

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

PANJAB UNIVERSITY, CHANDIGARH

Minutes of the meeting of the Syndicate held on **19th December, 2022 at 12.15 p.m.** in the Syndicate Room, Panjab University, Chandigarh.

PRESENT:

1. Professor Raj Kumar ... (in the Chair)
Vice-Chancellor
2. Shri Amandeep Singh Bhatti
Director, Higher Education, U.T., Chandigarh
3. Dr. Balbir Chand Josan
4. Shri Davesh Moudgil
5. Professor Devinder Singh
6. Professor Hemant Batra
7. Dr. Jayanti Dutta
8. Dr. Jagtar Singh
9. Dr. Mukesh Arora
10. Dr. Neeru Malik
11. Professor Prashant Gautam
12. Shri Rajiv Kumar Gupta
Director, Higher Education, Punjab
13. Dr. Rupinder Kaur
14. Professor Sukhbir Kaur
15. Principal S.S. Sangha
16. Shri Satya Pal Jain
17. Professor Savita Gupta
18. Professor Yojna Rawat
19. Professor Yajvender Pal Verma ... (Secretary)
Registrar

At the outset, the Vice-Chancellor wished good afternoon to each one of the esteemed members of the August House and welcomed them to the meeting.

Condolence Resolution

The Vice-Chancellor said, "With a deep sense of sorrow, I may inform the honorable members of this August House about the sad demise of:

- i) Smt. Kaushalya Devi respected mother of Professor Kuldeep Chand Agnihotri, former Vice-Chancellor, Central University of Himachal Pradesh and Fellow, on October 12, 2022.
- ii) Mrs. Sarishtha Gupta respective M/o Principal R.K. Mahajan, Fellow, Panjab University, on December 16, 2022.

The Syndicate expressed its sorrow and grief over the passing away of respected mother of Professor Kuldeep Chand Agnihotri ji and Mrs. Sareshta Gupta ji M/o Principal R.K. Mahajan, and observed two minutes' silence, all standing, to pay homage to the departed souls.

RESOLVED: That a copy of the above Resolution be sent to the members of the bereaved families.

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

Vice-Chancellor's Statement

1. The Vice-Chancellor said, "I am pleased to share that:
 1. Dr. Neelima Dhingra, of University Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences of our University has got Sarvshreshth Divyangjan Award, 2022 from the Hon'ble President of India.
 2. Panjab University secured 1st position (Overall Winner) in Punjab State Inter-Varsity Youth festival 2022 held at Punjabi University Patiala from 10th to 12th December 2022. The overall trophy to Panjab University was presented by S. Bhagwant Singh Mann, Chief Minister, Punjab. The university participated in this youth festival on behalf of all affiliated colleges and PU campus under the aegis of Department of Youth Welfare.

Shri Satya Pal Jain, referring to Vice-Chancellor's statement at Sr. No.1, which related to Sarvshreshth Divyangjan Award got by Dr. Neelam Dhingra of University Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, pointed out that in one of the earlier meetings of the Syndicate he had suggested that a function should be organised at the University level to felicitate the persons, who got/received awards from various Departments/Agencies, so that more and more person could get motivated. If need be, a Committee should be constituted under the chairpersonship of Director, Research & Development. Dr. Neelima Dhingra had received the award from Her Excellency Draupdi Murmu, President of India, at the Rashtrapati Bhawan.

Professor Devinder Singh said that it would be good if a public function is organized at the University level to felicitate the awardees.

The Vice-Chancellor said that he would like to bring it to the kind notice of the members that he already invites all those persons, who got awards from various Departments/Agencies, to his office. However, if the House wanted him to organise a function at the University level to honour/felicitate the awardees, he is ready to organise the function.

Principal S.S. Sangha said that there are only 10-15 colleges which had stood first in the Youth Festival. He suggested that letter of appreciation should be issued to these colleges.

Dr. Mukesh Arora said that the services of Director, under whose leadership this achievement has been made, should also be appreciated.

The Vice-Chancellor said that the members of the Syndicate and Senate had also contributed a lot in the successful organisation of Youth Festival. As such, they also deserved appreciation. Some of them had also gone there as Chief Guests and Judges. In fact, it is a matter of pride that such an achievement has been made by the University in the Punjab State Inter-Varsity Youth festival.

RESOLVED: That –

1. felicitation of the Syndicate be conveyed to Dr. Neelima Dhingra, University Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Panjab University, Chandigarh, on her having been awarded Sarvshreshth Divyangjan Award, 2022 by Her Excellency President of India;
2. the information contained in Vice-Chancellor's Statement at Sr. No. 2, be noted.

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

Shri Rajiv Kumar Gupta, Director, Higher Education, Punjab, pointed out that as a matter of fact, everywhere, where it is Board or Corporation or Syndicate or any other Governing Body, in the agenda the first item always “confirmation of minutes of previous meeting”. But here in the Syndicate and Senate, the minutes are not got confirmed in the next meeting. He has been told by the Registrar just now that the minutes are got confirmed from the members through e-mail. He has attended the last 3-4 meetings of the Syndicate, and he has not been able to see as to what has been resolved in the minutes. An item has been listed at No. 26 and they would discuss in detail as to what was resolved/decided in the previous meeting of the Syndicate about this issue and what has been recorded in the minutes. He suggested that, in future, the first item should be confirmation of minutes of previous meeting so that the members could know that what they had decided in the previous meeting, has been recorded in the minutes or not.

Continuing, Shri Rajiv Kumar Gupta, Director, Higher Education, Punjab, pointed out that they had received the supplementary agenda on Saturday (17.12.2022), i.e., just two days before the meeting. His office remained closed on Saturdays and Sundays. There are certain important items on the agenda on which the view of Government is to be ascertained. When he reached office today in the morning, he got information about the supplementary agenda. On certain issues, they have to seek information from the Department of Finance, Department of Personnel, etc. He attended the meetings of the Syndicate and Senate as a representative of the Government and not in individual capacity. He suggested that, in future, supplementary agenda should be provided to them well in advance. Secondly, the agenda items which related to policy decisions and on which the Government’s view is to be ascertained should be an enlisted in the main agenda. Thirdly, the agenda is prepared by the University and the University in its wisdom place agenda items and their annexures at different places, which created a lot of inconvenience to the members. It would be better if the item and its annexures are kept together and it would definitely help the members in fruitful discussion. He requested that his above three suggestions should be adopted for the betterment of the University.

Shri Satya Pal Jain said that they have to update themselves. Earlier, the date of the next meeting of the Syndicate was used to be decided in the meeting itself after taking into consideration convenience of various members and that provided a time of 2-3 weeks to the office to prepare the agenda. Now, since the meetings are fixed just a few days before, the office did not get sufficient time to prepare the agenda and usually worked in a haphazard manner. Secondly, they should try their level best to fix the meeting of the Syndicate and Senate either on Saturday or Sunday at 10:00 a.m., so that they could conclude the meeting by 2:00 p.m. Today, he came to attend the meeting after attending to a case in the Court at 11:59 a.m.

Shri Davesh Moudgil pointed out that the meeting of the Senate has also been fixed at 12:30 p.m. on 30th December 2022. There are several important items enlisted in the agenda, which needed thorough discussion. If the meeting is started at 12:30 p.m., they would not be able to discuss the item properly as there would be lunch just after one hour. He further said that even in the Municipal Corporation the items and annexures are kept together in the agenda.

Professor Devinder Singh said that as suggested by Shri Rajiv Kumar Gupta, Director, Higher Education, Punjab, in other Universities/Institutes, the first item is always confirmation of minutes of previous meeting, but here the practice is different. The University Authorities could consider it and if deem fit, the practice could be changed.

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

The Vice-Chancellor said that these are very good suggestions, which would be taken care of. Now-a-days, everybody is too much busy and sometimes the circumstances are beyond their control. Sometime due to certain exigencies, he has to get his flight tickets cancelled. He is in agreement with the members. He tried his level best to fix the meetings of the Syndicate and Senate on Saturdays/Sundays, but the things did not materialise.

2.

Considered the minutes of the Committee dated 03.10.2022 (**Appendix-I**), constituted by the Vice-Chancellor to finalize the guidelines to provide the Financial Assistance to teachers for Attending Conferences/Seminars/Writing Projects/Publication Grants etc. out of the major Budget Head "Foundation of Higher Education and Research Fund" renamed as "Fund for Promotion of Research, Innovation and Startups" sub head "Impetus to Research" from the financial year 2022-23.

Professor Sukhbir Kaur stated that, in order to give impetus to Research, they had recommended renaming of 'Fund for Foundation of Higher Education and Research' as "Fund for Promotion of Research, Innovation and Startups" sub head "Impetus to Research". Teachers got funding from different agencies for attending conferences, seminars, workshops, etc., as well as secretarial assistance and they also became members of different Societies. The teachers were representing that earlier they used to find out journals wherein they were not required to make payment for publishing their papers. Now, because of advancement in Science, majority of the publishers are giving open access. As such, the teachers were facing problems in publishing papers in open access journals. As they are facing the problem, they were trying to increase the amount of funding. Moreover, since only Rs.20,000/- was given, one could not attend conference, workshop, seminar, etc., even at one place by travelling by air. That was why, they have refurbished and recommended enhancement in amount of grant. The grant of Rs.3,000/- for purchase of books, journals, etc., has been increased to Rs.10,000/- because the teachers took membership of National and International Societies. Similarly, the amount for attending conferences, workshop, seminars, etc., has been increased from Rs.20,000/- to Rs.40,000/-. For publication, without impact factor the amount is recommended to be Rs.20,000/-, with impact factor up to one the amount is Rs.25,000/-, with impact factor between 1-3, the amount is Rs.30,000/- and with impact factor more than 3, the amount is Rs.50,000/-. With this much grant to the teachers, the quality of publication would definitely increase. Since some minor changes could be required to be made, the Dean of University Instruction has already constituted a Committee for a purpose.

Professor Yojna Rawat pointed out that travelling allowance (train/bus fare) from Chandigarh to Delhi (if the flight is from Delhi) is allowed. It would be better if own car/taxi is included in it.

Professor Sukhbir Kaur said that as per Government Regulations, own car/taxi is not allowed.

The Vice-Chancellor requested the members not to give any such suggestion which is not permissible under the Government Rules/Regulations.

Dr. Mukesh Arora said that if the grant for this purpose is directly received from the UGC, it should be explored, could this facility be extended to the teachers of affiliated Colleges?

Professor Sukhbir Kaur pointed out that there is a separate fund for the College teachers, which is monitored by Dean, College Development Council.

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

Professor Devinder Singh, referring to the suggestion given by Professor Yojna Rawat, said that he did not know as to why Delhi has been taken as a base. There are only three Government approved travel agents who certified that the ticket given to such and such person is the cheapest and sometime the cheapest ticket is from Hyderabad and sometime from Mumbai. If ticket from Hyderabad or Mumbai is found to be the cheapest, one has to travel by air from Chandigarh. Hence, travel by air from Chandigarh should also be allowed.

It was clarified that issue is that whether they could travel by air from Chandigarh to Delhi. If the International flight is connecting one, it is permissible, but if they travel by taxi/own car, is not permissible.

Professor Devinder Singh said that the local conveyance should also be allowed.

It was clarified that since it is not total reimbursement; rather, it is a subsidy to the teachers, local conveyance could not be given.

Dr. Neeru Malik enquired could they not cover the local conveyance, if the maximum amount did not exceed.

It was clarified that their only purpose is to cover maximum teachers under this scheme.

Professor Prashant Gautam said that first of all he would like to thank the Committee for enhancing the amount of subsidy. He had joined the University in the year of 2009 and at that time, the amount of subsidy was Rs.15,000/- which has now been enhanced to Rs.30,000/-. This would definitely help the teachers to carry out quality research and publish more and more research papers. There are certain disciplines, which are more than 20 years, whereas his discipline is only a few years old, and the number of journals are very less. He, therefore, pleaded that rigidity should not be followed on this issue. In his discipline, there are several journals of repute, but are only less than 10 years old. In Sciences and Social Sciences, even the API score is determined on separate pattern. It is said that 100 papers published in Social Sciences are equivalent to one paper published in the subject of Physics. These are certain issues, which needed to be taken care of. He also suggested that separate criteria should be evolved for Social Sciences and Sciences.

Professor Yojna Rawat suggested that since the discipline of Professor Prashant Gautam is new one, certain conditions could be relaxed in his discipline.

The Vice-Chancellor said that all of them would agree with him that subsidy under almost all the sub-heads have been increased and almost all the grant has been utilized, which is a good sign. It is a valid point that the new emerging areas/disciplines needed to be looked into differently/separately.

Professor Prashant Gautam said that the proposed recommendation at page 6 (xiv) (iii) that "It has the recommendation by a Committee of three senior faculty (at least one from allied subjects)" needed to be changed. He suggested that it should be replaced with "It has the recommendation by the JAAC". He also suggested that IQAC should also be included in it somewhere.

The Vice-Chancellor said that recommendation (xiv) (iii) at page 6, "It has the recommendation by a Committee of three senior faculty (at least one from allied subjects)" should be replaced with **"It has the recommendation by the JAAC"**.

It was said that the amount has been determined on the basis of impact factor as well as without impact factor. Now-a-days, they knew all the good journals. Hence,

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

a Committee could be constituted to prepare the list of journals (subject-wise) for the purpose.

Dr. Mukesh Arora said that the journals, which published papers by taking money, should be excluded from the list of journals to be prepared.

Professor Sukhbir Kaur pointed out that the function of Academic Committee or JAAC is to identify the journals in the subject concerned.

Professor Prashant Gautam suggested that the UGC Care Journals, Scopus Journals, Web of Science, Medical Journals should be considered.

The Vice-Chancellor said that JAAC instead of three senior faculty and the list of Journals as suggested by Professor Prashant Gautam would be added in the resolved part. Let this continue for some time. Later on, it would be reviewed.

Dr. Neeru Malik said that she would like to thank everyone for taking the teachers of affiliated Colleges along. The Dean, College Development Council has also taking initiative to ensure that the amount of subsidy to be given to the college teachers is also increased.

RESOLVED: That the recommendations of the Committee dated 03.10.2022 relating to guidelines to provide the Financial Assistance to teachers for Attending Conferences/Seminars/Writing Projects/ Publication Grants etc. out of the major Budget Head “Foundation of Higher Education and Research Fund” renamed as “Fund for Promotion of Research, Innovation and Startups” sub head “Impetus to Research” from the financial year 2022-23, **as per Appendix**, be approved with the modification that recommendation (xiv) (iii) at page 4, “It has the recommendation by a Committee of three senior faculty (at least one from allied subjects)” be replaced with **“It has the recommendation by the JAAC.**

RESOLVED FURTHER: That the Journals for grant of subsidy, be considered as suggested by Professor Prashant Gautam, i.e., UGC Care Journals, including Scopus Journals, Web of Science, INFLIBNET.

- 3.** Considered if, notification No. F.No.31011/3/2009-Estt. (A) dated 28.10.2009 (**Appendix-II**) of Ministry of Personnel, Public Grievances & Pension, Department of Personnel & Training and notification No.19030/3/2013-E.IV of Ministry of Finance, Department of Expenditure, Government of India, dated 17.02.2015 (**Appendix-II**), be adopted with regard to use of own car/hired taxi on LTC journey on account of physically challenged person and travelling allowance in respect of attendant/escort for accompanying a Government Servant with Disability on travel during tour/training etc.

NOTE: 1. Provision/Rule Note-B under Rule 1.71 (check list for various types of T.A. claims) available at page 189 of P.U. Calendar, Volume-III, 2019 was reproduced as under:-

“B. The other terms and conditions as notified by the Punjab Government/ Government of India as adopted by the university or issued by the funding agencies/ University authority/Syndicate/Senate from time to time with respect of T.A./D.A. etc. shall be applicable”.

2. The office vide letter No.9038/A dated 22.09.2022 (**Appendix-II**) has sought clarification from the Under Secretary, Personnel, Department of Personnel, Govt. of Punjab regarding LTC benefits for a physically handicap

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

employee or dependent family members to allow use of own car/hired taxi for LTC journey. But no clarification has been received till date.

Dr. Mukesh Arora said that since it is a good initiative, it should be approved.

Shri Rajiv Kumar Gupta, Director, Higher Education, Punjab, said that it has been mentioned in Note 2 that the University has sought clarification from the Under Secretary, Personnel, Department of Personnel, Government of Punjab, regarding LTC benefits for physically handicap employee of dependent family members. Has any reply been received from the Punjab Government?

It was informed that no reply in this regard has been received from the Punjab Government.

Shri Rajiv Kumar Gupta, Director, Higher Education, Punjab, said that the views of Punjab Government have to be obtained. When Professor Sukhbir Kaur said that the University had written to the Punjab Government in the month of September, but no reply has been received, Shri Rajiv Kumar Gupta said that, in fact, the University has written to Department of Personnel of Government of Punjab, whereas this matter related to Department of Finance. He did not know whether the Department of Personnel has transferred this matter to the Department of Finance or not. He suggested that, in the first instance, the opinion of Department of Finance, Government of Punjab, should be sought on the issue. After getting a copy of the instructions from the Department of Finance, Government of Punjab, he could also provide the same to the University.

Shri Satya Pal Jain suggested that above-quoted notification of Ministry of Personnel, Public Grievances & Pension, Department of Personnel & Training and notification No.19030/3/2013-E.IV of Ministry of Finance, Department of Expenditure, Government of India, be adopted subject to approval by the Government of Punjab. If the Punjab Government allowed, it would be implemented, and if they (Punjab Government) have any objection, the matter be placed again before the Syndicate.

RESOLVED: That notification No. F.No.31011/3/2009-Estt. (A) dated 28.10.2009 (**Appendix-II**) of Ministry of Personnel, Public Grievances & Pension, Department of Personnel & Training and notification No.19030/3/2013-E.IV of Ministry of Finance, Department of Expenditure, Government of India, dated 17.02.2015 (**Appendix-II**), with regard to use of own car/hired taxi on LTC journey on account of physically challenged person and travelling allowance in respect of attendant/escort for accompanying a Government Servant with Disability on travel during tour/training etc., be adopted, subject to approval by the Government of Punjab. If the Punjab Government allowed, it be implemented, and if they (Punjab Government) have any objection, the matter be placed again before the Syndicate.

- 4.** Considered if, letter No.F25-1/2018(PS/MISC.) dated 28.01.2019 (**Appendix-III**) of Secretary, University Grant Commission, regarding revised guidelines for enhancement of honorarium of Guest Faculty, be adopted.

Shri Rajiv Kumar Gupta, Director, Higher Education, Punjab, said that they could adopt it for the University Campus. The Punjab Government had already revised the honorarium of guest faculty for its Colleges.

Principal S.S. Sangha enquired would it also be implemented for the P.U. Constituent Colleges.

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

Shri Rajiv Kumar Gupta, Director, Higher Education, Punjab, said that the honorarium for the guest faculty in P.U. Constituent Colleges would be as per Government of Punjab.

Dr. Mukesh Arora said that the issue of age of superannuation of teachers/Principals working in P.U. Constituent Colleges should also be taken care of as in Constituent Colleges the persons are continuing beyond the age of 60 years. When it was pointed out that the matter is in the Court, he (Dr. Arora) suggested that they should instruct their lawyer to defend the case in the Court appropriately.

It was pointed out that this has yet not been cleared by the Board of Finance.

Shri Satya Pal Jain enquired as to how amount is involved in it.

It was informed that the amount would be in the range of Rs.2 to Rs.3 crore.

Shri Satya Pal Jain said that since the Budget of the University is to be approved by the Board of Finance in its meeting to be held in February/March 2023, this issue should also be placed before the Board of Finance for consideration.

Professor Yojna Rawat said that the Syndicate could adopt it, in anticipation of approval of Board of Finance.

The Vice-Chancellor said that since this is the notification of Government of India, the Governing Body of the University could adopt it. Later on, it could be placed before the Board of Finance.

It was pointed out that the Audit could raise an objection.

The Vice-Chancellor said that the Syndicate is the Governing Body of the University and in the interest of the students and teachers, it could adopt it.

Shri Rajiv Kumar Gupta, Director, Higher Education, Punjab, said that the revised honorarium of Punjab Government would be applicable to guest faculty working in P.U. Constituent Colleges.

The Vice-Chancellor said that let them be very clear. Earlier, they were in a doldrums. In the case of teachers working at Panjab University Campus, they were following the rules, regulations, pay-scales, etc. of the UGC. So far as P.U. Constituent Colleges are concerned, they have written a letter to Director, Higher Education, Punjab, and the posts would be advertised after the receipt of his reply. Undoubtedly, the Constituent Colleges would be run in accordance with the Regulations/Rules of Punjab Government. Moreover, in the case of non-teaching employees, they are already following the Punjab Government.

Professor Devinder Singh said that, according to him, perhaps, confusion with regard to Constituent Colleges got created because when the Constituent Colleges were created in the year 2009-2010, they considered the discussion taken place in the meetings of the Syndicate/Senate as resolved part that the Constituent Colleges would function in accordance with the Regulations/Rules of Panjab University and the terms of the conditions of teachers would be that of the University. The other affiliated Colleges are being dealt with by the Dean, College Development Council, whereas the P.U. Constituent Colleges are being dealt with by the Establishment Branch. It got cleared in the previous meeting, when he pointed out that the Constituent Colleges have not been mentioned in the recently issued notification. Then it was clarified by Shri Rajiv Kumar Gupta, Director, Higher Education, Punjab, that they (Constituent

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

Colleges) are equivalent to Government Colleges of Punjab because they are being funded by the Punjab Government.

Shri Satya Pal Jain enquired of whom they are employees – whether Punjab Government or the University.

Professor Devinder Singh said that they are the employees of Punjab Government, but in the year 2009-2010, they discussed the issue in such a manner that the Constituent Colleges shall be part of Panjab University.

Shri Satya Pal Jain again enquired, are they employees of Panjab University or the Punjab Government?

Professor Devinder Singh said that they are the employees of Punjab Government.

It was said that they are the employees of Panjab University.

Shri Satya Pal Jain enquired then how the Punjab Government came into picture.

It was informed that the funding of P.U. Constituent Colleges is exclusively made by the Punjab Government.

Shri Rajiv Kumar Gupta, Director, Higher Education, Punjab, said that the non-teaching staff is also the employees of Panjab University, but the service conditions applicable to them are of the Punjab Government, and similar is the situation in the case of teachers of Constituent Colleges, because the MoU has been signed in this manner.

Shri Satya Pal Jain said that, this meant, they followed two patterns – UGC in the case of teaching staff and Punjab Government in the case of non-teaching staff of the University as well as teaching and non-teaching staff of P.U. Constituent Colleges.

Principal S.S. Sangha said that the Constituent Colleges were started by the University. The benefits to the employees of these Colleges were given by the University, but the funds are given by the Punjab Government. So far as the age of superannuation is concerned, it is taken as of the Panjab University, whereas, for the purpose of election to various University statutory bodies, they are considered teachers of affiliated colleges. As such, there is a great confusion. He, therefore, suggested that now the ambiguity should be cleared. If the service conditions, etc., of Punjab Government are applicable on the teachers of P.U. Constituent Colleges, the age of superannuation of 65 years should not be applied on them.

Dr. Mukesh Arora requested the Vice-Chancellor to instruct the Legal Retainers/Advocates, whosoever are defending the University in the Court, to defend the case properly. He lamented that University lose majority of the cases because its advocates do not defend the University in appropriate manner.

Continuing, Dr. Mukesh Arora suggested that guest faculty appointed in one Department should also be allowed to give lecture in another Department provided his/her total honorarium does not exceed the maximum limit. It was decided during the tenure of former Vice-Chancellor Professor Arun Kumar Grover that guest faculty appointed in a particular department cannot give a lecture in another Department. If one does not exceed the maximum limit, there is no harm in allowing him/her to give lecture in another Department.

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

Professor Devinder Singh said that the suggestion given by Dr. Mukesh Arora could be accepted. One could teach in one Department, two Departments, three Departments and so on, but he/she could get maximum honorarium of only Rs.25,000/- p.m.

It was clarified that the guest faculty is always appointed against a sanctioned post. Hence, this issue needed to be examined from the administrative point of view as to how it could be done.

Professor Hemant Batra said that the New National Education Policy permitted that one could teach his/her own or even others subject also. They could also ask the teacher to teach different subjects. Hence, they would not have to ask for it.

Professor Sukhbir Kaur pointed out that other than teaching, they also utilized their services for different activities, e.g., IQAC. In Science, the guest faculty is never free to take classes in other Departments, and they asked them to be available in the Department from 9.00 a.m. to 5.00 p.m.

The Vice-Chancellor said that, in this way, they get their research enhanced as they write papers in the Department.

Professor Yojna Rawat said that if one is allowed to teach in another Department, his/her interest would get diverted.

Professor Sukhbir Kaur said that she had one visited University Institute of Engineering & Technology, where she met a girl, who was pleading that she would teach in a College in the morning and at University Institute of Engineering & Technology in the evening. Would they prepare a separate time-table for such persons? Hence, they have to really think over it and only approve.

Dr. Mukesh Arora said that New National Education Policy is being implemented. When the students would opt for different subjects, would the teachers then not go there to teach them?

Professor Sukhbir Kaur and Professor Yojna Rawat said they are talking only about guest faculty. Professor Yojna Rawat enquired, have they decided and determined as to in how many Institutions, a teacher could teach, because there are teachers, who did not perform their duties, but go to teach in different Institutions.

Professor Prashant Gautam said that the guest faculty is appointed against the sanctioned post of the Department concerned. He drew the attention of the House towards the Selection Committee, which comprised of Dean of the Faculty concerned. In one of the meetings, it was enquired by one of the members, whether one has to go through the Selection Committee again when he/she is to be appointed again.

Dr. Mukesh Arora said that the regular teacher get a salary of more than Rs.1 lac, and they wanted to limited it to Rs.25,000/- p.m., and thus, preferred to appoint guest faculty. The regular teacher get Rs.5,000/- for giving a lecturer, whereas the guest faculty gets only Rs.1,500 per lecturer.

Professor Devinder Singh said that one guest faculty could teach in another Department(s) after taking special permission, but the maximum honorarium would not exceed Rs.25,000/- p.m. After getting verified from the Establishment Branch that this person (guest faculty) is not exceeding the limit of Rs.25,000/-, monthly honorarium is paid in the University. If they reviewed it, the practice, which is prevailing at the moment, would also be stopped.

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

Professor Sukhbir Kaur said that one (guest faculty) could only be allowed to take classes in another Department, if he/she takes permission from the parent Department.

Professor Devinder Singh said that if someone approaches him that he is teaching at University Institute of Legal Studies and has only 5 lecturer, he/she could be given 10 lectures after obtaining an undertaking.

The Vice-Chancellor said that such an arrangement could be made on the agreement of both the Departments.

Professor Yojna Rawat suggested that some criteria should also be framed for the regular faculty to give lectures in other Institutions because certain persons are taking classes/giving lectures in 3-4 different Institutions, but did not perform the duties assigned to them.

The Vice-Chancellor said that his only concern is, that everyone should meet the workload assigned to him/her by the University. However, the guest faculty be allowed to give lectures/take classes in another Department with the mutual consent of both the Heads of Department, but the total honorarium per month should not exceed the upper limit.

When Professor Savita Gupta enquired from which date the revised UGC guidelines for appointment and enhancement of the rates of honorarium of Guest Faculty would be implemented, it was replied that these would be implemented from now, i.e., from prospective effect.

RESOLVED: That letter No.F25-1/2018(PS/MISC.) dated 28.01.2019 (**Appendix-III**) of Secretary, University Grant Commission, regarding revised guidelines for appointment and enhancement of the rates of honorarium of Guest Faculty, be adopted.

5. Considered if, the following Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) (**Appendix-IV**), be executed between:

1. Panjab University, Chandigarh and Sardar Swaran Singh National Institute of Bio-Energy, Kapurthala.
2. Panjab University, Chandigarh and General Aeronautics Pvt. Ltd., NED 3/401, Shriram Spandana, Off Wind Tunnel Road, Challaghatta, Bangalore.
3. Department of History, Panjab University, Chandigarh and Indian Council of World Affairs, Sapru House, Barakhamba Road, New Delhi.
4. Department of Gandhian Studies & Peace Studies, Panjab University, Chandigarh (INDIA) and Gandhi Smriti and Darshan Smiti, Rajghat, New Delhi.
5. Department of Botany, Panjab University, Chandigarh and CSIR-Institute of Himalayan Bioresource Technology, Palampur, Himachal Pradesh.
6. DST-CPR, Panjab University, Chandigarh and Knowledgeintia Consultants, New Delhi.

NOTE: A copy of minutes dated 28.10.2022 of the Committee, constituted by the Vice-Chancellor to scrutinize and suggest amendments, if any, in the MoU's was enclosed (**Appendix-IV**).

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

Initiating discussion, Professor Sukhbir Kaur said that certain Committees for examining the MoUs had been constituted, under the Chairmanship of Director, Research and Development Cell. These Committees examine all the facts related to MOUs due to which the execution of MoUs gets delayed. Sometimes the concerned Department have to organise the seminars, webinar, conferences, etc., but could not do so in the absence of execution of MoUs. They wanted that the authorization should be given to the Vice-Chancellor, so that such basic activities could be started without further delay.

Professor Yojna Rawat said that sometime certain MoUs are to be executed by the University with the Government Department/Agencies within a very short time. Since the procedure for execution of MoUs is very lengthy, the Vice-Chancellor should be authorised to approve the MoUs for execution.

Professor Hemant Batra said that basically certain MoUs took a lot of time. There is a very lengthy procedure under which 2-3 months got lapsed before the actual execution of MoUs. He suggested that the Vice-Chancellor should be authorised to approve the MoUs in anticipation of the approval of the Syndicate.

Professor Prashant Gautam said that 2-3 days before, he had attended a meeting in the office of the Dean International Students, wherein they were informed that foreign students come to the University to take admission through the ICCR. Now the ICCR is making everything online and they had sought the consent from the University and the consent is required to be given on priority basis. Though the MoU is yet to be executed with the ICCR, they had sought the consent.

Professor Hemant Batra suggested that the Vice-Chancellor should at least be authorised to approve execution of MoUs with the Government Department/Agencies as they usually gave a very less time.

