

## PANJAB UNIVERSITY, CHANDIGARH

Minutes of the emergent meeting of the SYNDICATE held on Monday, 9<sup>th</sup> August 2010 at 5.00 p.m., in the Committee Room of Vice-Chancellor's Office, Panjab University, Chandigarh.

### PRESENT

1. Professor R.C. Sobti ... (in the Chair)  
Vice-Chancellor
2. Shri Ashok Goyal
3. Professor B.S. Ghuman
4. Dr. Dinesh Talwar
5. Dr. Emanuel Nahar
6. Shri Gopal Krishan Chatrath
7. Dr. Janmit Singh
8. Dr. Karamjeet Singh
9. Dr. Keshav Malhotra
10. Dr. Mukesh Arora
11. Professor Naval Kishore
12. Shri Rajbans Singh Gill
13. Professor S.S. Bari ... (Secretary)  
Registrar

Shri Chaman Lal Sharma, Shri Madan Lal Aeri, Dr. (Mrs.) Madhu Prashar, Dr. (Mrs.) Ravinder Kaur, Shri Ajoy Sharma, D.P.I. (Colleges), U.T., Chandigarh and Mrs. Jasmeet Kaur, D.P.I. (Colleges), Punjab, could not attend the meeting.

### **Vice-Chancellor's Statement**

1. The Vice-Chancellor said that he had nothing to say in his statement.

### **Issue regarding report of the Committee in connection with change of Examination Centre**

2. Considered the action taken by the Vice-Chancellor on the report of Committee, constituted under the Chairmanship of Professor S.K. Sharma, Fellow, in connection with the change of Centre of certain Students.

It was noted that the Syndicate in its meeting held on 29<sup>th</sup> June 2010 had decided that since the matter was very serious, the voluminous report of the Committee needed to be studied in depth, therefore, the Vice-Chancellor be authorized to constitute a Committee to look into the report and suggest as to what action is required to be taken.

The Vice-Chancellor presented a detailed report (**Appendix-I**) with reference to the enquiry report and all the steps taken so far.

**The Syndicate approved the action taken by the Vice-Chancellor and further authorized the Vice-Chancellor to take action as per Regulations/Rules of the University and law of the land. Further, the Syndicate was of the considered opinion that appointment of a retired judge shall go a long way in establishing the credibility of the University in its endeavour to maintain transparency and fair play in the examination system.**

**Appointment of Dr. S.P. Singh as Professor at Dr. Harvansh Singh Judge Institute of Dental Sciences & Hospital**

**3.** Considered the note (**Appendix-II**) submitted by the Director-Principal, Dr. Harvansh Singh Judge Institute of Dental Sciences & Hospital, with regard to the deputation of Dr. S.P. Singh, Additional Professor, PGIMER, Chandigarh, with the Panjab University as Professor in Orthodontics on the same terms and conditions (as were there in the case of Dr. K. Gauba) of deputation for a period of one year or till the time University needs his services, whichever is earlier, protecting his salary and seniority. Further, in order to maintain his seniority vis-à-vis other Professors in the Dental Institute, he be designated as Additional Director.

The Vice-Chancellor, referred to the recommendation of Dr. K. Gauba, Director-Principal, Dr. Harvansh Singh Judge Institute of Dental Sciences & Hospital, wherein he had stated that since they have not been able to get Professor in Orthodontics in spite of repeated advertisements and the appointment of Professor in Orthodontics is necessary and mandatory for getting recognition for Dr. Harvansh Singh Judge Institute of Dental Sciences & Hospital from the Dental Council of India, he(Dr. Gauba) recommends that Dr. S.P. Singh be brought on deputation with the condition that he may be designated as Additional Director/Vice-Principal for helping in administration.

After discussion, it was –

**RESOLVED:** That Dr. S.P. Singh be brought on deputation and appointed Professor in Orthodontics at Dr. Harvansh Singh Judge Institute of Dental Sciences & Hospital, on the same terms and conditions (as were there in the case of Dr. K. Gauba) with the condition that he may be designated as Additional Director/Vice-Principal for helping in administration.

