkash
67. Professor Sonal Chawla
68. Professor Sukhbir Kaur
69. Dr. Suresh Kumar
70. Professor Sushil Kansal
71. Dr. Surinder Singh Sangha
72. Professor Yojna Rawat
73. Shri Vikram Nayyar ... (Secretary)
Registrar

The following members could not attend the meeting:

1. S. Bhagwant Maan
2. Director Higher Education, Punjab
3. Shri Gurmeet Singh Meet Hayer
4. Director Higher Education, U.T., Chandigarh
5. Smt. Kirron Kher
6. Dr. Krishan Gauba
7. Shri Lajwant Singh Virk
8. Mr. Justice Ravi Shanker Jha
9. Dr. Savita Kansal
10. Shri Simranjit Singh Dhillon
11. Shri Varinder Singh

At the outset, the Vice Chancellor wished good afternoon to all the Hon'ble members and welcomed them to the meeting on his own behalf and on behalf of the University fraternity.

I. The Vice Chancellor said, "I am pleased to inform the honourable members of the Senate that:

1. The 69th Annual Convocation of our university will be held on May 6, 2022 and the Hon'ble Vice-President of India & Chancellor of our University has very kindly consented to be the Chief Guest. I extend a hearty welcome to you all to attend the Convocation.

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

2. Professor Naveen Aggarwal and Dr. Manoj Sharma of University Institute of Engineering & Technology (UIET) along with Professor Ashima Goyal and Dr. Manoj Kumar Jaiswal of PGI have been granted patent for an invention entitled “An Oral Healthcare Educational Apparatus and a system thereof”. This device will be of great help to the visually impaired students and has been developed in 15 languages with the active help of the Design & Innovation Centre of UIET.
3. Dr. Rakesh Malik, Deputy Director, Department of Sports, has been appointed as Director Sports at Dr. Hari Singh Gaur University. Dr. Malik has made distinguished contributions for the growth of sports at our University and working beyond the call of duty for getting MAKKA Trophy for three consecutive years.
4. Professor Ajay Kumar Sood, our alumnus from the Department of Physics and Vigyan Rattan awardee from our University has been appointed as Principal Scientific Advisor to the Government of India.
5. Dr. Gurmeet Singh, Department of Hindi, has been appointed on ICCR Chair of Hindi at University of Naples for a period of one semester w.e.f. 13.04.2022. He has also been nominated as a member of the Hindi Advisory Committee of Department of Telecommunications, Ministry of Communication.”

Dr. Dayal Partap Singh Randhawa said that their alumnus (University Institute of Legal Studies) Shri Suvir Sidhu has elected as Chairperson of the Bar Council of Punjab, Haryana and Chandigarh. He urged that Shri Suvir Sidhu should also be felicitated.

RESOLVED: That –

- (1) felicitations of the Senate be conveyed to –
 - (i) Professor Naveen Aggarwal and Dr. Manoj Sharma of University Institute of Engineering & Technology (UIET) as well as Professor Ashima Goyal and Dr. Manoj Kumar Jaiswal of PGI on having been granted patent for an invention entitled “An Oral Healthcare Educational Apparatus and a system thereof”;
 - (ii) Dr. Rakesh Malik, Deputy Director, Department of Sports, on having been appointed as Director Sports at Dr. Hari Singh Gaur University;
 - (iii) Professor Ajay Kumar Sood, our alumnus from the Department of Physics and Vigyan Rattan awardee from our University on having been appointed as Principal Scientific Advisor to the Government of India;
 - (iv) Dr. Gurmeet Singh, Department of Hindi, on having been appointed on ICCR Chair of Hindi at University of Naples for a period of one semester w.e.f. 13.04.2022 and also on having been nominated as a member of the Hindi Advisory Committee of Department of Telecommunications, Ministry of Communication; and

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

- (v) Shri Suvir Sidhu on having been elected as Chairperson of the Bar Council of Punjab, Haryana and Chandigarh.
2. the information contained in Vice Chancellor's Statement at Serial Number 1, be noted and approved.

The Vice Chancellor said that now they should take up the agenda Item 1 for consideration.

Dr. Mritunjay Kumar said that he would like to make an important proposal on behalf of Panjab University Teachers' Association (PUTA).

The Vice Chancellor said that first of all, they would take up the agenda items for consideration, and thereafter, any other issue/proposal could be considered.

II.

Considered that a separate entity, i.e., a Company under Section (8) of the Companies Act, 2013 (**Item C-1 on the agenda**), be established for the operation/maintenance of the Multi Purpose Auditorium Complex in terms of recommendation of the Committee dated 22.01.2020) and 17.09.2020.

- NOTE:**
1. The above item had been approved by the Vice-Chancellor in exercise of the powers of the Syndicate, in terms of authorization given by the Senate in its meeting dated 13.02.2022 (Para II).
 2. The creation of Senate Hall in Multi Purpose Auditorium Complex stands ratified as the Vice-Chancellor approved the aforesaid recommendations of the Committees in exercise of powers of Syndicate, in terms of authorization given by the Senate in its meeting dated 13.02.2022 (Para II).

Initiating discussion, Professor Akhtar Mahmood said that the only thing which he would like to say is that there a provision for small hall having 300 seats in the Multi Purpose Auditorium, which could also be used as a Senate Hall. In fact, instead of having a separate Senate Hall, they should use that small facility available in the Multi Purpose Auditorium, which would be beneficial for all of them.

The Vice Chancellor said that it is there. The Vice Chancellor further stated that he did not know as to how such a giant project had been started on which around Rs.100 crore would be incurred. It is the only Multi Purpose Auditorium after Ambedkar Hall in Delhi. There is no such facility in this entire region, which they are going to have. The mechanism as to how this Auditorium would function is a big question. He had worked on as to how it could be started and has been able to develop a streamlined mechanism and they would be surprised to know that a sum of about Rs.6 crore would be spent only for the maintenance of this Auditorium and no one ever able to work on this business vertical that though a sum of Rs.100 crore would be incurred on this project, but they would not have any provision for maintenance of the Auditorium. That was why, they are going for Section 8 Company under Companies Act, 2013, under which a lot of proposal could be accepted on the business vertical, and the entire Auditorium would be made functional without any extra load/burden on the Panjab University exchequer. They would be happy to know that shortly this Auditorium would start functioning in an auto mode. He would also like to inform the Hon'ble members that they might have faced a big problem after the completion of this big project, but with the grace of God now they might not have any

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

trouble on this front as they are taking a timely decision to make it viable under Section 8 of the Company Act, 2013.

Dr. Jagwant Singh stated that the idea seemed to be very innovative, but his concern is that once they are floating it as a Company, the question of Rs.100 crore involved, from where this money is going to come. Would this money come from the Government as this is not going to come from the students? In that case, is this model, which they are creating, existed in Government of India? In case, they faced some trouble, what is the alternative because running expenses is a huge amount? All of them know the kind of constraints the Vice Chancellor is experiencing in the Board of Finance as the Government is imposing a lot of restrictions, e.g., only 6% growth. Would it be a project, which would be sustainable with that sort of things or they would be in some trouble? He hoped that these things have been examined, and if not, he just would like to draw their kind attention to those things, so that those could be taken care of.

Professor Rajat Sandhir said that as he (Vice Chancellor) had already highlighted that this a mega project having an investment of Rs.100 crore and they have the only option of Section 8 of the Company Act, 2013. Had any roadmap been provided, he would have appreciated. Secondly, what kind of activities would be allowed in the Multi Purpose Auditorium? As it is an academic campus, they need to regulate the kind of activities, which would be permitted there. If they have the Section 8 of the Company Act, 2013, the Company would invite anybody for any kind of activities. At the same time, if the University is to use this Auditorium, it has to pay to the person, whom this Auditorium is to be leased out. As such, they needed to make a roadmap, so that all this is streamlined, and they do not run into any trouble.

Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua stated that he had gone through all the papers relating to this Item. The Vice Chancellor had already informed that they had already incurred an expenditure of Rs.100 crore. Everybody knew that Panjab University is a Government University & constructed on a Government land, and thus, not a private University. Could they create any such facility in Panjab University? Though they did not belong to Company Law, there are two types of Companies, i.e., Limited Companies and Private Limited Companies. As such, a detailed proposal should be placed before the House. One of the recommendations is, "The Organization structure of the Company shall be as follows: Vice Chancellor – Ex-officio MD/Chairperson and the Board of Directors to be nominated by the Vice Chancellor from amongst the senior functionaries of the University". The salary/allowance aspect would also come into picture. Would they go towards Public Limited or Private Limited?

The Vice Chancellor said that he had got his (Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua) viewpoint. In fact, the structure of each Company is different, but all the Companies worked under Section 8 of the Companies Act, 2013 and their motive is "Not for profit". They had taken all care. It is not that they are doing a new thing. In fact, several Government Organizations are doing such things under Section 8 of the Companies Act, 2013. They could see that most of the Incubation Centres have been created under this provision, e.g., Atal Incubation of the Banaras Hindu University, has been promoted by the University and Government Organization and is being run under Section 8 of the Companies Act, 2013. Hence, they did not need to worry on this issue. Moreover, they are responsible and are taking all the care. The rest of the things would be clarified by the Registrar. Now, he would advise the Secretary of the Senate/Registrar to highlight the things.

It was clarified that Section 8 of the Companies Act, 2013 did not mean that it is a Private Company. In fact, the owner of the Company would be Panjab University. Only for the purpose of operation and maintenance activities of the big Complex, a separate entity has been created, so that, in future, all the liability of this big complex should not be on

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

the University Budget. They are going to create it a separate entity, so that they could have a target that this is their expenditure and how they are going to recoup those that expenditure keeping in view the future developmental aspect. Hence, in no way, creation of Company under Section 8 meant that it is a private Company. The owner of the Company is the Panjab University only. It is hoped that all the points stood clarified.

Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua stated that, at the moment, the Panjab University is also functioning on “No profit, no loss” basis. Is necessary to convert the Auditorium into a Company to run it on “No profit, no loss” basis. They had already invested a sum of Rs.100 crore. Perhaps, the Government would forget that they have given a sum of Rs.100 crore to the University. Whenever any Company is formed, firstly its objectives are worked out. Hence, firstly they should have clear objectives for the Company. At the moment, the only objective is “No profit, no loss” basis, but this is also the objective of this University, and for that there is no need for creating a separate identity. Would the Vice Chancellor and other Executives run a Company? It might be true that the Government of India could have created an Auditorium, but an example might not exist that it has been created in a University.

The Vice Chancellor said that he had already given the example of Banaras Hindu University where such a model existed and the same could be verified from the Website of Banaras Hindu University. It seemed that the Hon'ble members have not gone through the entire information on the issue.

Dr. Parveen Goyal stated that this project is going on for the last 12 years. This issue was also raised in the earlier Senate meeting also when Professor Arun Kumar Grover was the Vice Chancellor of this University. At that time, almost all the members were of the opinion that the project should be completed as soon as possible; otherwise, the building/wood would be destroyed by the termites. He endorsed that the project should be completed at the earliest and a separate entity should be created for maintaining the complex. Secondly, it has been mentioned in the minutes that University Business School and University Institute of Applied Management Sciences be given concession in booking as they had contributed the funds. The house could take a call on issue as all the Departments of the University are same, though they had different earnings. If certain Departments are earning more and contributing to this project, did not mean that they should be given concession. Thirdly, they had T-Type, F-Type and G-Type Houses at the Campus (both Sectors 14 and 25) and their area is quite big. Nowadays, whichever new Institute is established, e.g., IISER, they adopt flat system. In future, several Assistant Professors would be recruited for fulfilling the requirement and the people would only be attracted to join this University, if they are provided accommodation at the Campus, and it would only be done, if flat system is adopted by the University. He has seen the area of F-Type houses and the same is so large that at least four flats having 4 rooms could be constructed there. In this way, they could utilize the space properly. This work could be assigned to a Company and the same could be got executed with the amount of HRA, which they are paying to the employees.

Professor Sushil Kansal said that it has been mentioned in the minutes that it would be run on the basis of no profit, not loss and they would be running it under the Companies Act. It has also been written that there would be huge expenditure on it and they have to manage the finances of the University under all circumstances. This is the right way to go ahead with the formation of this Company under Section 8 of the Companies Act, 2013 as it had been mentioned that all the day-to-day running expenses and maintenance expenses as well as generation of reasonable surplus to take care of futuristic development. As such, he is with this that they should go ahead.

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

Shri Naresh Gaur stated that as had been told by the Vice Chancellor that they had invested a sum of about Rs.100 crore. Secondly, it is also being said that this Auditorium would be run on 'no profit, no loss' basis, which could also be run by the University itself. He did not think that there is a need to create a Company. If they look in the northern region, they would find several universities which had huge auditoria. He cited the example of Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana, which had three big auditoria and a department is taking care of the auditoria and earning a lot of income through their bookings. The University had several big grounds where exhibitions, etc., are held round the year. Punjab Agricultural University is generating a huge income through these means and has not created any company. By creating a company, it seemed that they are taking it towards the outsourcing/subletting, in future, which is against the ethics of Panjab University as it is a government university and it should be run accordingly. Moreover, no supporting documents had been provided to them showing as to how the need arise to create a Company under Section 8 of Companies Act. The matter has just been placed before them thinking that perhaps it would be approved by the members.

Dr. Shaminder Singh Sandhu said that they had started a mega project since long. The issue had also been discussed at length in the earlier meetings of the Senate and the members had also visited the site of the project. It is a matter of pride and happiness that their university would add another feather in its cap that they had such a mega project.

It is a big responsibility on them to utilize this complex for the welfare of the university and its students. The University had authorities, i.e., Controller of Examinations to conduct the examinations of students of about 200 affiliated colleges and Dean, College Development Council, to take care of grant of affiliation/ extension of affiliation. Could they not create such an authority for taking care of the Multi Purpose Auditorium instead of creating a private Company? According to him, they should take care of this Multi Purpose Auditorium at their own level. He suggested that either a post should be created or a Committee should be formed to take care of the day-to-day affairs of this Multi Purpose Auditorium.

The Hon'ble Minister, Shri Som Parkash Kainth said that though this is a very good project, what activities are to be permitted in this auditorium needed to be specified and how it would generate the income should also be specified as a huge recurring amount of Rs.6 crore per year is required for maintaining this auditorium. As such, they have to generate at least an income of Rs.6 crore per year. Secondly, it also needed to be specified whether it would be permitted to general public for marriages, etc. He reiterated that the activities for which this auditorium is to be permitted needed to be specified and who would be the members.

The Vice Chancellor said that the auditorium would not be allowed for the marriages.

Principal R.S. Jhanji stated that fortunately, the mega project is near completion, which was hanging in fire for the last so many years owing to financial reason. Hon'ble Minister has already expressed his viewpoints on this issue and he would also like to say that several members belonged to affiliated Colleges, and at the moment, there are around 206 affiliated Colleges. He suggested that the interests of the Colleges should also be taken care of while determining the objectives of this Multi Purpose Auditorium. The issue also arose whether the Colleges would be able to hold functions here. Zonal and Inter-Zonal Festivals are conducted by the affiliated Colleges. Would this Auditorium be made available for such functions as the Colleges are waiting for this since long? He apprehended that the Company, which is being created for the smooth functioning of the Auditorium, might not allow the Colleges to hold functions in the Auditorium. He, therefore, suggested that this aspect should be taken care of while determining the objectives of the Auditorium.

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

The Vice Chancellor said that the Company would be of the University and all the Colleges are connected with it. A separate segment is being created (Company) for looking after the day-to-day affairs of the Auditorium.

Continuing, Principal R.S. Jhanji pointed out that the Colleges are connected with this University for the last 75 years, but zonal and inter-zonal festivals had never been held at the Campus, whereas other university did so. He pleaded that the zonal and inter-zonal festivals should be allowed to be conducted in this Auditorium.

The Vice Chancellor said that the affiliated colleges would definitely be allowed to use this Auditorium as they are part and parcel of this University system.

Shri Naresh Gaur intervened to say that firstly the detailed objectives of the Auditorium should be prepared and thereafter the matter be placed before the Senate.

Dr. Dinesh Kumar said that although many of the members are of the view that the Company should not be created for taking care of this Auditorium, he is of the considered opinion that the Company must be created, reason being that, as per the estimate given, at least 70 persons would be required for taking care of the activities of the Auditorium. If the separate entity is created, the terms and conditions of employment of those 70 persons would be separate then that of the University, and if any point of time the desired income could not be generated from the Auditorium, the services of those persons could be terminated. However, if the Company is not created, the terms and conditions of service of those 70 persons would be of the University and the University would not be able to terminate the services of any of the employee even if they are in trouble in running the Auditorium. As such, it would be better to create a separate entity for the smooth functioning of the Auditorium. He pointed out that it has been estimated that the electricity bill of this Auditorium would be about Rs.7.3 lac per month. He urged that the Hon'ble Minister Shri Som Parkash ji could be requested to sanction subsidy to the University from the Central Government for installing the solar panels, so that the electricity consumption could be reduced to minimum. Since the building is too large and the solar panels would also cover a large area, they would be able to curtail the electricity expenses up to Rs.1 crore annually.

The Vice Chancellor said that this is already in the proposal.

Continuing, Dr. Dinesh Kumar said that nothing has been mentioned in the papers provided to them regarding the solar panels. Moreover, the estimate has also been prepared in accordance with the regular electricity consumption. At the moment the rate of the electricity charges per unit is Rs.7.30, which would definitely increase with the passage of time.

Professor Jatinder Grover said that, as has been told by other members, they would create a separate entity for taking care of the Auditorium, but it is not like a Public University works. His only concern is that if tomorrow the Auditorium is rented out to some neighbouring University and the private Universities would start holding their functions. As such, there would be clash of interests. Hence, there is a need for framing clear-cut guidelines for the purpose. It might not happen that some other University's functioning is being held there and the students of our own University might not be able to avail the facility, owing to which they might create trouble. He pleaded that such things should be taken care of. He suggested that a detailed proposal in black and white should be prepared and placed before the Senate for consideration.

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

Shri Satya Pal Jain said that had the Hon'ble members gone through the papers provided to them, perhaps such a lengthy discussion would not have taken place. This item has been thoroughly considered/examined by the Committee twice – once on 22.1.2020 and again on 17.9.2020 and thereafter, the recommendations have been made, which are available in the papers provided to them. He requested to Hon'ble members to see the composition of the Committee as also the recommendations. Almost all the points have been covered. He pointed out that Professor Shankarji Jha, Dean of University Instruction, CA Vikram Nayyar, Professor Navdeep Goyal, Professor Akhtar Mahmood, Ar. Harpreet Singh and Er. R.K. Rai had attended the meeting of the Committee and it has been mentioned in the minutes that “the members were of the unanimous opinion that this project should run on self-sustainable model. It was also emphasized that after completion, the annual recurring expenditure of the project cannot be made part of the University Budget”. The Committee had further deliberated on the issue and inter alia resolved that “Approval of Syndicate be sought for creation of Senate Hall and other allied areas on Level-II of the main Entrance Foyer in place of Conference Hall of 600 capacity. The Organization structure of the Company shall be as Vice Chancellor, Ex-officio MD/Chairperson and the Board of Directors to be nominated by the Vice Chancellor from amongst the senior functionaries of the University”. Meaning thereby, the Vice Chancellor could not nominate any outsider on the Board of Directors. The Committee again met on 17.9.2020 and reiterated its earlier recommendations. A good project has come, it should be allowed to function. However, since valuable suggestions had been given by some of the Hon'ble members, the same should be examined. In nutshell, he said that since this project had emerged after thorough discussion and none is opposing to it, it should be approved.

Professor Ravi Inder Singh said that, in fact, to complete such a Mega Project in Government Sector, is a great achievement and to sustain it after completion, is a big challenge. He appreciated the Vice Chancellor for seeing this project with visionary approach and bringing a proposal to form a Company. After creating the Company, they would not only be able to prepare the balance sheet and see profit and loss, but also be able to assess the performance of the project as also make the project viable. He further said that in the previous meeting of the Senate, he had raised an issue during Zero Hour Discussion that the Government of India has launched a new programme namely National Monetization under which the assets of the Government, which are not being used, are to be identified through Committees. It has been estimated that the Government would earn about Rs.6,000 crore out of this project. There are several Auditoria, Seminar Halls, etc., which are not used on regular basis. If possible, all the Auditoria, Seminar Halls, etc., should be brought within the purview of this Company, so that they could generate more income for the University.

Shri Gurjot Singh Malhi said that he fully agreed with the views expressed by Shri Satya Pal Jain. He (Shri Jain) had made it explicit that this matter had been examined a number of times. The Committee which was constituted by the Vice Chancellor is acceptable as the Committee comprised of people from all shades of life. The Committee has recommended that this is a sustainable model as there would be less burden on the university and at the same time the university would be benefitted of this facility. He pleaded that they should go with the proposal given by Shri Jain and approve the project.

The Vice Chancellor said that the proposal under consideration is approved. However, if the Hon'ble members had any additional input, they could submit the same with the office. When Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua said that now, he should be allowed to speak, the Vice Chancellor said that the Item has already been approved.

To this, Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua remarked that this is not the way to get the item approved. If they are not to be allowed to speak, their dissent should be recorded.

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

RESOLVED: That the recommendations of the Committee dated 22.1.2020 and 17.9.2020, **as per Appendix**, be approved; and a separate entity, i.e., a Company under Section (8) of the Companies Act, 2013, be established for the operation/maintenance of the Multi Purpose Auditorium Complex.

Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua and Shri Naresh Gaur recorded their dissent.

III.

Considered if, physical presence of the candidate/s be not necessitated at the time of viva-voce during the ongoing Covid-19 Pandemic restrictions or similar extraordinary conditions, if arise, in future **(Item C-2 on the agenda)**.

- NOTE:**
1. The Syndicate in its meeting held on 08.03.2020 Agenda Item No. 2 had resolved that provision of Viva through SKYPE be added in the existing regulations subject to the condition that it would be used sparingly in emergent or exceptional circumstances only with the approval of the Vice-Chancellor. As per the decision, the examiners were allowed to participate in an online mode but, the candidate has to be present physically.
 2. Thereafter, the issue of allowing candidate to attend Viva through online mode was placed before the Syndicate in its meeting dated 30.05.2020 (Para 31) and it was resolved that consideration of Item No. 31 on the agenda be deferred.
 3. The above item had been approved by the Vice-Chancellor in exercise of the powers of the Syndicate, in terms of authorization given by the Senate in its meeting dated 13.02.2022 (Para II).

Professor Akhtar Mahmood stated that the issue that the presence of the candidates is not required at the time of viva-voce during the Covid-19 pandemic was discussed and approved in the Syndicate in March 2020. Now after two years, they are doing the same thing as the time of Covid-19 was going up. During this period of two years, when the Covid was at its peak, they never thought that they should have such a facility in place. Hundreds of students had gone through the same process and now they are discussing the same thing again and again. Secondly, the students must have special kind of a reason for not attending the viva-voce in person. For instance, if he is out of country, he (Professor Mahmood) could imagine that he/she could not come for viva-voce personally or he/she had met with an accident or some other ailment owing to which he/she could not come, but giving a blanket permission to everybody to appear in the viva-voce online, is perhaps not a right thing to do. He suggested that permission to give viva online should be made conditional. In fact, it has been mentioned in the Syndicate decision that this facility would be allowed sparingly only in exceptional cases, whereas the fact of the matter is that it is being allowed on routine basis/in normal cases. Once they allowed somebody to do it, they could not refuse others. So, they should make the things in such a way that they define very clearly as to who are the students to be exempted. Thirdly, he suggested that they must conduct the viva voce of the candidate after getting the permission of the Dean of University Instructions and the Vice Chancellor. He would also like to draw the attention of the House to page 30, wherein it has been written that Professor Emeritus be not allowed to supervise Ph.D. student. In this connection, he would like to point out that several Professors Emeritus are Fellows and members of different National Academies and some of them had been conferred with the award of Padam Shree, Padam Bhushan, etc. As such, they are depriving the students for not

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

getting benefit from the talent of such individuals, i.e., Professor Emeritus. He thought that how could they approve this kind of non-academic activity. There were 5-10 people, who participated in the discussion in the meeting of the Syndicate held in March 2020. How many of those persons had ever carried out the research and how many of them had supervised the Ph.D. student, and who knows the relevance of Ph.D. research? He requested the Vice Chancellor to get the matter examined scrupulously before taking any decision, to ensure that they might not do anything non-academic. At the moment, it seemed they are going backward.

Professor Rajat Sandhir said that they are proposing online viva for pandemic like situations. This could be done only in exceptional cases as had been suggested by Professor Akhtar Mahmood. He would like to suggest that now it is high time when they could move back to conduct the viva in physical mode as the Covid-19 Pandemic is over. Moreover, Ph.D. viva is an opportunity to interact with the external experts. Hence, they need to move back to conduct the viva physically.

Professor Jatinder Grover said that he endorsed the viewpoints expressed by Professor Rajat Sandhir.

Dr. Dinesh Kumar said that he endorsed the viewpoints expressed by Professor Akhtar Mahmood as far as this Ph.D. viva is concerned. They must put certain conditions, e.g., if the student is a foreigner or is not in India or there are some medical reasons, only those specific cases should come to the office of the Vice Chancellor for approval; otherwise, the office would receive so many applications and it would be very difficult to decide as to who is to be permitted and who not. So far as Professor Emeritus is concerned that particular recommendation of the committee need to be re-considered if no guide is available in that case what they can do. He suggested that if the Professor Emeritus had shifted/joined some other university/institution for teaching purpose, in that case he/she should not be allowed to become supervisor. However, if one is coming to the University on regular basis, he/she should be allowed to supervise the Ph.D. students as he/she is carrying out research only, even though he/she is not teaching. In this way, the student would definitely be get benefitted because the Professor Emeritus are using the infrastructure of the University, i.e., labs., etc. As such, they needed to reconsider the matter. No doubt, the Chairpersons could always make comments/recommendations as to what they are actually doing. Lastly, he would like to say that there was a Committee, which recommended certain guidelines for Ph.D. during the period of Covid Pandemic, and those guidelines needed the approval of the Senate. He had made a request regarding this in the previous meeting of the Senate also, which was also endorsed by former Dean of University Instruction, Professor S.K. Tomar. He therefore, suggested that those recommendations of the Committee must be placed before the Senate for consideration in its next meeting.

Shri Satya Pal Jain said that he would like to request the Hon'ble members to go through the note given in the Item, the apprehension(s) expressed by them would automatically get removed as the things had already been clarified in the note. He read out the note, "The Syndicate in its meeting held on 08.03.2020 Agenda Item No. 2 had resolved that provision of Viva through SKYPE be added in the existing regulations subject to the condition that it would be used sparingly in emergent or exceptional circumstances only with the approval of the Vice-Chancellor. Hence, it is not that the viva of each and every candidate would be held through SKYPE; rather the exception circumstances would be examined by the Department concerned as well as the Vice Chancellor. It has further been written in the note that as per the decision, the examiners were allowed to participate in an online mode but, the candidate has to be present physically. Nowadays in this era of technology, the online mode has been accepted everywhere. He himself fights cases in the High Courts as well as Supreme Court of India and in the Supreme Court all the Benches

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

get argued the cases in the online mode and decisions taken accordingly. Here also a lot of time could be saved. Now a new technology had come and the entire world has come in the small mobile phone. Hence, they must encourage the technology. Why do they like to waste time of other persons. He himself had argued various cases of different Courts just sitting at home. If such big decisions could be taken online, why could not the viva voce conducted online, that too, in sparing exceptional circumstances? According to him, such a proposal should be welcomed by them and should be introduced in other cases.

Dr. Priyatosh Sharma said that he agreed with the viewpoints expressed by Hon'ble Shri Satya Pal Jain ji that the candidates were allowed to give viva online with a specific permission and the same was not allowed in general/normal cases. However, so far as the other point regarding appointment of Supervisors is concerned, he would like to submit that the UGC has framed clear-cut regulations/rules and the University has adopted the same, and according those rules/regulations, the supervision after the age of 60-65 years and thereafter 65 to 70 years is very rare. Hence, they should not dilute the regulations/rules of the UGC much as the career of the students is linked to it because they worked on the basis of their Ph.D. degree during the whole life. As such, as per the mandate of the UGC, one could be a supervisor before the age of 65 years and after 65 years, one could only be a co-supervisor.