Professor Devinder Singh said that wherever Government Department/Agencies are involved, the Vice-Chancellor should be authorised to approve execution of MoUs. Secondly, the Panjab University had executed so many MoUs, but nobody knew as to how the MoUs are to be materialised. He, therefore, suggested that somebody should be made responsible to materialise the MoU(s). The Chairperson of the concerned department should be involved in the execution of MoU(s). Without involving the Chairperson or the faculty member of the concerned department, the papers for MoU(s) are prepared for the execution of MoUs. Therefore, it is suggested that before the execution of MoU, the Chairperson concerned or JAAC of the department, should be involved, so that the terms and conditions of the MoU(s) could be materialised. Secondly, while citing an example, he said that in the cases where the grant is received from the Government and the same is to be spent within a stipulated period of 15 days, the University is required to execute MoUs on priority. Otherwise, the process of execution of MoUs with the Government Departments/Agencies is quite cumbersome as it passed through several layers. As such, the Vice Chancellor should be authorised to take decision with regard to execution of MoUs with Government Departments/Agencies, in anticipation approval of the Syndicate. Moreover, sometimes the MoUs are required to be signed from back date, so that the departments could function prior to the execution of the MoUs.

To this, Professor Yojna Rawat stated that there is no need to put the back date on the MoU(s) rather the Vice-Chancellor should be authorised to approve the same in anticipation of the approval of the Syndicate.

Professor Devinder Singh endorsed the viewpoint expressed by Professor Yojna Rawat and stated that the Director, R&D Cell and IQAC make their recommendations

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

on the MoU(s) on the basis of the recommendations of the JAAC of the concerned department, so that the MoU(s) could be materialised immediately. If the Government is involved in execution of MoU(s), then the meetings of JAAC of the Department concerned, R&D, IQAC, can be conducted in a very less time without any difficulties.

Professor Savita Gupta said that moreover, there is no financial liability involved in these MoU(s).

It was clarified that all the steps are being followed, firstly the JAAC of the concerned department make recommendations, legal vetting and thereafter, it is referred to MoU Committee and later on forwarded to the Director, R&D. After the completion of this whole process, the MoU(s) are placed before the Vice-Chancellor.

RESOLVED: That the following Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) (**Appendix-IV**), be executed:

1. Panjab University, Chandigarh and Sardar Swaran Singh National Institute of Bio-Energy, Kapurthala.
2. Panjab University, Chandigarh and General Aeronautics Pvt. Ltd., NED 3/401, Shriram Spandana, Off Wind Tunnel Road, Challaghatta, Bangalore.
3. Department of History, Panjab University, Chandigarh and Indian Council of World Affairs, Sapru House, Barakhamba Road, New Delhi.
4. Department of Gandhian Studies & Peace Studies, Panjab University, Chandigarh (INDIA) and Gandhi Smriti and Darshan Smiti, Rajghat, New Delhi.
5. Department of Botany, Panjab University, Chandigarh and CSIR-Institute of Himalayan Bioresource Technology, Palampur, Himachal Pradesh.
6. DST-CPR, Panjab University, Chandigarh and Knowledgegia Consultants, New Delhi.

RESOLVED FURTHER: That the Vice-Chancellor, be authorised to approve the execution of MoUs between the Panjab University and the Government Departments/Agencies, in anticipation of approval of the Syndicate.

6.

Re-considered the recommendations of the Committee dated 10.08.2022 with regard to legal notice received vide No.LN/2022/994 dated 28.06.2022 regarding appointment of Shri Narinder Gautam S/o Late Shri Karam Chand, Library Restorer on compassionate ground as Sr. Technician, Grade-II by relaxing the condition of 04 years experience in the relevant field as has already been done in such other cases.

- NOTE:** 1. The Syndicate in its meeting dated 27.09.2022 (Para 19) had considered the recommendations of the Committee dated 10.08.2022 with regard to legal notice received vide No. LN/2022/994 dated 28.06.2022 regarding appointment of Shri Narinder Gautam S/o Late Sh. Karam Chand, Library Restorer, on compassionate ground as Senior Technician, Grade-II by relaxing the condition of 04 years experience and resolved that consideration of Item C-19 on the agenda, be deferred, and in the meanwhile, the facts

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

related to the case of Shri Narinder Gautam, be verified as suggested by Shri Satya Pal Jain and Shri Davesh Moudgil, and the matter be placed before the Syndicate with full facts.

2. In an another similar case, the Syndicate in its meeting dated 26.05.2018 (Para 5) had considered minutes dated 21.03.2018 of the Committee, constituted by the Vice-Chancellor, to examine the cases for appointment on compassionate ground and appointed Ms. Pragati Garg as Junior Engineer.

However, Ms. Pragati Garg had completed her B.Tech. (Civil Engineering) in 1st division in the year 2018 & she vide her application dated 25.06.2018 had produced copies of her final year DMC along with provisional degree and accordingly as per above decision of the Syndicate, she was offered the appointment as JE (Civil) on compassionate grounds.

3. The qualification for the post of Junior Engineer (Civil) to be filled in by the open selection is as under:-

1st class B.E./B.Tech. in Civil Engineering with one year relevant experience.

Or

1st class 3 years Diploma in Civil Engineering with 03 years relevant experience.

Shri Narinder Gautam possesses the following qualification and experience:-

- i. B.Tech. (Civil Engg.) in 1st division in year, 2018.
- ii. Total experience- 2 years 10 month and 21 days (Civil Engineer, RJ Projects Pvt. Ltd. Noida from 01.07.2018 to 30.09.2019 and Junior Engineer (Civil), Construction Office, P.U. on temporary basis from 10.01.2020 to 02.09.2021, with one day break).

4. A detailed office note with full facts was enclosed.

Shri Davesh Moudgil stated that it has been mentioned in the Note 1 that this issue was considered by the Syndicate dated 27.09.2022 and it was decided that the consideration of the Item be deferred, and in the meanwhile, the facts related to the case of Shri Narinder Gautam, be verified as suggested by Shri Satya Pal Jain and Shri Davesh Moudgil, and the matter be placed before the Syndicate with full facts. So far as he remembered, he had suggested that this person (Shri Narinder Gautam) should be considered for the post of Junior Engineer, but the University is considering him for the post of Senior Technician, whereas in a similar case Ms. Pragati had been appointed as Junior Engineer. Shri Narinder Gautam is the son of an employee of the University and he is to be appointed on compassionate ground(s). Already his case is pending for the last one and a half years. Moreover, he has served in this very University. When such things are repeated and came to the University Syndicate again and again, there seemed to be something hanky-panky and tailor made documents are presented. Everybody knew the history and background of this case. He urged that

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

when a son of employee fulfilled the qualifications for a particular post, why his case is being placed before the Syndicate again and again. He pleaded that Shri Narinder Gautam should be appointed as Junior Engineer on compassionate ground with immediate effect because the family is in a pathetic condition for the last one and a half years.

Principal S.S. Sangha remarked that appointment(s) on compassionate ground(s) should be made as early as possible.

Shri Satya Pal Jain said that when the qualifications of Shri Narinder Gautam and Ms. Pragati are same, there should not be any problem to appoint him (Shri Narinder Gautam) as Junior Engineer.

It was pointed out that, so far as appointing Shri Narinder Gautam as Junior Engineer on compassionate grounds is concerned, the University has received a legal notice.

Shri Satya Pal Jain enquired as to who has sent the legal notice.

It was said that it has to be find out as to who has sent the legal notice.

When Shri Davesh Moudgil enquired as to what is the observation in the legal notice, it was replied that the observation is that as per the judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, appointments on compassionate grounds could only be made on Class 'C' and 'D' posts, whereas Junior Engineer is a Class 'B' post.

Shri Satya Pal Jain said that he should be told as to what is *locus standi* of the person, who has served the legal notice.

Professor Devinder Singh clarified that the Class 'C' of the University, is Class 'D' in Punjab Government.

Shri Satya Pal Jain said that the other person (Ms. Pragati), who had the same qualifications as that of Mr. Narinder Gautam, had already been appointed as Junior Engineer on compassionate grounds by the University, why could he be not appointed as Junior Engineer on compassionate grounds. Why Mr. Narinder Gautam is being discriminated when he fulfilled the qualifications laid down for the post of Junior Engineer?

The Vice-Chancellor said that if a mistake had been committed earlier, it did not mean that they should commit the same mistake again.

To this, Shri Satya Pal Jain said that it is not a mistake.

Professor Devinder Singh said that there is a technical problem.

Shri Satya Pal Jain pointed out that they had said in one of the earlier meetings that if somebody else had been given appointment as Junior Engineer with these qualifications, how are they discriminating this person?

Professor Devinder Singh suggested that the paper(s) (legal notice) should be shown to Shri Satya Pal Jain, and then if it is possible, the appointment would be given.

Shri Satya Pal Jain enquired as from whom the legal notice has been received. If someone sent the legal notice, it is required to be ascertained as to how he/she is affected. Has the person any *locus standi* to give the legal notice? Is he/she the

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

affected party? It is the basic thing in the service matters. Unless one is affected by somebody's appointment/promotion, he/she could not give legal notice.

Professor Devinder Singh clarified that in the service and conduct rules of Punjab Government, the category is mentioned as "B". It should be shown to Shri Satya Pal Jain. They should talk to the person and then give the appointment of Junior Engineer to Mr. Narinder Gautam on compassionate grounds.

Shri Satya Pal Jain said that when the person, who had been appointed as Junior Engineer earlier fell in category 'B' and he (Mr. Narinder Gautam), if appointed as Junior Engineer, would also fall in category 'B', then where is the problem. He requested the Registrar to show him the file tomorrow. The Vice-Chancellor should be authorized to take decision in this matter, on behalf of the Syndicate.

RESOLVED: That the Vice-Chancellor, be authorized to take decision in the matter of appointment of Shri Narinder Gautam on compassionate grounds, on behalf of the Syndicate, in consultation with Shri Satya Pal Jain.

7.

Considered if, extension of affiliation to Lala Lajpat Rai Memorial College of Education, Dhudike, Tehsil & District Moga (Punjab), be granted for M.Ed. Course, for the session 2022-23, subject to fulfilment of NCTE Regulations 2014, as recommended by the affiliation Committee in its meeting dated 14.10.2022 (**Appendix-V**).

- NOTE:**
1. A copy of Inspection report dated 28.07.2022 of Lala Lajpat Rai Memorial College of Education was enclosed (**Appendix-V**).
 2. A copy of letter dated 18.08.2022 issued by Colleges Branch to the Principal Lala Lajpat Rai Memorial College of Education regarding conditions/discrepancies imposed by the above inspection Committee was enclosed (**Appendix-V**).
 3. In response to the letter dated 18.08.2022, the Principal Lajpat Rai Memorial College of Education had sent reply vide dated 08.10.2022 (**Appendix-V**).
 4. A copy of norms of NCTE 2014 was enclosed (**Appendix-V**).

Dr. Mukesh Arora stated that, according to him, perhaps the Item has been drafted wrongly. In fact, there are about 14 Colleges, but the extension of affiliation is being recommended to only 1 College. The extension of affiliated should be to certain Colleges.

It was pointed out that the issue related to grant of extension of affiliation for M.Ed. course. The NCTE rules say that there should be 2 Professors, 2 Associate Professors and 6 Assistant Professors for M.Ed. course, and the College could not appoint this much faculty because the students ranged between 5 and 10. That was why, the Item has been placed before the Syndicate for consideration.

The Vice-Chancellor said that the issue is that an observation is coming in the case of College to which affiliation for M.Ed. course is to be granted that although the requisite number of students is not there, the NCTE is saying that 2 Professors, 2 Associate Professors and 6 Assistant Professors should be appointed.

Dr. Mukesh Arora enquired as to why they had omitted the other 13 Colleges. The matter related to grant of extension of affiliation to 14 Colleges.

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

Professor Devinder Singh pointed out that the Affiliation Committee had considered the cases of all 14 Colleges together.

It was pointed out that in case of this College (Sr. No.13 at page 69 of the Appendix), it has been recommended by the Affiliation Committee that “the case of M.Ed. course be referred to the Syndicate for rationale decision as per norms of the NCTE”.

Professor Hemant Batra said that until the compliances are cleared by the other Colleges, their cases could not be placed before the Syndicate for consideration. So far as this College is concerned, the College had cleared all the deficiencies, and that was why, its case has been referred to the Syndicate.

Shri Rajiv Kumar Gupta, Director, Higher Education, Punjab, said that what Dr. Mukesh Arora is saying, is that several Colleges are listed in the Appendix. In fact, different observations have been mentioned against several Colleges, e.g., the Principal of the College be advised to do this and that. He (Dr. Mukesh) is saying whether the issue of all these Colleges has come before the Syndicate. If the issue of all the Colleges is involved in it, why the names of other Colleges have not been mentioned in the item?

Principal S.S. Sangha said that the item should have been “To consider issue of grant of temporary extension of affiliation in the course(s)/subject(s) to the following Colleges”.

Shri Satya Pal Jain pointed out that the meeting of Affiliation Committee held on 14th of October 2022 and against several items, it has been mentioned that “The Principal has been asked to submit the compliance report by 31st of October 2022”. Almost 2 months have elapsed. What is the latest status? Have they complied or not? If they have not complied, how could they proceed further?

Dr. Jayanti Dutta pointed out that they are considering the recommendation of the Affiliation Committee mentioned at Sr.No.13 where the case has been referred to the Syndicate for rationale decision.

Shri Satya Pal Jain said that, that meant, they are not considering the cases of other Colleges because their compliances are not there.

Professor Devinder Singh said that being the member of the Affiliation Committee, he could say that the conditions of NCTE for grant of affiliation for M.Ed. course are very rigorous, e.g., appointment of two Professors, two Associate Professors and six Assistant Professors, for a single unit. Situation in the Colleges of Punjab is, nowhere the number of students is in double digit. B.Ed. course is already taught in the Colleges where affiliation for M.Ed. course is sought. However, for B.Ed. course, there is no condition for appointment of Professor. Then all the members thought that they should give some relaxation to the Colleges because they did not want to close down the Colleges. Since such things got viral immediately, the other group objected by saying that they are favouring certain Colleges with particular motive. They immediately called another meeting and decided that the NCTE norms should strictly be followed. If any other decision is to be taken, the same should be taken by the Syndicate. If they wanted to relax the NCTE norms, it has been mentioned against Sr. No.13, “the case of M.Ed. course be referred to Syndicate for rationale decision as per norms of the NCTE” because such a decision could only be taken by the Governing Body. It is not a matter of a single College as there might be 15-16 such Colleges. The members have to deliberate whether the Colleges could appoint 2 Professors on the strength of 10 or less students.

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

Professor Sukhbir Kaur suggested that the Colleges should be asked to appoint 1 Professor, 1 Associate Professor and 3 Assistant Professors for offering one unit of M.Ed. course.

It was pointed out that as per the latest NCTE norms, 2 Professors, 2 Associate Professors and 6 Assistant Professors are required to be appointed for one unit of M.Ed. course.

Principal S.S. Sangha said that there should be a ratio of 1:5. As had been told, there are less than 10 students in M.Ed. course in every College. They had decided that up to 25 students, they could ask the Colleges to appoint 5 teachers, i.e., 1 Professor, 1 Associate Professor and 3 Assistant Professors, and with this, the norms of the NCTE would also not be violated. However, if there are 26 students or more, 10 teachers (2 Professors, 2 Associate Professors and 6 Assistant Professors) are to be appointed.

The Vice-Chancellor said that they would not deviate even an inch from the NCTE norms. Neither the Governing Body has any jurisdiction in this regard. Without violating the norms of the regulatory bodies, he is ready to help the Colleges. If they prepare slabs according to their own wills, he would not allow that.

Principal S.S. Sangha said that they are not disturbing the ratio of 1:5, i.e., one teacher for 25 students. Moreover, the norms of NCTE also applied in the University Campus, where 15 teachers are required, which are not there, but still the course is being offered.

The Vice-Chancellor said that since the issue under consideration related to affiliated Colleges only, they should stick to the same. He says again and again why the inspections of P.U. Constituent Colleges and Department(s) are not being conducted. If they continued like this, the Colleges would be closed down one-by-one.

Principal S.S. Sangha said that the NCTE norms should be followed in the University Campus, but are completely overlooked.

Professor Prashant Gautam pointed out that the NCTE norms have been mentioned at page 102 of the Appendix. Under clause 6.1 the faculty positions have been distributed, and it has been written, "For an intake of 50 students per unit, the faculty-student ratio for a two year programme for 100 students shall be 1:10. The faculty position shall be distributed as under:

1.	Professors	:	2
2.	Associate Professors	:	2
3.	Assistant Professors	:	6

Under this clause, they could give the benefit to the Colleges.

Dr. Neeru Malik said that the above faculty is for two units, i.e., one unit for 1st Year and one unit for 2nd year. Hence, there would be 100 students in total. She further said that it is true that they are thinking for the benefit(s) of the Colleges. Maximum Colleges are self-financing Colleges. Earlier also, she had submitted that they have to ensure that the teachers are qualified and their appointments are made in accordance with the norms of UGC, Punjab Government and Panjab University. They should also ensure that all such teachers are receiving full salary.

The Vice-Chancellor said that they should not deviate from the agenda as the time of the members is valuable. Now the issue before the House is as to how these Colleges could be helped.

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

Shri Satya Pal Jain said that what he could understand from the discussion held so far is that the norms of NCTE are binding on them, and there is no dispute about this. As such, they should go strictly in accordance with the NCTE Regulations/norms. If any via-media comes to their mind, the same should be sent to the NCTE for approval. If the NCTE approved, the same should be done; otherwise, not.

Professor Yojna Rawat said that the NCTE has already given its guidelines.

The Vice-Chancellor said that the NCTE's guidelines are crystal clear.

Shri Satya Pal Jain said that then they should go by the guidelines of NCTE. If they deviated from the NCTE guidelines, then the guidelines would be deviated continuously.

Dr. Jayanti Dutta said that it is not within the jurisdiction of the Syndicate.

It was pointed out that only M.Ed. course matter should have come to the Syndicate, and on this issue, two Colleges are clubbed, i.e., College at Sr. Nos.6 and 13 (Dev Samaj College of Education, Sector 36-B, Chandigarh and Lala Lajpat Rai Memorial College of Education, Dhudike).

Shri Satya Pal Jain said that Item has been placed before the Syndicate of only one College, i.e., extension of affiliation to Lala Lajpat Rai Memorial College of Education, Dhudike, and had given the example of 12 more Colleges. What is their proposal? Are they approving all the 13 or only one College?

Dr. Mukesh Arora pointed out that in majority of these Colleges, M.Ed. course is not being offered. Amongst these Colleges, only 2 Colleges have sought extension of affiliation for M.Ed. courses.

It was pointed out by the Dean of University Instruction that it has clearly been mentioned that the case of M.Ed. is being referred to the Syndicate for rationale decision as per norms of NCTE.

Shri Satya Pal Jain suggested that a Committee comprising 4-5 persons should be constituted by the Vice-Chancellor and no person, who is connected with any of these Colleges in any manner, should be associated with the Committee, so that an objective decision is taken. The Director, Higher Education, Punjab, or his nominee should also be associated with the Committee. A clear-cut policy should be framed and brought to the Syndicate for consideration. He reiterated that those, who are concerned/connected with these Colleges in any manner, should not be associated with the Committee proposed to be constituted.

Dr. Jayanti Dutta said that the first question is – whether they could violate the NCTE, and if not, there is no need to constitute the Committee.

Principal S.S. Sangha said that his only concern is that they should meet the NCTE norms, but the same yardstick should also be applied to the University Department(s).

Shri Satya Pal Jain intervened to say that they should strictly go by the NCTE norms.

Professor Devinder Singh said that they should follow the NCTE in the case of Colleges of Education. Six members of Affiliation Committee, which is a statutory Committee, are present here.

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

Shri Satya Pal Jain pointed out that they must be remembering that the case of Technical Colleges, which had gone to High Court, was decided in favour of teachers only on the plea that they are bound by the recommendations of AICTE and the AICTE allowed them to go up to the age of 65 years. Only on that basis, the teachers were allowed to serve up to the age of 65 years.

Professor Devinder Singh suggested that they should follow the NCTE and there is no need to appoint any Committee.

Referring to the plea made by Principal S.S. Sangha, the Vice-Chancellor said that the University is an autonomous body and it has a separate status. When Principal S.S. Sangha cited previous example, the Vice-Chancellor said that they had received a letter from the AICTE, and he wrote a letter stating that the University is an autonomous body, and they go by the norms determined by the Academic Council, Syndicate and Senate. However, they take care of the standard determined by them (AICTE). Inspection of Colleges is done to ensure that they might not violate the norms of the regulatory bodies.

Principal S.S. Sangha said that the NCTE conducted inspection only once, i.e., at the time of starting of the course and not again. Norms are meant for both, i.e., University and affiliated Colleges. How could they say that they would not follow the norms of MCI?

Professor Devinder Singh pointed out that his Department (Department of Laws) obtained approval from the Bar Council of India.

The Vice-Chancellor said that as suggested by Shri Satya Pal Jain, a Committee would be constituted, in principle, they would follow the NCTE norms and would not deviate from them.

Shri Amandeep Singh Bhatti, Director, Higher Education, U.T., Chandigarh, stated that some of the Colleges situated in the Union Territory of Chandigarh, particularly Government College of Commerce, Sector 50, Chandigarh, had issue(s) relating to affiliation. On this forum, he would like to request, particularly the Affiliation Committee that time and again the people from the College met them. Despite the fact that the numbers are complete, but simply because they have not been regularized for which the matter is pending with the Ministry of Education, Government of India, the affiliation is not being extended. His only request is that they should not take so much time in the grant of extension of affiliation to the Government Colleges. The entire concentration of the Principal(s) and teaching faculty remained on this and they are always waiting for the extension of affiliation. It is not with one College, but 2-3 Colleges. In fact, they all are sailing in the same boat, because it is very difficult to go in for the regular faculty. Of course, the process is going on. But to say that they would not accept any communication other than the regular Principal, is quite harsh. They must understand that one is a Principal, though he/she is not working on regular basis. He suggested that this must be taken care of.

Shri Satya Pal Jain said that he has also written to the Vice-Chancellor about this College (Government College of Commerce, Sector 50, Chandigarh). The private Colleges had their own problem in appointing Principals on regular basis. Why should those problems be faced by the Government Institution?

Shri Amandeep Singh Bhatti, Director, Higher Education, U.T., Chandigarh, said, "Absolutely right". They must understand that the Government followed different recruitment rules than the rules followed by the private Colleges. They are now again drafting recruitment rules and the same have been uploaded on the Colleges domain.

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

They were just waiting for the notification of pay-scales, which would definitely be notified within a day or two. Once it is done, the same would be sent to the UPSC.

Dr. Mukesh Arora said that it is true that the Government is trying to make the recruitments, but the problem is that the private Colleges also say that they should also be given similar concession. Why they insist upon the private Colleges to make appointments of teachers on regular basis. Either the private Colleges should also be given some relaxation or not even the Government Colleges. Certain Colleges also faced the problem of funds, due to which they could not make regular appointment(s), whereas the Government does not face such problem.

Professor Devinder Singh said that as said by Director, Higher Education, U.T., Chandigarh, and Principal S.S. Sangha, they did discuss that when the issue of private Colleges come, they always say that while granting affiliation/extension of affiliation the regulations/ rules/guidelines/norms should strictly be followed, but when a letter is received from the Government Colleges that they are appointing this number of faculty and the process is underway, they ignore the guidelines/norms. In the case of private Colleges, they talked about disaffiliation or not to grant extension of affiliation and considered the Government College separately and favoured them. The private Colleges always pleaded that they are given different treatment.

Shri Amandeep Singh Bhatti, Director, Higher Education, U.T., Chandigarh, said that recruitment procedure of private Colleges is entirely different from the recruitment procedure of Government Colleges because in the case of Government Colleges, the recruitment is done by the UPSC. However, in the case of private Colleges, the recruitment is done by the Management of the College concerned. Hence, there is a lot of difference. They must recognize the difference that getting the things done from the UPSC is entirely a different thing.

The Vice-Chancellor said that they would follow the NCTE norms.

RESOLVED: That the regulations/rules/guidelines/norms of NCTE with regard to grant of affiliation/extension of affiliation to Colleges of Education, be followed.

- 8.** Considered minutes dated 22.08.2022 of the Committee, constituted by the Vice-Chancellor with regard to Faculty Strength Audit to refine the matter regarding break up of 1378 teaching positions, department-wise and post wise to depict the same in Budget Estimates Part-II.

“Both the parties i.e. the complainant as well as the individuals, against whom the allegations have been levelled, are no longer serving the Panjab University. Moreover, nothing in concrete has come up, as alleged by the complainant. Also, the e-rickshaw contractor has been evicted almost two years back. Thus, carrying on the issue would be taxing work on the Panjab University’s resources. It would be in the benefit of the things to have a closure to the file and complaints regarding the issue. It is resolved that the complaint, file and such allegations are closed.”

NOTE: The above item was placed before the Syndicate in its meeting dated 13.08.2022 (Para 18) and it was resolved that consideration of the Item 18 on the agenda be deferred.

Professor Sukhbir Kaur said that the item was placed before the Syndicate in an earlier meeting. The Committee has observed that a lot of time is involved in having the meetings again and again. Hence, closure of file was recommended. It was discussed

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

in the meeting of the Syndicate last time that it should not be closed as there is a need for more deliberations on it.

Professor Devinder Singh said that he was present in the meeting of the Committee held under the chairpersonship of Dean of University Instruction. He is a member of this Committee for the last 4 years. On administrative basis, they observed that the University had not so much manpower to convene two meetings of this Committee every month, but nothing would come out. So far as the charges are concerned, they stand as it were. When Shri Satya Pal Jain enquired as to against whom the charges are, he (Professor Devinder Singh) said that the charges are against the former Registrar (Col. G.S. Chadha). Professor Rajesh Gill, Professor Navdeep Goyal and Shri Ashok Goyal, also attended a couple of meetings and at that time his only objection was, why the issue had not been placed before the Syndicate. When majority in the Syndicate was theirs (Professor Rajesh Gill, Professor Navdeep Goyal and Shri Ashok Goyal), why they did not get the matter placed before the Syndicate and discussed the same. He asked them that several times, they discussed issues out of the agenda, but did not discuss this issue out of the agenda. When the person left the University, they started these things. On asking, he said they recommended that since the University has not so much manpower and resources to convene the meetings of the Committee twice a month, the file should be closed. Their recommendation is only on the basis of manpower and resources, but the corruption angle is entirely different.

Shri Satya Pal Jain enquire, is there any serious allegation of corruption?

Professor Devinder Singh said that serious allegation is that a private profit making Company was given the contract to run the business just like a Trust. In fact, the said private Company was facilitated and given electricity, space, etc. Though the Company is a profit making Company, it had been treated as a Trust, which was providing them charitable services.

Shri Satya Pal Jain enquired, according to the complainant, what is the loss, which had been caused to the University.

Professor Devinder Singh replied, "University resources", which is to be quantified by them. When Shri Satya Pal Jain enquired, had the allotment been approved by the Syndicate and Senate, Professor Devinder Singh said that it had never been brought to the Syndicate and Senate for approval.

Shri Rajiv Kumar Gupta, Director, Higher Education, Punjab, enquired, were these conditions there in the tender?

Professor Devinder Singh said that tender was given and allotted on the basis first come, first served.

Shri Rajiv Kumar Gupta, Director, Higher Education, Punjab, had it been part of the tender, everybody would have got level playing field and prices would have been quoted accordingly.

Professor Devinder Singh said that now, the House could discuss this issue in its wisdom openly. They had discussed only the issue of manpower and University resources under the chairpersonship of Dean of University Instruction. Despite their inviting the complainant did not come to attend the meetings of the Committee. Moreover, now both the complainant and the accused had retired from the University service.

Shri Satya Pal Jain said that question is – when the Committee had called the complainant, why did she not come.

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

Shri Rajiv Kumar Gupta, Director, Higher Education, Punjab, said that since the Committee members are saying that they did not have time and manpower, could they assign this inquiry to an independent panel.

Professor Hemant Batra said that he was also a member of the Committee. Why they took this decision? She (Professor Rajesh Gill) is the complainant against the person whom the complaint has been made. Since both of them have left the University, they could not do anything against them, i.e., neither to Professor Rajesh Gill nor to the former Registrar (Col. G.S. Chadha). They had obtained the No Dues Certificate and they had left the University and they could not call them again. For whom, they are doing this? In fact, they are wasting the resources in all aspects. Had something relating to them pending, they could have definitely done something. Rather, he would go one step ahead, a person, who made such a complaint after demitting the office, should proceeded against. There should be some regulations/rules/deterrence for filing false complaint; otherwise, people make unnecessary complaints, and that too, His Excellency, Vice-President of India and Chancellor, Panjab University, so that it could be ensured that the valuable time of Syndicate and Senate is not wasted.

Shri Davesh Moudgil remarked, how could they frame rules/regulations against the Constitution of India?

Professor Hemant Batra said that there must something in the Constitution that if a person filed a complaint and the same is proved to be false, action could be taken against him/her.

Shri Davesh Moudgil suggested that they should get the complaint along with the affidavit and the Committee, constituted to examine the complaint, should be asked to submit its report within a stipulated time and not that the complaint should be given time to escape.

Shri Satya Pal Jain said that perhaps, this reason might not be proved to be logical, "Both the parties, i.e., the complainant as well as the individuals against whom the allegations have been levelled, are no longer serving the Panjab University. Moreover, nothing in concrete has come up, as alleged by the complainant. Also, the e-rickshaw contractor has been evicted almost two years back. Thus, carrying on the issue would be taxing work on the Panjab University's resources. It would be in the benefit of the things to have a closure to the file and complaints regarding the issue. It is resolved that the complaint, file and such allegations are closed". They should have written the sentence that the complainant was called a number of times, i.e., on such and such dates, but she did not turn up. When the complainant herself is not coming, the complaint should be filed. If the allegations related to insubordination, etc., the moment one retires, the same stand closed. But if it related criminal offence, it continued even after the retirement.

Professor Devinder Singh suggested that the Syndicate could appoint the Committee here itself.

Shri Satya Pal Jain suggested that either the statement of Chairperson of the Committee should be got recorded and made a part of the Syndicate proceedings that the complainant (Professor Rajesh Gill) did not come despite called to the meetings a number of times. They should remember that in the case of complaint filed by Professor Rajesh Gill against Professor Arun Kumar Grover, the Committee in its closure report had mentioned that they called her a number of times, but she did not come. Even if she files an appeal in the Supreme Court, she would not get any relief.