**Issue regarding de-recognition of Dr. Harvansh Singh Judge Institute of Dental Sciences & Hospital by the DCI**

**4.** Considered the report submitted by the Director-Principal, Dr. Harvansh Singh Judge Institute of Dental Sciences & Hospital, with regard to the observations made by the Dental Council of India (DCI) for granting recognition to 1<sup>st</sup> batch of BDS students admitted in 2006 and approval for the admission of the 5<sup>th</sup> batch.

**NOTE:** A detailed note from the Director-Principal, Dr. Harvansh Singh Judge Institute of Dental Sciences & Hospital, enclosed **Appendix-III**).

**RESOLVED:** That the Vice-Chancellor be authorized to proceed in the matter as he may deem fit.

**Issue regarding payment of consolidated salary to the persons appointed on contractual basis.**

5. Considered whether the Vice-Chancellor be authorized to make contractual appointments on a consolidated salary against sanctioned posts where regular appointment is not immediately possible.

**NOTE:** The University had faced a difficulty in selecting faculty members for Stem Cell and certain other courses, e.g. M.C.A., in view of the latest U.G.C. guidelines, as in these cases, NET qualified candidates were not available. The U.T. Administration has allowed contractual appointments on a consolidated salary against sanctioned posts where regular appointment is not immediately possible and is likely to take time as per their circular dated 30.7.2009 (**Appendix-IV**). In the larger academic interest, it is proposed that the posts of Assistant Professor (earlier nomenclatured as Lecturer) in such courses in the unrevised scale of Rs.8000-13500 may be considered to be filled up on a consolidated contractual amount of Rs.25800/- for the time being on a contractual basis till regular appointments are made against these vacant posts by inserting an advertisement for a walk-in-interview.

**RESOLVED:** That the Vice-Chancellor be authorized to make contractual appointments of Assistant Professors on a consolidated salary of Rs.25800/- (fixed) per mensem against sanctioned posts in the subjects where regular appointment is not immediately possible due to non-availability of NET qualified persons, till the posts are filled up on regular basis.

In the end, Shri Ashok Goyal lamented that certain decisions taken by the Syndicate were not being implemented, e.g. –

1. That the approved teachers, including the retired teachers of Universities and Colleges need not be required to appear in the interview for appointment as Guest Faculty.
2. That protocol be maintained while conveying the members of their appointment on Committees.
3. That students migrating from P.U. Regional Centres to Department of Laws and vice versa be not charged migration fee of Rs.40000/- and such students would be allowed to appear in their supplementaries from the College/Department where they have migrated to.
4. That the examination fee for re-appear/ compartment examination be charged in proportion to the number of paper the student/candidate is appearing in.

Shri Ashok Goyal further stated that just because their examination system was doing a fine work and they have been able to achieve/declare results of various examinations much in advance, it did not mean that they should ignore the irregularities committed hither and thither. And just because the Controller of Examinations

was an honest person, it did not mean that those who committed irregularities should be allowed to go *scot free*. Citing an example, he said that since a candidate could not clear his/her compartment within the stipulated chances, his/her result was declared as cancelled. But, in the meanwhile, the student had appeared in M.A. Part I examination and his result was declared as 'Pass'. Being an honest person, while requesting the Principal for admission to M.A. Part II, he/she told the Principal that his/her result of B.A. had been declared 'cancelled'. Had he/she not disclosed the fact, he/she would have been given admission to M.A. Part II class? The University employee who had committed this mistake should be taken to task and the student's case for admission to M.A. Part II should be considered favourably.

The Vice-Chancellor said that he would look into the issue and take appropriate action/decision.

S.S. Bari  
**Registrar**

Confirmed

R.C. Sobti  
**VICE-CHANCELLOR**