Professor Mukesh Arora suggested that, in future, whenever the Ph.D. guideline(s) are to be framed, the faculty members of the approved Research Centres as well as P.U. Regional/Rural Centres should also be made members of the Committee constituted for the purpose, so that the problems being faced by them could also be taken care of. So far as conduct of online viva is concerned, he had observed that at certain places the candidates were allowed to give the viva through online mode, but the supervisor was not allowed to attend the viva through online mode fearing that they would be got infected with corona with the coming of the supervisor. He urged that either the permission should be given to the viva through online mode to both or none.

Dr. Jagwant Singh said that one of the points, which he wanted to make, has already been highlighted by Shri Satya Pal Jain that this is the only addition to the existing facilities, and of course, this is required in the present time, especially after COVID-19. The other problem related to Professor Emeritus and the UGC has prescribed as to who could be a Supervisor up to a certain stage/age. This is the right thing that Professor Emeritus should also be allowed to be Supervisor along with a Co-supervisor. There is understandable reason that if someone is more than 70 years, it is never known when the God would give him/her a final call. So then the student would get stuck. Hence, it is a right approach as there would be a Co-supervisor, who would get the work of the candidate completed.

Professor Savita Gupta said that she really endorsed the viewpoints expressed by Hon'ble Shri Satya Pal Jain ji that this provision should be there. Had this provision been not there, their students might have suffered a lot during the Covid Pandemic. Moreover, this is in addition to the existing rules/regulations and the same would be allowed with the permission of the Vice Chancellor. As such, this provision should be added and it would not only save the time of the students but also the resources of the University. Nowadays, they are able to add to many foreign examiners in the examiners' list and they are ready to conduct the viva. However, earlier this was not possible because inviting examiners from abroad was costing much to the University. As such, she thought that this is a good provision and the same should be added.

Dr. Harjodh Singh said that he would only add that this practice is being followed by Punjabi University, Patiala, for the last about 10 years. This is a good facility for the students, who had gone abroad after submitting their theses. Through this facility, the

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

viva of the students is conducted well in time and degree is awarded to them. According to him, it is a good practice and it should be encouraged.

Dr. Parveen Goyal said that they are considering this concept from the minutes of 19.11. 2019, when the Corona Pandemic did not exist at all. They anticipated this kind situation and brought the concept of SKYPE. They had used the concept of SKYPE for two years and also like to suggest that they should conduct the viva in hybrid mode because sometimes the examiners preferred to conduct the viva physically and deliver lecture(s) in the University. Hence, they should keep the hybrid mode.

Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua pointed out that the item, which they are considering, had arisen from the recommendation(s) of a Committee, which was constituted by the Vice Chancellor and pages 12-18 had been appended with the item. At that time, the entire Syndicate was vigilant that the decision, which it is taken, might not be exploited. Keeping in view the sentiments expressed by the members, it was decided to authorize the Vice Chancellor so that if any situation like pandemic/emergent situation arose, it could be used there. Since now everything is in order/normal, this should be discouraged.

Professor Jagat Bhushan stated that he endorsed the viewpoints expressed by some of the members. Professor Akhtar Mahmood had raised the issue regarding appointment of supervisors beyond the age of 65 years and Dr. Priyatosh Sharma had elaborated the regulations/rules of the UGC on the issue. He suggested that this issue should be got examined as there is a clash with the UGC, but plus point is that they are losing their faculty as the faculty is retiring every month, and no new recruitment is being made. Moreover, the UGC has fixed the number of Ph.D. candidates specifically. If they could accommodate these persons without clashing with the UGC, some balance could be made, whereas appointment of these persons as Co-supervisors would not be beneficial. He reiterated that this issue should be got evaluated.

Professor Sukhbir Kaur said that even when they applied for projects, they are not allowed to do so as Principle Investigator (PI) unless they had five years of service. Recently, the DST Serve had protected this project. When she tried to apply, even she had to take a Co-Principle Investigator. In fact, all the Government agencies are discouraging this and the Co-Principle Investigator would be a very good option, and Professor Akhtar Mahmood had rightly said that they had all the experience and if they had Co-Principle Investigator with them, she do not think there would be any problem. So far as conduct of viva physically is concerned, she thought that the University had never stopped the conduct of viva physically. So many examiners had come to the Departments for conducting the viva physically. Before raising an issue, they should know what actually is going on in the University. In the University already physical viva is being conducted on alternate day in their departments. She further said that in the resolved part, they had written that viva through online mode be held in exceptional circumstances. It seemed that they are discussing over a point unnecessarily, which is nothing but wastage of time. Firstly, they should go through the resolved part and then go into the discussion.

Professor Latika said that whatever discussion had taken place so far was held in the right direction. Professor Sukhbir Kaur had raised the issue of appointment of Co-PI. On the issue, she would like to say that sometimes in Social Sciences, the candidates do not get Supervisors in spite of the fact that certain Professor Emeritus are there. If Supervisors are not available, Professor Emeritus could be allowed to supervise the Ph.D. students. Secondly, they must keep the hybrid mode for conducting the viva of Ph.D. students because sometime the students and sometime Supervisor and sometime the examiner(s) might not be able to come physically owing to certain compelling circumstances. If they kept the hybrid mode, the student would never suffer. Since their

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

entire process of is student friendly, the viva of the students should not be delayed under any circumstances.

The Vice Chancellor said that he did not know why there is apprehension in the minds of the members. In fact, they are going on in the hybrid mode and they should believe that the proposals came from JAAC and the same are examined by the office of the Dean of University Instruction, and thereafter, the matter came to him. Hence, they 100% go by the needs of the students, requirements of the internal and external examiners, and at the moment, they are conducting the viva of the students on the basis. He agreed with the opinion given by Shri Satya Pal Jain that they must adopt in a very fast way the technology through which they could facilitate a number of things, which would save not only the time, but also the energy as well as energy resources. In fact, they incurred crores of rupees on the conduct of viva of Ph.D. students. Presently, they are in a very comfortable position, and at the same time, the vivas are also being conducted in a very good manner. If they had anything in their minds that quality is being compromised in the vivas being conducted in the online mode/through SKYPE, perhaps they are wrong. Actually, they needed to move ahead on this issue. Secondly, it would like to tell them that they should always honour the regulations/rules/guidelines/directions of the regulatory authorities, i.e., UGC, AICTE, NCTE, DCI, etc. Earlier, they were not doing such things, which were above regulatory authorities. So far as the issue of allowing persons above 65 years of age to become Supervisors is concerned, he respect all the persons above 65 years of age, including Professor Emeritus, but he would like to develop a mechanism and Professor Akhtar Mahmood would be knowing that the Think-Tank is already working on the mechanism as to how the brain of persons above the age of 65 years could be used optimally. The mechanism would be placed before the Senate soon, but they would continue to function in the hybrid mode for conducting the vivas. At the same time, they would also go ahead with the mandate of the regulatory authorities. With these words, he treats this agenda item approved.

Dr. Gurmeet Singh stated that he had also raised his hand when item C-1 was being discussed, but perhaps the Vice Chancellor did not see the same. So far as Item C-1 is concerned, he would only like to say that even the Government is giving the Electricity Departments to the Private Companies. However, since the decision on the item had already been taken, he would not like to waste any more time on the issue. Referring to Item C-2 which is under consideration, he stated that the Vice Chancellor had already elaborated the benefits of conducting the viva-voce examinations of Ph.D. students through online mode. He suggested that this practice should be allowed to continue in future as well because earlier there used to be a delay of more than six month for examiners to conduct the viva of the students as at certain times the examiners did not get tickets and at times did not find sufficient time to travel. Several years before, a policy was framed that whosoever became the Vice Chancellor and the Dean of University Instruction, be automatically made Professor Emeritus and he was also not in the favour of that policy. To become Vice Chancellor and Dean of University Instruction is a separate thing and he did not want to disrespect anybody, but perhaps this policy needed to be reviewed. They must make Professor Emeritus as said by Professor Akhtar Mahmood, but keeping in view their contribution. In nutshell, he said that Professor Emeritus should be made through Committee(s) and not automatically. So far as appointing them Supervisor is concerned, it is written in the UGC guidelines that regular teachers could be appointed Supervisors of the Ph.D. candidates. Earlier, this issue was also discussed in a meeting of the Committee of which he was also a member.

Dr. Dayal Partap Singh Randhawa stated that he would like to speak on the issue of giving assignment to the persons beyond the age of 65 years. They were suggesting that these persons should be considered for appointment as Supervisors even beyond the age of 65 years. He strongly opposed this move, and they must give optimal chances to the next

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

generation. The rein should be given to students, who had been produced by the teachers instead of pulling the old ones. It is true that they (teachers) had best brains, but they had already delivered the best. Did they not have confidence on the human resource, which had been produced by them? Did they not have confidence on the students, who had been taught by them and made capable, that they would deliver up to their (teachers) level or more than them? As such, he is totally against re-employment. In fact, he is against assigning any duty to the over-aged persons. He stressed that the younger persons should be recruited and the rein should be given in their hands. Secondly, the teachers of the affiliated Colleges should be involved in research activities to the maximum extent. Thirdly, the non-Panjab University Campus students had been distinguished for non-allotment of hostel accommodation. It is a grave injustice to the non-Panjab University Campus students, whose Supervisors also not belonged to Campus. They should see all the students with one eye.

The Vice Chancellor said that it is matter of zero hour.

Dr. Neeru Malik said that, firstly, she endorsed the hybrid mode because whenever they talked about the innovation and initiatives, they always say that it is a very good move. Secondly, nowadays the UGC is also promoting inter-disciplinary approach in research. She, therefore, requested the Vice Chancellor that in case someone wished to promote inter-disciplinary research, such a Supervisor should be approved by the other Department. This would definitely improve the quality as well as inter-disciplinary research.

RESOLVED: That physical presence of the candidate/s be not necessitated at the time of viva-voce during the ongoing Covid-19 Pandemic restrictions or similar extraordinary conditions, if arise, in future.

IV. Considered the following recommendations dated 05.10.2020 (**Appendix I**) of the Regulations Committee (**Item C-3 on the agenda**):

ITEM 1

That the addition of Regulation 14 for B.Ed. Special Education (Learning Disability), **be made as under:**

14. The candidate who has passed subjects at graduation level can opt for only those additional teaching subjects:
 - (i) B.Com./B.B.A./M.Com. may opt two teaching subjects out of the following, i.e. one from (a) and another from (b):-
 - (a) Pedagogy of **Commerce**.
 - (b) Pedagogy of English /Hindi/Punjabi.
 - (ii) B.C.A. graduates may opt two teaching subjects out of the following, i.e., one from (a) and another from (b):-
 - (a) Pedagogy of **Computer Science**.
 - (b) Pedagogy of English/Hindi/Punjabi.
 - (iii) B.E/B.Tech. graduates may opt two teaching subjects out of the following, i.e., one from (a) and another from (b):-
 - (a) Pedagogy of Mathematics/Science.
 - (b) Pedagogy of English/Hindi/Punjabi.

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

- (iv) B.Sc. (Home Science) graduates may opt two teaching subjects out of the following, i.e., one from (a) and another from (b):-
- (a) Pedagogy of **Home Science**/Science.
 (b) Pedagogy of English/Hindi/Punjabi.
- (v) B.Sc. (Medical) graduates may opt two teaching subjects out of the following, i.e., one from (a) and another from (b):-
- (a) Pedagogy of Science.
 (b) Pedagogy of English/Hindi/Punjabi.
- (vi) B.Sc. (Non-Medical) graduates may opt two teaching subjects out of the following, i.e., one from (a) and another from (b):-
- (a) Pedagogy of Science/**Mathematics**.
 (b) Pedagogy of English/Hindi/Punjabi.
- (vii) BFA graduates may opt two teaching subjects out of the following, i.e., one from (a) and another from (b):-
- (a) Pedagogy of **Fine Arts**.
 (b) Pedagogy of English/Hindi/Punjabi.
- (viii) Arts Graduates may opt for any two teaching subjects one each from the following (a) and (b):
- (a) **Pedagogy of Social Studies**/Economics/History/Geography/Political Science/Sociology/Public administration/Fine Arts/Physical Education/ Music/Home Science-provided that the candidate has studied the subject at graduation level for three years.
- (b) **Pedagogy of any one language** i.e. English/Punjabi/Hindi/Sanskrit provided that the candidate has studied the subject at graduation/ Post-graduation level.

- NOTE:** (i) The candidates shall be given the required subjects combination depending upon their eligibility.
- (ii) Four months teaching practice (E1-E4) and internship (F1-F3) required as per Syllabus.
- (iii) Additional paper of pedagogy of teaching subjects/ language will be held as per syllabus of semester II.

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022**ITEM 2**

That Regulations for Certificate course in Corporate Security, Safety and Fire Protection Management newly introduced in the Department of Defense and Strategic Studies, USOL (effective from the session 2018-2019), **be approved, as per Appendix, with the modification that the Regulation 3** be read as under:-

3. The admission to the course shall be open to a candidate who has passed 10+2 examination with minimum 45% marks in any discipline.

ITEM 3

That the Regulations for Masters of Architecture (M.Arch.) (Semester System) (effective from the session 2018-2019) **be approved, as per appendix.**

ITEM 4

That the Regulations for following courses (effective from the admissions of 2019) **be approved, as per appendix:**

- (i) M.Com (Hons.)
- (ii) MBA
- (iii) MBA (IB)
- (iv) MBA (HR)
- (v) MBAfEX.

ITEM 5

That the Regulations for Bachelor of Pharmacy (B.Pharm.) and Master of Pharmacy (M.Pharm.) Under Choice Based Credit System (effective from the session 2017-18) governed by the Pharmacy Council of India, **be approved, as per Appendix.**

ITEM 6

That the amendments/additions in Regulations 10 & 14 for Shastri (Three Year Course) (effective from the session 2018-19), **be made as under:**

<u>EXISTING REGULATIONS</u>	<u>PROPOSED REGULATIONS</u>
10. The minimum number of marks required to pass shall be as under:- (a) Shastri : 33% in each paper and 40% in aggregate. (b) Additional Paper : 33%	10. The minimum number of marks required to pass shall be as under:- (a) <u>Shastri : 35% in each paper and 40% in the aggregate.</u> (b) <u>Additional Paper : 35%</u>
14. Regulations for pass-marks, re-appear:- (a) The minimum number of marks required to pass each semester examination shall be 33% in each paper in the university examination separately as well as jointly with internal assessment and 40% in aggregate of all the papers taught in the semester.	14. Regulations for pass-marks, re-appear:- (a) The minimum number of marks required to pass each semester examination shall be 35% in each paper in the University examination separately as well as jointly with internal assessment.

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

<p>(b) A candidate who fails to score 40% of the marks separately as well as jointly with internal assessment in a paper, shall be placed in Re-appear in that paper. A student can be placed in Re-appear in maximum of four papers at any point of time in all the semesters taken together. The college shall verify the status while admitting student to third and/or fifth semester. The number of Re-appears after appearing in examinations of sixth semester may exceed four, however, the course must be completed within six years. It at the end of five years, a student has qualified all, but one paper, he shall be allowed one more chance to clear the paper.</p>	<p>(b) No Change</p>
<p>(c) If a student has failed to qualify more than four papers in a semester he/she shall leave the course. However, the student can appear in the next examination as a late college student without attending the classes. In such a case the original internal assessment shall remain the same. After qualifying the semester, he can resume studies for which, if need be an additional seat shall be created.</p> <p>(d) If at a point of time, taking into account all the semesters together up to sixth semester, the number of papers in which student has failed exceeds four, he/she shall leave the course. However, he can appear in the semesters in which year failed as a late college student, without attending classes one more time. In such case original internal assessment shall be retained. The student can resume the study thereafter and if need be, an additional seat shall be created in the college.</p> <p>(e) The result of sixth semester shall be notified only after the student has cleared all the papers. For other purposes the marks may be made available to the students provisionally.</p>	<p>(c) If a student has failed to qualify more than 50% of the papers in a semester he/she will not be promoted. In such a case the original internal assessment shall remain the same. After qualifying the semester, he can resume studies for which, if need be an additional seat shall be created.</p> <p>(d) If at a point of time, taking into account all the semesters together up to sixth semester, the number of papers in which student has failed is more than 50% of the papers, he/she shall leave the course. In such cases original internal assessment shall be retained. The student can resume the study thereafter and if need be, as additional seat shall be created in the college.</p> <p>(e) No Change</p> <p>In addition to this:</p> <p>(f) <u>If the candidate has been registered privately in Semester 1st, 3rd and 5th online shall be eligible for 2nd, 4th and 6th Semester and if he/she is a college candidate and has attended requisite no. of classes may be allowed to be promoted in the 2nd,</u></p>

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

	<u>4th and 6th Semester even if he/she has not appeared in the examination.</u>
--	--

ITEM 7

That the amendment in Regulations 13, 14, 16, 17 and 28 for M.Sc. (Microbial Biotechnology) (effective from the session 2017-18), **be approved, as per appendix.**

ITEM 8

That the Regulations for Certificate Course in Vedic Studies newly introduced in the Department of Dayanand Chair for Vedic Studies (effective from the session 2019-20), **be approved as per Appendix.**

ITEM 9

That the Regulations for Master Hotel Management and Catering Technology (MHMCT) newly introduced at UIHTM (effective from the session 2019-20), **be approved as per Appendix.**

ITEM 10

That the Regulations for Master in Tourism and Travel Management (MTTM) newly introduced at UIHTM (effective from the session 2019-20), **be approved as per Appendix.**

ITEM 11

That the Regulations for Postgraduate Diploma in Journalism & Mass Communication, newly introduced at School of Communication Studies (effective from the session 2019-20), **be approved, as per Appendix, with the modification that the Regulation 8.2** be read as under:-

8.2 Successful candidates shall be classified as under:

- (i) Those who obtain 75% or more of the total aggregate marks in all the semester examinations taken together : First Division with Distinction
- (ii) Those who obtain 60% or more of the aggregate marks but less than 75% marks in all the semester examinations taken together. : First Division
- (iii) Those who obtain below 60% of the aggregate marks in all the semester examinations taken together. : Second Division

ITEM 12

That Regulations for Postgraduate Diploma in Radio Production, newly introduced in the School of Communication Studies (effective from the session 2019-20), **be approved as under, with the modification that the Regulation 14** be read as under:

14. Successful candidates shall be classified as under:

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

- (i) Those who obtain 75% or more of the total aggregate marks in all the semester examinations taken together. : First Division with Distinction
- (ii) Those who obtain 60% or more of the aggregate marks but less than 75% marks in all the semester examinations taken together. : First Division
- (iii) Those who obtain below 60% of the aggregate marks in all the semester examinations taken together. : Second Division

ITEM 13

That the Regulations for Postgraduate Diploma in Advertising & Public Relations (Semester System) (Full Time Course) newly introduced in the School of Communication Studies (effective from the session 2019-20), **be approved, as per Appendix, with the modification that the Regulation 13 be read as under:**

13. Successful candidates shall be classified as under:

- (i) Those who obtain 75% or more of the total aggregate marks in all the semester examinations taken together. : First Division with Distinction
- (ii) Those who obtain 60% or more of the aggregate marks but less than 75% marks in all the semester examinations taken together. : First Division
- (iii) Those who obtain below 60% of the aggregate marks in all the semester examinations taken together. : Second Division

ITEM 14

That the amendment in the eligibility criteria for M.Sc. in Nuclear Medicine (effective from the session 2019-20), **be made as under:**

PRESENT REGULATION	PROPOSED REGULATION
(i) B.Sc. with at least 50% marks from a recognized university with Physics and Chemistry (Non-medical stream) or Chemistry and Zoology/ Biotechnology (Medical Stream) as core subjects. Candidates having B.Sc. in Nuclear Medicine/Biophysics shall also be eligible for	<p><u>Minimum qualification for admission to M.Sc. First year in Nuclear Medicine will be B.Sc. degree with at least 50% marks in Nuclear Medicine or Biophysics from a recognized University or B.Sc. degree with at least 50% marks from a recognized University with Physics and Chemistry as core subjects (Non-Medical stream) or Chemistry and Zoology / Biotechnology as core subjects (Medical stream)</u></p> <p><u>Candidates with B.Sc. degree in X-Ray/Medical Technology, B.Sc.</u></p>

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

admission to the course. Candidates with B.Sc. degree in X-ray/Medical Technology, B.Sc. through correspondence and open University stream are not eligible.	<u>through correspondence or from open University stream are not eligible.</u>
--	---

ITEM 15

That the change in nomenclature of Five Years Integrated Programme (Honours School) in Social Sciences to **Five Year Integrated Programme in Social Sciences (B.A. Honours + M.A.)** from the academic session 2019-20, **be made as under:**

<u>PRESENT NOMENCLATURE</u>	<u>PROPOSED NOMENCLATURE</u> (effective from the session 2019-20)
Five Year Integrated Programme (Honours School) in Social Sciences	<u>Five Year Integrated Programme in Social Sciences [B.A. Honours + M.A. (Name of the Specific Discipline)]</u>

ITEM 16

That the amendments/additions in Regulation 3 for MBA (Pharmaceutical Management) and MBA (Hospital Management) (effective from the session 2019-20), be made as under:

MBA (Pharmaceutical Management)

<u>PRESENT REGULATION</u>	<u>PROPOSED REGULATION</u>
<p>3. The minimum qualification for admission to the first semester of the course shall be-</p> <p>Bachelor's degree in Pharmacy of Panjab University or any other University recognized by Panjab University as equivalent thereto with at least 50% marks in the aggregate.</p> <p>OR</p> <p>M.B.B.S. of Panjab University or of any other University recognized by Panjab University as equivalent thereto with at least 50 % marks in the aggregate.</p> <p>OR</p> <p>Bachelor's Degree in any Science subject of Panjab University or of any other University recognized by Panjab University as equivalent thereto with atleast 50% marks in the aggregate AND Diploma in Pharmacy of Panjab University or of any other University recognized by Panjab University as equivalent thereto with atleast 50%</p>	<p>3. No Change</p> <p>No Change</p>

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

marks in the aggregate.	<p style="text-align: center;">OR</p> <p>B.Sc. (Medical)/B.Sc. (Biotechnology) B.Sc. (Biochemistry) of Panjab University or of any other University recognized by Panjab University as equivalent thereto with at least 50% marks in the aggregate.</p>
-------------------------	--

MBA (Hospital Management)

PRESENT REGULATION	PROPOSED REGULATION
<p>3. The minimum qualification for admission to the first semester of the course shall be-</p> <p>(i) MBBS/BDS/BAMS/BHMS/ B.Pharmacy/B.Sc. (Nursing)/ Bachelor of Physiotherapy (BPT) of Panjab University or a degree of any other University which has been recognized by the Syndicate as equivalent thereto with not less than 50% marks in the aggregate.</p>	<p>3. No Change</p> <p>(i) No Change</p> <p style="text-align: center;">OR</p> <p>(ii) B.Sc. (Medical)/B.Sc. (Biotechnology) B.Sc. (Biochemistry)/B.Voc. (Hospital Management) of Panjab University or of any other University recognized by Panjab University as equivalent thereto with at least 50% marks in the aggregate.</p>

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

ITEM 17

That the amendment in Regulation 2 for M.Sc. in Fashion Designing (effective from the session 2019-20) approved by the Vice Chancellor in anticipation approval of Academic Council dated 25.5.2019, **be approved as under:**

PRESENT REGULATION	PROPOSED REGULATION
<p>2. Students who have studied B.Sc. Fashion Designing from Panjab University, Chandigarh or any other University recognized as equivalent thereto;</p> <p style="text-align: center;">OR</p> <p>Students who have studied B.Sc. Home Science from Panjab University, Chandigarh or any other University recognized as equivalent thereto with clothing and Textile subject will be eligible for admission to M.Sc. in Fashion Designing.</p>	<p>2. The students with the following qualifications from recognized University are eligible to take admission in M.Sc.-I Fashion Designing course:</p> <p>B.Sc.</p> <p>(i) B.Sc. Home Science with specialization in Clothing and Textile and Apparel Designing</p> <p>(ii) <u>B.Sc. Fashion Technology</u></p> <p>B.Voc.</p> <p>(i) <u>B.Voc. in Textile & Fashion Technology.</u></p> <p>(ii) <u>B.Voc. in Fashion Technology & Apparel Design.</u></p>

ITEM 18

That the addition of Regulation 9 for B.A.B.Ed. Four Year Integrated Course (Semester System) (for the students who enrolled before the year 2015), approved by the Vice Chancellor on behalf of Academic Council dated 25.5.2019, **be approved as under:**

- 9. Students who have already passed/pursuing the course with two optional/elective subjects can give one additional paper after completing the course.**

NOTE: 1. In the meeting of the Syndicate dated 18.01.2020 (Para 3) while considering the minutes dated 05.10.2019 of the Regulations Committee, the Vice Chancellor said that the task of vetting the language of the additions, deletions and amendment of Regulations, which are under consideration, is assigned to Professor Keshav Malhotra. If he (Professor Keshav Malhotra) needed any assistance, he could take help of 1-2 persons.

This was agreed to.

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

2. As per above decision of the Syndicate dated 18.1.2020 Paragraph 3, the language of Regulations has been got vetted by the then Deans of the respective Faculties except the Dean, Faculty of Business Management & Commerce. However, the Chairperson of the respective departments has informed that the said amendments/additions/deletions and newly framed Regulations have already been implemented including UBS.
3. An office note was enclosed.
4. The above item had been approved by the Vice-Chancellor in exercise of the powers of the Syndicate, in terms of authorization given by the Senate in its meeting dated 13.02.2022 (Para II).

The Vice Chancellor said that this item contained several Sub-Items which related to recommendations of Regulations Committee.

Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua requested the Vice Chancellor to ask the Secretary to explain the item to them.

The Vice Chancellor said that these are the recommendations of the Regulations Committee and there is no need to explain anything as these are self-explanatory.

Dr. Jagwant Singh said that he is looking at the Regulations and this is not something which is happening for the first time. They are approving Regulations for the sessions 2018-19 and 2019-20. In fact, it takes time for the Regulations to come to the Senate for final approval, but he found that in some of the cases the course had already been started. The only mistake is that though the Regulations are yet to be approved, the courses had already been started, especially in the case of vocational courses, i.e., B.Voc. or M.Voc. which had been approved and sanctioned by the UGC. In those cases, whatever Regulations are to be approved, the same should be effected from the year the University or the College had started the course. If Regulations are effected from the subsequent date, they would be in trouble. He suggested that the Regulations of the courses should come to the Senate for approval whenever they are started in the University/Colleges instead of after 3-4 years of the start of the course(s).

Referring to Sub-Item 9, Professor Latika said this item related to different courses. There are certain Regulations in which additions/modifications have been made and in certain cases the Regulations had been given in existing and proposed format. The courses had already been in operations since 2018, and they are placing the Regulations before the Senate for its approval now. In the certain cases they are able to talk to the departments as well. There is nothing missing as far as anomalies in the Regulations are concerned, and the way things are being conducted. So the item should be approved because they are already in 2022. In the meanwhile, the NEP, 2020 had come and they would have to re-frame some of the Regulations.

Dr. Neeru Malik said that the subjects had been told and the same are very much valid. She pointed out that whenever a student takes an additional subject at graduation level, he/she appears in the examination, whereas when a student takes two teaching subjects, he/she is entitled to take/appear in the examination of that additional subject, but he/she could do so after qualifying the additional subject at B.A./B.Sc. level. Now, the UGC has allowed that one could do two courses simultaneously. She urged that they

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

must consider that if a student wished to appear in an additional subject in B.Ed. course, he/she should be allowed, which would definitely make his/her future bright.

Professor Latika said that she would also like to add to the point made by Dr. Neeru Malik. Even in B.A.B.Ed. (4-Year) course (Sub-Item 18), they are talking about giving permission to give additional exam after completing the course, but when they had already taken into consideration NEP Guidelines, according to which one could do two degrees simultaneously. Hence, the students could perhaps take additional subject during the course, which he/she is pursuing. She urged that regulations for this should be framed.

Professor Yojna Rawat said that all the Regulations under consideration seemed to be perfectly alright as she did not find any anomaly in them. Secondly, she endorsed the observations made by Professor Latika as she had herself gone through the regulations of certain such courses, e.g., Diplomas Courses, Certificate Courses (Corporate Security, Safety and Fire Protection Management) (short-term course), etc. She suggested that such courses could be put in the open basket of NEP, so that more and more students could be attracted because they did not need regular classes. This would definitely give more educational opportunities to the students.