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

The Vice-Chancellor said that a small Committee would be constituted under the Chairpersonship of Dean of University Instruction to examine the issue and make specific recommendation(s).

Shri Satya Pal Jain suggested that it should be mentioned in the report that the complainant was called to the meeting(s), but she did not turn up. As such, they did not find any merit in the complaint.

Shri Davesh Moudgil suggested that the date of communication sent to the complainant (Professor Rajesh Gill) should be mentioned in the report.

Shri Satya Pal Jain remarked that the report took 7 months to come to the Syndicate. The report is dated 18th May 2022 and today is 19th December.

RESOLVED: That a small Committee, under the Chairpersonship of Dean of University Instruction, be constituted to examine the issue and make specific recommendation(s).

- 9.** Considered recommendation (No.3) of the Committee dated 01.11.2022 (**Appendix-VI**), constituted by the Vice-Chancellor, that M.Voc. (Fashion Technology and Apparel Design) (Semester System), be introduced, from the academic session 2021-22.

NOTE: The above course was proposed to be started in GGDS College, Sector-32, Chandigarh.

RESOLVED: That M.Voc. (Fashion Technology and Apparel Design) (Semester System) course, be introduced, from the academic session 2021-22.

- 10.** Considered following recommendation (No.3) of a committee dated 07.08.2022, 09.08.2022 and 29.08.2022 (**Appendix-VII**), constituted by the Vice-Chancellor, with regard to review the implementation of Post Matric Scholarship Scheme:

“that the implementation of PMS Scheme, which is a centrally sponsored scheme, needs to be reviewed thoroughly by the competent body (Syndicate) in the light of guidelines of Central Government and further necessary action shall be taken accordingly”

- NOTE:** 1. The University is facing the following problems/ issues in the implementation of post-matric scholarship scheme;

Inordinate delays in release of due grant by the Government with respect to the students admitted in Panjab University/its Regional Centres/ Constituent Colleges, there is a pending grant of Rs.20,95,92,728/-.

Besides this, with respect to students admitted in the affiliated colleges, the due examination fee are not being paid by the concerned colleges on the plea that the due grant from government or credit to concerned students has not been given by the Government. An amount of Rs.8.7 crore (which includes late fee of Rs.4.63 crore) is pending from various Colleges on this account.

2. As informed by Principals of Constituent Colleges, the Punjab Government has taken an undertaking from all the Constituent Colleges through Nodal Officer of Government of

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

Punjab that under Post Matric Scholarship Scheme, the Punjab Government shall be responsible only for their share i.e., (40%), for remaining share i.e., of Central Grant (60%), the Punjab Government shall not be responsible. The Principals of the Constituent Colleges expressed their concern that in the absence of surety of receipt of 100% scholarship grant from Punjab Government it would be very difficult to get the dues from students admitted under this scheme.

3. Under DBT Scheme, (started from 2018-19) the scholarship amount is being directly credited to the bank account of respective students. The College Principal/authority are not given any intimation about such transfer/release. In the absence of such details they (Principals) are totally dependent upon the wish of the student to disclose it to the collage authority or not to disclose. Because of which, University is facing difficulty in collecting the due fee from the students, even in those cases where the scholarship amount has already been credited to the students account.
4. In many cases students admitted under post-metric scholarship scheme leave the course in between the currency of a given academic session. IN such a scenario, if any scholarship amount is credited to that bank account of student, then the State Government ask the college authorities to recover the same from student, which is not possible for the Collage/Department as the student no longer remain in touch with the College/Department.
5. The University has its own prescribed fee for the courses run by Department/Constituent College. The State Government has fixed its own limit of fee for various courses such as B.C.A., B.Com, PGDCA etc., which is less than the fee prescribed by the University. The comparative status of fee for these course is given below:-

Class	University Tuition fee+Exam. Fee & other Charges	Punjab Govt. fee	Difference
B.Com 1st year	12275	10898	1377
B.Com 2nd year	11525	9598	1927
B.Com 3rd year	11525	9598	1927
B.C.A 1 st year	35975	27931	8044
B.C.A 2 nd year	35225	26631	8594
B.C.A 3 rd year	35225	26631	8594
PGDCA	26225	21091	5164

Initiating discussion, Dr. Mukesh Arora pointed out that the certifications of the students have not been released. In fact, the students belonged to Scheduled Castes categories. He pleaded that their Detailed-Marks-Cards should be released as they have to apply for jobs, which have been advertised by several Departments/Agencies. If needed, the degree should not be released. He knew that funds are involved in it, and how those funds are to be recovered is a matter for consideration, but the career of the students should also not be put at stake.

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

It was stated that these students belonged to Post Matric Scholarship Scheme of the Government and the Detailed-Marks-Cards of about 3 lacs students have been detained. A meeting was held on 29th of August 2022 to decide as to what is to be done about these students. A meeting was also held before this date, to consider as to what is to be done about the Detailed-Marks-Cards and degrees of students belonging to Post Matric Scholarship. So far as the students (Post Matric Scholarship) of University Departments/Institutes are concerned, they had already released their Detailed-Marks-Cards and degrees. In the case of students of Colleges, the recommendation of the Committee that the Detailed-Marks-Cards and degrees of students (Post Matric Scholarship) be released provided they deposited their fees in the University and the recommendation of the Committee had been approved by the Vice-Chancellor. However, the issue of late fee would be taken up with the Colleges later on. Certain Detailed-Marks-Cards and degrees are still pending in the University. As per the recommendation(s) of the Committee dated 29th August 2022, the Detailed-Marks-Cards have been got printed and despatched to the Departments/Colleges concerned, and the Colleges have to give undertakings that the moment the funds are received by them, the same would be remitted to/deposited in the University. If the Hon'ble members go through the Note, they would find that the Note is self-explanatory. They are facing a lot of problems in the implementation. Particularly, the Accounts Branch is facing major problems on this issue. Students demand Detailed-Marks-Cards and degrees from the Examination Branch, but they did not have any authorization for releasing the same. As such, they needed to take a policy decision as to how to go ahead on the issue of release of Detailed-Marks-Cards and degrees of students belonging to Post Matric Scholarship.

It was informed that basically, the Post Matric Scholarship Scheme is a centrally sponsored scheme. Under this scheme, 60% share is given by the Central Government and the remaining 40% is given by the respective State Government. There are two dimensions in its implementation. First dimension is – the students, who studied in Panjab University Departments/Institutes and P.U. Constituent Colleges, the claim of their fees and examination fee is supposed to come to the University from the Government, but in the case of students of affiliated Colleges, only the examination fee is supposed to come. It is not known whether the affiliated Colleges had filed their claims properly or not as the University did not have any report about that because the respective Colleges are managing the cases of their own students. As such, the University did not have any control on the students of affiliated Colleges. However, since the students of campus applied through the portal of the University, the University had a control and knew whether their documentation was proper or not. But in the case of students of affiliated Colleges, the University did not know whether the students had got the scholarship or not. About 2 years back, the Government used to transfer the amount of Post Matric Scholarship to the Institute directly, but from the last two years, the Government has started the policy of Direct Benefit Transfer (DBT) Scheme, and the amount is now directly deposited in the account of concerned students. The grant from the Central Government comes to the concerned State Government. Sometime before, the State Government (Government of Punjab) had taken an undertaken from the Constituent Colleges that the State Government's responsibility is only of 40% of the fees and not of the remaining 60%. From that, it showed that 60% is being given by the Central Government. They have divided the entire period into two parts – (i) period of two years before, for which the money was supposed to come to the University directly from the Government, and the amount is between Rs.20 crore and Rs.21 crore, and that is only for the University Teaching Departments/Institutes and P.U. Constituent Colleges, and same is still pending. So far as the later period when the amount is to be paid by the students is concerned, they did not know whether the amount has been transferred to the accounts of the students or not, because the Government has decided to deposit the amount in the accounts of the students directly.

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

Shri Satya Pal Jain said that, if remembered correctly, it is the same issue in which a Committee had been constituted by the Government for investigation. Since he is the lawyer in that case from the Government of India side, he could provide a copy of the affidavit to the University. It has been mentioned in the affidavit that the Central Government had already given such and such amount for such and such years to the Government of Punjab. Perhaps, the Punjab Government has made a SIT for investigating the case. The investigation is underway to find out whether the amount has been disbursed to the students or not. As such, the said issue is pending in the High Court. They could get it verified from the Punjab Government whether they had released the amount to the students or not.

Shri Rajiv Kumar Gupta, Director, Higher Education, Punjab, said that as told by Shri Satya Pal Jain, a Committee is investigating the case. Secondly, from the Punjab Government side, the Audit of its Social Welfare Department is underway. The problem is of about 3 years.

Shri Satya Pal Jain said that, perhaps, certain students had also filed a contempt against the Secretary, Higher Education, where the issue is pending, a matter to be looked into? Even an F.I.R. had also been lodged against a Minister.

It was pointed out that majority of the private Institutions are involved in it. As a University, they had submitted all the relevant records. A sum of Rs.20-21 crore of previous years are pending. Currently also, they are facing two-three issues. He is talking of only about the campus students. The Punjab Government has determined fees for certain courses. Suppose the University has fixed a fee of Rs.12,000/-, but the Punjab Government has fixed the fee at Rs.10,000/-, and the Government say that they would not pay only Rs.10,000/- per student. From where the remaining Rs.2,000/- would be claimed. The students say that since they are getting Post Matric Scholarship, they would not pay any fee. Secondly, sometimes the students took admissions, but leave the course in between and the Government says that since the student has left the course, it would not pay any amount. From where, the said amount would be claimed. Thirdly, they did not have any control over the students, who are studying in the affiliated Colleges. The University is asking the affiliated Colleges to take up the matter with the Government at their own level, but in the meanwhile, they should deposit the examination fee of the students with the University. For University, for the purpose of examination, the unit is the College, and hence; they talked to the College only. The amount due from the Colleges is also piling up. In this way, they are facing a lot of problems. When Shri Satya Pal Jain enquired as to how many such students are there, it was informed that the students in thousands.

Shri Satya Pal Jain said that there could be one way. He would give the papers to the University. The Vice-Chancellor should constitute a Committee of 3-4 persons and the Committee should start from the College, which has maximum number of students availing Post Matric Scholarship. The Colleges should be called one by one and the issue should be sorted out.

It was pointed out that the University had students having Post Matric Scholarship from other states as well, but the University did not face any such problem in their cases because the students pay the fees to the University and get the same reimbursed from their respective State. However, the Punjab Government gave a directive that the University/Colleges should not take fees from the students.

Dr. Jagtar Singh said that a meeting was convened on 24.10.2022, which was attended by Shri G.S. Brar, Deputy Director, Department of Social Welfare, and he had given a statement that they would release some portion of grant by February 2023.

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

Shri Satya Pal Jain informed that the High Court in a case of contempt had called the nominees of Punjab Government and Central Government to sort out the issue. As per their information, the issue got stuck at the level of Punjab Government. So far as the Central Government is concerned, it had released the entire grant. The Central Government released the second instalment, when the utilization certificate of first instalment is submitted by the concerned State Government. Since the utilization certificate is not being submitted by the Punjab Government, the question for release of second instalment does not arise.

Professor Devinder Singh said that if the University become a party in the Court, would it help in any manner?

The Vice-Chancellor said that they should think that already an amount of Rs.21 crore is pending with the Punjab Government, which would accumulate in the coming years.

Shri Rajiv Kumar Gupta, Director, Higher Education, Punjab, said that this amount is basically to be reimbursed by the Social Welfare Department. They just verify the claims submitted by the students and then data is sent to the Social Welfare Department. So far as the period of 3 years, which they were talking about, is concerned, it is on record that since the issue has become so complicated, nobody is ready to take up this file. Technically, the Social Welfare Department has not so much manpower to get such a voluminous data audited.

It was suggested that as is being done by other States, the students of the State of Punjab should also pay the fee and get the same reimbursed from the Punjab Government.

Shri Satya Pal Jain said that not to take fees is not the obligation of the University; rather the same is of the Government. From where the funds come to the Government, is not the obligation of the University.

It was pointed out that the Punjab Government had issued instructions that the University and Colleges would not take any fee from the students belonging to Schedule Caste categories.

Shri Rajiv Kumar Gupta, Director, Higher Education, Punjab, suggested that a letter should be written to the Punjab Government under the signatures of the Vice-Chancellor that such and such problems are being faced by the University. The Punjab Government should also follow the policy adopted by the other States under which the students paid the fees to the University/Colleges and get the same reimbursed from the Government.

The Vice-Chancellor asked the Finance & Development Officer to inform as to how many times they had written to the Punjab Government.

It was informed that they had never written to the Punjab Government that they should be allowed to take fees from the students. In fact, this is the decision, which the Syndicate/Senate has to take.

Shri Satya Pal Jain suggested that a properly worded letter should be drafted and sent to the Punjab Government, stating that earlier, the University/Colleges used to take fees from the students belonging to SC categories, but after receiving your letter stating that no fee should be charged from these students, the University stopped taking fees from the students. Now, they are facing these problems as neither the Government is releasing the funds nor the fees are being paid by the students. Either you refund the amount or from the next session, the University would start taking fees

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

from the students belonging to SC categories and would not be following the instructions of the Punjab Government.

It was clarified that since the University did not have any control in the cases of students of Colleges, it is the responsibility of the Colleges to deposit the examination fees of the students in the University. Later on, they could get the fee from the students.

Shri Satya Pal Jain said that fee, which is taken from the Colleges, should be taken. Thereafter, the matter is between the Colleges and their students. He suggested that intimation about this should be given to the affiliated Colleges in advance, so that they did not face any problem.

It was informed that the University would write a letter to the Punjab Government as suggested by Shri Satya Pal Jain. But despite that, if the University did not get the amount released, then the pressure would again be on the University authorities to release the Detailed-Marks-Cards and degrees of the SC students. There was a meeting, and after the meeting they had decided that they would release all the Detailed-Marks-Cards, but they would release only the photocopy of the degrees, and would not release the original degrees.

The Vice-Chancellor said that today they are approving the recommendation(s) of the Committee.

Shri Satya Pal Jain suggested that, in future, fees should be charged from the Colleges – whether they charged the same from the students or the Punjab Government, is not their concern.

The Vice-Chancellor remarked that this should be implemented immediately as Ministry is involved in it. He said that the Central Government often says that they had given the funds to the Government of Punjab. Even though the audit has also been got done, the Punjab Government is not releasing the amount.

Shri Satya Pal Jain said that he has already told where the problem lay.

RESOLVED: That a properly worded letter be written to the Punjab Government under the signatures of the Vice-Chancellor stating that earlier, the University/Colleges used to take fees from the students belonging to SC categories, but after receiving your letter asking the University not to take any fee from these students, the University stopped taking fees from the students. Now, they are facing these problems as neither the Government is releasing the funds nor the fees are being paid by the students. Either you refund the amount or from the next session, the University would start taking fees from the students belonging to SC categories and would not be following the instructions of the Punjab Government.

RESOLVED FURTHER: That the recommendations of the Committee dated 07.08.2022, 09.08.2022 and 29.08.2022, as per **Appendix**, be approved.

- 11.** Considered if, M.Ed. course, be discontinued at Sant Baba Bhag Singh Memorial Girls College of Education, V.P.O. Sukhanand, Moga, from the academic session 2023-24, in a phased manner as per Regulation 13.2, 13.3, 13.4 & 13.5 at page 166 of P.U Calendar, Volume-I 2022.

NOTE: An office note was enclosed (**Appendix-VIII**).

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

After some discussion, it was –

RESOLVED: That M.Ed. course being offered at Sant Baba Bhag Singh Memorial Girls College of Education, V.P.O. Sukhanand, Moga, be discontinued from the academic session 2023-24, in a phased manner, as per Regulation 13.2, 13.3, 13.4 & 13.5 at page 166 of P.U Calendar, Volume-I 2022.

- 12.** Considered the following status of pending examination fee with late fee from various affiliated Colleges for the exam session upto May/June 2022, verified upto 7.9.2022:

No. of Colleges	Examination fee	Late fee	Total	Remarks
28	40799225	46379125	87178350	SC students
5	1595430	1580000	3175430	EWS
43	307615	6761979	7069594	Other reasons
Grand total	42702270	54721104	97423374	

- NOTE:**
1. The issue of arrears of examination fee with late fee from SC, EWS and others category students, from various affiliated colleges is pending since long. This issue was deliberated upon in the meetings of Syndicate, held on 10.4.2019 (Para 14) and 16.10.2019 (Para 10).
 2. In this regard, this office has been apprising the authorities regarding the huge pending arrears/fees, from time to time. A detailed note in this regard was also put up to the authorities through the O/o FDO vide no. 4528/FDO, dated 01.11.2021 (**Appendix-IX**).
 3. The Vice-Chancellor constituted a committee to look into the issue. On the basis of recommendation of the committee dated 17.5.2022 and 7.7.2022 (**Appendix-IX**), the O/o DCDC issued individual notice to the concerned colleges. In response to that only Rs.52 Lac were received and huge due are still pending.
 4. An office note and list of Colleges was attached (**Appendix-IX**).

Initiating discussion, Dr. Mukesh Arora said that the Colleges are saying that they are ready to pay the fees of the students, provided the late is not levied on them. He pleaded that the late fee should not be charged from the Colleges as the fee also to be paid by the Colleges from their own sources. Moreover, the students whose fee is to be paid by the Colleges belonged to SC categories. He added that the late fee had also not been charged by the University from its own students.

It was informed that a number of Colleges are there and the lists are available in the Appendix and the examination fee and late fee is due from them. Broadly, they had three types of reasons – e.g., (i) SC students, who are covered under Post Matric Scholarship scheme; (ii) EWS students, who are given some fee concession. Though the Colleges covered the students under the EWS category, but the claims are not supported by proper documents. Since their fee came less, late fee is imposed on them.

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

Third is the category of those students, whose fee has been deposited less, but neither supporting documents of SC category nor EWS category had been provided. On account of these accounts, an amount of Rs.4.27 crore of 86 affiliated Colleges is pending, and a late of Rs.5.47 crore has been imposed on them. The late fee is only of the first stage; otherwise, the late fee goes up to Rs.22,000/-.

Principal S.S. Sangha said that with regard to students of economically weaker section and Scheduled caste, the attestation from the notary public was accepted earlier, but now in most of the cases when affidavits are required, they could not get the same prepared in the stipulated time. These are students from EWS and S.C. categories, who were not able to pay the fees; rather the College has to pay their fees. When the case of the University (pertaining to Constituent Colleges) was considered, they did not impose any kind of fine. On the one side, fee of Rs.500/- was reduced but now they are imposing the fine of Rs.2500/-. Therefore, he suggested that only examination fees are to be charged because they have also to be paid from the College from their own pockets as students had not paid the fees to the Colleges in the previous academic years i.e., 2017-18 and 2018-2019. The University had imposed the fine to the S.C. students rather than giving them the benefit of concession in fees. When the case of University had been placed before the House then all were mum on it saying that the grant is pending from the Government side whereas fine amounting to Rs.20 Lacs has been imposed on the Government Colleges, how the Government would pay such a huge amount of fine

Dr Mukesh Arora said that fine should not be imposed on S.C. and EWS students.

Principal S.S. Sangha said that one weeks' time may be allowed to the Colleges for the same.

It was clarified that for the students under Post Matric Scholarship Scheme, sometime should be fixed to remit the fees so that hon'ble members can consider waiving off their fine after the stipulated period, which can be acceded to. Whereas for the students under EWS category where documents have not been provided due to one or other reason, they have to decide what is to be done in it.

The Vice-Chancellor said that he is not against any student but waiving the fine of crores of rupees is not considerable. He is agreed with the viewpoint of Principal S.S. Sangha, but it is not acceptable that the entire fine amounting to crore of rupees is proposed to be waived off. He further said that there is audit on the amount of the Government, how can they propose to waive off an amount of Rs.5 crores.

Dr. Mukesh Arora said that S.C. students had been in the doubt that the Government had remitted their fees.

To this, the Vice-Chancellor said that they should give proper justification as the senior officers are also present there. He said that it cannot be done on humanitarian ground, a solid reason is required to be given.

Principal S.S. Sangha said that then there would be discrimination between the University and the Colleges.

The Vice-Chancellor said that the issue raised by Principal S.S. Sangha regarding discrimination should be got noted.

Principal S.S. Sangha said that the fees from the Rural Centre, Kauni, had not been received till date for the Youth Festival which was conducted in the year 2017.

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

The Vice-Chancellor said that the matter regarding discrimination between the University and the Colleges should be looked into. It should also be got examined whether it is within the jurisdiction of the House to waive off this huge amount of fine.

It was informed that Principals of the College had been writing to Dean, College Development Council that the examination fees should be allowed to be paid in four instalments.

It was informed that the Colleges are requesting that examination fees may be allowed to be remitted in four instalments.

It was further informed that in these Colleges, some Government Colleges might also been there. In one of the Colleges at Hoshiarpur, the amount of Rs.2.5 crores as examination fee is pending from them and Rs.3.5 crores is outstanding against this College as late fee fine.

Dr. Jayanti Dutta said that even in the category of E.W.S. students, without the receipt of the certificate, how can their late fee fine be waived off as they are not aware whether such students are in the EWS category or not.

Dr. Mukesh Arora said that certificate of EWS category can be obtained from them.

Professor Sukhbir Kaur said that how could they provide the certificates because they had passed the examinations.

It was intimated that the matter pertaining to EWS students was taken up with the Colleges and compliance has been received from their end that they would submit the certificates of the EWS category for these students.

The Vice-Chancellor said that this would be discussed later. First, Items 14 and 26 on the agenda be taken up for consideration as Shri Rajiv Kumar Gupta, Director, Higher Education, Punjab, has to go to attend to some urgent work in his office.

Discussion took place after Item 26.

Principal S.S. Sangha said that it was decided that Colleges would deposit examination fees without late fees within 15 days of the issue of the letter.

The Vice-Chancellor asked who would pay the late fees? He stated this would not be resolved in this manner as the fine amounting to crores of rupees cannot be waived off like this.

Principal S.S. Sangha said that how the College would pay the fine as the students had already left the College and the grant had not been released from the Government.

To this, the Vice-Chancellor said that he was agreed with his viewpoint but this is the financial matter, it is on the Governing body to decide.

Dr. Mukesh Arora said that whatever money they could get from the Colleges, should be received.

The Vice-Chancellor said that a way out should be find out in this matter. As suggested by Principal S.S. Sangha, is it possible that Colleges would pay the examination fees and 10 days' time should be given to them for payment of fees without

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

late fee. He also suggested that late fee should be waived off. The Governing body may decide as to what is to be done with respect to payment of late fee.

It was informed by C.O.E. that this payment should be discussed into three parts, in the year 2018; the Punjab Government had made the payment of fee into the beneficiary accounts. The examination fees in majority of cases are covered under Post Matric Scheme.

The Vice-Chancellor said that as per the viewpoint expressed by Principal S.S. Sangha, the Colleges would pay the examination fees by themselves as the students had left the Colleges. The second option to be suggested on issue is that some deadline should be fixed for the Colleges to pay the examination fees of the students.

Principal S.S. Sangha said that Colleges which could not pay the fee upto the deadline date, would be penalised with fine.

The Vice-Chancellor said that Colleges would deposit the examination fee within 10 days and after the expiry of 10 days, the Colleges may be penalised with fine and those Colleges who deposit the fees within 10 days, would not be charged any late fee.

Professor Yojna Rawat said that 15 days' time should be given to the Colleges to deposit the examination fees.

Professor Devinder Singh said that discussion on the matter should also be done as the examination fee is Rs.1500/- and the late fee is Rs.20,000/-.

Principal S.S. Sangha said that the late fee is Rs.25000/- and not Rs.20,000/-.

Professor Yojna Rawat said that minimum late fee of Rs.2000/- has been levied.

It was clarified that late fee is to be charged from the students whose forms are not received within the stipulated time, which is based on three slabs/stages. Under these stages, if the form is not received within 10 days, then the amount on the basis of 10 days delay is to be charged, and if the form is not received after 15 days, then the amount on the basis of 15 days to be charged. But in that case, these stages/slabs are not considered. The late fee for first stage has been levied on the Colleges for non-submission of examination fee under Post Matric Scheme. It was further informed that only the examination fee without late fees becomes Rs.4.27 crores.

The Vice-Chancellor said that without late fees, the University would get amount of Rs.4.27 crores.

It was stated by Dean, College Development Council that if the examination fee is not received from the Colleges, some dead end should also be suggested in the House e.g. withholding of Registration returns, so that it would not become practice for future.

Dr. Neeru Malik said that the mandate *pro forma* for admission for the next academic session, should not be given to them.

It was suggested that some deadline for Colleges should be fixed for payment of examination fees. In future for any reason, the Colleges should be made responsible for payment of examination fees irrespective of the fact that the grant is received from the Government or not. For E.W.S. category, no further pleas and requests would be considered after submission of the documents.

The Vice-Chancellor said that the above suggestion should be made the part of the resolution. It should also be resolved that the Colleges who were unable to deposit

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

the examination fees for the students under Post Matric Scheme within the stipulated time of 15 days' from the date of issuance of letter, their admissions would not be confirmed and their Detailed Marks sheets would be detained.

Dr. Neeru Malik said that in the meeting with Dean College Development Council they had taken a decision for the Colleges whose development fund was not received for conducting the seminars and webinars, those Colleges were excluded to get the benefits out of the development fund.

The Vice-Chancellor asked as to what decision is to be taken regarding charging of late fee as it is also a very huge amount.

Professor Yojna Rawat said that instead of waiving off the full amount, they should give relaxation of 50% in payment of late fee.

Principal S.S. Sangha said that firstly these are all the old cases and total amount of late fees would be Rs.4.27 crores for all the Colleges and the amount for individual College is more than one crore, which is to be received from the Government for the years 2016 and 2017.

The Vice-Chancellor said that it should be got cleared in the year 2016 and 2017 at the time of the then Vice-Chancellor. Being the senior most persons, they should evolve some solution to this problem. It is clear now that the College would pay the examination fee within 15 days of the issuance of the letter from the University.

The Vice-Chancellor enquired that matter has been solved, but what should be done in the matter pertaining to charging of late fine fee?

Principal S.S. Sangha said that it would give impression that instead of helping the students belonging to S.C. and E.W.S. categories, the late fee fine of Rs.2500/- is imposed.

Dr. Jayanti Dutta said that when certificate with respect to E.W.S. category is not provided, then everyone can claim the benefit of fee concession.

The Vice-Chancellor said that volume of the fine to be charged should have to be decided.

The Vice-Chancellor said that 2-3 senior officers along with 2-3 Syndics and Senators would bring a proper mechanism to be evolved to take decision regarding charging of late fee.

It was clarified that the decision would be such to deposit the examination fee by the Colleges within 15 days' time and for the late fee fine, a Committee would be constituted to examine the matter regarding late fee. It was further informed that in the year 2019, similar decision was taken. The Committee was also constituted earlier in the year 2019 and at that time fine was Rs.2 crores which has been enhanced to Rs.4.27 crores now. Therefore, it is requested that ultimately the decision is to be taken in the House itself.

The Vice-Chancellor said that F.D.O. should suggest ways and means to deal with matter with respect to late fee.

Dr. Mukesh Arora said that as per his opinion that the examination fee from the Colleges should be received in first instance and for the late fee, a Committee should be constituted.

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

The Vice-Chancellor said that Committee to be constituted may be directed to inform the Government of Punjab regarding the current situation pertaining to such matters.

RESOLVED: That –

1. The affiliated Colleges, be asked to deposit the examination fee of the students covered under Post Matric Scholarship Scheme, whose examination fee is pending, within 15 days from the issuance of the circular, failing which the admissions of concerned students would not be confirmed and their Detailed-Marks-Cards would be detained; and
2. the Colleges be written to that, in future, they are responsible for payment of examination fees of the students, including covered under Post Matric Scholarship Scheme, irrespective of the fact whether the grant is received by them from the Government or not. For E.W.S. category, no further pleas and requests would be considered after submission of the documents.

Item 13 taken up after Items 14 and 26.

14. Considered following recommendation of the Committee dated 04.11.2022 (**Appendix-X**), constituted by the Vice-Chancellor to look into Roster policy of SC/ST in promotion of non-teaching staff that:-

1. The percentage of reservation in promotion cadre-wise be defined and approved from the competent body before it is being finalized.
2. Date of implementation of reservation roster in promotion be approved from the competent body.
3. The reservation roster in promotion be prepared cadre-wise.

NOTE: An office note was enclosed (**Appendix-X**).

Initiating discussion, Dr. Jagtar Singh said that the Syndicate had approved the matter in its meeting held on 31st July, 2016, the documents of which are being provided to the Chair. He enquired, whether the same is required to be discussed again.

To this, Shri Rajiv Kumar Gupta, Director, Higher Education, (Punjab), said that as the non-teaching staff of Panjab University is under the Punjab Government, therefore, it should be considered on the pattern of the Punjab Government.

Professor Devinder Singh said that in the resolution provided by Dr. Jagtar Singh, it has been mentioned that the roster policy as per Centre Government is to be followed. As per the resolution, “the roster for non-teaching positions be prepared as per the reservation policy of the Central Government, i.e., 15% of the positions.

The Vice-Chancellor said that it is to be done as per the pattern of the Punjab Government.

It was clarified that as per the statement made by Shri Rajiv Kumar Gupta, Director, Higher Education, (Punjab), the roster in promotions should be prepared on

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

the pattern of the Punjab Government. Secondly, the reservation is applicable only to the domiciles of Punjab, Haryana, Himachal Pradesh and Chandigarh.

It was informed that there would be a problem in it as the percentage for reservation in recruitment is not at par with the Punjab Government. The percentage of reservation of Punjab Government is quite different.

Shri Rajiv Gupta, Director, Higher Education (Punjab), asked why there is deviation in it, it should be at par with the Punjab Government.

It was informed that from the very beginning, the reservation percentage of Panjab University is different from Punjab Government. It was further informed that in Punjab Government, there is no provision of reservation for ST category.

Shri Rajiv Gupta, Director, Higher Education (Punjab), said that why this agenda item has been brought in the House.

It was informed that this agenda item has been brought as there is no reservation policy for reservation in promotion.

To this, Shri Rajiv Gupta, Director, Higher Education (Punjab), as informed, the reservation policy of the Panjab University is different from that the reservation policy of the Punjab Government.

It was informed that the reservation policy for direct recruitment is different in the Panjab University. The percentage of reservation in direct recruitment for non-teaching positions is defined in the Regulations of Panjab University that is not at par with the Punjab Government. It is further informed that this agenda is brought only to decide the on the issue with respect to reservation in promotion for non-teaching employees. The principles and formulae for the calculation for preparation of roster should be followed as per the pattern of the Punjab Government. The percentage for reservation in promotion is required to be identified and decided in the matter.

Shri Rajiv Gupta, Director, Higher Education (Punjab), said that in that matter it should be got examined in detail. As this item has been placed in the Supplementary Agenda, therefore, it could not be examined in detail due to shortage of time.

Dr. Jagtar Singh said that these are the papers concerning the judgement of the Supreme Court.

To this, Shri Rajiv Gupta, Director, Higher Education (Punjab), said that Dr. Jagtar Singh should not be concerned with this agenda item personally.

Dr. Jagtar Singh replied that he is not taking it personally.

Shri Rajiv Gupta, Director, Higher Education (Punjab), said that why he is taking personal interest in it. He can only make the discussion on it rather than speaking loudly. In fact, he could also speak loudly. He disconcerted on the way of talking of Dr. Jagtar Singh and asked, is he his (Dr. Jagtar Singh) subordinate. He (Shri Rajiv Kumar Gupta), stated that time should be given to them to examine in detail the roster policy prepared by the Committee of the University. They wished that the draft of the roster policy should be got examined from the side of the Punjab Government. If the conditions and rules of the Punjab Government are not violated from the draft roster of Panjab University, then the roster in reservation in promotions could be implemented.

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

Dr. Jagtar Singh said that he only would like to enquire when the decision from the same had already been taken from the Supreme Court and also in the previous meeting of the Syndicate, then why the matter is placed again in the Syndicate. It should be got enquired why this had not been implemented so far.

Professor Devinder Singh said that earlier the policy decision was taken in the matter, now the post-wise draft roster has been placed before the House. Therefore, it should be re-examined in consultation with the Punjab Government in a time bound manner.

Shri Rajiv Gupta, Director, Higher Education (Punjab), said that the draft roster should be given to them so that it could be got checked from the Department of Social Welfare, Punjab, before the next meeting of the Syndicate.

The Vice-Chancellor directed the Registrar to follow up the same and take appropriate action in a time bound manner by giving one weeks' time.

RESOLVED: That the draft roster, be provided to Director, Higher Education, Punjab, to get the same checked from the Department of Social Welfare, Punjab.

26.

Considered if, Gazette notification dated 30.03.2022 of the Chandigarh, Ministry of Home Affairs notification dated 29.03.2022 and notification No.28/17/94-IH(7)-2022/5169 dated 30.03.2022 of Department of Personnel, Chandigarh Administration regarding employees condition of Service Rule 2022, be adopted.

NOTE: The above item was placed before the Syndicate in its meeting dated 07.11.2022 (Para 19) and it was resolved that:

1. xxx xxx xxx
2. so far as the implementation of notification of Department of Personnel, Chandigarh Administration regarding employees condition of Service Rules, 2022 for Principals/teachers of Government Aided Colleges situated in Chandigarh is concerned, **the matter be deferred, until the decision by the Committee constituted by the Chandigarh Administration under the Home Secretary, is received.**

Initiating discussion, Dr. Jagtar Singh said that the Hon'ble Minister Shri Amit Shah ji had made announcement but the same has not been implemented till date as they were of the view that firstly it would be implemented in the University, then it would be considered in the Colleges located at Chandigarh.

Shri Davesh Moudgil requested said that such type of matters should be placed in the main agenda rather than in the supplementary agenda. Under this notification the teachers of Panjab University (which are situated in Chandigarh) should also be considered for extension in the age of superannuation from 60 years to 65 years. Secondly, he stated that when the said item was placed in the previous meeting of the Syndicate, it was intimated by Shri Amandeep Singh Bhatti that Government had constituted a Committee in it. The report of the said committee is not in the knowledge of the University. Thirdly, it was also told by the Vice-Chancellor that a Committee would be constituted by the University to decide on this issue. He requested that the holistic discussion on the report of the Committee constituted by the Government of Punjab is required to be done to adopt the said notification, for the same, the cleared facts should be placed.

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

Dr. Jagtar Singh asked if whether the Syndicate is above than the Hon'ble Minister Shri Amit Shah Ji.

To this, Shri Davesh Moudgil replied that he is talking for the teachers of the University and Dr. Jagtar Singh showed his resentment against him.

Dr. Mukesh Arora said that Dr. Jagtar Singh wished to state that when this matter was also placed in the previous meeting of the Syndicate, it was assured that the matter would be examined minutely and examination of all the pros and cons, the same would be placed in the next meeting of the House. He requested that if the matter for the University teachers is pending for decision, in that case the College teachers could be allowed to get the benefit of superannuation at the age of 65 years.

Dr. Jagtar Singh said that one month period has been lapsed till then no positive response is received from the Government of Punjab. Shri Amandeep Singh Bhatti is very much aware that three teachers had retired in the month of November and two teachers would be retired in the month of December, 2022.

Shri Davesh Moudgil said that they all are part and parcel of the Centre Government and Chandigarh is Union territory which directly falls under the Ministry of Home Affairs. Shri Satya Pal Jain had put his strenuous efforts during the last 10 months in consultation with Hon'ble Home Minister Shri Amit Shah Ji for getting the centre scales for the employees in Chandigarh. He said that they all are in favour of this notification but when it is placed in a half-cooked manner then it would pose problems, rather the Department of Higher Education is under the Centre Government. He is raising demands for the teachers of P.U. Campus also.

Dr. Neeru Malik said that she would like to make a request the House through the Vice-Chancellor that after the announcement, the letter was issued in the month of 1st April, 2022 from the office of Director, Higher Education, which was received on 13th April, 2022, wherein it was mentioned that the notification was endorsed by the office of Director Higher Education for adoption by the University. It has been mentioned that C.S.R. has to be adopted as per the gazette notification which has also been mentioned in the Agenda item. The University falls under point (ii) i.e., Higher education, as per U.G.C. At the moment, what University is waiting for? It has been ensured to them that the notification regarding new pay scales has been issued and it would be adopted for implementation. They only want the implementation of Central Service Rules in totality. Being the Union territory either the Colleges, the Government is in nature or aided in nature or the University, the said notification should be adopted. The financial liability of the Colleges is not borne by the Punjab Government, it is directly being borne by the Central Government and all the financial benefits and payments are being given by the Chandigarh Administration.

Professor Devinder Singh said that this is the notification of the Central Government and geographically they are located in Chandigarh and the service conditions of the Chandigarh are applicable, even one share of the grant for non-teaching employees is being received from the Centre Government, hence, this policy should be adopted in principle. It has been observed that the report of the Committee constituted by the Chandigarh Administration is still awaited. The intention of the University should be made clear either by seeking clarification or otherwise that the Governing body of the Panjab University is adopting the same in principle. There should not be any difficulty in adopting the same by the University. The Centre Government had issued the notification for the welfare of the teachers located at Chandigarh. At the time of issue of notification, the Panjab University was not debarred to be covered under this notification. Panjab University was part of that notification hence, this notification should be adopted. If any difficulty is faced at the later stage, it would be got clarified.

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

Shri Rajiv Kumar Gupta, Director, Higher Education (Punjab) said that he would like to quote the judgement of the High Court that in the University for framing any new Regulation or to amend any Regulation, the procedure is laid down in this judgement which says that "*the Syndicate shall consider all amendments and draft Regulations as recommended by the Regulation Committee and after making such alterations as it considers fit shall submit the same to the Senate*". Hence this agenda item has been placed directly in the Syndicate without placing the same before the Regulations Committee first. In spite of this, the matter regarding enhancement of retirement age from 60 to 65 years had been placed in the High Court by Professor B.S. Ghuman under C.W.P. No.1198 of 2014 wherein High court had made pertinent observation first is that where any Corporate Body constituted under a Central Act, State Act or Provincial Act for the existing state of Punjab or any part thereof, serves the need of the successor's state or has by virtue of provisions part (ii) becomes an inter-state body corporate. It has also clearly been written that for the removal of doubt, it is hereby clarified that the provisions of this section shall apply to Panjab University constituted under the Panjab University Act, 1947. Some observations have also been mentioned i.e., the questions has to be answered in the negative for the very three basic reasons firstly the question is whether the Panjab University is a Central University or a Centrally funded University or not. The question has to be answered in negative for the three very basic reasons, first it is created by the State Act of 1947 and thereafter even by virtue of Punjab State Re-organisation Act, it has been declared to be an Inter-State Body Corporate or in other words an Inter-State University by Section 72(1) of the Act. Yet, simply because the funding pattern is the same as that of the Central University, it does not bestow the status of Central University upon the Panjab University because for such status to be granted, it is necessary, has to be declared to be so in view of the fact it has been described as an inter-state body corporate in Section 72(1) of 1966 Act and not as a Central University and after the enactment of the Central Universities Act, 2009, the status has to be specifically conferred on an institution, this has not been done in the case of the Panjab University. This matter had already been passed by the Senate and sent to the Government, he did not know what the status of the same was. That matter had already been passed by the Senate and on the judgement also, it had been written that "having considered the above as discussed furthermore, no doubt the changed funding pattern does suggest that Panjab University is partially centrally funded as contented by the petitioners, the 90% of funds to the University coming from the Central Government and the pattern of such funding also being same as the Central University, however, this simple premise ignores the fact that 10% of the funding of the Punjab Government also factually 159 Colleges in the state of Punjab are affiliated to the Panjab University and, therefore, the Central University status is not with the Panjab University. So, it had repeatedly been mentioned also in the matter, it had also been written that the University on 20.12.2011, the Senate after passing the resolution had approved the amendment in Regulation 10(2) of the Panjab University, Act and also in the Regulation 17.3 contained in Chapter VI (I-A) and Regulation 7 in Chapter VIII(D) of the Panjab University, Act, hence the final decision on it has also not been taken. In nut shell it has been clarified that Punjab Government is the integral part of the Panjab University, it has been raised at various forums so for dealing with this, a consultative process is required in which the Punjab Government should be involved. Last time also he had objected that, this agenda item was placed as table agenda and this time also this item has also come in the Supplementary agenda which was received only on Saturday. He could not understand why they are in hurry whereas in the last month they were eagerly waiting for the pay-scales of the Punjab Government. After getting the pay-scales, they are now pressing hard to adopt the notification of the Central Government. He could not apprehend why the double standards are being followed. He suggested that all the stakeholders should deliberate on this because amounts to changing the basic character of Panjab University to which the Punjab Government is totally opposed.

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

Shri Satya Pal Jain said that he also knew all about this but he did not want to express his viewpoint on it because he was the part of the process involved. In getting this notification issued, all had made contribution and as a result, the Minister had come there for announcement of the same. Some problems are involved in the implementation of this notification, which are practical in nature; the whole House has desired that in the Chandigarh as well as in the P.U. Campus, as early as possible, the said notification is implemented. There is no dispute either in all of them or in the Administration. The attitude of the Governor and the Adviser to Chandigarh Administration is also very positive. In spite of long deliberations and discussion, all are in positive attitude. The recommendations of the Committee of the Chandigarh Administration would also be available in 2-3 days. He stated that they all are in favour of the same but the Central Government has its own practical problems. On the day of announcement of pay-scales by Shri Amit Shah ji, thereafter, a session of the Punjab Vidhan Sabha was called and passed the resolution that it is illegal and have no binding. The University and the Chandigarh Administration is in its favour and they all working in the same direction and the report of the Committee of Chandigarh Administration would be made available in 2-3 days. In the matters where legal position is concerned where writ has been filed in the High Court with regard to enhancement of retirement age from 60 to 65 years, that writ has become a major obstacle in the path where High Court had ordered that Panjab University is an inter-state body corporate, neither it is Central nor the State University. Resultantly, the University are facing practical problems. Under Section 72 which is the part of the Punjab Re-organisation Act, the Central Government has the power to issue the notification for Panjab University without moving the same to the Parliament. But Punjab Government is the most important part of the Panjab University, Central Government does not want to give an impression that they are against the Punjab Government or they do not want to take Punjab Government into confidence. Hence, they all should make a request at their own level and he felt that within 2-3 days there might be some new development. They should wait for as suggested by Shri Rajiv Kumar Gupta, Director, Higher Education (Punjab), so that they could get the fruitful results.

Dr. Neeru Malik said that although she is not from the background of law, yet whatever been learnt from the references of Shri Rajiv Kumar Gupta, Director, Higher Education (Punjab), they are concern with what is pertaining to the University, nowhere it is mentioned about the Colleges located in Chandigarh, affiliated to Panjab University. They only want to know about the status of the Colleges situated in Chandigarh and affiliated to Panjab University.

Shri Amandeep Singh Bhatti, Director, Higher Education, Chandigarh, said that there are broadly two major issues, one is the age of retirement and the other is for the pay-scales. If they see in toto, the pay-scales and the age of superannuation is to be considered. He wished to make them aware that the pay-scales as per the new notification had already been implemented by the Government of U.T. Administration. The formal notification would come in a day or two. As regards the age of superannuation, which had also been rightly said by Shri Satya Pal Jain, it is a matter of great concern as lot of things are inter-mingled because there are many Colleges in Punjab and Chandigarh also where the age of superannuation is 58 for some of them and 60 for others. But so far as the report of the Committee is concerned, the matter has been examined by the Committee and the same is pending with the highest authority. The matter is under the active consideration of Education Secretary to the Governor and is moving in the positive direction. They just want to find the proper way out, so that in future, it should not entangle in the legal complications.

Dr. Neeru Malik said that they got the information from their sources that the Government has kept the continuation of the teachers who are serving in Government Colleges, whereas no certain letter or notice had been issued, with regard to the

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

teachers of the G.G.S. Khalsa College, Sector 26, Chandigarh. She requested that the Central Service Rules in toto, should be implemented.

Shri Amandeep Singh Bhatti, Director, Higher Education, Chandigarh, said that they should not get into an individual case; it is on the part of the management of the College to decide. The officials of the State Government as well as of the U.T. Administration had tried very hard, even by conducting meetings with the management of the College. He had really requested the management but it is upto the management to take decision. So, his request will only be what Sh Rajiv Gupta Ji had suggested in the meeting, they should wait for the concrete solution that would come after the report of the Committee.

Dr. Neeru Malik said that some written letter should be issued stating therein that no teacher would be retired till the final outcome of the Committee is received.

Professor Devinder Singh said that for the Chandigarh, the Committee had been constituted, but for the Campus what is to be done.

The Vice-Chancellor said that as suggested by Shri Satya Pal Jain that matter is required thorough consideration.

Shri Satya Pal Jain said that being the sensitive issues, the University as an institution should take a stand in the matter as they are in favour that the retirement age for P.U. Campus teachers would be enhanced from 60 to 65 years. If the University is in favour of it then the appeal of the Supreme Court has to be stayed thereon and in that case they cannot be opposed thereon. The University should take a decision on it.

Dr. Neeru Malik said that could they give the good news to the teachers with regard to the same.

Shri Amandeep Singh Bhatti, Director, Higher Education, Union Territory, Chandigarh, asked as to in what regard.

To this, Dr. Neeru Malik replied that with regard to implementation of C.S.R. in toto.

RESOLVED: That consideration of Item 26 on the agenda, be deferred.

- 13.** Considered the requests of the Fellows for change of their assignment to the Faculties, under proviso (ii) to Regulation 2.1 at page 47 of P.U. Calendar, Volume I, 2022.

NOTE: The requests received were opened on the floor of the House.

RESOLVED: That the following Fellows be allowed to change their assignment to the Faculties as mentioned against their names, under proviso (ii) to Regulation 2.1 at page 47 of Panjab University Calendar, Volume I, 2022:

Sr. No.	Name of the Fellow	Present Faculty/Faculties	Faculties (After Change) To
1.	Shri Gurmeet Singh Meet Hayer School Education, Higher Education, Sports & Youth Services Minister, Punjab Flat No.13, Sector 3, Chandigarh Room No.6, 5 th Floor, Punjab Civil Secretariat	1. Science 2. Arts 3. Education 4. Busi. Mgt. & Commerce	1. Medical Sciences 2. Arts 3. Education 4. Busi. Mgt. & Commerce

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

	Sector 1, Chandigarh E-mail punjabeducationminister@gmail.com		
2.	Shri Dharam Pal Advisor to Administrator Union Territory of Chandigarh U.T. Secretariat, Sector 9 Chandigarh – 160017 Ph. 0172-2740154 E-mail adviser-chd@nic.in	1. Science 2. Medical Sciences 3. Engg. & Technology 4. Pharmaceutical Sciences	1. Science 2. Medical Sciences 3. Busi. Mgt. & Commerce 4. Engg. & Tech.
3.	Shri Amandeep Singh Bhatti, PCS Director Higher Education, U.T. Chandigarh Department of Higher education Chandigarh Administration, Sector 9, Chandigarh. 2nd Address Shri Amandeep Singh Bhatti, PCS H.No. 661, Phase-VI Sector-56, Mohali	1. Arts 2. Science 3. Busi. Mgt. & Commerce 4. Education	1. Arts 2. Medical Sciences 3. Busi. Mgt. & Commerce 4. Education
4.	Shri Rajiv Kumar Gupta, IAS Director, Higher Education, Punjab Vidya Bhawan (Punjab School Education Board) Block E, 5 th Floor, Phase-VIII SAS Nagar (Mohali) – Punjab-160062 Ph. 0172-5212313, 5212359 Mob. 9501024544 E-mail dpipunjab234@gmail.com 2nd Address Shri Rajiv Kumar Gupta, IAS H.No.107, Sector 19 A Chandigarh	1. Arts 2. Science 3. Engg. & Technology 4. Education	1. Arts 2. Medical Sciences 3. Education 4. Engg. & Tech.
5.	Dr. Balbir Chand Josan M.A., Ph.D. #668, 1 st Floor Sector 40 A, Chandigarh Mob. 9779077022 E-mail bcjosan@gmail.com	1. Law 2. Science 3. Education 4. Pharmaceutical Sciences	1. Arts 2. Law 3. Busi. Mgt. & Commerce 4. Education
6.	Dr. Dayal Partap Singh Randhawa Alias Dayal Partap Singh Ph.D., # 1203, Sector 18-C, Chandigarh- 160019 Permanent Address: Dr. Dayal Partap Singh Randhawa Village. Ainokot, Teh. Batala, Distt. Gurdaspur, Pb. Mob. 9814400088 Fax: 1722777777 E-mail dpsrandhawa@hotmail.com	1. Science 2. Arts 3. Education 4. Busi. Mgt. & Commerce	1. Science 2. Law 3. Education 4. Engg. & Tech.

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

7.	Dr. Harjodh Singh M.A. (Pbi.), Ph.D. #A/2, Punjabi University Campus Patiala, Punjab Mob. 9417200022 E-mail harjodh7@gmail.com	1. Languages 2. Arts 3. Design & Fine Arts 4. Dairying, Animal Husbandry and Agriculture	1. Languages 2. Arts 3. Design & Fine Arts 4. Education
8.	Dr. Jagwant Singh M.Com. LLB, Ph.D #2966, Sector 42-C, Chandigarh Mob. 9815947500 E-mail jagwant2008@gmail.com	1. Arts 2. Science 3. Busi. Mgt. & Commerce 4. Education	1. Medical Sciences 2. Arts 3. Busi. Mgt. & Commerce 4. Education
9.	Shri Lajwant Singh Virk B.A., LLB #402, Uni. Block, New Sunny Enclave, Sector-123, Kharar, Pb. Mob. 9988400035 E-mail lajwant.virk@gmail.com	1. Law 2. Science 3. Education 4. Pharmaceutical Sciences	1. Arts 2. Law 3. Busi. Mgt. & Commerce 4. Engg. & Tech.
10.	Professor Mukesh Kumar Arora M.A. (Gold Medalist), M.Phil., Ph.D 35-GF, Nirmal Chhaya Apartments Block-C, Rishi Nagar, Ludhiana, Punjab Mob. 9814174475, E-mail draroramukesh@gmail.com	1. Languages 2. Science 3. Education 4. Busi. Mgt. & Commerce	1. Arts 2. Languages 3. Busi. Mgt. & Commerce 4. Education
11.	Shri Manish Wayyer M.Com. #976, Sector 4, Panchkula Mob. 9915852222 E-mail luckymanish34@gmail.com	1. Science 2. Languages 3. Busi. Mgt. & Commerce 4. Dairying, Animal Husbandry & Agriculture	1. Arts 2. Science 3. Busi. Mgt. & Commerce 4. Engg. & Tech.
12.	Shri Naresh Gaur B.Com. 136 C Rishi Nagar, Ludhiana, Punjab Mob. 9417335644 E-mail ngaur57@yahoo.com	1. Science 2. Arts 3. Busi. Mgt. & Commerce 4. Education	1. Science 2. Medical Science 3. Education 4. Busi. Mgt. & Commerce
13.	Shri Prabhjit Singh B.A. Kothi No. 2198, Sector 89, Mohali, SAS Nagar, Punjab Mob. 9814435442 E-mail singhprabhjit66@gmail.com	1. Science 2. Languages 3. Pharmaceutical Sciences 4. Engg. & Technology	1. Science 2. Law 3. Busi. Mgt. & Commerce 4. Education
14.	Shri Ravinder Singh M.A., LLB, M.Phil. VPO Mehraj, Tehs: Phool, Bathinda, Pb. Mob. 9888942021 2744, Sector-37C, Chandigarh E-mail ravinderdhalwal777@gmail.com	1. Languages 2. Science 3. Education 4. Busi. Mgt. & Commerce	1. Languages 2. Law 3. Busi. Mgt. & Commerce 4. Education
15.	Shri Simranjit Singh Dhillon M.A., LLB. VPO. Sarawan Bodlan Teh. Malout, Distt. Sri Muktsar Sahib Punjab-151210 Mob. 9915109104, 9154000003 E-mail simdhillon91@gmail.com	1. Law 2. Arts 3. Education 4. Design & Fine Arts	1. Law 2. Arts 3. Education 4. Engg. & Tech.

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

16.	Shri Varinder Singh B.A. #312, Kothi Faiz, Abohar, Fazilka, Punjab Mob. 9915800012 E-mail varindersinghgill.pu@gmail.com	1. Arts 2. Science 3. Education 4. Dairying, Animal Husbandry and Agriculture	1. Medical Sciences 2. Arts 3. Design & Fine Arts 4. Education
17.	Professor Jatinder Grover M.Sc., M.Ed., Ph.D. Department of Education Panjab University, Chandigarh Mob. 8427297000, 9855425672 E-mail jatindergrover@pu.ac.in	1. Science 2. Arts 3. Education 4. Busi. Mgt. & Commerce	1. Science 2. Medical Sciences 3. Busi. Mgt. & Commerce 4. Engg. & Tech.
18.	Professor Rajat Sandhir M.Sc., Ph.D. (Biochemistry) Department of Biochemistry Panjab University, Chandigarh Mob. 8427777856 E-mail sandhir@pu.ac.in	1. Science 2. Arts 3. Education 4. Busi. Mgt. & Commerce	1. Medical Sciences 2. Arts 3. Education 4. Engg. & Tech.
19.	Dr. Dinesh Kumar M.A., LL.M., Ph.D Associate Professor Department of Laws Panjab University, Chandigarh E-1/40, Sector-14 Mob. 9915810297 E-mail dinesh@pu.ac.in	1. Law 2. Arts 3. Design & Fine Arts 4. Pharmaceutical Sciences	1. Law 2. Arts 3. Education 4. Design & Fine Arts
20.	Dr. Parveen Goyal Ph.D., Assistant Professor University Institute of Engg. & Tech. Panjab University, Chandigarh Mob. 9815896823 E-mail pgoyal@pu.ac.in	1. Science 2. Arts 3. Engg. & Technology 4. Education	1. Science 2. Law 3. Engg. & Tech. 4. Education
21.	Dr. Savita Kansal M.Sc., M.Ed., Ph.D. Principal Brahamrishi Yoga Training College, Sector 19-A, Chandigarh #1076/A, Sector-21, Panckhula Mob. 9781388442 E-mail drsavitakansal@gmail.com	1. Science 2. Arts 3. Education 4. Busi. Mgt. & Commerce	1. Science 2. Medical Sciences 3. Education 4. Busi. Mgt. & Commerce
22.	Dr. Sandeep Kataria M.Sc., M.Ed., Ph.D. Principal Saint Sahara College of Education Ferozepur Road, Near Power Grid Sri Muktsar Sahib – 152 026 2nd Address Dr. Sandeep Kataria V.P.O. Chak 3Y, Tehsil & District Sri Ganganagar, Rajasthan – 335 001 Mob. 9988404325, 8619672121 E-mail katariasandeep.1010@gmail.com	1. Science 2. Arts 3. Education 4. Busi. Mgt. & Commerce	1. Science 2. Medical Sciences 3. Education 4. Busi. Mgt. & Commerce

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

23.	Dr. Neetu Ohri M. A. (Hindi), M.Ed., M.Phil., Ph. D. (Education), Principal Guru Nanak College of Education, Malerkotla Road, Gopalpur, Ludhiana Mob. 9815620532, 8196052888 E-mail neetuhanda98156@gmail.com , yeshant1@rediffmail.com	1. Languages 2. Science 3. Education 4. Busi. Mgt. & Commerce	1. Arts 2. Languages 3. Busi. Mgt. & Commerce 4. Education
24.	Dr. Neeru Malik M.A. Physical Education (Silver Medalist), NIS (Gold Medalist), NDDY, UGC, NET, Ph.D. Inter National Qualified Technical Official by ITTF Assistant Professor Dev Samaj College of Education Sector 36-B, Chandigarh #133, Sector-14 West, Milk Colony, Chandigarh Mob. 9781133666 E-mail drneerumalikhry@gmail.com	1. Arts 2. Languages 3. Design & Fine Arts 4. Education	1. Arts 2. Law 3. Education 4. Design & Fine Arts
25.	Dr. Gurmit Singh M.A. (Hist. & Eco.) M.Ed., Ph.D. (Edu.) Assistant Professor Malwa Central College of Education for Women, Ludhiana 2nd Address Dr. Gurmit Singh Patti-Sandhu, VPO-Ajitwal Teh. & Distt.-Moga Punjab Mob. 9417731114, 8289020588 E-mail drgurmitsingh18@gmail.com	1. Arts 2. Science 3. Education 4. Dairying, Animal Husbandry and Agriculture	1. Arts 2. Medical Sciences 3. Education 4. Design & Fine Arts
26.	Dr. Suresh Kumar Professor, MBBS, MS (Ophthalmology) D-Block, 3 rd floor, Department of Ophthalmology Govt. Medical College & Hospital Sector 32, Chandigarh Mob. 9646121588 E-mail drsuresh.kumar.gupta@gmail.com	1. Science 2. Arts 3. Education 4. Busi. Mgt. & Commerce	1. Science 2. Medical Sciences 3. Education 4. Busi. Mgt. & Commerce
27.	Dr. N.R. Sharma M.A. (Sanskrit), M.Ed., Ph.D. (Education), MBA (HR) Principal, Shaheed Udham Singh P.U. Constituent College, Guru Har Sahai, Ferozepur Mob. 9417342556, 7589196556 E-mail drnr.sharma1@gmail.com	1. Languages 2. Science 3. Education 4. Design & Fine Arts	1. Law 2. Arts 3. Education 4. Design & Fine Arts

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

28.	Dr. Kirandeep Kaur M.Com., Ph.D. Principal Master Tara Singh Memorial College for Women, Ludhiana Mob. 9888157128 E-mail kirandeep2961@gmail.com	1. Science 2. Arts 3. Busi. Mgt. & Commerce 4. Education	1. Science 2. Medical Sciences 3. Education 4. Busi. Mgt. & Commerce
29.	Dr. Rajesh Kumar Mahajan M. Com., Ph.D. Principal, DAV College, Abohar Mob. 8146343601 E-mail dr_rk_mahajan@yahoo.co.in	1. Languages 2. Arts 3. Design & Fine Arts 4. Busi. Mgt. & Commerce	1. Languages 2. Arts 3. Education 4. Busi. Mgt. & Commerce
30.	Dr. R.S. Jhanji M.A., M.Phil., Ph.D. (English) Principal A.S. College, Khanna, Ludhiana Mob. 9814901233 E-mail rsjhanji@yahoo.com , asckhn@rediffmail.com , ascollegekhanna@gmail.com	1. Languages 2. Arts 3. Education 4. Busi. Mgt. & Commerce	1. Arts 2. Law 3. Education 4. Design & Fine Arts
31.	Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua M.A., Associate Professor Gujranwala Guru Nanak Khalsa College Ludhiana Email: duaharpreetsingh@gmail.com 2nd Address Dr. Harpreet Singh Dua # 717/4-B, Sargodha Colony Pakhawal Road Ludhiana (Tel. No. Res. 0161-2410568) (Mobile: 98150-00203)	1. Science 2. Arts 3. Education 4. Busi. Mgt. & Commerce	1. Science 2. Medical Sciences 3. Education 4. Busi. Mgt. & Commerce
32.	Dr. K.K. Sharma Associate Professor A.S. College, District Khanna Ludhiana, Punjab (Tel Nos. Off. 01628-228470, Res.01628-221933 Mobile: 98788-09933 Email: kamalpuneet14@gmail.com	1. Languages 2. Arts 3. Busi. Mgt. & Commerce 4. Pharmaceutical Sciences	1. Science 2. Law 3. Busi. Mgt. & Commerce 4. Education
33.	Dr. Shaminder Singh Sandhu Assistant Professor D.A.V. College Sector-10, Chandigarh (Mobile: 98723-13570) Email: sandhu_sss@yahoo.com	1. Science 2. Arts 3. Busi. Mgt. & Commerce 4. Education	1. Science 2. Medical Sciences 3. Education 4. Busi. Mgt. & Commerce
34.	Shri Jagdeep Kumar Assistant Professor Khalsa College, Garhdiwala, District, Hoshiarpur, Punjab (Tel. Nos. Off. 01886-260940 Mobile: 098152-13026) Email: jchodha@yahoo.co.in	1. Science 2. Arts 3. Education 4. Busi. Mgt. & Commerce	1. Science 2. Medical Sciences 3. Education 4. Busi. Mgt. & Commerce