Shri Satya Pal Jain said that the problem of amendment of Regulations is being faced by them for the last so many years, because the procedure for amendment of regulation is unique. The item relating to amendment of Regulations is firstly placed before the Syndicate and then Senate for taking in principle decision to amend the Regulations. Thereafter, the process for amendment of Regulations is initiated, i.e., through Board of Studies, Faculty, Academic Council, Regulations Committee, Syndicate, Senate and then sent to Government of India for approval and notification. The process is so lengthy that sometimes the students for whom the Regulations are framed, even qualified the examinations and got jobs, but the Regulations do not get approved. However, the Regulations could become effective from the date the same are approved by the Government of India. He would like to point out that the amendments of Regulations, which have been sent to Government of India several years before, are still pending. He urged the Vice Chancellor to instruct the Regulations Committee, which has already been formed, to spare some time to clear the Regulations which are pending for its consideration. He remembered that when he was Chairman of the Regulations Committee a few years before, he had cleared so many Regulations. He also requested the Vice Chancellor to depute somebody from the office to get the Regulations, which are pending with the Government of India for approval and notification from the last so many years, cleared. He suggested that if needed, a Special Cell created in the office for this purpose.

The Vice Chancellor said that he fully agreed with the observations made by Shri Satya Pal Jain ji. He assured that the matter would be taken care of.

Referring to Sub-Item 6, Dr. Priyatosh Sharma pointed out that the proposed Regulation relating to raising the pass percentage from 33% in each paper in the University examination separately as well as jointly with internal assessment and 40% in aggregate of all the papers to 35% in each paper separately as well as jointly with internal assessment had already been implemented from the session 2018-19. Three year had passed. Were they following a tactic to get certain students qualifying the examinations?

Dr. Parveen Goyal said that one of his points has already been cleared by Shri Satya Pal Jain ji that the procedure for amendments in Regulations is very lengthy, and the same is needed to be minimized. If the amended Regulations are not implemented, obviously the audit would raise objection. The Audit would continue to raise

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

objection till the relevant Calendar is not amended. To complete the amendments of Regulations in the stipulated time, they are required to look into the process/procedure of amending the Regulations. He pointed out that certain Regulations came directly from the UGC, e.g., Regulations for promotion of teachers, under the CAS. The Pre-Screening Committees, which worked at the Department level, did not have any framework. Resultantly, all Pre-Screening Committees worked as per their own whims and fancies. As such, certain cases are cleared by the Pre-Screening Committees and certain others are not. He, therefore, suggested that they should evolve certain guidelines for Pre-Screening of candidates for promotions under CAS.

Referring to Sub-Item 16 relating to Regulations for MBA (Hospital Management), Professor Rajat Sandhir said that as per the Regulations they considered the candidates eligible for MBA (Hospital Management), having passed B.Sc. (Medical), B.Sc. (Biotechnology), B.Sc. (Biochemistry) and B.Sc. Vocational Hospital Management. His point is that they should ensure that if one did MBA (Hospital Management), he/she should get job in the Hospital; otherwise, none of the students would join this course. According to him, MBBS is the basic qualification for MBA in Hospital Management. If they wished, they could verify it and to whatever is possible.

Professor Jagat Bhushan said that firstly he would like to correct the statement raised by Professor Rajat Sandhir, and clarified that the candidates having qualified BDS and B. Pharmacy are eligible to take admission in MBA (Hospital Management). However, so far as other courses pointed out by Professor Rajat Sandhir are concerned, the same needed to be reviewed. Shri Satya Pal Jain had made them aware of the detailed procedure for framing and amendment of Regulation. Since their Regulations are very old, there is a need to review them. Secondly, there are separate Regulations for various Bachelor and Masters Programmes, i.e., B.A., B.Sc., B.Com., M.A., M.Sc., M.Com., etc. The Regulations Committee should be instructed to review and frame common Regulations for various Undergraduate courses as also for Postgraduate courses, so that it is easier to interpret by both the officials as well as candidates. In this way, the system would be streamlined.

Dr. Amit Joshi, while referring to Regulations for M.Sc. (Microbial Biotechnology), pointed out that this course is being offered at only two places, i.e., one, in the University and second, at S.G.G.S. College, Sector 26, Chandigarh. He enquired whether these Regulations if approved, would be applicable in the University alone or will be applicable for S.G.G.S. College, Sector 26, Chandigarh as well? The reason for asking this question is because he was till date not aware about the existence of internal examination pattern for Microbial Biotechnology in the University. The same course running in the College has an external system of examination despite being governed by the same set of regulations. If the course in question is governed under one regulation, then there should be uniformity in the examination pattern as well at both the centres. Moreover, in both the cases, the students are admitted after qualifying the same entrance test, i.e. OCET. Mostly, the top 20 students opt to join the course in the University Teaching Department and the next ones are admitted in the College. As such, this is the discrepancy. So far as other courses like Biotechnology, Biochemistry, Biophysics or Microbiology are concerned, he could understand the difference because they are being run under Honors School System. He enquired whether this course M.Sc. (Microbial Biotechnology) is being run in a self-financed mode in the University too? His basic query is: A (if both courses are governed under the same set of regulations? B) If these regulations stand approved, where they will be applicable to the course running in S.G.G.S. College, Sector-26 as well?

Professor Rajat Sandhir said that one more point needed to be looked at. It has been mentioned that the students, who do not qualify, would not be allowed to do dissertation, and they would come back to do the dissertation after qualifying the

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

examination, which meant they would be wasting 6 months in their degree course. It is unfair for the students. In fact, they should all be getting opportunity to do the dissertation irrespective of whether they get 50% marks or not. Hence, this needed to be looked into.

Dr. Amit Joshi suggested that the Vice Chancellor could constitute a small Committee. He thought that Professor Rajat Sandhir is right, but he thinks that they are conducting the exams simultaneously.

Dr. Shiv Kumar Dogra said that, firstly, he would like to endorse the viewpoints expressed by Shri Satya Pal Jain. However, he would like to add that they should immediately initiate the process of amending the regulations keeping in view the New Education Policy, 2020, irrespective of whether they are the old courses or the new ones, including two courses to be done simultaneously. They should consider as to how they could create openness in each and every course.

Shri Satya Pal Jain pointed out that they had been provided three volumes of University Calendars. The Volume-I contained Act and Regulations, Volume-II Regulations and Volume-III contained Rules. The framing and amendment of regulations could not be done without the approval of Government of India, whereas the rules could be framed by the Syndicate. He suggested that instead of regulation, rules should be framed for the new courses, so that, in future, they did not face any problem because rules could be amended at any time by the University itself, i.e., Syndicate and the same would be applicable from the date they are amended. He clarified that in Law, the force of both Regulations and Rules are same. Hence, in future, maximum possible things should be kept under rules.

Shri Gurjot Singh Malhi said that certain members, including Shri Satya Pal Jain, had talked about the functioning of the Regulations Committee. He had also been the Chairman of the Regulations Committee for the last couple of years, but he did not know who is the Chairman of the Regulations Committee now. He would like to clarify that the agenda for the Regulations Committee are not in the hands of the Chairman; rather it is the Administration which frame the agenda. He had tried to hold as many meetings of the Committee as possible, and was even willing to hold meetings on every week and spend as much hours as possible, but the office should tell as to how much work is pending.

Dr. Gurmit Singh said that Dr. Neeru Malik had raised the issue that the students should be allowed to take additional subject in B.Ed. and Professor Latika had suggested that they could also allow similar thing in B.A.B.Ed. course, but when they talked about these subjects in B.Ed., a student is allowed to take additional subject only when he/she fulfilled the conditions laid down by the NCTE. Only with those conditions, the student is allowed to take the teaching subjects. However, the student concerned had not studied those subjects at the graduation level, the student could not be allowed to take those subjects. As such, they needed to consider the rules and regulations of NCTE while considering changes in the regulations of integrated course in which B.Ed. is involved.

Dr. Dayal Partap Singh Randhawa said that, a few years back, he was also member of one of the Regulations Committee. He suggested that keeping in view the required changes, the regulations should be got amended well in time because so many private Universities had come in their neighbourhood, which are giving them a stiff competition, and they needed to be vigilant from them. Nowadays, the courses, which are required by the society or the country, are being changed more frequently by the Universities, e.g., Ashoka University, Chandigarh University and a couple of more. Hence, they needed to work quickly and at a faster pace, so that regulations and rules did not create any hindrance for them. Secondly, he endorsed the viewpoints expressed by Dr. Neeru Malik that the students should be allowed to enhance their knowledge/qualification for which

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

they had to qualify additional subjects, they should be allowed provided they fulfilled the minimum prescribed qualification. If a student wished to take additional subject in B.A.B.Ed. Course, he/she should be allowed, provided he/she qualify the same at the graduation level. They should consider giving such minor relaxation, so that the students could progress in their life.

The Vice Chancellor asked to the Secretary to update the members about the issue.

It was clarified that it is very validly acknowledged by the Hon'ble members that they need to review their Regulations. Why they could not put up various issues before the Regulations Committee, had already been explained by the one of the worthy members Shri Satya Pal Jain ji that first they would have to take a principle decision that they wanted to amend the Regulations. Such a decision is to be taken by some Committee, and thereafter the said decision is to be placed before the Syndicate and Senate for taking decision in principle that they wanted to amend the Regulations. Thereafter, the matter is to be placed before the Regulations Committee. Apart from this procedure, now NEP envisaged a very fast evolving higher education, under which they are supposed to revise their syllabi, admission criteria, passing conditions, etc., every year. As such, so many things are needed to be done on yearly basis. They had various academics bodies, like Board of Studies, Board of Control, Faculties and Academic Council and the scope of work of all the bodies is overlapping. Nobody knows that one specific academic issue is first to be considered by the Board of Studies or Board of Control and then by the Faculty concerned and thereafter by the Academic Council. The other day the Hon'ble Vice Chancellor was also discussing this issue with him. One suggestion is that they should have a marathon meeting of the Regulations Committee, but before that if the Hon'ble members agreed, they could form a Committee of Fellows to give broad guidelines as to how they could move forward, so that they could bring in adequate flexibility in their academic system enabling them to take decision and implement the same quickly. This is the proposal which the Hon'ble Vice Chancellor wanted to put before the House.

Dr. Neeru Malik said that it is a very good suggestion and they endorsed it.

Principal S.S. Sangha stated that the problem raised by Dr. Neeru Malik had a solution. Suppose one had to take social science an additional teaching subject, he/she had studied history, he/she has to take Sociology an additional subject, and till the result of Sociology at the graduation level is not declared, his/her result of Sociology of B.Ed. should not be declared. In this way, the problem of NCTE would also be got solved.

Professor Prashant Gautam said that he would like to draw the attention of the House towards the Regulations for Master in Hotel Management and Catering Technology. The regulatory authority for this course is AICTE, and as per AICTE, the mandatory eligibility condition is Bachelor Degree in Hotel Management and Catering Technology. But they had kept it a little bit open. If the student wanted to go to teaching, it is mandatory that he/she should have Bachelor Degree in this very subject, i.e., Hotel Management and Catering Technology. As such, they have to change the eligibility conditions for this course.

Dr. Sandeep Kataria said that he would like to bring to their kind notice that the admissions in the Colleges of Education are made through centralized process. There is a norm in Guru Nanak Dev University and Punjabi University, Patiala, that the teaching of Social Studies would be allowed only to those, who had studied two subjects, but the Panjab University had done good thing that the student, who had studied even one subject, is allowed to take Social Studies, but he/she become eligible only when he/she qualified the second subject. Resultantly, one precious year of the student is saved. He

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

suggested that such flexible provisions should be kept, so that the students get opportunity to move ahead by enhancing their subject contents.

The Vice Chancellor said that they got very important inputs relating to regulations. He is very happy that the learned members are very active and pro towards the implementation of the new National Education Policy, 2020, because under this policy a lot of changes are to be made very frequently. Since he is a member of several Committees, he would like to tell them that the entire scenario would get changed within the next two years, and they, especially the Principals and teachers of the affiliated Colleges, required to be ready for that. He did agree that a Committee could be constituted to study the Regulations and Rules and recommend appropriate changes as he wanted to keep it on a fast track. Secondly, a valid suggestion had been given by Dr. Amit Joshi regarding the implementation of regulations and rules of the course at the campus as well as the affiliated Colleges. He would try to look into this issue separately. In view of this, the entire item is approved.

RESOLVED: That –

- (i) the Regulations, additions, deletions, changes, amendments, etc. in Regulations of various courses as mentioned in Items 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17 and 18, **as per Appendix**, be approved; and
- (ii) a Committee be constituted by the Vice Chancellor to review and frame common Regulations for various Undergraduate and Postgraduate as also to suggest appropriate changes keeping in view National Education Policy, 2020.

V.

Considered that the following person/s working in the Group-I of the Laboratory and Technical Staff, be confirmed in their post w.e.f. the date mentioned against each **(Item C-4 on the agenda)**:

Sr. No.	Name of the person, Designation, Department	Date of joining in Group-I	Proposed date of confirmation
1.	Sh. Dharam Chand Senior Technical Assistant (G-I) UIPS	15.10.2018	15.10.2019
2.	Sh. Karam Chand Laboratory Superintendent (G-I) Department of Biochemistry	23.05.2019	23.05.2020
3.	Mr. Balbir Singh Senior Scientific Assistant (G-I) Department of Anthropology	29.07.2019 (A.N.)	30.07.2020
4.	Sh. Kewal Krishan Laboratory Superintendent (G-I) Department of Zoology	13.08.2019	13.08.2020
5.	Sh. Ramesh Chand Excavation Assistant (G-I) Department of Ancient Indian History, Culture and Archaeology	23.10.2019	23.10.2020
6.	Mr. Surjit Singh Senior Technical Assistant (G-I) Department of Microbiology	06.11.2019	05.12.2020

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

7.	Sh. Trilok Chand Technical Officer (G-I) Department of Art History and Visual Arts	05.12.2019	05.12.2020
8.	Sh. Dilbagh Singh Senior Scientific Assistant (G-I) Department of Chemistry	06.01.2020	06.01.2021
9.	Sh. Omkar Singh Laboratory Superintendent (G-I) Department of Chemistry	06.01.2020	07.01.2021
10.	Sh. Surinder Kumar Senior Scientific Assistant (G-I) Department of Chemistry	06.01.2020	08.01.2021

- NOTE:**
1. The person at Sr. No. 8 retired from the University services on 28.02.2021, but his confirmation was due on 06.01.2021.
 2. An office note was enclosed (**Appendix-II**).
 3. The above item had been approved by the Vice-Chancellor in exercise of the powers of the Syndicate, in terms of authorization given by the Senate in its meeting dated 13.02.2022 (Para II).

RESOLVED: That the following person/s working in the Group-I of the Laboratory and Technical Staff, be confirmed in their post w.e.f. the date mentioned against each:

Sr. No.	Name of the person, Designation, Department	Date joining of Group-I	Proposed date of confirmation
1.	Sh. Dharam Chand Senior Technical Assistant (G-I) UIPS	15.10.2018	15.10.2019
2.	Sh. Karam Chand Laboratory Superintendent (G-I) Department of Biochemistry	23.05.2019	23.05.2020
3.	Mr. Balbir Singh Senior Scientific Assistant (G-I) Department of Anthropology	29.07.2019 (A.N.)	30.07.2020
4.	Sh. Kewal Krishan Laboratory Superintendent (G-I) Department of Zoology	13.08.2019	13.08.2020
5.	Sh. Ramesh Chand Excavation Assistant (G-I) Department of Ancient Indian History, Culture and Archaeology	23.10.2019	23.10.2020
6.	Mr. Surjit Singh Senior Technical Assistant (G-I) Department of Microbiology	06.11.2019	05.12.2020
7.	Sh. Trilok Chand	05.12.2019	05.12.2020

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

	Technical Officer (G-I) Department of Art History and Visual Arts		
8.	Sh. Dilbagh Singh Senior Scientific Assistant (G-I) Department of Chemistry	06.01.2020	06.01.2021
9.	Sh. Omkar Singh Laboratory Superintendent (G-I) Department of Chemistry	06.01.2020	07.01.2021
10.	Sh. Surinder Kumar Senior Scientific Assistant (G-I) Department of Chemistry	06.01.2020	08.01.2021

At this stage, Dr. Mritunjay Kumar stood up and said that there is an important issue relating to the teachers, which had recently been passed by Shri Amit Shah, Union Home Minister, for the Union Territory employees.

The Vice Chancellor said that since it is not an agenda item, and Dr. Mritunjay Kumar has to make any observation, he should speak about this issue during zero hour discussion. He requested Dr. Mritunjay Kumar to sit down. When Dr. Mritunjay did not stop, the Vice Chancellor said that it would not be recorded.

Dr. Jagwant Singh said that Dr. Mritunjay Kumar should be given sufficient time to make his observations during the zero hour.

The Vice Chancellor said that he would give him sufficient time.

At this stage, a din prevailed as several members started speaking together.

The Vice Chancellor said that this is not accordance with the decorum of this House. This House consisted of very learned Professors, Academicians, Scientists and Hon'ble Ministers. Hence, they should keep this in mind and behave appropriately. He assured that he would give them sufficient time at appropriate place but the House would not function in accordance with their whims and fancies. If they continued to behave like this, he would be compelled to consider recommending necessary action against them.

VI. The information contained in **Items R-1 to R-6** on the agenda was read out, viz. –

R-1. The Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation of the approval of the Senate, has approved the minutes of the Committee dated 28.12.2021 with regard to review the recommendations of the Committee dated 15.09.2021 regarding Supernumerary seat in exceptional situation under Point No.6.5 of Panjab University, Ph.D. Guidelines, 2017.

NOTE: The above item had been approved by the Hon'ble Vice-Chancellor for placing before Senate, in exercise of the powers of the Syndicate, in terms of authorization given by the Senate in its meeting dated 13.02.2022 (Para II).

R-2. The Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation of approval of the Senate, has approved the recommendations of joint Academic and Administrative Committees & Board of Control dated 27.05.2021 and Committee dated

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

29.06.2021 constituted by the Vice-Chancellor has approved the following eligibility conditions for admission to 1st year of B.A. (Hons.) Economics (effective from the session 2021-22) under Choice Based Credit System (CBCS):

Existing eligibility conditions as approved by the Senate dated 15.12.2018	Proposed eligibility conditions as per UGC guidelines
<p>2. A person who has passed one of the following examinations shall be eligible for admission to the first semester of B.A. (Honours) Economics:</p> <p>i. +2 examination under 10+2+3 system of education conducted by a recognized Board/ University/Council with at least 60 percent (55 percent in case of SC/ST candidates) marks in the +2 examination.</p> <p>ii. Any other examination recognized by the Syndicate as equivalent to (i) above.</p> <p>iii. Given the quantitative requirements of the Programme, only students who have passed Mathematics at the class XII level are eligible for admission as per UGC Guidelines under the CBCS system.</p>	<p>2. A person who has passed one of the following examinations shall be eligible for admission to the first semester of B.A. (Honours) Economics:</p> <p>i. +2 examination with Mathematics under 10+2+3 system of education conducted by a recognized Board/ University/Council with at least 60 percent marks (55 percent in case of SC/ST candidates).</p> <p>ii. Any other examination recognized by the Syndicate as equivalent to (i) above.</p> <p>iii. Deleted</p>

NOTE: 1. A detailed office note was enclosed.

2. The above has been approved by the Vice-Chancellor in exercise of the powers of the Syndicate, in terms of authorization given by the Senate in its meeting dated 13.02.2022 (Para II).

R-3. The Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation of approval of the Senate, has approved to create one additional seat under ST Category for LL.M. Course in the Department of Laws, for the academic session 2021-2022.

NOTE: 1. An office note was enclosed.

2. The above had been approved by the Vice-Chancellor in exercise of the powers of the Syndicate, in terms of authorization given by the Senate in its meeting dated 13.02.2022 (Para II).

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

R-4. The Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation of the approval of the Senate, has approved the introduction of new courses w.e.f. the session 2020-21 at NITTTR, Sector-26, Chandigarh, as under:

- (i) M.E. Computer Science & Engineering (IoT) (
- (ii) M.E. Mechanical Engineering (Robotics)
- (iii) M.E. Electronics and Communication Engineering (Artificial Intelligence).

NOTE: 1. The Rules and Regulations for the above said new courses will remain same as applicable to existing courses.

2. A copy of Endst. No.4165-77/GM dated 13.07.2020, 4178-90 dated 13.07.2020 and 4191-4203 dated 13.07.2020 is

3. The above had been approved by the Vice-Chancellor in exercise of the powers of the Syndicate, in terms of authorization given by the Senate in its meeting dated 13.02.2022 (Para II).

R-5. The Vice-Chancellor, on behalf of the Academic council and in anticipation of the approval of the Senate, has approved the Regulations, Rules and Template for M.Voc. Courses w.e.f. the academic session 2022-23.

NOTE: 1. A copy of the minutes of the Skill Development Board dated 14.12.2021 was enclosed.

2. The above has been approved by the Vice-Chancellor in exercise of the powers of the Syndicate, in terms of authorization given by the Senate in its meeting dated 13.02.2022 (Para II).

R-6. The Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation of the approval of the Senate, has extended the term of the following present Internal Complaints Committee up to 30.09.2022:-

- | | | |
|----|---|-------------|
| 1. | Professor Promila Pathak
Department of Botany | Chairperson |
| 2. | Professor Ashok Kumar
Department of Hindi | |
| 3. | Dr. Gaurav Gaur, Assistant Professor
Centre for Social Work | |
| 4. | Smt. Poonam Chopra
Deputy Registrar, UIET | |
| 5. | Ms. Nandini Kakkar
#198, Sector-16 A, Chandigarh | |
| 6. | Ms. Gunita Sharma,
Assistant Registrar, CET | |
| 7. | Dr. Babita Devi Pathania
Associate Professor, Deptt. of Laws | Convener |

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

- NOTE:**
1. Earlier, an Internal Complaint Committee was constituted by the Vice-Chancellor for one year term w.e.f. 01.10.2020 to 30.09.2021 vide circular No.11723-740/Estt. dated 01.10.2020 (**Appendix-III**).
 2. The above had been approved by the Vice-Chancellor in exercise of the powers of the Syndicate, in terms of authorization given by the Senate in its meeting dated 13.02.2022 (Para II).

Referring to Sub-Item R-3, Shri Prabhjit Singh stated that this item related to creation of one additional seat for ST category in LL.M. Course. After going through the papers appended with the item, it has come to his notice that one of the candidates of general category had got converted his category to ST from the office of the Dean of University Instruction. The candidate concerned also appeared in the counselling under ST category and the Committee did not object to it. However, later on the counselling was postponed and when the counselling was held on the next day, one more candidate attended the counselling under ST category. The powers to create additional seats had been delegated to the Vice Chancellor by the Syndicate for normal courses. Since it is a professional course regulated by the Bar Council of India (BCI), the BCI had not delegated any such power to the Vice Chancellor. It is strange that additional seats had been created in the LL.M. Course. Tomorrow, such things could happen in other professional courses, e.g., BDS, MDS, etc. Since it is a backdoor entry, an enquiry should be conducted as to how it has happened in advertently or owing to miscommunication. They as members of the Senate did not know as to what miscommunication was and if the Vice Chancellor knew, he should share with them. The first candidate had clearly written that her category was changed from General to ST category well within the time with the permission of the Dean of University Instruction. She submitted all the relevant papers in the Department and attended the counselling and the Committee also did not raise any objection. Then why an additional seat is being created? According to him, it is a matter of enquiry and an addition seat could not be created like this. Moreover, the Senate is not competent to create additional seat in this course. In an earlier item, the Vice Chancellor had said that they would not violate the regulations/rules/guidelines of the regulatory bodies under any circumstances. He urged the Vice Chancellor to look into the matter meticulously.

Referring to Sub Item R-5, Shri Prabhjit Singh stated that the item related to rules for M.Voc. Courses. He pointed out that it has been mentioned that these rules for M.Voc. courses are effective from the session 2022-23. If the rules are being approved now, why the Inspection Committees were sent by the University? He had also pointed out in the previous meeting that the Inspection Committee had visited the GGSDS College, Sector 32, Chandigarh and the recommendations of the Inspection Committee had been implemented and admissions made. However, when the College submitted the return in the University office, it was informed that the College had not been granted affiliation for this course. He requested the Vice Chancellor to streamline the office of the Dean, College Development Council. If they did not make these rules effective from retrospective effect, what would be the fate of the students, who have already been admitted to M.Voc. courses. He, therefore, suggested that these rules should be given effect from the session the students had been admitted.

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

Referring to Sub-Item R-3, Professor Devinder Singh said that it is being suggested that an enquiry should be instituted. Since the issue related to Department of Laws, he is well acquainted with the matter. In fact, the documents of ST category students are scrutinized by a Committee appointed by the Dean of University Instruction and thereafter, finally cleared by the office of the Dean of University Instruction. They in the Department knew that both these candidates belonged to ST category as they had done LL.B. from the Department/UILS. However, when they received the list of students approved by the Dean of University Instruction for admission to LL.M. course, they did not find the names of both these candidates in the ST category. Just after the counselling, a request was received from a candidate (ST category) that she was unable to attend the counselling because of heavy lightening/thundering and rainfall due to which the internet stopped functioning. Resultantly, she was unable to attend the counselling online. Similar, requests were received from certain candidates from Punjab that internet in their region did not functioned for 4-5 hours. Since this problem was faced by 10-12 candidates, they fixed the counselling again with the permission of Dean of University Instruction. One candidate, who belonged to Kinnaur, returned to his/her home after attending the counselling for General category. Another candidate, who was staying locally, came with a communication on 21st of the month from the Dean of University Instruction office stating that his/her admission had been approved under ST category. The Assistant Registrar of their Department received the communication telephonically that the admission of both the candidates had been approved under ST category as the Dean of University Instruction office never sent such communication in writing. Unfortunately, the Assistant Registrar fell ill and got hospitalized at PGIMER for three days and he could not deliver the message. The candidate, who came to the Department physically, got admitted as they all knew that this candidate belonged to ST category. So far as the second candidate is concerned, the message could not be delivered to him/her as the Assistant Registrar was hospitalized before communicating the message. The Department got the list of admitted students approved within the next 2 days. However, when the Assistant Registrar resumed his duty, he informed the Chairperson that such and such candidate had also been allowed admission by the Dean of University Instruction office under ST category. The second candidate was higher in the merit list than the candidate who had been given admission. One of the candidates was to be admitted to LL.M. course being offered at University Institute of Legal Studies. Though the LL.M. course offered at University Institute of Legal Studies and Department of Laws is same but the fee structure of University Institute of Legal Studies is almost double. Since none of the candidate had scholarship, the Board of Control considered it fit to recommend the admission the other candidate under ST category by creating an additional seat by the Vice Chancellor for which he is empowered. So far as issue raised about the Regulatory Body is concerned, he would like to make it clear that LL.B course regulated by BCI. There are 300 seats in LL.B. and even one seat could not be increased there. However, LL.M. course is not regulated by the BCI, the seat(s) could be increased and practice in this regard did exist. Earlier also, additional seats had been got created whenever inadvertent mistakes/error occurred.

Shri Prabhjit Singh said that if there is no problem on behalf of Regulatory Body, then it is okay.

Referring to Sub-Item R-1, Professor Rajat Sandhir pointed out that Clauses 2(a), (b), (c), and (d) of the minutes of the Committee talks about supernumerary seats and Clause 2(b) says "SC/ST/JRF/SRF/Project fellow under a national/International research project with financial support for at least 2 years and fulfilling the Ph.D. enrolment criteria". This is not an exceptional condition. However, Clause 2(d) is an exceptional condition where it is being said that the Vice Chancellor would constitute a Committee, which would examine the case. Under Clause 2(b), they are allowing any

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

SC/ST/JRF/SRF/Project fellow to take an opportunity of supernumerary seat(s). This needed to be looked into as Clause 2(b) seemed to be redundant.

Referring to Sub Item R-5, Professor Mukesh Arora said that according to him, perhaps at that time the Board of Studies and even the Senate could not be constituted owing to which the meetings for framing/approval of regulations could not be held. They had sent Inspection Committee to the Colleges and the Colleges fulfilled the conditions imposed by the Inspection Committees and made admissions. Keeping in view these circumstances, the item should be approved so that 7 students, who had been admitted to M.Voc. course by GGSD College, Sector 32, Chandigarh, do not face any problem in future.