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

35.	<p>Dr. Jagdish Chander Associate Professor and Head, Department of Sociology D.A.V. College Sector-10, Chandigarh</p> <p>Correspondence Address: Dr. Jagdish Chander # 154-A, MIG CHB Flats Sector-51 A Chandigarh-160047 (Tel. Nos. Off. 0172-2743980 Mobile: 094179-13243, 6284557572 Email: jagdishdavic@yahoo.co.in</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Science 2. Arts 3. Education 4. Busi. Mgt. & Commerce 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Arts 2. Language 3. Busi. Mgt. & Commerce 4. Fine Arts
36.	<p>Dr, Jagtar Singh Assistant Professor Sri Guru Gobind College, Sector 26, Chandigarh</p> <p>Residence Address: Dr, Jagtar Singh H. No. 265, Ward No-9 Kurali, Distt. Mohali, Punjab Mobile: 9872439909 Email: jagtarsingh265@gmail.com</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Arts 2. Science 3. Education 4. Dairying, Animal Husbandry & Agriculture 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Medical Science 2. Arts 3. Education 4. Busi. Mgt. & Commerce
37.	<p>Professor Akhtar Mahmood Ex-Head, Department of Biochemistry Panjab University, Chandigarh</p> <p>2nd Address: H.No. 206, Sector 40 A Chandigarh-160036 Mob. 0172-2534131, 9872206902 E-mail akhtarmah@yahoo.com</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Science 2. Medical Sciences 3. Education 4. Engg. & Technology 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Law 2. Medical Sciences 3. Education 4. Engg. & Tech.
38.	<p>Professor Ashok Kumar Director, Centre for the Study of Social Exclusion and Inclusive Policy Panjab University, Chandigarh Mob. 9464000270 E-53, Sector-14, PU, Chandigarh E-mail sabharwalashokpu@gmail.com</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Languages 2. Science 3. Design & Fine Arts 4. Busi. Mgt. & Commerce 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Arts 2. Languages 3. Busi. Mgt. & Commerce 4. Education
39.	<p>Shri Davesh Moudgil Ex-Member, Board of Studies Faculty of Laws, Panjab University, Chandigarh; and Ex-Member, Administrators Advisory Council Chandigarh Administration # 390, Sector 44-A, Chandigarh Mob. 9915758965, 9653777777 E-mail daveshmoudgil@gmail.com</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Arts 2. Law 3. Design & Fine Arts 4. Dairying, Animal Husbandry & Agriculture 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Arts 2. Law 3. Education 4. Engg. & Tech.
40.	<p>Dr. Nidhi Gautam Warden, Girls Hostel No.5 University Institute of Applied Management Studies Panjab University, Chandigarh W-10, Sector-14, PU, Chandigarh Mob. 8146150501 E-mail nidhi.uiams@pu.ac.in, nidhi121@gmail.com</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Arts 2. Science 3. Busi. Mgt. & Commerce 4. Education 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Law 2. Medical Sciences 3. Busi. Mgt. & Commerce 4. Design & Fine Arts

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

41.	Dr. Priyatosh Sharma Department of History Panjab University, Chandigarh F-16, PU, Sector-14, Chandigarh Mob. 9814338044 E-mail history@pu.ac.in	1. Arts 2. Science 3. Education 4. Busi. Mgt. & Commerce	1. Arts 2. Law 3. Busi. Mgt. & Commerce 4. Education
42.	Dr. Surinder Singh Sangha Principal, Dasmesh Girls' College of Education Badal (Punjab) Ph. 01637-244719, 244819 Mob. 9876000999 E-mail gurdarshss@gmail.com	1. Arts 2. Science 3. Education 4. Dairying, Animal Husbandry and Agriculture	1. Law 2. Medical Sciences 3. Education 4. Design & Fine Arts
43.	Professor Jagat Bhushan Controller of Examinations and Ex-Principal, Dr. Harvansh Singh Judge Institute of Dental Sciences and Hospital Panjab University, Chandigarh #1113, Sector-37B, Chandigarh Mob. 9855442735 E-mail drjagat@gmail.com	1. Medical Sciences 2. Science 3. Education 4. Busi. Mgt. & Commerce	1. Arts 2. Medical Sciences 3. Busi. Mgt. & Commerce 4. Engg. & Tech.
44.	Smt. Kirron Kher Member of Parliament, Chandigarh Mob. 9820067678 #65, Sector-8A, Chandigarh E-mail kirronkher14@gmail.com	Nil	1. Arts 2. Law 3. Busi. Mgt. & Commerce 4. Design & Fine Arts
45.	Professor Prashant Gautam University Institute of Hospitality and Tourism Management, Panjab University Chandigarh T-I/49, Sector-25, PU, Chandigarh Ph. 2541969, 2534498 Mob. 9815976444 E-mail prashantgautam@pu.ac.in	1. Arts 2. Science 3. Busi. Mgt. & Commerce 4. Education	1. Arts 2. Law 3. Busi. Mgt. & Commerce 4. Education
46.	Professor Ravi Inder Singh Director, Panjab University Regional Centre, Ludhiana Mob. 8283066776 E-mail risingh@pu.ac.in	1. Law 2. Science 3. Education 4. Busi. Mgt. & Commerce	1. Arts 2. Law 3. Busi. Mgt. & Commerce 4. Education
47.	Professor Savita Gupta Former Director University Institute of Engineering & Technology Panjab University, Chandigarh Mob. 9463330763 #210, Phase-I, Near Franco Hotel, Mohali E-mail savita2k8@yahoo.com	1. Arts 2. Science 3. Engg. & Technology 4. Education	1. Arts 2. Law 3. Dairying, Animal Husbandry and Agriculture 4. Engg. & Tech.
48.	Professor Sukhbir Kaur Professor, Department of Zoology Ex-Dean Students Welfare (Women) Panjab University, Chandigarh	1. Science 2. Medical Sciences 3. Education 4. Dairying, Animal Husbandry and Agriculture	1. Science 2. Medical Sciences 3. Busi Mgt. & Commerce 4. Dairying, Animal Husbandry and Agriculture

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

	Residence Address: Professor Sukhbir Kaur H.No. B-763 The Greater Punjab Officers Cooperative Housing Society New Chandigarh, Mullanpur SAS Nagar, Mohali-140901 Ph. 2541942, 2534215 Mob. 9988173267 E-mail sukhbir@pu.ac.in		
49.	Professor Sushil Kansal Dr. S. S. Bhatnagar University Institute of Chemical Engineering & Technology Panjab University, Chandigarh Mob. 9876581564 T-I/28, Sector-25, PU, Chandigarh E-mail sushilkk1@pu.ac.in	1. Science 2. Arts 3. Engg. & Technology 4. Education	3. Arts 3. Law 4. Busi. Mgt. & Commerce 5. Engg.& Tech.
50.	Professor Devinder Singh Ex-President, PU Teachers Association, Dept. of Laws and Coordinator, Dr. Ambedkar Centre, Panjab University, Chandigarh Ph. 0172-2541577, 2534165 Mob. 9417114459 T-I/36, Sector-25, Chandigarh E-mail devinder@pu.ac.in	1. Law 2. Science 3. Education 4. Busi. Mgt. & Commerce	1. Arts 2. Law 3. Busi. Mgt. & Commerce 4. Engg. & Tech.
51.	Dr. Amit Joshi Head Department of Biotechnology & Bioinformatics Sri Guru Gobind Singh College Sector 26, Chandigarh #721, Sector-49A, Chandigarh Mob. 9872024023 E-mail amit.molbio@gmail.com	1. Medical Sciences 2. Science 3. Education 4. Dairying, Animal Husbandry & Agriculture	1. Science 2. Medical Sciences 3. Education 4. Dairying, Animal Husbandry & Agriculture
52.	Dr. Aruna Goel Former Professor of Sanskrit Panjab University, Chandigarh Mob. 9872216185 #185, Sector-16A, Chandigarh E-mail arunasgoel1947@gmail.com	1. Languages 2. Law 3. Engg. & Technology 4. Education	1. Languages 2. Law 3. Busi. Mgt. & Commerce 4. Dairying, Animal Husbandry & Agriculture
53.	Dr. Arvinder Singh Bhalla Principal, Gujjaranwala Guru Nanak Khalsa College, Civil Lines Ludhiana Mob. 09463062603 E-mail arvindsinghbhalla@gmail.com	1. Arts 2. Languages 3. Business Mgt. & Commerce 4. Education	1. Arts 2. Languages 3. Busi. Mgt. & Commerce 4. Education
54.	Dr. Kuldeep Agnihotri Former Vice-Chancellor Central University of Himachal Pradesh Advisor to Ministry of Tourism and Culture Government of India HM-127, Phase-IV, Mohali Mob. 9418177778 E-mail kuldeepagnihotri@gmail.com	1. Science 2. Languages 3. Education 4. Design & Fine Arts	1. Arts 2. Languages 3. Busi. Mgt. & Commerce 4. Education

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

55.	Dr. Latika Sharma Professor, Department of Education Panjab University, Chandigarh Ph. 0172-2534601 F-12, Sector-14, Chandigarh Mob. 9988171707 E-mail latikash@pu.ac.in , drlatika68@gmail.com	1. Science 2. Languages 3. Education 4. Busi. Mgt. & Commerce	1. Arts 2. Science 3. Busi. Mgt. & Commerce 4. Education
56.	Dr. Gurmeet Singh Department of Hindi Panjab University, Chandigarh H No E-27, sector 14, PU Campus Ph. 0172-2778755 Mob. 9815801908 E-mail gurmeetpu@gmail.com	1. Languages 2. Science 3. Education 4. Busi. Mgt. & Commerce	1. Languages 2. Arts 3. Fine Arts 4. Busi. Mgt. & Commerce
57.	Professor Jayanti Dutta Deputy Director, UGC HRD Centre, Panjab University, Chandigarh Mob. 9988721401 E-mail Jayantiduttaroy@yahoo.co.in jayanti@pu.ac.in	1. Arts 2. Science 3. Education 4. Busi. Mgt. & Commerce	1. Arts 2. Science 3. Busi. Mgt. & Commerce 4. Education
58.	Professor (Dr.) Shiv Kumar Dogra Department of Laws, P.U. Regional Centre Ludhiana 2nd Address Professor (Dr.) Shiv Kumar Dogra Department of Laws Himachal Pradesh University Shimla-5 Ph. 0161-2443830 Mob. 09417608103 E-mail shiv.dogra@rediffmail.com	1. Law 2. Science 3. Education 4. Busi. Mgt. & Commerce	1. Arts 2. Law 3. Busi. Mgt. & Commerce 4. Education
59.	Shri Satya Pal Jain Additional Solicitor General of India Government of India Former Member of Parliament, Chandigarh #2224, Sector-15 C, Chandigarh Ph. 0172-2772232, 2770314 Mob. 9814102232 E-mail contact@satyapaljain.com	1. Arts 2. Law 3. Design & Fine Arts 4. Dairying, Animal Husbandry & Agriculture	1. Arts 2. Law 3. Education 4. Engg. & Tech.
60.	Shri Som Parkash MoS, Govt. of India #22, Sector-71, SAS Nagar, Mohali Mob. 9876100022 E-mail somparkash.mos@gmail.com	1. Arts 2. Medical Sciences 3. Busi. Mgt. & Commerce 4. Education	1. Arts 2. Medical Sciences 3. Busi. Mgt. & Commerce 4. Education
61.	Professor Sonal Chawla Department of Computer Science & Applications Honorary Director, IAS Coaching Centre Panjab University, Chandigarh	1. Science 2. Languages 3. Education 4. Engg. & Technology	1. Languages 2. Science 3. Engg. & Tech. 4. Education

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

	#2166, Sector-35 C, Chandigarh Mob. 9815968085 E-mail sonal_chawla@pu.ac.in		
62.	Professor Gaurav Gaur Environmentalist, Assistant Professor Department of Social Service Panjab University, and Chairperson, Department of Social Work Mob. 9464273743 E-mail gauravgaur@pu.ac.in	1. Arts 2. Law 3. Design & Fine Arts 4. Dairying, Animal Husbandry & Agriculture	1. Arts 2. Law 3. Education 4. Engg. & Tech.
63.	Professor Hemant Batra Principal-cum-Professor, Dr. Harvansh Singh Judge Institute of Dental Sciences and Hospital #1029, Sector-36 C, Chandigarh Panjab University, Chandigarh Mob. 9814555550 E-mail directordental@pu.ac.in , batrah16@gmail.com	1. Science 2. Medical Sciences 3. Education 4. Pharmaceutical Sciences	1. Languages 2. Medical Sciences 3. Busi. Mgt. & Commerce 4. Engg. & Tech.
64.	Shri Honey Thakur President Panjab University Non-teaching Employees Federation #D-45, PU, Chandigarh Mob. 7696583321 E-mail honeythakur5084@gmail.com	1. Arts 2. Science 3. Busi. Mgt. & Commerce 4. Education	1. Arts 2. Medical Sciences 3. Busi. Mgt. & Commerce 4. Education
65.	Professor Renu Vig Dean of University Instruction Panjab University, Chandigarh Mob. 9814126152 E-mail dui@pu.ac.in , renuvig@pu.ac.in	1. Science 2. Medical Sciences 3. Busi. Mgt. & Commerce 4. Pharmaceutical Sciences	1. Science 2. Medical Sciences 3. Busi. Mgt. & Commerce 4. Engg. & Tech.

RESOLVED FURTHER: That it be recommended to the Senate that Professor Supinder Kaur, Fellow, be assigned to the following Faculties:-

Prof. Supinder Kaur
President
Panjab University Teachers' Association
Department of Laws
Panjab University, Chandigarh.
Mob. 9876033232
E-mail supinder.pu@gmail.com

1. Law
2. Medical Sciences
3. Education
4. Business Mgt. &
Commerce

15. Considered if, one Car be purchased out of the Development Fund subject to and within the limit of cost prescribed by the Govt. for Official Car, as per the decision of the Syndicate dated 07.11.2022 (Para 2 sub-item 27).

NOTE: 1. The Syndicate in its meeting dated 07.11.2022 while considering sub-item 27 of Item 2 of Board of Finance (**Appendix-XI**) has resolved that so far as Sub-Item 27 is concerned, the same be placed before the Syndicate again with full facts and figures.

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

2. A copy of details containing required facts and figures received from the office of D.R. (Accounts) was enclosed **(Appendix-XI)**.

Initiating discussion, Shri Davesh Moudgil said that as per the letter attached with the item with respect to policy that after 15 years, no vehicle can be extended; he would like to submit that this letter is only applicable to the transport vehicles. The said policy is not applicable on the vehicles which are with the Vice-Chancellor and other officers of the University. Secondly, the condition of the vehicles of Panjab University is up to the mark without any shortcomings. Thirdly, under the rules of the Government of India, a complete notification is available on the record as to how many vehicles, type of vehicles are allocated to the Officers of the Government, the said notification should also be taken into consideration for the vehicles to be provided to the officers of the University. The training of the Officer, who is using the official vehicle, should be treated a part of the service and moreover, the use of official vehicle is completely banned on Sundays. The Vice-Chancellor is entitled only for one official vehicle. The Innova Car which is being used by the Vice-Chancellor, was procured for Controller of Examinations for ferrying question papers, answer books etc. Now, the two official vehicles have made available to the Vice-Chancellor. On the one hand, the University is pressing hard for finances and does not have sufficient funds and contemplating for waiving off the late fee imposed on students covered under Post Matric Scholarship Scheme, on the other hand the University want to purchase new vehicle. Instead of purchasing this white elephant, the University can hire the vehicle when there is any additional requirement for ferrying the dignitaries.

It was informed that 15 years' policy for passing of vehicle is already existed.

To this, Shri Davesh Moudgil said that this policy is applicable to transport vehicles only.

Shri Satya Pal Jain enquired had the University applied for the extension of Vehicle or they had presumed that vehicle is outdated after completion of 15 years. Had the University had applied to the concerned authority that their vehicle had completed 15 years time and its extension can be done or not. Did the concerned authority refused to do so.

It was informed that he cannot answer on it, he only wanted to refer to the circular, which has been received.

To this, Shri Satya Pal Jain said that several circulars are issued daily, as per that circular there must be some conditions in it. That circular was for the Administration. Had the University applied for extension of vehicle after completion of 15 years? It is the finance of the University, the same cannot be utilised as per the wishes of the Vice-Chancellor. In its previous meeting, they had also pointed out the same, this time also this item had again been placed before the House.

The Vice-Chancellor asked as they have to apply to the authorities.

Shri Satya Pal Jain said that University is pleading on the ground that as the vehicle had completed 15 years and the same is un-serviceable. The authority from which the vehicle had been got registered should be written about the purchase of vehicle and asked them to get the same extended after completion of 15 years time. The Registering Authority can extend the vehicle after completion of 15 years, if the vehicle is not extended by them, then they should move forward to procure a new vehicle. Did the University make this exercise? Since morning the members are discussing on the financial crisis of the University and the item has been placed to purchase new Car. Why the Vice-Chancellor should have more than one Car.

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

The Vice-Chancellor replied that the new car would be used for meeting the additional requirements of the guests.

To this, Shri Satya Pal Jain stated that guests are not coming for the first time. They will not allow the funds of the University get wasted like this. If the Vice-Chancellor wished to approve this item, then his dissent should be recorded.

The Vice-Chancellor said that this item would be got examined.

Professor Devinder Singh said if the vehicle got extended beyond 15 years, then there would be no requirement for purchase of new vehicle.

The Vice-Chancellor said that the matter with respect to extension of vehicle beyond 15 years, as stated by Shri Satya Pal Jain, would be got examined.

Shri Satya Pal Jain reiterated that this is the public money which cannot be wasted like this. The Vice-Chancellor had one car which can be used for his travel. Even the Ministers and Judges have the facility of one Car then what for should they have additional Car.

The Vice-Chancellor said that the entire information would be collected and placed in the next meeting.

Professor Devinder Singh said that instead of placing the item in the next meeting, the vehicle should be got extended by following the proper procedure. If the extension was rejected from the Registering Authority then it could be placed before the House.

RESOLVED: That the validity of the vehicle be got extended by following the proper procedure, and if the extension is rejected by the Registration and Licensing Authority, the matter be placed before the Syndicate.

16.

Considered following recommendations of the Committee dated 29.11.2022 constituted by the Vice-Chancellor, to recommend an appropriate mechanism, as an interim arrangement, for smooth conduct of various academic activities of the University:

1. that in the light of clarification received from the National Medical Commission that no provision regarding re-evaluation is available in GMER, 1997, the provision made for re-evaluation of answer books of MBBS course, be struck down w.e.f. the academic session 2022-23.

NOTE: Rule 1 at page 497 of P.U. Calendar, Volume III, 2019 relating to re-evaluation of answer books for Undergraduate and Postgraduate courses is as under:-

Re-evaluation is permissible in case of Annual, Supplementary, Bi-annual and Semester examinations conducted by this University only in theory papers. Re-evaluation is not permissible in case of practical examinations in different subject/s or paper/s sessional marks, internal assessment, project report, dissertations, thesis and viva voce.

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

2. that the eligibility criteria and number of seats for Ph.D. programme to be started from the current academic session 2022-23 in Hotel Management as well as Tourism Management at UIHTM, be approved.
3. that the eligibility criteria of MBA (Infrastructural Management) w.e.f. the session 2023-24, be approved.

NOTE: An office note was enclosed.

Initiating discussion, Professor Prashant Gautam said that the University Institute of Hotel & Tourism Management wanted to start Ph.D. Programme from the session 2022-23, for which the eligibility criteria and number of students to be supervised by the faculty members has been recommended.

Shri Davesh Moudgil pointed out that the eligibility for Ph.D. Programme under the Faculty of Business Management & Commerce had already been determined.

Professor Prashant Gautam said that they (the University Institute of Hotel & Tourism Management and University Institute of Applied Management) come under the Faculty of Business Management & Commerce. Recently, the University Institute of Applied Management had been allowed to offer Ph.D. Programme independently. The University Institute of Hotel & Tourism Management had also six teachers having done Ph.D. They also wished to supervise Ph.D. candidates. Hence, the University Institute of Hotel & Tourism Management also wanted that the Institute should also be allowed to offer a Ph.D. Programme independently.

Shri Satya Pal Jain pointed out that from the beginning of the meeting, they were talking about following the Regulations/Rules of NCTE, AICTE, BCI, etc., and would not frame and adopt their Regulations/Rules. How could they dilute the Regulations/Rules/ Guidelines of "National Medical Commission", which was earlier known as Medical Council of India?

It was clarified that the Item had three parts and part one relate to re-evaluation of answer books of MBBS course. Earlier, there was no provision for re-evaluation in MBBS course. The provision of re-evaluation in MBBS course was introduced in the year 2015-16 on the recommendation of a Committee. Now the National Medical Commission has clearly said in its guidelines that there would not be any re-evaluation in theory papers of MBBS course. The Government Medical College & Hospital had got this considered in its JAAC and recommended that the provision of re-evaluation in MBBS course should be struck down. In fact, this issue should have come to the Syndicate through the Board of Studies, Faculty, etc. They could defer it for the time being.

Shri Satya Pal Jain said that, that was why, he was saying that this issue should come through the Faculty, which comprised of experts. He, therefore, suggested that let this issue be considered by the Faculty of Medical Sciences in the first instance. The recommendation(s) of the Faculty of Medical Sciences should be placed before the Syndicate for consideration.

Professor Prashant Gautam, referring to Sub-Item (ii), said that the Senate had allowed them to start Ph.D. Programme. Now, they suggested framed eligibility criteria and number of seats for Ph.D. Programme, which is to be started from the current academic session 2022-23.

Shri Satya Pal Jain suggested that this should also come through the Faculty concerned. When a couple of members tried to justify, Shri Jain enquired had they received any such request from the University Business School. Certain faculty

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

members of University Business School had met him and made aware of the entire issue. He had also been told that due to influence of Professor Prashant Gautam, his case has been cleared, but their case has been struck.

Professor Yojna Rawat pointed out that, in fact, two Institutions, i.e., University Institute of Applied Management Sciences and University Institute of Hotel and Tourism Management were allowed to start Ph.D. programme independently, but while writing the minutes the UIHTM got skipped. UIAMS had already been allowed to start Ph.D. programme independently.

Shri Satya Pal Jain enquired, has this issue been discussed in the Faculty of Business Management & Commerce?

Professor Yojna Rawat said that for the last one and a half years, all such cases are being processed through the above mentioned Committee (Mechanism Committee).

Shri Satya Pal Jain suggested that all the cases should come through the concerned Faculty as the Faculties are now in place.

Professor Devinder Singh said that Shri Satya Pal Jain might be remembering that a Committee of 3 former Vice-Chancellors was constituted to suggest a mechanism to process cases relating to academic matters and the said Committee had recommended the mechanism of getting the cases recommended by the JAAC of concerned Department and the same to be approved by the Vice-Chancellor through the Registrar, Dean of University Instruction, etc., under Regulation 14 at page 36, P.U. Calendar, Volume I, 2007.

Shri Satya Pal Jain pointed out that this mechanism was evolved when the Faculties, Syndicate, Senate, etc., were not in place. In fact, it was interim arrangement. The moment the Faculties come into existence, the interim arrangement automatically be over. When Professor Devinder Singh intervened to say that Faculties have still not come into existence, Shri Satya Pal Jain said that Faculties have come into existence.

Professor Devinder Singh said that the meetings of the Faculties have hitherto not been convened.

To this, Shri Satya Pal Jain said that not to convene the meetings of Faculties is entirely a different thing. He added that, in fact, he was a member of that Committee and he himself had suggested that until the Senate, Faculties, etc. are constituted, the aforesaid mechanism should be evolved. He should be told as to why they are bypassing the Faculties. Moreover, he himself had suggested that certain powers should be delegated to the Vice-Chancellor and he now says with full responsibility that the Vice-Chancellor had misused that authority at about 10 places. Even today, he is not bringing the decisions, which he had taken, on behalf of Syndicate/Senate, to the Syndicate/Senate. As per Regulation(s), he was supposed to bring the case of UMC to the Syndicate, but he did not bring it. He remarked that he (Vice-Chancellor) should not exploit the authorization given by the Syndicate/Senate.

The Vice-Chancellor said that he (Shri Satya Pal Jain) should not over-react.

Shri Satya Pal Jain said that he is saying rightly, with full responsibility and saying on record. The system, which has now started in this University, would not be allowed to continue. Everything has a limit. He (Vice-Chancellor) wanted to bully and dictate to everybody, and also wanted to take personal benefits. If he tells them as to what is being whispered in the society, they would be felt ashamed. She has signed on the papers saying that this case should be placed before the same Committee and on

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

12th she and Dr. Aruna Goel met and exonerate. At least, he would not become a party to such a favouritism system, which had crept into the University.

At this stage, a din prevailed as several members started speaking together.

In the din, Dr. Neeru Malik stood up and heard to say that her humble request is only this that an opportunity should be given to Professor Sukhbir Kaur to explain.

As the members did not stop, the Vice-Chancellor said that the meeting is adjourned.

After some time, when the meeting resumed, Professor Sukhbir Kaur stated that earlier, a Committee comprising Professor Akhtar Mahmood and Professor Yojna Rawat had overruled the decision of their (UMC) Committee for debarring the student to appear in the examination for two years and just cancelled the paper of the student. After the decision of this Committee, their Committee met. But they are not blaming the other Committee.

Shri Satya Pal Jain said that he is only saying that whatever has been done, has been done against the regulations.

At this stage, Professor Sukhbir Kaur and Shri Satya Pal Jain indulged in duel arguments.

When Shri Satya Pal Jain remarked that corruption is going on in the University, the Vice-Chancellor said that everything said by Shri Satya Pal Jain could not be true.

At this stage, pandemonium prevailed as certain members started speaking together loudly.

Dr. Neeru Malik stood up and said that persons think that whenever they speak, everybody should listen to them. Similarly, when the others speak, they should also listen to them.

At this stage, pandemonium again prevailed as several members started speaking together.

Professor Yojna Rawat said that the Dean of University Instruction and other academicians are sitting here and she would like to tell that he (Shri Davesh Moudgil) did not know what is happening in the academics. How the teachers behaved. Everybody knew about the entire case of Nuclear Medicines. In fact, the space of the building and the infrastructure was not being used optimally. The then Chairperson of Centre for Nuclear Medicine had locked the building.

At this stage, a din prevailed as several members started speaking together.

Professor Devinder Singh said that since several allegations have been levelled, either they should also be given opportunity to explain or the allegations should be taken back. It is not good to level various allegations on different persons.

Dr. Jagtar Singh said that if inquiry is to be conducted, it should not be conducted only for the last 4 years but for the last 15 years.

To this, Shri Satya Pal Jain and Shri Davesh Moudgil said that if they wished, the inquiry should be conducted for the last 40 years duration.

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

Professor Sukhbir Kaur remarked that they took the persons, who bent before them, in their shelter and they became anti to those who did not tow their lines.

Shri Satya Pal Jain said that each and every issue raised by the members irrespective of whether the same related to corruption or bungling or anything else, should be allowed to be discussed individually.

At this stage, a din prevailed as several members started speaking together.

Professor Devinder Singh said that since he is aware of some of the facts of the UMC cases, he could tell them the truth. In the morning, it was pointed out that the Syndicate had earlier wrongly appointed Ms. Pragati Garg as Junior Engineer on compassionate ground.

Shri Satya Pal Jain clarified that he had said that since a person had already been appointed as Junior Engineer on compassionate ground, he (Mr. Nirmal Gautam) should also be appointed as Junior Engineer on compassionate ground.

Professor Devinder Singh said that there is a practice in UMC cases that a UMC Committee decide case(s) of UMC and if a student appealed, the case is referred to another UMC Committee.

Shri Satya Pal Jain drew the attention of the House towards Regulation 32.2 at page 14 of P.U. Calendar, Volume II, 2007.

At this stage, a din prevailed as several members started speaking together.

The following discussion took place after the discussion on Item 25 was concluded.

The Dean of University Instruction said that this item has been routed through the JAAC of the Govt. Medical College & Hospital regarding provision of re-evaluation of answer books of MBBS course. The re-evaluation is permissible in the University but as per the clarification from the National Medical Commission, no provision regarding re-evaluation is available in GMER, 1997. They desired that the provision of re-evaluation be struck down from the academic session 2022-23.

Shri Satya Pal Jain said that the meeting of the Faculty of Medical Sciences should be called in the next week as now the Faculties have been constituted.

The Vice-Chancellor said that Deans of the Faculties are not in place, hence the meetings cannot be conducted.

Shri Satya Pal Jain said that presence of the Deans is very much required for the meetings of the Selection Committee. So far as the meetings of the Faculties are concerned, in the meeting of the Faculty, other academic items other than the election of Deans can be considered and meetings can be convened. The Vice-Chancellor might be remembered that this stand was taken at that time when the case was filed in the High Court. The members can elect Chairperson only for convening the meeting of the said Faculty. While citing an example he said that if the Dean is not present due to his/her illness or some other reasons, in that case, meeting is convened without the Dean. Even Syndicate can be conducted in the absence of the Chair. The Chairperson to chair the meeting can be appointed amongst the members of the House. Even the meeting of the Senate can be conducted in the absence of the Vice-Chancellor, the Chancellor can give charge to chair the meeting to anyone in the absence of the Vice-Chancellor. In the meeting of the Faculties, the elected members can nominate any person to chair the meeting. The Secretaries can also be nominated by the members of

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

the Faculty to convene the meetings. The Vice-Chancellor has the power under the Regulations that in the absence of the Dean and the Secretary, he can fix and conduct the meetings of the Faculties.

The Vice-Chancellor pointed out that at that time, the agenda was issued by the then Registrar for the Elections.

It was informed by the then Registrar that previously the agenda was issued for the elections but this time it is required to be issued for transacting the academic activities of the concerned Faculties.

The Vice-Chancellor said that the meetings of the Faculties can also be chaired by Dean of University Instruction on behalf of the Deans of the concerned Faculties.

The Dean of University Instruction said (under sub item 2 of C-16 with respect to conduct of entrance tests for Ph.D., that all the entrance tests have been conducted but in the Faculty of Business Management & Commerce no entrance test has been conducted.

To this, Shri Satya Pal Jain said that these entrance tests can be conducted by placing the matter before the Faculty of Business Management & Commerce. The term of the Faculties is upto 31st January, 2023 and the University has the sufficient time to fix the meetings of the concerned Faculties.

The Vice-Chancellor directed the Dean of University Instruction to chair the meetings of the Faculty of Medical Sciences and Faculty of Business Management & Commerce, on behalf of Dean of Faculty concerned.

It was pointed out that Regulation 9 at page 50 of P.U. Calendar, Volume I, 2007 says, "*The Vice-Chancellor, and in his absence the Dean, shall preside at all meetings of a Faculty. In the absence of Vice-Chancellor and Dean, the members present at each meeting shall elect a member to preside.....*"

RESOLVED: That –

1. recommendation 1 quoted above, be referred to the Faculty of Medical Sciences for consideration in the first instance; and
2. recommendations 2 & 3 quoted above, be referred to Faculty of Business Management & Commerce for consideration in the first instance.

17. Considered if, the UGC Guidelines for Curriculum and Credit Framework for Undergraduate Programmes aligned with NEP-2020 (**Appendix-XII**), be adopted/approved from the academic session 2023-2024.

Initiating discussion, it was informed by Dean of University Instruction that this matter is concerned with the issue for following the guidelines under NEP, 2020, for which several Committees of Panjab University and other Central Committee had been constituted. Several meetings had also been held with the Chairpersons of the Departments and Faculties and training programmes as well as workshops/seminars had also been conducted by HRD Centre as to what kind of curriculum has to be followed as per NEP, 2020. In all the meetings, they have discussed as to what should be the maximum credit for core subjects and elective subjects for skill enhancement and value added courses. They desired that there should be uniformity in the University amongst all the Faculties. Now, the U.G.C. had issued guidelines for this programme, the structure is quite clear, as per U.G.C. 20 credits are for one semester

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

and 40 credits for a year, thus three year Course would have 120 credits and four year course would have 160 credits and out of those the required credits for core, elective subjects and skill enhancement courses have been clearly defined in the guidelines of the U.G.C. The University should have to frame their curriculum according to these guidelines. If the guidelines of the U.G.C. are adopted by the University, then the Faculty-wise curriculum could be framed in accordance with these guidelines. She suggested that University should adopt these guidelines and frame their curriculum accordingly and get it implemented from the next academic session i.e., 2023-24.

Dr. Neeru Malik said that they have to frame the curriculum as per the guidelines of the U.G.C. The New Education Policy with regard to education Colleges, is that the essential qualification for recruitment will be integrated courses whereas no integrated courses have been allowed to the Education Colleges. She asked as to what would be the sustainable programmes for the Education Colleges.

To this, Professor Yojna Rawat said that this process would be completed in a phased manner.

It was informed by the Dean of University Instruction that in such cases where Regulatory bodies are existed, the guidelines of Regulatory bodies would have to be followed.

Dr. Neeru Malik said that as per the New Education Policy-2020, the essential qualifications for B.Ed. teacher shall be integrated course in Education (4 years) from the year 2034. She, therefore, requested that the integrated courses in the Education Colleges should be introduced from the academic session 2027-28, so that the Colleges should have sufficient time for setting up the required infrastructure.

The Vice-Chancellor said that he would like to facilitate on this that a Committee on framework at national level had been constituted and he was made the part of that Advisory Committee. This Committee had designed a framework through which all the activities are required to be done in a phased manner as per the guidelines of the Regulatory Bodies. The same would be made available to them shortly. The Government has taken initiative in setting up the required infrastructure and appointment of teachers for the same. This framework is being adopted by the University and this would be done in consultation with the Research & Development Cell.

RESOLVED: That the U.G.C. guidelines for Curriculum and Credit Framework for Under-graduate Programmes aligned with NEP-2020, as per **Appendix**, be adopted/approved from the academic session 2023-24.

18. Item 18 on the agenda was read out, viz. –

18. To decide the effective date/session of the Regulations, under Sub-Item No.22 of the Regulations Committee dated 06.10.2020 (**Appendix-XIII**) relating to Regulations for the following courses under Choice Based Credit System:

1. B.A. (General and Honours)
2. B.Sc. (General and Honours)
3. B.Com.
4. B.Com. (Honours)
5. Bachelor of Computer Applications
6. Bachelor of Business Administration

NOTE: An office note was enclosed (**Appendix-XIII**).

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

Initiating discussion, it was informed by Dean of University Instruction that Regulations had already been approved; the same is brought in the House for taking decision pertaining to its effective date. It should be decided as to what should be the date of notification as in the Colleges, same had not been notified in the academic session 2020-21.

The Vice-Chancellor stated that Choice based Credit System should be notified from the academic session 2023-24.

RESOLVED: That the Choice Based Credit System for the following Undergraduate courses being offered in the Colleges as well as in University, be implemented and made effective from the academic session 2023-24, be approved.

1. B.A. (General and Honours)
2. B.Sc. (General and Honours)
3. B.Com
4. B.Com. (Honours)
5. Bachelor of Computer Applications
6. Bachelor of Business Administration.

19. Considered recommendation of the Joint Meeting of Academic & Administrative Committees in Public Administration dated 14.12.2022 (**Appendix-XIV**) that M.Phil. in Social Sciences be awarded to the participants of the 48th APPPA course enrolled in the year 2022 and also recommended that this is the last batch considered for M.Phil. Degree as one-time exception.

Initiating discussion, Professor Yojna Rawat informed that there is a Council of Public Administration under Government of India, wherein 10 months course is undertaken for the bureaucrats and M.Phil degree for the same is issued by the Panjab University. The M.Phil degree course has been discontinued as per the decision of the Syndicate, but this is the ongoing batch, therefore, the JAAC had recommended that this batch would be awarded the M.Phil. degree and for the next batch, a fresh MoU is to be signed with the changed nomenclature.

RESOLVED: That, as one-time exception, M.Phil. in Social Sciences degree, be awarded to the participants of the 48th APPPA course enrolled in the year 2022.

20. Considered following recommendation (No.9) of the Committee dated 29.11.2022 constituted by the Vice-Chancellor, to recommend an appropriate mechanism, as an interim arrangement, for smooth conduct of various academic activities of the University:

“that as authorized by the Syndicate dated 27.09.2022, the introduction of new paper “Dalit Studies” for M.Phil., be approved”.

- NOTE:**
1. The Vice-Chancellor while placing the file to the Syndicate has ordered that “a note on serious lapse & responsibility”.
 2. A detailed office note was enclosed.

Initiating discussion, it was informed by Dean of University Instruction that this matter is pertaining to the introduction of new paper of “Dalit Studies” for M.Phil. course offered by Department of English & Cultural Studies without the approval of the Competent Authority. The Committee for interim arrangement had recommended that this course may be approved, however, while placing the file to the Syndicate, the Vice-Chancellor had ordered that “**a note on serious lapse & responsibility**” has to be

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

fixed as to how the Department had run this course without approval from the Competent authority.

Professor Prashant Gautam said that, in this case, a teacher had said that he would like to teach only the subject in which he is expert and thereafter asked the Department to accord approval for the same. He did not know as to how this had happened. Previously, full subjects were taught, two teachers had retired and there was no one to opt the subject. It is the responsibility of the Head of the Department to make arrangement for teacher in accordance with the syllabus of the course.

Professor Devinder Singh said that this matter was referred back by the Syndicate in its previous meeting for seeking clarification from the Head of the Department. He asked as what clarification has been received from the Head of the Department.

Professor Renu Vig, Dean of University Instruction, said that at that time, the Committee had not made specific recommendations. However, this time the Committee had recommended that keeping in view the interest of the students; ex-post-facto sanction may be accorded.

The Vice-Chancellor said that this should not be the way to deal with such type of matters. If they continued to accord ex-post facto approvals in such a way, then it would pose problems in future.

Professor Devinder Singh asked whether they had consulted the Chairperson of the Department.

To this, Professor Yojna Rawat said that he (Chairperson) who was also the member of the previous Syndicate/Senate, had replied that he was not aware of this that this was to be got approved from the Board of Studies.

The Vice-Chancellor said that in this University such types of Chairpersons and Academicians are there, who are not aware about the functioning of the University. Now, the students had appeared in the examination and it is on their part to take decision for such kind of wrong acts on the part of the Chairperson.

Dr. Neeru Malik said that students are not at fault in this matter.

Professor Prashant Gautam said that it is the responsibility of the Chairperson to teach the subjects to the students, which are approved in the Syllabus of the course.

To this, Dean of University Instruction informed that as per the statement made by the Chairperson of the Department, at that time the Board of Studies was not in existence and all the activities were performed through the JAAC of the Department.

Professor Devinder Singh said that had it been routed through the JAAC of the Department earlier, there would not have been any difficulty, as the JAAC had the powers of the Faculty at that time, and this problem might not have been there.

It was informed by Dean of University Instruction that keeping in view the interest of the students, it should be approved. The Chairperson may be asked to explain about the lapse on his part.

The Vice-Chancellor said that the matter may be referred back to the Chairperson of the Department to intimate the reasons for this lapse.

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

The Dean of University Instruction said that the Chairperson of the Department had already sent a detailed reply.

The Vice-Chancellor asked, is she, being the Dean of University Instruction, satisfied with the reply submitted by the Chairperson of the Department.

Professor Prashant Gautam said that when the course has not been approved, how could they teach the subject/course to the students?

Professor Yojna Rawat said that the accountability of the person, who had done this, should be fixed and action should be taken by the authority against him/her, as the students are not at fault because they were not aware whether the said course/subject is approved or not.

Dr. Jayanti Dutta said that if the course/subject is not approved, how can it be included in the marks sheet?

The Dean of University Instruction said that this is the reason that they are asking for approval of the Competent Authority.

The Vice-Chancellor said that let the members suggest what should be done?

Shri Satya Pal Jain said that he would not like to make any suggestion on it. It is for the Vice-Chancellor to take decision.

At this stage, some of the members started speaking together and din prevailed.

Professor Yojna Rawat asked as to what decision has been taken into.

The Vice-Chancellor said that explanation of the defaulting Chairperson will be sought in the matter and his explanation would be placed before the House in its next meeting.

RESOLVED: That explanation be sought from the Chairperson, Department of English & Cultural Studies, Panjab University, and the same be placed before the Syndicate.

- 21.** Considered minutes of the Committee dated 16.09.2022 (**Appendix-XV**), Item No.8, 9 and "Any other Agenda Item (Sr. No. 7(iv)), constituted by the Vice-Chancellor with regard to the issues pertaining to Guest Houses.

After some discussion, it was –

RESOLVED: That recommendations of the Committee dated 16.09.2022, as per **Appendix**, be approved.

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

- 22.** Considered following recommendations (except Item No.1, 5 and 12) of Regulations Committee dated 13.12.2022 (**Appendix-XVI**):

ITEM 1

xxx

xxx

xxx

ITEM 2

That following additions be made in Regulation 2 for Advanced Diploma in Computer Applications (Semester System) (effective from the session 2022-23):

PRESENT REGULATION	PROPOSED REGULATION
<p>2. The minimum qualifications for the course shall be:-</p> <p>(i) Graduate (B.A./B.Sc./ B.Com./B.C.A. under 10+2+3 system of examination) having Mathematics as main subject up to 10+2 level.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">OR</p> <p>(ii) B.E/B.Tech.</p> <p>(iii) Any other examination recognized by the Syndicate as equivalent to (i) or (ii) above.</p>	<p>2. The minimum qualifications for the course shall be:-</p> <p>(i) No change</p> <p style="text-align: center;">OR</p> <p>(ii) No Change</p> <p style="text-align: center;">OR</p> <p><u>(iii) B.Voc. (Software Development), B.Voc. (Hardware and Networking) and B.Voc. Multimedia (Graphics & Animation) with at least 50% marks.</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">OR</p> <p>(iv) Any other examination recognized by the Syndicate as equivalent to (i), (ii) or (iii) above.</p>

ITEM 3

That following addition be made in Regulation 2.1 for B.Ed. (Yoga) (effective from the session 2022-23):

PRESENT REGULATIONS	PROPOSED REGULATIONS
<p>2.1. Admission to B.Ed. shall be made on merit on the basis of marks obtained in the entrance test or any other selection process as per policy of state Govt./U.T. administration and the University.</p> <p>Eligibility:</p> <p>A person who possesses the following qualification shall be eligible to join the course:</p>	<p>2.1 No Change</p> <p>Eligibility:</p> <p>A person who possesses the following qualification shall be eligible to join the course:</p>

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

<p>(i) A candidate required atleast 50% (Fifty Percent) marks having the qualification i.e. B.A. (Yoga), M.A. (Yoga) or Graduation with one year diploma/certificate in Yoga Education equivalent thereto is eligible for admission to the programme and preference will be given to said qualifications.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">OR</p> <p>(ii) Candidates with at least 50% (Fifty Percent) marks either in Bachelor's degree, and/or in the Master's degree in Science/ Social Science/Humanities/ Commerce/Home science/B.A. LLB.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">OR</p> <p>(iii) Candidates with at least 55% (Fifty five Percent) marks Engineering or Technology.</p> <p>(iv) The reservation and relaxation for SC/ST/OBC and other categories shall be as per rules of the admission policy of State Govt./Chandigarh Union Territory Administration, as the case may be.</p>	<p>(i) No Change</p> <p style="text-align: center;">OR</p> <p>(ii) No Change</p> <p style="text-align: center;">OR</p> <p>(iii) No Change</p> <p style="text-align: center;">OR</p> <p>(iv) A candidate having any other qualification equivalent thereto is eligible for admission to the Programme.</p> <p>(v) No Change</p>
--	--

ITEM 4

That following amendment be made in Regulation 11.1 for Bachelor of Education (B.Ed.) (Two-Year course-Semester System) course (effective from the session 2019-20):

PRESENT REGULATION	PROPOSED REGULATION
11.1. A person who has already passed the examination for the degree of Bachelor of Education may offer, as an additional teaching subject. He may be admitted to the examination on submission of application on the prescribed form and payment of admission fee as prescribed by the Syndicate from time to time.	11.1 No Change

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

<p>Provided that –</p> <p>(i) she/he has already passed the first degree/Post Graduate degree examination in that subject.</p> <p>(ii) in addition to the written paper of the teaching subject in semester –I & II , the candidate shall have to complete the school internship requirements as per existing syllabus and shall undergo a practical test in the teaching of the additional subject taken by him/her.</p>	<p>Provided that-</p> <p>(i) <u>the candidate seeking additional examination for B.Ed. course shall have to appear with the current syllabus irrespective of the fact that whether the candidate has completed his/her degree in annual/ semester system (one year/two year)</u></p> <p>(ii) he/she has already passed the first degree/Post-Graduate degree examination in that subject.</p> <p>(iii) <u>the additional paper should be completed in three (3) semesters (1st & 2nd semester for theory and 3rd semester for Practical examination) and the candidate can not appear in 1st and 3rd semester simultaneously. They have to apply separately for each semester.</u></p>
---	---

ITEM 5

XXX

XXX

XXX

ITEM 6

That following amendment be made in Regulation 1.5 for B.E./Integrated B.E. (Chemical)-MBA (effective from the session 2021-22):

PRESENT REGULATION (effective from the session 2014-15)	PORPOSED REGULATION (effective from the session 2021-22)
<p>1.5 The admission shall be open to a candidate who has passed 10+2/Equivalent qualifying examination with the following subjects:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Language 2. Physics 3. Mathematics 4. Any one of (Chemistry, Biology, Biotechnology, Technical Vocational Subject), 5. Any other subject. With at least 45% (40% in case of candidate belonging to reserved category*) marks in above 	<p>1.5 The admission shall be open to a candidate who has passed 10+2/Equivalent qualifying examination with the following subjects:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Language 2. Physics 3. Mathematics 4. Any one of Chemistry/Biology/ Biotechnology/ Technical Vocational Subject 5. Any other subject.

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

<p>subjects taken together.</p> <p>*The candidates belonging to Scheduled Caste/Scheduled Tribes and Persons with Disability (PWD) shall be eligible on the basis of relaxed criteria determined by CBSE for the year 2014 for Central Counselling as indicated above.</p> <p>*The candidates belonging to Backward Classes shall be eligible on the basis of relaxed criteria determined by CBSE for the year 2014 for Central Counselling for Other Backward Class (OBC) if they belong to Non-Creamy Layer (NCL).</p>	<p>Only those candidates are eligible who have passed class 12th in the above subjects taken together.</p>
--	---

ITEM 7

That following amendment be made in Regulation 1.5 for B.E./Integrated B.E. (Chemical)-MBA (effective from the session 2022-23):

PRESENT REGULATION (effective from the session 2021-22)	PORPOSED REGULATION (effective from the session 2022-23)
<p>1.5 The admission shall be open to a candidate who has passed 10+2/Equivalent qualifying examination with the following subjects:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Language 2. Physics 3. Mathematics 4. Any one of (Chemistry, Biology, Biotechnology, Technical Vocational Subject), 5. Any other subject. <p>Only those candidates are eligible who have passed class 12th in the above subjects taken together.</p>	<p>1.5 The admission shall be open to a candidate who has passed 10+2/Equivalent qualifying examination with the following subjects:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Language 2. Physics 3. Mathematics 4. Any one of Chemistry, Biology/Biotechnology/ Technical Vocational Subject 5. Any other subject. <p>Only those candidates are eligible who have passed class 12th in the above subjects taken together or Passed 10+3 Diploma examination with Mathematics as compulsory subject.</p>

ITEM 8

That following amendment be made in Regulation 9 for B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. Four Year Integrated Course (Semester System) (effective from the session 2019-20):

PRESENT REGULATION	PROPOSED REGUALTION
<p>9. Candidates will be allowed to improve their performance in any paper. The number of chance will be governed by the prevailing University rules.</p>	<p>9. A person who has qualified for the award of the Four-Year Bachelor's degree in B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. from the Panjab University may be allowed to re-appear as a private</p>

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

	<p>candidate in a subject in which he/she appeared earlier, with a view to improve his/her previous performance. <u>He/she may reappear in the first, second, third and fourth year examinations or any of the examinations, as per odd/even system of examination.</u></p> <p>For this purpose he/she may be given two chances within a period of two years from the year of passing the fourth year of B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. examination. He/she may avail of these chances along with next two annual examinations.</p>
--	--

ITEM 9

That following addition of nomenclature, i.e., “Drug Abuse: Problem, Prevention and Management” be made in Regulation 2.2 meant for B.A./B.Sc. (General and Honours) (effective from the session 2020-21):

PRESENT REGULATION	PROPOSED REGULATION						
<p>2.2 The structure of the first year of B.A. course w.e.f. admission of 2014, shall be as under:-</p> <p>(i) Compulsory subjects</p> <table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 70%;"> <p>(a) Panjabi two papers/ *History and Culture of Punjab- One paper</p> </td> <td style="width: 5%; text-align: center;">}</td> <td style="width: 25%; text-align: center;">100 marks</td> </tr> <tr> <td><p>(b) English-one paper</p></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>(c) Environment, : 50 marks Road Safety : 20 marks Education and Violence : 30 marks against Women & Children } 100 Marks</p> <p>(ii) Elective subjects</p> <p style="text-align: center;">xxx xxx xxx</p>	<p>(a) Panjabi two papers/ *History and Culture of Punjab- One paper</p>	}	100 marks	<p>(b) English-one paper</p>			<p>2.2 No Change</p> <p>(i) Compulsory subjects</p> <p>(a) to (b) No Change</p> <p>(c) Environment, Road Safety Education, Violence against Women/Children and Drug Abuse: Problem, Prevention and Management comprising four parts as under:</p> <p>Part-I : Environment Part-II : Road Safety Education Part-III : Violence against Women/Children Part-IV : Drug Abuse: Problem, Prevention and Management</p> <p>(ii) No change</p> <p style="text-align: center;">xxx xxx xxx</p>
<p>(a) Panjabi two papers/ *History and Culture of Punjab- One paper</p>	}	100 marks					
<p>(b) English-one paper</p>							

ITEM 10

That the eligibility condition for M.A. (Punjabi) (effective from the session 2018-2019), M.A. (Hindi), M.A. (Political Science), M.A. (Sociology) and M.A.

	<p>Weightage:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The 15% weightage will be given to the student those who studied Punjabi as a elective subject at graduate level. 2. The 15% weightage will be given to the student who studied B.A. (Hons.) in Punjabi at under graduate level. 3. The 15% weightage will be given to those who passed B.A. (Hons.) School in Punjabi at under graduate level. 4. Those who passed elective Punjabi and Hons. (both) will be given 20% 5. Any exemption will be given to SC/ST candidates as per UGC norms. <p>11.13 For M.A. Hindi</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (i) Bachelor's Degree obtaining at least 45% marks in Hindi or 50% marks in the aggregate provided the candidate has passed Hindi as an Elective/Compulsory Subject. OR (ii) B.A. with (Hons.) in Hindi or B.Sc. with (Hons. School Course) OR (iii) Master's Degree Examination in any other subject provided the candidate has studied Hindi Compulsory and Sanskrit at Graduation level. (iv) Preference will be given only to those students who have studied Hindi (elective), Hindi (Compulsory) and Sanskrit at Graduation level. (v) For M.A. Hindi Part-I examination 45% marks in Sanskrit (elective), or examination (new course) are also accepted. (vi) For Hindi course a person who after passing B.A. examination, has passed the Prabhakar examination securing 45% marks (out of aggregate excluding the additional paper, shall also be eligible.
--	--

	<p><u>11.14 For M.A. Political Science</u></p> <p>(i) A Bachelor's degree obtaining at least 45 per cent marks in the subject of Postgraduate course, or 50 per cent marks in the aggregate.</p> <p>(ii) B.A. with Honours in the subject of the Postgraduate course or B.Sc. Hons. School course.</p> <p>(iii) Master's degree examination in any other subject.</p> <p>A person who has passed B.A. with 45% marks in any Social Science discipline shall also be eligible.</p> <p><u>Weightage: subject weightage will be given to those candidates who have taken six full papers in Political Science in B.A. course.</u></p> <p><u>Weightage for Hons. in Political Science i.e. 15% shall be given to those candidates who have studied ten papers in Political Science in B.A. course.</u></p> <p><u>11.15 For M.A. Sociology</u></p> <p>Passed one of the following examinations from a recognized University:</p> <p>(i) Bachelor's Degree obtaining at least 45% marks in the subject of Postgraduate course OR 50% marks in the aggregate.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">OR</p> <p>(ii) B.A. with Honours in the subject of Post-Graduate Course OR B.Sc. (Hons.School) course.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">OR</p> <p>(iii) Masers Degree examination in any other subject.</p> <p><u>Subject weightage will be given to candidates who have taken six full papers in Sociology in B.A. course.</u></p> <p><u>Weightage for Hons. in Sociology (15%) (weihtage shall be given in Hons. in Sociology in case the candidate has studied ten papers (6+4=10).</u></p>
--	--

	<p>11.16 For M.A. History</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) B.A. with Honours in History (ii) Bachelor's degree in any faculty with at least 50% marks in the aggregate. (iii) B.A. (Pass) examination in full subjects obtaining at least 45% marks in the subject of History. (iv) Master's degree examination in another subject or another faculty. <p>11.17 For M.A. English</p> <p>A person who has passed one of the following examinations from Panjab University or from any other University whose examination has been recognized equivalent to the corresponding examination of this University:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) A Bachelor's degree obtaining at least 45% marks in English Elective. (ii) A Bachelor's degree obtaining at least 45% marks in English Compulsory subject. (iii) Bachelor's degree in any faculty obtaining at least 50% marks in the aggregate. (iv) B.A. with Honours in English. (v) B.A./B.Sc. with Honours in subject other than English obtaining at least 50% marks in the aggregate. (vi) Master's degree in any other subject obtaining at least 50% marks in the aggregate. <p>11.18 For M.A. History of Art</p> <p>Passed one of the following examinations from a recognized University:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) Bachelor's Degree obtaining at least 45% marks in the subject of Postgraduate course, or 50% marks in the aggregate.
--	---

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

	<p>OR</p> <p>(ii) B.A. with Honours in the subject of Post-Graduate Course OR B.Sc. (Hons.School) course.</p> <p>OR</p> <p>(iii) Masers Degree examination in any other subject.</p> <p>Provided that-</p> <p>For History of Art, a person who has passed one of the following shall be eligible-</p> <p>(1) B.A. (Pass) examination with 45 per cent marks in any of the following subjects:-</p> <p>(a) Art (b) Music (c) Psychology (d) Philosophy (e) Sociology (f) Sanskrit (g) History (h) English (i) Ancient Indian History Culture & Archaeology (j) Home Science (k) Any one of the Modern Indian Languages/Classical Languages;</p> <p>(2) B.A. (Pass)/B.Sc. (Home Science) examination in second division with at least 50 per cent marks in the aggregate;</p> <p>(3) B.F.A./Bachelor of Architecture examination with at least 45 per cent marks in the aggregate;</p> <p>(4) Masers Degree examination in any other subject.</p> <p>Provided he qualifies in an aptitude test conducted by the Department of Fine Arts as per guidelines laid down by the concerned Board of Control.</p>
--	--

ITEM 11

That Regulations for Advanced Certificate Course in Yoga (effective from the session 2020-21, be approved, **as per Appendix.**

ITEM 12

XXX

XXX

XXX

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

ITEM 13

That eligibility condition, **as per Appendix**, be added in Regulation 1.3 meant for following M.E. (Two Year Regular course) (Four Semesters) (effective from the session 2020-21:

- (i) M.E. in Mechanical Engineering (Robotics)
- (ii) M.E. in Computer Science and Engineering (IOT-Internet of Things)
- (iii) M.E. in Electronics and Communication Engineering (Artificial Intelligence)

ITEM 14

That –

- (i) nomenclatures of Post-Graduate Diplomas being offered at USOL be changed to **that of Advanced Diplomas**; and
- (ii) Regulations for **Advanced Diplomas** (effective from the session 2021-22), **as per Appendix**, be approved.

RESOLVED: That the recommendations of the Regulations Committee dated 13.12.2022 as quoted under Item 22 and **Appendix** also, (except Item No.1, 5 and 12), be approved.

23. Considered if the Ph.D. Registration of Ms. Jyoti Rani, be restored as recommended by JAAC of UCIM/CIL/SAIF dated 29.11.2022 (**Appendix-XVII**) and Director, R&DC.

NOTE: 1. Ms. Jyoti Rani was enrolled in Department of Physics on 12.09.2018. Ms. Jyoti Rani has submitted her Synopsis to the O/o Dean Research on 29.12.2020 i.e. well in time, but her Synopsis were not traceable in Department.

After four years of her joining, as the General Branch did not receive her Ph.D. synopsis, the candidature was cancelled as per clause No.8.4 of Ph.D. Guidelines.

- 2. The JAAC in its meeting dated 29.11.2022 has recommended that the candidature of Ms. Jyoti Rani should be restored at the earliest as she is not at fault whatever.
- 3. An office note was enclosed (**Appendix-XVII**).

RESOLVED: That, as recommended by JAAC of UCIM/CIL/SAIF dated 29.11.2022 (**Appendix-XVII**) and Director, R&DC, the Ph.D. Registration of Ms. Jyoti Rani, be restored.

24. Considered if, the following Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) (**Appendix-XVIII**), be executed between:

- 1. UIFT & VD, Panjab University, Chandigarh and Toronto Metropolitan University, Toronto, Ontario, Canada.

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

2. Directorate of Sports, Panjab University and Border Security Force (BSF), with its Western Command Headquarters, HQ Spl DG, Western Command, Industrial Area, Phase II, 3 BRD, Chandigarh.
3. Panjab University, Chandigarh and Mahatma Gandhi National Council of Rural Education (MGNCRE), Hyderabad, India.

NOTE: A copy of minutes of the Committee (in circulation), constituted by the Vice-Chancellor to scrutinize and suggest amendments, if any, in the MoU's was enclosed (**Appendix-XVIII**).

Initiating discussion, Shri Satya Pal Jain said that in the matter of MoUs, the legal vetting of the draft of MoUs should be got done through legal experts so that if the clause with respect of arbitration is left, then it would pose problems at the later stage.

The Vice-Chancellor assured that the MoUs have already been got legally examined from the legal experts.

RESOLVED: That the following Memorandum of Understanding (MoUs) (**Appendix-XVIII**), be executed:

1. UIFT & VD, Panjab University, Chandigarh and Toronto Metropolitan University, Toronto, Ontario, Canada.
2. Directorate of Sports, Panjab University and Border Security Force (BSF), with its Western Command Headquarters, HQ Spl DG, Western Command, Industrial Area, Phase II, 3 BRD, Chandigarh.
3. Panjab University, Chandigarh and Mahatma Gandhi National Council of Rural Education (MGNCRE), Hyderabad, India.

25.

To consider the following Resolution proposed by Dr. Dinesh Kumar, Fellow:

“Till the Hon'ble Punjab and Haryana High Court gives its final decision/verdict on the issue pertaining to the right to vote to the faculty members above the age of sixty years in the elections of Dean and Secretaries in the CWP-27561-2022 or any other CWP, it is resolved that the charge of Dean of respective Faculty be given to the senior most Professor of the faculty to perform all the functions/duties of the Dean for the smooth functioning of the academic activities. In case, the senior most Professor does not give consent or attains the age of 60 years during this period then the charge be given to the next senior most Professor of the faculty.

It is further resolved that the charge of Secretary of the faculty be given to the senior most teacher among the Chairperson/ Directors of the all the Department/Centres/Institutes covered within that faculty to perform the functions/duties of the Secretary of the faculty.

Provided that in case the senior most Chairperson happens to be Dean by virtue of the above-mentioned resolution then the next senior most Chairperson/Director will act as Secretary of the Faculty”.

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

EXPLANATION:

All the faculties are functioning in absence of the Deans since January 2021. Due to the absence of the Dean, the CAS promotion of 150 (Approx.) teachers are pending and increasing every month. More than half of the cases are only of stage I to II or stage II to III in which Dean's presence is sufficient and cannot be done quickly. It will further increase the fiscal deficit on the budget in terms of payment of arrears to the teachers and if we will clear the cases in the present financial year then the arrears could have been covered in the revised budget.

All the Professors are the ex-officio members of the faculty hence the charge will be given to the member of the faculty only. The University has never given any charge to a teacher after attaining the age of 60 since 1998 when the age of superannuation was challenged in the Hon'ble High Court and continued to be in service earlier till 62 years and later on 65 and which is still continuing by the interim order of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. Any charge given to a Professor beyond the age of 60 years may go in contradiction with the past practice. All the Chairperson/Directors are also ex-officio members of the faculty so in the end the duty will be performed by the members of faculty only.

As all the faculties are functioning in absence of the Deans since January 2021, the routine matters of the research scholars like meetings of Research Degree Committees seeking and extensions for submission of Ph.D. thesis are also increasing the workload on the higher officials which otherwise could be done at the level of Dean faculty. Please enclose **(Appendix-XIX)** duly approved list of Professors and Chairperson/Director as per seniority for consideration.