Referring to Sub Item R-3, Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua said that they had no option, but to rely upon the explanation given by Professor Devinder Singh that the Dean of University Instruction office always communicate about the approval of admissions of candidates under various reserved category verbally. It is really very strange that a message is given telephonically that the admission of such and such candidate has been approved under ST category. They had addressed the grievances of the students of ST category on the basis of just verbal communication. Instead of looking into as to how the admission of candidates is converted to another category without any specific reasons, they had allowed conversion. In fact, it should be looked into as to how it is happening. Whenever any such item came to them, they felt that somebody is being given admission through a backdoor entry. Though they knew that these candidates belonged to a particular reserved category as they were their own students, but the message which is going to the society is perhaps is not good. Even if the LL.M. course is not regulated by the BCI and they could create the additional seats, the additional seats should always be created with specific reasons. They should contemplate as to how such things could be avoided in future.

Referring to Sub-Item R-4, Dr. Parveen Goyal pointed out that the item has straightaway come to the Senate from the Board of Studies; rather than through the Faculty of Engineering & Technology, which is the authority to finalise the scheme and syllabi of the courses being offered under this Faculty. The link for the scheme and syllabi has been given on the Panjab University Website and the said link could be mentioned on the e-agenda which has been provided to them.

Referring to Sub-Item R-5, Dr. Nidhi Gautam said that she agreed with Shri Prabhjit Singh, but at the same time she would like to say that the M.Voc. courses are really commendable. These courses, which had been introduced under the Skill Development Programme initiated by the Government of India, could do wonders to the students for getting jobs. She suggested that information about these courses should be given to the Panjab University Teaching Departments as majority of them are not aware about these M.Voc. courses. The Panjab University Teaching Department could also start such courses at the campus. She pointed out that the Skill Development Board, which has been constituted, has been formed for B.Voc. courses. The Board for M.Voc. courses should not be the same and she suggested that some more members should be included in it.

Referring to Sub-Item R-3, Dr. Dinesh Kumar stated that a couple of members had talked about the additional seats being created in LL.M. Course. One of his worthy colleagues had pointed out that only oral communications are made by the office of the Dean of University Instruction, but the same is not true. For the last so many years a portal has been made on which the students belonging to reserved categories upload their all relevant documents. A Committee is formed at the University level, which checked all the documents submitted by the students seeking admission under reserved categories.

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

Earlier, the certificates were checked at the Department level and every Department evaluated the same by following different criteria. The Committee get the objections/deficiencies uploaded on the portal and the students get opportunity to remove the objections/deficiencies within a stipulated time period for reconsideration by the Committee. Similar process was followed in the case under consideration. It is not that these candidates had applied under General Category as the cases of General Category never went to the office of the Dean of University Instruction; rather, the same are dealt with at the Department level only. These candidates must have updated their certificates after getting the documents/certificates submitted by them rejected. Resultantly, the office of the Dean of University Instruction might have re-evaluated their cases and approved their admissions under the ST Category. As and when their cases were cleared by the office of the Dean of University Instruction, they got the same updated on the portal and gave information about the same to the Department on phone. How the students could have got the information about their admission under ST Category, because they frequently checked the portal? When one of the students checked on the portal and found that his/her admission had been approved under ST Category, he/she immediately approached the Department for admission. Department would always consider the eligible students (merit-wise) up to the stipulated date. Before the list of students, including ST Category, was approved by the Dean of University Instruction, the second candidate, who was above the previous candidate on merit list, approached the Department for admission.

Referring to Sub-Item R-5, Professor Prashant Gautam said that he had gone through the detailed syllabus. Since it is a practical course, its regulations are more and less the same, which are for any other Masters Degree Programme. Why they felt the need for M.Voc. Programme separately? If they see the detailed scheme of examinations available at pages 58-59, the theory contents had covered 80% of the portion, whereas in vocational courses more than 50% is needed to be practical. Hence, there is a need to relook into this programme.

Referring to Sub-Item R-6, Dr. Dinesh Kumar pointed out that as per law, they appoint Internal Complaints Committee, whereas in the item they are writing, "PUCASH". He suggested that necessary correction should be carried out because they have to go by law and this might also be seen by the NAAC.

Professor Rajat Sandhir pointed out that Ms. Nandini Kakkar is one of the members of the Internal Complaints Committee. In fact, she is running an NGO and they should seek input from her.

Dr. Neeru Malik said that she endorsed the observations made by Professor Prashant Gautam, because when they talked about the Skill development courses, they always stressed on practical aspect so that the action could be visible.

Referring to Sub-Item R-5, Dr. Jagwant Singh pointed out that the M.Voc. course was approved by the UGC for 2020-21, and the Regulations, Rules and Template for M.Voc. courses also needed to be approved w.e.f. the academic session 2020-21. He would like to bring it to the kind notice of the Dean, College Development Council that the Inspection Committee inspected the College for which the College had applied for affiliation. The College has to start the Course from the session from which the University grants affiliation. If the College wanted to start the course from the next year, it had to seek affiliation again. However, if the mistake is on the part of the University, they did not penalise the College. He pointed out that certain Colleges were inspected two years ago, but they had been granted affiliation from the current session (2021-22). He suggested that the Colleges should be granted affiliation from the session they had applied, so that in future, there is no litigation.

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

Referring to Sub Item R-3, Dr. Dayal Pratap Singh Randhawa said that earlier they had proposed to start L.L.M. Course in USOL for the practising lawyers and the persons who wish to enhance their academic qualifications, but in the absence of this course at USOL, Panjab University, the persons are taking admissions in the other private Universities of the region including Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra. In this regard, his humble submission is that they should prescribe minimum qualification that the candidate must have obtained LL.B. degree rather than any percentage for admission to LL.M at USOL, which has been laid down by the U.G.C.

Referring to Sub Item R-1, Professor Sonal Chawla said that she would like to draw the attention of the Chair to R-1 referring therein the recommendations of the Committee pertaining to the introduction of the Supernumerary seat in exceptional circumstances and thereby it had been recommended the rectification in the Handbook pertaining to Ph.D. at Page No.7 under clause 6.5.1 and clause 6.5.2. At point No. 2 (b) in which it has been mentioned that SC/ST/JRF/SRF/Project fellow under a national/international research project with financial support for at least 2 years and fulfilling the Ph.D. enrolment criteria, it had been interpreted differently by various departments. When the amendments are proposed in the Handbook for Ph.D. guidelines, then it should be made clear whether it is mandatory for SC/ST candidates that they should also be under the international research project with the financial grant of at least 2 years or it is only for the Project fellow, as some departments include the SRF/JRF/SC/ST candidates in this very category. In some departments only Project fellows are considered under this category. Therefore, the majority of queries are being raised to this effect in their departments. She requested that when the amendments are being proposed in the Handbook of Ph.D. guidelines, this clarification should also be included in the amendments to be done in Handbook of Ph.D. guidelines

Referring to Sub Item R-5, Dr. K.K. Sharma said that the Regulations, Rules and Template for M.Voc courses should be approved from the academic session 2020-21. After getting the NOC from the University, S.D. College had applied for five courses to the U.G.C. and the grant of Rs.5 crores was received from Deen Dayal Upadhyay Kaushal indicating that the financial involvement is there in it. The same was also passed by the Affiliation and Inspection Committee of the Panjab University. He stated that it was already approved from academic session 2020-21 in accordance with the regulations of the U.G.C. and NOC was issued to this effect by the University. Therefore, the academic session should be corrected as 2020-21 instead of 2022-23.

The Vice-Chancellor assured that the correction would be made to this effect in the resolved part of Sub-Item R-5.

Referring to Sub Item R-3, Dr. Devinder Singh stated that he would like to clarify on the issue regarding eligibility of LL.M course which had been raised by some of the members. In the meeting of the Vice-Chancellors of all the Universities at Delhi, the issue regarding LL.M. course under Bar Council was discussed, which was attended by Dr. Dinesh Kumar on behalf of the Panjab University. It was decided in the said meeting to keep a hold on the LL.M course in correspondence mode which was being run in some of the Universities. Therefore, he requested that the LL.M course should only be started when the approval of the Bar Council of India is received.

Dr. Dinesh Kumar said that LL.M. is the research degree. The LL.M. degree, which was being run in the Kurukshetra University, had been closed from the last two years. LL.M. is considered equivalent to M.Phil., which is a research degree and a notification had been received from the U.G.C. stating that research degree could not be offered from correspondence/distance mode.

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

Professor Yojna Rawat stated that on the website of Distance Education Bureau, it has clear-cut instructions that LL.M cannot be done through distance mode.

The Vice-Chancellor said that it would be taken care of.

Dr. Dinesh Kumar said that the earlier decision in this regard also needed to be undone because a structure was prepared and certain persons are still working as Co-ordinators.

RESOLVED: That the information contained in **Item R-1 to R-6 on the agenda**, be ratified with the modification that the Regulations, Rules and Template for M.Voc. courses, as per **Appendix**, be approved w.e.f. the academic session 2020-21.

VII. The information contained in **Items I-1 to I-27** on the agenda was read out and noted, i.e. –

I-1. As per authorization given by the Senate in its meeting dated 08.01.2022, the Vice-Chancellor has appointed following persons as Deans/DSW w.e.f. the dates/period mentioned against each:

Sr. No.	Name of the faculty members	Designation	Term of appointment
1.	Professor S.K. Tomar, Dept. of Mathematics	Dean of University Instruction	w.e.f. 01.02.2022 for a period of one year
NOTE: Professor S.K. Tomar has been appointed as Vice-Chancellor at Faridabad w.e.f. 22.02.2022			
2.	Professor Renu Vig, UIET	Dean Research	w.e.f. 01.02.2022 for a period of one year
NOTE: Professor Renu Vig has been appointed as Dean of University Instruction w.e.f. 22.02.2022			
3.	Professor Jagtar Singh, Dept. of Biotechnology	Dean Student Welfare (M)	w.e.f. 01.02.2022 till further orders

NOTE: 1. A copy of office orders dated 31.01.2022 was enclosed (**Appendix-IV**).

2. The above had been approved by the Vice-Chancellor in exercise of the powers of the Syndicate, in terms of authorization given by the Senate in its meeting dated 13.02.2022 (Para II).

I-2. (i) In pursuance of orders dated 23.12.2021 passed by the Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court in CM No. 2730 in LPA No.1138 of 2021 tagged with LPA No.1505 of 2016, wherein the following petitioner has been allowed to continue in service, in view of the similarly situated cases:-

Name of the faculty member	Department	Date of superannuation (i.e. 60 years)	w.e.f. the date she will continue in service as per interim orders
Dr. C. Nirmala, Professor	Botany	31.12.2021	01.01.2022

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

In this regard, the Vice-Chancellor has ordered that the above faculty member be considered to continue in service w.e.f. 01.01.2022, as applicable in such other cases of teachers which is subject matter of CWP No. 1505 of 2016 & other similar cases. The salary to him be payable to petitioner which she was drawing on date of attaining the age of 60 years without break in the service, excluding HRA (HRA not to be paid to any one), as an interim measure subject to the final outcome of the case filed by him. The payment to him will be adjustable against the final dues to him for which he should submit the undertaking as per Performa.

- NOTE:**
1. As per interim orders, the petitioner shall be allowed to retain the campus accommodation for two months after the date of superannuation, which is 31.12.2021, subject to outcome on the next date of hearing.
 2. The above had been approved by the Vice-Chancellor in exercise of the powers of the Syndicate, in terms of authorization given by the Senate in its meeting dated 13.02.2022 (Para II).

(ii) In pursuance of orders dated 07.04.2021 passed by the Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court in CWP No. 7775 of 2021 (Dr. Damodar Panda & another Vs Panjab University & others) tagged with LPA No.1505 of 2016, wherein the following petitioner has been allowed to continue in service in view of the similar placed cases:-

Name of the faculty member	Department	Date of Superannuation i.e. 60 years	w.e.f the date he will continue in service as per interim orders
Dr. Damodar Panda	Chinese	31.04.2021	01.05.2021

The aforesaid case (i.e. CWP No. 7775 of 2021) and LPA No. 1505 of 2016 (Dr. Amrik Singh Ahluwalia & anr. Vs Panjab University & others) and the entire connected bunch of matter relating to the enhancement of age of retirement (from 60 to 65 years) is fixed for hearing on 17.03.2022.

In this regard, the Vice-Chancellor has ordered that the above faculty member be considered to continue in service w.e.f. 01.05.2021 as applicable in such other cases of teachers which is subject matter of LPA No.1505 of 2016, CWP No.7775 of 2021 & other similar cases. The salary to the above faculty member be payable which he was drawing on date of attaining the age of 60 years without break in the services, excluding HRA (HRA not to be paid to any one), as an interim measure, subject to final outcome of LPA No. 1505 of 2016 and other connected cases of the bunch matter. The payment to him will be adjustable against the final dues to him for which he should submit the undertaking as per enclosed Performa.

- NOTE:**
1. The teacher(s) residing in the University Campus (who have got stay to retain residential accommodation) shall be allowed to retain the residential accommodation (s) allotted to them by the University on the same terms and conditions, subject to adjustment as per orders of the Hon'ble High Court on the next date of hearing.

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

2. The above had been approved by the Vice-Chancellor in exercise of the powers of the Syndicate, in terms of authorization given by the Senate in its meeting dated 13.02.2022 (Para II).

I-3. The Vice-Chancellor has re-appointed afresh the following teaching faculty at Dr. Harvansh Singh Judge Institute of Dental Sciences & Hospital as under:

- (i) purely on temporary basis w.e.f. 19.05.2020 for 11 months i.e. up to 18.04.2021 with break on 18.05.2020 (Break Day) or till the posts are filled up through regular selection, whichever is earlier, under Regulation 5 at page 111, of P.U. Calendar, Vol.-I, 2007, on the same terms and conditions on which they were working earlier:

Sr. No.	Name	Designation
1.	Dr. Monika Nagpal	Assistant Professor
2.	Dr. Amrita Rawla	Assistant Professor
3.	Dr. Rajeev Rattan	Assistant Professor
4.	Dr. Prabhjot Kaur	Assistant Professor
5.	Dr. Manjot Kaur	Assistant Professor
6.	Dr. Amandeep Kaur	Assistant Professor
7.	Dr. Vandana Gupta	Assistant Professor
8.	Dr. Rajni Jain	Assistant Professor
9.	Dr. M.K.Chhabra	Associate Professor

- (ii) purely on temporary basis w.e.f. 19.06.2020 for 11 months i.e. up to 18.05.2021 with break on 18.06.2020 (Break Day) or till the posts are filled up through regular selection, whichever is earlier, under Regulation 5 at page 111, of P.U. Calendar, Vol.-I, 2007, on the same terms & conditions on which they were working earlier:

Sr. No.	Name	Designation
1.	Dr. Prabhleen Brar	Sr. Assistant Professor
2.	Dr. Rosy Arora	Sr. Assistant Professor
3.	Dr. Vivek Kapoor	Sr. Assistant Professor
4.	Dr. Ruchi Singla	Sr. Assistant Professor

NOTE: The above had been approved by the Vice-Chancellor in exercise of the powers of the Syndicate, in terms of authorization given by the Senate in its meeting dated 13.02.2022 (Para II).

I-4. The Vice-Chancellor has re-appointed (afresh) the following Assistant Professors (purely on temporary basis), in the Departments of Physics & Chemistry at University Institute of Engineering and Technology, P.U. w.e.f. 18.11.2020 in the pay-scale of Rs.15600-39100/- + AGP Rs.6000/- plus other allowances as admissible for the academic session 2020-21, as per University Rules, under Regulation 5 at pages 111-112, P.U. Calendar,

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

Volume-I, 2007, on the same term and conditions, according to which they have worked previously during the session 2019-20:

Sr. No.	Name of person
1.	Dr. (Ms.) Jyoti Sood
2.	Dr. (Ms.) Geetu
3.	Dr. Mamta Sharma
4.	Dr. Renuka Rai

NOTE: The above has been approved by the Vice-Chancellor in exercise of the powers of the Syndicate, in terms of authorization given by the Senate in its meeting dated 13.02.2022 (Para II).

I-5. The Vice-Chancellor has re-appointed (afresh) the following Assistant Professors (purely on temporary basis), University Institute of Engineering and Technology, P.U., w.e.f. the date they start/started work for the Academic session 2021-22 till the posts are filled in, on regular basis, whichever is earlier, in the pay-scale of Rs.15600-39100/- + AGP Rs.6000/- plus other allowances as admissible, as per University Rules, under Regulation 5 at page 111-112, P.U. Calenda, Volume-I, 2007, on the same term and conditions, according to which they have worked previously during the session 2020-21:

Sr. No.	Name of person	Branch
1.	Dr. Ranjana Bhatia	Biotech.
2.	Dr. Parminder Kaur	Biotech.
3.	Dr. Minakshi Garg	Biotech.
4.	Dr. Anu Priya Minhas	Biotech.
5.	Mr. Sukhvir Singh	IT
6.	Ms. Rajni Sobti	IT
7.	Mr. Rajneesh Singla	IT
8.	Mr. Kuldeep Singh Bedi	EEE
9.	Mr. Saravjit Singh	ECE
10.	Ms. Pardeep Kaur	ECE
11.	Ms. Garima Joshi	ECE
12.	Ms. Daljit Kaur	ECE
13.	Mr. Jitender Singh	ECE
14.	Mr. Sanjiv Kumar	ECE
15.	Ms. Harvinder Kaur	ECE
16.	Mr. Vijay Kumar	ECE (Micro Electronics)
17.	Ms. Gurpreet Kaur	ECE
18.	Ms. Renuka Rai	Applied Science
19.	Dr. Jyoti Sharma	Applied Science
20.	Ms. Prabhjot Kaur	Applied Science
21.	Dr. Jyoti Sood	Applied Science
22.	Ms. Geetu	Applied Science
23.	Ms. Mamta Sharma	Applied Science
24.	Mr. Hitesh Kapoor	Applied Management
25.	Ms. Anu Jhamb	Applied Management
26.	Mr. Amit Thakur	Mech.

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

NOTE: The above had been approved by the Vice-Chancellor in exercise of the powers of the Syndicate, in terms of authorization given by the Senate in its meeting dated 13.02.2022 (Para II).

I-6. The Vice-Chancellor has re-appointed afresh Dr. Harsimran Kaur Boparai as Assistant Professor at Dr. Harvansh Singh Judge Institute of Dental Sciences & Hospital, purely on temporary basis w.e.f. 07.02.2022 (06.02.2022 being Sunday) for 11 months i.e. up to 06.01.2023 with one day break on 05.02.2022 or till the post is filled in, through regular selection, whichever is earlier, under Regulation 5 at page 111 of P.U. Calendar, Volume-I, 2007, on the same terms and conditions on which she was working earlier.

NOTE: 1. Dr. Harsimran Kaur Boparai was re-appointed as Assistant Professor at Dr. Harvansh Singh Judge Institute of Dental Sciences & Hospital, purely on temporary basis w.e.f. 05.03.2021 for 11 months i.e. up to 04.02.2022 by the Vice-Chancellor in anticipation of the approval of the Syndicate/ Senate vide Endst. No.890-91/Estt.I (**Appendix-V**).

2. An office note was enclosed (**Appendix-V**).

3. The above had been approved by the Vice-Chancellor in exercise of the powers of the Syndicate, in terms of authorization given by the Senate in its meeting dated 13.02.2022 (Para II).

I-7. The Vice-Chancellor has re-appointed afresh Dr. Khushwinder Kaur, Assistant Professor, Department of Chemistry, purely on temporary basis for another one year w.e.f. 09.03.2021 with break on 08.03.2021 (Break day) or till the posts are filled in, on regular basis, through proper selection, whichever is earlier, under Regulation 5 at page 111, P.U. Calendar, Volume-I, 2007, on the same terms and conditions on which she was working earlier.

NOTE: 1. Dr. Khushwinder Kaur was re-appointed afresh as Assistant Professor, Department of Chemistry (purely on temporary basis) for one year w.e.f. 07.03.2020 by the Syndicate at its meeting dated 08.03.2020 (Para 12) (**Appendix-VI**).

2. An office note was enclosed (**Appendix-VI**).

3. The above has been approved by the Vice-Chancellor in exercise of the powers of the Syndicate, in terms of authorization given by the Senate in its meeting dated 13.02.2022 (Para II).

I-8. The Vice-Chancellor has re-appointed afresh Dr. Richa Rastogi Thakur, as Assistant Professor (purely on temporary basis) in Centre for Nanoscience & Nanotechnology w.e.f. the date she start/started work for the session 2021-22 or till the posts are filled on regular basis, whichever is

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

earlier, in the pay scale of Rs.15600-39100+AGP of Rs.6000/- plus other allowances, as admissible as per University Rules, on the same terms and conditions according to which she has worked previously during the session 2020-2021, under Regulation 5 at page 111 of P.U. Calendar, Vol.-I, 2007.

NOTE: The above had been approved by the Vice-Chancellor in exercise of the powers of the Syndicate, in terms of authorization given by the Senate in its meeting dated 13.02.2022 (Para II).

I-9. The Vice-Chancellor, on the recommendation of the Student Aid Fund Administrative Committee dated 25.05.2021 (**Appendix-VII**), has approved the Financial Assistance out of Student Aid Fund, to the eligible students of Teaching Department and USOL, for the session 2020-2021.

NOTE: The above had been approved by the Vice-Chancellor in exercise of the powers of the Syndicate, in terms of authorization given by the Senate in its meeting dated 13.02.2022 (Para II).

I-10. The Vice-Chancellor has re-appointed the following Lab Instructors on temporary basis at University Institute of Engineering and Technology in the minimum pay-scale of Rs.10300-34800+GP Rs.5000/- plus allowances as admissible under the University rules w.e.f. 01.06.2021 to 30.05.2022 after giving one day break on 31.05.2021 (being Sunday on 30.05.2021) or till the vacancies are filled in or regular basis, whichever is earlier:

Sr. No.	Name	Post against which salary to be charged
1.	Mr. Nand Kishore, (I.T.)	Technical Officer
2.	Mr. Sandeep Trehan, (M.E.)	Technical Officer
3.	Ms. Seema, (Biotechnology)	Workshop Instructor
4.	Mr. Lokesh, (C.S.E.)	Senior Workshop Superintendent
5.	Ms Sunaina Gulati, (C.S.E.)	Deputy Librarian

NOTE: 1. The salary to them be allowed to be charged/paid against the vacant posts of Technical officers/Workshop Instructor/Senior Workshop Superintendent/Deputy Librarian as mentioned each in the University Institute of Engineering & Technology, as before.

2. The above had been approved by the Vice-Chancellor in exercise of the powers of the Syndicate, in terms of authorization given by the Senate in its meeting dated 13.02.2022 (Para II).

I-11. To note recommendation of the committee dated 19.06.2020 constituted by the Vice-Chancellor (in reference to Para-I, General Discussion of the Syndicate meeting dated 18.01.2020 that those students of 2nd and /or 4th semester of 3 year law, who are ineligible to take admission in 3rd /5th semester, as the case may be, for not having passed the minimum required papers of the preceding semester, and have applied for re-evaluation, be allowed to be admitted to 3rd/5th semester provisionally

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

at his/her own risk and responsibility by depositing the requisite fee and be allowed to attend the classes.

NOTE: His/her seat be not declared vacant till the declaration of his/her re-evaluation result by the University. The student will give an undertaking to the effect that in case he/she couldn't qualify the condition then his/her claim to the seat in that semester shall be forfeited.

I-12. To note proposed guidelines (**Appendix-VIII**) for admission to the reserved category of Sports, for admission in UBS and all the other teaching departments of P.U. and Regional Centres, for the session 2022-2023, be approved.

I-13. In the absence of constitution of various academic bodies the Vice-Chancellor on the recommendations of a Committee comprising of former three Vice-Chancellors viz. Prof. K.N. Pathak, Prof. R.C. Sobti and Prof. Arun K. Grover, has been approving the following cases/matters as recommended by the Joint Academic and Administrative Committees (JAAC) of the respective departments, which also included 2-3 teachers of the colleges wherever the matter pertains to the courses being run by the Colleges, on behalf of BOC/BOS/Faculties/ Deans/RDC/Academic Council, etc., as the case may be (Reference circular No. 4428-4577/GM dated 28.06.2021):

1. Outlines of test Syllabi and courses of reading
2. Change of eligibility conditions/admission criteria
3. Appointment of paper setters/panel of examiners
4. Increase/decrease in number of seats
5. Amendment in Academic Rules and Regulations
6. Framing of new Academic Regulations
7. Introduction of new courses
8. Extension of time limit for submission of Ph.D. thesis
9. Condonation cases of the Ph.D. students
10. Approval/change in the title of Synopses and of the name of Supervisor
11. Appointment of Co-supervisor
12. Change of title of Ph.D. thesis.

I-14. The Vice-Chancellor has granted temporary extension of affiliation to Govt. Medical College & Hospital, Sector 32-B, Chandigarh, for the new course – DM Neonatology (03 seats) for the sessions 2019-2020 & 2020-2021.

- NOTE:**
1. A copy of Endst. No. Misc./A-5/7381-7392 dated 27.12.2021 was enclosed (**Appendix-IX**).
 2. An office note was enclosed (**Appendix-IX**).
 3. The above had been approved by the Vice-Chancellor in exercise of the powers of the Syndicate, in terms of authorization given by the Senate in its meeting dated 13.02.2022 (Para II).

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

- I-15.** The Vice-Chancellor granted temporary extension of affiliation to Homoeopathic Medical College & Hospital, M-671, Sector-26, Chandigarh, for B.H.M.S. Course (50 Seats), for the session 2021-22, subject to submission of approval of National Commission for Homeopathy, New Delhi, on the basis of compliance submitted by the officiating Principal of the College vide letter No.HMC/1359 dated 11.12.2021.

- NOTE:**
1. A copy of Endst. No. Misc/A-5/188-199 dated 31.01.2022 was enclosed (**Appendix-X**).
 2. The above had been approved by the Vice-Chancellor in exercise of the powers of the Syndicate, in terms of authorization given by the Senate in its meeting dated 13.02.2022 (Para II).

- I-16.** The Vice-Chancellor has allowed the conversion of Guru Gobind Singh College of Education (For Women), Gidderbaha to Guru Gobind Singh College of Education (Co-Education), Gidderbaha, Sri Muktsar Sahib from the Academic Session 2021-22 instead of 2022-23.

- NOTE:** The above had been approved by the Vice-Chancellor in exercise of the powers of the Syndicate, in terms of authorization given by the Senate in its meeting dated 13.02.2022 (Para II).

- I-17.** The Vice-Chancellor has allowed to discontinue the courses/subjects i.e. BBA.II & III from the session 2020-21 and M.Sc. (IT)-I & II from the session 2021-22 at Sant Baba Bhag Singh Memorial Girls College, Moga in a phased manner, as per Regulations 13.2, 13.3, 13.4 & 13.5 P.U. Calendar, Volume-I (page no. 161).

- NOTE:** The above had been approved by the Vice-Chancellor in exercise of the powers of the Syndicate, in terms of authorization given by the Senate in its meeting dated 13.02.2022 (Para II).

- I-18.** The Vice-Chancellor has granted temporary extension of affiliation to the Govt. College of Yoga Education & Health, Sector-23-A, Chandigarh, for the session 2021-22 for the following courses and also condone the late fee of Rs.25000/- :

- (i) B.Ed. Yoga (1st & 2nd year)-20 seats
- (ii) Post Graduate Diploma in Yoga Therapy-25 Seats
- (iii) Basic Certificate Course in Yoga Education-(20+2) seats (2 Foreign Nationals)

- NOTE:**
1. Letters dated 03.11.2020 and 16.07.2021 from the College were attached (**Appendix-XI**).
 2. The above had been approved by the Vice-Chancellor in exercise of the powers of the Syndicate, in terms of authorization given by the Senate in its meeting dated 13.02.2022 (Para II).

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

- I-19.** The Vice-Chancellor has granted temporary extension of affiliation to National Institute of Technical Teacher Training & Research (NITTTR), Sector-26, Chandigarh, for the new courses/subjects- (i) M.E. in Computer Science and Engg. with specialization in Internet of things (IOT) - 18 Seats (ii) M.E. in Electronics & Communication Engg. with specialization in Artificial Intelligence (AI)- 18 Seats and (iii) M.E. in Mechanical Engg. with specialization in Robotics- 18 Seats for the session 2021-22.