Initiating discussion, Principal S.S. Sangha said that this resolution is not moved as per Regulations. Since morning they all are talking about following the Regulations in toto, but this item is not being placed as per the Regulations and provisions of P.U. Calendar, Volume-I. If they want to violate the Regulations of the P.U. Calendar, then it is a separate matter.

To this, the Vice-Chancellor said that this is the reason that the matter has been placed before the House so that all the members can express their viewpoints on it.

Shri Davesh Moudgil said that the elections for the Dean would have been conducted five months before the fixed date of election. These elections are not held up only for one month.

Shri Satya Pal Jain said that firstly resolution under consideration is moved by the Fellow and not by the member of the Syndicate. The provision for moving the Resolution lies for the Senate and not in the Syndicate. There is no provision of moving the Resolution in the Syndicate; rather it is the Syndicate who recommends the resolution to the Senate. Under Regulation 11.1, "*Any Fellow who wishes to move a resolution shall forward a copy to the Registrar so as to reach him not less than four weeks before the date of an ordinary meeting*". Therefore, this resolution cannot be placed before the meeting of the Senate scheduled to be held on 30th November, 2022, this has to be placed in the next meeting of the Senate. Under the above said Regulation, "*He may withdraw the resolution by giving a written notice which should reach the Registrar not less than 2 days before the despatch of the agenda papers. The Registrar shall submit the proposed resolution to the Vice-Chancellor who shall direct him to include in the Agenda provided it is clear and unambiguous terms and is in accordance with the guidelines framed by the Syndicate and approved by the Senate. It shall then be*

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

brought to the notice of the Syndicate which shall refer it to the Senate with its observations, if any.

While quoting the above mentioned Regulations, he said that their task is only to forward the said resolution to the Senate with observations, if any. This resolution is completely against law and against the order of the Court. The date for the conduct of elections for Dean has been fixed by the Vice-Chancellor. When the date was fixed, the orders of the High Court and Supreme Court were in place at that time. Before the scheduled date of election, the teachers beyond the age of 60 years moved to the Court and asked when they were allowed stay from the Supreme Court then they should be allowed to be included in the voters list. The High Court had given only one direction to the University that these teachers be allowed to participate in the Election. The High Court did not order stay on the Elections, rather the Court allowed them to participate in the Elections. On that very evening, the official spokesman of the University had issued a statement that due to paucity of time in informing the teachers to participate in the Elections, for the time being the Elections were postponed. When the High Court did not order to put stay on the Elections and the Faculties are in function then how can this charge be given to someone else. When the Syndicate was not in place, he proposed the resolution of authorisation to be given to the Vice-Chancellor in the month of January. This resolution is against the orders of the Court, if it is considered that it will be contempt of the orders of the Court. He would like to place it on record that if this resolution is accepted then it would be contempt of the orders of the court, it is contempt as the Supreme Court had allowed them. If the University did not wish to hold the elections by including their names in the voters list, then they can wait for the vacation of the stay. If the Vice-Chancellor, while leaving the process of election, as an alternative arrangement, desired to give the charge of the Dean to someone else, this is not permissible. If this arrangement is to be accepted, it would mean that they want to contempt the orders of the Court by bypassing the orders of the Court. This would amount to the contempt of the orders of the Court, therefore, he suggested that this should be made redundant. If the Vice-Chancellor wished to get out of the crisis, either an application is to be moved to the Supreme Court or in the High Court for vacation of the stay otherwise he cannot have the Deans by any other means, the Deans can only be elected by the mode of Election because neither the High Court nor the Supreme Court had stayed the elections. If the Courts would have put stay orders on the Elections, in that case, then in that case University could take some other alternative for the functioning of the academic activities.

Professor Devinder Singh said that he would like to add two or three things on the statement made by Shri Satya Pal Jain that the election which was scheduled on 2nd December, 2022 was only to elect Dean for a period of two months. There is unrest of more than 150 teachers as they are waiting since long for CAS promotions. The presence of the Dean is very much required for the CAS promotions of the campus teachers and also for conduct of R.D.C.s. This election was scheduled for the Deans only for a period of two months as they know that from 1st February, 2023, the elections have to be conducted for one year term. The current position is still the same; the elections are to be conducted only for the month of December and January. He said that let the resolution moved by Dr. Dinesh Kumar be left and decision for the conduct of elections be taken as *suo moto* keeping in view the unrest amongst the teachers and for functioning the other related academic activities and some alternative arrangement for the same may be made by giving the charge of Dean to senior most teachers as one time measure for the remaining period of 40 days. The elections of Deans for the period commencing from 1st February, 2023 would be conducted as they cannot sabotage the orders of the Court.

To this, Shri Satya Pal Jain asked whether the election which was scheduled for 2nd December, 2022 was for a period of 30 days.

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

Professor Devinder Singh replied that this election was to be conducted for a time period of 30 days.

Shri Satya Pal Jain said that when the election was scheduled for the remaining period of 30 days, date of election was announced. Before the scheduled date of election, the High Court passed orders to allow the teachers beyond 60 years to participate in the Elections. The High Court did not order stay on the Elections, whereas the University had postponed the Elections on the ground that due to paucity of time to identify the teachers beyond 60 years, it would be difficult for the University to complete the election process. How can the University give the charge of Deans to someone else without conducting the Elections? If the charge of Deans would be given without conducting the elections then it would amount to contempt to court orders. There is no problem in conducting the Elections now only for the remaining period of 30 days.

When they were suggesting at that time for not conducting the Elections, they did not accede to it. Now when they are of the opinion that Elections are to be conducted, the University is not ready to conduct. He further stated that all these things are wrong, firstly the resolution of the Senate should come before 30 days of the meeting, and this resolution could not be placed before the meeting of the Senate scheduled for 30.12.2022 as it is not received before 30 days of the meeting. The Syndicate has no power to accept the resolution moved by the Fellow, only the observations of the members of the Syndicate on the resolution can be forwarded to the Senate and thereafter, the issue could be raised in the Senate. He said that he did not doubt the intention of the resolution, but it should not be done in this way. If it is accepted, it would be contempt of court.

The Vice-Chancellor said that in such a crucial situation, the Governing body itself by taking the cognizance of the issue, suggest a suitable solution to move out of the crisis. The number of pending cases is rising day by day, pending cases of CAS promotions of teachers are piling up daily, therefore, as per his opinion, some via-media solution should be evolved. The High Court had ordered to include the name of teachers beyond 60 years in the voters list, which is very much clear. Secondly, the concern of the University and Hon'ble Chancellor should also be kept in mind. It is not that, he is not in favour of the conduct of Elections. The stand taken by the Hon'ble Vice-President of India and the Chancellor of the University could not be surpassed by them. Therefore, the orders of the Hon'ble Vice-President of India should be taken into cognizance while taking decision in the matter.

Shri Satya Pal Jain said that in that situation, the Vice-Chancellor, Dean of University Instruction and the concerned academic team could bring a formal official proposal rather than moving a resolution, which is not containable.

The Vice-Chancellor said that in the current situation of crisis, what would be the next course of action?

Shri Satya Pal Jain said that this crisis has only two solutions first is to allow the teachers beyond 60 years to participate in the Elections and conduct the Elections for the Deans accordingly as the High Court did not put stay on the Elections and secondly an application should be moved either in the High Court or in the Supreme Court to get the stay vacated. There are only two options on it and no other alternative is available.

The Vice-Chancellor said that stay would not be vacated by the High Court only on receipt of application from the University. The entire process is time consuming.

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

Shri Satya Pal Jain said that the University is also moving at slow pace, the elections to the Faculties were held in the month of August, 2022 and by 2nd September, the process of opting adding members to Faculties had been completed. Three months' period from October to December had been lapsed and no elections were conducted by the University whereas at that time, there were no stay orders of the Court. The delay of 4 months is on the part of the University, the Syndicate was constituted on 2nd July, 2022 and by 2nd September the elections for Added members were conducted. When the University is silent for a period of 3.5 months then how it can be possible to initiate the same immediately. He remembered that in one of the meetings of the Syndicate, Professor Devinder Singh had raised an issue to conduct the elections for the Deans as the Syndicate and Senate were in place. This method is completely illegal which amounts to contempt of court and against the law and moreover, it is a resolution. If the Vice-Chancellor wanted that something to be done, he can bring the item officially. If the said resolution is accepted in this House, it would pose objections in the Senate that it is not covered under the Regulation that 30 days time period is not given. It is not the solution to the problem, the problem has two solutions, and the other third solution is that the Vice-Chancellor in his official capacity in consultation with other members initiates a proposal and inform the same to High Court also that keeping in view the pendency of the cases in the University, a proposal has been put forth to evolve the solution. By doing so, no one would say that orders of the Court are being by-passed. It is the matter of the Court, earlier also the Vice-Chancellor had been a party in the case of contempt of court orders because of delay in making the payment. In that case, the High Court simply ordered that the directions of the Court were not complied by the University and contempt notice was issued to make the personal appearance of the Vice-Chancellor and the Secretary. The decision to this effect had been taken by calling the emergent meeting at that time. Therefore, to evolve the solution this time also, an emergent meeting can be called.

Professor Devinder Singh said that he felt that a temporary solution would be evolved by conducting the elections for a period of 40 days only. He endorsed the viewpoint expressed by Shri Satya Pal Jain and said that it is correct that if someone will move to Court against the decision of the University and in that situation, the Court would take it otherwise that the orders of the High Court have been put aside and alternative solution has been made. The teachers in the Campus had full hope that some solution would be evolved in dealing with the pending CAS promotions and conducting of R.D.C.

Shri Satya Pal Jain said that to evolve the solution, the Vice-Chancellor along with lawyers of High Court, Supreme Court, Dean of University and Dean Research either legal or academic experts concerned should sit together.

The Vice-Chancellor said that all the legal and academicians are available in the House.

Shri Satya Pal Jain said that in that case they should put forth the solution available to them.

To this, the Vice-Chancellor asked is there anyone above the Governing body?

Professor Devinder Singh said that as per the viewpoint expressed by Shri Satya Pal Jain, the Vice-Chancellor along with Dean Research, Dean of University Instruction should bring a proposal in consultation with the lawyers of the Supreme Court and High Court.

Shri Satya Pal Jain said that he is not the lawyer of the University, the official lawyers of the University for Supreme Court and High Court should be consulted in the matter. He further stated that in the matter of teachers from 60 to 65 years, the official

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

stand has been made clear only on today morning that Panjab University is not in favour to enhance the age from 60 to 65 years. Till then there was confusion in it. When the University is not in favour of 60 to 65 years of age then they should move to Supreme Court to make an application or file a reply.

To this, the Vice-Chancellor said that this should not be as such that this matter has come into his (Shri Satya Pal Jain) notice only on yesterday. Whereas he was also a member of that Committee in which the said decision was taken.

To this, Shri Satya Pal Jain replied that the clarification has been received yesterday that University is not favour of extension of 60 years to 65 years.

Shri Satya Pal Jain said that they cannot take the decision on this item today itself in such a way because it is resolution for the Senate and the meeting of the Senate has been scheduled for 30th December, 2022. This resolution can be forwarded to the Senate only with the observations of the Syndicate.

Shri Davesh Moudgil said that if the resolution is accepted by the Syndicate then it would pose legal problems in future also and it would be quoted as precedent.

Shri Satya Pal Jain said that this resolution will have to be sent to the Senate for its final outcome as per the Regulations of P.U. Calendar and the first objection from the Senate would be the time limit of 30 days.

The Vice-Chancellor said that if he along with other academicians and legal experts bring a proposal to evolve the solution to the current difficulties being faced by the University.

To this, Shri Satya Pal Jain replied that this should not be done in such a way. The election for the Syndicate was pending for one year and the case was contested in the High Court as it was against law. The elections for 6 ordinary fellows were set aside due to the reason that it was against law. The University was made functional for two years without the constitution of Syndicate and Senate. The University working was functioning as per law during that period of 2 years in the absence of Governing bodies by the Vice-Chancellor and others. He further said it is a matter of election, for example in the Board of Finance, the Senate elects two persons, they have to be elected because the term "election" is used, they cannot be nominated. In cases pertaining to Academic Council where nomination is available, the House can authorise the Vice-Chancellor to nominate the members. Where there are Elections, the elected body would elect, nomination cannot be done. The members of Punjab Legislative Assembly have been elected where he (as a lawyer) pointed out it is illegal that the Speaker of Vidhan Sabha was authorised to nominate two persons.

Professor Savita Gupta asked as to what would be the solution to evolve to deal with pending cases of CAS promotions.

Shri Satya Pal Jain said that they have to wait otherwise the elections for the Deans can be conducted next week by taking a risk.

The Vice-Chancellor said for the elections of Deans, objections are yet to be filed.

Shri Satya Pal Jain said that the elections of Deans are conducted on the floor of the House.

The Vice-Chancellor said that it is not such, the matter is under the purview of the Court.

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

Shri Satya Pal Jain said that he is suggesting him to move an application in the High Court and the Supreme Court to get the stay order vacated.

Principal S.S. Sangha said that in the matters pertaining to Colleges, it was decided that Rules and Regulations would be followed whereas in the matters pertaining to University, the mid-way solution beyond the Rules and Regulations is desired.

Professor Devinder Singh said that as per suggestion of Shri Satya Pal Jain, 4-5 members bring the proposal to the House and online meeting for the same could be held to take decision.

Shri Satya Pal Jain said that this matter could be examined and decision taken strictly as per law.

The Vice-Chancellor said that a Committee of 4-5 members consisting of legal experts and academicians be constituted to make a proposal, which could be considered in an emergent online meeting.

Professor Devinder Singh asked whether this resolution is to be sent to the Senate or not.

Shri Satya Pal Jain replied that this resolution is supposed to be sent to the Senate with the observations of the Syndicate as it is for the Senate.

RESOLVED: That the above quoted Resolution proposed by Dr. Dinesh Kumar, Fellow, along with explanatory note and observations of the members, be forwarded to the Senate for consideration.

Item 26 taken up with Item 14.

27. **Information contained in Items R-1 to R-22 was read out, viz. –**

R-1. The Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation of the approval of the Syndicate/Senate, has appointed Prof. Sushil Kumar Kansal of Dr. S.S. Bhatnagar University Institute of Chemical Engineering & Technology as Dean of International Students till further orders under Regulation 1, page 108 of P.U. Calendar, Volume I, 2007.

R-2. The Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation of approval of the Syndicate/Senate, has allowed Professor Anupama Sharma of Dr. S.S. Bhatnagar University Institute of Chemical Engineering & Technology to continue as Dean of Alumni Relations till further orders, under Regulation 1 at page 109 of P.U. Calendar, Volume-I, 2022.

R-3. The Vice-Chancellor, on the recommendation of the Academic & Administrative Committee of USOL and in anticipation of approval of the Syndicate, has granted extension in appointment to Ms. Rajni Chauhan, Assistant Professor in Commerce (purely on temporary basis), University School of Open Learning for the Academic Session 2022-23 till 19.01.2023 in the pay scale of Rs.15600-39100 + AGP of Rs. 6000/- plus allowances, on the same terms and conditions, under University Regulation 5 at Page 112 of P.U. Calendar, Volume-I, 2022.

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

NOTE: 1. Rule 5 at Page-112, P.U. Cal. Volume-I, 2022 reads as under:

- a) Vice-Chancellor shall have authority to-
- (i) make an emergent temporary appointment for a period not exceeding one year; and
 - (ii) allow higher starting salary within the grade of the post;

R-4. The Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation approval of the Syndicate/Senate has re-appointed the following as Assistant Professors (purely on temporary basis) at Baba Balraj P.U. Constituent College, Balachaur, Distt –S.B.S. Nagar, w.e.f. the date they will start work for the academic session 2022-23 (with one day break), against the vacant post or till the regular post are filled in through regular selection, whichever is earlier, in the pay scale of Rs. 15600-39100 + AGP Rs. 6000/- plus allowances as per University rules, under Regulation 5 at page 111-112 of P.U. Cal. Vol.-I, 2007, on the same terms and conditions on which they were working earlier for the session 2021-2022:

Sr. No.	Name	Subject
1.	Dr. Kamalpreet Kaur	Punjabi
2.	Ms. Sukhjit Nahar	Sociology
3.	Dr. Hari Krishan	History
4.	Ms. Gurdeep Kaur	Punjabi
5.	Mrs. Ruby	Mathematics
6.	Mr. Ramandeep Singh Nahar	Commerce

R-5. The Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation of the approval of the Syndicate/Senate, has re-appointed afresh the following faculty at Dr. Harvansh Singh Judge Institute of Dental Sciences & Hospital, P.U., purely on temporary basis w.e.f. 11.11.2022 for 11 months i.e. upto 10.10.2023 with break on 10.11.2022 or till the posts are filled up through regular selection, whichever is earlier, under Regulation 5 at page 111 of P.U. Calendar, Volume-I, 2007, on the same terms and conditions on which they were working earlier:

Sr. No.	Name	Designation
1.	Dr. Lalit Kumar	Associate Professor
2.	Dr. Vishakha Grover	Associate Professor
3.	Dr. Puneet	Assistant Professor
4.	Dr. Poonam Sood	Assistant Professor
5.	Dr. Gurparkash Singh Chahal	Assistant Professor
6.	Dr. Sunint Singh	Assistant Professor
7.	Dr. Neha Bansal	Assistant Professor
8.	Dr. Rose Kanwal Jeet Kaur	Assistant Professor

R-6. The Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation of approval of the Syndicate, has extended the contractual term of appointment of Shri Anurag Arora, Part-Time Yoga Instructor, BGJ Institute of Health, P.U. for one year i.e. 20.10.2022 to 19.10.2023 with one day break on 19.10.2022, on the previous terms & conditions.

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

R-7. The Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation of the approval of the Syndicate, has appointed the following persons as Nurse at Dr. Harvansh Singh Judge Institute of Dental Sciences & Hospital, P.U. purely on contract basis, on Basic Pay (10300)+GP (4800)+DA thereon initially for period of 89 days (i.e. w.e.f. the date they join their duty) & extendable further as per needs or till the post is filled in through regular selection, whichever is earlier:

1. Ms. Ruchi
D/o Sh. Jeet Singh
854/1 Sector-40 A, Chandigarh
2. Ms. Jasvir Kaur
D/o Sh. Chanan Singh
2097, Sector 79, Mohali

R-8. The Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation of approval of the Syndicate/Senate, has approved the promotion of Shri Arvind Rana, Senior Technician (G-II) as Scientific Officer (G-I), Department of Microbiology, in the pay scale of Rs.15600-39100+ GP Rs.5400/- (with initial pay of Rs.21,000/-) plus allowances, as admissible as per University Rules, with effect from the date he joins the duty against the vacant post of Scientific Officer (G-I). His pay be fixed as per Panjab University Rules.

R-9. The Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation of approval of the Syndicate/Senate, has accepted the resignation of Dr. Baljinder Singh, Assistant Professor, purely on temporary basis, Department of Biotechnology w.e.f. 21.10.2022 (F.N.), with the condition that he will have to deposit amount in lieu of notice of one month, as he has tendered his resignation without giving one month notice, under Rule 16.2 at page 85 of P.U. Cal. Volume-III, 2019.

NOTE: 1. Rule 16.2 at page 85 of P.U. Calendar, Volume-III, 2019, reads as under:

“The service of a temporary employee may be terminated with due notice or on payment of pay and allowances in lieu of such notice by either side. The period of notice shall be one month in case of all temporary employees which may be waived at the discretion of appropriate authority.”

2. Request letter of Dr. Baljinder Singh for resignation from the post of Assistant Professor (Temp) was enclosed (**Appendix-XX**).
3. An office note was enclosed (**Appendix-XX**).

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

R-10. The Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation of approval of the Syndicate/Senate, has approved the minutes of the Leave Cases committee dated 03.11.2022 (**Appendix-XXI**), constituted by the Vice-Chancellor in terms of the Syndicate decision dated 16.05.1981 (Para 18) to look into the leave cases of teaching staff.

R-11. The Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation of approval of the Syndicate, has allowed the internal assessment at Undergraduate level (B.A./B.Com.) in the form of MCQs in the Google form, for the session 2022-23.

NOTE: An office note was enclosed.

R-12. The Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation of approval of the Syndicate/Senate, has approved the minutes dated 22.08.2022 (**Appendix-XXII**) of the Committee, constituted by the Vice-Chancellor, with regard to the recommendations made by the Committee dated 30.05.2022, 13.06.2022, 12.07.2022 & 25.07.2022 to review the P.U. Handbook of Hostel Rules and suggest amendment (addition/deletion), if required in the Handbook to be printed for the session 2022-23.

R-13. The Vice-Chancellor, on the recommendation of the Committee dated 01.06.2022 (**Appendix-XXIII**) and in anticipation of the approval of the Syndicate/Senate, has disaffiliated Mata Gurdev Kaur Memorial Shahi Sports College of Physical Education, Jhakroudi, Samrala, Ludhiana from Panjab University, Chandigarh w.e.f. the session 2020-21 for B.P.Ed. course.

NOTE: A copy of the communication sent to Management Committee, Mata Gurdev Kaur Shahi Sports College of Physical Education sent vide Endst. No.Misc. A-8/9130-42 dated 14.09.2022 was enclosed (**Appendix-XXIII**).

R-14. The Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation of approval of the Syndicate, has extended the last date to deposit the 2nd instalment of fee up to 31.12.2022 for newly admitted students as well as ongoing students.

R-15. The Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation of approval of the Syndicate/Senate, has allowed Ms. Kashish Reet Kaur, student of M.Sc.(Hons.) 2nd year, 3rd semester, Department of Chemistry, to appear in the examinations to be held in the month of December, 2022, as a special case, on humanitarian ground.

R-16. The Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation of the approval of the Syndicate/Senate, has accepted the resignation of Dr. Aditya Kaushik, Assistant Professor, University Institute of Engineering & Technology, P.U. w.e.f. 10.04.2018 (A.N.) i.e. the date he has been relieved from Institute, to enable him to join the post of Associate Professor of Mathematics at Department of Mathematics and Computing, Delhi Technological University (DTU), under Regulation 6 available at page 118 of P.U. Calendar, Vol.-I, 2007.

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

NOTE: 1. Regulation 6, page 118, Calendar, Volume-I, 2007, which reads as under:

“6. A permanent employee, recruited on or after January 1, 1968, shall give, at least three months notice before resigning his post, failing which he shall forfeit salary for the same period.

Provided that Syndicate may waive this requirement in part or whole for valid reasons.

Provided further that in case of an employee who is on long leave and resigns his post or his post is declared vacant under Regulation 11.9, the stipulation of three months notice shall not be required.

Explanation: long leave would mean leave for one year or more.”

2. An office note was enclosed.

R-17. The Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation of approval of the Syndicate, has granted extension in (Ex-India) extra ordinary leave without pay to Dr. Muneeshwar Joshi, Secretary to Vice-Chancellor, Panjab University, w.e.f. 29.10.2022 to 12.02.2023, with the permission to avail prefix and suffix holidays, if any.

R-18. The Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation of approval of the Syndicate, has allowed to convert Govt. College for Women, Machhiwara, Ludhiana into Co-Educational Institute i.e. Govt. College, Machhiwara, Ludhiana w.e.f. the session 2022-23.

NOTE: A copy of letter dated 10.06.2022 of Principal, Government College (W), Machhiwara, Ludhiana was enclosed (**Appendix-XXIV**).

R-19. The Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation of approval of the Syndicate, has allowed to convert Mai Bhago College for Women, Vill. & P.O. Ramgarh, Ludhiana into Co-Educational Institute w.e.f. the session 2022-23.

NOTE: 1. A copy of Survey Committee report dated 28.12.2021, visited Mai Bhago College for Women was enclosed (**Appendix-XXV**).

2. A copy of compliance in view of the observations of the above survey committee was enclosed (**Appendix-XXV**).

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

R-20. The Vice-Chancellor in anticipation of the approval of the Syndicate, has condoned the shortage of lectures of the following students, Department of Hindi, P.U. (**Appendix-XXVI**) for the academic session 2022-23:

1. Ms. Arpita, M.A. (Hindi) 1st Semester
2. Mr. Lundup Norbu, M.A. (Hindi) 3rd Semester
3. Mr. Sagar Khatri, M.A. (Hindi) 3rd Semester
4. Mr. Satyawan Singh, M.A. (Hindi), 3rd Semester
5. Ms. Sujata Thakur, M.A. (Hindi) 3rd Semester.

R-21. The Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation of the approval of the Syndicate, has condoned the shortage of lectures of the following students of University Business School (UBS), P.U. (**Appendix-XXVII**) for the Semester Examination November, 2022:

1. Ms. Mehak, M.Com.(Hons.) 3rd Semester
2. Mr. Ayush Dhiman, MBA (IB) 3rd Semester
3. Mr. Chirag Monga, MBA (EP) 3rd Semester
4. Mr. Sahil Maan, MBA (EP), 3rd Semester

R-22. The Vice-Chancellor, in anticipation of the approval of the Syndicate, has allowed to declare re-appear result of such candidates of intermediate semesters by adopting the old criteria (Pre-COVID scenario) to avoid the hardship to the students.

NOTE: An office note was enclosed (**Appendix-XXVIII**).

Referring to Sub-Item R-11, Professor Yojna Rawat said that, in accordance with the guidelines of DEB, for the session 2022-23, the University School of Open Learning has decided to award marks of internal assessment at the Undergraduate level (B.A./B.Com.) in the form of MCQs in the Google form. Since Syndicate and Senate was not there in the year 2021, the mechanism was adopted by the University School of Open Learning with the permission of the Vice-Chancellor. But when the issue came to the Syndicate in its previous meeting, the same was approved only for one year, whereas it was required to be approved from 2021 onwards. Now, the issue has come that MCQs in the form of Google form should be allowed to be started from the session 2022-23 onwards. However, below has been written that it is for the session 2022-23. She pleaded that this should be interpreted like this; rather, it should be interpreted that it is effective from the session 2022-23 onwards.

The Vice-Chancellor said that necessary correction would be made.

RESOLVED: That the information contained in **Item 27 – R-1 to R-22 on the agenda**, be ratified with the modification in (**R-11**) that the internal assessment at Undergraduate level (B.A./B.Com.) at University School of Open Learning be approved in the form of MCQs in the Google form, from the session 2022-23 onwards.

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

28. Information contained in **Items I-1 to I-10** was read out and noted, i.e. –

I-1. In terms of recommendation of Pre-Screening Committee dated 16.03.2022, the Vice-Chancellor has approved the promotion of Dr. Monica Bedi from Assistant Professor (Stage-1) to Assistant Professor (Stage-2) in UBS, w.e.f. 01.07.2009, in the pay scale of Rs.15600-39100/- + GP of Rs.7000/-, under UGC Career Advancement Scheme (as per UGC Regulation 2010) at starting pay to be fixed under the Rules of the Panjab University. The post would be personal to the incumbent and he would perform the duties assigned to him.

I-2. In pursuance of orders dated 27.10.2022 passed by the Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court in LPA No.944 of 2022 (titled Dr. Navleen Kaur & Ors. Vs. Panjab University and others) tagged with LPA No. 1505 of 2016, the following faculty members have been allowed to continue in service in view of the similarly placed cases as under:-

Sr. No.	Name of members	Faculty Department	w.e.f. the date they continue in service as per interim orders
1.	Professor Navleen Kaur	Community Education & Disability Studies	01.11.2022
2.	Professor Dazy Zarabi	Community Education & Disability Studies	01.11.2022
3.	Dr. Virendra Kumar Alankar	Sanskrit	01.11.2022

In this regard, the Competent authority has ordered that the above faculty members be considered to continue in service w.e.f. 01.11.2022, as applicable in such other cases of teachers which is subject matter of LPA No.944 of 2022 & others similar cases and salary shall be payable to the incumbent/petitioner which they were drawing on the date of attaining the age of 60 years without break in the service, excluding HRA (HRA not be paid to anyone), as an interim measure subject to the final outcome of LPA No.1505 of 2016 and other connected cases of the bunch matter. The payment to them will be adjustable against the final dues/recoverable from them, for which they should submit the undertaking as per Performa.

NOTE: The teacher (s) residing in the University campus (who have got stay to retain residential accommodation) shall be allowed to retain the residential accommodation (s) allotted to them by the University on the same terms and conditions, subject to adjustment as per orders of the Hon'ble Punjab and Haryana High Court.

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

I-3. In pursuance of orders passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India dated 10.10.2022 in Special Leave to Appeal (C) No.(s) 17457-17491/2022 Choragudi Nagraja Kumar Vs. Panjab University & Ors. wherein, the following petitioner have been given the benefits of continuing in service, in view of the similarly situated cases:-

Sr. No.	Name of Department	of faculty,	Date of superannuation (i.e. 60 years)	of w.e.f. the date they continue in service as per interim orders
1.	Dr. Maninder Karan, Professor, UIPS		30.09.2022	01.10.2022
2.	Dr. Ashwani Koul, Professor, Biophysics		30.09.2022	01.10.2022
3.	Dr. Keshav Malhotra, DES-MDRC		30.09.2022	01.10.2022
4.	Dr. Preeti Mahajan, Professor, Library and Information Sciences		30.09.2022	01.10.2022

I-4. To note that the name of Government College, Talwara, Hoshiarpur, has changed to Mahant Ram Parkash Dass Government College, Talwara, Hoshiarpur (Pb.) as per letter No.2737 dated 16.11.2021 of Department of Higher Education and Languages (Education Cell), Government of Punjab (**Appendix-XXIX**).

I-5. The Vice-Chancellor, has sanctioned the following terminal benefits to Mrs. Sharda Devi Wd/o Late Shri Kishori Lal, Electrician, P.U. Construction Office (who expired on 18.10.2022, while in service):-

- (i) Gratuity as admissible under Regulation 15.1 at page 131 of P.U. Calendar, Volume-I, 2007.
- (ii) Ex-Gratia Grant under Rule 1.1 at page 141 of P.U. Calendar, Volume-III, 2016.
- (iii) "Encashment of Earned Leave up to the prescribed limit under Rule 17.4 at page 98 of P.U. Calendar, Volume-III, 2016.