- NOTE:**
1. A copy of Endst. No. Misc./A-5/41-53 dated 10.01.2022 was enclosed (**Appendix-XII**).
 2. An office note was enclosed (**Appendix-XII**).
 3. The above had been approved by the Vice-Chancellor in exercise of the powers of the Syndicate, in terms of authorization given by the Senate in its meeting dated 13.02.2022 (Para II).

- I-20.** The competent authority has granted extension of affiliation to the following colleges for the certain courses as mentioned against each:-

Sr. No.	Name of the College	Name of the Courses/subjects
1.	Baba Kundan Rural College of Education, Jamalpur, Ludhiana	temporary extension of affiliation for B.Ed. 1 st & 2 nd year 50 seats for the session 2021-22
2.	Govt. Medical College & Hospital, sector-32, Chandigarh.	permanent affiliation for the following courses:- (i) M.D. Anaesthesiology – 20 seats (ii) M.D Anatomy – 03 seats (iii) M.D Community medicine – 01 seat (iv) M.D Dermatology – 08 seats (v) M.D Forensic Medicine – 05 seats (vi) M.D General Medicine – 12 seats (vii) M.S General Surgery – 12 seats (viii) M.D Microbiology – 04 seats (ix) M.S Obstt. & Gynae – 11 seats (x) M.S Ophthalmology – 05 seats (xi) M.S Orthopaedics – 09 seats (xii) M.S Oto-Rhino-Laryngology – 03 seats (xiii) M.D Pathology – 06 seats (xiv) M.D Pulmonary Medicine – 05 seats (xv) M.D Psychiatry – 08 seats (xvi) M.D Transfusion Medicine – 05 seats (xvii) M. Phil Clinical Psychology – 08 seats (xviii) M. Phil Psychiatric Social Work – 08 seats (xix) Diploma in Psychiatric Nursing – 08 seats
3.	Arya College, Rishi Dayanand marg, Civil Lines, Ludhiana	temporary extension of affiliation for the following courses, for the session 2021-22:- (i) PG Diploma in Marketing Management – 40 seats

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

		(ii) Add-On Courses in 1. Advertisements & Sales Management 2. Event Management 3. Journalism Management
4.	Jyoti B.Ed. College, Abohar Road, Fazilka	temporary extension of affiliation for B.Ed. Course (2 units- 100 seats) for the session 2021-22
5.	G.H.G Khalsa College Of Education, Gurusar Sadhar, Ludhiana	temporary extension of affiliation for PG Diploma in Guidance and Counseling – 40 seats for the session 2021-22
6.	D.A.V College of Education, College Road, Fazilka	temporary extension of affiliation for B.Ed. Course (2 units- 100 seats) for the session 2021-22
7.	Maharishi Dayanand College of Education, Hanumangarh Road, Abohar	temporary extension of affiliation for B.Ed. Course (2 units- 100 seats) for the session 2021-22
8.	Guru Nanak Khalsa College, Abohar	temporary extension of affiliation for B.A.-I-(Math) for the session 2021-22
9.	G.H.G Harparkash College Of Education for Women, Sidhwan Khurd, Ludhiana	temporary extension of affiliation for PG Diploma in Guidance and Counselling – 40 seats, for the session 2021-22
10.	Baba Kundan Singh Memorial Law College, Jalalabad (East), Dharmkot, Distt. Moga	temporary extension of affiliation for B.A. LLB (Hons.) – 5 years integrated Course– 60 seats & LLB – 3 years course – 60 seats, for the session 2021-22
11.	Chandigarh College of Architecture, Sector-12, Chandigarh	temporary extension of affiliation for M. Arch. 1 st & 2 nd year (20 seats each) for the session 2021-22
12.	Dev Samaj Colleges of Education, Sector- 36-B, Chandigarh	temporary extension of affiliation for MEd. Course 1 st & 2 nd year (50 seats each) for the session 2021-22
13.	Sri Guru Gobind Singh College, Sector-26, Chandigarh	temporary extension of affiliation for the following courses, for the session 2021-22:- (i) M.Sc. (Chemistry) – I & II – 40 seats each (ii) M.Sc (Physics) –I & II – 40 seats each (iii) M.A. (Sociology) –I & II – 40 seats each (iv) M.Sc (Microbial Biotechnology) –I & II – 40 seats each (v) B.A. I,II,III (Religious and Sikh Studies)-E (vi) B.B.A-I (one unit) (vii) Add-On Certificate Courses in 1. E-Commerce – 60 seats 2. E-Banking – 60 seats 3. Floriculture and Landscape- 40 seats 4. Environment Auditing - 40 seats
14.	DAV College, sector-10, Chandigarh	temporary extension of affiliation for the following courses, for the session 2021-22:- (i) B.A./B.Sc., B.Ed 4 year integrated course- 1 st , 2 nd , 3 rd & 4 th Year (ii) B.Voc in Medical Lab Technology- 1 st , 2 nd , 3 rd Year (iii) B.Voc in Food Science and Technology- 1 st , 2 nd , 3 rd Year

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> (iv) B.Voc in Hospital Administration and Management- 1st, 2nd, 3rd Year (v) P.G Diploma in Food Processing and Quality Control (vi) P.G Diploma in Tele-Sales and Medical Representative (vii) P.G Diploma in Hospital Management (viii) P.G Diploma in Cosmetology and Beauty Care (ix) Advance Diploma in Medical Lab technology 1st & 2nd Year (x) Diploma in Cosmetology and Beauty Care and new course/subject (xi) B.A. – I Music (Vocal)- 40 Seats
15.	Brahmrishi Yoga Training College, Sector-19 A, Chandigarh	temporary extension of affiliation for B.Ed Yoga 1 st & 2 nd year (20 seats each) for the session 2021-22
16.	Govt. College of Education, Sector-20, Chandigarh	temporary extension of affiliation for PG Diploma in Guidance and Counselling – 20 seats for the session 2021-22
17.	Bhutta College of Education, Rara Sahib Road, Ludhiana	temporary extension of affiliation for B.Ed. Course (2 units- 100 seats) for the session 2021-22
18.	Chandigarh College of Engineering & Technology, Sector-26, Chandigarh	temporary extension of affiliation for the following courses for the session 2021-22:- <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) B.E (Computer Science & Engineering) – 60 Seats (ii) B.E (Electronics & Communication Engineering)- 60 seats (iii) B.E (Civil Engineering) – 60 Seats (iv) B.E (Mechanical Engineering) – 60 Seats
19.	Govt. College of Art, Sector-10, Chandigarh	temporary extension of affiliation for the following courses for the session 2021-22:- <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) MFA 1st & 2nd Year – 40 seats each (ii) Advance Diploma in Fine Arts for Divyang – 04 seats
20.	Nankana Sahib College of Education Kot Gangu Rai, Shri Bhaini Sahib Road, Distt. Ludhiana	temporary extension of affiliation for B.Ed. Course (one unit- 50 seats) for the session 2021-22
21.	Khalsa College For Women Sidhwan Khurd, Ludhiana	temporary extension of affiliation for the following courses for the session 2021-22:- <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) B.A. B.Ed – 4th Year (4 year integrated course) – 50 seats (ii) B.Sc. B.Ed – 4th Year (4 year integrated course) – 50 seats (iii) New course B.A.-I- Police Administration- 40 seats
22.	SDP College For Women, Daresi Road, Ludhiana	temporary extension of affiliation for B.Voc Course i.e Tax, Laws and Management – 2 nd year (50 seats) for the session 2021-22

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

23.	G.H.G Khalsa College Of Education, Gurusar Sadhar, Ludhiana	temporary extension of affiliation for the following courses, for the session 2021-22:- (i) B.A. B.Ed 4 year integrated course- 1 st , 2 nd , 3 rd & 4 th Year – 50 seats (ii) B.P.Ed 1 st & 2 nd Year (iii) M.P.Ed 1 st & 2 nd year (iv) B.Voc in Medical Lab Technology- 1 st , 2 nd , 3 rd Year (v) B.Voc in Food Processing and Quality Management - 1 st , 2 nd , 3 rd Year
24.	Govt. Medical College & Hospital, sector-32, Chandigarh.	temporary extension of affiliation for the following courses, for the session 2021-22:- (i) M.D. Biochemistry – 06 seats (ii) M.D. Pediatrics – 06 seats (iii) M.D. Radio Diagnosis – 10 seats (iv) M.D. Radio Therapy – 04 seats (v) DM Neonatology – 03 seats (vi) B.Sc Nursing Course – 60 seats (vii) New Course DM Pulmonary Medicine – 02 seats
25.	Goswami Ganesh Dutta S.D College, Sector-32, Chandigarh	temporary extension of affiliation for the following courses, for the session 2021-22:- (i) B.Voc course in Media and Entertainment - 1 st Year (ii) B.Voc in Medical Lab Technology- 1 st Year
26.	New proposed College namely:- G.S Foundation College of Law, VPO. Birni, Distt. Ludhiana	temporary extension of affiliation for the following courses, for session 2022-23:- (i) B.A. LLB – (5 years Course)- 1 st Year – 60 seats (ii) B.Com. LLB – (5 years Course)- 1 st Year – 60 seats (iii) LLB – (3 years Course)- 1 st Year – 60 seats subject to submission of compliance to the conditions/deficiencies as pointed out by the inspection committee latest by 31.05.2022

NOTE: The following affiliation Committee, constituted by the Hon'ble Vice-Chancellor, in exercise of the power delegated by the Senate dated 13.02.2022, to resolve the issues of affiliation of colleges for the session 2021-22:-

1. Prof. Devinder Singh, Fellow..... Chairman
2. Prof. Jagat Bhushan
Fellow and Controller of Examination
3. Principal S.S. Sangha, Fellow
4. Dr. Mukesh Arora, Fellow
5. Sh. Davesh Moudgil, Fellow
6. Dr. Arvinder Singh Bhalla, Fellow
7. Dr. Priyatosh Sharma, Fellow
8. Dr. B.C. Josan, Fellow

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

9. Dr. Inderpal Singh Sidhu, Fellow
10. Dr. Amit Joshi, Fellow
11. Dean College Development Council.....Convener

I-21. The Vice-Chancellor has allowed Financial Assistance out of the Student Aid Fund to the eligible students of Teaching departments & USOL for the Session 2021-2022.

NOTE: The above had been approved by the Vice-Chancellor in exercise of the powers of the Syndicate, in terms of authorization given by the Senate in its meeting dated 13.02.2022 (Para II).

I-22. The Vice-Chancellor has re-appointed afresh the following faculty at Dr. Harvansh Singh Judge Institute of Dental Sciences & Hospital, purely on temporary basis w.e.f. 21.04.2022 for 11 months i.e. up to 20.03.2023 with break on 20.04.2022 (Break Day) or till the posts are filled up, through regular selection, whichever is earlier, under Regulation 5 at page 111 of P.U. Calendar, Volume-I, 2007, on the same terms and conditions on which they were working earlier:

Sr. No.	Name	Designation
1.	Dr. Ruchi Singla	Sr. Assistant Professor
2.	Dr. Vivek Kapoor	Sr. Assistant Professor
3.	Dr. Rosy Arora	Sr. Assistant Professor

NOTE: The above had been approved by the Vice-Chancellor in exercise of the powers of the Syndicate, in terms of authorization given by the Senate in its meeting dated 13.02.2022 (Para II).

I-23. To note the donation of Rs.22,00,000/- made by Dr. Baldev Krishan Handa, Superintending Chemist (Retd.), C.G.W.B., Ministry of Water Resource, Govt. of India, New Delhi, be accepted for institution of an Endowment to be named as 'Hansraj Vidyawati Sudesh Rani Sanjay Handa Memorial Scholarship'. The investment of Rs.22,00,000/- be made in the shape of STDR in the State Bank of India, Sector-14, Chandigarh @ maximum prevailing rate of interest up to 25.05.2022 and the interest so accrued there on be credited in the Special Endowment Trust Fund (S.E.T.) A/c No. 10444978140. The interest of the said amount is to be disbursed as scholarship to the economically weak students studying in Panjab University.

NOTE: The above had been approved by the Vice-Chancellor in exercise of the powers of the Syndicate, in terms of authorization given by the Senate in its meeting dated 13.02.2022 (Para II).

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

- I-24.** In pursuance of orders dated 22.02.2022 passed by the Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court in LPA No. 116 of 2022 (titled 'Dr. Ameer Sultana and Anr Vs State of Punjab and others Vs Panjab University and others') tagged with LPA No. 1505 of 2016, the following faculty member has been allowed to continue in service in view of the similar placed cases as under:

Name of the faculty member	Department	Date of superannuation	w.e.f the date She will continue in service as per interim orders
Dr. Ameer Sultana	Dept.-cum-Centre for Women Studies & Development	28.02.2022	01.03.2022

In this regard, the Vice-Chancellor has ordered that the above faculty member be considered to continue in service w.e.f. the date mentioned against her, as admissible in such other cases of teachers which is subject matter of LPA No.116 of 2022, LPA No. 1505 of 2016 & other similar cases and salary be paid which he was drawing on date attaining the age of 60 years without break in the services, excluding HRA (HRA not to be paid to any one), as an interim measure subject to the final outcome of LPA No. 1505 of 2016 and other connected cases of the bunch matter. The payment to her will be adjustable against the final dues to her for which she should submit the undertaking as per enclosed Performa.

NOTE: 1. The teacher(s) residing in the University Campus (who have got stay to retain residential accommodation) shall be allowed to retain the residential accommodation (s) allotted them by the University on the same terms and conditions, subject to adjustment as per orders of the Hon'ble High Court on the next date of hearing

2. The above had been approved by the Vice-Chancellor in exercise of the powers of the Syndicate, in terms of authorization given by the Senate in its meeting dated 13.02.2022 (Para II)

- I-25.** The Vice-Chancellor has re-appointed the following persons as Assistant Professors (purely on temporary basis) in the Departments/Institutes/Centre and for period, as mentioned against each for another three years, under Regulation 5(b) at page 111, P.U. Cal. Vol.-I, 2007, on the same terms and conditions on which they have worked previously:-

Sr. No.	Name	Department	Period for which they have already worked	Period of Extension approved by the Competent Authority
1.	Dr. Ranjana Bhandari, Assistant Professor (purely on temp. basis)	UIPS	1 st term (25.03.2019 to 24.03.2022)	25.03.2022 to 24.03.2025 (i.e. three years as

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

				recommended by the JAAC of UIPS)
2.	Dr. Harsh Tuli, Assistant Professor (purely on temp. basis)	UIAMS	1 st term (05.07.2016 to 04.07.2019) 2 nd term (05.07.2019 to 04.07.2022)	05.07.2022 to 04.07.2025 (i.e. three years as recommended by JAAC of UIAMS)

- NOTE:**
1. The performance report should be submitted after completion of every year by the candidates through the HOD for annual evaluation of performance of the candidates by a Committee to be formed for this purpose.
 2. A copy of office orders No.1714-28/Estt.I dated 24.03.2022 was enclosed (**Appendix-XIII**).
 3. The above had been approved by the Vice-Chancellor in exercise of the powers of the Syndicate, in terms of authorization given by the Senate in its meeting dated 13.02.2022 (Para II)

I-26. The Vice-Chancellor has approved the following recommendations of the Committee dated 17.12.2021 (**Appendix-XIV**) regarding signature of the Chairperson and Supervisor in the Ph.D. thesis:-

1. the guidelines of Shodhganga Repository be followed as mandated by the University Grants Commission in this regard i.e. two documents (**Annexure-XIV**) the declaration by the Ph.D. students and (**Annexure-XIV**) Certificate signed by the Supervisor to be uploaded at the places (pages 2-3) in the thesis as per the template available at the Shodhganga.
2. University logo be printed on the top (centre) of title cover page of the Ph.D. thesis. In the case where the Institute/Departments have their own separate logo, the University logo be printed on the right side at the top of the title cover page and the Institute/Department logo on the left side (**Annexure-XV**).

NOTE: The above had been approved by the Vice-Chancellor in exercise of the powers of the Syndicate, in terms of authorization given by the Senate in its meeting dated 13.02.2022 (Para II).

I-27. To note that the name of National College for Women, Machhiwara, District Ludhiana, be changed as Govt. College for Women, Machhiwara, District Ludhiana, as requested by the Principal, Govt. College Women, vide letter dated 14.03.2022 (**Appendix-XV**).

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

NOTE: The above had been approved by the Vice-Chancellor in exercise of the powers of the Syndicate, in terms of authorization given by the Senate in its meeting dated 13.02.2022 (Para II).

Initiating discussion on information items, Dr. Jagwant Singh stated that he had also sent an e-mail to the Vice-Chancellor stating that the items, which are placed in the information, are required to be placed for consideration. As per Section 27 of Panjab University, Act, affiliation or disaffiliation is approved by the Senate, whereas the subject of affiliation or disaffiliation had been placed in the information items, which is termed as a fundamental mistake. If it is to be placed in the information items, then where is the approval of the Senate? Therefore, these items should be approved by placing in the consideration or ratification items.

Referring to Sub-Item I-13, Professor Mukesh Arora requested the Vice Chancellor to get the Boards of Studies and Boards of Control constituted at the earliest and it should be ensured that 2-3 teachers from the affiliated Colleges are included in them.

Referring to Sub Items I-3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11 and 12, Dr. Parveen Goyal said that all these items pertained to appointment for a period of one year on temporary basis, as per Regulations appearing at pages 111-112 of P.U. Calendar, Volume-I, 2007, but in **Sub-Item 25**, two candidates have been re-appointed as Assistant Professors (purely on temporary basis) in the Departments/Institutes/Centre for period of three years. On what basis, these two candidates had been re-appointed for a period of three years? It is true that as per above said Regulations, the Syndicate/Senate could make temporary appointment for a period exceeding one year, but why only these incumbents had been appointed for a period of three years. He clarified that in accordance with the Regulations mentioned at pages 111-112, the Vice-Chancellor could appoint any person on temporary basis for a period of one year, and with the permission of the Syndicate, a candidate could be appointed on temporary basis for a period more than one year.

Referring to Sub-Item I-11, Dr. Parveen Goyal said that it had been observed in the general discussion of the meeting of the Syndicate dated 18.01.2020 that those students of 2nd Semester/or 4th Semester of 3 year law, who are ineligible to take admission in 3rd/5th semester, for not having passed the minimum required papers of the preceding semester, and have applied for re-evaluation, be allowed to be admitted to 3rd/5th semester provisionally at his/her own risk and responsibility. In such cases the results are not declared and the student is promoted to the next semester whereas his previous semester is not cleared. There are two conditions in it; first if a student does not pass in the sufficient subjects then he would get re-appears. Second, if the student does not pass in sufficient subjects and in the re-evaluation result he pass in the subjects then he would be promoted to the next semester or otherwise. In such a situation, students have to face lot of problems in it which would also create hindrance in his academic career. This problem could only be resolved when the results of all the semesters would be declared in time. If the results of the previous semesters are declared then a candidate should be promoted to the next semester. This practice is also being followed in the neighbouring Universities. The results of the previous semesters should be declared in a time bound manner. There are two possibilities in it, firstly the practical examinations should be conducted first and later on theory examinations should be conducted. From the time period between the theory and practical examinations, the results of the candidate should be declared. He requested that attention should be paid to I-11 and I-25 and both the items should be made clear.

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

Dr. Parveen Goyal further said that in I-25, the wards of the teachers had been given appointment for a period of more than one year. In such cases, the line indicating therein that such cases are being considered on compassionate ground/humanitarian grounds should be mentioned.

Hon'ble Minister, Shri Som Prakash stated that as pointed out by other members, the items which have been placed in the information should be placed before the House for consideration as the power play with the Senate. He further said that these are the legislative powers which can only be used by the legislature, therefore, the items for consideration should not be placed for information, and these are to be considered by the Senate only which should be borne in mind.

It was clarified that the point raised by certain members that for the items pertaining to affiliation of Colleges, the competency for grant of affiliation/extension of affiliation to the Colleges lies with the Senate. He informed the members that on the basis of regulations of U.G.C., the Governing bodies had adopted certain rules which had been incorporated in the University Calendars. In accordance with that, now the powers are rested with the Syndicate. He further said that there are further certain issues but this had been practice, this was not the first time that they had put up these items as information items. The Regulations of the U.G.C. had been adopted; it is impliedly a delegation of power. There could be two procedures of amending the Regulations, one is via resolution and other is via delegation mode. Once those Regulations had been adopted and incorporated as Rules in P.U. Calendar, Volume-III which would clearly mean that powers stand delegated. He further said he had pointed to his office that correct procedure is that they should specifically mention that this is the delegation power but stanza is not mentioned there. But the powers that would be exercised by the Syndicate, that full chapter is available in P.U. Calendar. This is the position if they wanted it to be reviewed, it is for the House to decide.

Referring to Sub-item I-15, Shri Prabhjit Singh said that this item is related to grant of temporary extension of affiliation to the Homeopathic College for the session 2021-22. In the letter of the Principal attached with the agenda, it has been mentioned that the extension is subject to submission of approval of National Commission for Homeopathy, New Delhi, on the basis of compliance submitted by the officiating Principal. He asked which inspection team had visited the College, he had come to know from the news item published in the newspaper that the Inspection Committee had pointed out most glaring mistakes and office very cleverly submitted the same. The recommendations of the said Inspection team should be placed before the Senate or this should be intimated to them for the sake of information. He further pointed whether this College is exceptional College which would not follow the recommendations of the Inspection Committee. This should be looked into as it is placed before the House after one year after the completion of the process of the admission. He visited this College before eight years back and it had been observed that the deficiencies which had been pointed out at that time, is still prevailing in this College. There is no recruitment in the College, it should be got clarified from the DCDC Madam that the deficiencies pointed out by the University Inspection team had been fulfilled or not. Till that time, their extension in affiliation should be withheld. He observed that letter had already been sent, it is very serious that this item is placed for information. This type of action would also demoralize the members of the Inspection teams; therefore, this should be looked into.

Continuing this, Dr. Neeru Malik stated that this was also to be pointed by her which had been intimated by Shri Prabhjeet Singh. Sometimes, this College made the submission that the rules of Homeopathic Council of India are applicable to their College whereas when the Selection Committee used to visit their College, they were given the pro forma of U.G.C. to be filled. When a particular candidate whom the University wanted

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

to select would be given more than 50 points and for other candidates, who are not according to the wishes, would be given less than 50 points. This should be done either in a positive or negative way. Secondly, there is a major issue of salaries in the Homeopathic College and thirdly the case of Dr. Puri who had sent his case of re-employment, but the University had rejected the same thrice. Therefore, these three issues should be strongly taken up of by the Inspection Committee for this year. She further said that a request was also made to the University those previous compliances of the Inspection teams who would visit the Colleges in the current session, should be taken into account. The relevant papers related to the complaints of this College should also be made available to the Inspection teams. The main issue is pertaining to the salaries of the teachers, a teacher who is attending his/her duties daily, he/she is being harassed badly at the time of the interview, by informing them that the his/her interview is scheduled for next day. She further pointed that some minor correction is there in the case of Professor C. Nirmala whose case is pending with the Court, the office should make a note of it that "the salary to him be payable to the petitioner which she was drawing", the word "him" should be replaced with "her" as Professor C. Nirmala is female. She further stated that the College of Arts had made request several times that their separate Board of Studies should be constituted as their course content is totally different and it would be difficult for them to understand, therefore, their Board of Studies should be constituted separately. Further she requested that e-access of the Library should be given to the teachers of the Colleges.

Referring to Sub-Item 1-20, Dr. Gurmeet Singh said that at S.No.23, the name of the College G.H.G. Khalsa College of Education, Gurusarsidhar, should be corrected; the correct name is G.H.G. Khalsa College. It is not the Education College because the courses mentioned over there are B.A. B.Ed., DP.Ed. B.E. (Vocational) is for the Degree College. He would like to mention about one College which is included in the list at serial number one, he did not want to name the said College. He along with other Fellows visited the Inspection of the said College; he observed that at present there is only one permanent teacher and he is not getting salary whereas that College had been approved and included in the list. This should be got checked as to why it had happened?

Referring to Sub-Item I-13, Dr. Shaminder Singh said that there is one recommendation in it that the name of the College teachers be included in the JAAC so that the day-to-day working would be functioned smoothly. He further said that usually College teachers were not being invited to the meetings. It should be ensured College teachers may be invited in every meeting of JAAC.

Referring to Sub-Item I-20, Dr. Shaminder Singh said that he would like to speak about the affiliation of the Colleges. This is very serious issue that Colleges are being granted temporary or permanent affiliation. The Inspection teams used to visit the Colleges but every point should be taken into account whether teachers are being paid salaries, their deduction of provident fund is made or their promotions are being done or not. It should also be ensured that the teachers are getting the annual increments or not. There are majority of Colleges where such financial benefits are not being granted. The Inspection Committee used to pay visits in the Colleges and they allowed the Colleges and later the Affiliation Committee allows the Colleges. In spite of that major Colleges are being run unfortunately where teachers are being paid the salary of Rs.21600/- from the last ten years.. There is no provision of Rs.21600/- anywhere, teachers were not given promotion from the last 15 years. The teacher is posted as Assistant Professor from the last 15 years without being placed in the Selection grade or in the grade of Associate Professor. He requested the Vice-Chancellor that a special *pro forma* should be devised in which all the data related to teachers should be taken regarding appointment, payment of salary and date of promotion, grant of increments, deduction of P.F. so that College teachers may not suffer. This should be looked into.

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

Referring to Sub-Item I-13, Professor Ravi Inder Singh said that this item is related to the work of Board of Studies and Board of Control which is taken care by the JAAC. Till date this system is found to be effective but in some departments like UBS and UIAMS in Ludhiana. The Research Boards of the Management Institutes such as University Business School, Chandigarh, UBS, P.U. Regional Centre, Ludhiana, and University Institute of Applied Management Sciences, are common and it comprised of Ex-officio Professors. He suggested that since at the moment, the matter is being looked after by JAAC, therefore, all the members of the Research Board should look after this.

The Vice-Chancellor said that the matter would be taken care of.

Referring to Sub-Items I-7 & I-8, Dr. Dinesh Kumar stated that under Regulation 5 appearing at Page 111, it is mentioned that the appointments can be made purely on temporary basis. It should be thoroughly examined, at Sub-Item I-3, the teachers of the Dental College are given appointment for a period of 11 months, whereas in I-4 and I-5, the appointment of teachers are made on temporary basis for one academic session. In Sub-Item I-6, the teachers are appointed for a period of one year in the Dental Institute whereas in Department of Chemistry, the teachers are appointed for a period of one year. In I-8, the teachers in the Department of Nano-technology are appointed till posts are filled on regular basis. In Sub-Item I-25, the appointment of teachers is made for a period of three years. He requested that in every item, same regulation is quoted, therefore, all the teachers should be given extension for a period of three years as there is provision in Regulation 5(b) that Senate could sanction the extension to the post of teachers for a period of more than one year. Therefore, under I-25, the teachers are given the extension for a period of three years, while in the item quoted above; the teachers were deprived of it. He further said that in the UIET, the Lab technicians are granted one year extension for a period of one year which would be extendable for further one year after the expiry of extension. He stated that all the teachers are posted in the Departments from the last 10 years and they should be given extension for a period of three years instead of one year or one academic session.

Referring to Sub-Item I-11, Dr. Dinesh Kumar further stated that in the Department of Laws, there is condition in LL.B. that a candidate have to pass in five papers in the first two semesters, then he would be promoted to the 3rd semester and in the first four semesters, he have to pass in 10 papers for being eligible for promotion of 5th semester. In this regard, a Committee was constituted and on it comments from the department was not sought. The Committee made a recommendation that an undertaking be obtained from the students that they would pay the fees in the 3rd semester and 5th semester at their own risk and responsibility till the declaration of the result of the re-evaluation. Ultimately, what would happen is that till the declaration of the result of the re-evaluation, all the classes of 3rd semester were over and would be near the filling up of the examination forms. Firstly, this would increase the work of the office and secondly when the University would stop the student to appear, the student would move to the High-Court for filing the writ petition with the plea that he had remitted the full fees, attend the classes regularly and now the University is not allowing for appearing in the examinations. This would be a reason for increase in the litigation cases and no seat for migration would remain vacant in the University. Therefore, the I-11 should be referred back to the Department for seeking comments of the Chairperson of the Department of Laws and JAAC and thereafter the same should be approved.