I-6. The Vice-Chancellor, has sanctioned the following terminal benefits in respect of Late Shri Balwant Singh, Peon, Department of Chemistry, P.U. (who expired on 10.11.2022, while in service) in favour of Mrs. Santosh (Wife) 25%, Ms. Preeti (Daughter) 50% and Mr. Vikram Singh (Son) 25%:-

- (i) Gratuity as admissible under Regulation 15.1 at page 131 of P.U. Calendar, Volume-I, 2007.
- (ii) Ex-Gratia Grant under Rule 1.1 at page 141 of P.U. Calendar, Volume-III, 2016.
- (iii) "Encashment of Earned Leave up to the prescribed limit under Rule 17.4 at page 98 of P.U. Calendar, Volume-III, 2016.

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

I-7. The Vice-Chancellor, as authorized by the Syndicate (Para 5, dated 31.10.1984), has sanctioned retirement benefits to the following University teaching staff:

Sr. No.	Name of the employee and post held	Date of Appointment	Date of Retirement	Benefits
1.	Dr. Gunmala Suri Professor University Business School P.U.	18.12.1998	31.11.2022	(i) Gratuity as admissible under Regulation 3.6 and 4.4 at pages 183-186 of P.U. Cal. Vol.-I, 2007 (ii) Encashment of Earned Leave as may be due to her/him but not exceeding 300 days as admissible as per Syndicate decision dated 01.09.2022
2.	Dr. Kirandeep Singh Professor Department of Education, P.U.	20.12.1999	31.12.2022	
3.	Dr. Deepti Gupta Professor Department of English & Cultural Studies, P.U.	21.10.1994	30.11.2022	
4.	Dr. B.B. Goyal Professor University Business School P.U.	18.12.1992	30.11.2022	

NOTE: The above is being reported to the Syndicate in terms of its decision dated 16.3.1991 (Para 16).

I-8. The Vice-Chancellor, as authorized by the Syndicate (Para 5, dated 31.10.1984), has sanctioned retirement benefits to the following University non-teaching staff:

Sr. No.	Name of the employee and post held	Date of Appointment	Date of Retirement	Benefits
1.	Smt. Anu Arora Technical Officer (G-I) A.C. Joshi Library, P.U.	05.01.1990	30.11.2022	Gratuity as admissible under the University Regulations
2.	Shri Rajesh Kumar Gupta Assistant Registrar Conduct Branch, P.U.	29.12.1986	31.12.2022	
3.	Shri Rajan Sharma Assistant Registrar R&S Branch	27.12.1984	31.01.2023	
4.	Shri Narayan Parsad Gurung Security Guard Department of Botany, P.U.	01.01.2001	31.12.2022	
5.	Shri Khushal Singh Duplicate Machine Operator Dean Student Welfare, P.U.	16.05.1983	31.12.2022	

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

6.	Shri Ram Dev Security Guard Girls Hostel No. 4, P.U.	28.01.2015	31.12.2022	Gratuity as admissible under the University Regulations
7.	Shri Tej Bahadur Painter (Technician G-I) Construction Office, P.U.	02.04.1993	31.12.2022	
8.	Mrs. Gurdev Kaur Superintendent R&S Branch, P.U.	09.06.1990	31.01.2023	
9.	Shri Chand Kumar Sharma Superintendent Re-evaluation Branch, P.U.	16.01.1990	31.01.2023	
10.	Shri Vijay Kumar Chargeman Grade-I (Work Inspector), Construction Office, P.U.	20.10.1987	31.12.2022	
11.	Shri Harsh Singh Bisht Security Guard Construction Office, P.U.	18.06.1980	31.01.2023	

NOTE: The above is being reported to the Syndicate in terms of its decision dated 16.3.1991 (Para 16).

I-9. In terms of order dated 15.01.2020 passed by Hon'ble Punjab and Haryana High Court in LPA No. 1505 of 2016 and other connected cases, the Vice-Chancellor has sanctioned the following retiral benefits to Dr. Sukhmani Bal Riar, Professor, Department of History (who had attained the age of superannuation i.e. 60 years on 30.11.2017 and was continuing working in the Panjab University service upto the age of 65 years i.e. 13.11.2022), subject to final outcome of Special Leave to Appeal (c) No.(s) 17457-17491/2022 dated 10.10.2022:-

- (i) Gratuity as admissible under Regulation 3.6 and 4.4 at pages 183-186 of P.U. Calendar, Volume I, 2007.
- (ii) Encashment of Earned leave as may be due to her but not exceeding maximum 300 days, as admissible as per the decision of the Syndicate dated 01.09.2022 (Para 1).

I-10. The Vice-Chancellor, has accepted the donation of Rs.5,00,000/- made by Dr. Kavita Chavan w/o Late Dr. B.S. Chavan, Ex-Director Principal, GMCH, Chandigarh, for institution of an Endowment to be named as 'Late Dr. B.S. Chavan Gold Medal to be awarded to the Best Postgraduate Student Gold Medal Award of GMCH, Sector-32, Chandigarh. The investment of Rs. 5,00,000/- be made in the shape of TDR in the State Bank of India, Sector-14, Chandigarh @ maximum prevailing rate of interest upto 25.05.2023 and the interest so accrued there on be credited annually in the Special Endowment Trust Fund (S.E.T.) A/c No. 10444978140 on the following terms and conditions:

1. Endowment will be named as Late Dr. B.S. Chavan Gold Medal.
2. Gold Medal to be awarded to the Best Postgraduate Student Gold Medal Award of GMCH, Sector-32, Chandigarh.

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

General Discussion**General Discussion – at the time of considering requests of Fellows for change of their assignment to the Faculties (Item 13).**

1. Shri Satya Pal Jain stated that it was also discussed in the previous meeting of the Syndicate, that the employees, who could opt for pension earlier, should be given another chance to opt for the same. This issue is pending since long and two cases had been filed in the High Court, which is under the consideration of Panjab University. These cases were filed by Dr. R.D. Anand and the University might have received the orders from the High Court with respect to the same. This matter should be settled now in one or the other way. Previously also, this matter was filed in the High Court by Dr. R.D. Anand and Dr. Dinesh Kumar in which he had appeared from the Government of India side. The reply from the University was submitted that it was under consideration of Panjab University. Therefore, decision to this effect should be taken in the matter in one or the other way.

2. Shri Satya Pal Jain said that he would like to submit with regard to Regulations pertaining to U.M.C., he had thoroughly studied the Regulations related to U.M.C. Firstly, it is observed that these should be re-framed. In the existing Regulations, there is no provision for remedy of second appeal; and the provision is of review only, and that too, only in the UMC cases where new facts are revealed. The review has to be sent to the same Committee, it never goes to another Committee. An appeal can be sent to another authority than the authority which had taken the decision. The appeal against the decision of the Syndicate would go to the Vice-Chancellor and Chancellor of the University. The review is to be sent to only to the same Committee, which had taken the decision earlier. Two instances have been done, one of which had been sent to the Vice-Chancellor by him. In the second case, same thing has been done. The question arises that one Committee had recommended that student is guilty and should be disqualified for two years, whereas the other Committee had recommended that the student is proved to be guilty, but instead of barring the student for two years, his paper should be cancelled. Out of these two decisions of the Committees, whose decision is to be treated final as none of the Committee has not given any specific reasons. As per P.U. Calendar, if there is a difference of opinion, the case goes to the Vice-Chancellor or the Syndicate. The said Regulation says, "*But in the event of difference of opinion, the case shall be referred to the Vice-Chancellor who may either finally decide the case or refer it to the Syndicate for decision*". If the decision is to be taken by the Vice-Chancellor, it should come to the Syndicate. Similarly, there is another case with respect to UIET, wherein three members of the Committee had proved the student guilty, but after the appeal, the same had been referred back to the Committee. Interestingly, out of three, two members decided that after reviewing, they can give the relief to the students. How the decision of the three members of the Committee could be overruled by two members of the Committee? If there is difference of opinion between these Committees, there has to be some mechanism why should they presume that earlier decision was wrong and the decision which was taken after review is correct. Review of the decision can only be done in the case where new facts are revealed. The Syndicate being the final authority, the case may be placed before the Syndicate. If the said decision was taken by the Vice-Chancellor, it should be conveyed to the Syndicate that the decision was taken by the Vice-Chancellor, if not, it should come to the Syndicate. In future, it should be made crystal clear that review is only applicable in cases, where new facts are revealed and there is no provision of remedy for appeal.

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

The Vice-Chancellor said that the concerned persons should brief the House on the observations made by Shri Satya Pal Jain.

3. Professor Sukhbir Kaur stated that as both she herself and Professor Yojna Rawat are the members of the U.M.C. Committee. She would like to submit that similar types of cases are referred to the Committee. Everyone has his/her own vision. For example, one Committee gives punishment to a student for the same crime i.e., disqualify him/her for two years, and another Committee gives the punishment that the paper is to be cancelled. In such type of cases, there is major difference of opinion and there is lot of controversy amongst the students that one Committee had acquitted the student for the same, but the other had recommended punishment to disqualify for two years. Due to this, several cases were received for review. She used to inform the office of the Registrar also that there should only be one Committee, whereas there is provision for one or more Committee in the P.U. Calendar. The only method to solve this controversy is that, in future, a single U.M.C. Committee should be constituted wherein new members can be added as it would be difficult to convene the meeting with three members of the Committee because sometime one or more members could not make himself/herself/themselves available. For carrying out the business, presence of at least two members is needed to complete the quorum. The University should have one single U.M.C. Committee in which there should be more than two members so that all the members with similar vision can decide the cases uniformly. She had discussed the matter with the Controller of Examinations that sometimes the Centre Superintendents treated the students harshly. After giving hearing to the students and reading the letters, the Committee had to take a lenient view. There was one U.M.C. case with respect to a student of UIET, where the student was caught in a cheating case as he had written something on his hand. The said student was forced to take a round of the whole campus of UIET showing the writing on his hands, which is a criminal act. The student wept before the Committee and said that he was forced to take a round just like a thief, which is wrong. If the student is at fault, a U.M.C. case is to be registered; rather than humiliating him publicly. It had been observed that in the second case, initially, a Centre registered a U.M.C. case within 15 minutes, and till the end of the examination, he did not give second sheet to the student. She had got it confirmed from the Controller of Examinations. However, in the instant case, the student was provided with the second sheet just before 15 minutes of the end of the examination, to attempt the paper. Such an unfair treatment is meted out to the students. This ill-treatment was going on with the students due to which they were compelled to take such decisions. The student, which is referred to, had forgotten his question paper, and the Centre Superintendent had called him and told that his answer-sheets would have to be attached with it, and he would register a UMC case for this. These are the unjustified things which are happening with the students. As teachers, they should be more sympathetic with the students.

Shri Satya Pal Jain stated that he fully agreed with Professor Sukhbir Kaur, but she is not replying to his query. In cases where the Superintendent or Examiner misbehaves with student, the procedure has been mentioned in the Regulations. Even the Vice-Chancellor can register a complaint with the Police, which have also been provided in the Regulations. The question is, in 100 cases they had taken the decision of disqualification of two years. Either they should give the right to review in all the cases or in none. When it is written in the Regulations that "If in a case, the Vice-Chancellor thinks that facts duly reduced in writing have been brought to light within 30 days of the receipt of the decision by the candidate which had they been before the Committee, might have led to a decision other than the one arrived at, the Vice-Chancellor may order that such

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

facts be placed before the Committee. This has to be the same Committee, how can one Committee seeks the appeal over the decision of other? If it is to be agreed, all the cases should be referred to both the Committees. Secondly, both the Committee have difference of opinion, how can it be presumed that the recommendation of the second Committee was logical and the recommendation of the first Committee was ill-logical. He further said that the Regulation provides for one or more Committee, but this is not the problem being raised here. If the problem persists, they can constitute two Committees, there is no problem in it. The problem is being created at the place, where as per the Regulation, the decision of the Committee is final. If the mechanism is provided in the Regulations, how could they violate the same? If a chance is to be given to a person, it should be given to everybody. They are acting in violation of the Regulations, and that too, in the selective cases. Secondly, he would like to ask, if the three members of the Committee are recommending that the student is guilty, he should be punished, how could two members of the Committee overrule, that too, where a third member of the Committee had refused to become a part.

Professor Sukhbir Kaur said that they did not want to indulge in any controversy. She simply would like to request that there should be one Committee for U.M.C. cases.

Shri Satya Pal Jain said that in the cases, the Judge, means the Judge, who is hearing the case. If the bail is denied by one Judge, can one go to another Judge? It cannot be done unless the law provides.

To this, Professor Sukhbir Kaur said that he (Shri Satya Pal Jain) is terming them as stupid.

Shri Satya Pal Jain said that he was not terming them stupid, he is only calling the interpretation of Regulations as stupid. If this is their approach, he has no alternative but to write to the Vice-Chancellor/Chancellor. In the case of student of UIET, a member of Committee had screen-shots of the evidences (chat messages that the candidate want to meet the members of the Committee) with him. However, it is mentioned in the Regulations that if any candidate want to approach any member of the Committee, action can be taken against the candidate. Did they take any action? On 9th day of the month, the Committee decided to review the case, 10th or 11th were holidays and on 12th at 3:00 p.m., 2 members of the Committee met and decided the case in favour of the candidate. He had photocopies of all the facts and evidences.

Professor Yojna Rawat said that she would like to state that for the errors and lapses on the part of the non-teaching staff, no Committees were being constituted and no punishment was imposed on them. In one of the case of UIET, a student had collected all the sheets and the staff of the UIET did not even report the matter.

The Vice-Chancellor said that the matter should end here, as the concern of the people has been noted.

Shri Satya Pal Jain said that he, being the lawyer, would give representation in writing as he is totally against violation of the Laws and Regulations. He is of the firm opinion that the law should be applicable to all. This type of interpretation would never be accepted.

The Vice-Chancellor said that now the matter should end.

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

Professor Yojna Rawat said that a huge Committee comprising several members should be constituted for looking into U.M.C. cases.

Shri Satya Pal Jain said that earlier, there used to be one retired Judge in every U.M.C. Committee. It is only from the last 5-6 years that no judicial member is included in the U.M.C. Committee. No retired District Judge and High Court Judge was included in the Committee. All the members are either from the administrative side or nominated from political background. Why the members other than judicial side are included in the Committee? At least one retired Judge should be made part of the Committee, who could tell the correct elucidation of the Regulations.

The Vice-Chancellor said that he agreed with the viewpoints expressed by Shri Satya Pal Jain that there is a need to streamline the system.

Shri Satya Pal Jain said that it is being clarified that this had also happened at the time of former Vice-Chancellors, especially at the time of former Vice-Chancellor Professor Arun Grover. If something wrong had been done earlier, it is not necessary that they should repeat the same mistake.

The Vice-Chancellor said that as suggested by Shri Satya Pal Jain, this Committee would be made larger and a proper mechanism would also be evolved.

To this, Shri Satya Pal Jain said that the problem is not related to the Committee; rather, the problem is with the wrong interpretation of the Regulations.

Concluding the discussion on the issue, the Vice-Chancellor said that the matter ends here. The work would be done as suggested by him (Shri Satya Pal Jain). There is no need to exaggerate the issue any more. He would resolve the matter in consultation with Shri Satya Pal Jain and others.

4. Dr. Mukesh Arora said that he had raised this matter in the earlier meetings of the Syndicate also, that a transfer policy should be framed for the employees posted at Regional Centres of the University. A Committee should be constituted to deal with transfer cases either on medical or humanitarian grounds, so that the employees could be benefitted. A uniform policy should be adopted for all cases.

The Vice-Chancellor said that some of the transfer cases are related to the persons, whose spouses are posted at another places, which needed to be got examined.

5. Dr. Mukesh Arora said that a Committee, under the chairmanship of Dr. Yojna Rawat, has taken a decision in the case where students have recently given the representation. He urged that he should be intimated as to what would be done in this case of such wrong admissions. It needed to be examined whether the elections are valid or not.
6. Dr. Mukesh Arora said that the guest charges for the hostellers are being enhanced and to this effect, representation was also given by the students, therefore, it should be looked into. If the hostel rooms are vacant, the students may be allotted the hostels on the basis of merit then such type of situation would not be upraised.

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

The Vice-Chancellor said that he would like to update the House regarding the hostels and he had acquired knowledge about the vacancy of rooms in boys and girls hostels. He would resolve the issue within one week.

7. Dr. Mukesh Arora requested that the employees of hostels could not get the salaries due to shortage of funds in the hostels. Is it possible to accommodate those employees for disbursement of payment on the panel of the University?

Dr. Mukesh Arora said that, in the year 1998, there was only one UMC Committee and each member used to get a remuneration of Rs.12,000/-. However, later on, the payment of honorarium was stopped.

The Vice-Chancellor asked him (Dr. Arora) not to raise such issues.

8. Professor Prashant Gautam said that no policy existed for the extraordinary sportspersons in P.U. Campus, who took admission under 5% quota. Citing an example, he said that in one case, a girl, who is associated with 50 meter rifle shooting, used to practice at other places such as Police area, Manav Rachna Campus, etc. She has got bronze and silver medals at national, international levels and Khelo India games and contributed a lot for attaining MAKTA Trophy for the University. In spite of her contribution in the field of sports, her roll number was detained due to shortage of lectures. Such sportspersons should be given benefits by relaxing the condition(s). If that girl is detained, she would be ineligible to play for University also.

The Vice-Chancellor said that all such issues needed to be looked into system. They have to bring in a lot of flexibility in view of the National Education Policy. In order to avoid litigation, Regulations needed to be amended, so that relaxation in minimum requirement could be given.

Professor Prashant Gautam said that the last paper of the student is perhaps on 28th December, 2022 and if she is not allowed to appear in the examination, her career would be jeopardized as she would not be able to participate in the University games.

To this, the Vice-Chancellor said if the Board of Control of the Department is not ready to condone the shortage of lectures even in such genuine cases, how could they proceed? He informed that, this time, University missed the MAKTA trophy from a very thin margin.

Shri Satya Pal Jain said that in such matters, the Vice-Chancellor should exercise his power and take decision, and thereafter bring the matter to the Syndicate for final approval.

The Vice-Chancellor said that in the case(s) of Departments, where JAAC and Board of Control is not ready to work in the interest of the teachers and students, a Committee would be constituted to look into the issues and make recommendation(s). The recommendations of the Committee would be placed before the Syndicate for consideration.

9. Professor Prashant Gautam requested that several teachers of UIHTM were eligible for promotion, but they were restrained from promotion, under the Clause 6.3 of the U.G.C. Regulations wherein the condition for three years was laid down in the month of July, 2018. Even after the expiry of period of three years, their promotions are pending, which is required to be looked into. He

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

suggested that an undertaking can be taken from them that they would be reverted if any objection/notification is received in the matter.

The Vice-Chancellor said that the matter would be got examined.

10. Dr. B.C. Josan said that the students of B.A./B.Sc. of Colleges affiliated to Panjab University, who were got selected in Punjab police, had offered the subject of I.T or Computer Application in B.A./B.Sc. degree, wanted that in the degrees to be awarded to them, the subject of I.T. or Computer Application should be mentioned as also being done in Punjabi University, Patiala and G.N.D.U., Amritsar. He requested that this matter should be looked into and directions can be given to the concerned department to give equivalency to the concerned students and do the needful in the matter.
11. Dr. Neeru Malik requested that in the cases of CAS promotions for the teachers of the Colleges, which are pending, it was decided that these cases should be passed through the Internal Committee of the Panjab University. But the letter was referred back from the Colleges Branch with the request to intimate as to under what Regulation the screening is to be done. Verbally it was intimated that the Regulations of 2018 would be applicable and it was also stated that it would be done as per the choice of the teachers. Resultantly, the screening cannot be initiated until the complete procedure is intimated. Therefore, the Director Higher Education should be contacted in the matter to guide them as to what Regulations have to be followed.
12. Dr. Neeru Malik requested that students, who used to participate in the national and international sports, should be given good internal assessment marks.
13. Dr. Neeru Malik said that the research scholars, who are doing Ph.D. under the supervision of College teachers, could not get hostel facility in the University, in spite of their merit. In fact, they are being denied the facility of hostel, from the last one year, whereas the Graduate and Post-Graduate student of outside University is getting the hostel accommodation.

The Vice-Chancellor said that the hostel seat should be allocated to them on the basis of merit. Being the Director, Research & Development, she should be aware of the complete background of the case as how this was happened. In a case with respect to the Research scholar of the College, who used to behave unruly in the hostel, but his/her responsibility was neither owned by the parent Department nor the Principal of the College. Owing to this reason, it was decided that the research scholars of affiliated Colleges be not given the hostel facility.

To this, Dr. Neeru Malik said that in such cases where neither the Department nor the College own the responsibility for the Research Scholars, an affidavit can be obtained from the students.

The Vice-Chancellor stated the students had also given an affidavit that they would not bring four-wheelers to the Campus, but they could themselves see that Campus is full of four-wheelers. He suggested that some mechanism needed to be evolved on the issue.

14. Professor Yojna Rawat said that for the retired teachers, where contempt orders of the Court were received, their payment for encashment of leave for 300 days was released immediately, but the arrears to the teachers have not been released so far.

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

Professor Sukhbir Kaur clarified that she had already raised this matter in earlier meetings of the Syndicate that in accordance with P.U Calendar, the interest on the P.F. can also be paid up to 61 years of age. As now most of the teachers are continuing up to age of 65 years, the payment of interest should be made up to 65 years of age as the P.F. remained in the University account and the University is earning interest on the same. If crores of rupees are deposited as P.F. in the University account, the University have to pay the interest on the amount. Several teachers are giving representation to the effect that interest on P.F. deposited in University account should be paid to the teachers beyond 61 years of age.

The Vice-Chancellor said that interest on the amount of Provident Fund deposited in University account should be paid to the teachers. **This matter would be got examined by constituting a Committee.**

It was informed by Finance & Development Officer that the matter was got examined and after examination it was decided that the contribution of teachers should be paid to them, but so far as the interest is concerned, it had been mentioned in the orders of the Court that the payment of interest would be made subject to the final decision of the Court. The matter was put up by them to make payment of interest amount to the teachers, after that legal opinion was obtained, but this issue needs re-examination.

The Vice-Chancellor said that the issue may be got re-examined by constituting a Committee.

15. Professor Sukhbir Kaur requested that taxi charge may please be revised. In Haryana, Rs.16/- per kilometre is being paid to the teachers on account of taxi charges.

It was informed that matter was placed before Board of Finance, but this issue is required to be placed again before the Board of Finance in its next meeting.

16. Dr. Jayanti Dutta said that the training is to be imparted to the non-teaching staff of the University. It is requested that some Committee may be constituted for framing a specific structure as in majority of institutions training sessions and workshops are conducted.

The Vice-Chancellor said that being the In-charge of the Academic Staff College, a proposal so framed for the same may be placed before the House on the basis of the guidelines of U.G.C.

17. Dr. Jayanti Dutta requested that they should move in the direction to make the Campus a Vehicle free zone. In majority of institutions like Punjabi University, Patiala and Punjab Engineering College, no vehicles are allowed in the Campuses.

18. Dr. Jagtar Singh said that his only concern is that the age of superannuation of teachers working in Government aided and privately managed Colleges should be enhanced to 65 years by implementing the Gazette Notification dated 29.03.2022 of Ministry of Home Affairs as also Notification dated 30.03.2022 of Department of Personnel, Chandigarh Administration.

The Vice-Chancellor said that the said issue is under the active consideration of Chandigarh Administration. He asked Dr. Jagtar Singh to keep

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

it following. Whatever would be possible from his side, the same would be done. However, he would like to tell that the Administration is favourable.

General Discussion – after the discussion on agenda items was over.

19. Professor Devinder Singh said that he is noting for the last few years that the seats in various Departments/Institutes/ affiliated Colleges remained vacant.

Dr. Jagtar Singh intervened to say that science is the badly affected stream. There are 350 seats in his College and not even 100 seats are filled in.

Professor Devinder Singh stated that, perhaps, this is due to centralized admissions, technical process as everything has been made online and certain other issues. The permission for late admission beyond the stipulated date is to be given by the Vice-Chancellor because it is impossible to convene the meeting(s) of the Syndicate again and again. Hence, a Committee of 4-5 Syndics should be constituted to give extension in the last date of admission and also give permission to students to take admission on case-to-case basis in the University Teaching Departments/ Institutes and affiliated Colleges. According to him, Panjab University is a public institution, and thus, they had much more responsibility towards the society. The students, who did not get admission in Panjab University, they got admission in private Universities by pay three-times fees. But the seats in the University remained vacant. Since Panjab University is a public funded institution, its maximum advantage should be given to the society. Citing an example, he said that it took 4-5 days to fill up 10 vacant seats in Law course, though all were in favour of it. He also convinced the people that since 40 days are remaining for the examination, the seats could be filled up. He suggested that such a system should be developed that if a student move his application today, permission is granted to him within a couple of days. Hence, a Committee of 4-5 Syndics should be constituted to examine such requests of students on day-to-day basis and give them permission as early as possible. There is no harm in it as the University is meant for the students. Special classes for such students could be arranged during the 10 preparatory days, which are given before the semester examinations. In nutshell, he suggested that the students should be admitted so that their social responsibility is fulfilled, so that the students could get degree by paying nominal fees. Suppose if 1000 students are denied admission in the University Teaching Departments/Institutes and affiliated Colleges, they get the degree from private Universities by paying 3-times fees. The only issue is that the process is required to be expedited. Since the Vice-Chancellor is so busy, he could not find time to take decision on such matter on day-to-day basis. As such, a Committee of 4-5 Syndics should be appointed for this purpose.

Professor Renu Vig, Dean of University Instruction, stated that she had also said in the last meeting that there is no last date for admissions in private Universities. The admissions are always open there. One could go there at any time and get admission. In fact, they start admission much before but never end the admissions, whereas they start the admissions later and end them much before. As such, they needed to change their admission system. The private Universities gave admissions in even semesters also. They have to evolve such a system wherein every candidate, who is eligible, is given admission.

Professor Savita Gupta suggested that they should prepare a waiting list. As and when any seat fell vacant, the candidates on the waiting list should be given admission.

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

Professor Renu Vig, Dean of University Instruction, stated that they do prepare the waiting list, but owing the last date of admission, the candidates on the waiting list are not given admissions.

Professor Yojna Rawat said that, first of all, they should make timely admissions. The students always preferred Panjab University, but when they did not get admission here, they go to other Universities.

Professor Yojna Rawat suggested that either they should conduct their Entrance Tests well in advance.

The Vice-Chancellor directed the Dean of University Instruction to conduct the meeting(s) of Admissions Committee at the earliest. Secondly, as suggested by Professor Devinder Singh, a Committee, under the chairpersonship of Dean of University Instruction, comprising 4-5 Syndics, Controller of Examinations and Dean, College Development Council would be constituted. The Committee should develop the parameter for conducting the Entrance Tests, preparation of merit lists, including waiting lists, use of waiting lists, etc.

Professor Yojna Rawat suggested that all the Entrance Tests should be conducted before end of March.

Dr. Jayanti Dutta suggested that the mock-up rounds should be continued until all the seats are filled in.

20. Professor Renu Vig, Dean of University Instruction, said why they gave much stress on Entrance Tests, where usually the seats remained vacant? Entrance Test should be conducted only for those courses where the applicants are much more than the number of seats.

Professor Devinder Singh suggested that the courses, where the admission seeks are less than the number of seats or almost equal to number of seats, should be identified.

Professor Renu Vig, Dean of University Instruction, said that this time, PEC University had made admission to its postgraduate programme(s) on the basis of merit of lower examination.

The Vice-Chancellor asked the Dean of University Instruction to get the proposal prepared, so that the matter could be taken care of.

Dr. Jayanti Dutta suggested that the admission process should be started right now.

21. Dr. B.C. Josan pointed out that the Syndicate in its previous meeting had decided to appoint a Committee for MBA course being offered in DAV Institute of Management, and the Committee has also been appointed. He pleaded that the meeting of the Committee should be convened at the earliest.

22. Dr. B.C. Josan said that certain students had taken the subject of Computer Science & Applications/Information Technology in their graduate programme. Some of the students had got job in Punjab Police. He pleaded that a letter should be written these students have done their Bachelor degree in Computer Science & Applications/Information Technology.

It was informed that a certificate could be issued by the Controller of Examinations that such and such students had opted Computer Science &

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

Application or Information Technology as one of the subjects in their Bachelor degree.

23. Professor Savita Gupta pleaded that the revival of old pension scheme should also be thought of.

The Vice-Chancellor said that a Committee has been constituted to look into the issue of Pension.

Professor Savita Gupta pointed out that the Government of Punjab has already revived the old pension scheme and notification issued. The University should adopt the notification of the Punjab Government.

Dr. B.C. Josan said that the old pension scheme should also be got implemented in the affiliated Colleges.

24. Professor Savita Gupta pointed out that they had raised the issue of payment of interest on Provident Fund to the teachers, who had continued beyond the age of 60 years. Even the Labour Commission is also saying that if the University had earned interest on their Non-Contributory Provident Fund, it is liable to give the same to the subscribers. Perhaps, no Committee has been constituted on the issue so far.

The Vice-Chancellor said that this issue should also be taken care of by the same Committee, which is examining issue of payment of interest on Provident Fund to the persons, who are continuing in service beyond the age of 60 years.

25. Professor Prashant Gautam said that whenever an employee died in harness, especially cleaner, they have to seek a replacement from the Horticulture Department. Could they decide and approve certain man-days, so that they could engage person(s) from outside for a limited number of days? In this way, they would be able to smoothly organize functions, events, etc.

The Vice-Chancellor said that they had already discussed the issue of man-days a number of times. He asked the Finance & Development Officer to get decision on this issue finalized as suggested by the members of the Syndicate and the same should be got approved from the Syndicate, if required. He had also received a phone call that they are organizing a G-20 meeting, for which they required a number of things.

Professor Prashant Gautam said that they might also have to hire persons during the visit of NAAC.

The Vice-Chancellor said that for NAAC, the Finance & Development Officer is very-very proactive.

26. Dr. Jayanti Dutta said that there is a dire need of signages in Sector 25.

27. Professor Savita Gupta said that certain employees were standing in front of the Administrative Block. They have given a representation to them that they should be given Dearness Allowance as they have completed 10 years' service on daily-wage/contract basis.

The Vice-Chancellor said that as had already been decided the Dearness Allowance can be given to daily-wage/contract basis employees after completion of 10 years service.

Proceedings of Syndicate Meeting dated 19.12.2022

It was pointed out that they had received a communication from the Punjab Government in July 2020 regarding pay and allowances to newly appointed employees, due which the matter is pending.

Y.P. Verma
Registrar

Confirmed

RAJ KUMAR
VICE-CHANCELLOR