Referring to Sub-Item I-20, Principal R.S. Jhanji said that it is related to the affiliation. It is not the current problem, it has been prevailing from the several years. On it a full day discussion of the 91 members of the Senate was held in the past, but no solution was found. The solution could not be found owing to the reason that every year new Committee is formed to visit for inspection, without taking into consideration the

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

previous compliances of the previous Committees. A manual is required to be made available for the knowledge of the members as well as the Convener. Moreover, orientation programme should be introduced as the new Committee would work on its own parameters without taking into account the parameters of the old Committees. Therefore, a manual should be devised either for the affiliation or for the selection procedure to handle the work in a smooth manner.

The Vice-Chancellor said that it is a very good suggestion which would be looked into.

Referring to Sub-Item I-11, Professor Devinder Singh said that he would like to add regarding the condition of the Law students of Department of Laws as well for UILS wherein the strength of 300 students is there. Out of 300 students, every third student have to face the problem related to the matter regarding giving of undertaking by them and later on the result of the re-evaluation is not declared and they had to move to Court. There is requirement of serious deliberation of the JAAC and it should be referred back to JAAC and later on after the deliberation, a thorough and concrete proposal would be submitted.

Referring to Sub-Item I-1, Professor Jatinder Grover said that his point of concern is regarding the nomenclature of Dean Student Welfare (Men). As per P.U. Calendar, Volume-I, there is no post of Dean Student Welfare (M). He requested that they should follow the P.U. Calendar and make rectification in the nomenclature as per the P.U. Calendar. Secondly, their predecessors had made a tradition that only those be appointed as D.S.W. who has experience of Warden in University, that tradition had some de-meanings as it lead to solve many students' related problems. He hoped that in future the University would follow this tradition.

Referring to Sub-Item 16 and 20, Professor Jatinder Grover said that no supporting document was provided as per the Calendar, Volume-I which is the Act that could not be changed by making the insertions in P.U. Calendar, Volume-III. As per P.U. Calendar, Volume-I appearing at Page 29, it is only the Senate which could decide on the recommendation of the Syndicate about the affiliation and disaffiliation of Colleges. Since the papers had not been provided, consideration of Sub-items I-16 and I-20 should be deferred.

Dr. Amit Joshi said that what he would have liked to share had already been explained by Professor Arun Kumar Grover with respect to Sub-item I-1. But as far as Sub-item I-20 is concerned, he said that there has already been a lot of discussion with regard to affiliation and inspection of Colleges. The issue is concerned with the up-liftment and implementation of the quality initiatives that are recommended by U.G.C. and are duly adopted by our University from time to time. There is a need to take this issue very seriously. Being a member of the Affiliation Committee, he wish to submit that there are multiple violation/s not only in terms of granting salary to teachers but also in granting affiliation to Colleges. He suggested that no member of Affiliation Committee should be appointed as member of Inspection Committee/s as no one should be allowed to be their own judge. Secondly, a data bank of all the Colleges should be prepared at the earliest and he requested the DCDC madam to expedite it. This is such a thing which cannot be achieved overnight. He requested that all the necessary support including technical expertise should be provided to the office of DCDC for gathering information which had to be collated and put on the University website. The Inspection Committees that her office recommends or appoint would never face any difficulty if they had prior information about the College that they will be inspecting. We all knew that there are several Colleges which never comply with the recommendations of the Inspection Committees that have visited their Colleges since last five or seven years. Still, they get temporary extension of affiliation

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

till the visit of the next Committee for the succeeding year due to the lack of specific guidelines. Also, there are many instances where the members recommended books in terms of amount for example purchase books worth Rs.20,000/- or Rs.30,000/-. According to him this has no meaning and it has been discussed several times in the Affiliation Committee meetings also that it should be ensured that the members should recommend the names or Titles of the books as per the syllabus rather than the amount to be spent for books. It should be resolved that this should not happen like this.

Dr. Neeru Malik said that it is with respect of the admission under Sport quota. In the University for becoming eligible for admission under Sports quota, a candidate is required to produce one certificate and one supporting certificate to the effect which is considered as one certificate for one year. During the time of pandemic COVID-19, no sports competitions were held and resultantly two competitions were conducted in one year in overlapping. The competition for the session 2020-21 and 2021-22 were held in one year and her concerns is that in this year these competitions should be taken as exceptional cases and count his two performances in one year in two different years. Secondly, it had also been observed that in some of Schools and Colleges, no particular team was there but the student was outstanding and he became the part of the selection trials without competing. In such circumstances where a student was outstanding and became the part of the team, therefore, his selection trials should be considered as participation in the competitions.

Referring to Sub-item I-1, Dr. Jayanti Dutta said that as stated by one of the Fellow members that the experience of being a Warden is required for becoming the Dean of Student Welfare, she did not agree to it because if it is the situation then he could not become the Vice-Chancellor, F.D.O. or Chairperson or at any post. According to her, anybody who is competent and is ready to work, active and a good learner, they could be appointed.

Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua asked whether Sub-item I-11 is withdrawn or not. If not, then he would speak on it.

To this, the Vice-Chancellor replied that this item is withdrawn and being referred back therefore, no discussion is required on it.

Referring to Sub-item I-14, Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua said that the papers attached with the item depicted that Government Medical College & Hospital, Sector 32, Chandigarh had no previous affiliation. It had been mentioned in one of the papers that it is relevant to mention here that office had only granted the consent to start the new course but did not grant temporary extension. Meaning thereby, the University has granted the affiliation/extension of affiliation to the College out of the way.

Referring to Sub-item I-15, Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua said that since Shri Prabhjit Singh had already explained, he would not speak on it.

Referring to Sub-item I-16, Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua said that the item related to conversion of Women College to Co-education College, but no relevant papers had been attached. He enquired whether the Government had issued NOC to the College for the purpose, which is essential. It is true that the Hon'ble Vice-Chancellor took keen interest in the affairs of the University, but it is not acceptable that the items relating to grant of affiliation/extension of affiliation are placed before the House for information only, and that too, without providing the relevant documents. No report of the Inspection Committee is attached with the item. Could it be possible to grant affiliation to a College without physical verification? He drew the attention of the House towards Sub-Item I-20(26) which related to grant of temporary extension of affiliation to newly proposed G.S. Foundation

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

College of Law, Ludhiana, for the session 2022-23. He enquired as to where the physical verification report of the College is. The College had been granted affiliation by the University without verifying that the College had sufficient space/building.

The Vice-Chancellor pointed out that all the relevant papers are available in the files. When Dr. Dua stood up and tried to argue, the Vice-Chancellor requested to sit down.

At this stage, Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua and Shri Naresh Gaur stood up and started speaking loudly and they were joined by certain other members, which resulted into bedlam.

Referring to Sub-item I-12, Professor Sonal Chawla stated that she would like to draw the attention of the Vice-Chancellor towards the students who had been called for admission under the reservation of sports category. They considered the entire session as the full academic session. If a student had academic achievement in one semester and had no achievement in the 2nd semester, then at this stage his achievement at 2nd semester was negated and did not acknowledge on the pretext that this is not pertaining to the full academic session. It is such that one certificate for one session is necessary for the student in the normal circumstances. But in the time of pandemic COVID-19, majority of sports championships and activities could not be held, in some cases where the students had achievements before lockdown in 2019 for the two semesters, which were acknowledged. She therefore, submitted that as per her knowledge in one of the Committee, it had been recommended that the academic session should be considered as one year instead of two semesters but the same could not be implemented. She requested that a new Committee should be formed to re-look into the matter, so that the students who could not participate in sports activities during pandemic COVID-19 are given a chance to acknowledge their activities and get the admission in the sports category.

Continuing this, Principal S.S. Sangha, referring to **Sub-item I-12** said that international students of Sports quota had to suffer for two or three months for the getting their results declared in time. He suggested that either it should be done through a Committee or on the recommendations of the Director Sports as was done during the time of Professor Arun Grover, the then Vice-Chancellor, and the Controller of Examinations granted the chance to such type of students without the involvement of the Vice-Chancellor. In the present situation, the files are being sent to the Vice-Chancellor and students had to wait for one more month and students have to bear the loss. The result of one Olympian student had been delayed for four to five months, she was to be posted as DSP, when the result was declared, she got compartment. Now her result had been declared within a week by the involvement of Professor Jagat Bhushan, Controller of Examinations. He suggested that this process should be simplified as it is the Sport Department who had to verify the achievements of the students.

Referring to Sub-Item I-20, Principal S.S. Sangha said that some of the Colleges are included in the list of affiliation but in such cases the manual is not yet prepared. He could not know why these Colleges are granted affiliation, if the affiliation is to be granted then the remaining Colleges should also be granted affiliation otherwise the item should be deferred. Some of the Colleges had been granted affiliation but their inspection reports are not received. He suggested that such Education Colleges could only be verified when the manual for the inspection committee would be framed and come in force.

Referring to Sub-Item I-18, Principal S.S. Sangha said is related to the letter which had been addressed to the Deputy Registrar. This letter was sent directly to the Vice-Chancellor which would mislead to the Vice-Chancellor. The recommendation of the

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

office of DCDC should be sent to the Vice-Chancellor. But in this case, the said letter was not addressed to the Vice-Chancellor. He requested that this should be looked into.

Referring to Sub-item I-11, Professor Jagat Bhushan, Controller of Examinations stated that three members Dr. Neeru Malik, Professor Sonal Chawla and Principal S.S. Sangha had explained the whole position. He said that the University need to be very liberal in approving the policy for sportspersons as during the last two years the University had lost many of their sportspersons as they had taken admission in L.P.U or Chandigarh University as lot of incentives were being offered to them. Hence there is a dire need to retain the sportspersons and they should be very flexible by giving them adequate flexibility in examinations and admissions, wherever needed.

Shri Naresh Gaur said that as Sub-item I-11 had been withdrawn. Therefore, he would like to refer to Sub-Item I-16 and said that no relevant paper is attached with the item therefore, it would be better if this item may be withdrawn otherwise he would have to intimate the back history of this case to the House. This item may be withdrawn and may be placed again otherwise he would have to bring the complete history of the said College from the year 2012.

To this, the Vice-Chancellor stated that there should not be any confusion for the items placed in information. The Secretary would clarify on it.

It was clarified that this issue is being raised time and again that this item should be placed under consideration. It had been pointed out by the members that relevant papers are not attached with the Agenda item. The papers are attached with the items which are placed for consideration, and after discussion and deliberation, decision are taken. At the current situation as per the provisions laid down in the P.U. Calendar, which had been prepared by the Governing body, mentioned that the matters related to affiliation was to be placed before the Syndicate and be placed to the Senate for information. It was confirmed at the time of preparation of the Agenda that these items are to be placed under the information items. He was told that the provisions for the same were existed in the U.G.C. Regulations which had been adopted by the Governing body of the University and included the same and a Chapter was framed. On this basis, such items are placed before the Senate for information. Some members were enquired about the relevant documents for the information items, the documents are attached only for the items which require consideration. These are the facts and the whole position which had been placed by him before the House.

Dr. Jagwant Singh said that the information provided by the Registrar – Member Secretary might be correct, but P.U. Calendar, Volume III, 2019 could not overrule the P.U. Calendar, Volume I, 2007 as Calendar Volume III contained rules, whereas Calendar Volume-I contained various Sections of the Act and Regulations, which could not be amended without the approval of the Government.

To this, Shri Naresh Gaur replied that he agreed with the information provided by the Registrar, but is it mentioned in the Rules that no supporting document is to be attached?

The Vice-Chancellor replied that it would be considered as “understood”.

Shri Naresh Gaur said that in the year 2012, he was the Chairman of the Affiliation Committee of this College.

The Vice-Chancellor requested Shri Naresh Gaur to be brief, so that the precious time of the House could be saved.

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

Shri Naresh Gaur said that he would like to bring to the knowledge of the whole case of the College to the new members of the Senate as they did not know about it.

The Vice-Chancellor said the new members are well read of the whole case.

Shri Naresh Gaur said he is not saying that they are not well read. He is only saying that they are new and they did not know the whole story of the College.

The Vice-Chancellor said that such type of comments made by Shri Naresh Gaur is considered as derogatory.

At this stage, Shri Naresh Gaur and other members started speaking together and din prevailed.

Shri Naresh Gaur stated that his dissent on Sub-Item I-6 and I-21 be recorded.

RESOLVED: That –

1. the information contained in Sub-Items I-1 to I-10 and I-12 to I-27 on the agenda, be noted; and
2. Sub-Item I-11 be referred back to the Department of Laws for comments of Chairperson as well JAAC.

VIII.**ZERO HOUR**

1. Professor Mukesh Arora said that in the Colleges where Principals are not appointed, the Colleges should be directed to fill up the post of the Principals at the earliest otherwise action would be taken against the defaulting Colleges.
2. Professor Mukesh Arora said that earlier the migration was allowed to the students of LL.B. on medical grounds. This should be examined and on medical grounds such cases should be considered and approved on the previous practice.
3. Professor Mukesh Arora thanked the Vice-Chancellor for grant of special chance, but he requested that its last date should be extended as some students had cleared the NET examination but they could not qualify the B.A. Examination. These are two girl students who had cleared the NET, therefore, these two girl students should be allowed to apply for special chance by paying the requisite fees so that they would get jobs.
4. Professor Mukesh Arora said that transfer policy for constituent Colleges should be framed and the posts of the Principals and staff should be recruited and filled.
5. Professor Mukesh Arora said in the Department of Biophysics, the interview for three Junior Technicians, Grade-I were conducted on 30.07.2021, their joining is pending till date inspite of their selection in the year 2021. He requested that the orders for their joining should be issued at the earliest.

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

6. Principal N.R. Sharma said that in several Colleges from the last five years no Regular posts of the Principals are filled as a result, the regular Principals are being removed from service by the College Management under the impression that why they appoint Regular Principals in their Colleges?
7. Principal N.R. Sharma said that there is one College namely Azad College at Ludhiana which had sent the request from the back date giving one year notice to close the College. Before sending the request to close the College, they had relieved the Principal of the College. A letter was sent from the College to D.R. (Colleges) to allow that the Principal may be allowed on officiating basis for a period of one year. He requested that the Principals should not be relieved at the 11th hour, rather a provision should be made in the budget to pay one year salary as compensation from the Endowment fund of the College.
8. Dr. Jayanti Dutta said that the Senate is the August body comprising of approximate 100 persons, so according to her it would be appropriate if the University should have a code of conduct which is very essential. The code of conduct should be framed for the Senate as in the case of Parliamentary body and in good Universities whereas the University had a large body, therefore, it is very essential to have a code of conduct. A Committee of senior and experienced members may be constituted for making a draft of the statement and compliance of the values, punishment etc.
9. Dr. Jayanti Dutta said that many colleagues had talked about the Emeritus Professors, as per her opinion, a Committee comprising of IQAC Cell and office of D.U.I. should be constituted to contact the Emeritus Professors and request them to provide their contribution during the last four years so that the University can showcase them in enhancing the ranking of the University.
10. Dr. Jayanti Dutta said that the CAS promotions which were due in January last year 2021 and even after the expiry of more than one year and 4 months, the final letters of benefits were not issued. The letters were moving from Accounts Branch to Establishment Branch. The dates should be finalised and it should be directed that the said work would have to be completed by the Accounts and Establishment Branch within 15 days where it had taken more than one year which is a real harassment to the teachers.
11. Dr. Nidhi Gautam while endorsing the view point expressed by Dr. Jayanti Dutta said that code of conduct is very important and the persons should be punished for their bad behaviour in the academic body. Such people should be punished who talked while pointing fingers towards teachers as new members and kept on saying that they did not know. She said they came for meeting after reading all the agenda papers and it is not true that they did not know anything.
12. Dr. Nidhi Gautam said that she would like to draw the attention of the Vice-Chancellor that they are not taking best advantage of the intellectual capital of the University due to pity politics. Thirst of NEP-2020 on empowering departments for Research & Academics and impetus is on in

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

multi-disciplinary research. To start with Panjab University as told by Professor Ravi Inder Singh, the doctor of Philosophy in Business Management and Commerce appearing at point No. 2.1 Page No. 369 of P.U. Calendar, Volume-II is needed to be updated and more departments like UILS, UIAMS and UIHTM needs to be added along with University Business School.

13. Professor Ashok Kumar said that he would like to bring two-three things to the notice of the Vice-Chancellor that there is miscommunication between students and teachers. In one case it has been brought to his notice that some teachers snubbed the students with a threat that they would declare their results as "Fail". He said that he is not pointing the same for all the teachers; the same situation is also with the students who are snubbing the teachers. He requested the Vice-Chancellor that some new policy should be framed to curb such bad behaviour. Earlier in one incident, the lock of the Library was broken no decision of punishing the defaulters was taken. After that a small incident in the Library took place which was highlighted and action was taken under the pressure of the Chairperson of the concerned department. As an Associate Dean of Student Welfare, a number of complaints had been received regarding allocation of seats in the Hostels. The office of the concerned Departments had not allocated the seats to the students in the Hostels who were eligible according to merit whereas the seats were allocated to non-eligible students without merit either it were from General or SC/ST categories. Rather, the office of the D.S.W. had been targeted that students had to move in the office of D.S.W. for getting their work done. He requested the Vice-Chancellor that such type of errors on the part of the departments should not be tolerated and the defaulter departments should be punished.

The Vice-Chancellor assured that he would take care of the same. He assured if any Chairperson is involved in such type of activities, he would be the first person to take action against him/her.

14. Professor Ashok Kumar said that the degrees of some of the students are withheld due to non-receipt of grant from Punjab Government. He requested that the office should try to find the way out for the release of grant from the Punjab Government so that the students could get their degrees.
15. Professor Ashok Kumar said that the work related to preparation of roster for reservation of promotion to be done in a fixed time period expiring. No meeting had been fixed so far. He requested that if the same is to be done, then it should be done.
16. Dr. Parveen Goyal stated that as per vision of the Vice-Chancellor on B.H.U.(IT), this vision could also be achieved in a better way with the autonomy of six branches of UIET as departments of Panjab University. If the separate status is to be accorded, it could be moved towards the ITs. They had complete infrastructure, technicians, staff and funds are also available. The only thing which is required to be approved, if need be, a high powered Committee should be constituted so that a concrete proposal could be placed to the Vice-Chancellor

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

17. Dr. Parveen Goyal said that at the ground level with the interaction of all the Faculty members, it had been envisaged that the University should get the central status which would cover more issues.
18. Dr. Parveen Goyal said that the quorum for the meetings of CAS promotions could not be completed as most of the members had gone to the High Court. The quorum of the committees was not completed in the absence of the Deans. Therefore, he requested that authorisation be given to someone to look after the work of the Deans on behalf of the Senate so that the cases of CAS promotions could be cleared in time.
19. Dr. Parveen Goyal stated that under clause 6.3 he had brought the information by making first appeal in the RTI which had been submitted to the Establishment Branch. He requested that same should be considered and looked into by the Vice-Chancellor.
20. Dr. Parveen Goyal said that the one enquiry regarding visit to various departments, is pending against the Construction Office and the same had not been placed before the Senate. This should be placed before the House on priority basis.
21. Dr. K.K. Sharma said that he would like to bring to the notice of the Vice-Chancellor towards the affiliated Colleges of Panjab where admissions are in deteriorating condition from the last many years due to the reason that there are major Private Universities that made the schedules according to the choice of the students. The admission schedule prepared in the Private Universities is co-related with the declaration of the result of 10+ 2 whereas in the Colleges affiliated to P.U., they get the admission schedule according to the University. Hence the students took admission in the Private Universities according to their convenience as the admissions in Panjab University are done late due to non-release of admission schedule after the declaration of result of 10+2. The session of the Panjab University would end in the month of June, 2022, the practical examinations would commence from 15th June and in the month of July, the semester examinations would be conducted. After the examinations the summer vacation was declared from 1st to 15th August. When the session would commence from 16th August, then there would be no students left for admission to Colleges as they would have already taken the admission in Universities. He requested that summer vacation may be announced from 15th to 30th June as the heat is at its peak in this month and examinations should be conducted in the month of July and the next academic session of the Colleges should be commenced from 1st August positively.

The Vice-Chancellor said that this suggestion had been noted as several Colleges had already approached him to do the same. He assured that it would be looked into.

22. Shri Prabhjit Singh said firstly he fully endorsed the view point expressed by Dr. K.K. Sharma on the commencement of academic session from 1st August. Secondly, he would like to speak on the case of Dr. Nirmal Jaura. Dr. Nirmal Jaura was relieved from his duties on his request by the Vice-Chancellor and therefore, his period of 10 years of service may be considered as period of deputation. His appointment was made on regular basis but his confirmation was not done. A gap would be created between his service of Panjab Agricultural University and Panjab

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

University and he would suffer losses due to it. Dr. Jaura was selected on the basis of merit but according to his circumstances, he could not be accorded benefit, it is his only submission that the period of service of Dr. Jaura should be counted as period of deputation.

The Vice-Chancellor stated that if Shri Prabhjit Singh had rules and relevant papers regarding consideration of services of Dr. Jaura as deputation period, then the same could be submitted to him.

Shri Prabhjit Singh said that he was appointed on regular basis but his services were not confirmed in the University. He worked in the University after obtaining extra-ordinary leave from the P.A.U. and now no more extension of leave would be granted to him. He requested that his case to count his service in deputation period should be placed before the Senate for consideration.

The Vice-Chancellor said that he was not against Dr. Nirmal Jaura, rather Dr. Jaura was very efficient and he did a lot for Panjab University. But he would follow the rules of deputation as laid down by the U.G.C. if some space is found then he would definitely place his case for the consideration of the Senate. It is undoubted that the Senate is the supreme body but it cannot supersede the U.G.C. He further said that if the rules/provisions to this effect in accordance with the U.G.C. are not existed then it would not be considered. The history of this University is very astonishing that persons are working on probation for a period of 10 years.

23. Dr. Inderpal Singh Sidhu said that several members including Principal R.S. Jhanji had already mentioned about the problems being faced by the teachers and the concerns with regard to the affiliation of Colleges under Sub-Item I-20. It would be better if Professor Devinder Singh, Chairman of Affiliation Committee and Dean College Development Council madam, Convener of the Affiliation Committee would have provided the summary of the status of the cases, no discussion on such matters would be required. The Chairman and Convener of the Committee are jointly preparing the manual so that the concerns of all the Colleges would be addressed. Even the issue regarding orientation had also been discussed and resolved for the Inspection and Affiliation teams. He would like to thank the Vice-Chancellor for the start of the periodic inspections which was not there from the last 10 years.
24. Dr. Inderpal Singh Sidhu said that the matter regarding preponement of summer vacation should be looked into.
25. Dr. Inderpal Singh Sidhu said that in D.M. College, Moga, the confirmation of teachers are pending which was discussed in the meeting of the Affiliation Committee, it is requested that the same should be taken care of.
26. Dr. Inderpal Singh Sidhu said that the strength of students Chandigarh University had crossed 45000 and its credit is to the University as due to non-release of academic calendar of the University, the admission gets delayed. therefore, it should be looked into.
27. Shri Sandeep Singh said that the condition of one year for granting of golden chance to the students should be waived off.

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

28. Shri Sandeep Singh said that the boy students of BC and General category in the University cannot appear in the Private examinations. If these students are allowed to appear in the Private examinations then there would not be any major harm to the University.

29. Shri Sandeep Singh said that it was decided in the previous meeting of the Senate that the issue regarding implementation of roster in promotions would be resolved within 3 months and reports would be submitted. As per his knowledge, no meeting had been convened for it. It is the basic right, if meeting is not to be convened then it is ok but the promotions should be stopped immediately till the roster is implemented.

30. Shri Sandeep Singh said that students of Post Matric Scholarship were asked to bring the grant from the Government. They did not know as to which Government they have to approach for release of Post Matric Scholarship,

The Vice-Chancellor said that he would like to make it clear regarding the Post-Matric Scholarship that grant had been allocated by the Central Government to the Punjab Government and they should approach the Punjab Government to get the grant released at the earliest. An amount of Rs.21 crores is outstanding on the Punjab Government under the Post Matric Scholarship Scheme but the same could not be materialized.

31. Shri Sandeep Singh said that SC/ST students should not be ignored in the allocation of hostels. He requested that these students should also be considered.

The Vice-Chancellor said that D.S.W. should note that such type of complaints should not come.

32. Shri Honey Thakur said that a circular had been issued regarding enhancement of D.A. @ 7% mentioning therein the same would be paid in the month of May, 2022 but the same is not implemented due to non-release of grant by the Punjab Government. They had approached the Punjab Government but the Punjab Government did not give any assurance to release the grant. If the Punjab Government is not interested to give financial grant, then they should get the financial grant from the Haryana Government which is very keen to allocate the grant if certain Colleges of Haryana are given affiliation by Panjab University. The University is requesting for allocation of grant from Centre or Punjab Government whereas the University is not responding to the Haryana Government which is eager and keen to provide the financial grant. He requested that instalment of D.A. should be released at the earliest as there would be financial loss as the school fees of the students had also been enhanced and it is difficult for an employee to bear the additional burden of enhanced fees due to non-payment of enhanced D.A. in time.

The Vice-Chancellor said that the same had been noted.

33. Shri Honey Thakur said that PUTA and PUNTEF both had given the representation to the Chancellor for allowing the Central status to the University. He requested that not only 600 teachers but also 3500 non-teaching employees should be kept in mind while taking decision in the

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

matter. The decision to this effect should be taken on similar grounds on maintaining equality between teachers and non-teachers.

34. Shri Honey Thakur said that the issue of Pension which had already been raised in the previous meeting of the Senate that the earlier decision of the Syndicate regarding pension which was pending, should be placed before the Senate for consideration and deliberation. If need be, a Committee should be constituted or otherwise, but the same should be taken up at the earliest.

35. Shri Honey Thakur said that the people say that non-teaching staff did not work. In fact, certain Chairpersons of the Departments are harassing the employees. It is genuine request to consider and guide where this employee would approach to resolve.

The Vice-Chancellor said that the same had been noted and employee should approach to the Vice-Chancellor for any kind of harassment.

Continuing this, Shri Honey Thakur said that there are some Wardens who give mental harassment to the employees. In this matter they had met D.S.W. and they were informed that the behaviour of certain Wardens is not good, D.S.W. assured that he would look into it instead of taking action against the non-teaching staff.

36. Dr. Neetu Ohri said that firstly she would like to endorse the view point expressed by Dr. Mukesh Arora and Shri N.R. Sharma regarding filling up the vacant posts of the Principals of various Colleges. There is solution to this problem that if any College is failed to fill up the post of Principals then the University authority should fill the vacant posts of the Principals.

37. Dr. Neetu Ohri said that NOC should be granted to the Education Colleges for the introduction of B.A. B.Ed. course.

38. Dr. Neetu Ohri said that as explained by some of the members on I-20 regarding affiliation cases with regard to Colleges, a panel for a longer period should be sustained so that the teachers could be appointed as due to the shorter span of panel, the teachers could not join in time and they join in other Universities.

39. Dr. Neetu Ohri said that the approval cases of the teachers should be considered in a time period.

40. Dr. Neeru Malik firstly thanked the Vice-Chancellor for acknowledging the services of Dr. Rakesh Malik for the University. She said that at present in sports the University is at top three positions and in Khelo India Games also, the students are bringing a number of medals. She therefore requested to submit a proposal on behalf of the Vice-Chancellor to the Ministry of Youth Affairs and Sports that next contingent of Khelo India Games should be allowed to be hosted by the University.

41. Dr. Neeru Malik said that a Redressal Committee should be constituted in which all the complaints received from the Colleges should be settled.

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

42. Dr. Neeru Malik said that the promotion case of Dental Faculty should be taken up at the earliest which were endorsed by majority of the members of the Senate. Till date no update is received on it. She requested that the same should be considered on priority basis.
43. Dr. Neeru Malik said that in Government College of Arts, no Principal is posted in the College. It should be expedited. There are issues regarding M.A courses in Government College of Arts which should be settled. The promotion cases of teachers of Government College of Arts are also pending, the same should be ordered to be expedited at the earliest.
44. Dr. Neeru Malik said that the academic calendars of B.Ed. Colleges in Chandigarh and Punjab should be framed uniformly as at present separate academic calendars are being followed in Education Colleges of Chandigarh as well as Education Colleges of Punjab.
45. Professor Latika said that office of the Dean Research is streamlining the issues related to Principal Investigators on priority basis and very soon the problems would be lesser than before. Ease of doing research had to be promoted by improving the eco-system for multi-disciplinary research also because currently for multi-disciplinary research there is no administrative mechanism as such.
46. Professor Latika said that the Construction Office had undertaken the new construction and repairs work, it should be seen that the construction work is completed in time-bound manner because many-a-time wherever repairs are done they do not know what was the scope of the work which had been given to the contractor. They just filled the complaint slips and at several times, the work was not completed as was given to the contractor.
47. Professor Latika said that MDS students of Dental College had requested for enhancement in their stipend. It is understood that the Dental College is running in self-financing mode, but in a phased manner their stipend should be enhanced as from a meagre amount of Rs.10,000/-, it is not possible to cope up. In the same city i.e., in Chandigarh the students of MDS of other institutes are being paid the stipend of Rs.50,000.- to Rs.60,000/-. These are Post-graduate doctors and the University is relied on their services, they are giving very good services to the community. She requested that some mechanism has to be worked out for enhancing their stipend.
48. Professor Latika said that the recommendations of the NEP Committee for its implementation in Panjab University had been circulated to all the Chairpersons mentioning herein that within a month the University would be able to give them concrete basket of value added and skill development courses. For this the University is working with HRDC to conduct different workshops.

The Vice-Chancellor said that the Principals of the Colleges who are also the members of the Senate are advised to complete the work on NEP-2020 and to initiate the work of value added courses and skill based courses rather than involving in affiliation or inspection.

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

49. Professor Savita Gupta said that she would like to draw the attention of the Vice-Chancellor to two major issues firstly is related to clearance of CAS promotions which are pending in the office of the R.A.O. She tried to find out the reason and it was due to the reason that after the screening, the Pre-Screening Committee prepared the API score that is according to the circular issued by the Establishment branch in which a teacher gets 75% score of the research contribution in which he/she is a guide or a Supervisor. When they contacted the office of R.A.O., it was informed that as per U.G.C. Regulations if one is a Supervisor even then he is the second author, only 30% weightage is to be given to them. The earlier score of 430 got by them is reduced to 130 as per U.G.C. Regulations; therefore, most of the cases are pending owing to this very reason. She requested that a proper circular should be issued to the Pre-Screening Committee to follow the conditions as required by the R.A.O. so that Faculty would not have to run to his office for getting his/her case cleared or Establishment Branch should be directed to take a note of it and discuss with the office of R.A.O. so that these cases could be got cleared.

The Vice-Chancellor said that he would see to it.

50. Professor Savita Gupta said that since the office of the Registrar is doing well in spite of lot of workload but it should be such that all the Files should be cleared in a time bound manner so that the Faculty should not have to run to get their work done. The Head of the Branches either the Deputy Registrar (Accounts) or Deputy Registrar (Establishment) should know the status of the files; they had no knowledge about the location of the files.

51. Professor Savita Gupta said that enquiry reports which were pending such fire incidents, construction etc., should be completed in a time bound manner and placed before the Senate.

52. Professor Sukhbir Kaur said that as the Convocation is scheduled to be held on 6th May, 2022, the issues related to Convocation are pending, which could not be brought in this meeting of the Senate. So she requested that the House should authorise the Vice-Chancellor to conduct an online meeting before Convocation so that such items related to Convocation could be passed as they do not have sufficient time.

The Vice-Chancellor advised the Registrar to take note of it as the work related to Honoris Causa is in pipeline; therefore, an online meeting would have to be conducted immediately.

53. Professor Sukhbir Kaur said that there is another issue which had also been raised by Dr. Parveen Goyal related to creation of various departments as separate departments in the UIET. She fully endorsed the viewpoint expressed by him.

54. Professor Sukhbir Kaur said that the report of the Enquiry Committee (which had also been referred by Professor Savita Gupta), such as one enquiry which was pending regarding the previous Dean of Student Welfare Professor Navdeep Goyal should be placed. A CBI enquiry was there pertaining to it and a lot of issues were taken up, which were also in the notice of the press for so many years and suddenly they had no news of that case. She requested the office to bring those reports of the CBI enquiry

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

and intimate the progress in the case. The another enquiry was related to the fire incident and the other case pertaining to Pooja Bagga of the Accounts Branch where she had got transferred Rs.250 Lacs and out of which only Rs.92 lacs was deposited in the University. According to her that case was also pending, so she requested to bring all such cases. While quoting one more case related to office of the Controller of Examinations, where she was also a part of that Committee, where Dr. Parvinder Singh had on his own when he was D.C.D.C. and Controller of Examinations had given the fee waiver benefits to various Colleges and that fee waiver would come to crores of rupees. So, according to her a proper enquiry should be conducted into as why and under what rules, he had given all these permissions to various Colleges. She further pointed that there is one another case regarding the pension, the enquiry to which was still pending. She requested that all these enquiries would have to be placed in the next meeting of the Senate so that the House is updated about the progress in these cases.

55. Professor Sukhbir Kaur said that as this is very important august House, it was not expected from some of the members, during the last meeting of the Senate, a lot of misbehaviour was observed. They had sent a complaint to the office of the Chancellor that this kind of behaviour was not expected from the Hon'ble members of the Senate. She would like to ask the Vice-Chancellor whether he had got any progress in that case also. Several members of the House had sent the complaint to the Chancellor office and according to her they should do something as it was very odd that they kept on commenting on everyone.

The Vice-Chancellor said that she would get the information related to that because really many of the Hon'ble members got hurt due to the behaviour and such kind of things they showed in the August house, this had happened for first time. A report had been asked by the Hon'ble Chancellor and the information would be provided to them in due course of time, and the decision would be taken by the University on the directions of the competent authority.

56. Professor Arun Kumar Grover said he wished to clarify that though during his term, a member of the Senate had put accusations against the other member of the Senate and sought a CBI enquiry and he offered to make affidavit available but no such affidavit was made available and no enquiry was instituted in CBI against anybody in the University. It is the false impression that CBI enquiry was going on against anybody in the University regarding some financial case. Of course, there were allegations but to the best of his knowledge until he relinquishes the office there was no CBI enquiry instituted, no matter was referred to CBI regarding the same. All the cases which were related to the vigilance, a consolidated report made available by the Chief Vigilance Officer at that time, was sent to the C.V.O. office, Delhi. The C.V.O. Office in Delhi said that this should be submitted to the MHRD because the financial authority of the University is the MHRD. So the entire packet was sent to the C.V.O. of MHRD but till the time he relinquished the office, nothing came from the MHRD.

57. Professor Arun Kumar Grover stated that when the U.G.C imposed this restriction that the University would not be permitted to recruit any more faculty without their permission, at that time, the University had sought fulfilment of those positions against the persons who had

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

superannuated, for a specific period of time. So that number at that time might be for 27 positions. With a great difficulty, the U.G.C. had agreed that University could fill the 27 positions. Since then many more people had retired and the University is short of large number of permanent Faculty vis-à-vis that position on this certain restriction was imposed. He guessed that advertisement was made, there was some dispute about what positions should be filled up, since more than one year had passed, the advertisement should be considered as null and void and the fresh advertisement for 27 positions be given specially according to the sanction the University had obtained and must seek sanction for the more positions as several persons had retired since the year 2017.

58. Professor Arun Kumar Grover said that the Regulations stating that the University should have the benefit of centrally funded institution and the U.G.C. that the retirement age should be fixed as 65 years and certain other things was passed by the University was submitted to the MHRD, informed the Ministry of Home as well as the U.G.C.. That Regulation, when he last enquired the U.G.C., it was informed that MHRD had referred it to U.G.C. and some Joint Secretary in the U.G.C. was assigned the responsibility to resolve the regulation. So, he desired that University must take that also in mind.
59. Professor Yojna Rawat said that this issue had also been raised in the previous meeting of the Senate that the University could not get the benefit of the intellectual capital of the teachers and students of the Departments i.e., UIAMS and UIHTM owing to the reason that they were not being allowed for Ph.D. courses as they had no Board of Studies and Research Centres. She requested that like other departments, they should also be allowed separate Board of Studies and Research Centres. In University Business School, there is such type of subjects where the Ph.D. courses are not allowed and there are number of Faculty members and for their main stream and domain, they could not guide the students for Ph.D. course.
60. Professor Yojna Rawat while endorsing the issue raised by Dr. Latika said that the stipend of students of Dental Institute should be enhanced. There are 100 seats and till the time of internship hardly 60 students are left in the Dental Institute on internship seats and the remaining seats are dropped. In other Government and Dental Colleges and Institutes, the outsider students are being allowed for internship by charging hefty fees from them. She said that University should also be flexible in this regard and they should invite applications for internship from outside so that the University could follow the same fee structure as followed in Delhi University, PGIMER so that more and more revenue could be generated and that revenue could be utilised for enhancing the stipend of the students.

The Vice-Chancellor said that he would see to it and the same is noted for consideration.

61. Professor Sonal Chawla said that in these times of digitalization, computerization and automation, she would like to bring to the focus of the Vice-Chancellor, the need for a comprehensive and robust ERP solution at Panjab University, ERP thereby meaning Enterprise Resource Planning solution. Such an ERP solution would help to digitalise the administrative operations of Panjab University like students enrolment, admission and

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

examination related activities, fee collection, diary/despatch of files, financial. She proposed the implementation of ERP Solution and requested the Vice Chancellor to have an efficient and effective ERP solution, for which funds should be allocated. She also requested that, if need be, a Committee should be constituted for the effective implementation of ERP solution.

62. Professor Yojna Rawat said that the code of conduct should definitely be there, because the kind of atmosphere, which is prevailing in the house, is not acceptable. Shouting slogans like 'hai hai' creates a bad atmosphere, which bring down their academic and intellectual status. They are ashamed to be here as part of the House. This might have been encouraged for so many years or maybe this had been practice, but this is high time that this must come to an end now.

The Vice Chancellor said that he would like to caution each and every member that they should be very careful while speaking, behaving or making any kind of indication. These kinds of comments that he/she is new member, whereas they are old members of the house, are not tolerable. He desired that a unanimous resolution condemning such kind of comments or conduct by a member should be passed by this House.

Professor Yojna Rawat thanked the Vice Chancellor.

63. Professor Renu Vig, Dean of University Instruction, pointed out that as they all knew that in the year 2015, Panjab University had gone for NAAC accreditation and NAAC team visited Panjab University in March 2015. The NAAC team made some recommendations, one of the observations of the NAAC was that Panjab University has not implemented Choice Based Credit System. Some of the departments had implemented this system, but some had not. Meaning thereby, Choice Based Credit System has still not been implemented 100%. Besides this, there was NAAC curriculum structure to have programme outcomes for every programme and course outcomes for every course. IQAC Cell and HRD Centre had been organizing workshops for departments, but that this is not implemented yet in the departments. Now, the situation is that they have to apply for NAAC as the NAAC team, which had come in 2015, had given accreditation for 5 years, which was later extended for next 2 years. Now, May 27 is the deadline for applying for next NAAC accreditation cycle, for which they are not prepared. One of the NAAC team's recommendations was 'consolidation of certain departments' which still needed to be done for effective teaching and learning process. Though the Committee has been formed for the purpose, but nothing concrete has yet been done. They are still trying to do something. There are certain small departments, like languages, centre for emerging areas in Social Sciences, which needed to function jointly by sharing resources. They could also start multidisciplinary courses together or could organize some activities together. For this, they had been meeting the Chairpersons and requesting them that they should come up with some joint action plan, but no heed is being paid. She suggested that Monitoring Committees should be constituted, which should visit the departments regularly to ensure that they are prepared for the NAAC visit. Now, the situation is that they have to apply for NAAC by 27th May, and if they did not do so, they would not have NAAC accreditation.

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

The Vice Chancellor said that there are very important issues like space allocation, merger of the departments, etc. He asked the D.U.I. to take note of this and form Committees for this purposes, which could also recommend disciplinary action, if any Chairperson denied merger, because this is the mandate of the NAAC and they might lose points, if they did not go by the recommendations of NAAC. He further said that if anyone denied merger, the matter should be reported to the Syndicate/Senate.

64. Professor Sukhbir Kaur said that one of the Chairpersons of a Department/Co-ordinators misbehaved with the D.U.I. and Dean Research in her presence. He/she remarked that the term of Vice Chancellor is remaining for 2 years only and they would not allow merger under any circumstances. This is the status of the University. She had requested the DUI to take strict action against the person concerned.

The Vice Chancellor asked the President, PUTA, to make statement on the issue.

Professor Renu Vig, Dean of University Instruction, said that they had meetings with the Chairperson during the last 10 days telling them that IQAC Cell has to submit Annual Quality Assurance to NAAC. They had been asking the Departments for data, but data is not coming. How could they apply for NAAC? This is the real position.

65. Professor Sukhbir Kaur said that she had also attended one of the meetings of the Chairpersons of Science Departments, which was chaired by the Hon'ble Vice Chancellor. The next day, it appeared in the Press on being written by PUTA that the Vice Chancellor misbehaved with the Chairpersons. The Press had written about this in the newspapers without verifying the facts. She had contradicted this in the Press. Dr. Mritunjay Kumar alone is not PUTA. Did he hold any Executive Council meeting before writing this letter to Press? Every other day, PUTA goes to press, that too, without having any Executive Council meeting, saying that faculty has this or that problem. Did anybody ask her whether she had any issue?

The Vice Chancellor asked the President, PUTA, to note and ensure that such things do not happen in future. If someone did injustice with any class or society, out of frustration, this would certainly be the outcome. He should understand the feeling of everyone, and should not play with the feelings of people. In the Senate, everybody is on equal footing, and there is no difference between the new and old members. They all should work in the interest of the University, and one should not try to sit on the steering as at the moment, the Vice Chancellor is on the steering.

Dr. Gurmit Singh stated that he would like to speak on three issues and would not take much time. They had Research Centres in different affiliated Colleges. Earlier, they used to have examination of course work in Colleges having the Research Centres, but from the last two sessions examinations had only been conducted in the University Departments. If possible, course work exams should be conducted in the Research Centres. The teachers, who are eligible for becoming Ph.D. supervisors, should be approved by the respective Departments. If possible, this process should be undertaken periodically. As for the interview of faculty in colleges of Education is considered they need different subject experts as compared to degree colleges. If possible, they could have subject experts from

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

Department of Education as well as from Colleges of Education. For example, for Assistant Professor of Colleges of Education, the pedagogy of Hindi is not Assistant Professor in Hindi, because the teacher is appointed in Education for pedagogy subject. So, they should keep this in their mind, while sending subject experts.

66. Principal S.S. Sangha said that he fully endorsed the viewpoints expressed by Professor Sukhbir Kaur. Action must be taken for any wrong doing irrespective of the person, e.g., whether it is he himself (Dr. Sangha) or anybody else. He further said that, on the issue of Dr. Nirmal Jaura, Senate is competent. Keeping in view the contribution made by Dr. Jaura, they could consider him on deputation for 12 years as was perhaps done in the case of Professor Jaswal. Even if policy did not exist in the University, Senate could frame the policy. Dr. Jaura had done a very good work, which they all knew very well. Dr. Jaura had also worked with Professor Arun Kumar Grover, former Vice Chancellor. He pleaded that a Committee should be constituted to explore the possibility of treating Dr. Jaura on deputation for 12 years, so that there is no loss to him.

The Vice Chancellor said that he would not do anything against the provisions of the Regulatory Bodies and would not form any Committee to favour anyone.

Principal S.S. Sangha said that, if possible, Dr. Nirmal Jaura should be confirmed and placed in the Professor's grade.

The Vice Chancellor asked Principal Sangha to bring money to the University so that benefit could be given to Dr. Jaura.

Principal S.S. Sangha said that they had made Professor from Reader in the Department of Sports.

The Vice Chancellor requested Principal Sangha not to insist on the issue. Being a senior member of House, he should understand the problem on the issue. He requested Principal Sangha to raise another issue.

67. Principal S.S. Sangha said that his second point is with regard to promotion policy for the persons working at Dental Institute, which they had made time-bound. He enquired whether the policy has been drafted.

The Vice Chancellor said that they are working on the issue.

68. Principal S.S. Sangha said that his last point related to migration of students of Law on medical ground, which they had discussed in the previous meeting also. He pleaded that migration of students to Department of Laws should be allowed on medical ground.

69. Dr. Jagwant Singh stated that he would raise only one issue, which is very important and issue is about the revised pay-scales for University teachers and centrally funded status for the University. He would like to make it clear that this opportunity has arisen owing to a decision of 1st March, 2021 in case of Joginder Pal Singh Vs. U.T. Administration. He must admit, as General Secretary, that they had no major role in it or even PUTA had also no major role in it. In fact, it is the demand of everyone as every teacher would be benefitted, and an opportunity is there. He had also

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

discussed this issue with Shri Satya Pal Jain, who is also looking into the possibility that this issue gets resolved, but since he (Shri Jain) is not here in the House, he would not say much. He suggested that a Committee should be formed under the Chairmanship of Shri Satya Pal Jain, if he agrees, to see what could be done for the Panjab University considering the letter of Chandigarh Administration and various judgements on this issue.

70. The Vice Chancellor said that some technical issues are involved. Firstly, those technicalities have to be overcome, and then contemplate as to what could be done on the issue.

71. Dr. Jagdish Chander said that one of the major issues, out of 3-4 issues which he wanted to raise, had already been raised by Mr. Jagwant Singh. Dr. Jagwant had talked about Central Civil Rules.

The Vice Chancellor remarked that he would be getting.

Dr. Jagdish Chand said that he is more concerned about University.

To this, the Vice Chancellor said he need not worry about the University. They are competent enough to take care of the interest of the University.

72. Dr. Jagdish Chander stated that he would like to endorse here what Dr. Gurmeet Singh had been saying for the last 2-3 terms of the Senate, i.e., central status for the University. They should work for central status for the University instead of Central Civil Rules. It is a good opportunity for them to make collective efforts for obtaining central status for Panjab University. He is hopeful that Bhartiya Janata Party at Centre is willing to do this. If they did not make efforts in this direction, nothing could be achieved. He had 2-3 more small issues related to University for their consideration. They had already debated much about the grant of affiliation/extension of affiliation to the Colleges. He requested that they should consider the revision of Academic Calendar, as has been pointed out by Dr. Sharma. He requested the Vice Chancellor to consider increase in leaves of teachers from 15 to 20 or 22.

73. Dr. Jagdish Chander pointed out that the University has promoted 4 peons to the post of Clerk. Earlier, these persons (as peons) were getting a salary of Rs.35000/-, but now after getting promoted as clerks, they are drawing a salary of Rs.19,000/- p.m., which is astonishing. It is happening for the first time that salary of an employee had got reduced by 50% on promotion from Peon to Clerk. Their joining has been pending due to bureaucratic reasons. He requested the Vice Chancellor to look into the matter.

74. Dr. Jagdish Chander said that the Vice Chancellor has talked about National Education Policy. He requested the Vice Chancellor to include Senators, who are College teachers, in the Committees to be constituted for the purpose of implementation of National Education Policy. Had the Committee(s) already been formed on National Policy of Education at the University level? Unless and until they had a blue-print of those Committees and College teachers associated with such Committees, how could they contribute? He reiterated that the College teachers/Senators should be included in the Committee.

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

The Vice Chancellor said that Professor Latika would give him the details.

75. Dr. Jagdish Chander said that another important issue is about contract teachers, the most excluded group in teacher's community, working in the Panjab University Constituent Colleges for the last 8 to 10 years. The teachers are feeling insecure as they have come to know that University is going to advertise about 83 posts. They should make efforts, whatever they could make, for the regularization of services of those teachers as their families are dependent on them.

The Vice Chancellor said that if they have done good work, published good papers in reputed journals, they would automatically be got selected/appointed.

Dr. Jagdish Chander requested the Vice Chancellor to keep in mind of these persons as they have been working for the last 10 years.

76. Professor Sushil Kumar Kansal said that he fully endorsed the viewpoints expressed by Dr. Mehta that P.U. Constituent College are providing best education to the people in the rural areas of Punjab. So, University has to give regular faculty to these Constituent Colleges, which should be done in a time-bound manner.

77. Professor Sushil Kumar Kansal said that the Researchers in Panjab University sometime also felt insecure, while processing their files with regard the research projects. Whenever a faculty member brought a project, it should be a proud moment for them. As such, they have to do something for easy processing of their files – whether at department level or at administrative office. They should have a mechanism in place so that they could feel secure that their file/s would be cleared within a stipulated time.

78. Professor Sushil Kumar Kansal pointed out that they give fellowship to the Ph.D. aspirants, who got 1st rank in the Ph.D. entrance examination. He suggested that they should increase the number of fellowships for the Ph.D. aspirants.

The Vice Chancellor said that the number of fellowships would be increased as the same would help in improving the research atmosphere.

79. Professor Sushil Kumar Kansal said that they did not have any seniority list of faculty.

The Vice-Chancellor remarked that Panjab University is the university having 2-3 seniority lists. They would be able to make a composite and relevant seniority list very soon.

80. Professor Sushil Kumar Kansal said that he had pointed out earlier also, that the researchers including research students, who are doing good research work and are bringing laurels to the University, should be honoured at any platform.

The Vice Chancellor requested Professor Kansal to come with a proposal so that they could do something on the issue.

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

Professor Kansal thanked the Vice Chancellor.

81. Professor Prashant Gautam said that recently, the University has issued a circular about “earn while you learn” scheme. After talking with the students, it was thought that the needy students would be covered under this scheme as they would be able to give them work in the Hostels. He suggested that 2-3 points should be added in this circular, like they could be assigned work in the Hostel/s, guest house, sports department which organizes many events.

The Vice Chancellor requested Professor Prashant Gautam to give a proposal in this regard.

Professor Prashant Gautam said that he had also talked to the Registrar and DSW on the issue. In fact, the University had allocated a sum of Rs.60 lacs for this scheme ‘earn while you learn’.

Professor Yojna Rawat said that students could also work in the library under this scheme.

82. Professor Prashant Gautam further said that they are facing a problem in the small department like University Institute of Hotel Management and Tourism. They appoint teachers on temporary basis for an academic session, which gets completed on 30th April. They have to move the file again for an extension, as the semester system does not end by that time.

The Vice Chancellor said that the matter would be looked into it.

83. Professor Sushil Kumar Kansal said that the students, who also worked as guest faculty but do not get any fellowship, could also be covered under this scheme ‘earn while you learn’.

The Vice Chancellor said that he is not in favour of this suggestion as UGC had separate rules for appointment of guest faculty.

84. Dr. Neeru Malik enquired that could the students also play a role in the “Choice based Credit System”. If yes, it would be beneficial.

The Vice Chancellor said that the matter could be examined.

85. Dr. Dayal Partap Singh Randhawa said that the provident fund of the teachers should be released as it is their own funds.

86. Dr. Dayal Partap Singh Randhawa pointed out that some of the Library Assistants are getting a salary of Rs.53,000/- per month and some others Rs.26,400/- per month. He stressed that this variation should be removed.

87. Dr. Dayal Partap Singh Randhawa said that he fully endorsed the viewpoints expressed by Professor Latika on the issue of internship /stipend to the students of Dental Institute.

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

88. Dr. Dayal Partap Singh Randhawa said that he also supported Dr. Mehta on the issue of teachers of Panjab University Constituent Colleges.
89. Dr. Dayal Partap Singh Randhawa said that, in the era of technology, the system of allotment of hostels and information about the status of hostel seats needed to be online. Several complaints are being received against the allocation of seats in the Hostels. The allocation of hostel seats to the girl students should be done on uniformity basis.
90. Dr. Dayal Partap Singh Randhawa said that he would like to raise one more important issue pertaining to evaluation system adopted during the Pandemic. A test was conducted for the migration to University Institute of Legal Studies where none of the student from affiliated colleges qualified, whereas they were toppers in the semester examinations, which raises a big question.
91. Dr. Dayal Partap Singh Randhawa said that the 'Code of Conduct', should not be confined only within the walls of this House; rather the same should be implemented in the University as a whole, which would help them to evaluate the teacher-students relation also. In fact, the teachers-students relations should be evaluated by the students and not by them.
92. Dr. Mritunjay Kumar, President, PUTA, said that they have with them Hon'ble Minister Shri Som Parkash Ji and Shri Satyapal Jain ji.

To this, the Vice Chancellor asked Dr. Mritunjay Kumar to come to the point.

Dr. Mritunjay Kumar said that Shri Amit Shah has given a proposal to adopt the Central Civil Services Rules. The presence of both these members (Shri Som Parkash and Shri Satyapal Jain) would help them a lot in this matter. He has brought a written statement, but he did not want to read the entire statement. In brief, he would like to propose that the same notification be adopted and UGC 7th Pay Commission be immediately implemented in toto and no teacher of Panjab University and its affiliated Colleges situated in the Union Territory of Chandigarh be retired before the age of 65 years.

The Vice Chancellor said they had the notification.

Dr. Mritunjay Kumar said that, when Panjab University was started, there were only 20 to 25 Departments, now there are around 70 to 80 Departments.

The Vice Chancellor said at present 78 departments and Dr. Mritunjay Kumar as President, PUTA, must know as to how many Departments are there in the University.

Dr. Mritunjay Kumar, President, PUTA, said that he did not quote the exact figure of number of Departments in the University as it would not be known when the Vice-Chancellor would merge the Departments?

The Vice Chancellor said that he would not merge the Departments on his own; rather it is the mandate of the NAAC to merge the small Departments.

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

93. Dr. Mritunjay Kumar, referring to the space available in the A.C. Joshi Library, said that there are 4-5 good Libraries in every University, whereas they had only one Central Library. In fact, there is no space for teachers to sit in the Library as the space is always occupied by the students. He suggested that Libraries should also be created in the Dental Institute and University Institute of Engineering & Technology.

The Vice Chancellor said that the matter would be looked into.

94. Dr. Mritunjay Kumar said that their's is a Heritage Library besides many more heritage resources. But, many of these resources are facing problems and not getting good treatment. He requested the Vice Chancellor to look into it.

The Vice Chancellor said that he is doing and would continue to do his job, but Dr. Mritunjay should do work on his own seat.

95. Professor Rajat Sandhir said that he would like to say one thing on Information items. If they did not bring papers relevant with the items in the information, it loses the relevance. Last time also, he had raised the issue. If MOUs are there, what would they be doing if they don't see the relevant papers? Maybe, they would raise something, which is useful for the University.

96. Professor Rajat Sandhir said that he would like to say, what Dr. Randhawa had already raised, is about the issue of release of Provident Fund/Non-Contributory Provident Fund to the teachers after the superannuation. In Fact, Non-Contributory Provident Fund is an integral part of the salary. How could they withhold the salary of a teacher? He had been raising this issue during the last two meetings and now he had heard that the legal opinion is in favour of the teachers. Why are they delaying it? At the age of 65 years, the teachers have to face hardship in getting their financial benefits released.

The Vice Chancellor said that it is being released.

97. Professor Rajat Sandhir said that one more issue, he would like to raise, is about the Central Service Rules. He requested the Vice Chancellor to form a Committee at the University level or whatever he deemed appropriate so that there is no delay in it, because delay means discontent in the teachers. In fact, there is already a delay of seven years in the implementation of recommendations of 7th Pay Commission, which is a great injustice to teachers of Panjab University. Hence, they needed to do something on the issue.

98. Professor Rajat Sandhir said that he would like to talk about the issue regarding fee waiver done by the Controller of Examination, which was also raised by Dr. Sukhbir Kaur. He pointed out that a Committee was formed. He further said that they could not do much on this issue, because it was something that was part of the Calendar. He did not know why this issue is being racked up again and again. It is not good to raise such types of issues, especially when the person is not in the University. They should avoid such kind of discussion in the House.

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

99. Professor Jatinder Grover said that the notification dated 29th March 2022 regarding conditions of service for University teachers, Regional Centres, Constituent Colleges and affiliated Colleges situated in Chandigarh should be implemented, in principle. Their Prime Minister and Home Minister are giving them with open heart, but he did not know as to why they are not taking those things. The Vice Chancellor has constituted a committee to implement the notification made by the Government on the recommendations of Shri Amit Shah, Union Home Minister.

He further said that he would like to thank the Vice Chancellor for releasing the NCPF of the retirees (23 teachers), who had earlier been moving from pillar to post.

100. Dr. Kirandeep Kaur said that they discussed various issues relating to University, but though different types of problems are being faced by the affiliated Colleges, none has raised any. She fully agreed with the points raised by Dr. K.K. Sharma. She requested the Vice Chancellor to re-look into the Academic Calendar especially admission schedule, because the 1st year undergraduate admissions are based on the inputs of it. In fact, the admissions should have been made by the end of May or mid of June. If the University's admissions are late, the students would take admissions in private Universities.

The Vice Chancellor said that they would definitely do something in this regard.

101. Dr. Kirandeep Kaur said that in the last meeting they had requested that an extension centre may be opened in their area, as the jurisdiction of Panjab University is very large and the students have to travel for more than 400 kilometers to get their problems solved, e.g., correction in name, etc. If the extension centre/s is/are opened, the valuable time and money of the students would be saved. Hence, as requested by certain members, extension centre should be opened in certain Colleges.

The Vice Chancellor said that they are contemplating for providing such facilities in certain specific Colleges.

102. Dr. Priyatosh Sharma stated that his first point, out of three related to central status for the University as also to framing of Code of Conduct. He fully agreed with Dr. Dayal Partap Singh Randhawa that the code of conduct should be for the entire University and not for the members of the Syndicate and Senate alone because they usually saw videos where attacks are being made on the basis of religion. They are also receiving complaints, where the teachers identified the students that he/she belonged to a particular party. In some videos, it could be seen that certain teachers are clapping on the statements. These types of videos are spreading very fast, and none is able to tackle this menace. He thought that, to set up a pattern, they should have a Committee to ensure to look into this matter. He is talking about it on personal level. When people asked whether he belonged to Himachal and he always says, 'I am from Bharat', and if they ask for his ancestors, they are from Punjab. This type of regional fight is taking place, which needed to be curbed strongly and very strongly, because this is based on particular kind of perception, and if they go below perception, it would affect their salary, caste, etc. As such, he thought that they should take it seriously. The second issue that has come up is that

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

they have Coordinators in UIET. There are certain centres where certain teachers had been appointed and they are acting as coordinators from the very beginning. Suddenly, they became Chairpersons and become eligible to vote in the Faculties. Did any Syndicate/Senate decision relating to conversion from Coordinator to Chairperson exist? Now, they are in a situation where they had Coordinators for the last 15 years and Chairpersons at certain places. Could a person vote as Chairperson for next 20 years? In fact, certain Chairpersons are involved in politics. They have to look into this issue seriously.

The Vice Chancellor asked Dr. Priyatosh to clarify as to what he meant to say?

103. Dr. Priyatosh Sharma said that he meant to say that there should be Chairpersons in all the Departments, for which there must be justification that they had been made Chairpersons on the order of Senate, because after becoming Chairpersons they became eligible for voting also.

The Vice Chancellor said that the matter would be taken care of.

104. Dr. Priyatosh Sharma said that, the third point which he wanted to make is that there are some of Senate Members, who have gone to Court due to which the process of appointment of Deans and election of Syndicate is halted. He thought that the case has now been got resolved to some extent. Hence, they could go ahead with the process of forming of Syndicate and election of Deans, so that promotions under CAS could be made. In fact, the teachers are in dire need of promotions as well as revised pay-scales. He hoped that they could resolve this issue and make teachers to focus on teaching and research.

105. Professor Sukhbir Kaur said that the major problem in the merger of certain Departments, as told by Dean of University Instruction, is that the teachers who became chairpersons, at young age, i.e., after 5 years of service, they became so used to the situation that they did not want to leave the Chairpersonship.

The Vice Chancellor said that the matter would be looked into.

106. Dr. Gaurav Gaur said that he would like to raise a very important issue that when a student took admission in Panjab University, he/she pay Rs.15/- for festivals, Rs.10/- cultural festivals and Rs.5/- for heritage festivals. In this way, lacs of rupees are collected by the University from the students. In the absence of guidelines, they did not know as to how much remuneration is to be paid to the coaches, etc., who give training to the students and accompany them during these festivals. He suggested that a Committee should be constituted to frame the guidelines so that they could pay enough remuneration to the coaches etc., so that their talented students did not suffer on this account. He further said that they needed to give some relaxations to the students in the “earn while learn” scheme elaborated said by Professor Prashant Gautam.

107. Dr. Jagtar Singh said that Dr. Rakesh Malik, Deputy Director Sports, has joined another University as Director Sports. Since Panjab University is winning MAKKA Trophy consecutively for the last three years, someone should be appointed in the place of Dr. Rakesh Malik so that

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

sports do not suffer. In sports, there were big competitions in 'A', 'B' and 'C' categories and Professor Prashant Gautam has been left alone. He therefore, again requested that someone should be appointed as Deputy Director, on leave vacancy of Dr. Rakesh Malik.

108. Dr. Jagtar Singh pointed out that in the previous meeting of the Senate, it was decided that quantifiable data be collected within three months for preparation of roster for reservation in promotion, but till date the Committee has not met.

The Vice Chancellor asked the DUI to fix the date for the meeting.

Shri Prabhjit Singh informed that the meeting of the Committee to which Dr. Jagtar Singh has referred to has already been held. He knew this because he was also the member of that Committee. The Committee has asked for collection of some documents/data.

The Vice Chancellor said that data should be collected at the earliest.

109. Dr. Amit Joshi stated that some of his colleagues had raised the issue of revision of academic calendar. As far as he understands, the Senate has now nothing to do with the Academic Calendar specifically for the Colleges located in Chandigarh. For the past few years, the entire admission processes for the Colleges situated in Chandigarh have been taken over by the Director, Higher Education, Chandigarh. There is a centralized admission process governed directly by the Director, Higher Education. He requested the Vice Chancellor to take up this matter urgently with the Secretary, Higher Education, Chandigarh. Earlier, it was the University itself which used to conduct counselling for admissions to B.Com. and certain other courses. Even today, it is the University which is conducting the OCET examination for admission to various Post Graduate courses being run in the Colleges affiliated with the University. As of today, there are two parallel channels running simultaneously, i.e., the entrance test is conducted by the University and the admissions are made by the Director Higher Education. So, as he understands, as such, the schedule of admissions is decided by the Director Higher Education. Moreover, due to this confusion, even the admissions in the colleges are falling down. While it is true that the University is issuing the academic calendar but practically it cannot be implemented in Chandigarh since the counselling conducted by the DHE office lasts sometimes for almost 2 months. He requested the Vice Chancellor to take up this matter at the appropriate forum as he also happens to be the Chairman of State Higher Education Commission (SHEC).

The Vice Chancellor requested Dr. Joshi to clarify as to what is to be done.

Dr. Amit Joshi said that the Vice Chancellor should take up this matter with the Director Higher Education in the meeting of SHEC and request him that the admissions to the courses, for which the entrance tests are conducted by the University, should be allowed to be conducted/made by the University itself.

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

The Vice Chancellor said that he would see as to what could be done.

110. Dr. Amit Joshi said that during the period of pandemic, certain Ph.D. students, especially those having hospital based work, could not submit their thesis within the stipulated time. Even the UGC has issued a circular stating that the delay in the submission of thesis by the students during the Covid-19 period be condoned. He requested the Vice Chancellor that the delay in submission of thesis by the students due to covid-19 Pandemic should be condoned and the student should be allowed to submit their thesis.

The Vice Chancellor requested Dr. Joshi to provide the circular issued by UGC, so that they could proceed further in the matter accordingly.

111. Shri Naresh Gaur said that Dr. Bhupinder Singh Pali had been promoted under the Career Advancement Scheme of the UGC. It is learnt that the record relating to his promotion has been tampered, and an inquiry needed to be conducted so that truth is unearthed.

112. Shri Naresh Gaur pointed out that the Punjab Government has stopped grant to Mukerian College, whereas Panjab University has acquitted the Principal of the charges. He is not saying as to who is wrong. If the Punjab Government is wrong on the issue, they should contest with the Government and get the grant restored to the College. However, if the University is at fault, they should take action against the Officer, who had sent wrong letter to that College.

113. Professor Devinder Singh stated that he would like to talk about the recent notification regarding Central Civil Services Rules, for which his (Vice Chancellor) contribution is very much required. The teachers would be indebted to him (Vice Chancellor), for getting issue of grant of Ph.D. increments to around 150 teachers resolved by taking up this issue with the Union Government and the UGC. In fact, the issue was pending since 2012. The Vice Chancellor had achieved another milestone by doing CAS promotions of about 72 teachers in 4 days by scheduling the meetings from 9 a.m. to 7.00 p.m. Earlier, the interviews were used to be conducted for different department one by one. Now, the teachers have big hopes and a lot of expectations from him. As said by Dr. Jagdish Chander and Professor Grover this is needed to be done as the Union Government also wanted to do it. So, he would like to request him, on his behalf and on behalf of all teachers of the University, and hoped that he would be able to get it done. The teachers also hoped that centrally funded status could be got granted to the University by him (Professor Raj Kumar) only. If he wanted to form a Committee whether from the House or from outside, he could do so. He thought that all the teachers would be happy to work with him (Vice Chancellor) on this issue and even PUTA is also with him on this issue.

The Vice Chancellor said that how could they say that PUTA is with him (Vice Chancellor) as it always wrote against?

Professor Devinder Singh reiterated that on this issue, the PUTA is with him (Vice Chancellor).

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

The Vice Chancellor said that then the PUTA has to change its attitude.

114. Professor Devinder Singh stated that they were also discussing the issue of about Code of Conduct for the members of this House. In this regard, he would like to bring to their kind notice that they had professionals in this House, including Advocates, Doctors, etc. He had been the University Counsel for about 10 years and had chance to appear before the Chandigarh Tribunal and found that even there also the Code of Conduct existed. This is not only a democratic House, but an Academic House. It is not that someone is allowed to talk on multiple times and the other is not allowed to speak/raise a point at all. Here, they did not kill democracy. Rather, they say time and again that nobody is a new member. In academics, discipline comes first. If he is not disciplined and a news about him appeared in the newspapers, then he would not have any moral authority to go to the classroom for teaching the students. He must say that there is more democracy in the courts where everyone is allowed to say his words. They all go to Courts for justice. Even an Advocate has to face contempt of Court, if he points a finger while putting his point. Democracy is in the Courts and Democracy is here in this House also, but they should respect each other.
115. Professor Devinder Singh said that they always say that UGC Regulations should always prevail. If UGC regulations had to prevail, then the UGC, in the year 2006, had laid norms that persons promoted under CAS of the UGC and persons appointed as Professors directly are on equal footing for considering their seniority, why the same is being questioned. If they honour the above-said norm of the UGC, the issue of seniority would be settled once for all. But, cases are still in the Court and they took different stands in the Court. They did not take keen interest in resolving the cases which are pending in the court. Why could they not resolve the matter by bring a specific item that they accept the UGC Regulation that CAS Professor and Direct Professor would be governed by single seniority and the name of the person would be in the seniority list at the place, where it should be. In this way, they could resolve the issue.
116. The Vice Chancellor said that in other Universities there is no difference between promotee under CAS and person appointed through direct selection. He could only say that it is being done here to torture the people. When the UGC, which is the Regulatory Body, has taken cognizance of this, why did they create such a situation?
117. Professor Mukesh Arora requested the Vice Chancellor to see as to how approval has been given to the appointment of certain Principals of affiliated Colleges. It should be clarified by the Dean, College Development Council.
118. Principal R.S. Jhanji stated that he has two issues, one is observation and the other request. He had raised this issue in an earlier meeting of the Senate held on 8.1.2022 and is raising the same again, and hoped that now the issue would be addressed. He said that they had problems related to Colleges, e.g., admission and other issues. Last year, they could not organize any sports event as well as Youth Function(s) due to COVID, but had given funds to the University for the purpose. Since Grant

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

is not coming from UGC, they did not have funds under 12th Plan. Even the development grant has not been received by them. He is saying again and again that the University should give something to the Colleges, especially when University had received all the funds. He urged the Vice Chancellor to get the funds paid by them for the above-said purposes adjusted. He suggested that a note should be sent to the Departments of Sports and Youth Welfare asking them to adjust these funds.

119. Principal R.S. Jhanji said that, as said by his Fellow colleagues, they are apprehending that, this year, admissions in the private colleges would go down. They are also being pushed by the Punjab Government, as they had given directions to Government schools. The Government had assigned this job to the DEOs and DEOs are pushing the Principals and Principals are pressurizing the teachers. The Government would definitely do something for Government Colleges. There are chances that the admissions in rural areas would go down. He pointed out that the University had given the date for admission as 16th August.

The Vice Chancellor asked Principal R.S. Jhanji to tell as to what should they do?

Principal R.S. Jhanji said that he would like to request the Vice Chancellor that they should synchronize with the Central Board of School of Education and Punjab School Education Board and fix dates for admissions to entry classes accordingly.

The Vice Chancellor said that they would definitely do something on this issue.

120. Principal R.S. Jhanji said that one more observation, which he would like to make, is that they must have a Maintenance Committee in the University for maintenance of buildings. They had a College Bhavan, where the interviews for various positions in the affiliated Colleges are conducted. But while conducting the interviews, they had found that there is leakage in the roof. He requested the Vice Chancellor to get the same checked and order necessary action.

The Vice Chancellor asked the Registrar to look into the matter.

121. Principal R.S. Jhanji said that, as requested by certain members, the University should do something for Dr. Nirmal Jaura. They had 206 affiliated Colleges and he (Dr. Jaura) had successfully arranged functions for the Colleges. They should at least pass a resolution that the Senate appreciate the services rendered by Dr. Nirmal Jaura. If they could not give him financial benefits, they could at least appreciate the person.

The Vice Chancellor said that they would definitely do this.

RESOLVED: That the services rendered by Dr. Nirmal Singh Jaura as Director, Youth Welfare, Panjab University, Chandigarh, be appreciated.

122. Dr. Dinesh Kumar said that in the last meeting of the Senate, they had adopted the promotion policy for Dental College teachers, but when he went through the proceeding he was surprised to see that it has been written in the resolved part that the policy is to be framed in terms of DACP

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

Scheme. When they adopt UGC policy then they follow UGC Regulations in toto for all the teachers and did not draft any specific policy at their own level. They just evolve the *pro forma*, on which the teachers applied for promotion. Since the Committees or Screening Committees always checked the UGC Regulations, the resolved part of this item should be amended.

123. Dr. Dinesh Kumar said that in the last meeting of the Senate they had fixed a time-limit of three months, but about a month had already elapsed. He requested the Vice Chancellor to constitute a Committee to resolve the issue at the earliest.
124. Dr. Dinesh Kumar said that, as said by Dr. Parveen Goyal, they could make departments within it, but could not change the basic structure of the Institute. Meaning thereby, that the Institute should remain as University Institute of Engineering & Technology but they could make changes within the Institute. He urged that care should be taken that strength of non-teaching staff should not increase in any circumstances. As pointed out by Professor Sukhbir Kaur and Professor Renu Vig, Dean of University Instruction, they had made 6 Chairpersons in the University Institute of Engineering & Technology and they need to appoint staff of 20 more employees.
125. Dr. Dinesh Kumar pointed out that the case of Dr. Bhupinder Singh Pali is lingering on for the last so many years and now his file is not traceable. In this regard, he would like to suggest that a simple communication should be made by the Establishment Branch to Dr. Bhupinder Singh Pali to apply again so that his application could be got screened, interview conducted and promotion given to him, so that he did not retire as Assistant Professor. At the same time, the inquiry, which is pending in files, should continue.
126. Dr. Dinesh Kumar said that Professor Grover has got established a very reputed Institute namely PU-ISSER, but no appointments were made there. In the beginning, regular teachers used to go there to teach because they were getting honorarium as guest faculty. Since now the regular teachers had heavy workload in their own departments, they could not teach at PU-ISSER. In the last Senate meeting, they had reduced the teaching posts from 1554 to 1378. He requested the Vice Chancellor to give 10 posts to PU-ISSER, if possible. If it is done, it would be much better.
127. Dr. Sandeep Kataria said that he would like to raise a very important issue related to private Colleges. The Inspection of colleges for grant of affiliation is got done every year, and for an inspection a college has to incur between Rs.30,000/-and Rs.50,000/-. Whenever the Inspection Committee goes for inspection to Regional Centre, the team goes in one vehicle only, whereas for inspecting the College, the team goes in the individual vehicles, which put extra burden on the college. He would like to remind them that the Hon'ble Prime Minister has said that there should be lesser use of non-renewable sources, like petrol, diesel, etc. Since all the members of the Inspection teams belong to campus, it would be better if they travel in one vehicle. If it is done, the burden on the Colleges would get reduced by Rs.20,000/- to Rs.30,000/-.

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

The Vice Chancellor said that the dignity and convenience of the University Professors would not be compromised.

Dr. Sandeep Kataria said that it is a humble request on behalf of the private colleges, as the private colleges are already going through financial crisis.

The Vice Chancellor said that it would not be possible.

Dr. Sandeep Kataria said that it is his request that the private Colleges should not be given step-motherly treatment.

128. Dr. Sandeep Kataria pointed out that it has been observed that the teachers of Degree Colleges are normally included in the Selection Committees constituted for making appointments/selections in the Colleges of Education, which is wrong. The qualifications of education persons are more, i.e., M.A., M.Ed. and Ph.D. in Education, than the persons who came for selections, because their qualifications are just M.A. and Ph.D. in the concerned subject. So the persons who came for selection ask questions related to contents, whereas they (Education persons) had pedagogy. This issue has been going on since long. He requested the Vice Chancellor to look into this matter.

129. Dr. Shaminder Singh Sandhu said that nowadays two issues are being faced by the Colleges of situated in Punjab and Chandigarh, and the major issue is related to fall in admissions in the Colleges.

The Vice Chancellor asked Dr. Sandhu to suggest as to what is to be done.

130. Dr. Shaminder Singh Sandhu said that earlier it was the prerogative of Panjab University to make admissions in the affiliated colleges situated in Union Territory of Chandigarh. Either they had surrendered their right to the Chandigarh Administration or the Administration had snatched it from them. The admissions, which were being made by the Panjab University, are now being made by the Chandigarh Administration. Even the admissions to unaided courses like BBA in all the Colleges are being done by Chandigarh Administration. If the number of applicants is less than the number of seats for a course, there is no need for centralised admissions. Centralised admissions are required only when the number of applicants is more than the number of seats; otherwise, it would cause harassment to the candidates and their parents. The centralised admission process has been made so lengthy due to which the candidates might prefer to take admission in nearby private Universities.

The Vice Chancellor said that he would take up the issue with U.T. Administration.

131. Dr. Shaminder Singh Sandhu suggested that the University should only fix the last date for admissions to 1st year classes in the affiliated Colleges and not the starting date, so that the Colleges could make admissions immediately after the declaration of the result of 10+2 examinations. This would help the Colleges to fill up the seats of various courses to the maximum extent.

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

132. Dr. Shaminder Singh Sandhu said that point made by his Fellow colleagues regarding the summer vacations should also be considered favourably.

133. Professor Arun Kumar Grover said that PU-ISSER's proposal was made by the faculty of different departments of Panjab University. He accepted that proposal and in that proposal there was nothing like that they would be paid anything extra as guest faculty. It was supposed to be an additional load, which they themselves had assumed. So there is nothing like a Guest faculty. Nobody was being paid as guest faculty. Let him tell them that, this year, Punjabi University, Patiala, has started PU-ISSER like framework in six streams simultaneously so that school leavers have an attraction of joining the University in Humanities. So there is PU-ISSER like course in Arts subjects, in the subjects of Fine Arts, in the subjects Languages. As such, they had started in six different streams taking advantage of the NEP Scheme and so on and so forth, but they are not seeking any more faculty. This is the load that their existing faculty, their re-distribution and some imaginative way of committing their people within 60 or 65 years of age, committing their Emeritus Professors there or inviting retired college teachers to teach in the Punjabi University Campus. College teachers retired at 60 and there are a large number of senior college teachers, who are available, and they could be engaged as guest faculty. This is a very good thing, which must not be stopped under any circumstances.

The Vice Chancellor said that they would definitely continue with the PUISER.

134. Professor Arun Kumar Grover, in response to a point raised by Dr. Dinesh Kumar, said that once a person has been selected by the duly constituted Selection Committee in the presence of Chancellors' nominee, they could not ask the person to apply again and carry out the process again. The University would become a laughing stock, if the promotion of Dr. Bhupinder Singh Pali was not imaginatively allowed.

The Vice Chancellor said that it was the beauty of this August House that they do whatever they wished. First, the selection was done, then re-selection and thereafter the screening was again done. They used to constitute the Committee to do such things. He assured that such kinds of things would not be allowed to happen anymore. The members of the House should not have any hope that such kind of issues would be dealt; rather such an issue should not have been raised.

135. Professor Jagat Bhushan, Controller of Examination, said that he would like to discuss about the issue of internship which had also been raised by Professor Yojna Rawat that the University is exempted for the same it is requested that model from Dental College should be sought.

136. Professor Jagat Bhushan said that many of esteemed Fellows had discussed about the promotion policy in Dental College, for which he is thankful to them. He endorsed the viewpoints expressed by Dr. Dinesh Kumar and said that they should adopt the promotion policy, in principle,

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

as there is no possibility of ifs and buts. There are only three BDS faculty members, for whom they have to frame special promotion policy because there is no provision for those three permanent Faculty members. Something should be done to get the promotion policy passed.

137. Professor Jagat Bhushan said that the issue regarding allowing of Golden chance was raised by 2-3 Senators in the House. The provision of allowing Golden Chance was made from the year 2014 onwards. He would like to brief the members about the same. The first Golden Chance was allowed in the year 2010, 2012, then again in 2016. Thereafter the University made it a routine feature and the same was allowed in the years 2020 and 2021 and they are also in the process to allow the same in the year 2022.

The Vice Chancellor enquired is there any UGC provision regarding grant of Golden Chance to students.

138. Professor Jagat Bhushan said that there are no guidelines pertaining to golden chance in the U.G.C. Delhi University is allowing golden chance to the students as “Centenary Chance i.e., once in a life time chance”. The University should maintain the sanctity of this Golden Chance and it should not grant the same every year. If the House approves then it should be considered and allowed as one time exception rather than allowing the same from the year 2014.

139. Professor Hemant Batra said that he would like to request on the issue which had also been raised by Dr. Parveen Goyal and many other Senators about the creation of separate departments in the UIET. Since there are large number of students in the UIET and lot of file work is involved in it, it would be really good on their part if they help them in getting it sorted out with separate departments. So this would be a real big help for the UIET.

140. Professor Hemant Batra said, while endorsing the viewpoints expressed by Dr. Jagat Bhushan about the internship matter, said that if they please permit them for internship, they would be really grateful and the money the University would earn from that could help the Panjab University Faculty and they could use that funds for MDS student’s stipend, increase in their stipends.

The Vice Chancellor asked whether it existed in other Universities.

Professor Hemant Batra replied in affirmation.

The Vice Chancellor said that it would be got examined.

141. Professor Hemant Batra replied that a letter to this effect had already been sent to MHRD to provide some special funds for MDS students as well as the interns of the University.

142. Shri Ravinder Singh pointed out that he had requested in the last meeting which was held in off-line mode and is also requesting now, that some of the research scholars and guides are from outside the University

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

and they are not getting the hostel. He requested to allot them hostel, as also said by Dr. Dayal Partap Singh Randhawa.

The Vice Chancellor said that it is not the right of every student to get hostel facility. The seat in the hostels is given to students, as per availability and on merit.

Shri Ravinder Singh said that in this way, the students are being harassed.

The Vice Chancellor said that he would not create anarchy there.

143. Shri Ravinder Singh agreed with the viewpoint expressed by Professor Ashok Kumar that student relations are getting worse day by day. They should have good relations with students, even Authority should have good relations with students. Sometime back students' union made a protest regarding diet and the University authority sent notices at their homes from the office of Dean. The University authority should take initiative to make students understand the situation about their various issues. Students have the right to protest, they also fight elections. So, this thing should be maintained.
144. Shri Ravinder Singh said that he would like to request that the students of evening studies are not getting hostel. It should be looked into.
145. Shri Ravinder Singh said that condition of time period should be removed while allowing Golden Chance to students so that more students gets benefit of this chance.
146. Dr. Ravi Inder Singh said that one issue which had been raised time and again is regarding admission in College and he has been observing these Colleges for the last 3 to 4 years, as member of Inspection and Selection Committee. Some of the Colleges are in a very bad state; the Vice-Chancellor should go there for an interview and would find that one or two candidates would be appeared. At some places even one candidate joined. The other side there is lack of students in the Colleges and admissions are also on diminishing trend. It is very critical issue, which is happening in the Colleges. The Vice-Chancellor could ask the Hon'ble members about the solution they could have. He is of the view, and as also pointed out by Dr. Neetu Ohri, that teachers are not being paid salaries. If teachers are not getting salary, the University could not get good quality teachers. When good quality teachers are not there, how they could think of good quality education, and students are highly aware of this. He hoped that they should focus on the remuneration of teachers as per Rules so that they could attract good teachers. According to him, the solution lies there.
147. Dr. Ravi Inder Singh stated that another issue which had also been pointed out by the Vice-Chancellor in NEP-2020 while addressing the Principals and teachers in the Colleges is that it would be very critical for the Colleges, because the environment is changing. The University is running *adhocism* in the Colleges and teachers are not so matured resulting that teachers left their jobs in the mid of session and join another College. It is becoming difficult for the Colleges to run the Colleges in such a challenging situation.

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

The Vice Chancellor said that all the points raised by Dr. Ravi Inder Singh had been noted.

148. Dr. Ravi Inder Singh said he had one more issue regarding their visits from one College to another College as member of Selection Committee. It had been observed that no College would be denied the affiliation or extension in affiliation on the ground that they did not have proper infrastructure. It is the willingness of Chairperson or the Subject Expert, if the extension is to be given or not or to visit the College again or otherwise. There should be some parameters and if the College fulfils those only then they could recommend the extension in affiliation. These parameters should be prescribed by the University and the members should be instructed to follow these new requirements, otherwise the College would not be granted extension in affiliation.

149. Dr. Shiv Kumar Dogra said that he would like to take up the issue regarding the financial health of University and Grants. On the one hand, they all are talking about 7th Pay Commission and on the other hand they are talking about new appointments whereas it is being said that that University is not at good footing in regard to finances. He would like to make a small request in this connection, as has been said by UGC and MHRD ministry for making new recruitments, is that they should have a High Powered Committee about the recent notification received from Chandigarh Administration. There are very influential persons in the Senate and they should take full advantage of them under the leadership of the Vice Chancellor.

The Vice Chancellor said that he is not leading in the matter.

150. Dr. Shiv Kumar Dogra said that other issue is regarding, as stated by Dr. Dayal Partap Singh Randhawa and Dr. Dinesh Kumar, functioning of LLM course in USOL. As stated by Dr. Devinder Singh functioning of LLM course in USOL should be withheld due to some technicalities. It was also stated by Dr. Dinesh Kumar that they should close down this course. He would like to submit that in current time, there are more avenues which have also been allowed by the UGC to strengthen their departments.

151. Dr. Shiv Kumar Dogra said that he would like to put forth a suggestion before the Vice-Chancellor about hostel allotment. He requested that recently a communication from the University authority has been issued that Regional Centres are not part of the University, but according to him, they are the extended departments of the University and students doing Ph.D. there should be treated at par with the University and accordingly given the facility of hostel.

The Vice Chancellor said that it is correct.

152. Dr. Jagdeep Kumar said that he had to complain about the Sukhanand College. He had already made a request to DCDC in this regard also by constituting a Committee so that teachers get justice and get salaries.

153. Dr. Jagdeep Kumar said that his another concern is related to admission. He requested that the admissions should be preponed and the

Senate Proceedings dated 26th April, 2022

issue regarding pre-ponement of summer vacation should also be taken into consideration.

154. Dr. Nisha Bhargava said that she supported the decision of giving permission to Colleges to make admissions, instead of Centralized admissions being done by the Chandigarh Administration, because local Universities are benefitted due to the admission schedule.
155. Dr. Nisha Bhargava said that University should adopt Central Services Rules.

In the end, the Vice Chancellor said that this is all about today. He is really happy that they have participated in an academic way and have given more and more information, which would definitely help in improving the University system. They would meet again soon online to discuss certain more issues.

Sd/-
(Vikram Nayyar)
Registrar

CONFIRMED

Sd/-
(RAJ KUMAR)
VICE-CHANCELLOR